Outpatient-basis Chemotherapy of Oxaliplatin, 5-fluorouracil, and Leucovorin as First-line Treatment for Patients with Metastatic or Recurrent Colorectal Cancer

The objectives of the present study were to evaluate the efficacy and safety of an outpatient-basis chemotherapy of oxaliplatin, 5-fluorouracil, and leucovorin as the first-line treatment for patients with advanced colorectal cancer. Forty-three histologically confirmed patients with metastatic or recurrent colorectal cancer were enrolled. The chemotherapy consisted of oxaliplatin 85 mg/m² as a 2-hr infusion on day 1, plus leucovorin 30 mg/m² over 10 min, followed by bolus 5-fluorouracil 400 mg/m² and an 8-hr infusion of 5-fluorouracil 600 mg/m² on days 1 and 2 (modified FOLFOX4), all of which were administered on an outpatient basis every 2 weeks. The median age was 58 yr (range 33-72 yr), and 25 (58.1%) patients had metastatic diseases. Eventually, 39 patients were assessable for efficacy and all assessable for toxicity. Four (9.3%) complete responses and 11 (25.6%) partial responses were confirmed, giving an overall response rate of 34.9% (95% CI; 20.0-49.7%). The median time to progression and median overall survival for all patients was 6.1 months and 17.4 months, respectively. Grade 3/4 neutropenia occurred in 2 patients (4.7%) and febrile neutropenia was observed in 1 patient (2.3%). Modified FOLFOX4, an outpatient-basis regimen, was found to be well-tolerated and effective as the firstline chemotherapy in patients with advanced colorectal cancer.

Key Words : Colorectal Neoplasms; Oxaliplatin; Fluorouracil; Leucovorin

Joon Ho Moon, Jong Gwang Kim, Sang Kyun Sohn, Jin Ho Baek¹, Yoon Young Cho, Yee Soo Chae, Byung Min Ahn, Shi Nae Kim, Soo Jung Lee, In Taek Lee^{*}, Gyu Seog Choi^{*}, Soo Han Jun^{*}

Departments of Oncology/Hematology and General Surgery*, Kyungpook National University Hospital, Kyungpook National University School of Medicine, Daegu; Department of Oncology/Hematology[†], Dongguk University Medical Center, Gyeongju, Korea

Received : 21 September 2006 Accepted : 17 November 2006

Address for correspondence

Jong Gwang Kim, M.D. Department of Oncology/Hematology, Kyungpook National University Hospital, Kyungpook National University School of Medicine, 50 Samduck 2-ga, Jung-gu, Daegu 700-721, Korea Tel : +82.53-420-6522, Fax : +82.53-426-2046 E-mail : jkk21c@knu.ac.kr

INTRODUCTION

Although surgery is potentially curative for colorectal cancer, about 50% of all newly diagnosed patients ultimately develop a metastatic disease (1). Intravenous 5-fluorouracil (FU) has been the mainstay of chemotherapy for metastatic or recurrent colorectal cancer for many years. Prolonged infusion of 5-FU in combination with the biomodulator leucovorin (LV) has an improved safety and efficacy profile when compared with bolus 5-FU/LV (2, 3), although there is an inconvenience and morbidity associated with long-term central venous access.

In 1990s, two additional agents, irinotecan and oxaliplatin, were found to exhibit activity against metastatic colorectal cancer. Infused 5-FU/LV in combination with oxaliplatin, a third generation platinum analog, proved more effective than 5-FU/LV alone in the first- and second-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer. As such, the addition of oxaliplatin to 5-FU/LV therapy significantly increased the response rates and time to disease progression (TTP) compared with 5-FU/

LV in the first-line treatment of colorectal cancer in three randomized studies (4, 5) and as second-line therapy versus 5-FU/LV (6). Recently, a large cooperative group trial (N9741) showed significant improvements in the response rate, TTP, and overall survival (OS) with oxaliplatin plus infused 5-FU/LV versus irinotecan plus bolus 5-FU/LV (7).

Among various combination regimens of oxaliplatin plus 5-FU/LV, the FOLFOX4 regimen (oxaliplatin 85 mg/m², LV 200 mg/m², 5-FU 400 mg/m² bolus, and 5-FU 600 mg/m² continuous infusion) is the most extensively studied oxaliplatin-containing regimen, with consistently high levels of antitumor activity against advanced colorectal cancer in phase III clinical trials (4). Yet, despite the increased efficacy associated with the FOLFOX4 regimen, the continuous infusion schedule of 5-FU remains inconvenient and requires frequent hospitalization or central venous catheterization.

Accordingly, the present study evaluated the efficacy and safety of outpatient-basis chemotherapy with oxaliplatin plus 5-FU/LV as the first-line treatment for patients with advanced colorectal cancer.

Patient eligibility

All patients enrolled in the present study had histologically confirmed metastatic or recurrent colorectal cancer. The patients' age ranged from 18 to 75, with a performance status of 0 to 2 on the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) scale. Plus, adequate hematological (WBC count $\geq 4 \times 10^{\circ}/L$, platelet count $\geq 100 \times 10^{\circ}/L$, hemoglobin ≥ 9 g/dL), renal (serum creatinine \leq 1.5 mg/dL and creatinine clearance \leq 50 mL/min), and hepatic (total bilirubin \leq 2.0 mg/dL and serum transaminase level ≤ 3 times the upper limit of the normal range) functions were also required. Patients who had received adjuvant chemotherapy completed 4 weeks before entry were eligible (8, 9). However, patients were ineligible if they had previously received palliative chemotherapy or radiation therapy. Patients with CNS metastases, secondary malignancies, or other severe medical illnesses were also excluded. The institutional review board of the authors' institution approved the protocol, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients before enrollment.

Study treatment

On day 1, oxaliplatin (85 mg/m²) was given as a 2-hr infusion in 500 mL of a 5% dextrose solution. On days 1 and 2, LV (30 mg/m²) was administered as a 10-min infusion immediately followed by 5-FU (400 mg/m²/d) given as a 10-min iv bolus, and 5-FU (600 mg/m²/d) as a continuous 8-hr infusion with a light shield (Fig. 1). Treatment was repeated every 2 weeks. All patients received a 5-HT₃ receptor antagonist as a routine emesis prophylaxis. Treatment was continued until disease progression, unacceptable toxic effects, or patient refusal.

Dose modification

The next course of treatment only began when neutrophil count was $\geq 1.5 \times 10^{9}$ /L, the platelet count was $\geq 75 \times 10^{9}$ /L, and any other treatment-related toxicities were less than or equal to grade 1; otherwise, treatment was withheld for up to 2 weeks. If adverse events did not improve to grade 0 or 1 after 3 weeks, the patients were excluded from the study.

Treatment was continued at the same dose if patients experienced grade 1 toxicities or other toxicities considered by the investigator unlikely to become serious or life threatening (e.g. alopecia). For all other treatment-related adverse events with a grade 2 intensity or higher, the dose modification scheme described below was implemented. No dose reduction was required after the first appearance of a grade 2 toxicity, although treatment was interrupted until the toxicity was resolved to grade 0 to 1. The doses of oxaliplatin and 5-FU were reduced by 25% in patients who experienced a second occurrence of a given grade 2 non-hematologic toxicity or any grade 3 hematologic or non-hematologic toxicity.

The dose of oxaliplatin was reduced by 25% in subsequent cycles in the case of persistent (\geq 14 days) or temporary (7 to 14 days) painful paresthesia, or functional impairment. If patients experienced a third occurrence of a given grade 2 nonhematologic toxicity, a second occurrence of a given grade 3 hematologic or non-hematologic toxicity, or any grade 4 toxicity, both doses were reduced by 50%. The oxaliplatin and 5-FU were both discontinued if, despite a dose reduction, a given toxicity occurred for a fourth time at grade 2, a third time at grade 3, or a second time at grade 4. If adverse events did not improve to grade 0 or 1 after 3 weeks, the patients were excluded from the study.

Study parameters

A screening assessment, including a medical history, physical examination, electrocardiogram (ECG), chest radiography, and tumor assessment, was conducted within 2 weeks before starting treatment. Further assessments conducted within 7 days before starting treatment included vital signs, an ECOG performance status, and laboratory tests. Complete blood counts were performed weekly during the first cycle and every cycle thereafter, and biochemical tests performed before each cycle. The computed tomography (CT) of measurable lesions was assessed as a baseline and repeated every 3 cycles. The tumor responses were classified according to the response evaluation criteria in solid tumors (RECIST) guidelines (10). Patients with a complete response (CR) or partial response (PR) required a confirmatory disease assessment at least 4 weeks later. Adverse events were graded according to NCI-CTC version 3.0.

Statistical analysis

An optimal, two-stage Simon design (11) was used to determine the exact number of patients included in the current trial. The hypotheses was that H₀: $P \le P_0$ (0.15) versus H₁: $P \ge P_1$ (0.35) with α =0.05, and β =0.10 (90% power). Allowing for a follow-up loss rate of 10%, the total sample size was 42 patients with a measurable disease. All enrolled patients were included in the intention-to-treat analysis of efficacy. The TTP and OS analyses were all estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. The TTP was calculated from the initiation of chemotherapy to the date of disease progression, and OS was measured from the initiation of chemotherapy to the date of the last follow-up or death. The statistical data were obtained using an SPSS software package (SPSS 11.5 Inc. Chicago, IL, U.S.A.).

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

The patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. From

Table 1. Patient characteristics

Median age, yr (range) 58 (33-72) Sex, - no. (%) Male/female 22/21 (51/49) ECOG performance status, no. (%) 0-1 41 (95) 0-1 41 (95) 2 2 2 (5) 2 (5) Tumor location, no. (%) 22 (51) Pathology, no. (%) 42 (98) Adenocarcinoma 42 (98) Mucinous carcinoma 1 (2) Disease status, no. (%) Metastatic disease Recurrent disease 18 (42) Metastasis site, no. (%) 18 (42) Liver 30 (70) Peritoneum 9 (21) Distant lymph node 8 (19) Lung 5 (12) Bone 3 (7) Other sites 5 (12)
Sex, - no. (%) Male/female 22/21 (51/49) ECOG performance status, no. (%) 0-1 41 (95) 0-1 41 (95) 2 (5) Tumor location, no. (%) 2 (5) Colon 21 (49) Rectum 22 (51) Pathology, no. (%) 42 (98) Mucinous carcinoma 42 (98) Mucinous carcinoma 1 (2) Disease status, no. (%) Metastatic disease Metastatic disease 25 (58) Recurrent disease 18 (42) Metastasis site, no. (%) 18 (42) Liver 30 (70) Peritoneum 9 (21) Distant lymph node 8 (19) Lung 5 (12) Bone 3 (7) Other sites 5 (12)
Male/female 22/21 (51/49) ECOG performance status, no. (%) 0-1 41 (95) 0-1 41 (95) 2 (5) Tumor location, no. (%) 2 (5) Colon 21 (49) Rectum 22 (51) Pathology, no. (%) Adenocarcinoma Adenocarcinoma 42 (98) Mucinous carcinoma 1 (2) Disease status, no. (%) Metastatic disease Recurrent disease 25 (58) Recurrent disease 18 (42) Metastasis site, no. (%) Uiver Liver 30 (70) Peritoneum 9 (21) Distant lymph node 8 (19) Lung 5 (12) Bone 3 (7) Other sites 5 (12)
ECOG performance status, no. (%) 41 (95) 0-1 41 (95) 2 2 (5) Tumor location, no. (%) 2 Colon 21 (49) Rectum 22 (51) Pathology, no. (%) 42 (98) Mucinous carcinoma 42 (98) Mucinous carcinoma 1 (2) Disease status, no. (%) Metastatic disease Recurrent disease 18 (42) Metastasis site, no. (%) 18 (42) Liver 30 (70) Peritoneum 9 (21) Distant lymph node 8 (19) Lung 5 (12) Bone 3 (7) Other sites 5 (12)
0-1 41 (95) 2 2 (5) Tumor location, no. (%) 21 (49) Rectum 22 (51) Pathology, no. (%) 42 (98) Adenocarcinoma 42 (98) Mucinous carcinoma 1 (2) Disease status, no. (%) 48 (42) Metastatic disease 25 (58) Recurrent disease 18 (42) Metastasis site, no. (%) 18 (42) Liver 30 (70) Peritoneum 9 (21) Distant lymph node 8 (19) Lung 5 (12) Bone 3 (7) Other sites 5 (12)
2 2 (5) Tumor location, no. (%) 21 (49) Rectum 22 (51) Pathology, no. (%) 22 (51) Adenocarcinoma 42 (98) Mucinous carcinoma 1 (2) Disease status, no. (%) 42 (98) Metastatic disease 25 (58) Recurrent disease 18 (42) Metastasis site, no. (%) 18 (42) Liver 30 (70) Peritoneum 9 (21) Distant lymph node 8 (19) Lung 5 (12) Bone 3 (7) Other sites 5 (12)
Tumor location, no. (%)21 (49)Colon21 (49)Rectum22 (51)Pathology, no. (%)22 (51)Adenocarcinoma42 (98)Mucinous carcinoma1 (2)Disease status, no. (%)1 (2)Metastatic disease25 (58)Recurrent disease18 (42)Metastasis site, no. (%)18 (42)Liver30 (70)Peritoneum9 (21)Distant lymph node8 (19)Lung5 (12)Bone3 (7)Other sites5 (12)
Colon21 (49)Rectum22 (51)Pathology, no. (%)22 (98)Adenocarcinoma42 (98)Mucinous carcinoma1 (2)Disease status, no. (%)7Metastatic disease25 (58)Recurrent disease18 (42)Metastasis site, no. (%)70)Liver30 (70)Peritoneum9 (21)Distant lymph node8 (19)Lung5 (12)Bone3 (7)Other sites5 (12)
Rectum22 (51)Pathology, no. (%)42 (98)Adenocarcinoma42 (98)Mucinous carcinoma1 (2)Disease status, no. (%)7Metastatic disease25 (58)Recurrent disease18 (42)Metastasis site, no. (%)700Liver30 (70)Peritoneum9 (21)Distant lymph node8 (19)Lung5 (12)Bone3 (7)Other sites5 (12)
Pathology, no. (%)Adenocarcinoma42 (98)Mucinous carcinoma1 (2)Disease status, no. (%)Metastatic disease25 (58)Recurrent disease18 (42)Metastasis site, no. (%)Liver30 (70)Peritoneum9 (21)Distant lymph node8 (19)Lung5 (12)Bone3 (7)Other sites5 (12)
Adenocarcinoma42 (98)Mucinous carcinoma1 (2)Disease status, no. (%)Metastatic disease25 (58)Recurrent disease18 (42)Metastasis site, no. (%)Liver30 (70)Peritoneum9 (21)Distant lymph node8 (19)Lung5 (12)Bone3 (7)Other sites5 (12)
Mucinous carcinoma1 (2)Disease status, no. (%)Metastatic disease25 (58)Recurrent disease18 (42)Metastasis site, no. (%)Liver30 (70)Peritoneum9 (21)Distant lymph node8 (19)Lung5 (12)Bone3 (7)Other sites5 (12)
Disease status, no. (%) Metastatic disease 25 (58) Recurrent disease 18 (42) Metastasis site, no. (%) Liver 30 (70) Peritoneum 9 (21) Distant lymph node 8 (19) Lung 5 (12) Bone 3 (7) Other sites 5 (12)
Metastatic disease25 (58)Recurrent disease18 (42)Metastasis site, no. (%)
Recurrent disease18 (42)Metastasis site, no. (%)30 (70)Liver30 (70)Peritoneum9 (21)Distant lymph node8 (19)Lung5 (12)Bone3 (7)Other sites5 (12)
Metastasis site, no. (%) Liver 30 (70) Peritoneum 9 (21) Distant lymph node 8 (19) Lung 5 (12) Bone 3 (7) Other sites 5 (12)
Liver 30 (70) Peritoneum 9 (21) Distant lymph node 8 (19) Lung 5 (12) Bone 3 (7) Other sites 5 (12)
Peritoneum 9 (21) Distant lymph node 8 (19) Lung 5 (12) Bone 3 (7) Other sites 5 (12)
Distant lymph node 8 (19) Lung 5 (12) Bone 3 (7) Other sites 5 (12)
Lung 5 (12) Bone 3 (7) Other sites 5 (12)
Bone 3 (7) Other sites 5 (12)
Other sites 5 (12)
Previous operation, no. (%)
Total 40 (93)
Curative operation 16 (37)
Palliative operation 24 (56)
Adjuvant chemotherapy, no. (%)
Yes 14 (33)

ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.

December 2003 to July 2005, a total of 43 biopsy-proven patients were enrolled in the current study. The median age was 58 yr (range, 33-72 yr), and there were 22 males and 21 females. The primary tumor location was the colon in 21 patients (49%) and the rectum in 22 patients (51%). Eighteen patients (42%) received chemotherapy for recurred diseases and 25 patients (58%) for metastatic diseases. The most common metastatic site was the liver in 30 patients (70%). Before chemotherapy, 40 patients (93%) received operative therapy, which was curative in the case of 16 patients and palliative in the other 24 cases. Fourteen patients (10 patients: 5-FU/LV, 4 patients: capecitabine) received adjuvant chemotherapy after surgery. There was no significant difference in baseline characteristics between chemotherapy naive group and adjuvant chemotherapy group.

Objective tumor response and survival

Thirty-nine of the original 43 patients were assessable for response, with the remaining 4 being lost to follow-up or patient refusal. All relevant data are reported using the intention-to-treat patient population. Four cases of CR and 11 cases of PR were confirmed, giving an overall response rate of 34.9% (95% CI; 20.0-49.7%) (Table 2). The median dura-

Table 2. Objective tumor response

	No. of patients	%					
Objective response	15	34.9*					
Complete response	4	9.3					
Partial response	11	25.6					
Stable disease	5	11.6					
Progressive disease	19	44.2					
NA	4	9.3					
*95% CI, 20.0-49.7%; NA, not available.							
Unr 2r	ו ר	10 nr					
Oxaliplatin 85 mg/m ²	5FU infusion 600 mg/m²	5FU infusion 600 mg/m ²					
↑ ↑							



LV 30 mg/m² for 10 min

Fig. 1. The modified FOLFOX4 regimen. FU, fluorouracil; LV, leucovorin.

tion of response in the 15 responding patients was 185 days (range, 50-417 days). The median TTP for all patients was 6.1 months (95% CI; 3.3-8.9 months) with a median followup duration of 9.4 months (95% CI; 0.3-25.3 months) (Fig. 1). In the case of progression, 2nd-line chemotherapy was recorded for 20 patients, where 17 received FOLFIRI (irinotecan 180 mg/m², IV 30 mg/m², 5-FU 400 mg/m² bolus, and 5-FU 600 mg/m² continuous infusion) and 3 received capecitabine (2,500 mg/m²). The median OS was 17.4 months (95% CI; 10.9-23.8 months) with an estimated 1-yr survival rate of 68.4% (95% CI; 60.0-76.8%) (Fig. 2). There was no significant difference in the overall response rate, TTP, and between chemotherapy naïve group and adjuvant chemotherapy group (data not shown).

Toxicities

A total of 284 cycles of chemotherapy were administered to the 43 patients. The median number of cycles was 9, ranging from 2 to 12 cycles. All patients were assessable for toxicities. The hematologic and non-hematologic toxicities that occurred during the current study are summarized in Table 3. Grade 3/4 neutropenia occurred in 2 patients (4.7%), while febrile neutropenia was observed in one patient (2.3%). All cases were successfully treated with the granulocyte colonystimulating factor (G-CSF) and empirical antibiotics. Nau-



Fig. 2. Time to progression and overall survival.

Table 3. Chemotherapy-related toxicities

NCI-CTC grade	No. of patients (%)				Grade	
	1	2	3	4	3-4 (%)	
Hematologic toxicity						
Neutropenia	-	4 (9.3)	1 (2.3)	1 (2.3)	2 (4.7)	
Anemia	1 (2.3)	10 (23.3)	2 (4.7)	-	2 (4.7)	
Thrombocytopenia	1 (2.3)	2 (11.6)	2 (4.7)	1 (2.3)	3 (7.0)	
Non-hematologic toxicity						
Nausea	7 (16.3)	8 (18.6)	1 (2.3)	-	1 (2.3)	
Diarrhea	3 (7.0)	1 (2.3)	1 (2.3)	-	1 (2.3)	
Febrile neutropenia	-	-	1 (2.3)	-	1 (2.3)	
Neuropathy	8 (18.6)	3 (7.0)	-	-	0 (0)	

NCI-CTC, National Cancer Institute Common Toxicity Criteria version 3.0.

sea was the most common non-hematologic toxicity. Grade 1/2 nausea was observed in 15 patients (34.9%), and only one patient experienced grade 3 nausea. Nonetheless, no grade IV non-hematologic toxicity was observed. Peripheral neuropathy appeared in the form of transient cold exacerbated paresthesia or dysesthesia, yet no grade 3/4 neuropathy was observed. There was no case of death attributed to chemotherapy-induced toxicity. The dose intensity of 5-FU and oxaliplatin was 94.5% and 93.4%, respectively.

DISCUSSION

In the current study, the modified FOLFOX4 regimen, which can be administered on an outpatient basis, was shown to have effective antitumor activity and a safe toxicity profile in patients with metastatic or recurrent colorectal cancer. The overall response rate (34.9%), median TTP (6.1 months), and median OS (17.4 months) following treatment with the present regimen were comparable with previous results reported for the original FOLFOX4 regimen (3-5, 12, 13). For example, Antre et al. (13) reported that the FOLFOX4 regimen produced an overall response rate of 23.5%, median TTP of



5.1 months, and median overall survival of 11.1 months. Plus, the recent phase II studies, which also adopted a low dose of LV (modified FOLFOX4 regimen; oxaliplatin 85 mg/m², LV 20 mg/m², 5-FU 400 mg/m² bolus, and 5-FU 600 mg/m² continuous infusion) demonstrated a similar efficacy and toxicity to the original FOLFOX4 regimen (14-16). For patients with metastastic colorectal cancer, where treatment is essentially palliative, the protracted infusion times represent a significant portion of the patient's remaining life span. In addition, patients are exposed to potential complications resulting from central venous access. Therefore, the simplified FOLFOX4 regimen is likely to have important implications for the patient's quality of life.

One of the major toxicities related to 5-FU/LV is myelosuppression. Chemotherapy-induced severe neutropenia can also result in treatment-related hospitalization or mortality, thereby compromising the quality of life and increasing medical expenditure. In a previous study with the FOLFOX4 regimen, grade 3/4 neutropenia and febrile neutropenia occurred in 36.9% and 7% of the patients, respectively (13). However, in the current study, grade 3/4 neutropenia was only observed in 2 patients (4.7%) and febrile neutropenia only in one (2.3 %). Furthermore, all these cases improved after treatment with G-CSF and empirical antibiotics. These results seemed to be due to reduced dose of LV (30 mg/m^2) compared to that (200 mg/m²) of the original FOLFOX4 regimen. Another major toxicity related to oxaliplatin is peripheral neuropathy. In the present study, grade 1/2 neuropathy was observed in 25.6% of patients, and was effectively treated with conservative therapies. Of the other non-hematologic adverse effects, grade 1/2 nausea occurred in 34.9% of patients, yet grade 3 nausea was only observed in 2.3% of patients.

In conclusion, the outpatient-basis regimen of oxaliplatin plus 5-FU/LV was found to be well-tolerated and effective in patients with metastatic or recurrent colorectal cancer. Accordingly, the current regimen can be regarded as an important outpatient treatment option for advanced colorectal cancer.

REFERENCES

- American Cancer Society: Cancer Facts and Figures-2000. American Cancer Society, Atlanta, GA, 2000.
- 2. de Gramont A, Bosset JF, Milan C, Rougier P, Bouche O, Etienne PL, Morvan F, Louvet C, Guillot T, Francois E, Bedenne L. Randomized trial comparing monthly low-dose leucovorin and fluorouracil bolus with bimonthly high-dose leucovorin and fluorouracil bolus plus continuous infusion for advanced colorectal cancer: a French intergroup study. J Clin Oncol 1997; 15: 808-15.
- Andre T, Colin P, Louvet C, Gamelin E, Bouche O, Achille E, Colbert N, Boaziz C, Piedbois P, Tubiana-Mathieu N, Boutan-Laroze A, Flesch M, Buyse M, de Gramont A. Semimonthly versus monthly regimen of fluorouracil and leucovorin administered for 24 or 36 weeks as adjuvant therapy in stage II and III colon cancer: results of a randomized trial. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21: 2896-903.
- 4. de Gramont A, Figer A, Seymour M, Homerin M, Hmissi A, Cassidy J, Boni C, Cortes-Funes H, Cervantes A, Freyer G, Papamichael D, Le Bail N, Louvet C, Hendler D, de Braud F, Wilson C, Morvan F, Bonetti A. Leucovorin and fluorouracil with or without oxaliplatin as first-line treatment in advanced colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2000; 18: 2938-47.
- 5. Giacchetti S, Perpoint B, Zidani R, Le Bail N, Faggiuolo R, Focan C, Chollet P, Llory JF, Letourneau Y, Coudert B, Bertheaut-Cvitkovic F, Larregain-Fournier D, Le Rol A, Walter S, Adam R, Misset JL, Levi F. Phase III multicenter randomized trial of oxaliplatin added to chronomodulated fluorouracil-leucovorin as first-line treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2000; 18: 136-47.
- 6. Rothenberg ML, Oza AM, Bigelow RH, Berlin JD, Marshall JL, Ramanathan RK, Hart LL, Gupta S, Garay CA, Burger BG, Le Bail N, Haller DG. Superiority of oxaliplatin and fluorouracil-leucovorin compared with either therapy alone in patients with progressive colorectal cancer after irinotecan and fluorouracil-leucovorin: interim results of a phase III trial. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21: 2059-69.
- Goldberg RM, Sargent DJ, Morton RF, Fuchs CS, Ramanathan RK, Williamson SK, Findlay BP, Pitot HC, Alberts SR. A randomized controlled trial of fluorouracil plus leucovorin, irinotecan, and oxaliplatin combinations in patients with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22: 23-30.
- 8. Nancy K, Carlos AG, Jayne G, Howard H, Peter K, Al B, Debra SB, Jonathan P, Michael W, Grace S, Doreen H, Brent B, Sunil G. Randomized multicenter phase II trial of bolus plus infusional fluorouracil/leucovorin compared with fluorouracil/leucovorin plus oxali-

platin as third-line treatment of patients with advanced colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22: 4753-61.

- 9. Yves B, Erick G, Bruno C, Sylvie N, Jean-Yves P, Jean-Luc R, Jocelyne P, Olivier R, Claude K, Caroline G, Mohamed B, Dominique M, Mireille M. Randomized multicenter phase II study comparing a combination of fluorouracil and folinic acid and alternating irinotecan and oxaliplatin with oxaliplatin and irinotecan in fluorouracilpretreated metastatic colorectal cancer patients. J Clin Oncol 2001; 19: 4195-201.
- Therasse P, Arbuck SG, Eisenhauer EA, Wanders J, Kaplan RS, Rubinstein L, Verweij J, Van Glabbeke M, van Oosterom AT, Christian MC, Gwyther SG. New guidelines to evaluate the response to treatment in solid tumors. European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer, National Cancer Institute of the United States, National Cancer Institute of Canada. J Natl Cancer Inst 2000; 92: 205-16.
- Simon R. Optimal two-stage designs for phase II clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 1989; 10: 1-10.
- 12. Ramanathan RK, Clark JW, Kemeny NE, Lenz HJ, Gococo KO, Haller DG, Mitchell EP, Kardinal CG. Safety and toxicity analysis of oxaliplatin combined with fluorouracil or as a single agent in patients with previously treated advanced colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21: 2904-11.
- 13. Andre T, Bensmaine MA, Louvet C, Francois E, Lucas V, Desseigne F, Beerblock K, Bouche O, Carola E, Merrouche Y, Morvan F, Dupont-Andre G, de Gramont A. *Multicenter phase II study of bimonthly high-dose leucovorin, fluorouracil infusion, and oxaliplatin for metastatic colorectal cancer resistant to the same leucovorin and fluorouracil regimen. J Clin Oncol 1999; 17: 3560-8.*
- 14. Kwon HC, Kim KT, Lee SA, Park JS, Kim SH, Kim JS, Kim HJ. Oxaliplatin with biweekly, low dose leucovorin and bolus and continuous Infusion 5-fluorouracil (Modified FOLFOX 4) as First-line therapy for patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. Cancer Research Treatment 2004; 36: 115-20.
- 15. Shin YM, Han HS, Lim SW, Kim BC, Cheoi KS, Eum YO, Kim ST, Lee KH. Combination chemotherapy of oxaliplatin, 5-fluorouracil and low dose leucovorin in patients with advanced colorectal cancer. Cancer Res Treat 2005; 37: 284-9.
- 16. Lee JH, Kim TW, Lee KH, Kang YK, Lee JS, Kim SH, Kim HC, Yu CS, Kim JC, Kim WK. Combination of oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin in the treatment of fluoropyrimidine-pretreated patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. J Korean Med Sci 2001; 16: 69-74.