### OPEN

# An association of platelet indices with blood pressure in Beijing adults

## Applying quadratic inference function for a longitudinal study

Kun Yang, MSc, MD<sup>a,b</sup>, Lixin Tao, PhD<sup>a,b</sup>, Gehendra Mahara, PhD<sup>a,b</sup>, Yan Yan, PhD<sup>c</sup>, Kai Cao, MSc, MD<sup>a,b</sup>, Xiangtong Liu, PhD<sup>a,b</sup>, Sipeng Chen, MSc, MD<sup>a,b</sup>, Qin Xu, MSc, MD<sup>a,b</sup>, Long Liu, MSc, MD<sup>a,b</sup>, Chao Wang, MSc, MD<sup>a,b</sup>, Fangfang Huang, PhD<sup>a,b</sup>, Jie Zhang, PhD<sup>a,b</sup>, Aoshuang Yan, PhD<sup>d</sup>, Zhao Ping, BD<sup>e,</sup>, Xiuhua Guo, PhD<sup>a,b</sup>.

#### Abstract

The quadratic inference function (QIF) method becomes more acceptable for correlated data because of its advantages over generalized estimating equations (GEE). This study aimed to evaluate the relationship between platelet indices and blood pressure using QIF method, which has not been studied extensively in real data settings.

A population-based longitudinal study was conducted in Beijing from 2007 to 2012, and the median of follow-up was 6 years. A total of 6515 cases, who were aged between 20 and 65 years at baseline and underwent routine physical examinations every year from 3 Beijing hospitals were enrolled to explore the association between platelet indices and blood pressure by QIF method. The original continuous platelet indices were categorized into 4 levels ( $Q_1-Q_4$ ) using the 3 quartiles of  $P_{25}$ ,  $P_{50}$ , and  $P_{75}$  as a critical value. GEE was performed to make a comparison with QIF.

After adjusting for age, usage of drugs, and other confounding factors, mean platelet volume was negatively associated with diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ( $Q_4$ :  $\hat{\beta} = -0.7649$ , 95% confidence interval/-1.1313 to -0.3985, P = 0.00004) in males and positively linked with systolic blood pressure (SBP) ( $Q_4$ :  $\hat{\beta} = 3.1926$ , 95% Cl 2.0853–4.2999, P = 0.00001 in female;  $\hat{\beta} = 1.8477$ , 95% Cl 1.3148–2.3806; P = 0.00001 for male). Platelet distribution width was negatively associated with SBP ( $Q_4$ :  $\hat{\beta} = 1.5926$ , 95% Cl 2.5921 to -0.5931, P = 0.00179 for female;  $-\hat{\beta} = 1.0568$ , 95% Cl 1.5335 to -0.5801, P = 0.00001 for male). Blood platelet count was associated with DBP ( $Q_4$ :  $\hat{\beta} = 0.4212$ , 95% Cl 0.0200–0.8223, P = 0.03958) in males.

Adults in Beijing with prolonged exposure to extreme value of platelet indices have elevated risk for future hypertension and evidence suggesting using some platelet indices for early diagnosis of high blood pressure was provided.

**Abbreviations:** AR-1 = first-order autoregressive correlation working matrix model, BMI = body mass index, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, Exch = exchangeable correlation working matrix model, FPG = fasting plasma glucose, GEE = generalized estimating equations, HCT = red blood cell specific volume, HDL = high-density lipoprotein. WBC = white blood cell, HGB = hemoglobin, MCV = erythrocyte mean corpuscular volume, MPV = mean platelet volume, PCT =plateletcrit, PDW = platelet distribution width, PLT = blood platelet count, QIF = quadratic inference function, RBC = red blood cell, SBP = systolic blood pressure, TG = triglyceride, Unstr = unstructured correlation working matrix model.

Keywords: association, Beijing, blood pressure, generalized estimating equations, platelet indices, quadratic inference functions

Editor: Bernhard Schaller.

KY and LXT contributed to the analysis and interpretation of the data, drafting of the article, critical revision of the article, and statistical analysis. LXT performed the initial analyses, KY prepared the first draft of the article. XHG and GM provided an advice and edited the first draft. XG and ZP had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. All authors gave their final approval for publication.

All funding sources were independent and had no influence on the study design; the collection, analyses, and interpretation of our data; the writing of this report; or the decision to submit the article for publication.

#### KY and LT contributed equally to the work.

The study is funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Serial Number: 81530087, 81502886, 81373099), Young core personal project & Beijing outstanding talent training project (Serial Number: 2014000020124G150), Key Projects in the National Science & Technology Pillar Program in the Twelfth Five-year Plan Period of China (Serial Number: 2014ZX10004005-001).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Supplemental Digital Content is available for this article.

<sup>a</sup> Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, School of Public Health, Capital Medical University, <sup>b</sup> Beijing Municipal Key Laboratory of Clinical Epidemiology, <sup>c</sup> Beijing Electric Power Hospital, Fengtai District, <sup>d</sup> Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Commission, <sup>e</sup> Beijing Xiaotangshan Hospital, Changping District, Beijing, China.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Ping Zhao, Research fellow, Bachelor degree, Beijing Xiaotangshan Hospital, No. 390, Hot Spring Avenue, Xiaotangshan Town, Changping District, Beijing 100069, China (e-mail: pingzhao59@126.com); Prof. Dr. Xiuhua Guo, Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, School of Public Health, Capital Medical University, No.10 Xitoutiao, You'anmen Wai, Fengtai District, Beijing 100069, China (e-mail: guoxiuh@ccmu.edu.cn).

Copyright © 2016 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially.

Medicine (2016) 95:39(e4964)

Received: 2 February 2016 / Received in final form: 30 August 2016 / Accepted: 2 September 2016

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000004964

#### 1. Introduction

Elevated blood pressure is a leading modifiable risk factor for the premature death and cardiovascular disease (CVD), which leads to at least 1.1 million deaths per year in the globe, and hypertension has been possessing as a major public health challenge by World Health Organization.<sup>[1,2]</sup> Considering the severity of hypertension, 3 states of blood pressure (normal blood pressure, prehypertension, and hypertension) have been set up according to the individual's blood pressure level.<sup>[3,4]</sup> It has been wildly acknowledged that if diagnosis is done in an early stage of hypertension with effective methods, it can be prevented from CVD and reduce the burden of morbidity and mortality so far.<sup>[5–8]</sup>

Indices from the physical examination are early diagnostic biomarkers, wherein platelet indices are the potentially useful markers for the early diagnosis of thromboembolic disease. Platelet indices comprise platelet distribution width (PDW), mean platelet volume (MPV), plateletcrit (PCT), and blood platelet count (PLT). MVP and PDW are the simple platelet indices, which increase during platelet activation. MPV is one of the most commonly primary measurements of the average size of platelets in the blood sample, which is included in blood tests as part of completed blood count.<sup>[9]</sup> The larger platelets are more aggregate and reactive compared to the smaller size. Several studies have advised that MPV is significantly increased in CVD patients.<sup>[10,11]</sup> Likewise, PDW is a simple as well as a practical and specific marker of activation of coagulation, which is used to decide the heterogeneity of platelet size. Several studies have reported that platelet activation has a relationship with cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.<sup>[12-14]</sup> Increased platelet activation and aggregation are involved in the pathogenesis of elevated blood pressure, which is also associated with hypertensive risk factors.<sup>[15–18]</sup> Many researchers have illustrated that the platelet occupy was an important position in mediating immune response and maintaining the vascular homeostasis, atherosclerosis, and an inflammation.<sup>[19-23]</sup> Moreover, the use of antiplatelet management therapies in the high blood pressure, the platelets play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of hypertension.<sup>[24-27]</sup> Thus, assessments of platelet indices and their bioactivity may be of vital importance for monitoring the occurrence and progression of hypertension. Asgari et al<sup>[28]</sup> used the quadratic inference functions (QIFs) to determine the factors associated with obesity from the STEPS Survey in Iran. But to our knowledge, using QIF, studies on the correlation between platelet indices and blood pressure are relatively limited, especially in the large-scale population-based longitudinal study.

Therefore, a longitudinal study with 6515 participants who have undergone 6 repeated health check-ups has been established in Beijing to evaluate the relationship between platelet indices and blood pressure using QIF method, which has not been studied extensively in real data settings.

#### 2. Methods

#### 1.1. Study population

A total of 6515 cases of data, aged 20 to 65 years were obtained from the Beijing Tongren Hospital, Beijing Electric Power Hospital, and Beijing Xiaotangshan Hospital for the longitudinal analysis from 2007 to 2012, 6 years of follow-up. Individuals with a previous diagnosis of CVD, cerebral infarction, or gastric cancer, or those who had undergone coronary artery bypass surgery, coronary stenting surgery, or gastrectomy, and those who had hypertension or took any drugs at baseline were excluded. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Capital Medical University (approval number: 2015SY33). Written consent was obtained from all participating subjects.

#### 1.2. Data collection and measurements

All individuals in the study who took routine physical examinations underwent anthropometrically and laboratory tests every year. Weight and height were measured without shoes, and body mass index (BMI) was calculated as the weight (kg) divided by squaring the height (m). Blood samples were collected from an antecubital vein into tubes containing EDTA in the morning after an overnight fasting. PLT, PDW, MPV, PCT, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), triglyceride (TG), highdensity lipoprotein (HDL), white blood cell (WBC), red blood cell (RBC), hemoglobin (HGB), red blood cell specific volume (HCT), and erythrocyte mean corpuscular volume (MCV) were measured by an auto analyzer (Sysmex SE-9000, Kobe, Japan). The information of drug usage was obtained from individuals' medical history. Drug information was adjusted in the analysis, including the use of anti-hypertensive drugs, anti-dyslipidemia drugs, anti-diabetic drugs, and anti-platelet drugs. All analyses were performed in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.

#### 1.3. Approach for blood pressure measurement

Blood pressure was measured by a trained nurse on the right arm of participants (after at least 5-minute rest) during hospitalization using the WHO classification criteria.<sup>[29]</sup> During 30-minute proceeding, the measurements of the participants were required to refrain from smoking or consuming caffeine. A standard mercury sphygmomanometer was used to measure the blood pressure of participant with 1 of 4 cuff sizes (pediatric, regular adult, large adult, or thigh) based on the participant's arm circumference. Three readings of each systolic blood pressures (SBPs) and diastolic blood pressures (DBPs) were recorded with an interval of 1 minute at least, and an average of the last 2 measurements was used for the data analysis.

#### 1.4. Statistical analysis

There have been several appropriate statistical methods for analyzing repeated measures, including GEE and multilevel mixed linear model. Whereas, in comparison to the GEE approach, QIF has the following advantages. First, the application of GEE requires more model assumptions than QIF method.<sup>[30,31]</sup> Second, QIF method constructs more estimating functions than the number of parameters, so extra degrees of freedom are available to perform the goodness-of-fit test.<sup>[30-32]</sup> Therefore, some model selection criteria such as Akaike information criterion (AIC) and Bayesian information criterion (BIC) can be established in QIF. The AIC and BIC are measures of the relative quality of statistical models for a given set of data. Hence, AIC and BIC provide a means for model selection. When fitting models, it is possible to increase the likelihood by adding parameters, but doing so may result in overfitting. Both AIC and BIC resolve this problem by introducing a penalty term for the number of parameters in the model. The model with the lowest AIC and BIC is preferred. GEE methods are unavailable to perform such types of procedures. Moreover, because of the fact that QIF does not need to estimate the parameters in a given

correlation structure, especially when the working correlation is misspecified, the QIF estimator of beta is more efficient than the GEE estimator.<sup>[30,33,34]</sup> Finally, GEE is not robust and very sensitive to influential data cases, whereas the QIF estimators are robust with a bounded influence function against unduly large outliers or contaminated data points.<sup>[30,35,36]</sup>

The original continuous platelet indices were categorized into 4 levels (Q<sub>1</sub>, Q<sub>2</sub>, Q<sub>3</sub>, and Q<sub>4</sub>) using the 3 quartiles of  $P_{25}$ ,  $P_{50}$ , and  $P_{75}$  as a critical values, with  $\leq P_{25}$  for Q<sub>1</sub>,  $>P_{25}$  and  $P_{50}$  for Q<sub>2</sub>,  $>P_{50}$  and  $\leq P_{75}$  for Q<sub>3</sub>, and  $>P_{75}$  for Q<sub>4</sub>, respectively. To better clarify the relationship between elevated blood pressure and platelet indices, some confounding factors were adjusted in QIF model. Three QIF models have been estimated to check whether the correlation existed or not. In model 2, FPG, TG, HDL, and BMI were adjusted in QIF model. In model 3, FPG, TG, HDL, BMI WBC, RBC, HGB, HCT, MCV, and the use of anti-hypertensive drugs, anti-dyslipidemia drugs, anti-diabetic drugs, and anti-platelet drugs were adjusted in QIF model.

Summary of the statistics was used to illustrate the characteristics of variables' distribution in each repeated survey. Student *t* test was used for continuous variables to detect the statistical significances and compared with the first survey (baseline). The results were presented as an estimate indices ( $\hat{\beta}$ ) and standard errors. Parameters of GOF test, including AIC, BIC, and value of  $\chi^2$  of QIF were calculated for model assessment.

To account for missing values, multiple imputation (MI) was performed. Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method was chosen for missing values of multiple imputed variables without loss of generality. This method was employed in SAS (Version 9.2; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) followed with the procedure of MI. After imputation, all variables had <10% missing observations, in particular, <2% of the platelet indices and blood pressure variables. All the analyses were completed in the SAS 9.2 statistical software, wherein statistical significance was set at the 0.05 level and all P values were 2-sided.

#### 2. Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics of SBP and DBP together with the potential confounding factors at baseline with each follow-up year. Although tests of some factors did not reach statistical significance, it indicated that they were higher than baseline generally and blood pressure increased in a steady trend. QIF analysis was performed to explore the sex distributions in every follow-up year separately. Table S1 and S2, http://links.lww.com/ MD/B296 summarize the distributions of blood pressure of male and female in detail.

According to the GOF test, the smaller AIC, BIC, and larger  $\chi^2$  values indicated the better fit of the QIF model. Table 2 shows that the most suitable correlation working matrix was an exchangeable model for both sexes in term of DBP. As for SBP, the most suitable related working matrix for female is the unstructured model and exchangeable model for male population.

In the present study, table S3–S8, http://links.lww.com/MD/ B296 show an explicit comparison of parameter estimation for GEE and QIF by fitting Model 1 to 3. According to the lowest AIC and BIC, QIF can choose the best model with appropriate working correlation matrix, whereas AIC and BIC are not available in GEE model. QIF produced smaller standard errors compared with GEE in most situations even with the same working correlation matrix, which implies that parameters of QIF model are more reliable and efficient than that of GEE. Figures 1 and 2 show that after adjusting the potential confounders by QIF method, PDW was negatively associated with SBP. Setting Q<sub>1</sub> as the reference, for male, Q<sub>3</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$  = -0.7432; 95% confidence interval [CI]=-1.2096 to -0.2768; *P*= 0.00179) and Q<sub>4</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =-1.0568; 95% CI=-1.5335 to -0.5801; *P*=0.00001) were significant. Whereas for female, levels of Q2 ( $\hat{\beta}$ =-1.2465; 95% CI=-2.1469 to -0.3461; *P*= 0.00666), Q<sub>3</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =-1.6837; 95% CI=-2.6933 to -0.6740; *P*= 0.00108), and Q4 ( $\hat{\beta}$ =-1.5926; 95% CI=-2.5921 to -0.5931; *P*=0.00179) were significant. We could hardly find associations between PDW and DBP, except for male Q2 ( $\hat{\beta}$ =-0.4110; 95% CI=-0.7101 to -0.1119; *P*=0.00707).

MPV had a positive association with SBP. Setting Q<sub>1</sub> as the reference, for male, Q<sub>2</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =0.6522; 95% *CI*=0.2453-1.0591; *P*=0.00168), Q<sub>3</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =1.1256; 95% CI=0.6731-1.5781; *P*=0.00001), and Q<sub>4</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =1.8477; 95% CI=1.3148-2.3806; *P*=0.00001) were significant, and for female, Q<sub>2</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =1.2086; 95% *CI*=0.5273-1.8899; *P*=0.00051), Q<sub>3</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =2.1281; 95% CI=1.1834-3.0728; *P*=0.00001), and Q<sub>4</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =3.1926; 95% CI=2.0853-4.2999; *P*=0.00001) were significant, However, a negative connection was observed between MPV and DBP for male, Q<sub>3</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =-0.5173; 95% CI=-0.8323 to -0.2023; *P*=0.00129) and Q<sub>4</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =-0.7649; 95% CI=-1.1313 to -0.3985; *P*=0.00004) were scientific significant, and for female Q<sub>4</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =-0.4134; 95% CI=-0.8241 to -0.0027; *P*=0.04851).

PLT showed a positive relationship with DBP. Setting Q<sub>1</sub> as the reference, for male, Q<sub>2</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =0.4190; 95% CI=0.1084 to 0.7296; *P*=0.00819, Q<sub>3</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =0.6001; 95% CI=0.2421-0.9581; *P*=0.00102), and Q<sub>4</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =0.4212; 95% CI=0.0201-0.8223; *P*=0.03958) were scientific significant and for female Q<sub>4</sub> ( $\hat{\beta}$ =0.5227; 95% CI=0.0415 to 1.0039; *P*=0.03325). There has been no relationship between PCT and blood pressure as our result displayed.

#### 3. Discussion

Concern about the increased prevalence of elevated blood pressure has lightened the interests to explore an association between some convenient, fast, and effective indices with blood pressure among healthy populations in Beijing, and we found PDW MPV and PLT are those indices that can help diagnose evaluated blood pressure and then hypertension. Some desirable features of the QIF method in a real world with longitudinal data have been illustrated. The GOF statistic from QIF model also facilitates an optimal selection of the correlation structure among several plausible choices. We obtained similar parameter estimations from GEE and QIF analyses using the dataset of health check-up in 3 hospitals in Beijing from 2007 to 2012. In terms of SBP, the most suitable working correlation matrix for male was exchangeable model. Additionally, exchangeable model was the suitable matrices for male and unstructured model for female. Our findings are consistent with the findings of Qu et al,<sup>[31]</sup> which stated that QIFs have the greater efficiency of parameter estimates in comparison to GEE, and made more reliable results in conjunction with GEE.

PDW and MPV are 2 indicators of platelet, which reflect the size and variability, respectively.<sup>[37,38]</sup> According to Zheng et al,<sup>[18]</sup> the larger platelets are more active compared to smaller ones both metabolically and enzymatically, which is more likely to be thrombotic potential. Earlier findings have established that raised PDW and MPV levels are an independent risk factor for myocardial infarction including coronary heart diseases.<sup>[39–41]</sup> Increased platelet size is a risk factor for cardiovascular as well as

	Base	eline	2-Year fu	dn-wollc	3-Year	follow-up	4-Year f	ollow-up	5-Year 1	ollow-up
Variables	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
Age	$47.07 \pm 14.61$	$46.41 \pm 12.33$	$48.95 \pm 14.32$	$48.78 \pm 12.04$	$49.87 \pm 14.28$	$49.54 \pm 11.94$	$51.24 \pm 14.25$	$49.88 \pm 11.85$	$52.04 \pm 14.21$	$51.05 \pm 11.77$
Heart rate	$72.67 \pm 7.45$	$72.96 \pm 7.22$	$72.61 \pm 7.03$	$72.83 \pm 6.86$	$73.64 \pm 8.12$	$73.34 \pm 7.26$	$74.80 \pm 9.41^{\circ}$	$74.69 \pm 8.43^{\dagger}$	$74.91 \pm 9.92^{\dagger}$	$75.11 \pm 9.39^{\dagger}$
SBP, mmHg	$115.83 \pm 10.57$	$109.18 \pm 11.86^{*}$	$116.99 \pm 12.18$	$111.08 \pm 13.51^{*}$	$118.40 \pm 13.58$	$113.22 \pm 14.58^{*}$	$122.85 \pm 14.81^{\dagger}$	$115.59 \pm 15.95^{*}$	$123.69 \pm 14.44^{\dagger}$	$117.00 \pm 15.40^{*}$
DBP, mmHg	$75.65 \pm 6.84$	$71.69 \pm 7.79^{*}$	$76.88 \pm 8.03$	$72.45 \pm 8.35^{*}$	$76.79 \pm 9.30$	$72.83 \pm 9.42^{*}$	$77.47 \pm 9.63$	$72.56 \pm 9.67^{*}$	$74.60 \pm 10.19$	$71.18 \pm 9.90^{*}$
PLT, 10 <sup>9</sup> cells/L	$196.57 \pm 48.25$	$219.88 \pm 49.56^{*}$	$211.69 \pm 48.95$	$228.16 \pm 51.39^{*}$	$204.30 \pm 50.85$	$229.76 \pm 53.81^{*}$	$212.40 \pm 49.13^{\dagger}$	$232.65 \pm 51.86^{*}$	$213.01 \pm 49.34^{\dagger}$	$234.92 \pm 52.68^{*}$
PDW, %	$10.09 \pm 2.82$	$10.84 \pm 2.88^{*}$	$12.17 \pm 2.36$	$12.11 \pm 2.23$	$12.47 \pm 2.13$	$12.38 \pm 2.18^{\dagger}$	$12.53 \pm 1.95^{\dagger}$	$12.35 \pm 2.02^{*}$	$13.29 \pm 2.32^{\dagger}$	$13.40 \pm 2.46^{\dagger}$
MPV, fl	$8.71 \pm 1.24$	$9.04 \pm 1.21^{*}$	$9.44 \pm 1.14$	$9.70 \pm 1.12^{*,+}$	$9.36 \pm 1.10$	$9.66 \pm 1.08^{*}$	$10.22 \pm 1.09^{\dagger}$	$10.11 \pm 1.07^{+,*}$	$10.18 \pm 1.17^{\dagger}$	$10.08 \pm 1.16^{*,+}$
PCT, %	$0.18 \pm 0.08$	$0.21 \pm 0.08^{*}$	$0.20 \pm 0.06$	$0.22 \pm 0.06^{*,+}$	$0.19 \pm 0.05^{\dagger}$	$0.22 \pm 0.06^{*,+}$	$0.21 \pm 0.05^{\dagger}$	$0.23 \pm 0.06^{*,+}$	$0.21 \pm 0.26$	$0.23 \pm 0.05^{*,+}$
FPG, mmol/L	$5.39 \pm 1.23$	$5.11 \pm 0.83^{*}$	$5.48 \pm 1.16$	$5.18 \pm 0.90^{*,\dagger}$	$5.50 \pm 1.27^{\dagger}$	$5.19 \pm 0.91^{*}$	$5.61 \pm 1.27^{\dagger}$	$5.27 \pm 0.93^{*}$	$5.63 \pm 1.25^{\dagger}$	$5.31 \pm 1.00^{*,+}$
TG, mmoVL	$1.76 \pm 1.45$	$1.18 \pm 0.93^{*}$	$1.85 \pm 1.64$	$1.27 \pm 0.93^{*}$	$1.91 \pm 1.78$	$1.29 \pm 1.03^{*}$	$1.82 \pm 1.59^{\dagger}$	$1.28 \pm 1.19^{*,1}$	$1.81 \pm 1.50^{\dagger}$	$1.30 \pm 0.99^{*,1}$
HDL, mmol/L	$1.24 \pm 0.28$	$1.48 \pm 0.34^{*}$	$1.27 \pm 0.28$	$1.51 \pm 0.33^{*}$	$1.29 \pm 0.29^{\dagger}$	$1.54 \pm 0.35^{*,\dagger}$	$1.22 \pm 0.27^{\dagger}$	$1.50 \pm 0.34^{*}$	$1.19 \pm 0.28$	$1.46 \pm 0.35^{*}$
BMI, kg/m <sup>2</sup>	$25.22 \pm 4.32$	$23.06 \pm 3.13^{*}$	$25.41 \pm 4.17$	$23.31 \pm 4.35^{*,+}$	$25.41 \pm 3.85^{\dagger}$	$23.30 \pm 3.17^{*}$	$25.40 \pm 3.71^{\dagger}$	$23.32 \pm 3.14^{+,*}$	$25.41 \pm 3.10^{\dagger}$	$23.43 \pm 3.25^{*,+}$
WBC, 10 <sup>12</sup> cells/L	$6.19 \pm 1.57$	$5.75 \pm 1.44^{*}$	$6.45 \pm 1.62$	$5.91 \pm 1.47^{*}$	$6.36 \pm 1.61$	$5.86 \pm 1.43^{*,+}$	$6.41 \pm 1.59^{\dagger}$	$5.82 \pm 1.41^{*,+}$	$6.62 \pm 1.67^{\dagger}$	$5.95 \pm 1.40^{*,+}$
RBC, 10 <sup>12</sup> cells/L	$4.88 \pm 0.41$	$4.39 \pm 0.34^{*}$	$4.88 \pm 0.41$	$4.32 \pm 0.38^{*}$	$4.95 \pm 0.40^{\dagger}$	$4.39 \pm 0.33^{*,\dagger}$	$4.91 \pm 0.39^{\dagger}$	$4.40 \pm 0.32^{*,+}$	$4.87 \pm 0.40^{\dagger}$	$4.37 \pm 0.33^{*,\dagger}$
HGB, g/L	$149.05 \pm 11.56$	$130.17 \pm 11.02^{*}$	$152.43 \pm 10.58$	$130.72 \pm 10.85^{*}$	$153.37 \pm 10.63^{\dagger}$	$132.27 \pm 10.94^{*,\dagger}$	$151.92 \pm 10.89^{\dagger}$	$131.92 \pm 10.63^{*}$	$151.19 \pm 10.6^{\dagger}$	$131.04 \pm 10.31^{*}$
HCT, %	$44.41 \pm 3.43$	$39.52 \pm 3.15^{*}$	$44.58 \pm 3.17$	$38.98 \pm 3.10^{*}$	$44.49 \pm 3.17$	$38.97 \pm 3.10^{*}$	$44.47 \pm 3.05$	$39.65 \pm 3.04^{*}$	$44.26 \pm 3.02^{\dagger}$	$39.32 \pm 2.94^{*,+}$
MCV, fl	$91.27 \pm 4.32$	$89.98 \pm 4.92^{*}$	$91.63 \pm 5.38$	$90.08 \pm 5.70^{*}$	$90.32 \pm 4.62^{\dagger}$	$88.83 \pm 5.26^{*,\dagger}$	$90.80 \pm 4.48$	$90.11 \pm 5.30^{+,*}$	$91.31 \pm 4.34^{\dagger}$	$90.12\pm5.02^{*,+}$
Antihypertensive, n (%)			68 (1.73)	36 (1.40)	89 (2.26)	48 (1.86)	124 (3.15)	61 (2.37)	168 (4.26)	65 (2.52)
Antidyslipidemia,n (%)			23 (0.58)	10 (0.39)	31 (0.79)	14 (0.54)	51 (1.29)	23 (0.89)	80 (2.03)	28 (1.09)
Antidiabetic, n (%)	I	I	56 (1.42)	25 (0.97)	74 (1.88)	25 (0.97)	81 (2.06)	33 (1.28)	97 (2.46)	29 (1.13)
Antiplatelet, n (%)			41 (1.04)	13 (0.50)	45 (1.14)	18 (0.70)	23 (0.58)	11 (0.43)	9 (0.23)	2 (0.08)

Medicine

# Table 2 GOF test information among 3 models in selecting the most suitable working correlation matrix.

	•		-			-					
			$\chi^2$ value			AIC			BIC		
Type of blood pressure	Sex	Model	Ar-1	Exch	Unstr	Ar-1	Exch	Unstr	Ar-1	Exch	Unstr
DBP, mmHg	Female	Model 1	191.445	177.051	215.826	223.445	209.051	247.826	317.103	302.709	341.483
		Model 2	183.461	169.254	214.086	223.461	209.254	254.086	340.533	326.327	371.159
		Model 3	202.263	197.490	227.565	260.263	255.490	285.565	430.017	425.244	455.320
	Male	Model 1	513.193	231.496	453.982	545.193	263.496	485.982	645.656	363.959	586.445
		Model 2	465.128	248.660	370.484	505.128	288.660	410.484	630.707	414.238	536.063
		Model 3	434.646	263.783	376.522	492.646	321.783	434.522	674.735	503.872	616.611
SBP, mmHg	Female	Model 1	120.949	155.358	62.0455	152.949	187.358	94.0455	246.606	281.015	187.703
		Model 2	130.070	127.501	79.1225	170.070	167.501	119.123	287.142	284.573	236.195
		Model 3	173.259	163.689	123.063	231.259	221.689	181.063	401.013	391.444	350.818
	Male	Model 1	213.072	78.5486	134.844	245.072	110.549	166.844	345.535	211.012	267.307
		Model 2	228.798	63.0524	94.3777	268.798	103.052	134.378	394.377	228.631	259.956
		Model 3	314.551	143.313	191.685	372.551	201.313	249.685	554.641	383.402	431.774

Model 1: unadjusted; Model 2 adjusted for fasting plasma glucose, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein, body mass index; Model 3: adjusted for fasting plasma glucose, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein, body mass index, white blood cell, red blood cell, hemoglobin, red blood cell specific volume, erythrocyte mean corpuscular volume, drug information including the use of antihypertensive drugs, antidyslipidemia drugs, antidiabetic drugs and anti-platelet drugs. AIC = Akaike information criterion, AR-1 = first-order autoregressive correlation working matrix model, BIC = Bayesian information criterion, DBP = diastolic blood pressure, SBP = systolic blood pressure. Bold text: the lowest Chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) value, AIC, or BIC value.



Figure 1. Risk factors associated with evaluated SBP. MPV=mean platelet volume, PDW=platelet distribution width, PLT=blood platelet count, SBP=systolic blood pressure.



Figure 2. Risk factors associated with evaluated DBP. DBP = diastolic blood pressure, MPV = mean platelet volume, PDW = platelet distribution width, PLT = blood platelet count.

diabetes and atherosclerosis disease, which have also been reported by some researchers, while increased MPV levels have shown in several inflammatory syndromes, such as, periodontitis, osteoarthritis and systemic sclerosis.<sup>[42,43]</sup>

Pathophysiology of elevated blood pressure is multifaceted including vasoconstriction, vascular wall remodeling, and in situ thrombosis.<sup>[18,44]</sup> Earlier researches stated that an elevated platelet aggregation and activation rose along with the process of hypertension.<sup>[18,45,46]</sup> Our study experienced that the MPV was significantly associated with higher estimator in SBP, regardless of sex, whereas a negative correlation was observed between MPV and DBP. These findings are consistent with previous studies of Ucar et al <sup>[47]</sup> and Pusuroglu et al.<sup>[48]</sup> Additionally, there are 2 possible mechanisms that may contribute to increased platelet parameter levels during high blood pressure as suggested by some studies,<sup>[47,48]</sup> such as firstly,

pulmonary vascular endothelial dysfunction was linked with the path mechanisms of hypertension, which might lead to the platelet activation and local thrombosis. And secondly, systemic inflammation and immune dysfunction in patients with high blood pressure might cause platelet activation.<sup>[18]</sup>

Our study established that PLT had a positive relationship with DBP for both sexes, whereas there was no relationship found between PCT and blood pressure. Previous studies have shown that there was a sex effect on the relationship of blood pressure and platelet indices.<sup>[18,49–51]</sup> Those studies suggested that only partial indicators of platelet indices reflect the disease severity. From our findings, we can suggest that an elevated MPV and PDW might be an indication to recognize the part of platelet activation in the interpretation of the cause of hypertension. There could be possible 2 things, activated platelets might conglutinate the wall of injured pulmonary vessels and facilitate

There are some limitations which should be acknowledged in our study. First, we enrolled participants from 3 hospitals only for this study, so the results of this study may be a boundary for the generalization to the other population. Secondly, in the presence of young platelets, specific platelet activation was not measured by measuring reticulated platelets or immature platelet fraction. In addition, the information about lifestyles of a person was not included in the present study, and the multivariate model was not adjusted for these factors. Therefore, further study will be advised including the lifestyle variables along with the general population.

#### 4. Conclusion

Our study confirmed that QIF was better compared to GEE. MPV was negatively associated with DBP in male, where PDW was a negative association with SBP. PLT was associated with male's DBP. Our findings lay the basis of QIF method running into a real world longitudinal study and provided further evidence using some platelet indices for early diagnosis of hypertension.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank all the investigators, the staff of those 3 hospitals, and the participants of the present study for their valuable contributions.

#### References

- World Health Organization"A global brief on hypertension: silent killer, global public health crisis". World 2015.
- [2] Lim SS, Vos T, Flaxman AD, et al. A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2012;380:2224–60.
- [3] James PA, Oparil S, Carter BL, et al. 2014 evidence-based guideline for the management of high blood pressure in adults: report from the panel members appointed to the Eighth Joint National Committee (JNC 8). JAMA 2014;311:507–20.
- [4] Rahman MM, Gilmour S. Prevention and control of hypertension in different countries. Jama 2014;311:418–9.
- [5] Lewington S, Clarke R, Qizilbash N, et al. Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality: a meta-analysis of individual data for one million adults in 61 prospective studies. Lancet 2002;360: 1903–13.
- [6] Calhoun DA, Jones D, Textor S, et al. Resistant hypertension: diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Professional Education Committee of the Council for High Blood Pressure Research. Circulation 2008;117:e510–26.
- [7] Achelrod D, Wenzel U, Frey S. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the prevalence of resistant hypertension in treated hypertensive populations. Am J Hypertens 2015;28:355–61.
- [8] Gupta AK, Nasothimiou EG, Chang CL, et al. Baseline predictors of resistant hypertension in the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcome Trial (ASCOT): a risk score to identify those at high-risk. J Hypertens 2011;29:2004–13.
- [9] Arevalo-Lorido JC, Carretero-Gomez J, Alvarez-Oliva A, et al. Mean platelet volume in acute phase of ischemic stroke, as predictor of mortality and functional outcome after 1 year. J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis 2013;22:297–303.
- [10] Kaya MG, Elcik D, Akpek M, et al. Mean platelet volume levels predict pulmonary artery hypertension in patients with atrial septal defect. Acta Cardiol 2014;69:161–6.
- [11] Lippi G, Franchini M. Platelets and immunity: the interplay of mean platelet volume in health and disease. Exp Rev Hematol 2015;8: 555-7.

- [12] Vagdatli E, Gounari E, Lazaridou E, et al. Platelet distribution width: a simple, practical and specific marker of activation of coagulation. Hippokratia 2010;14:28–32.
- [13] Demirtunc R, Duman D, Basar M, et al. The relationship between glycemic control and platelet activity in type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Diabetes Complications 2009;23:89–94.
- [14] Goto S, Hasebe T, Takagi S. Platelets: small in size but essential in the regulation of vascular homeostasis - translation from basic science to clinical medicine. Circ J 2015;79:1871–81.
- [15] Arevalo-Lorido JC. Mean platelet volume: When the size does matter. Anatol J Cardiol 2015;15:853–4.
- [16] Zhang KJ, Lu QY, Li P, et al. [Significance of platelet parameters and lactate dehydrogenase level in differential diagnosis for thrombocytosis]. Zhongguo Shi Yan Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi 2010;18:972–5.
- [17] Carlioglu A, Durmaz SA, Kibar YI, et al. Mean platelet volume in a patient with male hypogonadotropic hypogonadism: the relationship between low testosterone, metabolic syndrome, impaired fasting glucose and cardiovascular risk. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis 2015;26:811–5.
- [18] Zheng YG, Yang T, Xiong CM, et al. Platelet distribution width and mean platelet volume in idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension. Heart Lung Circ 2015;24:566–72.
- [19] Rodrigues SF, Almeida-Paula LD, Granger DN. Synergistic effects of high blood cholesterol and hypertension on leukocyte and platelet recruitment in the cerebral microcirculation. Hypertension 2014;63: 747–52.
- [20] Opie LH. Hypertension, platelets, and inflammatory responses. Cardiovasc Drug Ther 2014;28:291–2.
- [21] Guven A, Caliskan M, Ciftci O, et al. Increased platelet activation and inflammatory response in patients with masked hypertension. Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis 2013;24:170–4.
- [22] Inanc T, Kaya MG, Yarlioglues M, et al. The mean platelet volume in patients with non-dipper hypertension compared to dippers and normotensives. Blood Press 2010;19:81–5.
- [23] Yaoita N, Shirakawa R, Fukumoto Y, et al. Platelets are highly activated in patients of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2014;34:2486–94.
- [24] Surgit O, Pusuroglu H, Erturk M, et al. Assessment of mean platelet volume in patients with resistant hypertension, controlled hypertension and normotensives. Eurasian J Med 2015;47:79–84.
- [25] Giovanetti TV, do Nascimento AJ, de Paula JP. Platelet indices: laboratory and clinical applications. Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter 2011;33:164–5.
- [26] Santimone I, Di Castelnuovo A, De Curtis A, et al. White blood cell count, sex and age are major determinants of heterogeneity of platelet indices in an adult general population: results from the MOLI-SANI project. Haematologica 2011;96:1180–8.
- [27] de Gaetano G, Santimone I, Gianfagna F, et al. Variability of platelet indices and function: acquired and genetic factors. Handb Exp Pharmacol 2012;395–434.
- [28] Asgari F, Biglarian A, Seifi B, et al. Using quadratic inference functions to determine the factors associated with obesity: findings from the STEPS Survey in Iran. Ann Epidemiol 2013;23:534–8.
- [29] Whitworth JA, Chalmers J. World health organisation-international society of hypertension (WHO/ISH) hypertension guidelines. Clin Exp Hypertens 2004;26:747–52.
- [30] Qu A, Lindsay BG, Li B. Improving generalised estimating equations using quadratic inference functions. Biometrika 2000;87:823–36.
- [31] Qu A, Song PXK. Assessing robustness of generalised estimating equations and quadratic inference functions. Biometrika 2004;91: 447–59.
- [32] Westgate PM, Braun TM. The effect of cluster size imbalance and covariates on the estimation performance of quadratic inference functions. Stat Med 2012;31:2209–22.
- [33] Tian R, Xue L, Liu C. Penalized quadratic inference functions for semiparametric varying coefficient partially linear models with longitudinal data. Journal of Multivariate Analysis 2014;132:94–110.
- [34] Dashnyam O, Cho GY. Quadratic inference functions in marginal models for longitudinal data with time-varying stochastic covariates. Journal of the Korean Data and Information Science Sociaty 2013;24: 651–8.
- [35] Lai P, Li G, Lian H. Quadratic inference functions for partially linear single-index models with longitudinal data. Journal of Multivariate Analysis 2013;118:115–27.
- [36] Leng C, Zhang W. Smoothing combined estimating equations in quantile regression for longitudinal data. Stat Comput 2014;24:123–36.
- [37] Akpinar I, Sayin MR, Gursoy YC, et al. Plateletcrit. A platelet marker associated with saphenous vein graft disease. Herz 2014;39:142–8.

- [39] Beyan C, Beyan E. Is mean platelet volume actually significantly higher in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease? J Clin Gastroenterol 2015;49:888.
- [40] Elbasan Z, Gur M, Sahin DY, et al. Mean platelet volume and abnormal left ventricle geometric patterns in patients with untreated essential hypertension. Platelets 2013;24:521–7.
- [41] YukselKalkan G, Gur M, Baykan AO, et al. Mean platelet volume is associated with aortic intima-media thickness in patients without clinical manifestation of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. Anatol J Cardiol 2015;15:753–8.
- [42] Gasparyan AY, Stavropoulos-Kalinoglou A, Toms TE, et al. Association of mean platelet volume with hypertension in rheumatoid arthritis. Inflamm Allergy Drug Targets 2010;9:45–50.
- [43] Hoffmann JJ, Nabbe KC, van den Broek NM. Effect of age on mean platelet volume: Does it exist? Exp Gerontol 2015;69:41–2.
- [44] Taguchi H, Kataoka M, Yanagisawa R, et al. Platelet level as a new prognostic factor for idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension in the era of combination therapy. Circ J 2012;76:1494–500.
- [45] Zheng Y, Hong H, Reeves HM, et al. Absolute immature platelet count helps differentiate thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura from

hypertension-induced thrombotic microangiopathy. Transfus Apher Sci 2014;51:54–7.

- [46] Kim ES, Mo EY, Moon SD, et al. Mean platelet volume is closely associated with serum glucose level but not with arterial stiffness and carotid atherosclerosis in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2015;100:3502–8.
- [47] Ucar H, Gur M, Gozukara MY, et al. Relationship between mean platelet volume and morning blood pressure surge in newly diagnosed hypertensive patients. Anatol J Cardiol 2015;15: 107–12.
- [48] Pusuroglu H, Cakmak HA, Erturk M, et al. Assessment of the relation between mean platelet volume, non-dipping blood pressure pattern, and left ventricular mass index in sustained hypertension. Med Sci Monit 2014;20:2020–6.
- [49] Ates I, Bulut M, Ozkayar N, et al. Association between High Platelet Indices and Proteinuria in Patients with Hypertension. Ann Lab Med 2015;35:630–4.
- [50] Boeke CE, Pauly ME, Stock HH, et al. The association of gender, age, body mass index, and vital signs in healthy plateletapheresis donors. Transfus Apher Sci 2009;41:175–8.
- [51] Shimodaira M, Niwa T, Nakajima K, et al. Gender differences in the relationship between serum uric acid and mean platelet volume in a Japanese general population. Platelets 2014;25:202–6.