



Article

# Direct Transformation of Crystalline MoO<sub>3</sub> into Few-Layers MoS<sub>2</sub>

Felix Carrascoso <sup>1,\*</sup>, Gabriel Sánchez-Santolino <sup>1,3</sup>, Chun-wei Hsu <sup>1,2</sup>, Norbert M. Nemes <sup>3</sup>, Almudena Torres-Pardo <sup>4</sup>, Patricia Gant <sup>1</sup>, Federico J. Mompeán <sup>1</sup>, Kourosh Kalantar-zadeh <sup>5</sup>, José A. Alonso <sup>1</sup>, Mar García-Hernández <sup>1</sup>, Riccardo Frisenda <sup>1</sup>, and Andres Castellanos-Gomez <sup>1,\*</sup>

- Materials Science Factory, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid (ICMM-CSIC), E-28049 Madrid, Spain; gsanchezsantolino@ucm.es (G.S.-S.); chunwei.hsu@mail.mcgill.ca (C.-w.H.); patricia.gant@csic.es (P.G.); federico.mompean@csic.es (F.J.M.); ja.alonso@icmm.csic.es (J.A.A.); marmar@icmm.csic.es (M.G.-H.); riccardo.frisenda@csic.es (R.F.)
- <sup>2</sup> Kavli Institute of Nanoscience, Delft University of Technology, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands
- Departamento de Física de Materiales, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, E-28040 Madrid, Spain; nmnemes@fis.ucm.es
- Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Químicas, Universidad Complutense, E-28040 Madrid, Spain; atorresp@ucm.es
- School of Chemical Engineering, University of New South Wales, Kensington, NSW 2052, Australia; k.kalantar-zadeh@unsw.edu.au
- \* Correspondence: felix.c@csic.es (F.C.); andres.castellanos@csic.es (A.C.-G.)

Received: 17 April 2020; Accepted: 13 May 2020; Published: 15 May 2020



**Abstract:** We fabricated large-area atomically thin  $MoS_2$  layers through the direct transformation of crystalline molybdenum trioxide ( $MoO_3$ ) by sulfurization at relatively low temperatures. The obtained  $MoS_2$  sheets are polycrystalline (~10–20 nm single-crystal domain size) with areas of up to  $300 \times 300~\mu m^2$ , 2–4 layers in thickness and show a marked p-type behavior. The synthesized films are characterized by a combination of complementary techniques: Raman spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction, transmission electron microscopy and electronic transport measurements.

**Keywords:** 2D materials; molybdenum trioxide (MoO<sub>3</sub>); molybdenum disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>); synthesis; sulfuration

# 1. Introduction

Two-dimensional (2D) transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) have recently gained interest among the scientific community to solve the weakness of the lack of a bandgap in graphene, which limits its applications in field-effect transistors and digital integrated circuits [1]. The TMDC molybdenum disulphide ( $MoS_2$ ) was the first 2D material with an intrinsic bandgap that was isolated [2] and it consists of S-Mo-S layers that are held by weak van der Waal forces in a trigonal prismatic structure [3–6]. In its bulk form, this material displays an indirect bandgap of about 1.2 eV; nevertheless, it becomes a direct bandgap semiconductor (1.8 eV) when it is thinned down to a monolayer [7]. In addition, when a single-layer  $MoS_2$  is used as the channel in a field-effect transistor, it exhibits high in-plane mobility and a large current ON/OFF ratio [8]. These are the reasons why molybdenum disulphide has attracted interest for electronic and optoelectronics applications [8–10]. Furthermore, it is an attractive candidate for energy conversion [11,12] and storage [13,14], hydrogen evolution reactions [15–17] or oxygen reduction reactions [18].

The first methods that were reported for the synthesis of 2D  $MoS_2$  consisted of mechanical and chemical exfoliation from bulk crystals [2,19–21] and, in fact, a lot of studies still use these methods


Materials **2020**, 13, 2293

since they provide high-quality single layers. However, these techniques present some problems, like randomly deposited flakes, relatively small coverage area of material and poor control over thickness. A solution for these issues is critical to achieve real-life electronic devices based on  $MoS_2$  and, therefore, synthesis of large-area  $MoS_2$  films is a very active research area. The most-explored methods to synthesize large-area  $MoS_2$  thin films are chemical vapour deposition (CVD) [22,23] and the sulfuration of sputtered molybdenum thin films [24–26].

Here, we explore an alternative route to obtain atomically thin MoS<sub>2</sub> layers: the direct transformation of crystalline molybdenum trioxide (MoO<sub>3</sub>) layers into MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets by sulfurization at moderate temperatures. Up to now, the sulfurization of crystalline MoO<sub>3</sub> has only been demonstrated to produce MoS<sub>2</sub> fullerenes and nanotubes, but, here, we demonstrate that it can be also employed to fabricate large-area MoS<sub>2</sub> layers [27,28]. We characterized the resulting layers by Raman spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction and transmission electron microscopy, finding that the resulting layers showed all the characteristics of polycrystalline MoS<sub>2</sub>. We transferred the as-synthesized films to pre-patterned electrodes to fabricate electronic devices, and we found that they were strongly p-doped, which can be an interesting feature to complement the marked n-doping of mechanically exfoliated or CVD-grown MoS<sub>2</sub>. Our synthesis method does not require a tube furnace with flow gas control as the sulfurization is carried out in a sealed ampoule, simplifying considerably its implementation and reducing its cost.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

The crystalline  $MoO_3$  source is obtained by heating up a molybdenum foil (99.99% purity) to 540 °C in air using a laboratory hot plate. At this temperature, the  $MoO_3$  starts to sublime. A mica substrate is placed above the hot molybdenum foil. The  $MoO_3$  gas sublimed from the hot molybdenum foil crystalizes on the slightly cooler mica substrate placed on top, as we show in Figure 1a. As reported by Molina-Mendoza et al. [27], this method produces continuous crystalline thin films through a van der Waals epitaxy process thanks to the van der Waals interaction with the mica surface. Note that in the van der Waals epitaxy process there is no need for lattice matching between the substrate and the grown  $MoO_3$  overlayer.




**Figure 1.** Cartoon of the process followed for the  $MoO_3$  conversion into  $MoS_2$ . (a)  $MoO_3$  sublimes from a hot molybdenum foil (540 °C) and crystallizes onto a mica substrate. (b)  $MoO_{3-x}$  is formed after placing the  $MoO_3$  in a tube furnace at 300 °C in a forming gas atmosphere for 24 h. (c) The sulfuration process is performed in a closed glass ampoule at 500–600 °C.

Prior to the sulfuration of the MoO<sub>3</sub> crystals, they were reduced by heating them at 300 °C for 24 h in a tube furnace in forming gas atmosphere, Figure 1b. This process yields  $MoO_{3-x}$  crystals. We found that this step is crucial to avoid the evaporation of  $MoO_3$  during the sulfuration process as  $MoO_3$  is a highly volatile material. In contrast,  $MoO_2$  is a more stable oxide [29]; in fact, by partially reducing the molybdenum trioxide, we observed improved stability of the material upon temperature increase. The  $MoO_{3-x}$  layers were then converted to  $MoS_2$  by a sulfuration process in a closed glass ampoule. The sample containing the  $MoO_{3-x}$  layers was sealed with sulphur powder at  $10^{-5}$  mbar pressure. The ampoule was placed in a furnace at 500 °C for 5 h and then the temperature was increased at 600 °C for another 5 h. Once the sulfuration process was concluded, the temperature was slowly lowered to room temperature (Figure 1c). The number of  $MoS_2$  layers that we obtain depends on the starting  $MoO_3$  thickness. Therefore, with this method, we are able to obtain  $MoS_2$ 

Materials **2020**, 13, 2293 3 of 8

continuous layers covering most of the mica substrate with regions of up to  $300 \times 300 \ \mu m^2$  with < 5 layers in thickness; an example is shown in Figure 2a. As discussed below, single-layer  $MoS_2$  could also be observed (see the discussion related to the scanning transmission electron microscopy results). It is important to note that when we tried to sulfurize the as-grown  $MoO_3$  layers, without the reduction step, we obtained thick  $MoS_2$  crystallites randomly deposited on both the ampoule surface and on the substrate. Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) data was acquired in an aberration-corrected JEOL JEM-ARM200cF electron microscope (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan) operated at 80 kV.



**Figure 2.** (a) Optical image of a large-area  $MoS_2$  on a mica substrate. (b) Raman spectra of  $MoS_2$  in different regions of the same sample. The inset displays the relation between the frequency difference of the two peaks and the number of layers of  $MoS_2$ .

# 3. Results and Discussion


### 3.1. Raman Characterization

In Figure 2a, we show an optical image of a thin and large-area  $MoS_2$  film on mica. We employed Raman spectroscopy to characterize the  $MoS_2$  film as this technique has been demonstrated to be a very powerful tool to characterize 2D materials [30,31]. Figure 2b presents the Raman spectra acquired on two locations (indicated in the figure) of the  $MoS_2$  film shown in Figure 2a. The characteristic  $E^1_{2g}$  and  $A_{1g}$  phonon modes of  $MoS_2$  (around 380 and 415 cm<sup>-1</sup>) are clearly visible in the spectra [24,32]. One can determine the number of layers from the frequency difference between these two Raman modes. In the inset in Figure 2b, we show the relation between this frequency difference and the number of layers of  $MoS_2$ , obtained from the literature [33,34], and we compare these values with those obtained in two spots in our sample to determine the number of layers, finding that the  $MoS_2$  specimen is composed of a bilayer and a four-layer region. We refer the reader to the Supplementary Materials for a Raman map of another thin  $MoS_2$  region.

## 3.2. XRD Characterization

The crystal structure of the films has been characterized with X-ray diffraction (XRD). XRD was performed at room temperature on the initial sample (MoO $_3$  grown on mica 18 mm  $\times$  2 mm substrate) and on the final sample (MoS $_2$  obtained after the sulfuration process). Figure 3 illustrates the X-ray diffractograms that were taken for the initial sample and for the final sample in green and blue, respectively. In red, we also show the X-ray diffractogram for a bare mica substrate, in order to differentiate the peaks that belong to the substrate from the peaks that correspond to the growth film.

Materials 2020, 13, 2293 4 of 8



**Figure 3.** Comparison of XRD spectra of the sample shown in Figure 2, at different steps: MoO<sub>3</sub> (initial) and MoS<sub>2</sub> (after sulfuration) in green and blue, respectively. XRD spectra of a mica substrate in red to distinguish it from the peaks of the layer analyzed (insets).


Notice that the green spectrum exhibits peaks that correspond to (020), (040) and (060) reflections, which belong to the diffraction peaks of MoO<sub>3</sub>. The appearance of the (0k0) peaks, parallel to the plane (010), is a product of the preferred orientation of the MoO<sub>3</sub> crystal with respect to the mica (001) surface due to the van der Waals epitaxy type of growth [35]. The blue spectrum obtained for the same sample after the sulfuration process shows a peak that corresponds to the (002) reflection of MoS<sub>2</sub> [6]. Thus, we further confirm that we are able to obtain MoS<sub>2</sub> from MoO<sub>3</sub> deposited onto a mica substrate. In some works, it is proposed that the average thickness of a thin sample can be obtained from the analysis of the XRD peaks using the Scherrer equation ( $D = k\lambda/\beta\cos\theta$ , where k is the shape factor,  $\lambda$  is the X-ray wavelength,  $\beta$  is the full width at half maximum of the peak and  $2\theta$  is the scattering angle) [36,37]. By analyzing the (002) peak of the MoS<sub>2</sub> XRD pattern, we estimated a c-stacking height for the analyzed sample of 10 nm, which corresponds to 15 layers of MoS<sub>2</sub>. Note that this value corresponds to the average thickness of the whole sample; however, thinner regions (such as those shown in Figure 2) can be found on it. It is also worth mentioning that the single-crystal domain size observed in our samples is also of the order of ~10 nm (see STEM discussion below) and thus it is not completely clear if the Scherrer equation provides accurate values of the average thickness of the sample or simply the single-crystal domain size.

## 3.3. STEM Characterization

The crystal structure of the films can be further characterized in real space by STEM. Figure 4 displays a high-angle annular dark field (HAADF) image of a  $MoS_2$  layer transferred over a holey  $Si_3N_4$  membrane support by an all-dry deterministic transfer process [38]. In order to transfer the  $MoS_2$  films on mica, we stuck a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) sheet on its surface and we immersed it in distilled water. Due to the hydrophilic character of mica, the water wedges between de  $MoS_2$  and the mica surface, separating the  $MoS_2$  layer, which remains attached to the PDMS substrate, from the mica surface. The  $MoS_2$  is easily transferred to the membrane by gently pressing the PDMS containing the  $MoS_2$  film against the acceptor substrate and peeling it off slowly.

The STEM characterization indicates that the  $MoS_2$  film is polycrystalline, with a single-crystal domain size of 10–20 nm. Thinner regions can be found at the edges of the sulfurized film, where one can find monolayer, bilayer and trilayer areas (Figure 4 shows the edge of an  $MoS_2$  film, where monobi- and tri-layer areas can be resolved). The fast Fourier transform (FFT) obtained from the monolayer region clearly shows the hexagonal symmetry of  $MoS_2$ .

Materials **2020**, 13, 2293 5 of 8



**Figure 4.** High-magnification HAADF images of a  $MoS_2$  thin film transferred over a holey  $Si_3N_4$  membrane support. Inset shows FFT where a clearly hexagonal symmetry is exhibited.

#### 3.4. Electrical Characterization

The electrical properties of the fabricated MoS<sub>2</sub> films were characterized by fabricating a field-effect device, by transferring a MoS<sub>2</sub> film onto a SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si with pre-patterned drain-source electrodes separated by 10  $\mu$ m. Figure 5a shows the measured source-drain current vs. gate voltage ( $I_{sd}$ - $V_g$ ) characteristics for a fixed source-drain voltage of  $V_{sd} = 1$  V. Surprisingly, we obtained a decrease in the source-drain current upon gate voltage increase without reaching the OFF state, which corresponds to a strong p-doped field effect behavior. To confirm this fact, we performed a thermopower measurement. Figure 5b displays the IV characteristics acquired, applying a temperature difference between the two electrodes. It can be seen that a positive voltage offset at zero current appears (thermoelectric voltage) when the temperature different increases. The inset shows the thermoelectric voltage versus the temperature difference. The Seebeck coefficient can be extracted from the slope of a linear fit to the data:  $S = +33.9 \,\mu\text{V/K}$ . This positive value confirms the p-doped nature of the MoS<sub>2</sub> film obtained by the direct sulfurization of crystalline MoO<sub>3</sub>. The low magnitude of the Seebeck coefficient also indicates a high doping level. We have carried out preliminary Hall effect measurements by backing up the p-type electrical behavior of the MoS<sub>2</sub> films observed in the Seebeck and electric-field measurements. Unfortunately, the large resistance of our samples precludes us from quantifying the charge carrier concentration as the electronics of our Hall effect measuring system are optimized for low-impedance samples. The highly linear shape of the IVs, together with the high doping inferred from the shallow transconductance and low Seebeck coefficient, points to an Ohmic contact in the Au-MoS<sub>2</sub> junction. We also estimated the resistivity of the device as ~100  $\Omega$ ·cm, which is significantly higher than that of single-crystal MoS<sub>2</sub> (~1–5  $\Omega$ ·cm), [39,40] as expected from the small single-crystal domain size of our synthetic MoS<sub>2</sub> layers.

In order to get a deeper insight into the microscopic origin of this p-doping in our MoS<sub>2</sub> layers, we have done an electron energy loss spectra (EELS) analysis of the STEM data (see Supplementary Materials). Apart from the presence of Mo and S, we found C (which could come from e-beam-induced deposition of amorphous carbon during the STEM measurement), O and B. The presence of O could be due to an incomplete MoO<sub>3</sub>-to-MoS<sub>2</sub> transformation, and the presence of B impurities could come from unintentional cross-contamination from the surface of the glass ampoules used during the growth. The presence of these foreign species could be a plausible source of the unexpected p-type doping.

Figure 6a represents the measured  $I_{\rm sd}$ - $V_{\rm sd}$  characteristics in dark conditions and under light excitation with different wavelengths. The gate voltage was set to  $V_{\rm g}=0$  V during the measurement. Fiber-coupled LED light sources were employed to illuminate the device. The inset of this figure zooms in on the high voltage region of the traces to distinguish the differences induced upon illumination. The photocurrent as a function of the wavelength can be calculated from these data, as we show in Figure 6b. This spectrum reveals that the maximum photocurrent value is located between 530 and 595 nm, whereas it decreases at longer wavelengths. We were not able to measure a sizeable photocurrent beyond 740 nm, as expected for multilayer MoS<sub>2</sub>.

Materials **2020**, 13, 2293 6 of 8





Figure 5. (a) Source-drain current vs. gate voltage measured in dark conditions and at  $V_{\rm sd}$  = 1 V. The inset shows an optical image of the device measured (channel length = 10  $\mu$ m, channel width = 1 mm). (b) Seebeck effect measurement on an MoS<sub>2</sub> layer on a mica substrate by applying a temperature difference between electrodes. The inset shows the linear relationship between the thermovoltage shift and the difference in temperature. The Seebeck coefficient can be readily extracted from the slope.



**Figure 6.** (a)  $I_{\rm sd}$ - $V_{\rm sd}$  curves for different illumination wavelengths and  $V_{\rm g}$  = 0 V. Inset shows a smaller range to facilitate the visualization of the generated photocurrent. (b) Photocurrent spectrum obtained from  $I_{\rm sd}$ - $V_{\rm sd}$  curves.

## 4. Conclusions

In summary, we presented an alternative method to obtain atomically thin  $MoS_2$  layers through the direct transformation of crystalline molybdenum trioxide ( $MoO_3$ ) layers into  $MoS_2$  nanosheets by sulfurization. The process can be carried out at moderate temperatures and using simple instrumentation. We obtained large-area polycrystalline  $MoS_2$  sheets two to four layers thick and we characterized them by Raman spectroscopy, X-ray diffraction and transmission electron microscopy. Regarding their electronic properties, they are strongly p-doped.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/13/10/2293/s1, Figure S1: (a) Raman map showing the difference between the  $E^1_{2g}$  and  $A_{1g}$  peaks. The map shows a large region of ~4 layers, according to the peak difference value, and a thicker region in the bottom left corner. (b) Optical image of the same region studied in (a), Figure S2: (a) High magnification HAADF image of a MoS<sub>2</sub> thin film transferred over a holey  $Si_3N_4$  membrane support. (b) Electron energy loss spectra (EELS) acquired while scanning over the area in (a) for a total time of 20 s.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, A.C.-G. and K.K.-z.; Formal analysis, F.C., G.S.-S., C.-w.H., A.T.-P., F.J.M., and R.F.; Funding acquisition, A.C.-G.; Investigation, F.C., G.S.-S., C.-w.H., N.M.N., A.T.-P., P.G., F.J.M., R.F., and A.C.-G.; Project administration, A.C.-G.; Resources, J.A.A., M.G.-H., and A.C.-G.; Supervision, N.M.N., J.A.A., M.G.-H., R.F., A.C.-G., and K.K.-z.; Writing—original draft, F.C. and A.C.-G.; Writing—review & editing, K.K.-z. and R.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** ACG acknowledge funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement n° 755655, ERC-StG 2017 project 2D-TOPSENSE) and from the EU Graphene Flagship funding (Grant Graphene Core 2, 785219). JAA

Materials **2020**, 13, 2293 7 of 8

thanks the Spanish Ministry of Economy, Industry and Competitiveness (MINECO) for funding the project MAT2017-84496-R. RF acknowledges support from MINECO through a "Juan de la Cierva: formación" fellowship (2017 FJCI-2017-32919). GSS acknowledges financial support from MINECO (Juan de la Cierva 2015 program, FJCI-2015-25427).

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Carmen Munuera (ICMM-CSIC) and the National Center for Electron Microscopy (CNME; UCM, Madrid, Spain) for facilities.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Schwierz, F. Graphene transistors. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2010, 5, 487–496. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Novoselov, K.S.; Jiang, D.; Schedin, F.; Booth, T.J.; Khotkevich, V.V.; Morozov, S.V.; Geim, A.K. Two-dimensional atomic crystals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2005**, *102*, 10451–10453. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Verble, J.L.; Wieting, T.J. Lattice Mode Degeneracy in MoS<sub>2</sub> and Other Layer Compounds. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1970**, 25, 362–365. [CrossRef]
- 4. Mattheiss, L.F. Band Structures of Transition-Metal-Dichalcogenide Layer Compounds. *Phys. Rev. B* **1973**, *8*, 3719–3740. [CrossRef]
- 5. Coehoorn, R.; Haas, C.; Dijkstra, J.; Flipse, C.J.F.; de Groot, R.A.; Wold, A. Electronic structure of MoSe2, MoS<sub>2</sub>, and WSe2. I. Band-structure calculations and photoelectron spectroscopy. *Phys. Rev. B* **1987**, *35*, 6195–6202. [CrossRef]
- 6. Joensen, P.; Frindt, R.F.; Morrison, S.R. Single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub>. Mater. Res. Bull. 1986, 21, 457–461. [CrossRef]
- 7. Mak, K.F.; Lee, C.; Hone, J.; Shan, J.; Heinz, T.F. Atomically Thin MoS<sub>2</sub>: A New Direct-Gap Semiconductor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2010**, *105*, 136805. [CrossRef]
- 8. Radisavljevic, B.; Radenovic, A.; Brivio, J.; Giacometti, V.; Kis, A. Single-layer MoS<sub>2</sub> transistors. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2011**, *6*, 147–150. [CrossRef]
- 9. Radisavljevic, B.; Whitwick, M.B.; Kis, A. Integrated Circuits and Logic Operations Based on Single-Layer MoS<sub>2</sub>. *ACS Nano* **2011**, *5*, 9934–9938. [CrossRef]
- 10. Yin, Z.; Li, H.; Li, H.; Jiang, L.; Shi, Y.; Sun, Y.; Lu, G.; Zhang, Q.; Chen, X.; Zhang, H. Single-Layer MoS<sub>2</sub> Phototransistors. *ACS Nano* **2012**, *6*, 74–80. [CrossRef]
- 11. Fortin, E.; Sears, W.M. Photovoltaic effect and optical absorption in MoS<sub>2</sub>. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **1982**, 43, 881–884. [CrossRef]
- 12. Wi, S.; Kim, H.; Chen, M.; Nam, H.; Guo, L.J.; Meyhofer, E.; Liang, X. Enhancement of Photovoltaic Response in Multilayer MoS<sub>2</sub> Induced by Plasma Doping. *ACS Nano* **2014**, *8*, 5270–5281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Feng, C.; Ma, J.; Li, H.; Zeng, R.; Guo, Z.; Liu, H. Synthesis of molybdenum disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>) for lithium ion battery applications. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2009**, *44*, 1811–1815. [CrossRef]
- 14. Ding, S.; Zhang, D.; Chen, J.S.; Lou, X.W. Facile synthesis of hierarchical MoS<sub>2</sub> microspheres composed of few-layered nanosheets and their lithium storage properties. *Nanoscale* **2012**, *4*, 95–98. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Li, Y.; Wang, H.; Xie, L.; Liang, Y.; Hong, G.; Dai, H. MoS<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles Grown on Graphene: An Advanced Catalyst for the Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, 133, 7296–7299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Huang, X.; Zeng, Z.; Bao, S.; Wang, M.; Qi, X.; Fan, Z.; Zhang, H. Solution-phase epitaxial growth of noble metal nanostructures on dispersible single-layer molybdenum disulfide nanosheets. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, 4, 1444. [CrossRef]
- 17. Ye, G.; Gong, Y.; Lin, J.; Li, B.; He, Y.; Pantelides, S.T.; Zhou, W.; Vajtai, R.; Ajayan, P.M. Defects Engineered Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub> for Improved Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 1097–1103. [CrossRef]
- 18. Wang, T.; Gao, D.; Zhuo, J.; Zhu, Z.; Papakonstantinou, P.; Li, Y.; Li, M. Size-Dependent Enhancement of Electrocatalytic Oxygen-Reduction and Hydrogen-Evolution Performance of MoS<sub>2</sub> Particles. *Chem.-A Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 11939–11948. [CrossRef]
- 19. Coleman, J.N.; Lotya, M.; O'Neill, A.; Bergin, S.D.; King, P.J.; Khan, U.; Young, K.; Gaucher, A.; De, S.; Smith, R.J.; et al. Two-Dimensional Nanosheets Produced by Liquid Exfoliation of Layered Materials. *Science* **2011**, 331, 568–571. [CrossRef]
- 20. Li, H.; Wu, J.; Yin, Z.; Zhang, H. Preparation and Applications of Mechanically Exfoliated Single-Layer and Multilayer MoS<sub>2</sub> and WSe <sub>2</sub> Nanosheets. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 1067–1075. [CrossRef]

Materials **2020**, 13, 2293 8 of 8

21. Backes, C.; Abdelkader, A.M.; Alonso, C.; Andrieux-Ledier, A.; Arenal, R.; Azpeitia, J.; Balakrishnan, N.; Banszerus, L.; Barjon, J.; Bartali, R.; et al. Production and processing of graphene and related materials. *2D Mater.* **2020**, *7*, 2. [CrossRef]

- 22. Lee, Y.-H.; Zhang, X.-Q.; Zhang, W.; Chang, M.-T.; Lin, C.-T.; Chang, K.-D.; Yu, Y.-C.; Wang, J.T.-W.; Chang, C.-S.; Li, L.-J.; et al. Synthesis of Large-Area MoS<sub>2</sub> Atomic Layers with Chemical Vapor Deposition. *Adv. Mater.* 2012, 24, 2320–2325. [CrossRef]
- 23. Lee, Y.-H.; Yu, L.; Wang, H.; Fang, W.; Ling, X.; Shi, Y.; Lin, C.-T.; Huang, J.-K.; Chang, M.-T.; Chang, C.-S.; et al. Synthesis and Transfer of Single-Layer Transition Metal Disulfides on Diverse Surfaces. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 1852–1857. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Kong, D.; Wang, H.; Cha, J.J.; Pasta, M.; Koski, K.J.; Yao, J.; Cui, Y. Synthesis of MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoSe <sub>2</sub> Films with Vertically Aligned Layers. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 1341–1347. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Zhan, Y.; Liu, Z.; Najmaei, S.; Ajayan, P.M.; Lou, J. Large-Area Vapor-Phase Growth and Characterization of MoS<sub>2</sub> Atomic Layers on a SiO<sub>2</sub> Substrate. *Small* **2012**, *8*, 966–971. [CrossRef]
- 26. Lee, K.; Gatensby, R.; McEvoy, N.; Hallam, T.; Duesberg, G.S. High-Performance Sensors Based on Molybdenum Disulfide Thin Films. *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, 25, 6699–6702. [CrossRef]
- 27. Molina-Mendoza, A.J.; Lado, J.L.; Island, J.O.; Niño, M.A.; Aballe, L.; Foerster, M.; Bruno, F.Y.; López-Moreno, A.; Vaquero-Garzon, L.; van der Zant, H.S.J.; et al. Centimeter-Scale Synthesis of Ultrathin Layered MoO <sub>3</sub> by van der Waals Epitaxy. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, *28*, 4042–4051. [CrossRef]
- 28. Burns, R.P.; DeMaria, G.; Drowart, J.; Grimley, R.T. Mass Spectrometric Investigation of the Sublimation of Molybdenum Dioxide. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1960**, 32, 1363–1366. [CrossRef]
- 29. Li, X.L.; Li, Y.D. Formation of MoS<sub>2</sub> inorganic fullerenes (IFs) by the reaction of MoO3 nanobelts and S. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 2726–2731. [CrossRef]
- 30. Castellanos-Gomez, A.; Quereda, J.; van der Meulen, H.P.; Agraït, N.; Rubio-Bollinger, G. Spatially resolved optical absorption spectroscopy of single- and few-layer MoS 2 by hyperspectral imaging. *Nanotechnology* **2016**, 27, 115705. [CrossRef]
- 31. Splendiani, A.; Sun, L.; Zhang, Y.; Li, T.; Kim, J.; Chim, C.-Y.; Galli, G.; Wang, F. Emerging Photoluminescence in Monolayer MoS<sub>2</sub>. *Nano Lett.* **2010**, *10*, 1271–1275. [CrossRef]
- 32. Lee, C.; Yan, H.; Brus, L.E.; Heinz, T.F.; Hone, J.; Ryu, S. Anomalous Lattice Vibrations of Single- and Few-Layer MoS<sub>2</sub>. *ACS Nano* **2010**, *4*, 2695–2700. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Iguiñiz, N.; Frisenda, R.; Bratschitsch, R.; Castellanos-Gomez, A.; Castellanos-Gomez, A. Revisiting the Buckling Metrology Method to Determine the Young's Modulus of 2D Materials. *Adv. Mater.* **2019**, 31, 1807150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Castellanos-Gomez, A.; Barkelid, M.; Goossens, A.M.; Calado, V.E.; Van Der Zant, H.S.J.; Steele, G.A. Laser-thinning of MoS 2: On demand generation of a single-layer semiconductor. *Nano Lett.* **2012**, *12*, 3187–3192. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Geng, D.Y.; Zhang, Z.D.; Zhang, M.; Li, D.; Song, X.P.; Hu, K.Y. Structure and surface characterization of α-MoO3 whiskers synthesized by an oxidation process. *Scr. Mater.* **2004**, *50*, 983–986. [CrossRef]
- 36. Chang, K.; Li, M.; Wang, T.; Ouyang, S.; Li, P.; Liu, L.; Ye, J. Drastic Layer-Number-Dependent Activity Enhancement in Photocatalytic  $H_2$  Evolution over  $n\text{MoS}_2/\text{CdS}$  ( $n \ge 1$ ) Under Visible Light. *Adv. Energy Mater.* **2015**, *5*, 1402279. [CrossRef]
- 37. Iqbal, S.; Pan, Z.; Zhou, K. Enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from: In situ formation of few-layered MoS<sub>2</sub>/CdS nanosheet-based van der Waals heterostructures. *Nanoscale* **2017**, *9*, 6638–6642. [CrossRef]
- 38. Castellanos-Gomez, A.; Buscema, M.; Molenaar, R.; Singh, V.; Janssen, L.; Van Der Zant, H.S.; Steele, G.A. Deterministic transfer of two-dimensional materials by all-dry viscoelastic stamping. 2D Mater. 2014, 1, 011002. [CrossRef]
- 39. Giannazzo, F.; Fisichella, G.; Piazza, A.; Agnello, S.; Roccaforte, F. Nanoscale inhomogeneity of the Schottky barrier and resistivity in MoS<sub>2</sub> multilayers. *Phys. Rev. B* **2015**, 92, 81307. [CrossRef]
- 40. Eda, G.; Yamaguchi, H.; Voiry, D.; Fujita, T.; Chen, M.; Chhowalla, M. Photoluminescence from chemically exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>. *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11*, 5111–5116. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).