RESEARCH ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis Taylor & Francis Group

OPEN ACCESS OPEN ACCESS

Far upstream element -binding protein 1 (FUBP1) participates in the malignant process and glycolysis of colon cancer cells by combining with c-Myc

Shanwei Wang, Yanli Wang, Sheng Li, Shen Nian, Wenjing Xu, and Fenli Liang

Department of Pathology, Xi'an Medical College, Xi'an City, Shanxi Province, China

ABSTRACT

Human distal upstream element (Fuse) binding protein 1 (FUBP1) is a transcriptional regulator of c-Myc and represents an important prognostic marker in many cancers. Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate whether FUBP1 could combine with c-Myc to participate in the progression of colon cancer. Detection of FUBP1 expression was done through reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-gPCR), and the combination of FUBP1 and c-Myc was detected by immunoprecipitation assay. Cell counting kit (CCK)-8, colony formation, transwell and wound healing were applied for assessing the ability of cells to proliferate, migrate, and invade; glycolysis and lactic acid detection kits were used to detect glucose uptake and lactic acid content, while western blotting was adopted to detect the protein expression of glycolysis-related genes. FUBP1 expression was elevated in HCT116 cells relative to other colon cancer cell lines, and silencing FUBP1 could inhibit the ability of HCT116 cells to proliferate, migrate, invade and glycolysis, and enhance its apoptosis. In addition, the results of immunoprecipitation experiments showed that FUBP1 could bind to c-Myc. c-Myc overexpression reversed the inhibitory effects of FUBP1 knockdown on the ability of HCT116 cells to proliferate, migrate, invade and glycolysis. The results indicated that FUBP1 could participate in the deterioration process of colon cancer cells by combining with c-Myc, and it has clinical significance for understanding the key role of FUBP1 in tumor genesis.



Highlights

- *Knockdown of FUBP1 can inhibit the invasion, migration, and glycolysis of colon cancer cells.*
- FUBP1 could bind to c-Myc in colon cancer cells.
- Overexpression of c-Myc can promote the proliferation, invasion, migration, and glycolysis of colon cancer cells.

CONTACT Shanwei Wang wangshanwei101@163.com Department of Pathology, Xi'an Medical College, No. 1 Xinwang Road, Weiyang District, Xi'an City, Shanxi Province 710021, P.R. China

© 2022 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 14 March 2022 Revised 20 April 2022 Accepted 27 April 2022

KEYWORDS

FUBP1; glycolysis; colon cancer; c-myc

Introduction

Colon cancer is recognized as the biggest health killer along with lung cancer, prostate cancer, and breast cancer [1]. Based on cancer statistics published by the American Cancer Society, the incidence of colon cancer in humans is 10.2%, and the mortality rate has reached 9.2%, rising from the fourth to the second [2,3]. In recent years, colon cancer patients are often treated with radical surgical treatment, together with chemoradiotherapy, targeted therapy, gene therapy, and to a large extent improving the survival of patients. However, approximately 50% of colon cancer patients relapse due to drug resistance. About 25% of the patients with colon cancer have liver metastases at the time of initial diagnosis [4,5], and around 50% of the patients experience liver metastases within 3 years after primary surgery [6]. Therefore, studying the pathogenesis of colon cancer is essential to address clinical needs.

With an in-depth understanding of tumor biology and the complexity of tumor metabolism, it has been discovered that metabolic reprogramming is a hallmark of malignant tumors [7,8]. The reason for this is that energy metabolic reprogramming promotes rapid cell growth and proliferation by regulating energy metabolism [9]. Also, the energy metabolism of cancer cells mainly lies in glycolysis, and tumor cells preferentially use glycolysis to produce ATP to achieve aerobic sugar degradation despite sufficient oxygen [10]. Additionally, glucose transport is the first critical step of glycolysis. To meet the demand for energy and intermediates for rapid cell growth, oncogenes often upregulate glucose transporters, especially GLUT1, to increase glucose uptake [11]. Therefore, this reminds us that in some cases, reprogrammed metabolic activities could be used to diagnose, monitor, and treat cancer.

Oncogenes like c-Myc, mTOR, and hypoxiainducible factor 1α (HIF- 1α) are able to increase glycolytic activity to meet anabolic requirements to maintain highly proliferating cancer cells [12–14]. Under normoxic conditions, c-Myc is the 'master regulator' of glycolysis and tumor proliferation. c-Myc could transcriptionally up-regulate GLUT1, LDHA, HK2, and PKM2 to promote glycolytic activity, increase glucose uptake and rapid conversion of glucose to lactic acid [15]. Therefore, effective inhibition of c-Myc expression is particularly important to prevent glycolysis and cancer progression.

Human far upstream element (FUSE) binding protein 1 (FUBP1) serves as a major modulator of transcription, translation, and RNA splicing [16]. FUBP1 has been determined to be an effective proproliferation and anti-apoptosis factor. For example, FUBP1 can be used as an oncogene to promote the proliferation of oral squamous cell carcinoma and leads to a poor prognosis for patients [17]. It is noted that FUBP1 also can promote the proliferation and deterioration of renal clear cell carcinoma [18]. Additionally, in glioma, FUBP1 effectively facilitates the proliferation of glioma cells, and its mechanism may be related to c-Myc [19]. Jiang et al. reported that FUBP1 could promote the glycolysis of neuroblastoma [20]. It is also found that FUBP1 expression could be increased in colon cancer tissues [21], but there is no study on the specific mechanism.

Therefore, this study hypothesized that FUBP1 was involved in the deterioration processes of colon cancer cells through the combination with c-Myc. The purpose of this work is to analyze FUBP1 expression in colon cancer cells and to explore the impacts of silencing FUBP1 on the ability of colon cancer cells to proliferate, migrate, and invade, as well as apoptosis, glycolysis, and lactic acid production levels, and its internal mechanism.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

Human colon cancer cell lines HIEC, LOVO, HCT8, SW620, and HCT116 were provided by the American Type Culture Collection and maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with a final concentration of 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C.

Bioinformatics

The Biogrid DataBase (version 4.4; https://thebio grid.org/) is a database that can be used to predict the protein-protein link [22].

Cell transfection

The overexpression of c-Myc (Ov-c-Myc) and the overexpression-negative control (Ov-NC), short hairpin RNA carrying FUBP1 (shRNA-FUBP1, 5'-GCTGCTTATTACGCTCACTAT-3') and shRNA-Negative Control (shRNA-NC, 5'-

TTCTCCGAACGTGTCACGT-3') were synthesized by Shanghai GeneChem Co., Ltd. HCT116 cells were kept in culture on 12-well plates $(3x10^5$ cells/well) in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C for 24 h. Following incubation, cell transfection was immediately carried out with the aforementioned vectors applying Lipofectamine[®] 2000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific) as per the operating procedures of the reagent. The transfection efficiency of genes was evaluated by reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) post 48 h [23].

Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8) assay

Cell inoculation was done in 96-well plates $(5x10^3 \text{ cells/well})$ and continued to incubate for 24, 48, and 72 h at 37°C. Following the incubation, 10 µl of CCK-8 kit was dropped into each well for another 2 h incubation at 37°C. The evaluation of cell viability relied on the absorbance of each well at 450 nm tested with the use of a microplate reader (BioTek, Vermont, USA).

Wound healing assay

For this experiment, cell inoculation was conducted into 12-well plates ($1x10^5$ cells/well). Once HCT116 cells grew to 80% confluence, serum-free RPMI-1640 medium was supplemented into the plates and cultured overnight at 37°C. Subsequently, a single layer of cells was then scratched with a 200-µl pipette tip by a scale. The plates underwent three times of PBS wash and continued to incubate for 24 h at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Subsequently, the wounds were observed by utilizing a BX51 inverted microscope (Olympus Corporation; magnification, x100). Cell migration was quantified in the following way (0 h scratch width - scratch width following culturing)/0 h scratch width [24].

Cell invasion assay

A 24-well transwell with 8-µm pore provided by Corning Inc were coated with Matrigel (BD Biosciences) and placed at 37°C for 30 min. 200 µl serum-free medium containing HCT116 cells (5x10⁴ cells/ml) were plated in the upper chamber of transwell and 600 µl RPMI-1640 with 10% FBS was supplemented in the lower chamber for 24-h incubation at 37°C in 5% CO₂. The cells that failed to cross the membrane were removed by sterile cotton swabs. While the cells that successfully crossed the membrane were fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 15 min. Following staining in 0.1% crystal violet for 30 min at 20°C-25°C, the cells in 5 fields were randomly chosen and observed by an inverted microscope (Olympus Corporation) [25].

Metabolite measurements

The glucose and lactate concentrations in the medium were determined separately by the Glucose Colorimetric Assay Kit (cat. no. ab136955, Abcam) and Lactate Colorimetric Assay Kit (cat. no. K627-100, Biovision) in light of the manufacturer's procedures at 48 and 72 h. All metabolite measurements were operated independently no less than three times.

Extracellular Acidification rate (ECAR) detection

ECAR was measured according to the extracellular flux analyzer (Seahorse Bioscience). Briefly, cells $(1.0 \times 10^4 \text{ cells/well})$ were seeded in an XF cell culture plate to achieve 80%–90% confluence. Then, these cells were resuspended in XF assay medium supplemented with 1 mM glutamine (pH = 7.4; Sigma-Aldrich), oligomycin (1 μ M; Sigma-Aldrich) and 2-deoxy-D-glucose (2-DG, 100 mM; Sigma-Aldrich). ECAR values were calculated using the program provided by the manufacturer and the data file was exported as a GraphPad Prism file.

Colony formation assay

HCT116 cells with 5×10^2 cells/well suspended in RPMI-1640 medium were seeded into six-well

12118 👄 S. WANG ET AL.

plates and cultured in a 5% CO_2 incubator at 37°C for 14 days. Subsequently, these cells were subjected to fixation in 70% ethanol at room temperature for 15 min and staining in 0.05% crystal violet for 20 min at 37°C. The number of colonies formed (>50 cells/colony) was calculated by counting with an Olympus BX40 light microscope (Olympus Corporation) [26].

Co-immunoprecipitation assay

About 5×10^7 cells were lysed with Triton X-100 lysis buffer (40 mM Tris, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM NaF, 1 mM Na₃VO₄) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail to obtain cell lysates. Following determination of total protein concentrations by BCA assay, rabbit anti-FUBP1 polyclonal antibody was used to incubate with protein A beads at 4°C for 1 h, and then these beads were added with 450 µM DSS solution following the manufacturer's protocol. Next, FUBP1 and its interacting proteins were purified with the antibody conjugated beads, followed by mass spectrometric analysis or western blotting [27].

RT-qPCR analysis

Whole RNA extraction was undertaken from HCT116 cells by means of a TRIzol® reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), followed by a reverse transcription to cDNA with the employment of FastQuant RT kit (cat. no. KR106; Tiangen Biotech Co., Ltd.) in strict accordance with the maker's protocols. qPCR reactions were conducted adopting the PowerUp™ SYBR[™] Green Master Mix (cat. no. A25779; Applied Biosystems) on the ABI 7500 PCR system (Applied Biosystems). The thermocycling conditions were described below: initial denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, followed by 16 cycles at 55°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec. The relative expressions of genes were normalized to those of the housekeeping gene GAPDH and were calculated utilizing the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Cq}$ method [28]. The sequences of all genes were listed below: FUBP1 forward, 5'-CAACCAGAT GCTAAGAAAGTTGC-3' and reverse, 5'-CCTCC TCTGCCAATTATGAATCC-3'; GLUT-1 forward, 5'-TAGTACTGGGTGGCAGA-3' and reverse, 5'-CGGCACAAGAATGGATGAAA-3'; PKM2 forward, 5'-AAGGGTGTGAACCTTCCTGG-3' and reverse, 5'-GCTCGACCCCAAACTTCAGA-3'; LD AGCAGHA forward, 5'-TGATGGATCTCCAAC AGCAGATGG-3' and reverse, 5'-CAGCTTGGA AGCAGGTTTGCAGTTAC-3'; c-Myc forward, 5'-GGCTCCTGGCAAAAGGTCA-3' and reverse, 5'-CTGCGTAGTTGTGCTGATGT-3'; GAPDH forward, 5'-GATGATGTTGAACTCGTCGC-3' and reverse, 5'-CTCTTCTGGGTTTCTCACACC-3'.

Western blotting

HCT116 cells treated in each group were collected and added with RIPA buffer (Beyotime Biotechnology Institute) to extract total proteins, which were then detected by applying BCA protein Detection Kit (Beyotime Biotechnology Institute) for concentration determination. The protein samples was transferred to PVDF membranes soaked in methanol and sealed with 5% bovine serum albumin at room temperature for 30 min. The membranes were mixed with primary antibodies against FUBP1 (cat. no. ab189914; 1:2000 dilution; Abcam), GLUT-1 (cat. no. ab92742; 1:1000 dilution; Abcam), PKM2 (cat. no. ab85555; 1:1000 dilution; Abcam), LDHA (cat. no. ab134187; 1:2000 dilution; Abcam), c-Myc (cat. no. ab32072; 1:1000 Abcam); matrix metallopeptidase dilution; (MMP)2 (cat. no. ab215986; 1:1000 dilution; Abcam); MMP12 (cat. no. ab52897; 1:1000 dilution; Abcam) and GAPDH (cat. no. ab181602; 1:10,000 dilution; Abcam) at 4°C overnight. The next day, the secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (1:5000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) were added into the membranes for another 2 h incubation at room temperature. After adding ECL luminescent solution, the protein bands were obtained by the gel imager (C150, Azure Biosystems, USA). The gray value of the protein bands was subjected to analysis employing ImageJ (v1.51) software and the relative expression of the protein was calculated [29].

Statistical analysis

The results of all the experiments were used for data analysis with GraphPad Prism 7 (GraphPad

Software, Inc.). These figures from three independent experiments were presented here in the form of mean \pm standard deviation. Comparisons between two groups were made utilizing an unpaired Student's t-test, while comparisons of those among multiple groups were done by means of one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test. A P value <0.05 for each group was determined to be statistically significant.

Results

This study investigated whether FUBP1 is involved in the deterioration processes of colon cancer cells through the combination with c-Myc. First, we evaluated the effects of FUBP1 on proliferation, invasion, migration, and glycolysis of colon cancer cells. We found that knockdown of FUBP1 abviously inhibited proliferation, invasion, migration, and glycolysis of HCT116 cells. Subsequently, we further evaluated whether c-Myc was involved in the mechanism of FUBP1 in colon cancer. The results showed that FUBP1 could bind to c-Myc and overexpression of c-Myc blocked the interference of FUBP1 and promoted the proliferation, invasion, migration, and glycolysis of colon cancer cells.

FUBP1 is upregulated in colon cancer cell lines and knockdown of FUBP1 inhibits HCT116 cell invasion and migration. First, the expression of FUBP1 was analyzed by western blotting and RTqPCR in colon cancer cell lines. Compared with other colon cancer cell lines (HIEC, LOVO, HCT8, SW620), HCT116 cell line exhibited the highest FUBP1 expression (Figures 1a-b). Thus, this cell line was utilized for later experiments. Additionally, HCT116 cells with shRNA-FUBP1 -1 showed lower expression than those of shRNA-FUBP1-2, therefore, shRNA-FUBP1-1 was chosen for following biological function experiments (Figures 1c-d). After that, cell viability in HCT116 cells was estimated by CCK-8 and colony formation assays. It was easily seen that FUBP1 knockdown repressed HCT116 cell proliferation (Figures 1e-f). Subsequently, wound healing and transwell experiments were used to evaluate the ability of invasion and migration in HCT116 cells after shRNA-FUBP1-1 transfection. In contrast to the NC groups, the cell invasion and migration ability was found to be weakened in the shRNA-FUBP1-1 group (Figures 1g-h). Furthermore, the levels of invasion and migration-related proteins (MMP2 and MMP12) were also detected. The results indicated that MMP2 and MMP12 levels were significantly decreased in the shRNA-FUBP1 group compared with the shRNA-NC group (Figure 1i). These results indicated that FUBP1 was expressed at a high level in HCT116 cell, and its knockdown could suppress the ability of HCT116 cells to proliferate, migrate, and invade.

Knockdown of FUBP1 represses glycolysis in HCT116 cells. The glucose uptake detection kit was used to evaluate the glycolytic ability of HCT116 cells after FUBP1 inhibition. The results showed that glucose intake was declined in the shRNA-FUBP1 group at 48 h or 72 h (vs sh-NC; Figure 2a). Lactic acid detection kit was used to detect the production of lactic acid in HCT116 cells, it was found that the content of lactic acid in HCT116 cells was also decreased after FUBP1 was inhibited (Figure 2b). Besides, the expression of glucose degradation-related genes (LDHA, PKM2, and GlUT-1) were also tested. RT-PCR and western blotting results showed that the expressions of these genes was declined. Therefore, the above results all indicate that the glycolysis ability of HCT116 cells was significantly reduced after transfection with shRNA-FUBP1-1 (Figure 2c). Additionally, we analyzed extracellular acidification rate (ECAR), the steady state glycolysis flux, and glycolytic capacity were attenuated in shRNA-FUBP1 group, indicating that FUBP1 can promote glycolysis flux (Figure 2d).

FUBP1 could bind to c-Myc in colon cancer cells. Subsequently, the present study further investigated the mechanism underlying the effects of FUBP1 on HCT116 cells. Bioinformatics analysis based on the Biogrid database (https://thebiogrid. org/) suggested that FUBP1 could bind to c-Myc. c-Myc, as a tumor-promoting gene, is involved in the progression of a variety of tumors. Therefore, there might be some potential mechanism between FUBP1 and c-Myc in the pathogenesis of colon cancer. Interestingly, it was found that c-Myc expression was significantly downregulated in HCT116 cells transfected with shRNA-FUBP1 (Figures 3a-b), indicating that FUBP1 was linked to c-Myc expression. To further determinate the



Figure 1. FUBP1 expression level is increased in colon cancer cell lines, and knockdown FUBP1 inhibits colon cancer cell proliferation, invasion and migration. FUBP1 protein (a) and mRNA (b) expressions in colon cancer cells. FUBP1 protein (c) and (d) mRNA expressions following FUBP1 knockdown in HCT116 cells. ***p < 0.001 vs. HIEC or control; (e) Cell proliferation was assessed by performing a Cell Counting Kit-8 assay. (f) Representative images of colony formation assay. (g) Representative images of wound healing assay (magnification, x100). (h) Representative images of Transwell assay (magnification, x100). (i) MMP2 and MMP12 protein expressions were assessed via western blotting. ***P < 0.001 vs. shRNA-NC. FUBP1, Human far upstream element (FUSE) binding protein 1; MMP, matrix metallopeptidase; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; NC, negative control.



Figure 2. FUBP1 knockdown alleviates glycolysis in colon cancer cells. (a) The glucose content in HCT116 cells was detected by glucose detection kit. (b) The lactic acid kit was utilized to measure the level of lactic acid in the HCT116 cells. (c) The expressions of glycolytic associated proteins (GLUT-1, PKM2 and LDHA) were detected using RT-qPCR and western blotting. (d) The ECAR was detected as an indicator for deduced glycolysis flux and glycolytic capacity. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001 vs shRNA-NC. FUBP1, Human far upstream element (FUSE) binding protein 1; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; NC, negative control; ECAR, extracellular acidification rate.

association, we adopted COIP experiment to detect the binding between FUBP1 and c-Myc. As seen in Figure 3c, the precipitation experiment with IgG showed that FUBP1 protein and c-Myc protein were not precipitated, which indicated that FUBP1 protein and c-Myc protein could not bind to IgG. Notably, we found that both protein c-Myc and protein FUBP1 could be precipitated either by using protein FUBP1 to precipitate protein c-Myc or by using protein c-Myc to precipitate FUBP1. These results indicated that there was an exact interaction between FUBP1 and c-Myc.

Overexpression of c-Myc blocks the interference of FUBP1 and promotes the proliferation, invasion, and migration of colon cancer cells. To elucidate whether c-Myc could bind with FUBP1 to participate in the malignant process of colon cancer cells, a plasmid overexpressing c-Myc (Ov-c-Myc) was constructed and transfected into HCT116 cells. The expression of c-Myc in the Ov-c-Myc group was successfully increased compared with that in the Ov-NC group (Figures 4a-b). Additionally, the overexpression of c-Myc facilitated cell viability and colony formation in HCT116 cells transfected with shRNA-FUBP1+ Ov-c-Myc (Figures 4c-d). Results from wound healing and transwell assay revealed that c-Myc overexpression also promoted cell invasion and migration ability of HCT116 cells following the FUBP1 knockdown (Figures 4e-f). Moreover, the expressions of MMP2 and MMP12



Figure 3. FUBP1 binds to c-Myc in colon cancer cells. c-Myc protein (a) and (b) mRNA expressions following FUBP1 knockdown in HCT116 cells. (c) Co-IP assay was applied to detect the combination of FUBP1 and c-Myc. ***P < 0.001 vs. shRNA-NC. FUBP1, Human far upstream element (FUSE) binding protein 1; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; NC, negative control.

were discovered to be elevated in shRNA-FUBP1 + Ov-c-Myc group (Figure 4g).

Overexpression of c-Myc could block the interference of FUBP1 and promote the Glycolysis of colon cancer cells. A glucose uptake kit was used to detect the glucose uptake capacity of HCT116 cells cotransfected with shRNA-FUBP1 and Ov-c-Myc. Overexpression of c-Myc increased the glucose uptake ability of HCT116 cells co-transfected with shRNA-FUBP1 and Ov-c-Myc at 48 h and 72 h (Figure 5a). Furthermore, the level of lactic acid was remarkably elevated at 48 h and 72 h in HCT116 cells co-transfected with shRNA-FUBP1 and Ov-c-Myc (Figure 5b). Meanwhile, the expressions of LDHA, PKM2, and GlUT1 related to glycolysis were also upregulated following HCT116 cells were transfected with shRNA-FUBP1+ Ov-c-Myc at 72 h (Figure 5c), furthermore, ECAR detection showed that c-Myc overexpression reversed the inhibition of FUBP1 interference on glycolysis (Figure 5d). These results collectively suggested that c-Myc could bind to FUBP1, and ultimately promote the malignant progression and glycolysis level of colon cancer cells.

Discussion

The function of FUBP1 in various cancers raises growing interest. FUBP1 is involved in the regulation of many cellular processes, including gene expression and cell differentiation [30-32]. The existing body of research suggest that FUBP1 protein level is increased in human osteosarcoma cell line [33]. Engidwork et al. [34] found similarly increased level of FUBP1 in human medulloblastoma cell lines. In addition, a study on digestive tract tumors showed that FUBP1 directly or indirectly induced tumor cell proliferation in the liver cancer cell cycle [35]. As mentioned, FUBP1 is a potential cancer-promoting regulator in a variety of tumors. In this study, we observed that there was an elevated expression of FUBP1 in colon cancer cells. Not only that, in vitro cell experiments showed that shRNA-FUBP1 could



Figure 4. Overexpression of c-Myc could promote the proliferation, invasion and migration of colon cancer cells transfected with shRNA-FUBP1. c-Myc protein (a) and mRNA (b) expressions following c-Myc overexpression in HCT116 cells. (c) Cell proliferation was assessed by performing Cell Counting Kit-8 assays. (d) Representative images of colony formation assay. (e) Representative images of wound healing assay (magnification, x100). (f) Representative images of Transwell assay (magnification, x100). MMP2 and MMP12 protein expressions were measured via western blotting. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001 vs Ov-NC or shRNA-NC; ##P < 0.01 and ###P < 0.001 vs. shRNA-FUBP1 + Ov-NC; FUBP1, Human far upstream element (FUSE) binding protein 1; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; Ov, overexpression; NC, negative control.



Figure 5. Overexpression of c-Myc could promote the glycolysis of colon cancer cells. (a) Glucose content was detected by glucose detection kit. (b) Lactic acid level was measured by lactic acid kit. (c) The expressions of glycolytic associated proteins (GLUT-1, PKM2 and LDHA) were detected using RT-qPCR and western blotting. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001 vs shRNA-FUBP1; (d) The ECAR was detected as an indicator for deduced glycolysis flux and glycolytic capacity. $^{\#P}$ < 0.01 and $^{\#\#P}$ < 0.001 vs. shRNA-FUBP1; (d) The ECAR was detected as an indicator for deduced glycolysis flux and glycolytic capacity. $^{\#P}$ < 0.01 and $^{\#\#P}$ < 0.001 vs. shRNA-FUBP1 + Ov-NC, Human far upstream element (FUSE) binding protein 1; shRNA, short hairpin RNA; Ov, overexpression; NC, negative control; ECAR, extracellular acidification rate.

effectively inhibit the proliferation and migration of HCT116 cells. And declined glycolysis level implied that FUBP1 knockdown also inhibited tumorigenesis and development of colon cancer cells.

Notably, the relevance of FUBP1 and c-Myc expression in several cancers suggests that FUBP1 may play a role in the carcinogenesis process by depending on the c-Myc pathway. For instance, loss of FUBP1 function leads to decreased c-Myc expression and cell proliferation [36]. Weber et al. [37] also noted that FUBP1 protein is associated with c-Myc expression in clear cell renal carcinoma, and results in

poor prognosis. Moreover, Ding et al. [19] found that c-Myc was expressed in more than 75% of gliomas, and its high expression was also related to the low survival rate of human gliomas. Jin et al. showed that c-Myc knockout could inhibit the occurrence and metastasis of triple negative breast cancer by reducing the expression of Hsa-mir-4723-5p [38]. Treating c-Myc-dependent tumors could be achieved by affecting the expression of FUBP1 [16]. Therefore, this study aimed at identifying the interactions and molecular mechanisms between FUBP1 and c-Myc in colon cancer cells. First, the results of Biogrid database and COIP experiment showed that FUBP1 could target with c-Myc. After silencing FUBP1 and overexpressing c-Myc, the malignant biological behaviors and glycolysis was observed in colon cancer cells. These findings indicated that FUBP1 exerted an essential role in colon cancer. Previous findings suggest that FUBP1 may play a role in the carcinogenesis process by relying on the c-Myc pathway [39]. Compared with the results of Jin et al. [38]. This study further identified the important role of FUBP1 binding to c-Myc in colon cancer progression.

In this study, we looked at the impact of FUBP1 binding to c-Myc on colon cancer cells. Further studies are needed to explore other mechanisms of FUBP1 in colon cancer cells. Furthermore, only in vitro experiments were done in the present study, and the in vivo experiments have not been further confirmed. Therefore, the effects and mechanisms of FUBP1 on colon cancer should be studied in animal models in the future.

Conclusion

To sum up, this study was the first to suggest that the inhibition of malignant biological behavior and the promotion of glycolysis by FUBP1 in colon cancer cells was related to c-Myc, which would provide a reference for determining the potential mechanism of FUBP1 in the development of colon cancer.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors' contributions

WS, WY, and LS conceptualized and designed the current study. WY, LS, NS, and XW acquired, analyzed, and interpreted the data. WY, LS, NS, XW, and LF drafted the manuscript and revised it critically for important intellectual content. All authors read approved the final manuscript and agreed to be held accountable for the current study in ensuring questions related to the integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

The present study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 81770457) and Doctor's fund of Xi'an Medical College (grant no. 2020DOC19; Doctor's fund of Xi'an Medical College.

References

- Labianca R, Beretta GD, Kildani B, et al. Colon cancer. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol. 2010;74(2):106–133.
- [2] Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(6):394–424.
- [3] Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin. 2017;67(1):7–30.
- [4] Dahan L, Sadok A, Formento JL, et al. Modulation of cellular redox state underlies antagonism between oxaliplatin and cetuximab in human colorectal cancer cell lines. Br J Pharmacol. 2009;158(2):610–620.
- [5] Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fedewa SA, et al. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin. 2017;67 (3):177–193.
- [6] DeSantis CE, Lin CC, Mariotto AB, et al. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2014. CA Cancer J Clin. 2014;64(4):252–271.
- [7] Biswas SK. Metabolic reprogramming of immune cells in cancer progression. Immunity. 2015;43(3):435–449.
- [8] DeBerardinis RJ, Chandel NS. Fundamentals of cancer metabolism. Sci Adv. 2016;2(5):e1600200.
- [9] Sciacovelli M, Frezza C. Metabolic reprogramming and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in cancer. FEBS J. 2017;284(19):3132–3144.
- [10] Gill KS, Fernandes P, O'Donovan TR, et al. Glycolysis inhibition as a cancer treatment and its role in an anti-tumour immune response. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2016;1866(1):87–105.
- [11] Xiao H, Wang J, Yan W, et al. GLUT1 regulates cell glycolysis and proliferation in prostate cancer. Prostate. 2018;78(2):86–94.
- [12] Zuo S, Wu L, Wang Y, et al. Long non-coding RNA MEG3 activated by Vitamin D suppresses glycolysis in colorectal cancer via promoting c-Myc degradation. Front Oncol. 2020;10:274.
- [13] Fan H, Wu Y, Yu S, et al. Critical role of mTOR in regulating aerobic glycolysis in carcinogenesis (Review). Int J Oncol. 2021;58(1):9–19.
- [14] Kierans SJ, Taylor CT. Regulation of glycolysis by the hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF): implications for cellular physiology. J Physiol. 2021;599(1):23–37.

- [15] Fang Y, Shen ZY, Zhan YZ, et al. CD36 inhibits beta-catenin/c-myc-mediated glycolysis through ubiquitination of GPC4 to repress colorectal tumorigenesis. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):3981.
- [16] Zhang J, Chen QM. Far upstream element binding protein 1: a commander of transcription, translation and beyond. Oncogene. 2013;32(24):2907–2916.
- [17] Chen Y, Liu J, Geng N, et al. Upregulation of far upstream element-binding protein 1 (FUBP1) promotes tumor proliferation and unfavorable prognosis in tongue squamous cell carcinoma. Int J Biol Markers. 2020;35(2):56–65.
- [18] Duan J, Bao X, Ma X, et al. Upregulation of Far Upstream Element-Binding Protein 1 (FUBP1) Promotes tumor proliferation and tumorigenesis of clear cell renal cell carcinoma. PLoS One. 2017;12(1):e0169852.
- [19] Ding Z, Liu X, Liu Y, et al. Expression of far upstream element (FUSE) binding protein 1 in human glioma is correlated with c-Myc and cell proliferation. Mol Carcinog. 2015;54(5):405–415.
- [20] Jiang P, Huang M, Qi W, et al. FUBP1 promotes neuroblastoma proliferation via enhancing glycolysis-a new possible marker of malignancy for neuroblastoma. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2019;38(1):400.
- [21] Jia MY, Wang YJ. Far upstream element-binding protein 1(FUBP1) expression differs between human colorectal cancer and non-cancerous tissue. Neoplasma. 2014;61(5):533-540.
- [22] Chen T, Tu Y, Lv D, et al. Vacuolar protein sorting-associated protein 72 homolog (VPS72) binding to lysine acetyltransferase 5 (KAT5) promotes the proliferation, invasion and migration of hepatocellular carcinoma through regulating phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/protein kinase B (AKT) signaling pathway. Bioengineered. 2022;13(4):9197–9210.
- [23] Arena TA, Harms PD, Wong AW. High throughput transfection of HEK293 cells for transient protein production. Methods Mol Biol. 2018;1850:179–187.
- [24] Zhong L, Zhong X. Long non-coding RNA ARAP1-AS1 contributes to cell proliferation and migration in clear cell renal cell carcinoma via the miR-361-3p/placental growth factor axis. Bioengineered. 2021;12(1):6629–6642.
- [25] Yao Q, Li Y, Pei Y, et al. Long non-coding RNA taurine up regulated 1 promotes osteosarcoma cell proliferation and invasion through upregulating Ezrin expression as a competing endogenous RNA of micro RNA-377-3p. Bioengineered. 2022;13(1):1767–1778.
- [26] Liu X, Yin Z, Wu Y, et al. Circular RNA lysophosphatidic acid receptor 3 (circ-LPAR3) enhances the cisplatin resistance of ovarian cancer. Bioengineered. 2022;13(2):3739–3750.

- [27] Lin JS, Lai EM. Protein-protein interactions: co-immunoprecipitation. Methods Mol Biol. 2017;1615:211-219.
- [28] Bulgakova O, Zhabayeva D, Kussainova A, et al. miR-19 in blood plasma reflects lung cancer occurrence but is not specifically associated with radon exposure. Oncol Lett. 2018;15(6):8816–8824.
- [29] Zhang Q, Wang Z, Cheng X, et al. lncRNA DANCR promotes the migration and invasion and of trophoblast cells through microRNA-214-5p in preeclampsia. Bioengineered. 2021;12(2):9424–9434.
- [30] Steiner M, Schneider L, Yillah J, et al. FUSE binding protein 1 (FUBP1) expression is upregulated by T-cell acute lymphocytic leukemia protein 1 (TAL1) and required for efficient erythroid differentiation. PLoS One. 2019;14(1):e0210515.
- [31] Kim MJ, Park BJ, Kang YS, et al. Downregulation of FUSE-binding protein and c-myc by tRNA synthetase cofactor p38 is required for lung cell differentiation. Nat Genet. 2003;34(3):330–336.
- [32] Liu W, Xiong X, Chen W, et al. High expression of FUSE binding protein 1 in breast cancer stimulates cell proliferation and diminishes drug sensitivity. Int J Oncol. 2020;57(2):488–499.
- [33] Niforou KM, Anagnostopoulos AK, Vougas K, et al. The proteome profile of the human osteosarcoma U2OS cell line. Cancer Genomics Proteomics. 2008;5(1):63–78.
- [34] Engidawork E, Afjehi-Sadat L, Yang JW, et al. Protein chemical identification and characterization of the human variants of far upstream element binding protein in medulloblastoma DAOY cell line. Int J Oncol. 2006;29(3):721–736.
- [35] Rabenhorst U, Beinoraviciute-Kellner R, Brezniceanu ML, et al. Overexpression of the far upstream element binding protein 1 in hepatocellular carcinoma is required for tumor growth. Hepatology. 2009;50(4):1121–1129.
- [36] He L, Liu J, Collins I, et al. Loss of FBP function arrests cellular proliferation and extinguishes c-myc expression. EMBO J. 2000;19(5):1034–1044.
- [37] Weber A, Kristiansen I, Johannsen M, et al. The FUSE binding proteins FBP1 and FBP3 are potential c-myc regulators in renal, but not in prostate and bladder cancer. BMC Cancer. 2008;8(1):369.
- [38] Jin XX, Gao C, Wei WX, et al. The role of microRNA-4723-5p regulated by c-myc in triple-negative breast cancer. Bioengineered. 2022;13 (4):9097–9105.
- [39] Wen H, Ma H, Li P, et al. Expression of far upstream element-binding protein 1 correlates with c-Myc expression in sacral chordomas and is associated with tumor progression and poor prognosis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2017;491(4):1047–1054.