

# 

**Citation:** Adeoye IA, Okekunle AP (2022) Dietary patterns and associated factors among pregnant women in Ibadan, Nigeria: Evidence from Ibadan pregnancy cohort study. PLoS ONE 17(9): e0273796. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273796

Editor: Linglin Xie, Texas A&M University College Station, UNITED STATES

Received: March 5, 2022

Accepted: August 15, 2022

Published: September 15, 2022

**Peer Review History:** PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273796

**Copyright:** © 2022 Adeoye, Okekunle. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** Availability of data and materials: The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available because they contain potentially RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Dietary patterns and associated factors among pregnant women in Ibadan, Nigeria: Evidence from Ibadan pregnancy cohort study

#### Ikeola A. Adeoye <sup>1,2</sup>\*, Akinkunmi P. Okekunle<sup>3</sup>

1 Department of Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria, 2 Consortium for Advanced Research in Africa (CARTA), Nairobi, Kenya, 3 Research Institute of Human Ecology, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea

\* adeoyeikeola@yahoo.com

# Abstract

# Background

Maternal nutrition is vital for an optimal intrauterine environment, foetal development, birth weight, pregnancy and neonatal outcomes. We assessed the maternal dietary patterns using a data-driven technique and the associated sociodemographic factors among pregnant women in Ibadan, Nigeria.

# Methodology

Dietary assessment was performed during the enrolment of participants for the Ibadan Pregnancy Cohort Study, a prospective cohort study, conducted among 1745 pregnant women enrolled early in pregnancy ( $\leq$  20 weeks) at four comprehensive obstetric facilities within the Ibadan metropolis. A qualitative food frequency questionnaire was used to assess the pregnant population's intake of food and drinks three months prior to their enrollment. We determined dietary patterns by applying principal component analysis with a varimax rotation. Multivariate analysis was used to investigate the association between sociodemographic factors and dietary patterns at 5% statistical significance.

## Results

Mean age and gestational age at enrolment were 29.8 (± 5.3) years and 16.4 (±4.2) weeks, respectively. White rice was the most frequently consumed meal [794 (45.5%) daily, 898 (51.4%)] weekly in our study population. Five major dietary patterns were identified, and they accounted for 28.8% of the total variation: "protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverages" (15.6%); "fruits" (4.1%); "typical diet with alcohol" (3.8%); "legumes" (2.8%), "refined grains" (2.6%). Maternal education and income were inversely associated with the consumption of a "protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverages", "typical diet with alcohol", and "legumes" in a dose-response fashion. Also, employed women had a higher mean intake of fruits [adjusted  $\beta$ : 0.33 (0.02; 0.65) p = 0.040] compared with women without employment.

identifying and confidential information but are available from the UI/UCH Ethics Committee (uiuchec@gmail.com) on reasonable request if it meets the criteria for accessing confidential data.

Funding: This research was supported by the Consortium for Advanced Research Training in Africa (CARTA). CARTA is jointly led by the African Population and Health Research Center and the University of the Witwatersrand and funded by the Carnegie Corporation of New York (Grant No. G-19-57145), Sida (Grant No:54100113), Uppsala Monitoring Center, Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (Norad), and by the Wellcome Trust [reference no. 107768/Z/15/Z] and the UK Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office, with support from the Developing Excellence in Leadership, Training and Science in Africa (DELTAS Africa) programme. Ikeola Adeoye is a CARTA PhD fellow. The statements made and views expressed are solely the responsibility of the Fellow. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. For the purpose of open access, the author has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

**Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no completing interest.

#### **Conclusions and recommendation**

We described five dietary patterns of pregnant women using a data-driven technique, principal component analysis, in Nigeria. We also identified factors influencing maternal dietary patterns, which can inform public health interventions, especially behavioural change communication during antenatal care.

#### Introduction

Nutrition and pregnancy are closely linked because maternal nutrition influences the intrauterine environment [1, 2]. Maternal nutrition is also an important modifiable determinant of foetal development, birth weight, pregnancy and neonatal outcomes [3]. For example, micronutrient deficiencies predominant among pregnant women in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) are risk factors for iron deficiency anaemia, low birth weight, intrauterine growth restriction, and small gestational age [4–6]. However, excess energy intake is a risk factor for obesity, excessive gestation weight gain, gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), and macrosomia [7, 8] which are emerging public health concerns in LMICs.

Determining the overall significance of food and dietary consumption in a population can be complex, as a single nutrient approach (such as iron, iodine, and folate deficiencies) is limited because nutrients are not consumed singly in diets but rather in the company of several foods/ nutrients over time. The overall food and dietary pattern assessment is a suitable methodology for summarising overall food and dietary consumption in populations [9]. Dietary patterns assessment is an objective evaluation of a population's overall food and dietary exposure and is often used in determining the diet-disease association in nutrition epidemiology [10]. It utilises the amount, type, frequency or combination of different foods and beverages typically consumed over time [11] to provide a broader picture of the whole food and nutrient consumption [9, 10]. The dietary pattern approach has increasingly gained popularity in explaining the relationship between habitual diet and chronic disease risk. For instance, increasing quartiles of westernized diet were associated with the risk of coronary artery disease in the United States [12]. Although dietary patterns have received scant attention among pregnant women in LMICs such as Nigeria, certain foods have been associated with lowering the risk of some pregnancy complications. For example, diets high in whole grains, fish, fruits and vegetables have reportedly lowered the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus [13] and gestational hypertension [14].

However, the significance of overall dietary exposure in maternal and neonatal outcomes in LMICs, including in Nigeria, has not been thoroughly investigated. Also, the sociodemographic factors associated with maternal dietary patterns have not been identified in Nigeria. Identifying the overall food consumption and its associated factors among pregnant women is crucial for designing and implementing appropriate nutritional education, counselling and public health interventions for improving maternal and neonatal outcomes, particularly in the light of the escalating burden of maternal obesity in LMICs. Therefore, this study examined derived dietary patterns and the associated factors among pregnant women in Ibadan using the Ibadan Pregnancy Cohort Study.

#### Materials and methods

#### Study design, setting and population

The Ibadan Pregnancy Cohort Study (IbPCS) was a multicentre hospital-based study among women and their offspring aimed at assessing the association of maternal obesity and lifestyle

factors with glycaemic control, gestational weight gain, pregnancy and postpartum outcomes in Ibadan, Nigeria. The study started in April 2018, and the baseline recruitment was completed in March 2019. Details of its methodology have been reported elsewhere [15]. It was a prospective cohort study that recruited 1745 pregnant women in early pregnancy ( $\leq$ 20 weeks) from the four health facilities within the Ibadan metropolis. The study was facility-based and conducted at four hospitals which are major maternal health care services providers and referral centres for comprehensive essential obstetric care within the Ibadan metropolis. These facilities are University College Hospital, Adeoyo Maternity Teaching Hospital, Jericho Specialist Hospital, and Saint Mary Catholic Hospital, Oluyoro, Ibadan.

#### Data collection procedures

Data were collected using pretested, interviewer-administered questionnaires and structured proforma at booking, third trimester, and delivery. Trained personnel conducted in-person interviews and physical examinations (using standard instruments) to assess information on sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics and dietary information from respondents at baseline after due informed consent for the study. Sociodemographic information assessed were age (in years), Yoruba ethnicity/ancestry (no or yes), level of education completed (at least primary, secondary or tertiary), average monthly income in naira—N (<N20,000; N20,000—N99,999 or  $\geq$  N100,000), employment status (no, yes), religion (Christianity or Islam) and marital status (single or married). Also, respondents reported the number of birth experiences they have had prior to the current pregnancy and were classified as; nulliparous, 1–3 or  $\geq$ 4.

**Dietary assessment.** Participants provided information on foods and drinks consumed in the last three months using an interviewer-administered qualitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ). The FFQ was designed from a sampling frame of foods and drinks reported by fifty randomly selected women of reproductive age using a 24-hour dietary recall. The FFQ was made of 67 food and drinks classified into ten food groups' cereals', 'starchy roots and tubers', 'legumes', 'meat, fish and poultry products, 'fruits', 'vegetables', 'milk', 'sugar-sweetened beverages and drinks', 'alcohol' and 'pastries'. Details of the food and drink items in the FFQ and how they are classified into food groups are presented in Table 1. For each food or drink, participants reported the frequency of food consumption as follows: once daily, more than once daily (i.e. 2–3 times daily): once weekly, more than once weekly (i.e. 2–3 times weekly): once monthly, more than once monthly (i.e. 2–3 times monthly). The consumption frequency was harmonised into daily, weekly, monthly and rarely and transformed into the frequency of daily consumption.

**Dietary pattern analysis.** The dietary pattern was derived using daily frequency consumption of 67 food items, with a reliability coefficient of 91.0%. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) [16–18], was applied to the correlation matrix of the daily consumption frequency of 67 food items. The factor loadings of foods and drinks were estimated using an orthogonal varimax rotated transformation for interpretability and extraction of uncorrelated components/ factors. Five factors were retained based on an eigenvalue, a scree plot and interpretability. Factor loadings were calculated for each food item, and factors were interpreted as dietary patterns. Food or drink items having an absolute loading of  $\geq$ 0.20 were retained in each dietary pattern. Factor scores of respondents in each dietary pattern were estimated, with higher factor scores typifying a level of closeness of the foods/drinks to the dietary patterns and vice versa. In order to determine the level of adherence to dietary patterns, respondents' factor scores in each dietary pattern were ranked and stratified into 'low' if the respondent factor score in a dietary pattern falls within the 50th percentile of the factor score distribution in this sample, otherwise 'high' where the factor score is > 50th percentile.

Food Groups	Food Items
Cereals and Products:	White rice, Jollof rice, Fried rice, Ofada rice, Spaghetti, White Bread, Wheat Bread, Wheat Semovita, Pap, Cornflakes, Oats, Golden Morn, Wheaterbix/All bran/Fruit fibre.
Starch Roots and Tubers	Eba, Amala, Pounded yam, Fufu, Yam Porridge, Pando yam/, Boiled Yam, Boiled Potato
Legumes	Stewed beans (Ewa riro), Moinmoin, Ekuru, Gbegiri, Cowpea-feregede
Meat and Fish	Red meat-beef, Pork, Internal organs/offals- <i>shaki</i> , liver, lungs, Snails, Fish, Poultry-Chicken or turkey, Eggs
Fruits	Pawpaw, Watermelon, Pineapple, Apples, Tangerine/tangelo, Cucumber, Avocado pear, English Pear, Oranges, Carrots, Mangoes, Banana, <i>Agbalumo</i> (cherry)
Vegetables	Plain Vegetable soup–Okro, <i>Ewedu, Efo Riro, Egusi Soup, Ogbonno /</i> Groundnut / <i>Afan/Oha Soup</i> , Garden egg, Corn, Cabbage
Milk	Cream Milk, Skimmed or low-fat milk, Soya Milk, Kunu
Sugar-Sweetened Drinks	Soft drinks, Malt drinks, Fruit juice, Beverage–Milo, Bournvita, Tea, Coffee, Yoghurt.
Alcohol	Beer, Palm wine, Whisky/dry Gin
Pastries	Cake, puff-puff, doughnut, Buns, chinchin

Table 1. Food items and food groups used in the dietary patterns.

Number of items in the scale: 67.

Scale reliability coefficient: 0.9101.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273796.t001

#### Statistical analysis

Univariate analysis was performed in which categorical and continuous data were presented using percentages and mean (standard deviation). Also, by food groups the frequencies of commonly consumed food items were presented in composite bar graphs. The bivariate analysis examined the five dietary patterns' sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics between low and high levels. Linear regression was used to estimate the beta ( $\beta$ ) coefficient and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of sociodemographic and lifestyle factors and scores for each dietary pattern. Furthermore, only statistically significant variables in the unadjusted linear regression models were included in the final linear regression models to estimate the adjusted  $\beta$  coefficient and 95% CI of factors associated with each dietary pattern in this sample. All statistical analyses were carried out at a two-sided P < 0.05.

### **Ethical consideration**

The ethical approval for this study was obtained from the University of Ibadan/University College Hospital (UI/UCH) Institutional Review Board (UI/EC/15/0060) and Oyo State Ministry of Health Ethical Committee (AD/13/479/710). Verbal and written informed consent was obtained from the respondents before recruitment into the study. The study protocol and conduct adhered to the principles laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki.

## Results

# Characteristics of study participants (Table 2) and their food consumption pattern (Fig 1)

The characteristics of the Ibadan pregnancy cohort are presented in Table 2. The mean age was 29.8 ( $\pm$  5.3) years, and the mean gestational age at enrolment was 16.4 ( $\pm$ 4.2) weeks. Also, the majority of the women were within 20–39 years 1648 (94.4%), married 1643 (94.1%), employed 1557 (89.2%), and of Yoruba ancestry 1565 (89.8%). About a third, 583 (33.4%)

$< 20$ 28       1.6         20-29       844       48.3         30-39       804       46.1 $\geq 40$ years       70       4.0         Mean age (years)       29.8 ( $\pm$ 5.3)	Characteristics	N (frequency)	Percentage (n/N)
20-29         844         48.3           30-39         804         46.1 $\geq$ 40 years         70         4.0           Mean age (years)         29.8 (±5.3)	Age group		
30-39         804         46.1           ≥ 40 years         70         4.0           Mean age (years)         29.8 (±5.3)	< 20	28	1.6
≥ 40 years         70         4.0           Mean age (years)         29.8 (±5.3)	20–29	844	48.3
$  -$ Mean age (years)         29.8 (±5.3)         I           Mean gestational age (weeks)         16.4 (±4.6) $-$ Parity $ -$ Nulliparous         761         43.8 $2-4$ 882 $50.7$ $\geq 5$ 95 $5.5$ Marital Status $-$ Single         102 $5.8$ Married         1644         94.2           Level of Education $  \leq$ Primary         49 $2.8$ Secondary         504         28.9           Tertiary         1189         68.3           Occupation $ -$ Employed         189         10.5           Religion $ -$ Christianity         1011         58.1           Islam         730         41.9           Ethnicity $ -$ Yorubas         1565         89.8           Non-Yorubas         177         10.2           Income per month (Naira) $-$	30–39	804	46.1
Mean gestational age (weeks)16.4 (±4.6)IParity76143.8Nulliparous76143.82-488250.7≥ 5955.5Marital Status11Single1025.8Married164494.2Level of Education2.8≤ Primary492.8Secondary50428.9Tertiary118968.3Occupation155789.2Unemployed18910.5Religion73041.9Christianity101158.1Islam73089.8Non-Yorubas17710.2Income per month (Naira)58333.420,00050187.4	$\geq$ 40 years	70	4.0
ParityImage: style image: styl	Mean age (years)	29.8 (±5.3)	
Nulliparous       761       43.8         2-4       882       50.7 $\geq 5$ 95       5.5         Marital Status	Mean gestational age (weeks)	16.4 (±4.6)	
2-4       882 $50.7$ ≥ 5       95 $5.5$ Marital Status	Parity		
≥ 5       95       5.5         Marital Status	Nulliparous	761	43.8
Marital Status         Interfact of Education         Secondary           ≤ Primary         49         2.8           Secondary         504         28.9           Tertiary         1189         68.3           Occupation             Employed         1557         89.2           Unemployed         189         10.5           Religion             Christianity         1011         58.1           Islam         730         41.9           Ethnicity         1565         89.8           Non-Yorubas         177         10.2           Income per month (Naira)         583         33.4           20,000–99,999         501         28.7	2–4	882	50.7
Single       102       5.8         Married       1644       94.2         Level of Education       - $\leq$ Primary       49       2.8         Secondary       504       28.9         Tertiary       1189       68.3         Occupation       -       -         Employed       1557       89.2         Unemployed       189       10.5         Religion       -       -         Christianity       1011       58.1         Islam       730       41.9         Ethnicity       -       -         Yorubas       1565       89.8         Non-Yorubas       177       10.2         Income per month (Naira)       -       -         <20,000	≥ 5	95	5.5
Married       1644       94.2         Married       1644       94.2         Level of Education       2.8 $\leq$ Primary       49       2.8         Secondary       504       28.9         Tertiary       1189       68.3         Occupation       68.3       000000000000000000000000000000000000	Marital Status		
Level of Education         Image: mark state	Single	102	5.8
≤ Primary         49         2.8           Secondary         504         28.9           Tertiary         1189         68.3           Occupation         68.3         68.3           Occupation         1189         68.3           Employed         1557         89.2           Unemployed         189         10.5           Religion         1011         58.1           Christianity         1011         58.1           Islam         730         41.9           Ethnicity         1         565           Non-Yorubas         177         10.2           Income per month (Naira)         20,000         583         33.4           20,000-99,999         501         28.7	Married	1644	94.2
Secondary       504       28.9         Tertiary       1189       68.3         Occupation       68.3         Employed       1557       89.2         Unemployed       189       10.5         Religion       1011       58.1         Christianity       1011       58.1         Islam       730       41.9         Ethnicity       1       1012         Yorubas       1565       89.8         Non-Yorubas       177       10.2         Income per month (Naira)       20,000       583       33.4         20,000-99,999       501       28.7	Level of Education		
Tertiary       1189       68.3         Occupation       68.3         Employed       1557       89.2         Unemployed       189       10.5         Religion       1011       58.1         Christianity       1011       58.1         Islam       730       41.9         Ethnicity       1       1012         Yorubas       1565       89.8         Non-Yorubas       177       10.2         Income per month (Naira)       20,000       583       33.4         20,000-99,999       501       28.7	$\leq$ Primary	49	2.8
Occupation         Image: Second	Secondary	504	28.9
Employed         1557         89.2           Unemployed         189         10.5           Religion             Christianity         1011         58.1           Islam         730         41.9           Ethnicity             Yorubas         1565         89.8           Non-Yorubas         177         10.2           Income per month (Naira)             <20,000	Tertiary	1189	68.3
Unemployed         189         10.5           Religion         1011         58.1           Christianity         1011         58.1           Islam         730         41.9           Ethnicity             Yorubas         1565         89.8           Non-Yorubas         177         10.2           Income per month (Naira)             <20,000	Occupation		
Religion         Image: marginal system           Christianity         1011         58.1           Islam         730         41.9           Ethnicity         Image: marginal system         Image: marginal system           Yorubas         1565         89.8           Non-Yorubas         177         10.2           Income per month (Naira)         Image: marginal system         S3.3.4           20,000-99,999         501         28.7	Employed	1557	89.2
Christianity     1011     58.1       Islam     730     41.9       Ethnicity         Yorubas     1565     89.8       Non-Yorubas     177     10.2       Income per month (Naira)         <20,000	Unemployed	189	10.5
Islam     730     41.9       Ethnicity     6     6       Yorubas     1565     89.8       Non-Yorubas     177     10.2       Income per month (Naira)     6       <20,000	Religion		
Ethnicity         Image: Constraint of the system           Yorubas         1565         89.8           Non-Yorubas         177         10.2           Income per month (Naira)         Image: Constraint of the system         20,000           <20,000	Christianity	1011	58.1
Yorubas     1565     89.8       Non-Yorubas     177     10.2       Income per month (Naira)	Islam	730	41.9
Non-Yorubas         177         10.2           Income per month (Naira)             <20,000	Ethnicity		
Income per month (Naira)            <20,000	Yorubas	1565	89.8
<20,000 583 33.4 20,000-99,999 501 28.7	Non-Yorubas	177	10.2
20,000-99,999 501 28.7	Income per month (Naira)		
	<20,000	583	33.4
≥ 100,000 108 6.2	20,000–99,999	501	28.7
	≥ 100,000	108	6.2

Table 2.	Characteristics	of the study	participants.
----------	-----------------	--------------	---------------

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273796.t002

earned less than N20,000 per month (minimum wage), and 1011 (58.1%) reported being Christians.

White rice was the most frequently consumed meal (Fig 1) among the study participants: [794 (45.5%) daily, 898 (51.4%) weekly]. Legumes were consumed mostly on a weekly basis: stewed beans (*Ewa riro*) [158 (9.1%) daily & 1200 (68.7%) weekly] Bean Pudding (*Moinmoin*) [128 (7.3%) daily & 1176 (67.4) weekly] Beans cake (*Akara*) [158 (6.3%) daily & 1022 (58.5) weekly]. The commonest sources of animal protein were red meat: [816 (46.7%) daily & 612 (35.1%)] weekly, fish: [817 (46.8%) daily & 696 (39.9%) weekly], and eggs [645 (36.9%) daily & 831 (47.6%) weekly]. Plain vegetable soups, such as Okro, *Ewedu, and Efo riro*, were the most frequently consumed in the fruits and vegetable group.

#### Dietary pattern of study participants (Table 3)

The factor loading matrix of the dietary patterns obtained from the PCA is presented in Table 3. Five major dietary patterns, which accounted for 28.8% of the total variation, were



Fig 1. Most commonly consumed food items by food groups in the Ibadan pregnancy cohort daily (green); weekly (purple).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273796.g001

identified in the study population. The first pattern, "protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverages", accounted for the highest variance (15.6%) and was characterised by a high intake of red meat, fish, eggs, green vegetables, cream milk, and soft drinks, cocoa beverages, and pastries. The second pattern, "fruits", which accounted for 4.1% variance, was characterised by pawpaw, watermelon, pineapple, tangerine/tangelo, cucumber, avocado pear, carrots, mangoes and cherry. The "typical diet with alcohol" was the third pattern, characterised by *pando yam*, fermented cassava pudding (*fufu*), pork, snail, soya milk, beer, and palm wine. The fourth pattern, "legumes", explained a 2.8% variance and was characterised by stewed beans, bean cake, beans pudding (*moinmoin*) bland beans pudding (*ekuru*). The last pattern was termed "refined grains" and was characterised by high consumption of jollof rice, fried rice, *ofada rice*, corn flakes, oats and golden morn, explaining 2.6% of the total variance.

# Association between maternal sociodemographic characteristics and dietary patterns (Tables 4 and 5)

Table 4 displays the association between the sociodemographic factors and dietary patterns–a protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverages and fruits and a typical diet with alcohol, legumes, and refined grains among study participants. The protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverages, legumes pattern, and refined grains varied by education, income and religion. The consumption of a protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverages (primary "40.8%" versus

Food Items	Protein rich diet and non-alcoholic beverages	Fruits	Typical diet with alcohol	Legumes	Refined grains
Jollof Rice	-	-	-	-	0.2815
Fried Rice	-	-	-	-	0.3537
Ofada Rice	-	-	-	-	0.2943
Cornflakes	-	-	-	-	0.3906
Oats	-	-	-	-	0.3184
Golden Morn	-	-	-	-	0.3031
Fufu	-	-	0.2044	-	-
Pando Yam	-	-	0.2346	-	-
Stewed beans	-	-	-	0.3104	-
Bean Cake	-	-	-	0.3762	-
Moinmoin	-	-	-	0.3590	-
Ekuru	-	-	-	0.3641	-
Gbegiri	-	-	-	0.2435	-
Red Meat	0.2432	-	-	-	-
Pork	-	-	0.2635	-	-
Snail	-	-	0.2317	-	-
Fish	0.2137	-	-	-	-
Eggs	0.2025	-	-	-	-
Pawpaw	-	0.3101	-	-	-
Watermelon	-	0.2918	-	-	-
Pineapple	-	0.3001	-	-	-
Apple	-	0.2887	-	-	-
Tangerine	-	0.2634	-	-	-
Cucumber	-	0.3107	-	-	-
Avocado Pear	-	0.2621	-	-	
English Pear	-	0.2563	-	-	-
Oranges	-	0.2108	-	-	-
Carrot	-	0.2892	-	-	-
Mangoes	-	0.2612	-	-	-
Agbalumo	-	0.2567	-	-	-
Plain vegetables	0.2543	-	-	-	-
Oil-based soups	-	-	0.2337	-	-
Cream milk	0.3059	-	-	-	-
Soya Milk	-	-	0.2344	-	-
Soft drinks	0.2771	-	-	-	-
Malt drinks	0.2398	-	-	-	-
Beverage	0.3116	-	-	-	-
Tea	0.2244	-	-	-	-
Beer	-	-	0.2067	-	-
Palm wine	-	-	0.2358	-	- 0.2388
Pastries	0.2079	-	-	-	-
% Variance	15.62	4.12	3.79	2.79	2.57
%Cumulative variance					

#### Table 3. Factor loading matrix of 5 dietary patterns obtained by principal component analysis.

Varimax rotated factor loadings  $\geq 0.2$  presented.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273796.t003

tertiary "30.3%") and legumes (primary "44.9%" versus tertiary "29.3%") was less among women with higher education compared with those with less education. On the other hand,

	Protein-rich diet with non- alcoholic beverages			Fruits			Typical diet with alcohol			Legumes			Refined grains		
	Low	High	p-value	Low	High	p-value	Low	High	p-value	Low	High	p-value	Low	High	p-value
Age group															
< 20	13(39.4)	20 (60.6)	0.172	17 (51.5)	16 (48.5)	0.641	19 (57.6)	14 (42.4)	0.741	19 (57.6)	14 (42.4)	0.551	15 (45.4)	18 (54.6)	0.134
20–29	401 (48.2)	431 (51.8)		415 (49.9)	417 (50.1)		421 (50.6)	411 (49.4)		404 (48.6)	428 (51.4)		396 (47.6)	436 (52.4)	
30-39	420 (51.7)	392 (48.3)		412 (50.7)	400 (49.3)		398 (49.0)	414 (51.0)		417 (51.4)	395 (48.7)		422 (52.0)	390 (48.0)	
$\geq$ 40 years	40 (57.4)	29 (42.7)		29 (42.7)	39 (57.4)		35 (51.5)	33 (48.5)		33 (48.5)	35 (51.5)		40 (58.8)	28 (41.2)	
Parity			1						_!					1	
Nulliparous	391 (51.5)	369 (48.6)	0.360	378 (49.7)	382 (50.3)	0.982	398 (52.4)	362 (47.6)	0.165	404 (53.2)	356 (46.4)	0.027	371 (48.8)	389 (51.2)	0.080
2-4	427 (48.4)	455 (51.6)		442 (50.1)	440 (49.9)		422 (47.9)	460 (52.2)		425 (48.2)	457 (51.8)		443 (50.2)	439 (49.8)	
≥ 5	51 (53.7)	44 (46.3)		48 (50.5)	47 (49.5)		50 (52.6)	45 (47.4)		39 (41.1)	56 (59.0)		58 (61.1)	37 (39.0)	
Marital Status	(35.7)	(10.5)	1	(30.3)	(1).5)		(32.0)	(17.1)		(41.1)	(35.0)		(01.1)	(39.0)	
Single	39 (38.2)	63 (61.8)	0.014	46 (45.1)	56 (54.9)	0.305	55 (53.9)	47 (46.1)	0.418	46 (45.1)	56 (54.9)	0.305	57 (55.9)	45 (44.1)	0.223
Married	834 (50.8)	809 (49.2)		827 (50.3)	816 (49.7)		818 (49.8)	825 (50.2)		827 (50.3)	816 (49.7)		816 (49.7)	827 (50.3)	
Education	(0010)	(1)(2)	1	(0010)	(1))		(1510)	(0012)		(0010)	(1)())		(1517)	(0010)	
Primary or less	25(51.0)	24 (49.0)	0.004	28 (57.1)	21 (42.9)	0.595	22 (44.9)	27 (55.1)	0.153	18 (36.7)	31 (63.3)	0.001	34 (69.4)	15 (30.6)	0.001
Secondary	221 (43.9)	283 (56.2)		251 (49.8)	253 (50.2)		236 (46.8)	268 (53.2)		198 (39.3)	306 (60.7)		285 (56.6)	219 (43.5)	
Tertiary or more	626 (52.7)	562 (47.3)		591 (49.8)	597 (50.3)		613 (51.6)	575 (48.4)		654 (55.1)	534 (45.0)		553 (46.6)	635 (53.5)	
Employment St	1	(1)10)	1	(1510)	(0010)		(0110)	(1011)		(0011)	(1010)		(1010)	(0010)	
Unemployed	97(51.3)	92 (48.7)	0.706	106 (56.1)	83 (43.9)	0.078	106 (56.1)	83 (43.9)	0.078	107 (56.6)	82 (43.4)	0.055	97(51.3)	92 (48.7)	0.706
Employed	776 (49.9)	780 (50.1)		767 (49.3)	789 (50.7)		767 (49.3)	789 (50.7)		766 (49.2)	790 (50.8)		776 (49.9)	780 (50.1)	
Religion	(1515)	(0011)	1	(1510)	(0011)		(1510)	(0007)		(1)12)	(000)		(1313)	(0011)	
Christianity	525 (52.0)	485 (48.0)	0.067	521 (51.6)	489 (48.4)	0.149	483 (47.8)	527 (52.2)	0.032	561 (55.5)	449 (44.5)	0.001	468 (46.4)	542 (53.7)	0.001
Islam	345 (47.5)	381 (52.5)		349 (48.1)	377 (51.9)		385 (53.0)	341 (47.0)		309 (42.6)	417 (57.4)		399 (55.0)	327 (45.0)	
Ethnicity			1												
Non-Yorubas	97 (54.5)	81 (45.5)	0.206	94 (52.8)	84 (47.2)	0.429	55 (30.9)	92 (69.1)	0.000	116 (65.2)	62 (34.8)	0.001	83 (46.6)	95 (53.4)	0.326
Yorubas	774 (49.5)	790 (50.5)		777 (49.7)	787 (50.3)		816 (52.2)	748 (47.8)		755 (48.3)	809 (51.7)		790 (50.5)	774 (49.5)	
Income	. (22.0)	1 (0000)	1	()	1 (00.0)	1	(02.2)	1 (1,10)	1	(10.0)	1 (0 2.7 )	1	1 (00.0)	(1),0)	1
<20,000	261 (44.8)	322 (55.2)	0.012	271 (46.5)	312 (53.5)	0.298	276 (47.3)	307 (52.7)	0.551	233 (40.0)	350 (60.0)	0.001	317 (54.4)	266 (45.6)	0.002
20,000-99,999	442 (52.4)	401 (47.6)		427 (50.7)	416 (49.4)		421 (49.9)	422 (50.1)		450 (53.4)	393 (46.6)		401 (47.6)	442 (52.4)	
≥ 100,000	58 (53.7)	50 (46.3)		52 (48.2)	56 (51.9)		57 (52.8)	51 (47.2)	-	71 (65.7)	37 (34.3)		41 (38.0)	67 (62.0)	

Table 4. Association between participants' sociodemographic characteristics and dietary patterns.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273796.t004

women with high education consumed more refrained grains than less educated women: (primary "29.3%" versus tertiary "41.7%"). The dietary pattern also differed by religion, as Muslims had a higher consumption of a protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverages (p = 0.001) and legumes (p = 0.001) but a lower intake of the typical diet with alcohol (p = 0.024). Christians consumed more refrained grains compared to Muslims (p = 0.001). Furthermore, those who earn <N20, 000 presented high consumption of a 'protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverages' than those earning more than N100 000; 322 (55.2%) vs 50 (46.3%). Similarly, legume consumption was higher among those who earn <N20, 000 than those who earn more than N100 000; 350 (60.0%) vs 37 (34.3%).

The modelling of the participants' sociodemographic characteristics and dietary patterns with the unadjusted and adjusted  $\beta$  and 95% CI are shown in <u>Table 5</u>. The intake of the typical diet with alcohol decreased with the woman's level of education in a monotonic fashion: secondary school; adjusted  $\beta$ :-0.69 (-1.35; -0.02) p = 0.043 and tertiary education; adjusted  $\beta$ :-0.83 (-1.49; -0.018) p = 0.013 compared with women with primary school education only. Conversely, women with tertiary education had a higher mean dietary score for refined grains [adjusted  $\beta$ : 0.50 (- 0.04; 0.95) p = 0.033] compared with women with primary school education only. Income had an inverse association with the consumption of a protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverages, a typical diet with alcohol, and legumes in a dose-response fashion.

Also, employed women had a higher mean of fruits [adjusted  $\beta$ : 0.33 (0.02; 0.65) p = 0.040] compared with women without employment. Parity had a significant association with the intake of a typical diet with an alcoholic beverage as multiparous women [adjusted  $\beta$ : 0.30 (0.08; 0.52) p = 0.009] had significantly higher consumption compared with nulliparous women. Muslims had a higher intake of legumes [adjusted  $\beta$ : 0.28 (- 0.92; 0.47) p = 0.004] and a protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverage [adjusted  $\beta$ : 0.25 (0.01; 0.50) p = 0.039] compared to Christians.

#### Discussion

Maternal nutrition is an important modifiable factor for optimal foetal development, pregnancy and neonatal outcomes, and mitigating the future risk of non-communicable diseases among women of reproductive age [19-21]. Therefore, understanding the dietary patterns of pregnant women, especially in LMIC societies undergoing epidemiologic and nutritional transitions such as Nigeria, is essential for predicting disease risk, formulating nutritional policies and providing nutritional interventions for pregnant women. We identified five dietary patterns: "protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverage", "fruits", and "typical diet with alcohol" legumes and "refined grains" among pregnant women in this study. We also ascertained the sociodemographic factors associated with maternal dietary patterns in Ibadan, Nigeria. Dietary pattern using factor analysis is gaining some attention in Nigeria but has been scarcely examined among pregnant women. Nwaru et al. (2012) examined the dietary pattern through a 24-hour dietary recall among mothers and children using Nigerian Demographic and Health Surveys data [22]. Unlike the food frequency questionnaire used in this study, the 24-hour dietary recall does not capture habitual dietary patterns. Recently researchers have begun examining the dietary pattern of specific Nigerian sub-populations, including school children [23] out-of-school adolescents [24], university undergraduates [25], and households [26].

The protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverages explained the highest variance in the dietary pattern in our study population. This dietary pattern was essentially healthy and nutrient-dense because it provided multiple sources of macro and micronutrients from animal protein–fish, eggs and red meat–an essential nutrient for foetal growth and development. Green leafy vegetables are important sources of minerals and vitamins (vitamins A, C, K, and E,

	Protein-rich	10n-alcoholic bevera		Typical diet with alcohol						
	Unadjusted β coefficient (95% CI)	p-value	Adjusted β coefficient (95% CI)	p-value	Unadjusted β coefficient (95% CI)	p-value	Adjusted β coefficient (95% CI)	p-value	Unadjusted β coefficient (95% CI)	p-value
Age group	1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1
< 20	Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref	
20-29	-0.35 (-1.12; 0.43)	0.383	0.49(49; 1.46)	0.327	-0.13 (-0.86; 0.61)	0.737	0.40 (-0.40; 1.19)	0.327	0.30 (-0.42; 1.02)	0.417
30-39	-0.47 (-1.25; 0.31)	0.237	0.60(39; 1.58)	0.234	-0.07 (-0.81; 0.66)	0.844	0.45 (-0.36; 1.26)	0.279	0.31 (-0.41; 1.03)	0.404
$\geq$ 40 years	- 0.80 (-1.72; 0.13)	0.093	0.61(51; 1.72)	0.284	-0.06(-0.94; 0.82)	0.887	0.37 (-0.54; 1.29)	0.423	0.17 (-1.18; 1.03)	0.699
Parity										
Nulliparous	Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref	
$\geq 1$	0.20 (-0.02; 0.41)	0.070	-0.08 (-0.31; 0.16)	0.521	-0.05 (-0.25; 0.15)	0.614	0.12 (49; 0.40)	0.216	0.31 (0.11; 0.50)	0.002
Marital Status	s						·			
Single	Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref	
Married	0.26 (-0.71; 0.19)	0.251	-0.84 (-1.38; 0.30)	0.002	-0.38 (-0.80; - 0.04)	0.078	04 (-0.48; 0.40)	0.847	0.07 (-0.35; 0.48)	0.743
Education		1		1		1		.1		1
Primary or less	Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref	
Secondary	-0.19 (-0.81; 0.59)	0.578	-0.10 (-0.80; 0.60)	0.773	-0.07 (-0.69; 0.55)	0.835	33 (-0.88; 0.22)	0.238	-0.74 (-1.34; -0.13)	0.017
Tertiary	-0.63 (-1.27; 0.01)	0.052	-0.44 (-1.14; 0.25)	0.206	-0.14 (-0.75; 0.46)	0.643	77 (-1.32; -0.28)	0.005	-0.98 (-1.57; -0.39)	0.001
Employment										1
Unemployed	Ref									
Employed	0.31 (-0.03; 0.65)	0.072	0.18 (-0.49; 0.86)	0.590	0.33 (0.02; 0.65)	0.040	0.38 (-0.17; 0.93)	0.178	0.44 (0.12; 0.75)	0.006
Religion	0.51 ( 0.05, 0.05)	0.072	0.10 ( 0.13, 0.00)	0.570	0.00 (0.02, 0.00)	0.010	0.50 ( 0.17, 0.55)	0.170	0.11(0.12, 0.75)	0.000
Christianity	Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref	
Islam	0.40 (0.19; 0.62)	0.000	0.25 (0.01; 0.50)	0.039	0.16 (-0.05; 0.36)	0.131	0.27 (-0.07; 0.47)	0.007	-0.01 (-0.21;- 0.19)	0.900
Ethnicity	0.40 (0.1), 0.02)	0.000	0.23 (0.01, 0.30)	0.037	0.10 (-0.05, 0.50)	0.151	0.27 (-0.07, 0.47)	0.007	-0.01 (-0.21,- 0.17)	0.900
Non- Yorubas	Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref	
Yorubas	0.49 (0.15; 0.84)	0.005	62 (89; 0.12)	0.188	-0.03 (-0.36; 0.30)	0.867	0.14 (-0.17; 0.44)	0.386	-0.49 (-0.81; - 0.16)	0.003
Income	1	1	1		1	1	I			
<20,000	Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref	
20,000- 99,999	-0.51(-0.74; - 0.27)	0.001	-0.37(-0.62; - 0.12)	0.004	-0.20(-0.42; 0.02)	0.079	42(-0.63; - 0.23)	< 0.001	-0.38 (-0.60; -0.15)	0.001
≥ 100,000	-0.60(-1.06; - 0.14)	0.011	-0.38(-0.85; 0.09)	0.111	-0.18(-0.61; -0.26)	0.421	51(-0.89; -0.14)	0.007	-0.53 (-0.97; -0.09)	0.019
	Typical Diet with	alcohol		Legi	umes			Refined	Cereals	1
	Adjusted β coefficient (95% CI)	p-value	Unadjusted β coefficient (95% CI)	p-value	Adjusted β coefficient (95% CI)	p-value	Unadjusted β coefficient (95% CI)	p-value	Adjusted β coefficient (95% CI)	p-value
Age group										
< 20	Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref			
20-29	0.35 (67; 1.37)	0.505	0.06 (-0.55; 0.68)	0.842	0.32 (-0.66; 1.29)	0.527	-0.02 (56; 0.53)	0.952		
30-39	0.27 (76; 1.31)	0.610	0.03 (-0.59; 0.64)	0.937	0.18 (-0.81; - 1.17)	0.727	-0.08 (-0.63; 0.47)	0.779		
$\geq$ 40 years	- 0.11 (-1.27; 1.06)	0.860	0.07(-0.67; 0.81)	0.849	0.01(-1.12; 1.13)	0.992	-0.05 (-071; 0.60)	0.871		
Parity	(,	1				_ · · · · =		1	1	1
Nulliparous	Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref			
$\geq 1$	0.30 (0.08; - 0.52)	0.009	0.19 (0.02; 0.36)	0.028	0.14 (-0.35; 0.32)	0.115	0.02 (-0.13; 0.17)	0.776		
$\leq 1$ Marital Status		0.007	0.17 (0.02, 0.30)	0.020	0.11 ( 0.55, 0.52)	5.115	0.02 ( 0.13, 0.17)	0.770	1	
mainai Status	3									

Table 5. Modelling the participants' sociodemographic characteristics and dietary patterns.

(Continued)

Married	0.32 (-0.89; 0.25)	0.265	-0.76 (-0.43; 0.28)	0.676	16 (71; 0.38)	0.562	0.07 (-0.25; 0.38)	0.679		
Education										
Primary or less	Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref	
Secondary	-0.69 (-1.35; -0.02)	0.043	-0.52 (-1.03; -0.01)	0.046	-0.36 (-0.90; 0.18)	0.194	0.29 (-0.18; 0.75)	0.223	0.27 (-0.19; 0.73)	0.253
Tertiary	-0.83 (-1.49; -0.18)	0.013	1.11(-1.61; -0.61)	0.001	-0.78 (-1.32; -0.24)	0.005	0.54 (0.09; 0.99)	0.019	0.50 (-0.04; 0.95)	0.033
Employment	Status									
Unemployed	Ref						Ref			
Employed	0.27 (-0.40; 0.95)	0.431	-0.32(-0.54; -0.59)	0.019	0.38 (-0.17; 0.993)	0.174	0.07 (- 0.17; 0.31)	0.578		0.967
Religion										
Christianity	Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref	
Islam	0.19 (06; 0.44)	0.134	0.48 (0.31; 0.65)	0.001	0.28(0.92-0.47)	0.004	- 0.18 (33; - 0.26)	0.021	-0.10 (-0.26; 0.05)	0.196
Ethnicity										
Non- Yorubas	Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref			
Yorubas	0.31 (-0.08; 0.71)	0.115	0.42 (0.15; 0.70)	0.003	59 (97; 0.12)	0.002	-0.18 (-0.42; - 0.07)	0.156		
Income										
<20,000	Ref		Ref		Ref		Ref			
20,000– 99,999	-0.32(-0.56; -0.08)	0.009	-0.59(-0.77; - 0.40)	0.001	-0.42(-0.62; 0.22)	0.004	0.07(-0.10; -0.24)	0.447		
≥ 100,000	-0.47(-0.930.02)	0.065	-0.74(-1.10; - 0.38)	0.001	-0.51(-0.88; - 0.14)	0.376	0.05 (-0.28; 0.38)	0.776		

#### Table 5. (Continued)

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0273796.t005

including calcium, iron, fibre and folate, which are essential for preventing neural tube defects. This pattern was also rich in milk, sugar-sweetened beverages (SSBs) and added sugars from pastries. Cream milk benefits women and the growing foetus because of the high calcium content required for solid bones and cell function.

Conversely, pregnant women should consume SSBs and added sugars in moderation or eliminate and replace them with low or no calorie-containing drinks such as water, particularly women that are obese [27]. SSBs have been associated with poor dietary quality [28], high energy intake [29], weight gain [30] and increased cardiometabolic risk that results from the spike of blood glucose and insulin levels, and high glycaemic load leading to decreased insulin sensitivity [31–34]. Additionally, the protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverages was inversely associated with income; women with lower income consumed a more protein-rich diet with non-alcoholic beverages than women with high income. This implies that these food items are likely inexpensive, readily accessible and available to women. An inverse association with socioeconomic status was also observed for the typical diet with alcoholic beverage and legumes dietary pattern.

The typical diet with alcohol consisted of commonly consumed food items in Nigeria, namely pando/pounded yam, fermented cassava puddling (*fufu*), pork, snail, oil-based soups, soya milk and importantly alcoholic drinks such as beer and palm wine. The alcoholic content makes it a harmful dietary pattern because of its teratogenic effects and the associated adverse pregnancy and developmental outcomes. For example, alcohol ingestion during pregnancy is the leading cause of preventable congenital anomalies in developed countries [35]. There is growing evidence of a rise in the intake of alcohol among women of reproductive age, especially in developing countries [36, 37]. In sub-Saharan Africa, the rise in alcohol consumption among women has been linked to urbanisation, economic growth, increasing social acceptability of the habit, changing gender roles and so on [37]. The WHO has stipulated that no amount

of alcohol is safe during pregnancy and that pregnant women should abstain from alcohol to prevent the associated adverse perinatal and developmental outcomes [38, 39]. Therefore, it is necessary to assess alcohol intake and encourage abstinence during antenatal care. This dietary pattern increased with parity, suggesting that women with higher parity than nulliparous women reported a higher intake of alcohol-containing diet. This association has been reported by other researchers in Africa [40, 41]. Additionally, socioeconomic status had a negative association with this dietary pattern, i.e., women with low education and income had a higher consumption of this alcohol-based diet, perhaps due to a lack of awareness of the adverse effects of alcohol consumption during pregnancy. A study in Uganda reported that the availability of cheap alcoholic drinks and their free distribution during celebrations make alcoholic intake common among low-income earning women [40]

The legumes and fruit patterns were homogenous groups explaining 4.1% and 2.8% variations, respectively. Legumes are plant proteins rich in dietary fibre with a low-glycaemic index (GI). Legumes are a healthy and inexpensive diet high in phytochemicals, fibre, proteins, minerals and vitamins [42]. Legumes enhance cardio-metabolic health by maintaining insulin sensitivity, improving lipid profiles, preventing insulin resistance [43], obesity [44], and cardiovascular risk scores [45] and these have been well reported in the literature. During pregnancy, legumes are beneficial in preventing gestational diabetes and excessive weight gain by maintaining postprandial glucose excursions, blood glucose and insulin levels. Legumes are, however, underutilised in our environment and often consumed by more impoverished individuals and families. Our study showed that legume consumption declined significantly in a dose-response fashion with the level of education and income. For example, the mean dietary score of legumes for women with tertiary education was much less [Adjusted  $\beta$  -.78 (p = 0.005)] compared with women with primary education or less. Some reasons for the underutilisation of legumes, especially among educated women, might be their prolonged cooking time and less palatability. It might also be associated with gastrointestinal side effects like increased flatulence, among others [46, 47]. For instance, legumes are regarded as the poor man's meat [46], and our study shows higher consumption among low-income women. Hence the need to encourage women to consume legumes in various forms and find innovative ways of preparing them.

The fruit pattern was clearly identified in this study population. Fruits and vegetables are rich in vitamins and minerals: A, C, E, magnesium, zinc, phosphorus, folic acid, fibre and antioxidants but low in calorie and dietary fat. The benefit of eating fruits and vegetables derived from their antioxidants, vitamins and phytochemicals [48]. Fruits were only significantly associated with women's employment status, with employed women having a higher mean intake of fruits than unemployed women [Adjusted  $\beta$  0.33 p = 0.040)]. This implies a lack of access to fruits because of cost; hence, only employed women can readily access fruits.

The refined grains pattern was high in rice and refined cereals, which have a high GI and can increase the risk of metabolic dysfunctions because they are lower in fibre and essential nutrients than whole grains [49, 50]. In this study, high intakes of refined cereals were associated with higher education and income. Refined cereals are usually fortified with micronutrients and quick to prepare but are high in added sugars and expensive [51–53]. Hence we noted a higher level of consumption among women with higher education than those with primary education. Similarly, nulliparous women were also observed to have a high intake of refined grains, which may explain their susceptibility to obesity in subsequent pregnancies due to excessive weight gain and postpartum weight retention [54, 55].

This study is likely the first to describe the dietary pattern of pregnant women using a datadriven technique such as PCA in Nigeria. We also identified factors that can influence the dietary patterns of pregnant women in this population, which can inform public health interventions, especially behavioural change communication during antenatal care. These findings are likely applicable to women across all spectrums of reproductive age and crucial for designing public health policies and advisories to guide public health interventions for women's health and quality of life in LMICs.

However, our study also has limitations. First, the dietary assessment was conducted using a qualitative food frequency questionnaire without quantifying portion sizes. Also, the dietary assessment was conducted at baseline; hence the study could not account for dietary changes during pregnancy. We relied on participants' recollection of food consumption, and bias in reporting healthy eating habits is not unlikely, particularly among women with higher education. The other limitations are those associated with the complex hierarchical nature of datadriven techniques like factor analysis.[9, 22].

### Conclusion

Prenatal nutrition impacts birth outcomes and is also an essential modifiable factor. We described five dietary patterns of pregnant women using a data-driven technique such as PCA in Nigeria. We also identified factors influencing maternal dietary patterns, which can inform public health policy and interventions, especially behavioural change communication during antenatal care.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank our research team for their dedication, support and hard workresearch nurses, laboratory scientists, research assistants Data personnel. We also wish to appreciate the health workers-doctors, nurses, and clinic staff as well as the record staff of the various health facilities for their cooperation and support in the four facilities: University College Hospital, Adeoyo Maternity Teaching Hospital, Jericho Specialist Hospital, and Saint Mary Catholic Hospital Oluyoro, Ibadan. We appreciate the input of CARTA (Consortium for Advanced Research Training for Africa) for all its training, care, support, oversight, funding and sponsorship efforts. The contributions of Dr Fagbamigbe's input into the manuscript are acknowledged. The input of Prof Rasaki Sanusi and Dr Folake Samuel of the Department od Human Nutrition and Dietetics, University of Ibadan, in developing the food frequency questionnaire is appreciated.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: Ikeola A. Adeoye. Data curation: Ikeola A. Adeoye. Formal analysis: Ikeola A. Adeoye. Funding acquisition: Ikeola A. Adeoye. Investigation: Ikeola A. Adeoye. Methodology: Ikeola A. Adeoye, Akinkunmi P. Okekunle. Project administration: Ikeola A. Adeoye. Resources: Ikeola A. Adeoye, Akinkunmi P. Okekunle. Software: Ikeola A. Adeoye, Akinkunmi P. Okekunle. Supervision: Ikeola A. Adeoye, Akinkunmi P. Okekunle. Validation: Ikeola A. Adeoye, Akinkunmi P. Okekunle. Visualization: Ikeola A. Adeoye, Akinkunmi P. Okekunle.

Writing – original draft: Ikeola A. Adeoye.

Writing - review & editing: Ikeola A. Adeoye, Akinkunmi P. Okekunle.

#### References

- Belkacemi L, Nelson DM, Desai M, Ross MG. Maternal Undernutrition Influences Placental-Fetal Development1. Biology of Reproduction. 2010; 83(3):325–31.
- Marangoni F, Cetin I, Verduci E, Canzone G, Giovannini M, Scollo P, et al. Maternal Diet and Nutrient Requirements in Pregnancy and Breastfeeding. An Italian Consensus Document. Nutrients. 2016; 8 (10):629. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu8100629 PMID: 27754423
- Berti C, Cetin I, Agostoni C, Desoye G, Devlieger R, Emmett PM, et al. Pregnancy and Infants' Outcome: Nutritional and Metabolic Implications. Critical reviews in food science and nutrition. 2016; 56 (1):82–91. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2012.745477 PMID: 24628089
- Gete DG, Waller M, Mishra GD. Effects of maternal diets on preterm birth and low birth weight: a systematic review. The British journal of nutrition. 2020; 123(4):446–61. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114519002897 PMID: 31711550</u>
- 5. Kjøllesdal MKR, Holmboe-Ottesen G. Dietary Patterns and Birth Weight-a Review. AIMS public health. 2014; 1(4):211–25. https://doi.org/10.3934/publichealth.2014.4.211 PMID: 29546087
- Okubo H, Miyake Y, Sasaki S, Tanaka K, Murakami K, Hirota Y, et al. Maternal dietary patterns in pregnancy and fetal growth in Japan: the Osaka Maternal and Child Health Study. The British journal of nutrition. 2012; 107(10):1526–33. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114511004636 PMID: 21929833
- Catalano P, deMouzon SH. Maternal obesity and metabolic risk to the offspring: why lifestyle interventions may have not achieved the desired outcomes. International journal of obesity (2005). 2015; 39 (4):642–9.
- Chu SY, Callaghan WM, Kim SY, Schmid CH, Lau J, England LJ, et al. Maternal obesity and risk of gestational diabetes mellitus. Diabetes care. 2007; 30(8):2070–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.2337/dc06-2559a</u> PMID: 17416786
- 9. Hu FB. Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. Current opinion in lipidology. 2002; 13(1):3–9. https://doi.org/10.1097/00041433-200202000-00002 PMID: 11790957
- Hu FB, Rimm E, Smith-Warner SA, Feskanich D, Stampfer MJ, Ascherio A, et al. Reproducibility and validity of dietary patterns assessed with a food-frequency questionnaire. Am J Clin Nutr. 1999; 69 (2):243–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/69.2.243 PMID: 9989687
- 11. Sanchez-Villegas A, Martínez de Lapiscina E. A Healthy Diet for Your Heart and Your Brain. 2018. p. 169–97.
- Hu FB. Plant-based foods and prevention of cardiovascular disease: an overview. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2003; 78(3):544S–51S. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/78.3.544S PMID: 12936948
- Shin D, Lee KW, Song WO. Dietary Patterns during Pregnancy Are Associated with Risk of Gestational Diabetes Mellitus. Nutrients. 2015; 7(11):9369–82. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7115472 PMID: 26569302
- Schoenaker DA, Soedamah-Muthu SS, Mishra GD. The association between dietary factors and gestational hypertension and pre-eclampsia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. BMC medicine. 2014; 12:157. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-014-0157-7 PMID: 25241701
- Adeoye I.A., Bamgboye E.A., Omigbodun A.O. The Ibadan Pregnancy Cohort Study (IbPCS), a Prospective Cohort Study Protocol. African journal of biomedical research. 2022; 25(2):273–80.
- Lara KM, Levitan EB, Gutierrez OM, Shikany JM, Safford MM, Judd SE, et al. Dietary Patterns and Incident Heart Failure in U.S. Adults Without Known Coronary Disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019; 73 (16):2036–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2019.01.067 PMID: 31023426
- Stricker MD, Onland-Moret NC, Boer JMA, van der Schouw YT, Verschuren WMM, May AM, et al. Dietary patterns derived from principal component- and k-means cluster analysis: Long-term association with coronary heart disease and stroke. Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases. 2013; 23 (3):250–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2012.02.006 PMID: 22647416
- Fung TT, Stampfer MJ, Manson JE, Rexrode KM, Willett WC, Hu FB. Prospective Study of Major Dietary Patterns and Stroke Risk in Women. Stroke. 2004; 35(9):2014–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/01.STR.</u> 0000135762.89154.92 PMID: 15232120

- Goldsmith GA. Relationships between nutrition and pregnancy as observed in recent surveys in Newfoundland. American journal of public health and the nation's health. 1950; 40(8):953–9. https://doi.org/ 10.2105/ajph.40.8.953 PMID: 15425676
- Veena SR, Gale CR, Krishnaveni GV, Kehoe SH, Srinivasan K, Fall CH. Association between maternal nutritional status in pregnancy and offspring cognitive function during childhood and adolescence; a systematic review. BMC pregnancy and childbirth. 2016; 16:220. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-016-1011-z PMID: 27520466</u>
- Koletzko B, Godfrey KM, Poston L, Szajewska H, van Goudoever JB, de Waard M, et al. Nutrition During Pregnancy, Lactation and Early Childhood and its Implications for Maternal and Long-Term Child Health: The Early Nutrition Project Recommendations. Annals of nutrition & metabolism. 2019; 74 (2):93–106. https://doi.org/10.1159/000496471 PMID: 30673669
- 22. Nwaru BI, Onyeka IN, Ndiokwelu C, Esangbedo DO, Ngwu EK, Okolo SN. Maternal and child dietary patterns and their determinants in Nigeria. Maternal & child nutrition. 2015; 11(3):283–96. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/mcn.12011</u> PMID: 23167662
- Sanusi RA, Wang D, Ariyo O, Eyinla TE, Tassy M, Eldridge AL, et al. Food Sources of Key Nutrients, Meal and Dietary Patterns among Children Aged 4–13 Years in Ibadan, Nigeria: Findings from the 2019 Kids Nutrition and Health Study. Nutrients 2022; 14(200).
- 24. FO S, RA A, IA A, A O. Nutritional Status, Dietary Patterns and associated factors among out-of-school Adolescents in Ibadan, Nigeria. World Nutrition. 2021; 12(1):51–64.
- P U, A O. Association of Dietary Patterns and Overweight among University Students Southeast, Nigeria Nigerian Journal of Nutritional Sciences. 2020; 41(1):15–26.
- 26. Ikudayisi AA. Exploring Urban Dietary Pattern in Nigerian Households: A Case for Nutrient Adequacy Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports. 2020; 13(4):24–34.
- Stookey JD, Constant F, Gardner CD, Popkin BM. Replacing sweetened caloric beverages with drinking water is associated with lower energy intake. Obesity (Silver Spring, Md). 2007; 15(12):3013–22. https://doi.org/10.1038/oby.2007.359 PMID: 18198310
- Gamba RJ, Leung CW, Petito L, Abrams B, Laraia BA. Sugar sweetened beverage consumption during pregnancy is associated with lower diet quality and greater total energy intake. PloS one. 2019; 14(4): e0215686. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215686 PMID: 31022225
- Malik VS, Schulze MB, Hu FB. Intake of sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain: a systematic review. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2006; 84(2):274–88. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/84.1.</u> 274 PMID: 16895873
- Malik VS, Pan A, Willett WC, Hu FB. Sugar-sweetened beverages and weight gain in children and adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2013; 98 (4):1084–102. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.113.058362 PMID: 23966427
- Schulze MB, Liu S, Rimm EB, Manson JE, Willett WC, Hu FB. Glycemic index, glycemic load, and dietary fiber intake and incidence of type 2 diabetes in younger and middle-aged women. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2004; 80(2):348–56. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/80.2.348 PMID: 15277155
- 32. AlEssa HB, Ley SH, Rosner B, Malik VS, Willett WC, Campos H, et al. High Fiber and Low Starch Intakes Are Associated with Circulating Intermediate Biomarkers of Type 2 Diabetes among Women. The Journal of nutrition. 2016; 146(2):306–17. https://doi.org/10.3945/jn.115.219915 PMID: 26764316
- Xi B, Huang Y, Reilly KH, Li S, Zheng R, Barrio-Lopez MT, et al. Sugar-sweetened beverages and risk of hypertension and CVD: a dose–response meta-analysis. British Journal of Nutrition. 2015; 113 (5):709–17. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114514004383 PMID: 25735740
- Malik VS, Popkin BM, Bray GA, Després J-P, Willett WC, Hu FB. Sugar-Sweetened Beverages and Risk of Metabolic Syndrome and Type 2 Diabetes: A meta-analysis. Diabetes care. 2010; 33(11):2477– 83. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc10-1079 PMID: 20693348
- Clarke ME, Gibbard WB. Overview of fetal alcohol spectrum disorders for mental health professionals. Can Child Adolesc Psychiatr Rev. 2003; 12(3):57–63. PMID: 19030526
- Popova S, Lange S, Probst C, Shield K, Kraicer-Melamed H, Ferreira-Borges C, et al. Actual and predicted prevalence of alcohol consumption during pregnancy in the WHO African Region. Tropical medicine & international health: TM & IH. 2016; 21(10):1209–39. https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.12755 PMID: 27429168
- 37. Addila AE, Bisetegn TA, Gete YK, Mengistu MY, Beyene GM. Alcohol consumption and its associated factors among pregnant women in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis' as given in the submission system. Substance abuse treatment, prevention, and policy. 2020; 15(1):29. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13011-020-00269-3 PMID: 32293479
- 38. WHO. Global status report on alcohol and health World Health Organization. 2018.
- **39.** WHO. Global alcohol action plan 2022–2030. World Health Organization. 2021.

- 40. Agiresaasi A, Nassanga G, Maina GW, Kiguli J, Nabiwemba E, Tumwesigye NM. Various forms of alcohol use and their predictors among pregnant women in post conflict northern Uganda: a cross sectional study. Substance abuse treatment, prevention, and policy. 2021; 16(1):3. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13011-020-00337-8 PMID: 33397420</u>
- Da Pilma Lekettey J, Dako-Gyeke P, Agyemang SA, Aikins M. Alcohol consumption among pregnant women in James Town Community, Accra, Ghana. Reprod Health. 2017; 14(1):120. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12978-017-0384-4</u> PMID: 28950877
- **42.** Mitchell S, Kendall CCW, Augustin LSA, Sahye-Pudaruth S, Meija SB, Chiavaroli L, et al. Effect of Pulses as Part of a Low Glycemic Index Diet on Glycemic Control and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Type 2 Diabetes. Canadian Journal of Diabetes. 2012; 36(5):S19.
- 43. Gao R, Duff W, Chizen D, Zello GA, Chilibeck PD. The Effect of a Low Glycemic Index Pulse-Based Diet on Insulin Sensitivity, Insulin Resistance, Bone Resorption and Cardiovascular Risk Factors during Bed Rest. Nutrients. 2019; 11(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11092012 PMID: 31461862
- 44. Tonstad S, Malik N, Haddad E. A high-fibre bean-rich diet versus a low-carbohydrate diet for obesity. Journal of human nutrition and dietetics: the official journal of the British Dietetic Association. 2014; 27 Suppl 2:109–16. https://doi.org/10.1111/jhn.12118 PMID: 23627924
- 45. Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Augustin LS, Mitchell S, Sahye-Pudaruth S, Blanco Mejia S, et al. Effect of legumes as part of a low glycemic index diet on glycemic control and cardiovascular risk factors in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. Archives of internal medicine. 2012; 172(21):1653– 60. https://doi.org/10.1001/2013.jamainternmed.70 PMID: 23089999
- Popoola J, Ojuederie O, C O, A A. Neglected and Underutilized Legume Crops: Improvement and Future Prospects. Recent Advances in Grain Crops Research. 2019.
- 47. Subuola F WY, Kehinde T. Processing and Utilization of Legumes in the Tropics, Trends in Vital Food and Control Engineering, 2012.
- Duthie SJ, Duthie GG, Russell WR, Kyle JAM, Macdiarmid JI, Rungapamestry V, et al. Effect of increasing fruit and vegetable intake by dietary intervention on nutritional biomarkers and attitudes to dietary change: a randomised trial. European journal of nutrition. 2018; 57(5):1855–72. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00394-017-1469-0 PMID: 28560503
- Della Pepa G, Vetrani C, Vitale M, Riccardi G. Wholegrain Intake and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes: Evidence from Epidemiological and Intervention Studies. Nutrients. 2018; 10(9):1288. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10091288 PMID</u>: 30213062
- Seal CJ, Brownlee IA. Whole-grain foods and chronic disease: evidence from epidemiological and intervention studies. The Proceedings of the Nutrition Society. 2015; 74(3):313–9. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0029665115002104 PMID: 26062574
- McNulty H, Eaton-Evans J, Woulahan G, Strain J, editors. The contribution of breakfast to daily micronutrient intakes of adults in Great Britain. PROCEEDINGS-NUTRITION SOCIETY OF LONDON; 1994: Cambridge University Press.
- 52. Albertson AM, Thompson D, Franko DL, Kleinman RE, Barton BA, Crockett SJ. Consumption of breakfast cereal is associated with positive health outcomes: evidence from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Growth and Health Study. Nutrition research (New York, NY). 2008; 28(11):744–52.
- 53. Williamson C. Breakfast cereals-why all the bad press? Nutrition Bulletin. 2010; 35(1):30-3.
- Gillman MW. Interrupting Intergenerational Cycles of Maternal Obesity. Nestle Nutrition Institute workshop series. 2016; 85:59–69. https://doi.org/10.1159/000439487 PMID: 27088333
- Gunderson EP, Abrams B. Epidemiology of gestational weight gain and body weight changes after pregnancy. Epidemiologic reviews. 1999; 21(2):261–75. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.epirev.</u> a018001 PMID: 10682262