



Original Article

Factors associated with the recovery of activities of daily living after hospitalization for acute medical illness: a prospective cohort study

RYOHEI GOTO, RPT, PhD¹⁾, HIROKI WATANABE, RPT, MS²⁾, MADOKA TSUTSUMI, MPH³⁾,
TAKESHIGE KANAMORI, RPT⁴⁾, TETSUHIRO MAENO, MD, PhD¹⁾, HISAKO YANAGI, MD, PhD^{2)*}

¹⁾ Department of Primary Care and Medical Education, Graduate School of Comprehensive Human Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Japan

²⁾ Department of Medical Science and Welfare, Graduate School of Comprehensive Human Sciences, University of Tsukuba: 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan

³⁾ Himawari Home Clinic, Japan

⁴⁾ Department of Rehabilitation, Tsukuba Memorial Hospital, Japan

Abstract. [Purpose] This study investigated the factors associated with the recovery rate of activities of daily living of elderly patients hospitalized for acute medical illness. [Subjects and Methods] A total of 238 elderly patients were enrolled in this study. The main outcome measure was the functional independence measure score which was used as an assessment of activities of daily living. The participants were divided into 2 groups based on their activities of daily living before onset: the independent group and the partially dependent group. The participants of each group were further divided into 2 subgroups based on recovery rates of activities of daily living: the high-recovery group (80%) and the low-recovery group (<80%). The factors associated with the recovery rate were examined using multivariate logistic regression analysis. [Results] The factors associated with the recovery rate were: days of inactivity and cognitive status at the start of rehabilitation for the independent group, and days of inactivity and nutritional status at the start of rehabilitation for the partially dependent group. [Conclusion] The results of this study suggest that the important factors for return to normal activities of daily living are: days of inactivity and cognitive status for the independent group; and days of inactivity and management of nutrition for the partially dependent group.

Key words: Activities of daily living, Elderly, Hospitalization

(This article was submitted Apr. 21, 2016, and was accepted Jun. 9, 2016)

INTRODUCTION

Acute medical illness and prolonged inactivity are associated with a significant decline in functional ability known as “disuse syndrome”. Disuse syndrome is caused by inactivity, immobility, and prolonged bed rest, and patients exhibit the following symptoms: musculoskeletal, cardiovascular and pulmonary, genitourinary and gastrointestinal, metabolic and endocrinal, and cognitive and behavioral¹⁾. Furthermore, hospitalization caused by acute medical illness is stressful for elderly patients and is associated with a decline in activities of daily living (ADL)^{2, 3)}. For elderly patients in particular, the decline in ADL during hospitalization is a large burden on both the patients themselves and their caregiver. Therefore, it is important to try to prevent the decline of ADL during hospitalization.

Previous studies have reported the factors related to prognosis of ADL in stroke and post-hip-fracture patients include: old age^{4, 5)}, cognitive impairment^{4, 6)}, and lower pre-rehabilitation functional status⁶⁻⁸⁾. Few reports have focused on the factors related to prognosis of ADL of elderly patients with acute medical illness⁹⁾. Furthermore, the majority of reports have examined the long-term prognosis (from 1 month to 1 year or more), while very few reports have examined short-term

*Corresponding author. Hisako Yanagi (E-mail: hyanagi@md.tsukuba.ac.jp)

©2016 The Society of Physical Therapy Science. Published by IPEC Inc.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives (by-nc-nd) License <<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>>.

prognosis, such as the time of discharge. However, the factors related to ADL recovery and duration of hospitalization remain unclear. Clarifying these factors would be helpful for the development of prophylactic approaches to patient ADL recovery and for informing decisions regarding hospital discharge.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate the factors associated with ADL recovery of elderly patients with disuse syndrome who had undergone rehabilitation following acute medical illness.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

This study enrolled patients of age 65 years old who were hospitalized at Tsukuba Memorial Hospital from August 1, 2012 to March 31, 2014, and who had undergone a rehabilitation program for disuse syndrome due to acute medical illness. Patients were excluded from this study for the following reasons: total dependence in ADL before onset (defined as <50 points of the motor functional independence measure [FIM])¹⁰; assessment difficulties during hospitalization; or absence of consent.

All the patients and their families read and signed the written informed consent documents. This study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines proposed in the Declaration of Helsinki. Furthermore, this study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Tsukuba, Japan (631).

In order to elucidate the factors that are associated with the recovery rate of ADL during hospitalization, the various parameters that were collected within 72 hours from the start of rehabilitation (defined as the day when the instruction of rehabilitation was given by physician) were examined.

Each subject's main characteristics were collected from medical records, including: age, gender, and length of time from onset to start of rehabilitation (days of inactivity). In addition, length of stay (defined as length of hospitalization), amount of rehabilitation, and ADL were collected at discharge. ADL before onset was assessed in an interview of the patient or their caregivers at the start of rehabilitation. The following data was also evaluated: FIM score (total, motor, and cognitive); muscle strength (grip strength); range of motion (shoulder, hip, knee, and ankle); presence or absence of orthostatic hypotension, constipation, and urinary incontinence; malnutrition (Mini Nutritional Assessment [MNA])¹¹; cognitive function (Mini-Mental State Examination [MMSE])¹²; depressive state (Geriatric Depression Scale [GDS])¹³; and balance (Functional Balance Scale [FBS])¹⁴.

Functional disability was assessed using the FIM score, which can measure both physical and cognitive ability. FIM comprises 13 motor items, and 5 cognitive items. The items are scored on 7-point ordinal scales based on the amount of assistance required. The minimum score on the FIM is 18, which indicates a low level of functionality, while the maximum score is 126, which indicates a very high level of functionality¹⁵.

Participants were classified into 2 groups: the independent group—those independent in ADL before onset (80 point of motor FIM score before onset); and the dependent group—those partially dependent in ADL before onset (<80 and 50 point of motor FIM score before onset)¹⁰. The recovery rate of the total FIM score for each of the groups was calculated as ([total FIM score at discharge minus total FIM score at the start of rehabilitation] divided by [total FIM score before onset minus total FIM score at the start of rehabilitation]). Patients with a recovery rate of FIM score 80% were categorized as the high recovery group (high group), and those with a recovery rate of FIM score <80% were categorized as the low recovery group (low group).

Student's *t*-test was used to compare the means of quantitative variables of the 2 groups. The χ^2 test was used to examine the significance of the association between qualitative variables of the two groups. Variables were removed if collinearity diagnostics showed correlation coefficients between variables of 0.7¹⁶ or in cases where assessment of many patients was difficult. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to determine the factors associated with the recovery rate of the total FIM score. The level of statistical significance was chosen as $p < 0.05$. All statistical analysis were performed using SPSS 21.0 software for Windows.

RESULTS

A total of 422 elderly patients received rehabilitation for disuse syndrome following acute medical illness. Among these patients, 194 patients were excluded from the study, 58 were difficult to assess, 45 did not provide consent, and 81 were totally dependent in ADL before onset. Of the remaining 238 patients, 130 (54.6%) were categorized as independent and 108 (45.4%) were categorized as dependent.

The average age (\pm SD) of the subjects was 81.3 ± 8.2 years (independent group: 83.9 ± 7.8 years, dependent group: 79.2 ± 7.8 years), and 58.4% were female (independent group: 50.8%, dependent group: 67.6%). Average days of inactivity was 4.4 ± 3.0 days (independent group: 4.3 ± 2.7 days, dependent group: 4.5 ± 3.3 days), and average length of stay was 20.5 ± 13.6 days (independent group: 20.0 ± 12.2 days, dependent group: 20.9 ± 14.5 days) (Table 1).

A comparison of the high group and low group of the independent group showed significant differences in days of activity, length of stay, cognitive FIM score at the start of rehabilitation, total FIM score at the start of rehabilitation, MNA, MMSE, and FBS (Table 2).

A comparison of the high group and low group of the dependent group showed significant differences in days of inactivity, motor FIM score at the start of rehabilitation, total FIM score at the start of rehabilitation, MNA, MMSE, and FBS (Table 2).

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patients

		Participants (n=238)	Independent in ADL before onset (n=130)		Partial dependent in ADL before onset (n=108)	
			Recovery	High group (n=52)	Low group (n=78)	High group (n=46)
Age	(years)	81.3 ± 8.2	79.1 ± 7.3	79.2 ± 8.2	83.4 ± 7.9	84.3 ± 7.7
Gender						
Male		99 (41.6)	29 (55.8)	35 (44.9)	11 (23.9)	24 (38.7)
Female		139 (58.4)	23 (44.2)	43 (55.1)	35 (76.1)	38 (61.3)
Diagnosis (system)						
Digestive		103 (43.3)	30 (57.7)	31 (39.7)	20 (43.5)	22 (35.5)
Respiratory		78 (32.8)	12 (23.1)	26 (33.3)	17 (37.0)	23 (37.1)
Circulatory		20 (8.4)	2 (3.8)	6 (7.7)	4 (8.7)	8 (12.9)
Urinary		19 (8.0)	2 (3.8)	9 (11.5)	2 (4.3)	6 (9.7)
Metabolic		6 (2.5)	3 (5.8)	1 (1.3)	2 (4.3)	0 (0.0)
Other		12 (5.0)	3 (5.8)	5 (6.4)	1 (2.2)	3 (4.8)
Days of inactivity	(days)	4.4 ± 3.0	3.2 ± 2.8	5.4 ± 3.3*	3.3 ± 1.9	5.0 ± 2.9§
Length of stay	(days)	20.5 ± 13.6	17.7 ± 10.2	23.8 ± 17.0*	18.6 ± 10.9	21.1 ± 13.1
Amount of rehabilitation	(min/day)	57.4 ± 17.1	55.3 ± 17.0	58.0 ± 17.9	58.7 ± 16.1	57.4 ± 16.9

Mean ± SD, n (%).

Days of inactivity: length of time from onset to start of rehabilitation; ADL: activities of daily living; FIM: functional independence measure.

*p<0.05 (high group vs. low group in independent group)

§p<0.05 (high group vs. low group in dependent group)

The changes of FIM score at three time points are shown in [Table 3](#).

In multivariate logistic regression analysis, the independent variables were the clinical variables that were found to have significant difference in univariate analysis of the two groups. In addition, age, gender, and amount of rehabilitation were included in the independent variables as adjusted variables. The dependent variable was the recovery rate of total FIM score. Cognitive FIM score of the independent group and motor FIM score of the dependent group were shown to have collinearity with the total FIM score. Therefore, cognitive FIM score of the independent group and motor FIM score of the dependent group were excluded from the logistic regression analysis. Logistic regression analysis revealed days of inactivity, and MMSE at the start of rehabilitation were significantly associated with the recovery rate of the total FIM score for the independent group ([Table 4-1](#)), and that days of inactivity, and MNA at the start of rehabilitation were significantly associated with the recovery rate of the total FIM score for the dependent group ([Table 4-2](#)).

DISCUSSION

In the independent and dependent groups, 52 patients (40%) and 46 patients (43%) achieved a recovery rate of FIM score 80%, respectively. In the multivariate analysis, factors associated with the recovery rate of FIM score for the independent group were days of inactivity, and MMSE at the start of rehabilitation. While for the dependent group the factors were days of inactivity, and MNA at the start of rehabilitation. Taken together these results indicate the important factors for the recovery rate of FIM score at discharge.

For both the independent group and dependent group, days of inactivity was found to be one of the important factors associated with the recovery rate of the FIM score. Hospitalization for acute medical illness is a stressful and potentially hazardous event for elderly patients^{17, 18}. It is understood that muscle strength, endurance, and cognitive function are reduced by inactivity resulting from acute medical illness. A previous study investigated the effectiveness and feasibility of early physical rehabilitation, and its importance has been reported for patients with various diseases¹⁹⁻²¹. Furthermore, our previous study showed that early start of rehabilitation was an important factor for the recovery of ADL of elderly pneumonia patients²². In the present study, there was no significant difference in the total FIM score before onset between the high and low recovery groups of both the independent and dependent groups. However, there was a significant difference in the total FIM score at the start of rehabilitation. This strongly suggests that ADL is likely to decline in the period of hospitalization before the start of rehabilitation for the low groups. Since days of inactivity of the low groups was longer than that of the high groups, the results of this study led us to hypothesize that early rehabilitation prevents ADL decline, and early rehabilitation was one of the factors associated with the recovery rate of the FIM score.

Our results further revealed that cognitive function was also associated with the recovery rate of the FIM score, but only

Table 2. Comparison of the high and low recovery groups at the start of rehabilitation

Recovery	Independent in ADL before onset (n=130)		Partial dependent in ADL before onset (n=108)	
	High group (n=52)	Low group (n=78)	High group (n=46)	Low group (n=62)
Muscle strength (kg)				
Grip strength	20.6 ± 8.0	16.7 ± 8.7*	12.6 ± 8.4	11.5 ± 6.8
Range of motion (°)				
Shoulder flexion	161.3 ± 22.3	154.4 ± 24.3	142.6 ± 30.9	139.9 ± 29.0
Shoulder extension	31.4 ± 12.2	29.8 ± 13.1	27.3 ± 14.2	30.0 ± 14.9
Hip flexion	116.4 ± 16.8	118.4 ± 9.5	114.1 ± 11.5	113.1 ± 13.4
Hip extension	7.1 ± 5.8	6.0 ± 6.9	0.2 ± 13.2	-2.1 ± 9.2
Knee flexion	132.2 ± 11.4	131.6 ± 12.5	129.1 ± 13.1	126.5 ± 13.3
Knee extension	-1.6 ± 3.7	-2.4 ± 3.8	-7.2 ± 10.0	-7.3 ± 10.7
Ankle flexion	47.8 ± 11.2	45.8 ± 12.2	41.9 ± 13.3	37.5 ± 12.8
Ankle extension	11.6 ± 6.8	9.5 ± 7.6	7.5 ± 8.3	8.1 ± 9.5
Orthostatic hypotension				
Yes	1 (1.9)	6 (7.7)	2 (4.3)	5 (8.1)
Constipation				
Yes	11 (21.2)	20 (25.6)	13 (28.3)	15 (24.2)
MNA (points)	18.9 ± 4.1	14.4 ± 5.9*	16.3 ± 3.4	13.1 ± 5.2 [§]
MMSE (points)	25.1 ± 4.4	21.8 ± 6.1*	19.2 ± 5.2	16.8 ± 4.9 [§]
GDS (points)	4.0 ± 3.1	5.1 ± 3.7	3.5 ± 3.3	3.9 ± 2.4
FBS (points)	37.2 ± 15.3	28.0 ± 16.9*	17.7 ± 11.8	12.3 ± 10.9 [§]
Incontinence of urine				
Yes	9 (17.3)	10 (12.8)	10 (21.7)	19 (30.6)

Mean ± SD, n (%).

ADL: activities of daily living; FIM: functional independence measure; MNA: mini nutritional assessment; MMSE: mini mental status examination; GDS: geriatric depression scale; FBS: functional balance scale.

*p<0.05 (high group vs. low group in independent group)

§p<0.05 (high group vs. low group in dependent group)

in the independent group. Previous studies have shown that cognitive function is associated with rehabilitation effectiveness or relative functional gain^{6, 23, 24}). Because many rehabilitation techniques require normal cognition and patient cooperation, cognitive status must be considered when determining the rehabilitation aims, establishing treatment strategies, and predicting outcomes²⁵). Therefore, it is our opinion that the routine use of cognitive assessment for all patients at the start of rehabilitation is very important.

A previous study showed that malnutrition was associated with weaker muscle strength and future decline in muscle strength of the elderly²⁶). Furthermore, the prognosis for ADL in rehabilitation was reported to be poor for cases with malnutrition²⁷⁻³⁰). In our study, nutritional status at the start of rehabilitation was one of the factors associated with the recovery rate of the FIM score for the dependent group. Therefore, management of nutrition from an early stage is likely to be important for elderly patients who are partially dependent in ADL before onset.

There were a number of limitations to this study. First, it included only patients who had undergone a rehabilitation program, and no adjustment was made for the severity of disease. Thus, there is a possibility of selection bias, because not all patients receive rehabilitation. However, the amount of rehabilitation did not differ between the high group and low group. Therefore, it is our opinion that this limitation had minimal effect on the results in this study. A second limitation of this study was its single-center design, meaning that only a limited number of results were obtained. A multi-center, larger sample size study is needed in order to confirm our results.

In this study days of inactivity and cognitive status for the independent group, and days of inactivity and management of nutritional status for the partially dependent group were found to be important factors for a patient's return to their former level of ADL following hospitalization. These findings are helpful when considering prophylactic approaches to patient ADL recovery and for making more informed decisions regarding hospital discharge.

Table 3. Changes of FIM score at three time points

		Before onset	Starting rehabilitation	Discharge
Participants (n=238)	(points)			
Motor		73.6 ± 18.9	44.8 ± 21.8	63.1 ± 20.8
Cognitive		28.1 ± 7.7	24.7 ± 9.2	26.0 ± 8.7
Total		101.7 ± 25.0	69.3 ± 28.6	88.9 ± 27.5
Independent in ADL before onset (n=130)				
• High group	(points)			
Motor		87.7 ± 3.7	54.3 ± 21.4	84.8 ± 6.0
Cognitive		33.7 ± 2.6	31.7 ± 4.9	33.3 ± 3.0
Total		121.4 ± 4.7	89.0 ± 24.5	117.9 ± 7.3
• Low group	(points)			
Motor		86.6 ± 3.5	52.6 ± 21.0	67.5 ± 16.2
Cognitive		33.1 ± 2.6	27.6 ± 8.4	28.2 ± 7.9
Total		119.7 ± 5.2	80.8 ± 26.5	95.5 ± 21.8
Partially dependent in ADL before onset (n=108)				
• High group	(points)			
Motor		60.6 ± 15.0	37.4 ± 17.8	59.4 ± 14.4
Cognitive		22.9 ± 6.9	20.2 ± 7.9	22.5 ± 7.1
Total		83.5 ± 19.2	57.8 ± 22.3	81.7 ± 18.6
• Low group	(points)			
Motor		55.2 ± 18.5	30.6 ± 15.0	42.1 ± 16.6
Cognitive		21.6 ± 7.6	18.7 ± 8.4	19.7 ± 8.0
Total		76.7 ± 22.2	48.2 ± 21.0	61.7 ± 21.5

Mean ± SD, n (%).

FIM: functional independence measure; ADL: activities of daily living

Table 4-1. Factors associated with the recovery rate of ADL of the independent group

	B	SE	Odds ratio	95% CI
Independent variables				
Days of inactivity*	-0.24	0.10	0.79	0.65–0.95
MMSE*	0.11	0.05	1.12	1.02–1.23
Constant	-2.24	1.21	0.11	

Adjusted variables include age, gender, amount of rehabilitation, total FIM at start of rehabilitation, grip strength and MNA.

Days of inactivity: length of time between onset and start of rehabilitation; MMSE: minimal status examination; MNA: mini nutritional assessment; Independent group: independent in ADL before onset.

*p<0.05

Table 4-2. Factors associated with the recovery rate of ADL of the dependent group

	B	SE	Odds ratio	95% CI
Independent variables				
Days of inactivity [§]	-0.29	0.11	0.75	0.60–0.92
MNA [§]	0.14	0.05	1.15	1.04–1.27
Constant	-1.22	0.90	0.30	

Adjusted variables include age, gender, amount of rehabilitation, total FIM at start of rehabilitation, and MMSE.

Days of inactivity: length of time between onset and start of rehabilitation; MNA: mini nutritional assessment; MMSE: mini-mental status examination; Dependent group: partial dependent in ADL before onset

§p<0.05

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the patients who participated in this study and the rehabilitation staff of Tsukuba Memorial Hospital, Tsukuba, Japan, for their support of this study.

REFERENCES

- 1) Halar EM, Bell KR: Immobility and Inactivity: Physiological and Functional Changes, Prevention, and Treatment. In: *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Principles and Practice*, 4th ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2005, pp 1447–1467.
- 2) Volpato S, Cavalieri M, Sioulis F, et al.: Predictive value of the short physical performance battery following hospitalization in older patients. *J Gerontol A Bio Sci Med Sci*, 2011, 66: 89–96.
- 3) Boyd CM, Xue QL, Guralnik JM, et al.: Hospitalization and development of dependence in activities of daily living in a cohort of disabled older women: the Women's Health and Aging Study I. *J Gerontol A Bio Sci Med Sci*, 2005, 60: 888–893. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 4) Denti L, Agosti M, Franceschini M: Outcome predictors of rehabilitation for first stroke in the elderly. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med*, 2008, 44: 3–11. [[Medline](#)]
- 5) Koh GC, Chen C, Cheong A, et al.: Trade-offs between effectiveness and efficiency in stroke rehabilitation. *Int J Stroke*, 2012, 7: 606–614. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 6) Hershkovitz A, Kalandariov Z, Hermush V, et al.: Factors affecting short-term rehabilitation outcomes of disabled elderly patients with proximal hip fracture. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 2007, 88: 916–921. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 7) Micieli G, Cavallini A, Quaglini S, Guideline Application for Decision Making in Ischemic Stroke (GLADIS) Study Group: Guideline compliance improves stroke outcome: a preliminary study in 4 districts in the Italian region of Lombardia. *Stroke*, 2002, 33: 1341–1347. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 8) Lieberman D, Friger M, Lieberman D: Rehabilitation outcome following hip fracture surgery in elderly diabetics: a prospective cohort study of 224 patients. *Disabil Rehabil*, 2007, 29: 339–345. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 9) Sager MA, Franke T, Inouye SK, et al.: Functional outcomes of acute medical illness and hospitalization in older persons. *Arch Intern Med*, 1996, 156: 645–652. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 10) Tsuji T, Sonoda S, Domen K, et al.: ADL structure for stroke patients in Japan based on the functional independence measure. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*, 1995, 74: 432–438. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 11) Vellas B, Villars H, Abellan G, et al.: Overview of the MNA—its history and challenges. *J Nutr Health Aging*, 2006, 10: 456–463, discussion 463–465. [[Medline](#)]
- 12) Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR: "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res*, 1975, 12: 189–198. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 13) Yesavage JA, Brink TL, Rose TL, et al.: Development and validation of a geriatric depression screening scale: a preliminary report. *J Psychiatr Res*, 1982–1983, 17: 37–49. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 14) Berg KO: Measuring balance in the elderly: preliminary development of an instrument. *Physiother Can*, 1989, 41: 304–311. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 15) Mutai H, Furukawa T, Araki K, et al.: Factors associated with functional recovery and home discharge in stroke patients admitted to a convalescent rehabilitation ward. *Geriatr Gerontol Int*, 2012, 12: 215–222. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 16) Schiemanck SK, Kwakkel G, Post MW, et al.: Predicting long-term independency in activities of daily living after middle cerebral artery stroke: does information from MRI have added predictive value compared with clinical information? *Stroke*, 2006, 37: 1050–1054. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 17) Covinsky KE, Palmer RM, Fortinsky RH, et al.: Loss of independence in activities of daily living in older adults hospitalized with medical illnesses: increased vulnerability with age. *J Am Geriatr Soc*, 2003, 51: 451–458. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 18) Gill TM, Gahbauer EA, Han L, et al.: Factors associated with recovery of prehospital function among older persons admitted to a nursing home with disability after an acute hospitalization. *J Gerontol A Bio Sci Med Sci*, 2009, 64: 1296–1303. [[CrossRef](#)]
- 19) Kosse NM, Dutmer AL, Dasenbrock L, et al.: Effectiveness and feasibility of early physical rehabilitation programs for geriatric hospitalized patients: a systematic review. *BMC Geriatr*, 2013, 13: 107. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 20) Abizanda P, León M, Domínguez-Martín L, et al.: Effects of a short-term occupational therapy intervention in an acute geriatric unit. A randomized clinical trial. *Maturitas*, 2011, 69: 273–278. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 21) Blanc-Bisson C, Dechamps A, Gouspillou G, et al.: A randomized controlled trial on early physiotherapy intervention versus usual care in acute care unit for elderly: potential benefits in light of dietary intakes. *J Nutr Health Aging*, 2008, 12: 395–399. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 22) Goto R, Watanabe H, Tanaka N, et al.: Factors associated with recovery of activities of daily living in elderly pneumonia patients. *Gen Med (Los Angel)*, 2015, 16: 68–75.
- 23) Luk JK, Chiu PK, Chu LW: Rehabilitation of older Chinese patients with different cognitive functions: how do they differ in outcome? *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 2008, 89: 1714–1719. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 24) Rolland Y, Pillard F, Lauwers-Cances V, et al.: Rehabilitation outcome of elderly patients with hip fracture and cognitive impairment. *Disabil Rehabil*, 2004, 26: 425–431. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 25) Novack TA, Haban G, Graham K, et al.: Prediction of stroke rehabilitation outcome from psychologic screening. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, 1987, 68: 729–734. [[Medline](#)]
- 26) Schalk BW, Deeg DJ, Penninx BW, et al.: Serum albumin and muscle strength: a longitudinal study in older men and women. *J Am Geriatr Soc*, 2005, 53: 1331–1338. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 27) Wakabayashi H, Sashika H: Malnutrition is associated with poor rehabilitation outcome in elderly inpatients with hospital-associated deconditioning a prospective cohort study. *J Rehabil Med*, 2014, 46: 277–282. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 28) Anker SD, John M, Pedersen PU, et al. DGEM (German Society for Nutritional Medicine) ESPEN (European Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition): ESPEN Guidelines on Enteral Nutrition: cardiology and pulmonology. *Clin Nutr*, 2006, 25: 311–318. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 29) Davis JP, Wong AA, Schluter PJ, et al.: Impact of pre-morbid undernutrition on outcome in stroke patients. *Stroke*, 2004, 35: 1930–1934. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]
- 30) FOOD Trial Collaboration: Poor nutritional status on admission predicts poor outcomes after stroke: observational data from the FOOD trial. *Stroke*, 2003, 34: 1450–1456. [[Medline](#)] [[CrossRef](#)]