#### open Access Full Text Article

# ORIGINAL RESEARCH

# $Fc\gamma$ RIIA and IIIA polymorphisms predict clinical outcome of trastuzumab-treated metastatic gastric cancer

De-shen Wang<sup>1,\*</sup> Xiao-li Wei<sup>1,\*</sup> Zhi-qiang Wang<sup>1,\*</sup> Yun-xin Lu<sup>1</sup> Si-mei Shi<sup>1</sup> Niu Wang<sup>1</sup> Miao-zhen Qiu<sup>1</sup> Feng-hua Wang<sup>1</sup> Rong-jiao Wang<sup>2</sup> Yu-hong Li<sup>1</sup> Rui-hua Xu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medical Oncology, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Guangzhou, <sup>2</sup>Oncology BU, BGI-Shenzhen, Shenzhen, China

\*These authors contributed equally to this work

Correspondence: Rui-hua Xu; Yu-hong Li Department of Medical Oncology, State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, 651 Dong Feng Road East, Guangzhou 510060, Guangdong Province, China Tel +86 208 734 3468; +86 208 734 3351 Fax +86 208 734 3468; +86 208 734 3351 Email xurh@sysucc.org.cn; liyh@sysucc.org.cn



Abstract: Trastuzumab has substantial antitumor activity in metastatic gastric cancer. One such mechanism by which it exerts its antitumor activity is antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity, which has been reported to be influenced by  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and IIIA polymorphisms. This study is the first to assess their impact on trastuzumab efficacy in patients with metastatic gastric cancer. We retrospectively examined 42 Her-2-positive patients receiving fluorouracil and platinum-based chemotherapy and trastuzumab, and 68 Her-2-negative patients receiving fluorouracil and platinum-based chemotherapy only as the first-line treatment.  $Fc\gamma RIIA$ and IIIA polymorphisms were assessed, and their associations with efficacy in both settings were analyzed. In patients treated with trastuzumab, the  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  H/H genotype was associated with significantly superior progression-free survival (PFS) (hazard ratio [HR] [95% CI]: 0.36 [0.16-0.82], adjusted HR [95% CI]: 0.18 [0.07-0.48], P=0.001). When combining FcyRIIA and IIIA polymorphisms, the  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  H/H or  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  V/V genotype was associated with a significantly improved disease control rate (P=0.04) and PFS (HR [95% CI]: 0.29 [0.13–0.67], adjusted HR [95% CI]: 0.17 [0.07–0.45], P < 0.001). As expected, no association of  $Fc\gamma RIIA$ and *IIIA* polymorphisms with efficacy was found in patients receiving chemotherapy only. We concluded that FcyRIIA and IIIA polymorphisms might predict disease control rate and PFS in metastatic gastric cancer patients receiving trastuzumab treatment.

**Keywords:** gastric cancer, trastuzumab, antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity,  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  polymorphism,  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  polymorphism, human epidermal growth factor receptor-2

#### Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the leading malignancies of the digestive system. There were an estimated 26,370 new cases of GC in the USA in 2016, making it fourth in rank among all cancers of the digestive system.<sup>1</sup> The incidence of GC is much higher in China, where it ranks second among all cancers as estimated in 2015.<sup>2</sup> The prognosis of GC is relatively poor.<sup>3</sup> GC is also the second leading cause of cancer-specific death in China.<sup>2</sup> The median overall survival for stage IV patents is about 1 year. The use of targeted therapy prolongs survival for ~2–4 months.<sup>4–7</sup> Trastuzumab, the main targeted drug for GC, was approved by the Food and Drug Administration in 2010 for the treatment of epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (ERBb2 or Her-2)-overexpressed metastatic GC or gastroesophageal junction adenocarcinoma.

The major mechanism of the antitumor effect of trastuzumab involves the growth signaling pathways transduced by the transmembrane protein, Her-2, as indicated by in vitro and in vivo laboratory and clinical studies.<sup>8–10</sup> However, another Her-2-targeted drug, lapatinib, has not been found to significantly improve survival in advanced or

OncoTargets and Therapy 2017:10 5065-5076

5065

Control of the field of the set of the

metastatic GC.<sup>11</sup> This drug is a small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor and is different from trastuzumab, which is an IgG1 monoclonal antibody that mediates antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC) and the subsequent lysis of targeted tumor cells.<sup>12,13</sup> This efficacy disparity may emphasize the importance of ADCC in the antitumor effect of trastuzumab in GC.

The ADCC effect is mediated by the binding of the fragment C (Fc) portion of the antibody and the Fc  $\gamma$  receptor (Fc $\gamma$ R) on immunologic effector cells.<sup>13</sup> Genetic polymorphisms of Fc $\gamma$ R have been demonstrated to result in differences in binding affinity, with the *Fc\gammaRIIA* and *IIIA* alleles most frequently reported. For *Fc\gammaRIIA*, a single-nucleotide substitution (A519G, rs1801274) results in the substitution of histidine (H) by arginine (R) at amino acid position 131. Likewise, for *Fc\gammaRIIA*, a single-nucleotide substitution (A559C, rs396991) leads to the substitution of phenylalanine (F) by valine (V) at amino acid position 158. Both the *Fc\gammaRIIA* 131H/H and *Fc\gammaRIIIA* 158V/V genotypes are identified as having the strongest binding affinity.<sup>14-16</sup>

An association between patient outcomes and  $Fc\gamma RIIA$ and/or IIIA genotypes has been discovered in lymphoma treated with rituximab<sup>17</sup> and colorectal cancer treated with cetuximab,18,19 and both these drugs are IgG1 monoclonal antibodies. The prognostic value of  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and IIIA genotypes in breast cancer treated with trastuzumab was found to be inconsistent in several previous investigations, but these genotypes seemed to be relevant in cases of advanced and metastatic breast cancer.20-23 Only about half of Her-2-positive GC patients respond to trastuzumab,7 and thus, the identification of biomarkers predicting its efficacy has potential clinical application. ADCC may constitute a significant portion of the antitumor mechanism of trastuzumab in GC. To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the clinical and prognostic relevance of FcyRIIA and/or IIIA polymorphisms in trastuzumab-treated metastatic GC.

#### Materials and methods Ethics statement

All patients provided written informed consent for their information to be used in our hospital database. Study approval was obtained from independent ethics committees at Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center. This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Study population

This research was retrospectively conducted at Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center. We included two sets of patients pathologically diagnosed with metastatic gastric adenocarcinoma in this study. Set A included patients with Her-2-positive GC, who received trastuzumab combined with chemotherapy as the first-line treatment. Set B included patients with Her-2-negative GC, who received only chemotherapy as the first-line treatment. The assessment of Her-2 status followed the criteria of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines. HER-2 positive was defined as HER-2(+++) by immunohistochemistry or HER-2(++) by immunohistochemistry and HER-2(positive) by fluorescence in situ hybridization. There were 228 patients pathologically diagnosed with metastatic GC from May 1, 2011, to August 30, 2015, in our center, including 58 patients with Her-2-positive cancer and 170 patients with Her-2negative cancer. We included only those with an efficacy assessment and follow-up information, as well as those who signed informed consent forms and had qualified blood samples. With these eligibility criteria, there were 42 patients enrolled in set A. All of them received trastuzumab combined with fluorouracil and platinum-based chemotherapy as the first-line treatment. As a comparison, enrolled in set B were 68 patients who received only fluorouracil and platinum-based chemotherapy as the first-line treatment. The Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) diagram is shown in Figure S1. The clinicopathologic data and follow-up information were retrospectively collected.

### $Fc\gamma$ RIIA and IIIA polymorphism genotyping

Blood samples were collected before treatment. Archived peripheral blood mononuclear cells were used for DNA extraction with the QIAamp DNA blood mini kit (Qiagen). Nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was conducted to detect single-nucleotide polymorphisms in  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and/or IIIA using the same primers as in a previous study.<sup>21</sup> PCR was carried out using the HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (KAPA Biosystems) and optimized protocols. The PCR products were purified using the PCR clean kit (Qiagen) and then sequenced on an ABI3730XL (Applied Biosystems) with the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit. The PCR products of 110 samples were also analyzed on MassARRAY analyzer (Sequenom) with the iPLEX Gold assay (Sequenom) using primers, as in a previous study,<sup>21</sup> to identify the A559C polymorphism in  $Fc\gamma RIIIA$  and the A519G polymorphism in  $Fc\gamma RIIA$ .

#### Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS 13.0 statistical software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

FcyRIIA and IIIA polymorphisms and trastuzumab efficacy in mGC

A two-tailed *P*-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The associations between  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and/or *IIIA* genotypes and clinicopathologic characteristics were analyzed with a chi-square test or Kruskal–Wallis H-test, based on the type of the data. Comparisons of tumor responses between  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and/or *IIIA* genotypes were also conducted with chi-square test or Kruskal–Wallis H-test. Survival curves were plotted by the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. The prognostic value of  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and/or *IIIA* genotypes was evaluated using univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses.

## Results

#### Patient characteristics

Patient characteristics according to treatment settings are shown in Table S1. All 42 patients in set A were Her-2 positive; by contrast, all 68 patients in set B were Her-2 negative. Accordingly, differences in some clinicopathologic features existed between the two sets. For example, tumors in set A presented with more intestinal types compared with those in set B (33.3% vs 2.9%). The correlations between FcyRIIA and/or IIIA genotypes and clinicopathologic features are shown in Table 1. The frequency of FcyRIIA and/or IIIA genotypes did not differ between the two study sets, as demonstrated by the lack of associations between FcyRIIA and/or IIIA genotypes and Her-2 status (negative/positive). In addition, we did not find any correlations between other clinicopathologic features including age (<52/≥52 years), gender (male/female), Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group score (0/1), gastrectomy (yes/no), location of tumor (proximal/middle/distal/others), degree of differentiation (well-differentiated adenocarcinoma/ moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma/poorly differentiated/signet ring cell carcinoma/mucinous adenocarcinoma), Lauren classification (intestinal type/diffuse type/ mixed type), smoking status (present or previous/never), drinking status (present or previous/never), and metastasis (single/multiple).

### FcyR genotypes and tumor responses

The frequencies of the *Fc* $\gamma$ *RIIA* H/H, H/R, and R/R genotypes were 45.2%, 38.1%, and 16.7%, respectively, in set A (patients receiving trastuzumab and chemotherapy) and 54.4%, 35.3%, and 10.3%, respectively, in set B (patients receiving chemotherapy only). The frequencies of the *Fc* $\gamma$ *RIIIA* V/V, V/F, and F/F genotypes were 11.9%, 45.2%, and 42.9%, respectively, in set A and 20.6%, 47.1%, and 32.4%, respectively, in set B. The objective response rate (ORR) and the disease control rate (DCR) were 59.5% and

83.3%, respectively, in set A, but were lower in set B (32.4% and 72.1%, respectively). We did not find any significant associations between the  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  H/H, H/R, and R/R genotypes and the  $Fc\gamma RIIIA$  V/V, V/F, and F/F genotypes with tumor response in set B. However, we observed a trend of higher DCR in the  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  H/H genotype compared with the H/R or R/R genotypes (94.7% vs 73.9%, P=0.07) in set A. We further classified patients based on their combination of Fc γRIIA and IIIA into two groups: the H/H or V/V group, which represented the group with higher affinity, and all the others, which represented the group with lower affinity. Still, no association between  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and IIIA genotypes and tumor response was found in set B, but the H/H or V/V genotype was associated with a significantly higher DCR in set A (95.2% vs 71.4%, P=0.04). The detailed information is presented in Table 2.

# $Fc\gamma R$ genotypes and progression-free survival

The median follow-up time was 13.15 (range: 3.5–73.63) and 7.30 (range: 1.3–63.1) months in set A and set B, respectively. The median progression-free survival (PFS) was estimated to be 7.23 (95% CI: 4.93–9.53) and 5.27 (95% CI: 3.66–6.87) months in set A and set B, respectively.

In set A, univariate analysis showed that  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  polymorphism with the H/H genotype was associated with significantly superior PFS compared with the H/R or R/R genotype (hazard ratio [HR] [95% CI]: 0.36 [0.16-0.82], P=0.02). At the same time,  $Fc\gamma RIIIA$  polymorphism had no impact on PFS (HR [95% CI]: 0.20 [0.03–1.49], P=0.12). When considering the prognostic value of the combination of  $Fc\gamma RIIA$ and IIIA polymorphisms, we found that the H/H or V/V genotype was also related to significantly better PFS compared with other genotypes (HR [95% CI]: 0.29 [0.13-0.67], P=0.004). The prognostic value of  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and/or IIIA was further adjusted for the following factors in the multivariate analysis: age ( $<52/\geq52$  years), gender (male/female), Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group score (0/1), degree of differentiation (well-differentiated adenocarcinoma/ moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma/poorly differentiated/signet ring cell carcinoma/mucinous adenocarcinoma), and Lauren classification (intestinal type/diffuse type/mixed type).  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  polymorphism and the combination of FcyRIIA and IIIA polymorphisms remained independent and significant prognostic factors for PFS in set A (adjusted HR [95% CI]: 0.18 [0.07–0.48] and 0.17 [0.07–0.45], P=0.001 and P < 0.001, respectively). Fc  $\gamma RIIIA$  polymorphism also showed a tendency to be prognostic in the multivariate analysis in set A (adjusted HR [95% CI]: 0.15 [0.02-1.31], P=0.09).

	Features	FcyRIIA				FcyRIIIA				Fc yRIIA and	IIIA		
		Н/Н (%),	R/R (%),	H/R (%),	P-value	V/V (%),	F/F (%),	V/F (%),	P-value	H/H or	Others,	P-value	
Prior 2 matrix         D33         D34         D34 <thd34< th=""></thd34<>		n=56	n=I4	n=40		n=19	n=40	n=5 l		V/V, n=6 I	n=49		
Denice $9 (45.2)$ $7 (45.3)$ $16 (331)$ $5 (11)$ $16 (32)$ $2 (47)$ $0 (580)$ $2 (32)$	Her-2 status				0.52				0.38			0.37	
Negnice $37$ (54) $7$ (10.) $24$ (3.1) $14$ (30.6) $22$ (3.1) $24$ (3.1)	Positive	19 (45.2)	7 (16.7)	16 (38.1)		5 (11.9)	18 (42.9)	19 (45.2)		21 (50.0)	21 (50.0)		
Age (sprar, modian S2) $037$ $037$ $037$ $< 23$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 7$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ $< 3$ </td <td>Negative</td> <td>37 (54.4)</td> <td>7 (10.3)</td> <td>24 (35.3)</td> <td></td> <td>14 (20.6)</td> <td>22 (32.4)</td> <td>32 (47.1)</td> <td></td> <td>40 (58.8)</td> <td>28 (41.2)</td> <td></td>	Negative	37 (54.4)	7 (10.3)	24 (35.3)		14 (20.6)	22 (32.4)	32 (47.1)		40 (58.8)	28 (41.2)		
< 23 $< 23$ $< 53$ $< 53$ $< 54$ $> 54$	Age (years, median 52)				0.52				0.97			0.38	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<52	23 (45.1)	7 (13.7)	21 (41.2)		9 (17.6)	19 (37.3)	23 (45.1)		26 (51.0)	25 (49.0)		
Gender         0.08         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.08         0.04         0.06         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09         0.09 <th0.09< th="">         0.09         0.09         &lt;</th0.09<>	≥52	33 (55.9)	7 (11.9)	19 (32.2)		10 (16.9)	21 (35.6)	28 (47.5)		35 (59.3)	24 (40.7)		
Male         Tale         Tale <thtale< th="">         Tale         Tale         <th< td=""><td>Gender</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.08</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.08</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.56</td></th<></thtale<>	Gender				0.08				0.08			0.56	
Fenale $23 (32)$ $9 (205)$ $12 (73)$ $14 (30)$ $12 (32)$ $12 (32)$ $14 (30)$ $26 (31)$ $18 (40)$ $26 (31)$ $18 (40)$ $26 (31)$ $18 (40)$ $26 (31)$ $18 (40)$ $26 (31)$ $18 (40)$ $20 (31)$ $10 (460)$ 1         1         1         1 (4 (60)         4 (174)         5 (17)         3 (41) $4 (74)$ 3 (41) $4 (74)$ $4 (74)$ $7 (74,0)$ $7$	Male	33 (50.0)	5 (7.6)	28 (42.4)		7 (10.6)	26 (39.4)	33 (50.0)		35 (53.0)	31 (47.0)		
$ \begin{array}{ccccccc} {\rm ECOG store} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 &$	Female	23 (52.3)	9 (20.5)	12 (27.3)		12 (27.3)	14 (31.8)	18 (40.9)		26 (59.1)	18 (40.9)		
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} 0 & 1 & 1 & (12,2) & 35 & (42,1) & 15 & (17,2) & 36 & (4,1) & 36 & (4,4) & 47 & (5,4) & 9 & (97,4) \\ \hline 1 & (3875 {\rm corr} {\rm corr} {\rm carr} {\rm $	ECOG score				0.25				0.08				
	0	42 (48.3)	10 (11.5)	35 (40.2)		15 (17.2)	36 (41.4)	36 (41.4)		47 (54.0)	40 (46.0)	0.56	
Garrectority $0.47$ $0.47$ $0.47$ $0.27$ $0.27$ Yes         13 (48.1)         2 (7.4)         2 (4.4)         7 (35.9)         3 (4.5)         15 (55.6)         12 (4.4)           No         Location of tumor         13 (48.1)         2 (7.4)         12 (4.4)         3 (3.0)         9 (3.9)         11 (48.1)         15 (55.6)         12 (4.4)           Proximal         14 (6.0)         3 (13.0)         6 (2.5.1)         3 (3.0)         9 (3.9)         14 (6.0)         9 (3.9)           Proximal         14 (6.0)         3 (13.0)         6 (2.5.1)         2 (3.3)         0 (0.0)         4 (6.7)         2 (3.3)           Disal         2 (3.0)         0 (0.0)         3 (50.0)         0 (0.0)         3 (50.0)         2 (3.3)         2 (6.5.1)           Disal         2 (4.8)         7 (1.4.3)         9 (3.0)         2 (3.3)         0 (0.0)         4 (6.57)         2 (4.5)         2 (4.5)           Disal         2 (3.0)         0 (0.0)         3 (50.0)         2 (3.3)         2 (6.5.1)         2 (6.5.1)         2 (6.5.1)           Disal         2 (3.0)         2 (3.0)         2 (3.3)         2 (6.5.1)         2 (4.5)         2 (6.5.1)         2 (4.5.1)      D	_	14 (60.9)	4 (17.4)	5 (21.7)		4 (17.4)	4 (17.4)	15 (65.2)		14 (60.9)	9 (39.1)		
Yes         13 (5.18)         12 (1.45)         23 (3.3)         12 (1.45)         33 (3.98)         38 (5.5)         15 (5.5.)         12 (4.4)           No         Location of tunor         13 (4.1)         2 (7.4)         12 (4.4)         0.35         6 (5.5.4)         3 (5.5.6)         12 (4.4)           Proximal         13 (4.0)         3 (1.3.0)         6 (2.1)         0.37         0.36         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.6.9)         9 (3.1)           Proximal         14 (6.0)         3 (1.3.0)         6 (2.1)         0 (3.1)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.6.7)         2 (3.3.3)         1 (4.6.7)         2 (3.3.3)         2 (6.5.0)         1 (4.3.3)         1 (4.6.7)         2 (3.3.3)         2 (6.5.0)         1 (4.3.3)         2 (6.5.0)         1 (4.3.3)         2 (6.5.0)         1 (4.6.7)         2 (3.3.3)         2 (6.5.0)         1 (4.6.7)         2 (3.3.3)         2 (6.5.0)         1 (4.9.3)         2 (6.5.0)         2 (6.5.0)         2 (6.5.0)         2 (6.5.	Gastrectomy				0.47				0.27			0.99	
	Yes	43 (51.8)	12 (14.5)	28 (33.7)		12 (14.5)	33 (39.8)	38 (45.8)		46 (55.4)	37 (44.6)		
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{Lincation} \mbox{funct} \\ \mbox{Frontinal} \\ $	No	13 (48.1)	2 (7.4)	12 (44.4)		7 (25.9)	7 (25.9)	13 (48.1)		15 (55.6)	12 (44.4)		
	Location of tumor				0.87				0.36			0.81	
Middle         Middle         (6,50,0)         4 (1,25)         12 (375)         8 (250)         10 (313)         14 (438)         18 (563)         14 (433)           Obsal         Obsal         23 (45,9)         7 (14,3)         19 (38,8)         6 (12,2)         21 (42,9)         25 (310)         24 (49,0)           Observe of differentiation         3 (500)         0 (00)         3 (500)         0 (00)         3 (500)         2 (66.7)         2 (333)         2 (66.7)         2 (333)         2 (66.7)         2 (333)         2 (66.7)         2 (333)         2 (66.7)         2 (333)         2 (66.7)         2 (66.7)         2 (66.7)         2 (66.7)         2 (66.7)         2 (66.7)         2 (66.7)         2 (66.7)         2 (66.7)         2 (66.7)         2 (66.7)         2 (66.7)         2 (66.7)         2 (65.7) </td <td>Proximal</td> <td>14 (60.9)</td> <td>3 (13.0)</td> <td>6 (26.1)</td> <td></td> <td>3 (13.0)</td> <td>9 (39.1)</td> <td>II (47.8)</td> <td></td> <td>14 (60.9)</td> <td>9 (39.1)</td> <td></td>	Proximal	14 (60.9)	3 (13.0)	6 (26.1)		3 (13.0)	9 (39.1)	II (47.8)		14 (60.9)	9 (39.1)		
$ \begin{array}{cccccc} \mbox{Distal} & \$	Middle	16 (50.0)	4 (12.5)	12 (37.5)		8 (25.0)	10 (31.3)	14 (43.8)		18 (56.3)	14 (43.8)		
Others $3 (500)$ $0 (0.0)$ $3 (500)$ $0 (0.0)$ $3 (66.7)$ $2 (33.3)$ $0 (66.7)$ $2 (33.3)$ $2 (33.3)$ $2 (33.3)$ $2 (33.3)$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (33.3)$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (33.3)$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (33.3)$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (33.3)$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (33.3)$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (36.7)$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (35.0)$ $1 (35.0)$ $1 (35.0)$ $1 (33.3)$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (35.0)$ $1 (66.7)$ $2 (35.0)$ $1 (66.7)$ $2 (35.0)$ $7 (56.0)$ $4 (66.0)$ $4 (66.0)$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (36.7)$ $2 (16.0)$ $4 (65.0)$ $4 (66.0)$ $4 (66.0)$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (36.7)$ $4 (66.0)$ $4 (66.0)$ $4 (66.0)$ $2 (66.7)$ $2 (36.7)$ $4 (66.0)$ <td>Distal</td> <td>23 (46.9)</td> <td>7 (14.3)</td> <td>19 (38.8)</td> <td></td> <td>6 (12.2)</td> <td>21 (42.9)</td> <td>22 (44.9)</td> <td></td> <td>25 (51.0)</td> <td>24 (49.0)</td> <td></td>	Distal	23 (46.9)	7 (14.3)	19 (38.8)		6 (12.2)	21 (42.9)	22 (44.9)		25 (51.0)	24 (49.0)		
	Others	3 (50.0)	0 (0.0)	3 (50.0)		2 (33.3)	0 (0.0)	4 (66.7)		4 (66.7)	2 (33.3)		
Well-differentiated adenocarcinoma         1 (333)         0 (0.0)         3 (100.0)         0 (0.0)         1 (333)         2 (66.7)           Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma         13 (65.0)         5 (55.0)         2 (10.0)         7 (35.0)         11 (55.0)         7 (35.0)         13 (65.0)         7 (35.0)         40 (46.0)           Poorly differentiated discriminated         42 (48.3)         12 (13.8)         33 (37.9)         17 (19.5)         30 (34.5)         51 (46.0)         47 (54.0)         40 (46.0)           Poorly differentiated/signet ring cell         42 (48.3)         12 (13.8)         33 (37.9)         17 (19.5)         30 (34.5)         51 (45.0)         47 (54.0)         40 (46.0)           actional mucinous adenocarcinoma         13 (65.0)         9 (13.2)         25 (13.2)         14 (20.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         17 (45.7)           Diffuse type         34 (50.0)         10 (62.5)         6 (37.5)         8 (50.0)         10 (62.5)         6 (37.5)           Sould         12 (46.2)         37 (44.6)         37 (44.5)         11 (42.3)         12 (46.2)         0.69           Mised type         12 (46.2)         6 (37.5)         14 (10.4)         25 (34.7)         14 (4.6)         12 (45.2) <td< td=""><td>Degree of differentiation</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.53</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.16</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.50</td></td<>	Degree of differentiation				0.53				0.16			0.50	
Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma         13 (65.0)         2 (10.0)         5 (35.0)         1 (35.0)         7 (44.7)         7 (44.7)	Well-differentiated adenocarcinoma	I (33.3)	0 (0.0)	2 (66.71)		0 (0.0)	3 (100.0)	0 (0.0)		I (33.3)	2 (66.7)		
Poorly differentiated/signet ring cell         42 (48.3)         12 (13.8)         33 (37.9)         17 (19.5)         30 (34.5)         51 (46.0)         47 (54.0)         47 (54.0)         40 (46.0)           carcinoma/mucinous adenocarcinoma         Lauren classification         0.48         0.48         0.315         0.316         0.375         0.315         0.316         0.375         0.315         0.315         0.316         0.375         0.315         0.316         0.375         0.315         0.316         0.375         0.316         0.375         0.316         0.375         0.316         0.375         0.316         0.375         0.316         0.375         0.316         0.375         0.316         0.466         0.00         0.132         0.316         0.160         0.122         0.316         0.316         0.316         0.166         0.166 <td>Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma</td> <td>13 (65.0)</td> <td>2 (10.0)</td> <td>5 (25.0)</td> <td></td> <td>2 (10.0)</td> <td>7 (35.0)</td> <td>11 (55.0)</td> <td></td> <td>13 (65.0)</td> <td>7 (35.0)</td> <td></td>	Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma	13 (65.0)	2 (10.0)	5 (25.0)		2 (10.0)	7 (35.0)	11 (55.0)		13 (65.0)	7 (35.0)		
0.48         0.81           Lauren classification         0.81           Lauren classification         0.81           Lauren classification         0.81           Intestinal type         0.81         0.81           Diffuse type         0         0.61         37 (54.4)         31 (45.5)         6 (37.5)         6 (37.5)         6 (37.5)         0.81           Diffuse type         31 (55.0)         9 (16.2)         9 (37.5)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (44.6)           Nove         0.65         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (44.4)         2 (45.2)         2 (44.6)         32 (44.4)         0.69         31 (44.6)         31 (44.6)         32 (44.6) <th colsp<="" td=""><td>Poorly differentiated/signet ring cell</td><td>42 (48.3)</td><td>12 (13.8)</td><td>33 (37.9)</td><td></td><td>17 (19.5)</td><td>30 (34.5)</td><td>51 (46.0)</td><td></td><td>47 (54.0)</td><td>40 (46.0)</td><td></td></th>	<td>Poorly differentiated/signet ring cell</td> <td>42 (48.3)</td> <td>12 (13.8)</td> <td>33 (37.9)</td> <td></td> <td>17 (19.5)</td> <td>30 (34.5)</td> <td>51 (46.0)</td> <td></td> <td>47 (54.0)</td> <td>40 (46.0)</td> <td></td>	Poorly differentiated/signet ring cell	42 (48.3)	12 (13.8)	33 (37.9)		17 (19.5)	30 (34.5)	51 (46.0)		47 (54.0)	40 (46.0)	
Lauren classification $0.48$ $0.48$ $0.48$ Lauren classificationIntestinal type $10 (62.5)$ $6 (37.5)$ $8 (50.0)$ $10 (62.5)$ $6 (37.5)$ Diffuse type $34 (50.0)$ $9 (13.2)$ $25 (36.8)$ $14 (20.6)$ $23 (33.8)$ $31 (45.6)$ $37 (54.4)$ $31 (45.6)$ Diffuse type $34 (50.0)$ $9 (13.2)$ $25 (34.5)$ $3 (7.9)$ $12 (46.2)$ $14 (53.8)$ $12 (46.2)$ Nixed type $12 (46.2)$ $5 (19.2)$ $9 (34.6)$ $3 (11.5)$ $11 (42.3)$ $12 (46.2)$ $14 (53.8)$ $12 (46.2)$ Smoke $20 (52.6)$ $3 (7.9)$ $15 (39.5)$ $16 (7.4)$ $21 (53.8)$ $12 (46.2)$ $21 (53.8)$ $12 (46.2)$ Smoke $20 (52.6)$ $3 (7.9)$ $15 (39.5)$ $16 (19.4)$ $25 (34.7)$ $33 (45.8)$ $21 (55.6)$ $21 (55.3)$ $17 (44.7)$ Never $36 (50.0)$ $11 (15.3)$ $25 (34.7)$ $14 (19.4)$ $25 (34.7)$ $33 (45.8)$ $40 (55.6)$ $32 (44.4)$ Drink $15 (48.4)$ $3 (97.7)$ $13 (41.9)$ $0.69$ $4 (12.9)$ $17 (54.8)$ $14 (45.2)$ Never $41 (51.9)$ $11 (13.9)$ $27 (34.2)$ $30 (38.0)$ $34 (43.0)$ $44 (55.7)$ $35 (44.3)$ Metastasis $0.51$ $17 (44.7)$ $21 (37.3)$ $17 (44.7)$ $21 (57.9)$ $21 (57.9)$ $21 (57.9)$ $21 (57.9)$ Never $15 (48.4)$ $3 (7.1)$ $23 (7.2)$ $21 (7.2)$ $23 (7.4)$ $21 (7.4)$ $21 (7.4)$ Never $11 (18.$	carcinoma/mucinous adenocarcinoma												
Intestinal type         10 (62.5)         6 (37.5)         8 (50.0)         10 (62.5)         6 (37.5)         8 (50.0)         10 (62.5)         6 (37.5)         8 (50.0)         10 (62.5)         6 (37.5)         8 (50.0)         10 (62.5)         6 (37.5)         8 (50.0)         11 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)         32 (45.2)         6 (37.5)         8 (50.0)         17 (44.7)         12 (46.2)         6 (37.5)         17 (44.7)         21 (45.2)         17 (44.7)         21 (54.2)         17 (44.7)         21 (54.6)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (45.2)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (44.4)         32 (4	Lauren classification				0.48				0.81			0.83	
Diffuse type         34 (50.0)         9 (13.2)         25 (36.8)         14 (20.6)         23 (33.8)         31 (45.6)         37 (54.4)         31 (45.6)           Mixed type         12 (46.2)         5 (19.2)         9 (34.6)         3 (11.5)         11 (42.3)         12 (46.2)         14 (53.8)         12 (46.2)         14 (53.8)         12 (46.2)         12 (45.4)         12 (45.2)         12 (45.4)         12 (45.2)         12 (45.2)         12 (45.2)         12 (45.2)         12 (45.2)         12 (45.2)         12 (45.2)         12 (45.2)         12 (45.2)         12 (45.2)         12 (45.2)         12 (45.2)         12 (45.2)         12 (45	Intestinal type	10 (62.5)	0 (0.0)	6 (37.5)		2 (12.5)	6 (37.5)	8 (50.0)		10 (62.5)	6 (37.5)		
Mixed type       12 (46.2)       5 (19.2)       9 (34.6)       3 (11.5)       11 (42.3)       12 (46.2)       14 (53.8)       12 (46.2)         Smoke       0.53       0.53       0.53       0.53       0.53       0.69       17 (44.7)         Present or previous       20 (52.6)       3 (7.9)       15 (39.5)       15 (39.5)       18 (47.4)       21 (55.3)       17 (44.7)         Never       36 (50.0)       11 (15.3)       25 (34.7)       33 (45.8)       0.51       21 (55.3)       17 (44.4)         Drink       0.51       14 (19.4)       25 (34.7)       33 (45.8)       0.51       32 (44.4)         Drink       0.69       4 (12.9)       10 (32.3)       17 (54.8)       17 (54.8)       14 (45.2)         Never       41 (51.9)       11 (13.9)       27 (34.2)       15 (19.0)       30 (38.0)       34 (43.0)       44 (55.7)       35 (44.3)         Metastasis       0.95       15 (19.0)       30 (38.0)       34 (43.0)       26 (51.0)       26 (51.0)       26 (51.0)       25 (49.0)       35 (49.0)       35 (49.0)       35 (49.0)       35 (49.0)       35 (49.0)       35 (49.0)       35 (49.0)       35 (49.0)       35 (49.0)       35 (49.0)       35 (49.0)       35 (49.0)       35 (49.0)       3	Diffuse type	34 (50.0)	9 (13.2)	25 (36.8)		14 (20.6)	23 (33.8)	31 (45.6)		37 (54.4)	31 (45.6)		
Smoke $0.53$ $0.69$ Present or previous $20 (52.6) \ 3 (7.9) \ 15 (39.5) \ 15 (39.5) \ 15 (39.5) \ 15 (39.5) \ 15 (39.5) \ 13 (47.4) \ 25 (34.7) \ 33 (45.8) \ 21 (55.3) \ 17 (44.7) \ 10 (12.9) \ 10 (12.3) \ 13 (45.8) \ 21 (55.6) \ 32 (44.4) \ 25 (34.7) \ 33 (45.8) \ 20 (55.6) \ 32 (44.4) \ 21 (55.6) \ 32 (44.4) \ 25 (34.7) \ 33 (45.8) \ 20 (11 (13.9) \ 27 (34.2) \ 13 (41.9) \ 25 (34.7) \ 33 (45.8) \ 25 (34.7) \ 33 (45.8) \ 17 (54.8) \ 14 (45.2) \ 16 (45.2) \ 16 (12.9) \ 10 (32.3) \ 17 (54.8) \ 17 (54.8) \ 14 (45.2) \ 16 (45.2) \ 18 (45.2) \ 21 (13.9) \ 27 (34.2) \ 25 (19.0) \ 30 (38.0) \ 34 (43.0) \ 24 (45.7) \ 35 (44.3) \ 26 (44.1) \ 20 (35.6) \ 26 (51.0) \ 25 (49.0) \ 2$	Mixed type	12 (46.2)	5 (19.2)	9 (34.6)		3 (11.5)	II (42.3)	12 (46.2)		14 (53.8)	12 (46.2)		
Present or previous         20 (52.6)         3 (7.9)         15 (39.5)         5 (13.2)         15 (39.5)         18 (47.4)         21 (55.3)         17 (44.7)           Never         36 (50.0)         11 (15.3)         25 (34.7)         14 (19.4)         25 (34.7)         33 (45.8)         40 (55.6)         32 (44.4)           Never         36 (50.0)         11 (15.3)         25 (34.7)         14 (19.4)         25 (34.7)         33 (45.8)         40 (55.6)         32 (44.4)           Drink         0.69         0.69         4 (12.9)         10 (32.3)         17 (54.8)         14 (45.2)           Present or previous         15 (48.4)         3 (9.7)         13 (41.9)         27 (34.2)         0.51         17 (54.8)         14 (45.2)           Never         41 (51.9)         11 (13.9)         27 (34.2)         15 (19.0)         30 (38.0)         34 (43.0)         44 (55.7)         35 (44.3)           Metastasis         2.6 (51.0)         7 (13.7)         18 (15.7)         18 (15.7)         18 (15.7)         35 (49.0)           Multiole         2.6 (51.0)         7 (11.9)         22 (37.3)         26 (44.1)         35 (59.3)         24 (40.7)	Smoke				0.53				0.69			0.98	
Never         36 (50.0)         11 (15.3)         25 (34.7)         14 (19.4)         25 (34.7)         33 (45.8)         40 (55.6)         32 (44.4)           Drink         0.51         0.51         0.51         0.51         14 (45.2)           Present or previous         15 (48.4)         3 (9.7)         13 (41.9)         0.69         0.51         17 (54.8)         14 (45.2)           Never         41 (51.9)         11 (13.9)         27 (34.2)         15 (19.0)         30 (38.0)         34 (43.0)         44 (55.7)         35 (44.3)           Metastasis         0.95         15 (19.0)         30 (38.0)         34 (43.0)         44 (55.7)         35 (49.0)           Single         26 (51.0)         7 (13.7)         18 (35.3)         26 (49.0)         26 (51.0)         25 (49.0)           Multiole         30 (50.8)         7 (11.9)         22 (37.3)         26 (44.1)         35 (59.3)         24 (40.7)	Present or previous	20 (52.6)	3 (7.9)	15 (39.5)		5 (13.2)	15 (39.5)	18 (47.4)		21 (55.3)	17 (44.7)		
Drink     0.69     0.51       Present or previous     15 (48.4)     3 (9.7)     13 (41.9)     4 (12.9)     10 (32.3)     17 (54.8)     14 (45.2)       Present or previous     15 (48.4)     3 (9.7)     13 (41.9)     27 (34.2)     16 (12.9)     10 (32.3)     17 (54.8)     14 (45.2)       Never     41 (51.9)     11 (13.9)     27 (34.2)     15 (19.0)     30 (38.0)     34 (43.0)     44 (55.7)     35 (44.3)       Metastasis     0.95     0.95     8 (15.7)     18 (35.3)     26 (51.0)     26 (51.0)     26 (51.0)     26 (51.0)     26 (51.0)     26 (51.0)     26 (40.0)       Multinle     30 (50.8)     7 (11.9)     22 (37.3)     26 (44.1)     35 (59.3)     24 (40.7)	Never	36 (50.0)	11 (15.3)	25 (34.7)		14 (19.4)	25 (34.7)	33 (45.8)		40 (55.6)	32 (44.4)		
Present or previous         15 (48.4)         3 (9.7)         13 (41.9)         4 (12.9)         10 (32.3)         17 (54.8)         14 (45.2)           Never         41 (51.9)         11 (13.9)         27 (34.2)         15 (19.0)         30 (38.0)         34 (43.0)         44 (55.7)         35 (44.3)           Never         41 (51.9)         11 (13.9)         27 (34.2)         15 (19.0)         30 (38.0)         34 (43.0)         44 (55.7)         35 (44.3)           Metastasis         0.95         0.95         0.95         18 (15.7)         18 (35.3)         26 (51.0)         26 (51.0)         25 (49.0)           Single         26 (51.0)         7 (11.9)         22 (37.3)         26 (44.1)         35 (59.3)         24 (40.7)	Drink				0.69				0.51			0.94	
Never         41 (51.9)         11 (13.9)         27 (34.2)         15 (19.0)         30 (38.0)         34 (43.0)         44 (55.7)         35 (44.3)           Metastasis         0.95         0.95         0.95         0.95         0.86         26 (51.0)         7 (13.7)         18 (35.3)         8 (15.7)         18 (35.3)         25 (49.0)         26 (51.0)         25 (49.0)         25 (49.0)         26 (51.0)         26 (51.0)         25 (49.0)         26 (40.1)         30 (50.8)         7 (11.9)         22 (37.3)         26 (44.1)         35 (59.3)         24 (40.7)	Present or previous	15 (48.4)	3 (9.7)	13 (41.9)		4 (12.9)	10 (32.3)	17 (54.8)		17 (54.8)	14 (45.2)		
Metastasis         0.95         0.86         0.86           Single         26 (51.0)         7 (13.7)         18 (35.3)         25 (49.0)         26 (51.0)         25 (49.0)           Multine         30 (50.8)         7 (11.9)         22 (37.3)         26 (44.1)         35 (59.3)         24 (40.7)	Never	41 (51.9)	11 (13.9)	27 (34.2)		15 (19.0)	30 (38.0)	34 (43.0)		44 (55.7)	35 (44.3)		
Single 26 (51.0) 7 (13.7) 18 (35.3) 8 (15.7) 18 (35.3) 25 (49.0) 26 (51.0) 25 (49.0) Multine 30 (50.8) 7 (11.9) 22 (37.3) 11 (18.6) 22 (37.3) 26 (44.1) 35 (59.3) 24 (40.7)	Metastasis				0.95				0.86			0.38	
Multiple 30 (50.8) 7 (11.9) 22 (37.3) 11 (18.6) 22 (37.3) 26 (44.1) 35 (59.3) 24 (40.7)	Single	26 (51.0)	7 (13.7)	I8 (35.3)		8 (15.7)	18 (35.3)	25 (49.0)		26 (51.0)	25 (49.0)		
	Multiple	30 (50.8)	7 (11.9)	22 (37.3)		11 (18.6)	22 (37.3)	26 (44.1)		35 (59.3)	24 (40.7)		

	Set A (I	n=42)								Set B (n=	68)							
	No (%)	Respon	se							No (%)	Respons	e						
		CR	PR	SD	PD	ORR I	DCR	P-value	P-value		К	R	SD	PD	ORR	DCR	P-value	P-value
		(%) ou	(%) ou	(%) ou	(%) ou	ı (%) ou	(%) ou	for ORR	for DCR	_	i (%) ou	ı (%) or	(%) סו	(%) ou	(%) ou	no (%) 1	for ORR	for DCR
FcyRIIA																		
H/H	19 (45.2)	(5.3) I (5.3)	II (57.9)	6 (31.6)	I (5.3)	12 (63.2)	18 (94.7)		(-)	37 (54.4)	0.0) 0	14 (37.8)	13 (35.1)	10 (27.0)	14 (37.8)	27 (73.0)		
H/R	16 (38.1)	0.0) 0	8 (50.0)	2 (12.5)	6 (37.5)	8 (50.0)	10 (62.5)			24 (35.3)	0.0) 0	6 (25.0)	13 (54.2)	5 (20.8)	6 (25.0)	19 (79.1)		
R/R	7 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	5 (71.0)	2 (28.6)	0.0) 0	5 (71.0) 5	7 (100.0)			7 (10.3) (	0.0) 0	2 (28.6)	l (14.3)	4 (57.1)	2 (28.6)	3 (42.9)		
H/R or R/R	23 (54.8)	0.0) 0	13 (56.5)	4 (17.4)	6 (26.1)	13 (56.5)	17 (73.9)		(-)	31 (45.6) (	0.0) 0	8 (25.8)	14 (45.2)	9 (29.0)	8 (25.8)	22 (71.0)		
H/H vs H/R vs R/R								0.58	0.02							0	0.57	0.18
H/H vs H/R or R/R								0.66	0.07							0	0.29	0.85
FcyRIIIA																		
NN	5 (11.9)	0 (0.0)	4 (80.0)	I (20.0)	0.0) 0	4 (80.0) 1	5 (100.0)			14 (20.6)	0.0) 0	7 (50.0)	I (7.I)	6 (42.9)	7 (50.0)	8 (57.0)		
V/F	19 (45.2)	1 (5.3)	10 (52.6)	3 (15.8)	5 (26.3)	11 (57.9)	14 (73.7)		(-)	32 (47.1) (	0.0) 0	9 (28.1)	15 (46.9)	8 (25.0)	9 (28.1)	24 (75.0)		
F/F	18 (42.9)	0.0) 0	10 (55.6)	6 (33.3)	2 (11.1)	10 (55.6)	16 (88.9)			22 (32.4)	0.0) 0	6 (27.3)	II (50.0)	5 (22.7)	6 (27.3)	17 (77.3)		
V/F or F/F	37 (88.1)	0.0) 0	21 (56.8)	9 (24.3)	7 (18.9)	21 (56.8)	30 (81.0)		2,	54 (79.4)	0.0) 0	15 (27.8)	26 (48.1)	13 (24.1)	15 (27.8)	41 (75.9)		
V/V vs V/F vs F/F								0.61	0.27							0	0.29	0.38
V/V vs V/F or F/F								0.32	0.29							0	0.11	0.16
FcyRIIA and IIIA								0.35	0.04							0	0.28	0.65
H/H or V/V	21 (50.0)	(6.1.9) 1 (	13 (66.7)	6 (28.6)	I (4.8)	14 (66.7)	20 (95.2)		7	40 (58.8) (	0.0) 0	15 (37.5)	13 (32.50)	12 (30.0)	15 (37.5)	28 (70.0)		
Others	21 (50.0)	0.0) 0	II (52.4)	4 (19.0)	6 (28.6)	11 (52.4)	15 (71.4)			28 (41.2)	0.0) 0	7 (25.0)	14 (50.0)	7 (25.0)	7 (25.0)	21 (75.0)		
Notes: Set A: patients v Abbreviations: CR, co	vith Her-2– mplete resp	positive GC onse; DCR	c who receiv , disease cor	/ed trastuz	umab comt FcγR, Fc γr	oined with ch eceptor; GC	nemotherap C, gastric ca	y as the first ncer; ORR,	c-line treatme objective resp	nt. Set B: pa oonse rate;	ttients witl PD, progra	1 Her-2–neg essed diseas	ative GC wl e; PR, partia	no received I response; S	chemothera SD, stable di	ipy only as th sease.	ie first-line	treatment.

Table 2 FcyR polymorphisms and tumor responses in metastatic GC

However, in set B, no associations between  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and/or *IIIA* polymorphisms and PFS were identified in either univariate or multivariate analysis. Detailed information on the univariate and multivariate survival analyses is given in Table 3. The prognostic differences in PFS between  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and/or *IIIA* polymorphisms in set A and set B were analyzed by the Kaplan–Meier method, as shown in Figure 1 ( $Fc\gamma RIIA$ ), Figure 2 ( $Fc\gamma RIIA$ ), and Figure 3 (the combination of  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and *IIIA*).

#### Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the impact of  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and/or *IIIA* polymorphisms on tumor response and PFS in metastatic GC patients receiving chemotherapy and trastuzumab or chemotherapy alone. We found that the  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  H/H genotype was associated with a trend of higher DCR and significantly superior PFS, compared with the H/R or R/R genotype. In addition, when combining  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and *IIIA* polymorphisms together, the H/H or V/V genotype was related to significantly higher DCR and better PFS compared with other genotypes.

Her-2 overexpression is identified to be a negative prognostic factor, and anti-Her-2–targeted therapy with trastuzumab could remedy the survival gap between Her-2-positive GC and Her-2-negative GC, as suggested by a cohort study.<sup>10</sup> In ToGA trial, the addition of trastuzumab to cisplatin-based chemotherapy significantly prolonged PFS from 5.5 to 6.7 months and improved ORR from 35% to 47% in Her-2-positive GC.<sup>7</sup> However, primary resistance to trastuzumab was also observed in a small portion of patients. The identification of predictive markers for screening of patients for the efficacy of trastuzumab is important to avoid unnecessary costs.

ADCC has been suggested as another antitumor mechanism in addition to blocking the signal transduction pathway.<sup>24,25</sup>  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and *IIIA* polymorphisms have a substantial impact on the binding affinity in ADCC.<sup>15,16</sup> Consistent with some previous findings, we identified that the  $Fc\gamma RIIA$ H/H or  $Fc\gamma RIIIA$  V/V genotype benefits more from trastuzumab than other genotypes. Although it had no impact on ORR, the H/H or V/V genotype was associated with significantly improved DCR and PFS. This impact did not exist in patients receiving chemotherapy-only treatment. This finding is consistent with the mechanism of ADCC. Lymphocyte infiltration of tumors might not lead to obvious shrinkage of tumor size, and sometimes even results in enlargement of the tumor size, as observed in

	Set A (n=42	(¿				Set B (n=68	•			
	Median	Univariate		Multivariate		Median	Univariate		Multivariate	
	PFS (months)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)	P-value	PFS (months)	Hazard ratio (95% CI)	<b>P</b> -value	Adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI)	P-value
General	7.23					5.27				
cyRIIA polymorphism			0.02		0.001			0.83		0.78
H/R or R/R	4.93	l (reference)		l (reference)		5.67	l (reference)		l (reference)	
H/H	11.7	0.36 (0.16-0.82)		0.18 (0.07–0.48)		4.60	1.06 (0.61–1.86)		0.91 (0.50–1.69)	
cyRIIIA polymorphism			0.12		0.09			0.96		0.94
=/V or F/F	6.87	l (reference)		l (reference)		6.07	l (reference)		l (reference)	
N/		0.20 (0.03–1.49)		0.15 (0.02–1.31)		2.93	1.02 (0.50–2.06)		0.97 (0.45–2.09)	
cyRIIA and IIIA	I		0.004		<0.001			0.62		0.94
Others	4.33	l (reference)		l (reference)		5.67	l (reference)		l (reference)	
H/H or V/V	15.93	0.29 (0.13–0.67)		0.17 (0.07–0.45)		4.60	1.15 (0.66–2.03)		1.03 (0.56–1.90)	



**Figure I** Progression-free survival for patients with metastatic gastric cancer receiving chemotherapy and trastuzumab (**A**, *P*=0.02) or chemotherapy only (**B**, *P*=0.83) as the first-line treatment, according to *FcγRIIA* polymorphisms (H/R or R/R vs H/H). **Abbreviation:** FcγR, Fc γ receptor.

immune checkpoint blockage therapy. However, once this treatment is effective, patients might gain a durable benefit for a long time.<sup>26</sup>

In this study, we suggested that the  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  H/H genotype, but not the  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  V/V genotype, predicts a benefit in PFS from trastuzumab treatment in Her-2-positive metastatic GC. This is in accordance with the findings on

metastatic breast cancer. In addition, we demonstrated that the combination of  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and *IIIA* polymorphisms in the  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  H/H or  $Fc\gamma RIIIA$  V/V genotype definitely results in benefits from trastuzumab treatment, with a significant improvement in both DCR and ORR. Thus, the  $Fc\gamma RIIIA$ polymorphism might also have a predictive role in trastuzumab treatment in metastatic GC. Actually, as shown



**Figure 2** Progression-free survival for patients with metastatic gastric cancer receiving chemotherapy and trastuzumab (**A**, *P*=0.12) or chemotherapy only (**B**, *P*=0.96) as the first-line treatment, according to *FcγRIIIA* polymorphisms (F/V or F/F vs V/V). **Abbreviation:** FcγR, Fc γ receptor.

in Table 2,  $Fc\gamma R$  *IIIA* V/V seemed to demonstrate higher ORR and DCR, but a statistically significant difference was not obtained. However, in the combined analysis, positive results were demonstrated when the sample size was enlarged. Thus, our study is limited by a small sample size, and verification by further studies is warranted.

The major limitation of our study was that it was a retrospective, single-center study. Trastuzumab was approved for Her-2-positive metastatic GC in late 2012, and the frequency of Her-2 overexpression was relatively low (~20%) in GC. In addition, trastuzumab was not covered by health insurance in most areas in China. Thus, the sample size was relatively small. Our conclusions need to be verified by larger studies. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, this study demonstrated the predictive value of  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and/or *IIIA* polymorphisms for benefits from trastuzumab



**Figure 3** Progression-free survival for patients with metastatic gastric cancer receiving chemotherapy and trastuzumab (**A**, *P*=0.004) or chemotherapy only (**B**, *P*=0.62) as the first-line treatment, according to  $Fc\gamma RIIA$  and IIIA polymorphisms (others vs H/H or V/V). **Abbreviation:**  $Fc\gamma R$ ,  $Fc \gamma$  receptor.

treatment among patients with metastatic GC for the first time.

#### **Acknowledgments**

We gratefully thank Dr Wei-xiong Xia and Hu Liang in Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center for their statistical suggestions and assistance. Shanghai Roche Pharmaceuticals Limited provided scientific advice, especially Ying-meng Zhang and Zhi-heng Peng. We gratefully thank Huai-qiang Ju, Dong-liang Chen, Ren Chao, Hai-yu Mo, Ying Jin, Feng Wang, Zhi-wei Zhou, Zhao-lei Zeng, and Dong-sheng Zhang in Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center for their help in this project. We acknowledge the invaluable contributions of the patients who participated in this study, their families, and the Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center GI Oncology group. This work is supported by the following funders for Rui-hua Xu, Yu-hong Li, and De-shen Wang:

- National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (863 Program), China (No 2015AA020103)
- National Natural Science Foundation of China (No 81602070)
- 3. Major Special Project from Guangzhou Health and Medical Collaborative Innovation (No 15570006)

There is no financial support for other authors in this article. This study has been presented in part: De-shen Wang, Rui-hua Xu:  $Fc\gamma R$  IIA and IIIA polymorphisms predict clinical outcome of trastuzumab treated metastatic gastric cancer. Poster presentation at the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) 2016 Congress, October 7–11, Copenhagen, Denmark.

#### Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

#### References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016;66(1):7–30.
- Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, et al. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016;66(2):115–132.
- Chen YS, Chen JG, Zhu J, Zhang YH, Ding LL. Long-term survival trends of gastric cancer patients between 1972 and 2011 in Qidong. *Chin J Cancer.* 2015;34(12):602–607.
- 4. Qiu MZ, Xu RH. The progress of targeted therapy in advanced gastric cancer. *Biomark Res.* 2013;1(1):32.
- Fuchs CS, Tomasek J, Yong CJ, et al; REGARD Trial Investigators. Ramucirumab monotherapy for previously treated advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (REGARD): an international, randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* 2014;383(9911):31–39.
- Wilke H, Muro K, Van Cutsem E, et al; RAINBOW Study Group. Ramucirumab plus paclitaxel versus placebo plus paclitaxel in patients with previously treated advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction adenocarcinoma (RAINBOW): a double-blind, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2014;15(11):1224–1235.
- Bang YJ, Van Cutsem E, Feyereislova A, et al; ToGA Trial Investigators. Trastuzumab in combination with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for treatment of HER2-positive advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (ToGA): a phase 3, open-label, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2010;376(9742):687–697.
- Goren D, Horowitz AT, Zalipsky S, Woodle MC, Yarden Y, Gabizon A. Targeting of stealth liposomes to erbB-2 (Her/2) receptor: in vitro and in vivo studies. *Br J Cancer*. 1996;74(11):1749–1756.
- Yamashita-Kashima Y, Iijima S, Yorozu K, et al. Pertuzumab in combination with trastuzumab shows significantly enhanced antitumor activity in HER2-positive human gastric cancer xenograft models. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011;17(5):5060–5070.
- Qiu MZ, Li Q, Wang ZQ, et al. HER2-positive patients receiving trastuzumab treatment have a comparable prognosis with HER2-negative advanced gastric cancer patients: a prospective cohort observation. *Int J Cancer*. 2014;134(10):2468–2477.

- Satoh T, Xu RH, Chung HC, et al. Lapatinib plus paclitaxel versus paclitaxel alone in the second-line treatment of HER2-amplified advanced gastric cancer in Asian populations: TyTAN a randomized, phase III study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014;32(19):2039–2049.
- Voskens CJ, Watanabe R, Rollins S, Campana D, Hasumi K, Mann DL. Ex-vivo expanded human NK cells express activating receptors that mediate cytotoxicity of allogeneic and autologous cancer cell lines by direct recognition and antibody directed cellular cytotoxicity. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* 2010;29:134.
- Clynes RA, Towers TL, Presta LG, Ravetch JV. Inhibitory Fc receptors modulate in vivo cytotoxicity against tumor targets. *Nat Med.* 2000; 6(4):443–446.
- Warmerdam PA, van de Winkel JG, Gosselin EJ, Capel PJ. Molecular basis for a polymorphism of human Fc gamma receptor II (CD32). *J Exp Med.* 1990;172(1):19–25.
- 15. Stavenhagen JB, Gorlatov S, Tuaillon N, et al. Fc optimization of therapeutic antibodies enhances their ability to kill tumor cells in vitro and controls tumor expansion in vivo via low-affinity activating Fcgamma receptors. *Cancer Res.* 2007;67(18):8882–8890.
- 16. Koene HR, Kleijer M, Algra J, Roos D, von Dem BA, de Haas M. Fc gammaRIIIa-158V/F polymorphism influences the binding of IgG by natural killer cell Fc gammaRIIIa, independently of the Fc gammaRIIIa-48L/R/H phenotype. *Blood*. 1997;90(3):1109–1114.
- Cartron G, Dacheux L, Salles G, et al. Therapeutic activity of humanized anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody and polymorphism in IgG Fc receptor FcgammaRIIIa gene. *Blood*. 2002;99(3):754–758.
- Zhang W, Gordon M, Schultheis AM, et al. FCGR2A and FCGR3A polymorphisms associated with clinical outcome of epidermal growth factor receptor expressing metastatic colorectal cancer patients treated with single-agent cetuximab. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;25(24):3712–3718.
- Bibeau F, Lopez-Crapez E, Di Fiore F, et al. Impact of Fc{gamma}RIIa-Fc{gamma}RIIIa polymorphisms and KRAS mutations on the clinical outcome of patients with metastatic colorectal cancer treated with cetuximab plus irinotecan. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(7):1122–1129.
- Tamura K, Shimizu C, Hojo T, et al. FcgammaR2A and 3A polymorphisms predict clinical outcome of trastuzumab in both neoadjuvant and metastatic settings in patients with HER2-positive breast cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 2011;22(6):1302–1307.
- Hurvitz SA, Betting DJ, Stern HM, et al. Analysis of Fcgamma receptor IIIa and IIa polymorphisms: lack of correlation with outcome in trastuzumab-treated breast cancer patients. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2012;18(12): 3478–3486.
- 22. Musolino A, Naldi N, Bortesi B, et al. Immunoglobulin G fragment C receptor polymorphisms and clinical efficacy of trastuzumab-based therapy in patients with HER-2/neu-positive metastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2008;26(11):1789–1796.
- Norton N, Olson RM, Pegram M, et al. Association studies of Fcgamma receptor polymorphisms with outcome in HER2+ breast cancer patients treated with trastuzumab in NCCTG (Alliance) Trial N9831. *Cancer Immunol Res.* 2014;2(10):962–969.
- 24. Boero S, Morabito A, Banelli B, et al. Analysis of in vitro ADCC and clinical response to trastuzumab: possible relevance of FcgammaRIIIA/ FcgammaRIIA gene polymorphisms and HER-2 expression levels on breast cancer cell lines. *J Transl Med.* 2015;13:324.
- 25. Petricevic B, Laengle J, Singer J, et al. Trastuzumab mediates antibodydependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity and phagocytosis to the same extent in both adjuvant and metastatic HER2/neu breast cancer patients. *J Transl Med.* 2013;11:307.
- 26. Weber JS, D'Angelo SP, Minor D, et al. Nivolumab versus chemotherapy in patients with advanced melanoma who progressed after anti-CTLA-4 treatment (CheckMate 037): a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 2015;16(4):375–384.

# Supplementary materials



Figure SI The CONSORT diagram.

Table SI Pati	ient character	istics by tre	atment settings
---------------	----------------	---------------	-----------------

Characteristics	Set A, no (%)	Set B, no (%)
Her-2 status		
Positive	42 (100.0)	0
Negative	0	68 (100.0)
Age (years)		
Median (range)	56 (25–72)	51 (22–73)
Gender		
Male	32 (76.2)	34 (50.0)
Female	10 (23.8)	34 (50.0)
Gastrectomy		
Yes	33 (78.6)	50 (73.5)
No	9 (21.4)	18 (26.5)
Location of tumor		
Proximal	12 (28.6)	11 (16.2)
Middle	15 (35.7)	17 (25.0)
Distal	14 (33.3)	35 (51.5)
Others	I (2.4)	5 (7.4)
Degree of differentiation		
Well-differentiated adenocarcinoma	2 (4.8)	l (l.5)
Moderate differentiated adenocarcinoma	13 (31.0)	7 (10.3)
Poorly differentiated/signet ring cell carcinoma/mucinous adenocarcinoma	27 (64.3)	60 (88.2)
Lauren classification		
Intestinal type	14 (33.3)	2 (2.9)
Diffuse type	23 (54.8)	45 (66.2)
Mixed type	5 (11.9)	21 (30.9)

Notes: Setting A: patients with Her-2-positive GC who received trastuzumab combined with chemotherapy as the first-line treatment. Setting B: patients with Her-2-negative GC who received chemotherapy only as the first-line treatment.

Abbreviation: GC, gastric cancer.

#### **OncoTargets and Therapy**

#### Publish your work in this journal

OncoTargets and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal focusing on the pathological basis of all cancers, potential targets for therapy and treatment protocols employed to improve the management of cancer patients. The journal also focuses on the impact of management programs and new therapeutic agents and protocols on

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.dovepress.com/oncotargets-and-therapy-journal

patient perspectives such as quality of life, adherence and satisfaction. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.