

Successful application of extracorporeal circulation compression perfusion in the treatment of diabetic foot: a retrospective cross-sectional study Journal of International Medical Research 49(10) 1–13 © The Author(s) 2021 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/03000605211053235 journals.sagepub.com/home/imr



Lei Gao , Tianbo Li, Shuo Wang and Jiangning Wang

Abstract

Objective: To investigate the clinical effect of extracorporeal circulation compression perfusion (ECCP) in the treatment of diabetic foot.

Methods: We retrospectively evaluated 89 patients with diabetic foot admitted from January 2017 to April 2019. The patients were grouped according to whether they received ECCP treatment; experimental group: 27 patients, controls: 62 patients. After applying the inclusion criteria and exclusion criteria, there were 21 patients in the experimental group and 21 patients in the control group. Foot microcirculation was evaluated by measuring the percutaneous oxygen partial pressure (TcPO₂) and infrared thermography (IRT). Wound healing time and ulcer recurrence rate I year after discharge were compared between the groups.

Results: $TcPO_2$ and IRT values in the experimental group differed significantly compared with the control group. Foot ulcer healing time in the experimental group was shorter than that in the control group (17.10 ± 3.08 days vs 25.38 ± 4.40 days, respectively), and the recurrence rate after 1 year in the experimental group was lower than that in the control group (2/21, 9.5% vs 9/21, 42.8%, respectively).

Conclusion: ECCP improved foot microcirculatory perfusion in diabetic foot treatment. ECCP has clinical practicality and may accelerate wound healing speed and reduce ulcer recurrence.

Corresponding author:

Jiangning Wang, Capital Medical University Affiliated Beijing Shijitan Hospital, Orthopedic Department, No. 10 Yangfangdian Tieyi Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100038, China.

Email: wangjn@bjsjth.cn

Capital Medical University Affiliated Beijing Shijitan Hospital, Orthopedic Department, Beijing, China

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

Keywords

Diabetic foot, extracorporeal circulation compression perfusion, microcirculation, peripheral artery disease, amputation, wound healing time, ulcer recurrence rate

Date received: 12 May 2021; accepted: 27 September 2021

Introduction

The number of people living with diabetes in the world is currently 366 million among those aged 20 to 70 years, and this number is expected to increase to 552 million by 2030.1 Globally, 70% of patients with diabetes undergo amputations, with the number of patients with diabetes with lower limb amputation exceeding 1 million annually. Diabetic foot is a serious complication of diabetes. Infection and peripheral artery disease (PAD) often lead to lower limb amputation, with a patient with diabetes losing a limb every 20 s.² The main principle for the treatment of diabetic foot is blood glucose level control, infection control (including the use of antibiotics),³ improved local blood circulation, and the application of various dressings. However, 84% of patients with diabetic foot still must face a choice regarding amputation.⁴

Comprehensive surgical treatment of diabetic foot involves assessing chronic wounds as the starting point, including the repair of infected and non-infected wounds.⁵⁻⁷ For patients with diabetic foot with ischemic lesions in the lower extremities, it is feasible to reconstruct the main blood vessels and the microcirculation in the lower limbs.^{4–6} Although endovascular and open surgical techniques have progressed in the treatment of severe limb ischemia (CLI), lower limb amputation remains a continuing challenge, especially for patients with diabetes.⁸ Khin et al.⁸ reported the early results in humans of hypertensive extracorporeal limb perfusion (HELP) to prevent major limb amputation

due to ischemia, and the results showed that major amputation was avoided in 8 of 20 patients (40%). Additionally, amputation was delayed in four patients by an average of 4 months during long-term treatment over 22 months (range: 12–54 months).⁸

During surgical microcirculatory vascular reconstruction of the diabetic foot, we used ECCP to achieve circulatory perfusion of ischemic limbs under high perfusion pressure. ECCP and HELP are similar treatments with the same mechanism. Both methods expand the stenosis site of the diseased vessel, increase the blood supply to the tissue by increasing blood flow through the cross section of the vessel per unit time, and promote the reconstruction of the peripheral vascular network during perfusion.⁸

When we reviewed our department's case records, we found that some patients received ECCP intervention, while others received routine treatment, and the therapeutic effects differed. We performed this retrospective study to evaluate the correlation between ECCP and changes in foot microcirculatory perfusion.

Materials and methods

Patients

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Capital Medical University Affiliated Beijing Shijitan Hospital (approval number: sjtkyll-lx-2017(6)). The reporting of this study conforms to the STROBE guidelines.⁹ Written informed consent was obtained from all participants, and their privacy has been protected. In this study, all data were collected by the Hospital Information System (HIS) of Capital Medical University Affiliated Beijing Shijitan Hospital.

This was a retrospective cross-sectional analysis of patients with diabetic foot admitted to our hospital from January 2017 to April 2019. In the retrospective medical record review, the definitions of the variables and which variable data to collect were agreed upon and recorded in detail before data collection, and the definitions and coding methods of the variables were provided to the data extractor. In this way, bias during collecting the variable data was avoided.

We estimated the sample size before beginning the study by comparing the rates of two independent samples. A previous data analysis showed that the 1-year wound recurrence rate was 45% in the control group and 9% in the experimental group. We set the power of a test to 80% and the test standard to 0.05. The calculated sample size was at least 20 cases in each group to reach the statistical standard.

Inclusion criteria: (1) diabetes mellitus; (2) lower limb ischemia (limb numbness, pain at rest, low foot skin temperature); (3) ulcers on the bottom of the foot (ulceration, infection); and (4) imaging data after admission (lower limb arterial angiography) showing a lower limb vascular stenosis rate between 50% and 75%.

Exclusion criteria: (1) poor systemic symptoms; (2) acute foot infection; (3) hypersensitivity to iodine; (4) Charcot foot ulcer (neuropathy); (5) imaging data after admission (lower limb arterial angiography) showing a lower limb vascular stenosis rate >75%.

Initially, we collected the medical records for all cases of diabetic foot after treatment. and recorded the following information: demographic data (age and sex), duration of diabetes mellitus, duration of ulcer, ulcer area, ankle-brachial index (ABI), infrared thermography (IRT) of the affected foot, percutaneous oxygen partial pressure $(TcpO_2)$ of the affected foot, and albumin and total cholesterol concentrations. The patients were divided into groups according to whether they received ECCP treatment (Figure 1). Foot microcirculation was evaluated by measuring the TcPO₂ and IRT. Wound healing time and the ulcer recurrence rate 1 year after discharge were compared between the groups.

Procedures

Routine treatment. All patients underwent wound bacterial culture, and sensitive antibiotics were used according to the culture



Figure 1. Study flow chart.

results. Drugs to improve circulation and control blood glucose, and nutritional support were also given. The wound was treated locally, including debridement and dressings.

ECCP. Four days before debridement, all patients in the experimental group underwent 12 episodes of ECCP (the cumulative treatment time was 72 hours).

The strongest pulsating site 1.5 cm above the femoral artery on the affected side was the determined puncture point, which was injected with 1% lidocaine. After achieving local anesthesia, the femoral artery was punctured anterograde on the affected side. Then, a 6-F arterial sheath was inserted, and 3000 units of heparin sodium was given for systemic heparinization. Intraoperative angiography showed the location of the stenosis(es) (superficial femoral artery, deep femoral artery, popliteal artery, anterior tibial artery, posterior tibial artery, and/or peroneal artery). A balloon catheter was then placed at the beginning of the occluded segment, and the balloon catheter was inflated to block the main blood flow to the affected limb. The balloon catheter acted as a circulatory perfusion catheter (arterial end). The ipsilateral femoral vein was placed into the balloon catheter as the blood reflux tube (venous end), and the arteriovenous line of the extracorporeal circulation perfusion system was connected to the balloon catheter retained in the femoral artery and vein. The treatment cycle was 4 days, and the perfusion tube was removed at the end of the fourth day.

ECCP was performed through the lower limb artery with a perfusion flow rate of 450 mL/min (200 mL/min within 10 minutes after the start of treatment, then adjusted to 450 mL/min for 10 minutes, and maintained at this perfusion rate until the end of treatment). During perfusion, the temperature was set to 35° C to 36° C, the oxygen volume fraction of the membrane lung device was set to 10%, the flow rate was 3 L/min, the perfusion frequency was three times per day, and the perfusion time was 6 hours per treatment. To prevent the circulating blood from the perfused limb flowing back to the human body, tourniquet pressure was applied to the proximal thigh of the diseased lower limb. At the end of each perfusion, the tourniquet was released. and the balloon of the balloon catheter was deflated to the initial state, restoring blood circulation to the patient's limb. Postoperatively, patients received anticoagulation therapy to avoid thrombosis (Figure 2, Figure 3, Figure 4).

Surgical treatment. Surgical debridement was performed in both groups to thoroughly remove necrotic tissue. For patients with toe gangrene, toe amputation was performed first, followed by wound debridement. For patients without bone destruction, secondary wound skin grafting was feasible once sufficient granulation tissue developed. For patients with bone destruction, the necrotic bone tissue was removed first, and after achieving good wound granulation tissue, the wound was sutured without tension. All patients were given anti-infection treatment postoperatively, and the dressing was changed every other day until the wound healed.

Postoperative assessment indicators. The influence of this technique on foot microcirculation was evaluated by measuring $TcPO_2$ and IRT for the patients in the experimental group on day 1, 2, 3, 4, 11, and 18 of intervention. Wound healing time and ulcer recurrence rate 1 year after discharge were compared between the experimental and control groups.

Statistical analyses. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used to calculate



Figure 2. Schematic diagram of extracorporeal circulation compression perfusion ① extracorporeal circulation pump (roller pump); ② hemofiltration device; ③ artificial heart-lung machine membrane oxygenator (infant type); ④ extracorporeal circulation blood route (arterial end, venous end).



Figure 3. Balloon catheterization was performed in the interventional catheterization room using extracorporeal circulation compression perfusion therapy.

the mean standard deviation of continuous data. The independent samples t-test was used for inter-group comparisons, the paired samples t-test was used for intragroup comparisons, and the chi-square test was used for intra-group rate comparisons. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

Results

General patient information

Eighty-nine patients were admitted to the Orthopedic Department, Capital Medical University Affiliated Beijing Shijitan



Figure 4. Extracorporeal circulation compression perfusion in the diabetic foot intensive care unit (DFICU).

Variable	Experimental Group	Control Group
Number of cases	21	21
Sex (Male/Female)	13/8	9/12
Age (years)	$\textbf{70.8} \pm \textbf{5.66}$	$\textbf{70.6} \pm \textbf{6.93}$
Duration of diabetes mellitus (years)	17.6 ± 7.33	$\textbf{19.1} \pm \textbf{6.14}$
HbAIc (%)	8.16 ± 1.05	$\textbf{8.24}\pm\textbf{0.91}$
Ulcer duration (days)	76.7 ± 47.2	$\textbf{81.1} \pm \textbf{54.9}$
Ulcer area (cm2)	$\textbf{5.12} \pm \textbf{3.63}$	$\textbf{6.11} \pm \textbf{4.31}$
Ankle-Brachial Index	$\textbf{0.58} \pm \textbf{0.051}$	$\textbf{0.56} \pm \textbf{0.04}$
IRT of the affected foot (°C)	34.3 ± 0.89	$\textbf{34.0} \pm \textbf{0.74}$
TcPO2 of the affected foot (mmHg)	$\textbf{32.8} \pm \textbf{2.58}$	$\textbf{33.1} \pm \textbf{2.71}$
Albumin (g/L)	30.8 ± 2.85	$\textbf{30.4} \pm \textbf{3.04}$
Total cholesterol (CHOL) (mmol/L)	$\textbf{5.16} \pm \textbf{0.69}$	$\textbf{4.83} \pm \textbf{0.83}$

Table I. General patient information $(n, \bar{x} \pm s)$.

HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; IRT, infrared thermography; TcPO₂, percutaneous oxygen partial pressure.

Hospital from January 2017 to April 2019. After applying the inclusion criteria, this retrospective study involved 42 patients diagnosed with diabetic foot. The patients were divided into groups according to whether they received ECCP (n = 27, experimental group; n = 62, control group (no ECCP)). In the experimental group, six patients were excluded: four patients who did not meet the inclusion criteria and two with no follow-up data. In the control

group, 41 patients were excluded: 26 who did not meet the inclusion criteria and 15 with no follow-up data. Finally, there were 21 patients in the experimental group (ECCP) and 21 patients in the control group (routine treatment). There was no significant difference in the patients' general data between the groups (Table 1).

We reviewed the collected clinical data using the Society for Vascular Surgery Wound, Ischemia, and foot Infection (WIfI) classification system for diabetic foot, which uses a combination of scores for the wound (according to the ulcer depth or extent of gangrene), ischemia (according to the ABI, toe pressure, or $TcPO_2$), and foot infection (according to the International Working Group on Diabetic Foot/Infectious Diseases Society of America (IWGDF/IDSA) criteria) to provide a 1-year risk for amputation and 1-year benefit for revascularization. This approach has benefit over perfusion pressures alone by including associated wound and infection criteria to provide a more holistic wound overview in revascularization decision-making (Table 2).

Table	2.	Wlfl	system	for	diabetic	foot
classific	cati	on.				

	Number of cases					
Variable	Experimental Group	Control Group				
WIfI classification						
Wlfl 0,1,0	0	I				
WIfl 0,2,0	2	I				
WIfI I,I,I	5	2				
Wlfl 1,2,1	2	3				
Wlfl 2,1,2	0	I				
Wlfl 2,2,2	7	9				
Wlfl 2,2,3	3	I				
Wlfl 3,1,2	I	0				
Wlfl 3,2,2	I	3				

Wlfl, Society for Vascular Surgery Wound, Ischemia, and foot Infection.

Changing trends in TcPO₂ and IRT in the two groups of patients

TcPO₂ and IRT values in the experimental group were significantly different and showed an increasing trend compared with the control group at the same time point on day 1, 2, 3, 4, 11, and 18 of intervention (P < 0.05) (Table 3, Table 4).

Follow-up of wound healing time and ulcer condition after discharge in the two groups

After surgical treatment and dressing changes, the wounds healed completely after a healing time in the experimental and control groups of 17.10 ± 3.08 days and 25.38 ± 4.40 days, respectively. Healing time was significantly shorter in the experimental group (t = 7.06; P < 0.05).

One year after discharge, 0, 0, and 2 cases of foot ulcer recurred during followup at 3 months, 6 months, and 12 months in the experimental group. In the control group, foot ulcer recurred in 1, 3, and 5 cases during the 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow-ups. Compared with the control group, the recurrence rate in the experimental group was significantly lower than that in the control group (2/21, 9.5% vs 9/21, 42.8%, respectively) ($\chi^2 = 6.04$; P < 0.05).

Typical case in the experimental group

The patient, a 66-year-old woman, was admitted to our hospital mainly because

Table 3. Percutaneous oxyge	n partial pr	ressure $(TcPO_2)$, mmHg) m	easured at	different time	points.
-----------------------------	--------------	--------------------	-----------	------------	----------------	---------

Day 0	Day I	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 11	Day 18
$\textbf{32.8} \pm \textbf{2.58}$	$\textbf{33.6} \pm \textbf{1.86}$	$\textbf{34.5} \pm \textbf{2.09}$	$\textbf{36.5} \pm \textbf{3.36}$	$\textbf{37.8} \pm \textbf{3.52}$	$\textbf{39.1} \pm \textbf{4.22}$	$\textbf{40.3} \pm \textbf{4.74}$
$\textbf{33.1} \pm \textbf{2.71}$	$\textbf{33.1} \pm \textbf{3.05}$	$\textbf{32.8} \pm \textbf{2.62}$	$\textbf{33.1} \pm \textbf{2.72}$	$\textbf{33.0} \pm \textbf{2.73}$	$\textbf{33.0} \pm \textbf{2.35}$	$\textbf{32.7} \pm \textbf{2.42}$
-0.408 0.685	0.611 0.546	2.342 0.024	3.587 0.001	4.948 <0.001	5.828 <0.001	6.608 <0.001
	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Day 0} \\ 32.8 \pm 2.58 \\ 33.1 \pm 2.71 \\ -0.408 \\ 0.685 \end{array}$	Day 0 Day I 32.8 ± 2.58 33.6 ± 1.86 33.1 ± 2.71 33.1 ± 3.05 -0.408 0.611 0.685 0.546	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Day 0 Day I Day 2 Day 3 32.8 ± 2.58 33.6 ± 1.86 34.5 ± 2.09 36.5 ± 3.36 33.1 ± 2.71 33.1 ± 3.05 32.8 ± 2.62 33.1 ± 2.72 -0.408 0.611 2.342 3.587 0.685 0.546 0.024 0.001	Day 0 Day I Day 2 Day 3 Day 4 32.8±2.58 33.6±1.86 34.5±2.09 36.5±3.36 37.8±3.52 33.1±2.71 33.1±3.05 32.8±2.62 33.1±2.72 33.0±2.73 -0.408 0.611 2.342 3.587 4.948 0.685 0.546 0.024 0.001 <0.001	Day 0 Day I Day 2 Day 3 Day 4 Day II 32.8 ± 2.58 33.6 ± 1.86 34.5 ± 2.09 36.5 ± 3.36 37.8 ± 3.52 39.1 ± 4.22 33.1 ± 2.71 33.1 ± 3.05 32.8 ± 2.62 33.1 ± 2.72 33.0 ± 2.73 33.0 ± 2.35 -0.408 0.611 2.342 3.587 4.948 5.828 0.685 0.546 0.024 0.001 <0.001

TcPO₂, percutaneous oxygen partial pressure.

	Day 0	Day I	Day 2	Day 3	Day 4	Day 11	Day 18
Experimental Group	$\textbf{34.3} \pm \textbf{0.89}$	35.0 ± 0.71	$\textbf{35.4} \pm \textbf{0.53}$	$\textbf{36.1}\pm\textbf{0.31}$	$\textbf{36.2} \pm \textbf{0.29}$	$\textbf{35.6} \pm \textbf{0.29}$	$\textbf{35.6} \pm \textbf{0.29}$
Control Group	$\textbf{34.0} \pm \textbf{0.74}$	$\textbf{34.0} \pm \textbf{0.70}$	$\textbf{34.0} \pm \textbf{0.74}$	$\textbf{34.0} \pm \textbf{0.67}$	$\textbf{34.0} \pm \textbf{0.79}$	$\textbf{34.0} \pm \textbf{0.77}$	$\textbf{34.0} \pm \textbf{0.68}$
T-value	1.07	4.47	6.60	12.7	11.7	9.19	9.94
P-value	0.291	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

Table 4. IRT of the foot measured at different time points in the two groups of patients (T, $^{\circ}$ C).

IRT, infrared thermography.

of numbness in her left lower limb for more than 6 months and gangrene of the left great toe for 1 month. Physical examination after admission revealed gangrene in the left toe, and the surrounding skin was red and swollen. There was purulent exudation at the base of the great toe, the skin temperature of the left foot was low, tenderness was obvious, pulsation in the dorsalis pedis artery and posterior tibial artery were absent, and activity in the left foot toes was slightly restricted. The activity of the left ankle was not limited. Auxiliary examination revealed the following: left foot TcPO₂: 34 mmHg, IRT: 34.3°C, WIfI grade: 2,2,2, and amputation risk level: H. The patient agreed to undergo ECCP after the admission examination, and 12 episodes of ECCP were performed 4 days before debridement. After ECCP, left lower limb angiography showed that abundant collateral circulation had formed in the P3 segment of the popliteal artery and around the posterior tibial artery and anterior tibial artery, and that the blood supply to the left lower limb had improved significantly. The TcPO₂ value after treatment was 36 mmHg, and the IRT was 36.4°C. Amputation of the left great toe was then performed. Intraoperatively, the blood supply to the wound was abundant after amputation, and the wound was sutured in one stage. The wound healed after 2 weeks. The wound treatment cycle for this patient was 2 weeks (Figure 5, Figure 6, Figure 7, Figure 8).

Discussion

Severe limb ischemia caused by peripheral artery disease remains a major unresolved public health problem.¹⁰ Although endovascular and open surgical techniques have progressed in the treatment of severe limb ischemia, there are still a large number of patients who cannot undergo revascularization owing to the distal range of the disease, absence of autogenous catheters, or related complications. These patients have a poor quality of life and often must undergo amputation.¹¹ Lower limb amputation, especially for people with diabetes, remains an ongoing challenge. The rising costs to the health care system, especially with repeated vascular surgery, require greater scientific efforts to achieve simpler and more lasting solutions.¹² To date, some pharmacological and biological therapies have demonstrated the ability to improve limb perfusion, but these do have a proven beneficial effect on the clinical end-point of survival after amputation.¹² Therefore, there is still an urgent need for an effective minimally invasive treatment for limb ischemia.

Khin et al.⁸ reported temporarily perfusing ischemic limbs with hyperphysiological blood pressure (HELP) using occlusive balloons to isolate ischemic limbs from the systemic circulation. This technique is performed by designing a special arterial pathway system that can withstand hypercontractile pressure and high flow similar to



Figure 5. The condition of the wound on the left foot when the patient was admitted to the hospital.



Figure 6. Lower limb angiography a: Below-knee angiography of the left lower limb before extracorporeal circulation compression perfusion showing that the anterior tibial artery was occluded at its origin, the initial segment of the tibiofibular trunk was severely stenosed, the middle and distal segments of the peroneal artery were not visible, and the initial segment of the posterior tibial artery had begun to occlude. b: Three days after extracorporeal circulation compression perfusion, below-knee angiography of the left lower limb showed that abundant collateral circulation had formed in the P3 segment of the popliteal artery and around the posterior tibial artery and anterior tibial artery, and that the blood supply to the left lower limb had improved significantly.

that obtained during physiological peak exercise. Owing to the temporary increase in vascular shear stress, and because fluid shear stress (FSS) is the driving force in arterial remodeling, the increase in vascular shear stress promotes the formation of collateral vessels.

The results of this retrospective study confirmed that using ECCP in the treatment of diabetic foot had a significant effect by accelerating wound healing and reducing the ulcer recurrence rate, which has clinical practicality. TcPO₂ is a mature method for measuring skin perfusion, and the method may be more sensitive than ABI in patients with diabetes.¹³ TcPO₂ measures the transfer of oxygen molecules to the surface of the skin. A decrease in TcPO₂ was detected in patients with peripheral arterial disease in a previous study.¹⁴ In patients with ulcers or amputation wounds, TcPO₂ can be used to evaluate the healing potential, as well as the response to surgery or intravascular revascularization.^{15,16}



Figure 7. Ulcer wound condition during amputation of the left great toe.



Figure 8. The wound was sutured in a single stage, and the wound eventually healed completely.

There is a correlation between a decrease in TcPO₂ and the likelihood of healing in patients with ischemic wounds. One study showed that a $TcPO_2$ value <40 mmHgmeant a 24% increase in the risk of healing complications.¹⁷ In our study, TcPO₂ values in the experimental group were significantly different and showed an increasing trend compared with the control group at the same intervention time point (day 1, 2, 3, 4, 11, and 18). With ECCP for circulatory perfusion of ischemic diseased limbs, the stenosis site of diseased blood vessels expanded, and blood flow through the cross section of blood vessels increased per unit time. The tissue blood supply increased, and reconstruction of the peripheral vascular network was promoted during perfusion. This technique can reconstruct lower limb microcirculation in diabetic foot, promote wound healing, effectively delay the progression of diabetic peripheral vascular disease, and reduce the disability rate of diabetic foot.

Our results are consistent with those of previous studies.¹⁸⁻²⁰ HELP involves the same principle as that of ECCP; both techniques involve isolated perfusion of ischemic limbs. Currently, there are also non-invasive methods to improve the microcirculation of diabetic foot wounds. such as photobiomodulation, hyperbaric oxygen, and transdermal carbon dioxide (CO_2) administration. However, ECCP involves isolated perfusion of ischemic limbs, and HELP can expand diseased blood vessels, and increase blood flow and tissue perfusion by increasing vascular endothelial shear force and stress relaxation of vascular smooth muscle. ECCP accelerates wound healing time and effectively delays the course of diabetic foot complicated with peripheral artery disease by increasing circulating blood flow.²¹ However, ECCP is associated with side effects. The roller pump causes mechanical damage to the red blood cells in the circulating blood, reducing the number of effective red blood cells, which reduces the oxygencarrying capacity of the blood. In addition, thrombi form easily during high-flow catheterization under high pressure, resulting in membrane lung and circulatory pipeline blockages.

During our study period, 21 patients underwent ECCP, with a mean total cost per case of \$16,493.80 US dollars (USD). One study used meta-analytic data from drug-coated balloon (DCB) studies to compare the cost-effectiveness of endovascular treatment of superficial femoral artery (SFA) disease using DCBs, drug-eluting stents (DES), standard balloon angioplasty (POBA), or bare-metal stents (BMS).²² The results revealed a baseline cost of \$9259.39 USD per patent limb at 1 year in the POBA-first group. The authors also calculated the incremental cost per patent limb for each other strategy compared with POBA, and the results indicated additional costs of \$14,136.10 USD/additional patent limb for DCB, \$38,549.80 USD/limb for DES, and \$59,748.85 USD/limb for BMS. Therefore, considering cost alone, ECCP costs more than when using DCBs and POBAs and less than with DES and BMS. However, owing to regional differences, the results of these data comparisons are inaccurate. Prospective studies are needed to further analyze the cost-effectiveness of ECCP therapy and endovascular treatment of lower extremity arteries.

This study has the following limitations: (1) this was a retrospective analysis rather than a prospective study; (2) this was a single center study; and (3) the sample size was small, and the follow-up duration was only 1 year. Owing to the cost of examination and other factors during the follow-up, we did not obtain the patients' lower limb vascular computed tomography (CT) angiography data 1 year after therapy, and there was no direct evidence confirming whether establishing improved lower limb microcirculation was still effective 1 year after treatment. However, during the outpatient follow-up, we obtained indirect evidence of a benefit with ECCP, such as lower wound recurrence rate, and better foot $TcPO_2$ and IRT values, compared with no ECCP therapy. Future prospective studies will be performed. The direct evidence of CT angiography data from patients' lower limbs will be added in a future study, and follow-up will be performed for a longer period (e.g., 3 years).

During the treatment of diabetic foot, ECCP was associated with improved foot microcirculatory perfusion. ECCP has clinical practicality by accelerating wound healing and reducing the ulcer recurrence rate.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all the people who helped during the writing of this paper.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Funding

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

ORCID iD

Lei Gao (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1009-9529

References

- Lechleitner M, Abrahamian H, Francesconi C, et al. Diabetic neuropathy and diabetic foot syndrome (Update 2019). *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2019; 131: 141–150.
- Yazdanpanah L, Shahbazian H, Nazari I, et al. Incidence and risk factors of diabetic foot ulcer: a population-based diabetic foot cohort (ADFC Study)-two-year follow-up study. *Int J Endocrinol* 2018; 2018: 7631659.
- 3. Hicks CW, Canner JK, Mathioudakis N, et al. Incidence and risk factors associated

with ulcer recurrence among patients with diabetic foot ulcers treated in a multidisciplinary setting. *J Surg Res* 2020; 246: 243–250.

- 4. Wang A, Xu Z, Mu Y, et al. Clinical characteristics and medical costs in patients with diabetic amputation and nondiabetic patients with nonacute amputation in central urban hospitals in China. *Int J Low Extrem Wounds* 2014; 13: 17–21.
- Jalilian M, Ahmadi Sarbarzeh P and Oubari S. Factors related to severity of diabetic foot ulcer: a systematic review. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* 2020; 13: 1835–1842.
- Wheble GAC, Emam AT and Khan UM. Limb salvage in diabetic foot disease: a classification to aid successful reconstruction. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2019; 72: 1110–1120.
- Lim JZ, Ng NS and Thomas C. Prevention and treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. J R Soc Med 2017; 110: 104–109.
- Khin NY, Dijkstra ML, Huckson M, et al. Hypertensive extracorporeal limb perfusion for critical limb ischemia. *J Vasc Surg* 2013; 58: 1244–1253.
- Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2007; 147: 573–577.
- Firnhaber JM and Powell CS. Lower extremity peripheral artery disease: diagnosis and treatment. *Am Fam Physician* 2019; 99: 362–369.
- 11. Staffa E, Bernard V, Kubicek L, et al. Infrared thermography as option for evaluating the treatment effect of percutaneous transluminal angioplasty by patients with peripheral arterial disease. *Vascular* 2017; 25: 42–49.
- 12. Cildag MB and Koseoglu OFK. The effect of Charcot neuroarthropathy on limb preservation in diabetic patients with foot wound and critical limb ischemia after balloon angioplasty. *J Diabetes Res* 2017; 2017: 5670984.
- 13. Faglia E, Clerici G, Caminiti M, et al. Evaluation of feasibility of ankle pressure and foot oximetry values for the detection

of critical limb ischemia in diabetic patients. *Vasc Endovascular Surg* 2010; 44: 184–189.

- Cina C, Katsamouris A, Megerman J, et al. Utility of transcutaneous oxygen tension measurements in peripheral arterial occlusive disease. *J Vasc Surg* 1984; 1: 362–371.
- Caselli A, Latini V, Lapenna A, et al. Transcutaneous oxygen tension monitoring after successful revascularization in diabetic patients with ischaemic foot ulcers. *Diabet Med* 2005; 22: 460–465.
- Pardo M, Alcaraz M, Ramón Breijo F, et al. Increased transcutaneous oxygen pressure is an indicator of revascularization after peripheral transluminal angioplasty. *Acta Radiol* 2010; 51: 990–993.
- Arsenault KA, Al-Otaibi A, Devereaux PJ, et al. The use of transcutaneous oximetry to predict healing complications of lower limb amputations: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2012; 43: 329–336.
- 18. Namgoong S, Yang JP, Yoo KH, et al. Comparison of perfusion values after

percutaneous transluminal angioplasty according to the severity of ischaemia in the diabetic foot. *Int Wound J* 2019; 16: 176–182.

- Ricco JB, Thanh Phong L, Schneider F, et al. The diabetic foot: a review. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino)* 2013; 54: 755–762.
- Adam DJ, Beard JD, Cleveland T, et al. Bypass versus angioplasty in severe ischaemia of the leg (BASIL): multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2005; 366: 1925–1934.
- Lane RJ, Phillips M, McMillan D, et al. Hypertensive extracorporeal limb perfusion (HELP): a new technique for managing critical lower limb ischemia. *J Vasc Surg* 2008; 48: 1156–1165.
- Sridharan ND, Boitet A, Smith K, et al. Cost-effectiveness analysis of drug-coated therapies in the superficial femoral artery. *J Vasc Surg* 2018; 67: 343–352.