

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Genotoxic and Cytotoxic Effects of Antiretroviral Combinations in Mice Bone Marrow

Aroldo Vieira de Moraes Filho^{1☯*}, Cláudia de Jesus Silva Carvalho^{1☯}, Cristiene Costa Carneiro^{1☯}, Camila Regina do Vale^{1☯}, Débora Cristina da Silva Lima^{1☯}, Wanessa Fernandes Carvalho^{1☯}, Thiago Bernardi Vieira^{2☯}, Daniela de Melo e Silva^{1☯}, Kênya Silva Cunha^{1☯}, Lee Chen-Chen^{1☯}

1 Laboratório de Radiobiologia e Mutagênese, Departamento de Genética, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas (ICB), Campus Samambaia, Universidade Federal de Goiás (UFG), Caixa Postal 131, 74001–970, Goiânia, GO, Brazil, **2** Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Conservação, Universidade do Estado de Mato Grosso, Campus Universitário de Nova Xavantina, BR 158, Caixa Postal 8, 78.690–000, Nova Xavantina, MT, Brazil

☯ These authors contributed equally to this work.

* aroldodemoraes@gmail.com



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Moraes Filho AVd, Carvalho CdJS, Carneiro CC, Vale CRd, Lima DCdS, Carvalho WF, et al. (2016) Genotoxic and Cytotoxic Effects of Antiretroviral Combinations in Mice Bone Marrow. PLoS ONE 11(11): e0165706. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165706

Editor: Cheryl A. Stoddart, University of California, San Francisco, UNITED STATES

Received: June 20, 2016

Accepted: October 17, 2016

Published: November 2, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Moraes Filho et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper.

Funding: This work was funded by CAPES - Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Ensino Superior.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Abstract

Commonly used guidelines for the management of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection (highly active antiretroviral therapy, HAART) include drug combinations such as tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) + lamivudine (3TC) and combivir [zidovudine (AZT) + 3TC] + efavirenz (EFV). These combinations may enhance the genotoxic effects induced by such drugs individually, since the therapy requires lifelong adherence and the drugs have unknown effects during treatment. Thus, the evaluation of the benefits and risks of HAART is of great importance. In order to assess the cytotoxic and genotoxic potential of three concentrations of each of the antiretroviral combinations TDF + 3TC (800 + 400, 1600 + 800, and 3200 + 1600 mg/kg body weight, BW) and combivir + EFV (200 + 100 + 400, 400 + 200 + 800, and 800 + 400 + 1600 mg/kg BW) after two exposure periods (24 h and 48 h), in the present study the *in vivo* comet assay (single-cell gel electrophoresis) and the mouse bone marrow micronucleus test were used. Neither TDF + 3TC nor combivir + EFV induced DNA damage at any concentrations tested after 24 h or 48 h using the comet assay. After 24 h, both combinations increased the micronucleus frequency at all concentrations tested. After 48 h, combivir + EFV increased the micronucleated polychromatic erythrocyte (MNPCE) frequency at the two highest concentrations tested. Polychromatic erythrocytes (PCE)/normochromatic erythrocytes (NCE) ratio was high for both combinations, suggesting that they can be mitogenic. Since genotoxicity may be related to carcinogenesis, it is necessary to conduct further studies to verify the long-term mutagenic effects of these drugs.

Introduction

The fact that the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) undergoes several mutations in DNA structure hinders the success of treatments with only one drug. Additionally, in recent years, little progress has been made towards the development of an HIV vaccine, and the maximum efficiency achieved was 31.2%. Thus, the highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), a combination of two or more antiretroviral drugs, has been used effectively and safely in the management of HIV/AIDS since 1996 [1–4].

Common guidelines for the management of HIV infection (HAART) include the following drug combinations: tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (TDF) plus lamivudine (3TC) and efavirenz (EFV) plus combivir [zidovudine (AZT) + 3TC] [5–8]. However, in studies of each of these drugs individually, some of them presented several side effects. TDF and EFV caused hepatocellular adenomas, carcinomas, and pulmonary alveolar/bronchiolar adenomas in female mice. AZT had clastogenic effects such as sister chromatid exchange and reduction in telomere length. Finally, 3TC exhibited clastogenic effects with micronuclei induction [9–16].

Consequently, these combinations may enhance the genotoxic effects induced by the drugs individually, due to the requirement of lifelong adherence and the unknown effects of long-term treatment. Moreover, the evaluation of the risk/benefit of the drugs should always be performed, because even though many antiretroviral combinations apparently do not present risk to human health due to low levels of toxicity, the cumulative effects of the treatment over decades is still controversial and not fully understood [4,17–20]. Considering that genotoxicity may be related to carcinogenesis, it is important to evaluate the genotoxic effects of medicines using tests such as the comet assay and the micronucleus test.

The comet assay is useful for detecting DNA damage caused by alkylating, intercalating, and oxidizing agents. The alkaline version of the test detects DNA single- and double-strand breaks, alkali-labile sites, and crosslinks, lesions that can be repaired, since they have not gone through repair mechanisms [21–25].

The micronucleus test detects DNA damage caused by clastogenic and aneugenic agents by assessing DNA damage at the chromosome level. Micronuclei represent the genetic material lost by the main core due to the action of physical, chemical, or biological agents that caused genetic damage to the chromosome [26–29].

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to assess the cytotoxic and genotoxic potential of the antiretroviral combinations combivir + EFV and TDF + 3TC using the comet assay and the mouse bone marrow micronucleus test [26,30,31].

Materials and Methods

Animals

This study was approved by the Animal Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade Federal de Goiás (CEUA/UFG no. 046/13) and followed the rules of animal management and experimentation of the Colégio Brasileiro de Experimentação Animal [32]. Healthy, young adult outbred male mice (*Mus musculus*, Swiss Webster), weighing 30–40 g, aged 7–12 weeks, obtained from the Central Laboratory of the Universidade Federal de Goiás were brought to the laboratory 7 days prior to the experiment. They were housed in polypropylene cages (40 cm × 30 cm × 16 cm), lined with wood shavings, changed daily, with five animals each, at 24 ± 2°C, 50 ± 20% humidity, and a light-dark natural cycle of 12 h. The animals were fed with standard food pellets (appropriate commercial rodent diet Labina, Ecibra Ltda, Santo Amaro, SP, Brazil) and water was provided *ad libitum*.

Chemicals

The medicines Viread[®] [300 mg TDF (CAS 202138-50-9) per tablet], Lamivudina[®] [150 mg 3TC (CAS 134678-17-4) per tablet], Estiva-600[®] [600 mg EFV (CAS 154598-52-4) per tablet], and Combivir[®] [300 mg AZT (CAS 30516-87-1) + 150 mg 3TC per tablet], kindly donated by the Hospital de Doenças Tropicais Dr. Anuar Auad, Goiânia, GO, Brazil, were used in this study. Fetal calf serum (Laborclin, Pinhais, PR, Brazil), Giemsa (Newprov, Pinhais, PR, Brazil), dibasic sodium phosphate, monobasic sodium phosphate, absolute methanol, NaCl (Vetec Química Fina Ltda, Duque de Caxias, RJ, Brazil), agarose normal melting point, agarose low melting point, phosphate buffered saline (PBS), triton X-100, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), stock lysis solution, tris-HCl buffer, ethidium bromide (Genética Brasil, Brasília, DF, Brazil), and cyclophosphamide (CPA, Baxter Hospitalar Ltda., São Paulo, SP, Brazil) were used.

Protocols *in vivo*

Groups of five mice were weighed before administering the chemicals and treated by gavage (forced feeding) with two combinations of antiretroviral drugs, at three different concentrations each, and two exposure periods (24 h and 48 h).

On the one hand, 3TC did not present any effects administered intraperitoneally to rats at the concentration of 200 mg/kg body weight (BW) assessed by the micronucleus test [33], and therefore we calculated the clinical proportion of the combination TDF + 3TC (2:1) and doubled this concentration, resulting in the lowest concentration of 800 + 400 mg/kg BW. The other concentrations were calculated to be two- and four-fold higher (1600 + 800 and 3200 + 1600 mg/kg BW).

On the other hand, AZT had results at the concentration of 200 mg/kg BW in mice treated intraperitoneally assessed by the micronucleus test [33]. Based on this initial concentration, we calculated the clinical proportion of combivir + EFV (2:1:4), obtaining the lowest concentration of 200 + 100 + 400 mg/kg BW. The other concentrations were calculated to be two- and four-fold higher (400 + 200 + 800 mg/kg BW and 800 + 400 + 1600 mg/kg BW).

The tablets were macerated with a mortar and pestle and diluted in distilled water before administration. Solutions and dilutions were prepared immediately before use. The solvent (distilled water) was used as the negative control, and CPA (50 mg/kg BW) administered intraperitoneally (ip) was used as the positive control.

All the animals were euthanized by cervical dislocation 24 h or 48 h after treatment. The femurs were removed, the proximal epiphysis was cut, and the bone marrow cells from both femurs were flushed with 1 mL fetal calf serum at 37°C. The supernatant was partially discarded and the precipitate, homogenized with a Pasteur pipette, was used for the preparation of slides.

Comet assay in mice bone marrow

The comet assay was performed using the alkaline method with few modifications [21,31]. Slides previously coated with normal melting point agarose (1.5%) received a homogenate of 15 µL bone marrow cells diluted in 1 mL PBS buffer (pH 7.0) and 130 µL low melting point agarose (0.5%) at 37°C. The material was spread on the slides with coverslips and taken to a cold chamber. After gelation, the coverslips were carefully removed. The slides were immersed in lysis solution protected from light (1% triton X-100, 10% DMSO, 2.5 M NaCl, 100 mM Na₂EDTA, and 10 mM Tris, pH 10.0) at 4°C for 12–24 h. Subsequently, the slides were incubated with freshly made alkaline solution (300 mM NaOH, 1 mM EDTA, pH > 13) at 4°C for 20 min for DNA unwinding. The slides were kept in cuvettes (protected from light) containing a cold lysis solution (triton X-100, DMSO, and stock lysis solution) for 24 h. Electrophoresis

was carried out at 25 V, and the current was adjusted to 300 mA, at 4°C. The slides were exposed to this electrical current in the dark for 30 min. After electrophoresis, the slides were placed in a staining tray, covered with a neutralizing buffer (0.4 M tris-HCl, pH 7.5), and kept in the dark for 5 min. The slides were stained with 20 μ L ethidium bromide solution (0.02 mg/mL) and covered with a coverslip. The analysis was performed using a fluorescence microscopy system, Axioplan-Imaging® (Carl Zeiss Light Microscopy, Göttingen, Germany), the Integrated Spectrographic Innovative Software (ISIS) with an excitation filter of 510–560 nm, and a barrier filter of 590 nm, 200x magnification, with 50 nucleoids analyzed per slide, totaling 100 nucleoids per sample.

For the assessment of the genomic damage using the comet assay, the Open Comet™ software, version 1.3 (Cometbio-OpenComet, Singapore) was employed. The nucleoids with completely fragmented heads were not taken into account in our analysis. Of the 17 parameters provided by the software, we selected four, as follows: tail length (TL), percentage of DNA in the tail (%DNA in tail), tail moment (TM), and Olive tail moment (OTM) [34].

The statistical analysis was performed using the software SigmaStat, version 3.5. The mean and standard deviation (SD) of the four aforementioned parameters of each group treated were considered. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Tukey's test *a posteriori* were carried out comparing the treated groups with their respective control groups. The results were considered statistically significant when $p < 0.05$.

Micronucleus test

The micronucleus test was performed according to Heddle [26]. After sample homogenization, 20- μ L aliquots of bone marrow cells prepared as described above were smeared on glass slides, coded for blind analysis, air-dried, and fixed with absolute methanol at room temperature for 5 min. The smears were stained with Giemsa, dibasic sodium phosphate, and monobasic sodium phosphate, and buffered at pH 6.8 for 15 min. After this period, the slides were washed, dried at room temperature, and analyzed in an optical microscope (Olympus BH-2 10x100, Tokyo, Japan), 1000x magnification.

For each animal, two slides were prepared for each concentration of the combinations and 1000 polychromatic erythrocytes (PCE) were counted in each slide, totaling 2000 PCE, to determine the frequency of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes (MNPCE) using light microscopy (Olympus BH-2 10 \times 100, Tokyo, Japan). Simultaneously, the frequency of normochromatic erythrocytes (NCE) was determined and the PCE/NCE ratio was calculated, allowing inferences about the cytotoxic potential of the drugs tested.

The statistical analysis was carried out using the software SigmaStat, version 3.5. The frequencies of PCE/NCE ratio were compared with the negative control groups using the chi-square test. To compare the cytotoxicity at the two exposure periods, the chi-square test was applied. The frequency of micronuclei per 2000 PCE for each concentration was compared with the negative control group using ANOVA, to infer whether clastogenicity and/or aneugenicity induced by the drugs tested were present. To compare the genotoxicity at the two exposure periods, the Student-t test was performed for each concentration. The results were considered statistically significant when $p < 0.05$.

Results

All the animals survived the treatments and no clinical signs of toxicity were observed in any treated groups. The frequencies of genomic damage in mice bone marrow and controls are shown in Table 1. Based on the four parameters assessed using the comet assay, neither of the

Table 1. Comet assay analysis in bone marrow cells of mice treated with two antiretroviral combinations and their respective controls.

Treatment	Comet assay parameters ¹ (mean ± SD)			
	TL	% DNA in tail	TM	OTM
Combivir + EFV				
24 h				
Negative control ²	9.45 ± 1.98	24.77 ± 5.87	7.72 ± 1.68	4.75 ± 1.01
Positive control ³	26.44 ± 3.80	74.54 ± 10.77	25.05 ± 3.81	12.96 ± 1.81
200 + 100 + 400 mg/kg	12.25 ± 4.90 ^a	23.76 ± 5.29 ^a	9.56 ± 3.11 ^a	5.97 ± 2.01 ^a
400 + 200 + 800 mg/kg	12.42 ± 5.74 ^a	23.42 ± 7.24 ^a	9.67 ± 4.04 ^a	6.10 ± 2.45 ^a
800 + 400 + 1600 mg/kg	10.48 ± 3.23 ^a	22.19 ± 2.82 ^a	8.60 ± 2.66 ^a	5.45 ± 1.67 ^a
48 h				
Negative control	9.45 ± 1.98	24.77 ± 5.87	7.72 ± 1.68	4.75 ± 1.01
Positive control	16.48 ± 3.53	26.09 ± 0.40	10.94 ± 1.44	7.06 ± 0.82
200 + 100 + 400 mg/kg	9.95 ± 3.56 ^a	18.47 ± 7.85 ^a	7.16 ± 3.90 ^a	4.79 ± 2.31 ^a
400 + 200 + 800 mg/kg	12.05 ± 2.86 ^a	25.16 ± 3.61 ^a	9.60 ± 2.61 ^a	6.15 ± 1.57 ^a
800 + 400 + 1600 mg/kg	12.26 ± 1.99 ^a	25.66 ± 7.73 ^a	9.54 ± 2.44 ^a	6.00 ± 1.27 ^a
TDF + 3TC				
24 h				
Negative control	9.45 ± 1.98	24.77 ± 5.87	7.72 ± 1.68	4.75 ± 1.01
Positive control	26.44 ± 3.80	74.54 ± 10.77	25.05 ± 3.81	12.96 ± 1.81
800 + 400 mg/kg	10.67 ± 3.24 ^a	23.03 ± 6.86 ^a	8.30 ± 3.26 ^a	5.51 ± 1.92 ^a
1600 + 800 mg/kg	9.79 ± 2.87 ^a	21.07 ± 8.33 ^a	7.77 ± 2.97 ^a	4.77 ± 1.50 ^a
3200 + 1600 mg/kg	10.15 ± 1.32 ^a	24.23 ± 4.79 ^a	7.95 ± 1.20 ^a	5.05 ± 0.58 ^a
48 h				
Negative control	9.45 ± 1.98	24.77 ± 5.87	7.72 ± 1.68	4.75 ± 1.01
Positive control	16.48 ± 3.53	26.09 ± 0.40	10.94 ± 1.44	7.06 ± 0.82
800 + 400 mg/kg	12.91 ± 3.59 ^a	30.53 ± 7.46 ^a	11.21 ± 3.10 ^a	6.63 ± 1.84 ^a
1600 + 800 mg/kg	14.06 ± 5.44 ^a	26.65 ± 13.21 ^a	11.42 ± 4.92 ^a	6.98 ± 2.60 ^a
3200 + 1600 mg/kg	6.74 ± 1.15 ^a	16.64 ± 2.95 ^a	5.30 ± 1.08 ^a	3.38 ± 0.65 ^a

¹ TL, tail length; %DNA in tail, percentage of DNA in the tail; TM, tail moment; OTM, Olive tail moment.

² Negative control: distilled water.

³ Positive control: cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg BW).

All the results were compared with their respective control group at the respective exposure period.

^a No statistically significant difference compared with the negative control group ($p > 0.05$).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165706.t001

combinations tested significantly induced DNA damage at the exposure periods (24 h and 48 h) compared with their respective negative controls.

The results obtained for the micronucleus test are presented in Table 2, including the mean MNPCE per 2000 PCE for genotoxicity and the mean PCE/NCE ratio for cytotoxicity. At 24 h, combivir + EFV significantly increased MNPCE frequency, demonstrating its genotoxic potential, and increased the PCE/NCE ratio at all tested concentrations. Compared with the negative control, this combination of drugs showed absence of cytotoxic effect, since cytotoxicity is proven by a decrease in the PCE/NCE ratio. At 48 h of exposure and compared with the negative control, this combination significantly increased MNPCE frequency at the two highest concentrations and increased the PCE/NCE ratio at all concentrations tested, indicating that this combination is non-cytotoxic and exhibited mitogenic potential. Compared with the exposure period of 24 h, at 48 h of exposure, combivir + EFV significantly reduced MNPCE frequency and significantly increased the PCE/NCE ratio at all concentrations tested.

Table 2. Frequency of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes (MNPCE) and polychromatic and normochromatic erythrocyte (PCE/NCE) ratio in bone marrow of mice treated with two antiretroviral combinations and their respective controls.

Treatment	MNPCE/2000 PCE(mean ±SD)	PCE/NCE(mean ±SD)
Combivir + EFV		
24 h		
Negative control ¹	3.20 ± 0.84	1.27 ± 0.08
Positive control ²	27.20 ± 1.30	0.71 ± 0.02
200 + 100 + 400 mg/kg	11.00 ± 1.58 ^b	2.17 ± 0.66 ^b
400 + 200 + 800 mg/kg	11.60 ± 2.51 ^b	1.66 ± 0.16 ^b
800 + 400 + 1600 mg/kg	9.80 ± 2.77 ^b	1.71 ± 0.19 ^b
48 h		
Negative control	3.20 ± 0.84	1.27 ± 0.08
Positive control	22.00 ± 1.41	0.78 ± 0.03
200 + 100 + 400 mg/kg	5.60 ± 1.34 ^{a,d}	3.24 ± 0.63 ^{b,d}
400 + 200 + 800 mg/kg	6.20 ± 1.48 ^{b,d}	2.84 ± 0.55 ^{b,d}
800 + 400 + 1600 mg/kg	6.00 ± 1.58 ^{b,d}	2.99 ± 0.76 ^{b,d}
TDF + 3TC		
24 h		
Negative control	3.20 ± 0.84	1.27 ± 0.08
Positive control	27.20 ± 1.30	0.71 ± 0.02
800 + 400 mg/kg	9.20 ± 1.3 ^b	2.83 ± 0.55 ^b
1600 + 800 mg/kg	8.00 ± 1.22 ^b	2.67 ± 0.15 ^b
3200 + 1600 mg/kg	8.60 ± 1.34 ^b	3.30 ± 0.34 ^b
48 h		
Negative control	3.20 ± 0.84	1.27 ± 0.08
Positive control	22.00 ± 1.41	0.78 ± 0.03
800 + 400 mg/kg	4.20 ± 0.45 ^{a,d}	3.23 ± 0.34 ^{b,d}
1600 + 800 mg/kg	3.80 ± 0.84 ^{a,d}	3.14 ± 0.54 ^{b,d}
3200 + 1600 mg/kg	4.40 ± 0.89 ^{a,d}	3.30 ± 0.41 ^{b,c}

¹ Negative control: distilled water.

² Positive control: cyclophosphamide (50 mg/kg BW).

All the results were compared with their respective control group at the respective exposure period.

^a No statistically significant difference compared with the negative control group ($p > 0.05$).

^b Statistically significant difference compared with the negative control group ($p < 0.05$).

^c No statistically significant difference compared with the same concentration at 24 h ($p > 0.05$).

^d Statistically significant difference compared with the same concentration at 24 h ($p < 0.05$).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165706.t002

At 24 h, TDF + 3TC significantly increased the frequency of MNPCE and the PCE/NCE ratio compared with the negative control, displaying genotoxic effects and non-cytotoxic effects, respectively. At 48 h, the use of this combination of drugs did not lead to significant differences in MNPCE frequency at any tested concentrations compared with the negative control, demonstrating absence of genotoxicity. Additionally, at the same exposure period, this combination caused significant increase in the PCE/NCE ratio at all concentrations tested compared with the negative control, showing no cytotoxicity. Compared with the exposure period of 24 h, at 48 h, this combination significantly reduced MNPCE frequency at all concentrations tested and significantly increased the PCE/NCE ratio at the two lowest concentrations.

No changes were observed in the PCE/NCE ratio at the highest concentration tested compared with the exposure period of 24 h.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to evaluate the cytotoxic and genotoxic effects of two antiretroviral combinations (TDF + 3TC and combivir + EFV) using the comet assay and the micronucleus test.

Neither of the combinations tested exhibited genotoxicity in the comet assay, but both displayed genotoxicity in the micronucleus test at 24 h. In the micronucleus test, DNA damage occurred at chromosomal level, suggesting that drug combinations can induce clastogenic and aneugenic effects. Furthermore, the PCE/NCE ratio was high for both combinations of drugs, suggesting that they can be mitogenic.

EFV, one of the components of the combination combivir + EFV, presents selective cytotoxic effects on various tumor cells, leading to phosphorylation and activation of the p53 tumor suppressor protein. Therefore, it has antitumorigenic and cytostatic potential [35]. This cytotoxic selectivity for tumor cells, or even the interaction of the drugs in the combination combivir + EFV, may have been responsible for the absence of cytotoxic effects observed in this study, inasmuch as our tests were performed in non-tumorous cells. This finding is corroborated by the fact that EFV alone was not cytotoxic to human adipose tissue at low concentrations (0.5 and 4 μ M) [36].

In our study, combivir (AZT + 3TC) in combination with EFV displayed mitosis-inducing effects since it increased PCE, thus not exhibiting cytotoxicity. However, the antiretroviral drugs AZT and 3TC administered isolatedly have previously been shown to be cytotoxic to CrFK cells [37]. Therefore, we suggest that the interaction of these drugs may reduce their cytotoxic effects.

In relation to genotoxicity, at the concentration of 400 mg/kg BW, AZT caused genotoxic effects on the peripheral blood lymphocytes and on liver and kidney cells assessed using the comet assay and induced micronuclei in bone marrow cells [34]. Also, AZT was genotoxic to human H9 lymphoblastoid cells in a dose-dependent manner at the concentrations of 0.05, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, and 1.2 mM. These findings suggest that DNA damage was caused by alkali-labile lesions and not by double-strand breaks, because the genomic damage occurred only at pH 13.0, and not at pH 12.1 or 8.0 [38]. In another study, AZT was genotoxic and 3TC was not genotoxic when administered to neonatal mice. Finally, the combination AZT + 3TC did not alter the responses observed with AZT alone [33].

Increased damage indexes and frequencies were observed in the brain of mice subchronically treated with 10 mg/kg BW EFV. This finding suggests that this drug might induce genotoxicity in the brain [39].

In our study, the combination combivir + EFV had genotoxic effects at chromosomal level (clastogenicity and/or aneugenicity) at both exposure periods. AZT caused chromosomal aberrations in culture cells of patients that received 1200 mg/day [40], therefore, this drug alone may have contributed to the genotoxicity found in our study. Another possibility is that combivir is responsible for the genotoxicity, since AZT and combivir caused a decrease in the percentage of reticulocytes (RETs) and an increase in the percentage of micronucleated RETs and micronucleated normochromatic erythrocytes in neonatal mice [41].

The combination TDF + 3TC did not induce DNA damage assessed using the comet assay, not causing cytotoxicity in either exposure period, but increased MNPCE frequency at 24 h assessed using the micronucleus test. The induction of mitosis in PCE caused by this combination of drugs, observed by the increase in the PCE/NCE ratio, can be due to the action of 3TC.

HepG2 cells treated with 3TC, AZT, and abacavir (ABC) showed slight increases in mitochondrial DNA compared with the control group. On the other hand, TDF had no effect on mitochondrial DNA content in this cell line [42]. Given that 3TC interferes with mitochondrial DNA replication, we suggest that this drug could contribute to cell proliferation, inducing mitosis. In a study that assessed the cytotoxicity profile of TDF on various cell types, this antiretroviral drug exhibited the lowest cytotoxic effects on all cell types tested compared to other nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs) [43]. This absence of cytotoxicity was confirmed in our study even in combination with 3TC.

TDF alone showed no genotoxicity assessed by the Ames test, the chromosomal aberration test using Chinese hamster lung (CHL), and the micronucleus assay [44]. However, AZT and 3TC individually tested showed induction of micronuclei in cultured human lymphocytes in binucleated cells using the cytokinesis-block micronucleus (CBMN) assay [13,14]. In the present study, TDF + 3TC exhibited clastogenic and/or aneugenic effects on MNPCE induction.

Comparing both exposure periods, combivir + EFV and TDF + 3TC increased the PCE/NCE ratio respectively at all concentrations tested and at the two lowest concentrations. At 48 h, combivir + EFV and TDF + 3TC caused decrease in the NCE frequency respectively at all concentrations tested and at the two lowest concentrations. In contrast, MNPCE induction significantly decreased at 48 h at all concentrations tested of both combinations. This decrease may be related to the half-life of the compounds, a parameter that is low in relation to the exposure time: 5–9 h for AZT triphosphate and 11–33 h for 3TC triphosphate [45]. For patients with CYP2B6 516 GG, GT, and TT genotypes, EFV half-lives were 23, 27, and 48 h, respectively [46]. After the administration of 300 to 600 mg TDF to human cohorts, the drug concentrations in the serum decreased rapidly with half-lives between 12 h to 15 h [47]. The elimination of micronucleated cells can occur as a consequence of apoptosis [48–50], which justifies the decrease in MNPCE frequency observed at 48 h. A selective elimination of MNPCE in peripheral blood was found [51], and we suggest that the same mechanism may have occurred in the bone marrow.

In the comet assay neither combination induced DNA damage at all tested concentrations at both exposure periods (24 h and 48 h), whereas in the micronucleus test combivir + EFV induced higher MNPCE frequency than TDF + 3TC at all tested concentrations at both exposure periods. In contrast, the PCE/NCE ratio was higher with the combination TDF + 3TC, indicating greater mitogenic potential at both exposure periods.

Genotoxicity may be related to carcinogenesis and both combinations of drugs tested demonstrated that they are capable of inducing clastogenic and/or aneugenic effects. However, further long-term studies are necessary to evaluate the possible side effects of the different combinations of drugs administered in the lifelong antiretroviral therapy of HIV-infected patients.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the Hospital de Doenças Tropicais Dr. Anuar Auad for the donation of the medicines.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: AVMF KSC LCC.

Data curation: KSC LCC.

Formal analysis: AVMF KSC DMS TBV LCC.

Funding acquisition: KSC DMS LCC.

Investigation: AVMF KSC DMS TBV WFC LCC.

Methodology: AVMF CJSC CCC CRV DCSL WFC TBV.

Project administration: AVMF KSC LCC.

Resources: KSC LCC DMS AVMF.

Supervision: KSC DMS LCC.

Validation: AVMF KSC DMS LCC.

Visualization: AVMF KSC DMS LCC.

Writing – original draft: AVMF KSC DMS LCC.

Writing – review & editing: AVMF KSC CCC DMS LCC.

References

1. Duan C, Poticha D, Stoeckli TC, Petropoulos CJ, Whitcomb JM, McHenry CS, et al. Inhibition of purified recombinant reverse transcriptase from wild-type and zidovudine-resistant clinical isolates of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by zidovudine, stavudine, and lamivudine triphosphates. *J Infect Dis.* 2001; 184: 1336–1340. doi: [10.1086/323995](https://doi.org/10.1086/323995) PMID: [11679926](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11679926/)
2. Enteshami M, Scarth BJ, Tchesnokov EP, Dash C, Le Grice SFJ, Hallenberger S, et al. Mutations M184V and Y115F in HIV-1 reverse transcriptase discriminate against “nucleotide-competing reverse transcriptase inhibitors”. *J Biol Chem.* 2008; 283: 29904–29911. doi: [10.1074/jbc.M804882200](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M804882200) PMID: [18728003](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18728003/)
3. Walker DM, Kajon AE, Torres SM, Carter MM, McCash CL, Swenberg JA, et al. WR1065 mitigates AZT-ddI-induced mutagenesis and inhibits viral replication. *Environ Mol Mutagen.* 2009; 50: 460–472. doi: [10.1002/em.20482](https://doi.org/10.1002/em.20482) PMID: [19334055](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19334055/)
4. Rerks-Ngarm S, Pitisuttithum P, Nitayaphan S, Kaewkungwal J, Chiu J, Paris R, et al. Vaccination with ALVAC and AIDSVAX to prevent HIV-1 infection in Thailand. *N Engl J Med.* 2009; 361: 2209–2220. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa0908492](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0908492) PMID: [19843557](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19843557/)
5. Gallant JE, DeJesus E, Arribas JR, Pozniak AL, Gazzard B, Campo RE, et al. Tenofovir DF, emtricitabine, and efavirenz vs. zidovudine, lamivudine, and efavirenz for HIV. *N Engl J Med.* 2006; 354: 251–260. doi: [10.1056/NEJMoa051871](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa051871) PMID: [16421366](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16421366/)
6. Pozniak AL, Gallant JE, DeJesus E, Arribas JR, Gazzard B, Campo RE, et al. Tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, emtricitabine, and efavirenz versus fixed-dose zidovudine/lamivudine and efavirenz in antiretroviral-naïve patients: virologic, immunologic, and morphologic changes—A 96-week analysis. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2006; 43: 535–540. doi: [10.1097/01.qai.0000245886.51262.67](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.qai.0000245886.51262.67)
7. Herd O, Francies F, Slabbert J, Baeyens A. The effect of HIV and antiretroviral therapy on chromosomal radiosensitivity. *J AIDS Clin Res.* 2014; 5: 397. doi: [10.4172/2155-6113.1000397](https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-6113.1000397)
8. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in HIV-1-infected adults and adolescents. Washington, DC: Department of Health and Human Services; 2016. Available: <https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/adultandadolescentgl.pdf>
9. Schilling BE, Nelson DR, Proctor JE, Diamond SS, Kaul S, Hawkins HC. The nonclinical toxicologic profile of stavudine. *Curr Ther Res.* 1995; 56: 201–218. doi: [10.1016/0011-393X\(95\)85025-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-393X(95)85025-2)
10. Bialkowska A, Bialkowski K, Gerschenson M, Diwan BA, Jones AB, Olivero OA, et al. Oxidative DNA damage in fetal tissues after transplacental exposure to 3'-azido-3'-deoxythymidine (AZT). *Carcinogenesis.* 2000; 21: 1059–1062. doi: [10.1093/carcin/21.5.1059](https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/21.5.1059) PMID: [10783333](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10783333/)
11. Bishop JB, Witt KL, Tice RR, Wolfe GW. Genetic damage detected in CD-1 mouse pups exposed perinatally to 3'-azido-3'-deoxythymidine and dideoxyinosine via maternal dosing, nursing, and direct gavage. *Environ Mol Mutagen.* 2004; 43: 3–9. doi: [10.1002/em.10210](https://doi.org/10.1002/em.10210) PMID: [14743340](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14743340/)
12. Carter MM, Torres SM, Cook DL Jr, McCash CL, Yu M, Walker VE, et al. Relative mutagenic potencies of several nucleoside analogs, alone or in drug pairs, at the *HPRT* and *TK* loci of human TK6 lymphoblastoid cells. *Environ Mol Mutagen.* 2007; 48: 239–247. doi: [10.1002/em.20282](https://doi.org/10.1002/em.20282) PMID: [17358029](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17358029/)

13. Bayram S, Topktaş M. Confirmation of the chromosome damaging effects of lamivudine in vitro human peripheral blood lymphocytes. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2008; 49: 328–333. doi: [10.1002/em.20393](https://doi.org/10.1002/em.20393) PMID: [18366100](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18366100/)
14. Lourenço ED, Amaral VS, Lehmann M, Dihl RR, Schmitt VM, Cunha KS, et al. Micronuclei induced by reverse transcriptase inhibitors in mononucleated and binucleated cells as assessed by the cytokinesis-block micronucleus assay. *Genet Mol Biol*. 2010; 33: 756–760. doi: [10.1590/S1415-47572010005000084](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1415-47572010005000084) PMID: [21637587](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21637587/)
15. Friedrich A, Olejniczak K. Evaluation of carcinogenicity studies of medicinal products for human use authorised via the European centralised procedure (1995–2009). *Regul Toxicol Pharm*. 2011; 60: 225–248. doi: [10.1016/j.yrtph.2011.04.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2011.04.001)
16. Wu KM, Powley MW, Ghantous H. Timing of carcinogenicity studies and predictability of genotoxicity for tumorigenicity in anti-HIV drug development. *Int J Toxicol*. 2012; 31: 211–221. doi: [10.1177/1091581812439585](https://doi.org/10.1177/1091581812439585) PMID: [22550047](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22550047/)
17. Brambilla G, Mattioli F, Robbiano L, Martelli A. Studies on genotoxicity and carcinogenicity of antibacterial, antiviral, antimalarial and antifungal drugs. *Mutagenesis*. 2011; 1–27. doi: [10.1093/mutage/ger052](https://doi.org/10.1093/mutage/ger052)
18. Brambilla G, Mattioli F, Robbiano L, Martelli A. Update of carcinogenicity studies in animals and humans of 535 marketed pharmaceuticals. *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res*. 2012; 750: 1–51. doi: [10.1016/j.mrrev.2011.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2011.09.002)
19. Guimarães NN, Silva CJ, Andrade HHR, Dihl RR, Lehmann M, Cunha KS. Comparative analysis of genetic toxicity of antiretroviral combinations in somatic cells of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2013; 53: 299–309. doi: [10.1016/j.fct.2012.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2012.12.005) PMID: [23261680](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23261680/)
20. Richman DD, Margolis DM, Delaney M, Greene WC, Hazuda D, Pomerantz RJ. The challenge of finding a cure for HIV infection. *Science*. 2009; 323: 1304–1307. doi: [10.1126/science.1165706](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1165706) PMID: [19265012](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19265012/)
21. Singh NP, McCoy MT, Tice RR, Schneider EL. A simple technique for quantitation of low levels of DNA damage in individual cells. *Exp Cell Res*. 1998; 175: 184–191. doi: [10.1016/0014-4827\(88\)90265-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4827(88)90265-0)
22. Hartmann A, Schumacher M, Plappert-Helbig U, Lowe P, Suter W, Mueller L. Use of the alkaline *in vivo* Comet assay for mechanistic genotoxicity investigations. *Mutagenesis*. 2004; 19: 51–59. doi: [10.1093/mutage/geg038](https://doi.org/10.1093/mutage/geg038) PMID: [14681313](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14681313/)
23. Goldschalk RWL, Ersson C, Riso P, Porrini M, Langie SAS, van Schooten FJ, et al. DNA-repair measurements by use of the modified comet assay: An inter-laboratory comparison within the European Comet Assay Validation Group (ECVAG). *Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutag*. 2013; 757: 60–67. doi: [10.1016/j.mrgentox.2013.06.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2013.06.020)
24. Guanggang X, Diqui L, Jianzhong Y, Jingmin G, Huifeng Z, Mingan S, et al. Carbamate insecticide methomyl confers cytotoxicity through DNA damage induction. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2013; 53: 352–358. doi: [10.1016/j.fct.2012.12.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2012.12.020) PMID: [23266502](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23266502/)
25. Collins A, Koppen G, Valdiglesias V, Dusinska M, Kruszewski M, Møller P, et al. The comet assay as a tool for human biomonitoring studies: The ComNet Project. *Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res*. 2014; 759: 27–39. doi: [10.1016/j.mrrev.2013.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2013.10.001) PMID: [24184488](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24184488/)
26. Heddle JA. A rapid *in vivo* test for chromosomal damage. *Mutat Res Fundam Mol Mech Mutagen*. 1973; 18: 187–190. doi: [10.1016/0027-5107\(73\)90035-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0027-5107(73)90035-3)
27. MacGregor JT, Heddle JA, Hite M, Margolin BH, Ramel C, et al. Guidelines for the conduct of micronucleus assays in mammalian bone erythrocytes. *Mutat Res Genet Toxicol*. 1987; 189: 103–112. doi: [10.1016/0165-1218\(87\)90016-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1218(87)90016-4)
28. Hayashi M, Tice RR, MacGregor JT, Anderson D, Blakey DH, Kirsh-Volders M, et al. In vivo rodent erythrocyte micronucleus assay. *Mutat Res Environ Mutagen Relat Subj*. 1994; 312: 293–304. doi: [10.1016/0165-1161\(94\)90039-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1161(94)90039-6)
29. Krishna G, Hayashi M. In vivo rodent micronucleus assay: protocol, conduct and data interpretation. *Mutat Res Fundam Mol Mech Mutagen*. 2000; 455: 155–166. doi: [10.1016/S0027-5107\(00\)00117-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0027-5107(00)00117-2)
30. Collins AR. Measuring oxidative damage to DNA and its repair with the comet assay. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2014; 1840: 794–800. doi: [10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.04.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.04.022) PMID: [23618695](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23618695/)
31. Attia SM, Ahmad SF, Zoheir KM, Bakheet SA, Helal GK, Abd-Allah AR, et al. Genotoxic evaluation of chloroacetonitrile in murine marrow cells and effects on DNA damage repair gene expressions. *Mutagenesis*. 2014; 29: 55–62. doi: [10.1093/mutage/get063](https://doi.org/10.1093/mutage/get063)
32. COBEA. Princípios éticos na experimentação animal. São Paulo: Colégio Brasileiro de Experimentação Animal; 1991. Available: <http://www2.fcfar.unesp.br/Home/ComitedeEtica/principios%20eticos%20na%20experimentacao%20animal%20cobeapdf>

33. Von Tungeln LS, Hamilton LP, Dobrovolsky VN, Bishop ME, Shaddock JG, Heflich RH, et al. Frequency of *Tk* and *Hprt* lymphocyte mutants and bone marrow micronuclei in B6C₃F₁/*Tk*⁺ mice treated neonatally with zidovudine and lamivudine. *Carcinogenesis*. 2002; 23: 1427–1432. doi: [10.1093/carcin/23.9.1427](https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/23.9.1427) PMID: [12189183](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12189183/)
34. Tripathi DN, Pawar AA, Vikram A, Ramarao P, Jena GB. Use of the alkaline comet assay for the detection of transplacental genotoxins in newborn mice. *Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen*. 2008; 653: 134–139. doi: [10.1016/j.mrgentox.2008.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2008.03.004)
35. Hecht M, Harrer T, Büttner M, Schwegler M, Erber S, Fietkau R, et al. Cytotoxic effect of efavirenz is selective against cancer cells and associated with the cannabinoid system. *AIDS*. 2013; 27: 2031–2040. doi: [10.1097/QAD.0b013e3283625444](https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e3283625444) PMID: [23612009](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23612009/)
36. Díaz-Delfín J, Gutiérrez MM, Gallego-Escuredo JM, Domingo JC, Mateo MG, Villarroya F, et al. Effects of nevirapine and efavirenz on human adipocyte differentiation, gene expression, and release of adipokines and cytokines. *Antivir Res*. 2011; 91: 112–119. doi: [10.1016/j.antiviral.2011.04.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2011.04.018) PMID: [21619898](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21619898/)
37. Bisset LR, Lutz H, Böni J, Hofmann-Lehmann R, Lüthy R, Schüpback J. Combined effect of zidovudine (ZDV), lamivudine (3TC) and abacavir (ABC) antiretroviral therapy in suppressing in vitro FIV replication. *Antivir Res*. 2002; 53: 35–45. doi: [10.1016/S0166-3542\(01\)00190-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-3542(01)00190-5) PMID: [11684314](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11684314/)
38. Escobar PA, Olivero OA, Wade NA, Abrams EJ, Nesel CJ, Ness RB, et al. Genotoxicity assessed by the comet and *GPA* assays following in vitro exposure of human lymphoblastoid cells (H9) or perinatal exposure of mother–child pairs to AZT or AZT-3TC. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2007; 48: 330–343. doi: [10.1002/em.20285](https://doi.org/10.1002/em.20285) PMID: [17358027](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17358027/)
39. Oliveira HM, Damiani AP, Dias RO, Romão PRT, Andrade VM. Effect of antiretroviral drugs on the DNA damage in mice. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2014; 37: 390–395. doi: [10.1016/j.etap.2013.12.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2013.12.011) PMID: [24441026](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24441026/)
40. Shafik HM, Nokta MA, Pollard RB. Recombinant human interferon beta ser protects against zidovudine-induced genetic damage in AIDS patients. *Antivir Res*. 1991; 16: 205–212. doi: [10.1016/0166-3542\(91\)90026-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-3542(91)90026-N) PMID: [1799278](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1799278/)
41. Von Tungeln LS, Williams LD, Doerge DR, Shaddock JG, McGarrity LJ, Morris SM, et al. Transplacental drug transfer and frequency of *Tk* and *Hprt* lymphocyte mutants and peripheral blood micronuclei in mice treated transplacentally with zidovudine and lamivudine. *Environ Mol Mutagen*. 2007; 48: 258–269. doi: [10.1002/em.20237](https://doi.org/10.1002/em.20237) PMID: [16850453](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16850453/)
42. Baar MP, Rooij ER, Smolders KGM, van Schijndel HB, Timmermans EC, Bethell R. Effects of apricitabine and other nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors on replication of mitochondrial DNA in HepG2 cells. *Antivir Res*. 2007; 76: 68–74. doi: [10.1016/j.antiviral.2007.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2007.05.004) PMID: [17628710](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17628710/)
43. Cihlar T, Birkus G, Greenwalt DE, Hitchcock MJM. Tenofovir exhibits low cytotoxicity in various human cell types: comparison with other nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *Antivir Res*. 2002; 54: 37–45. doi: [10.1016/S0166-3542\(01\)00210-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-3542(01)00210-8) PMID: [11888656](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11888656/)
44. Lei FX, Lei J, Wei JJ, Mao L. Genotoxicity of tenofovir dipivoxil fumarate. *Chin J Antibiot*. 2011–12. Available: http://en.cnki.com.cn/Article_en/CJFDTOTAL-ZKSS201112018.htm
45. Anderson PL, Kakuda TM, Kawle S, Fletcher CV. Antiviral dynamics and sex differences of zidovudine and lamivudine triphosphate concentrations in HIV-infected individuals. *AIDS*. 2003; 17: 2159–2168. doi: [10.1097/01.aids.0000076338.42412.62](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.aids.0000076338.42412.62) PMID: [14523272](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14523272/)
46. Ribaldo HJ, Haas DW, Tierney C, Kim RB, Wilkinson GR, Gulick RM, et al. Pharmacogenetics of plasma efavirenz exposure after treatment discontinuation: an adult AIDS clinical trials group study. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006; 42: 401–407. doi: [10.1086/499364](https://doi.org/10.1086/499364) PMID: [16392089](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16392089/)
47. Barditch-Corvo P, Deeks SG, Collier A, Safrin S, Coakley DF, Miller M, et al. Phase I/II trial of the pharmacokinetics, safety, and antiretroviral activity of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in human immunodeficiency virus-infected adults. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2001; 45: 2733–2739. doi: [10.1128/AAC.45.10.2733-2739.2001](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.10.2733-2739.2001) PMID: [11557462](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11557462/)
48. Decordier I, Dillen L, Cundari E, Kirsch-Volders M. Elimination of micronucleated cells by apoptosis after treatment with inhibitors of microtubules. *Mutagenesis*. 2002; 17: 337–344. doi: [10.1093/mutage/17.4.337](https://doi.org/10.1093/mutage/17.4.337) PMID: [12110631](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12110631/)
49. Bonassi S, Znaor A, Ceppi M, Lando C, Chang WP, Holland N, et al. An increased micronucleus frequency in peripheral blood lymphocytes predicts the risk of cancer in humans. *Carcinogenesis*. 2006; 28: 625–631. doi: [10.1093/carcin/bgl177](https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgl177) PMID: [16973674](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16973674/)
50. Decordier I, Cundari E, Kirsch-Volders M. Survival of aneuploid, micronucleated and/or polyploid cells: Crosstalk between ploidy control and apoptosis. *Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen*. 2008; 651: 30–39. doi: [10.1016/j.mrgentox.2007.10.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2007.10.016)

51. Schlegel R, MacGregor JT. The persistence of micronucleated erythrocytes in the peripheral circulation of normal and splenectomized Fischer 344 rats: Implications for cytogenetic screening. *Mutat Res Fundam Mol Mech Mutagen.* 1984; 127: 169–174. doi: [10.1016/0027-5107\(84\)90018-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0027-5107(84)90018-6)