

ORIGINAL PAPER OPEN ACCESS

Utility of the Respiratory Compensation Point for Estimating Critical Power: Insights From Normoxia and Hypoxia

Simon Marwood¹ 🗊 | Len Parker Simpson² | Daryl P. Wilkerson³ | Andrew M. Jones³ | Richie P. Goulding⁴

¹School of Health & Sport Sciences, Liverpool Hope University, Liverpool, UK | ²Human Performance Science Research Group, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK | ³Sport and Health Sciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, St. Luke's Campus, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK | ⁴Department of Human Movement Sciences, Faculty of Behavioral and Human Movement Sciences, Amsterdam Movement Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Correspondence: Simon Marwood (marwoos@hope.ac.uk)

Received: 14 October 2024 | Revised: 27 February 2025 | Accepted: 24 March 2025

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Keywords: critical power | hypoxia | respiratory compensation point

ABSTRACT

We examined the validity of the respiratory compensation point (RCP) in estimating critical power (CP) by determining the relative agreement between them following an acute intervention, hypoxia, which reduces RCP and CP. RCP and CP were determined in normoxia (N: FiO₂ = 0.21) and hypoxia (H: FiO₂ = 0.13) with RCP converted to a power output (W) via linear regression of the $\dot{V}O_2$ -time relationship with correction for the mean response time. RCP and CP were lower in hypoxia compared to normoxia (p < 0.001), but there was no difference between CP and RCP in N or H (N: 174 ± 26 (CP) vs. 178 ± 30 (RCP) W; H: 133 ± 19 (CP) vs. 139 ± 22 (RCP) W, p = 0.53). In both N (r = 0.32, p = 0.31) and H (r = 0.00, p = 0.99), RCP was not correlated with CP. Moreover, the 95% limits of agreement (LOA) were unacceptably wide (N: 3 ± 64 W; H: 7 ± 57 W). There was no correlation between the change in RCP and the change in CP caused by hypoxia (W: r = 0.32), with similarly poor 95% LOA (W: -3 ± 62 W). The weak correlations and wide LOA within and between conditions suggest little practical values in using RCP to estimate CP.

1 | Introduction

Exercise training is necessary to promote sports performance, and by promoting physical activity and fitness, it is a central aspect of disease prevention and mitigation (Lee et al. 2012). Fundamental to the prescription of exercise training is an understanding of the physiological distinctions between different exercise intensities and how the boundaries between those intensities can be accurately determined. In response to exercise, distinct physiological responses have been identified that exemplify the characteristics of moderate, heavy, severe and extreme intensity exercise, respectively (Whipp and Ward 1982; Poole et al. 1988; Ozyener et al. 2001; Hill et al. 2002; Jones et al. 2008; Vanhatalo et al. 2016). However, the threshold separating heavy and severe intensity exercise is of particular interest because it represents the upper limit beyond which a metabolic steady state is unattainable and oxygen uptake projects inexorably towards maximum values (Poole et al. 2016; Jones et al. 2019), with associated implications for exercise (in)tolerance (Poole et al. 2016; Burnley and Jones 2018; Goulding, Rossiter, et al. 2021).

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{© 2025} The Author(s). European Journal of Sport Science published by Wiley-VCH GmbH on behalf of European College of Sport Science.

Summary

- Although both the respiratory compensation point (RCP) and critical power (CP) were similarly reduced in hypoxia compared to normoxia, there was poor agreement between these variables in both conditions. The lack of correlation and wide limits of agreement suggest that RCP is not a reliable proxy for CP.
- The findings support the notion that RCP is affected by a range of physiological mechanisms beyond metabolic acidosis and subsequent carotid body stimulation and thus challenge the assumption that RCP directly reflects the boundary between heavy and severe intensity exercise.

Unfortunately, the threshold separating heavy and severe intensity exercise cannot be precisely determined from the most commonly applied indices of exercise intensity, such as fractions of maximal heart rate or maximal oxygen uptake (Iannetta, Marinari, et al. 2023). In contrast, when determined with appropriate methods (Jones et al. 2019), critical power (CP), though expressed in units of external performance (i.e., W; or $m \cdot s^{-1}$, N·m for critical speed, torque, depending on the performance metric), reflects an underlying metabolic rate (Barker et al. 2006) that has been demonstrated to demarcate the boundary between heavy and severe exercise (Poole et al. 1988; Hill and Ferguson 1999; Hill et al. 2002; Pringle and Jones 2002; Jones et al. 2008; Burnley et al. 2012; Murgatroyd et al. 2014; Vanhatalo et al. 2016; Black et al. 2017; Lei et al. 2023). However, the determination of CP, which requires multiple maximal exercise trials completed on separate days, is labour- and timeintensive and thus not always practicable. Accordingly, alternative methods to derive the threshold separating heavy and severe intensity exercise have been extensively explored (Burnley et al. 2006; Murgatroyd et al. 2014; Parker Simpson and Kordi 2017; Keir et al. 2018; Goulding, Marwood, et al. 2021; Iannetta, Marinari, et al. 2023).

During ramp incremental exercise, indices of pulmonary gas exchange reveal two distinct thresholds: the gas exchange threshold (GET) and the respiratory compensation point (RCP) (Whipp et al. 1981, 1989). The RCP, typically determined as a metabolic rate (i.e., oxygen uptake), represents the point at which a hyperventilatory response causes the end-tidal PCO₂ (P_{ET}CO₂) and arterial PCO₂ (PaCO₂) to decline, having previously been stable during a phase known as 'isocapnic buffering' (Whipp et al. 1989). The primary mechanisms that bring about respiratory compensation are not clearly established and may be related to muscle afferent feedback or central command (Hagberg et al. 1982; Heigenhauser et al. 1983; Mateika and Duffin 1994; Thornton et al. 2001; Forster et al. 2012). However, a widespread view has been that respiratory compensation occurs in response to the unabated systemic/metabolic acidosis associated with severe intensity exercise, initiated primarily via the stimulation of the carotid artery chemoreceptors, the carotid bodies (Wasserman et al. 1975, 2011; Oren et al. 1982; Rausch et al. 1991). Because a progressive reliance on nonoxidative metabolism, and associated metabolic acidosis, is characteristic of severe intensity exercise (Poole et al. 2016; Jones et al. 2019), this has led some to suggest that the RCP may be a convenient,

and valid, surrogate of CP (Keir et al. 2018). However, this contention has proven to be controversial (Keir et al. 2018; Broxterman et al. 2018; Galán-Rioja et al. 2020).

A robust experimental approach to address the potential validity of proxy measures in establishing accurately the criterion measure is to intervene to acutely alter one variable and observe whether a similar magnitude of change occurs in the other. CP is subject in part to the availability of oxygen, acting either independently (Dekerle et al. 2012; Parker Simpson et al. 2015; Townsend et al. 2017; La Monica et al. 2018; Goulding et al. 2020) or via its role in determining $\dot{V}O_2$ kinetics (Vanhatalo et al. 2010; Black et al. 2015; Goulding et al. 2018, 2019; Goulding and Marwood 2023). Hence, if there is a highly conserved, common mechanistic basis relating RCP with CP, the change in CP as a result of exercising in a hyperoxic or hypoxic environment should be matched by a similar change in the RCP. The RCP has been shown to be reduced in hypoxia (Azevedo et al. 2020). However, carotid body chemosensitivity is amplified in hypoxia (Rausch et al. 1991), raising ventilation to the extent that arterial pH is no different, or even higher, during incremental exercise in hypoxia compared to normoxia (Knight et al. 1996; Lovering et al. 2008). The reduction in the RCP in hypoxia (Azevedo et al. 2020) may therefore be augmented relative to the concomitant reduction in CP.

The purpose of the present study was therefore to examine the effect of hypoxia on CP and the RCP. Based on the previous assessments of the agreement between these two variables (Keir et al. 2015; Iannetta, Mackie, et al. 2023), we hypothesised that there would be (i) good agreement between CP and the RCP in normoxia; (ii) poor agreement between the two in hypoxia; and, accordingly, (iii) poor agreement between the change in CP and the change in RCP when moving between the normoxic and hypoxic conditions.

2 | Materials and Methods

The present study involved a reanalysis of data from a previously published study (Parker Simpson et al. 2015) which had the primary aim of examining the effect of hypoxia on the parameters (i.e., CP and W') of the power-duration relationship. The present study incorporates the previously published CP and gas exchange threshold data, alongside previously unpublished respiratory compensation point data. For the avoidance of repetition of previously described methods (for which the reader is referred to Parker Simpson et al. (2015)), presented herein is an overview of the procedures most pertinent to the present analysis.

2.1 | Participants

Thirteen recreationally active females (mean \pm SD: age 21 \pm 1 year, body mass 69.2 \pm 11.9 kg, height 1.66 \pm 0.05 m) volunteered and provided written informed consent to participate in this study, which was carried out following the approval from the local research ethics committee. None of the participants reported a history of regular or recent sojourns to altitude.

Participants were required to visit the laboratory on 14 occasions over a 4–5 week period irrespective of the menstrual cycle phase (James et al. 2023). A minimum of 24 h separated each visit. Participants were fully familiarised with all testing procedures prior to any experimentation. For each visit, participants were asked to arrive at the laboratory rested (no strenuous exercise performed in the preceding 24 h), fully hydrated, at least 3 h postprandial, and having avoided alcohol and caffeine for the preceding 12 and 6 h, respectively.

2.2 | Experimental Design

All of the experimental procedures were carried out in a laboratory at sea level. All exercise tests were carried out in both normoxia and hypoxia, with the hypoxic environment induced via the inspiration of gas, with an average O_2 fraction of 0.128 \pm 0.02, from a 1000 L Douglas bag. Participants were blinded to the condition via a concealed 3-way value, where inspired gas was taken either from the 1000-L Douglas bag or room air. Each exercise test preceded by 5 min of 'unloaded' cycling (20 W) while inhaling the given inspirate for the test in order to equilibrate the body O_2 stores.

In a randomised order, participants initially completed in each condition a ramp incremental test ($25 \text{ W} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$) to the limit of tolerance. Thereafter, participants completed five constant power tests to the limit of tolerance in each condition in a randomised order (with respect to condition and power). The required power for these trials was chosen to result in a limit of tolerance ranging between 2 and 15 min. For both incremental and constant power exercise tests, participants were instructed to maintain their preferred cadence throughout ($\pm 5 \text{ rpm}$), with the limit of tolerance defined as the time at which cadence fell by > 10 rpm for more than 5 s. Participants were asked to remain seated on the ergometer, and strong verbal encouragement was provided by the experimenter.

2.3 | Data Analysis

Pulmonary gas exchange data were averaged into 10 s bins. Peak $\dot{V}O_2$ ($\dot{V}O_2$ peak) was defined as the highest 30 s rolling average during the incremental exercise test. The RCP was determined via the visual inspection of the $\dot{V}O_2$ at which the end-tidal pressure of CO₂ (PETCO₂) began to fall after a period of iso-capnic buffering (i.e., stable PETCO₂), corroborated by a sharp increase in ventilation (\dot{V}_E) with respect to $\dot{V}CO_2$ (i.e., a breakpoint in the $\dot{V}_E/\dot{V}CO_2$ response) (Whipp et al. 1989). The GET was determined via the V-slope method (Beaver et al. 1986), verified by observing the $\dot{V}O_2$ at which there is a sustained increase in $\dot{V}_E/\dot{V}O_2$ with no change in $\dot{V}_E/\dot{V}CO_2$ and an increase in PETO₂ without a concomitant rise in PETCO₂. \dot{V}_E at GET (\dot{V}_{EGET}) and RCP (\dot{V}_{ERCP}) were determined via the linear regression of the \dot{V}_E response between the time at which GET and RCP were expressed.

RCP and GET were converted to a power by correcting downward the instantaneous power with respect to the response time of $\dot{V}O_2$ (i.e., mean response time, MRT) during the ramp incremental exercise test. The MRT was estimated by observing the time at which a backwards extrapolation of the linear portion of the $\dot{V}O_2$ -power relationship was equal to the value of $\dot{V}O_2$ observed during baseline pedalling at 20W (Boone and Bourgois 2012).

CP (and W') was determined from the power-duration relationship derived from the constant power exercise tests. Linear regression was used to provide two sets of CP and W' estimates from the results of the prediction trials, using the work time $(W = CP \cdot t + W')$ and the 1/time $(P = W' \cdot (1/t) + CP)$ models. For each individual case, the model providing the lowest standard errors and the highest r^2 was chosen to provide the CP and W' parameter estimates. In the present analysis, once W' was determined in order to also derive CP, W' was not considered further.

2.4 | Statistics

The effects of the condition (hypoxia vs. normoxia) on $\dot{V}O_2$ peak, RCP ($\%\dot{V}O_2$ peak), GET, \dot{V}_E peak, \dot{V}_{ERCP} and \dot{V}_{EGET} were analysed using paired sample *t*-tests. RCP and CP were analysed by a 2-way repeated measures ANOVA for conditions (normoxia vs. hypoxia) and variables (RCP vs. CP). Post hoc analysis for these analyses was via a simple effects analysis with Bonferroni correction applied. Violations for sphericity were corrected by Greenhouse-Geisser (GG) where the GG Epsilon < 0.75, and corrected by Hyunh-Feldt where the GG Epsilon was > 0.75. Relationships between variables were analysed via a Pearson correlation; agreement between variables was analysed via average bias and 95% limits of agreement (LOA). Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation with the statistical significance set at p < 0.05. Statistical analysis was undertaken using IBM SPSS statistics version 29.0.1.0.

3 | Results

Due to one file being corrupted, data are presented for 12 females (age: 21 \pm 1 year, height: 1.7 \pm 0.1 m; mass: 70 \pm 12 kg). Exercise tolerance in the constant power tests was 180 \pm 18 s to 790 \pm 143 s (normoxia) and 185 \pm 11 s to 739 \pm 184 s (hypoxia). Table 1 shows ramp incremental exercise test outcomes and CP; the VO₂peak was lower in hypoxia compared to normoxia (p < 0.01) but was not different within conditions between incremental and constant power exercise tests (data not shown; see Parker Simpson et al. (2015)). GET was lower in hypoxia compared to normoxia whether expressed as an oxygen uptake (p < 0.001) or power (p < 0.001). However, GET was not different between conditions when expressed as % VO2peak (p = 0.13) or %RCP (p = 0.73). RCP $(\%\dot{V}O_2peak)$ was also not different between conditions (p = 0.55). \dot{V}_E peak (p = 0.60) and \dot{V}_{ERCP} (p = 0.72) were not different between conditions; however, \dot{V}_{EGET} was lower in normoxia compared to hypoxia (p = 0.04). Representative plots of RCP determination in normoxia and hypoxia can be seen in Figure 1.

3.1 | RCP Versus CP

RCP and CP were lower in hypoxia compared to normoxia (main effect condition, p < 0.001), with no difference between RCP and CP (main effect variable, p = 0.53, interaction condition × variable, p = 0.71) (Table 1). RCP was not correlated with CP either in normoxia or hypoxia (normoxia: r = 0.32,

TABLE 1 Outcomes from ramp incremental exercise and critical power.

	Normoxia	Hypoxia	$\Delta\%$
Ramp incremental exercise			
VO₂peak (mL·min ⁻¹)	2911 ± 440	$2354 \pm 278^{\textbf{*}}$	-19
RCP (mL·min ⁻¹)	2260 ± 405	$1856\pm242\texttt{*}$	-18
RCP (W)	178 ± 30	$139 \pm 22^*$	-22
RCP (%VO2peak)	77.5 ± 7.6	79.0 ± 7.5	1.9
GET $(mL \cdot min^{-1})$	1479 ± 203	$1247 \pm 182^{\textbf{*}}$	-16
GET (W)	90 ± 18	$66 \pm 13^*$	-27
GET (%VO2peak)	51.2 ± 3.9	53.2 ± 5.6	4.0
GET (%RCP)	66.6 ± 7.3	67.6 ± 7.1	1.6
\dot{V}_E peak (L·min ⁻¹)	128 ± 13	126 ± 13	-1
$\dot{V}_{ERCP} (L \cdot min^{-1})$	69 ± 15	70 ± 12	9
$\dot{V}_{EGET} (L \cdot min^{-1})$	36.4 ± 5.5	$39.7\pm7.0^{*}$	1.9
Critical power (W)	174 ± 26	$133 \pm 19^*$	-24

Note: Data are mean \pm SD. $\dot{V}O_2 peak,$ highest rolling 30 s average during incremental exercise.

Abbreviations: CP, critical power; GET, gas exchange threshold; RCP, respiratory compensation point; \dot{V}_{EP} eak, highest rolling 30 s average during incremental exercise; \dot{V}_{ERCP} and \dot{V}_{EGET} , ventilation at RCP and GET, respectively.

*p < 0.01 versus normoxia.

p = 0.31; hypoxia: r = 0.00, p = 0.99) (Figure 2A,B). The reduction (Δ) in RCP with hypoxia was also not correlated with Δ CP (r = 0.32, p = 0.31; Figure 2C).

In normoxia, the mean difference and 95% LOA between RCP and CP were 3 ± 64 W (-61, +67 W) (Figure 3A). In hypoxia, the mean difference and 95% LOA between RCP and CP were 7 ± 57 W (-50, +64 W) (Figure 3B). The mean difference and 95% LOA between Δ RCP and Δ CP were -3 ± 62 W (-65, +58 W) (Figure 3C).

4 | Discussion

The results of the present study show that while there was no systematic difference between the RCP and CP in hypoxia and normoxia, there was poor agreement between these variables in both conditions. RCP was not correlated with CP in either normoxia or hypoxia, with unacceptably wide 95% LOA (\pm 57–64 W) and similarly poor agreements were observed when examining the changes (Δ) in RCP and CP in response to hypoxia. There was no correlation between Δ RCP and Δ CP, with similarly wide 95% limits of agreement as for the absolute comparisons. The results therefore question the notion that the RCP and CP share a common mechanistic basis and suggest that there is little practical values in using RCP to estimate CP, and thus the heavy-severe threshold.

The traditional interpretation of the RCP phenomenon is that it is initiated primarily via the stimulation of the carotid bodies (Wasserman et al. 1975; Oren et al. 1982; Rausch et al. 1991) arising from excessive acidosis following the failure of the bicarbonate buffer system to halt the inexorable increase in arterial $[H^+]$ during incremental exercise (Keir et al. 2022).



FIGURE 1 | Representative example of RCP determination in normoxia (left-hand panel) and hypoxia (right-hand panel). Open-circles: end-tidal pressure and ventilatory equivalent for O₂; closed-circles: end-tidal pressure and ventilatory equivalent for CO₂.



FIGURE 2 | Correlation between critical power (CP) and the respiratory compensation point (RCP) (panel (A), normoxia; panel (B), hypoxia) and the difference (Δ) between conditions (C). Dashed lines reflect the line of identity (removed for clarity on panel (C)). Explained variance (R^2) displayed on the inset of each panel.

According to this interpretation, the notion that the RCP reflects the threshold separating heavy and severe intensity exercise follows from the understanding that severe intensity exercise is characterised by (i) the inability to attain a metabolic steady state; (ii) progressive reliance on nonoxidative metabolism; and (iii) consequent unabated metabolic acidosis (Whipp and Ward 1982). The significant attention that RCP has recently received also arises because of its relative ease of measurement during a single incremental exercise test while utilising standard pulmonary gas exchange practices (Dekerle et al. 2003; Keir et al. 2015, 2022; Caen et al. 2018, 2022; Galán-Rioja et al. 2020; Goulding, Marwood, et al. 2021; Tiller et al. 2023). Since CP is a validated index of this threshold when measured appropriately (Hill and Ferguson 1999; Hill et al. 2002; Pringle and Jones 2002; Jones et al. 2008, 2019; Burnley et al. 2012; Murgatroyd et al. 2014; Vanhatalo et al. 2016; Poole et al. 2016; Black et al. 2017; Lei et al. 2023), the agreement, or lack thereof, between RCP and CP has been the subject of scrutiny. The outcome of such studies appears equivocal, with some finding



FIGURE 3 | Ninety-five percent limits of agreement (LOA) between critical power (CP) and the respiratory compensation point (RCP) (panel (A), normoxia; panel (B), hypoxia) and the difference (Δ) between conditions (C). Solid line illustrates the mean bias, and dashed lines illustrate the 95% LOA.

poor agreement between RCP and CP (Broxterman, Ade, Craig, et al. 2015; Leo et al. 2017; Caen et al. 2018, 2022; Tiller et al. 2023), yet others reporting remarkable agreement (Keir et al. 2015; Iannetta, Mackie, et al. 2023). A more robust way to examine the validity of RCP in estimating CP is to intervene to alter CP and observe the effects on RCP. Relatively few studies have taken such an approach (Broxterman, Ade, Barker, et al. 2015; Caen et al. 2018). Accordingly, the present study sought to examine the effects of an acute intervention, hypoxia, known to bring about a reduction in CP (Parker Simpson et al. 2015).

The RCP might be predicted to be reduced in hypoxia, compared to normoxia, because the resulting reduction in

intracellular oxygen tension mandates a greater disruption to metabolic stability (Haseler et al. 1998, 1999; Hogan et al. 1999) and a resultant increase in cellular acidosis during incremental exercise (Richardson et al. 1998; Hogan et al. 1999). Indeed, the RCP has previously been shown to be reduced by hypoxia (Azevedo et al. 2020). Similarly, CP is dependent on oxygen availability, reflecting both changes to metabolic stability and its role in determining oxygen uptake kinetics (Poole et al. 2016; Goulding and Marwood 2023). It is perhaps therefore not surprising that these variables were similarly reduced in hypoxia compared to normoxia (Table 1, Figure 3). Rather, the outstanding feature of the present analysis is the marked lack of agreement between RCP and CP, both in normoxia and hypoxia, and when considered as the change between conditions.

That the RCP is a function of excessive acidosis and carotid body chemosensitivity is supported by studies demonstrating its suppression in patients who have undergone carotid body resection (Wasserman et al. 1975) and faster ventilatory kinetics following pharmacologically induced metabolic acidosis (Oren et al. 1982). However, in a number of contexts, including glycogen depletion, prior exercise, McArdle's disease, exerciseinduced muscle damage, alkalosis and differences in ramp rate, respiratory compensation and metabolic acidosis have been dissociated (Hagberg et al. 1982; Heigenhauser et al. 1983; Mateika and Duffin 1994; Scheuermann and Kowalchuk 1998; Ozcelik et al. 1999; Meyer et al. 2004; Davies et al. 2011). Moreover, during ramp exercise, the RCP occurs at a higher metabolic rate than the GET, following a period of 'isocapnic buffering' (Whipp et al. 1989). In contrast, during slowly incremented (particularly 'step') exercise, there is a coincidence of respiratory compensation with the gas exchange threshold (Wasserman et al. 1973; Wasserman and Whipp 1975). Therefore a fundamental issue for the use of RCP as a proxy for the heavy-severe threshold is its protocol dependency, extending to it being undetectable in certain conditions (Wasserman and Whipp 1975; Scheuermann and Kowalchuk 1998; Tiller et al. 2023).

The role of the incrementation rate in the appearance of the RCP seemingly reflects the carotid bodies having (unknown) time delays or [H⁺] thresholds with respect to the intracellular expression of the systemic acidosis (Buckler et al. 1991). Yet, a viable mechanistic link between the RCP and the upper limit of the metabolic steady state on the basis of acidosis necessitates a prerequisite matching of the time course and magnitude of $[H^+]$ efflux from the muscle cell, transporting through the circulation and interaction with the carotid body cell characteristics. However, individual differences in ventilatory chemosensitivity are significant contributors to the RCP (Takano 2000). Furthermore, arterial [H⁺] has been shown to be essentially unchanged in hypoxia compared to normoxia during incremental exercise (Knight et al. 1996; Lovering et al. 2008), suggesting ventilatory adjustments can occur independently of, and more rapidly than can be ascribed to, systemic perturbations that follow from increases in metabolic instability (Rausch et al. 1991). Hence, whether the RCP arises due to progressive or reflexive ventilatory kinetics, any alignment with the heavysevere domain boundary may be coincidental rather than causal, with the present poor agreement between RCP and CP therefore unsurprising. Taken together, in accordance with previous commentaries on this matter (Nicolò et al. 2020), the poor inter-individual agreement between RCP and CP suggests other inputs to the RCP phenomenon that are distinct to intramuscular metabolic (in)stability and are more important, such as central command and muscle afferent feedback. In turn, the stimulation of ventilation via central command and/or muscle afferent feedback may be secondary to a wide range of factors, including muscle fibre recruitment and disruption, microvascular distension, nociception (Heigenhauser et al. 1983; Haouzi et al. 2004; Davies et al. 2011; Dempsey et al. 2014) and blood O_2 tension (Azevedo et al. 2020, present study).

A consideration for the determination of the RCP in hypoxia is the changes to ventilation that ensue. Acute hypoxia, as under examination herein, results in augmented ventilation that is subsequently suppressed (though remaining above the normoxic baseline) within a timescale of 20-25 min, at least at rest (Easton et al. 1988). Whether the timescale of hypoxic exposure affects the ventilatory response during exercise is not known; however, ventilation has been shown to be higher at a given absolute exercise intensity throughout incremental exercise in hypoxia (Mekjavic et al. 1987). This was also demonstrated in the present study by the similar ventilation at the GET, RCP and peak incremental power between conditions, despite the absolute power at these instances being lower in hypoxia (Table 1). Notably, the increase in ventilation induced by hypoxia is driven primarily by an increase in the tidal volume rather than breathing frequency (Mekjavic et al. 1987). Since the RCP appears to be a phenomenon related to breathing frequency (Nicolò et al. 2020), this should serve to protect the integrity of the RCP measurement and avoid a 'pseudo' RCP, as can happen with prior hyperventilation for the GET (Ozcelik et al. 1999).

When comparing the RCP and CP, a primary methodological issue arises in that the former is expressed as an oxygen uptake and the latter as a power output. Hence, any comparison necessitates a conversion from one unit to the other. Ideally, any such approach would include confirmatory bouts of exercise at a fixed power output to ensure a match with oxygen uptake, and vice versa. This of course would be highly labour-intensive, and for the present analysis, such data were not available. Hence, we estimated the power output at RCP by correcting for the MRT of \dot{VO}_2 that accounts for the lag in pulmonary \dot{VO}_2 relative to power output during ramp incremental exercise (Whipp et al. 1981).

In estimating the power at the RCP, it was necessary to utilise a standardised approach for defining the MRT. We calculated MRT as the time at which extrapolated baseline and ramp $\dot{V}O_2$ data intersected (Boone and Bourgois 2012). The original study from which the present data were drawn did not undertake a pre- or post-ramp constant power bout of exercise; hence, we were unable to employ the methods suggested by Iannetta et al. (2020), which were reported to enhance the consistency of MRT derivation (Iannetta et al. 2019). Accordingly, there is an inherent, but unknown, degree of error when converting between $\dot{V}O_2$ and power output that will contribute to the poor agreement between the RCP and CP we have established herein, and that may have been improved had we been able to utilise the methods of Iannetta et al. (2020). However, the extent of the disagreement, with 95% LOA of ~40% (W) of the group mean

value, strongly suggests that the underlying agreement between RCP and CP is weak or indeed absent. Indeed, there was no correlations between the RCP and CP in either condition and no correlation between the change in RCP and CP between conditions.

In conclusion, we used hypoxia as an intervention to bring about an acute alteration to CP and to observe the effects on RCP. Hypoxia reduced both CP and RCP to a similar extent, with no difference between them. However, there was an unacceptably poor agreement between these variables both in absolute terms and when considered as the change between conditions. We propose that the multitude of factors contributing to the RCP, many of which exist independently of muscle metabolism, preclude it from providing a robust estimate of CP, and thus the heavy-severe threshold.

Ethics Statement

The data presented herein were collected following research ethics approval from the local ethics committee at the University of Exeter.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability Statement

Data are available on request from the corresponding author.

References

Azevedo, R. D. A., B. S. J E, E. C. Inglis, D. Iannetta, and J. M. Murias. 2020. "Hypoxia Equally Reduces the Respiratory Compensation Point and the NIRS-Derived [HHb] Breakpoint During a Ramp-Incremental Test in Young Active Males." *Physics Reports* 8, no. 12: e14478. https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.14478.

Barker, T., D. C. Poole, M. L. Noble, and T. J. Barstow. 2006. "Human Critical Power-Oxygen Uptake Relationship at Different Pedalling Frequencies." *Experimental Physiology* 91, no. 3: 621–632. https://doi.org/ 10.1113/expphysiol.2005.032789.

Beaver, W. L., K. Wasserman, and B. J. Whipp. 1986. "A New Method for Detecting Anaerobic Threshold by Gas Exchange." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 60, no. 6: 2020–2027. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl. 1986.60.6.2020.

Black, M. I., A. M. Jones, S. J. Bailey, and A. Vanhatalo. 2015. "Self-Pacing Increases Critical Power and Improves Performance During Severe-Intensity Exercise." *Applied Physiology Nutrition and Metabolism* 40, no. 7: 662–670. https://doi.org/10.1139/apnm-2014-0442.

Black, M. I., A. M. Jones, J. R. Blackwell, et al. 2017. "Muscle Metabolic and Neuromuscular Determinants of Fatigue During Cycling in Different Exercise Intensity Domains." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 122, no. 3: 446–459. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00942.2016.

Boone, J., and J. Bourgois. 2012. "The Oxygen Uptake Response to Incremental Ramp Exercise: Methodogical and Physiological Issues." *Sports Medicine* 42, no. 6: 511–526. https://doi.org/10.2165/11599690-000000000-00000.

Broxterman, R. M., C. J. Ade, T. Barker, and T. J. Barstow. 2015. "Influence of Pedal Cadence on the Respiratory Compensation Point and Its Relation to Critical Power." *Respiratory Physiology & Neurobiology* 208: 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resp.2014.12.008. Broxterman, R. M., C. J. Ade, J. C. Craig, S. L. Wilcox, S. J. Schlup, and T. J. Barstow. 2015. "The Relationship Between Critical Speed and the Respiratory Compensation Point: Coincidence or Equivalence." *European Journal of Sport Science* 15, no. 7: 631–639. https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2014.966764.

Broxterman, R. M., J. C. Craig, and R. S. Richardson. 2018. "The Respiratory Compensation Point and the Deoxygenation Break Point Are Not Valid Surrogates for Critical Power and Maximum Lactate Steady State." *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 50, no. 11: 2379–2382. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.00000000001699.

Buckler, K. J., R. D. Vaughan-Jones, C. Peers, et al. 1991. "Effects of Extracellular pH, PCO₂ and HCO3- on Intracellular pH in Isolated Type-I Cells of the Neonatal Rat Carotid Body." *Journal of Physiology* 444: 703–721. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1991.sp018902.

Burnley, M., J. H. Doust, and A. Vanhatalo. 2006. "A 3-Min All-Out Test to Determine Peak Oxygen Uptake and the Maximal Steady State." *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 38, no. 11: 1995–2003. https://doi.org/10.1249/01.mss.0000232024.06114.a6.

Burnley, M., and A. M. Jones. 2018. "Power-Duration Relationship: Physiology, Fatigue, and the Limits of Human Performance." *European Journal of Sport Science* 18: 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391. 2016.1249524.

Burnley, M., A. Vanhatalo, and A. M. Jones. 2012. "Distinct Profiles of Neuromuscular Fatigue During Muscle Contractions Below and Above the Critical Torque in Humans." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 113, no. 2: 215–223. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00022.2012.

Caen, K., J. G. Bourgois, E. Stassijns, and J. Boone. 2022. "A Longitudinal Study on the Interchangeable Use of Whole-Body and Local Exercise Thresholds in Cycling." *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 122, no. 7: 1657–1670. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-022-04942-2.

Caen, K., K. Vermeire, J. G. Bourgois, and J. Boone. 2018. "Exercise Thresholds on Trial: Are They Really Equivalent?" *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 50, no. 6: 1277–1284. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS. 000000000001547.

Davies, R. C., A. V. Rowlands, D. C. Poole, A. M. Jones, and R. G. Eston. 2011. "Eccentric Exercise-Induced Muscle Damage Dissociates the Lactate and Gas Exchange Thresholds." *Journal of Sports Sciences* 29, no. 2: 181–189. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2010.526626.

Dekerle, J., B. Baron, L. Dupont, J. Vanvelcenaher, and P. Pelayo. 2003. "Maximal Lactate Steady State, Respiratory Compensation Threshold and Critical Power." *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 89, no. 3: 281–288. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-002-0786-y.

Dekerle, J., P. Mucci, and H. Carter. 2012. "Influence of Moderate Hypoxia on Tolerance to High-Intensity Exercise." *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 112, no. 1: 327–335. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-011-1979-z.

Dempsey, J. A., G. M. Blain, and M. Amann. 2014. "Are Type III–IV Muscle Afferents Required for a Normal Steady-State Exercise Hyperpnoea in Humans?" *Journal of Physiology* 592, no. 3: 463–474. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2013.261925.

Easton, P. A., L. J. Slykerman, and N. R. Anthonisen. 1988. "Recovery of the Ventilatory Response to Hypoxia in Normal Adults." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 64, no. 2: 521–528. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl. 1988.64.2.521.

Forster, H. V., P. Haouzi, and J. A. Dempsey. 2012. "Control of Breathing During Exercise." *Comprehensive Physiology* 2: 743–777. https://doi.org/10.1002/cphy.c100045.

Galán-Rioja, M. Á., F. González-Mohíno, D. C. Poole, and J. M. González-Ravé. 2020. "Relative Proximity of Critical Power and Metabolic/ Ventilatory Thresholds: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis." *Sports Medicine* 50, no. 10: 1771–1783. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-020-01314-8. Goulding, R. P., and S. Marwood. 2023. "Interaction of Factors Determining Critical Power." *Sports Medicine* 53, no. 3: 595–613. https://doi. org/10.1007/s40279-022-01805-w.

Goulding, R. P., S. Marwood, T.-H. Lei, et al. 2021. "Dissociation Between Exercise Intensity Thresholds: Mechanistic Insights From Supine Exercise." *American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 321, no. 5: R712–R722. https://doi.org/10.1152/ ajpregu.00096.2021.

Goulding, R. P., D. M. Roche, and S. Marwood. 2018. "Elevated Baseline Work Rate Slows Pulmonary Oxygen Uptake Kinetics and Decreases Critical Power During Upright Cycle Exercise." *Physics Reports* 6, no. 14: e13802. https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.13802.

Goulding, R. P., D. M. Roche, and S. Marwood. 2019. "Hyperoxia Speeds Pulmonary Oxygen Uptake Kinetics and Increases Critical Power During Supine Cycling." *Experimental Physiology* 104, no. 7: 1061–1073. https://doi.org/10.1113/EP087599.

Goulding, R. P., D. M. Roche, and S. Marwood. 2020. "Effect of Hyperoxia on Critical Power and \dot{VO}_2 Kinetics During Upright Cycling." *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 52, no. 5: 1041–1049. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000002234.

Goulding, R. P., H. B. Rossiter, S. Marwood, and C. Ferguson. 2021. "Bioenergetic Mechanisms Linking $\dot{V}O_2$ Kinetics and Exercise Tolerance." *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews* 49, no. 4: 274–283. https://doi. org/10.1249/JES.00000000000267.

Hagberg, J. M., E. F. Coyle, J. E. Carroll, J. M. Miller, W. H. Martin, and M. H. Brooke. 1982. "Exercise Hyperventilation in Patients With McArdle's Disease." *Journal of Applied Physiology: Respiratory, Environmental & Exercise Physiology* 52, no. 4: 991–994. https://doi.org/10. 1152/jappl.1982.52.4.991.

Haouzi, P., B. Chenuel, and A. Huszczuk. 2004. "Sensing Vascular Distension in Skeletal Muscle by Slow Conducting Afferent Fibers: Neurophysiological Basis and Implication for Respiratory Control." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 96, no. 2: 407–418. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00597.2003.

Haseler, L. J., M. C. Hogan, and R. S. Richardson. 1999. "Skeletal Muscle Phosphocreatine Recovery in Exercise-Trained Humans Is Dependent on O₂ Availability." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 86, no. 6: 2013–2018. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1999.86.6.2013.

Haseler, L. J., R. S. Richardson, J. S. Videen, and M. C. Hogan. 1998. "Phosphocreatine Hydrolysis During Submaximal Exercise: The Effect of FIO₂." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 85, no. 4: 1457–1463. https://doi. org/10.1152/jappl.1998.85.4.1457.

Heigenhauser, G. J., J. R. Sutton, and N. L. Jones. 1983. "Effect of Glycogen Depletion on the Ventilatory Response to Exercise." *Journal of Applied Physiology: Respiratory, Environmental & Exercise Physiology* 54, no. 2: 470–474. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1983.54.2.470.

Hill, D. W., and C. S. Ferguson. 1999. "A Physiological Description of Critical Velocity." *European Journal of Applied Physiology and Occupational Physiology* 79, no. 3: 290–293. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004210 050509.

Hill, D. W., D. C. Poole, and J. C. Smith. 2002. "The Relationship Between Power and the Time to Achieve \dot{VO}_{2max} ." *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 34, no. 4: 709–714. https://doi.org/10.1097/00005768-200204000-00023.

Hogan, M. C., R. S. Richardson, and L. J. Haseler. 1999. "Human Muscle Performance and PCr Hydrolysis With Varied Inspired Oxygen Fractions: A 31P-MRS Study." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 86, no. 4: 1367–1373. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1999.86.4.1367.

Iannetta, D., E. C. Inglis, S. Pogliaghi, J. M. Murias, and D. A. Keir. 2020. "A 'Step-Ramp-Step' Protocol to Identify the Maximal Metabolic Steady State." *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 52, no. 9: 2011–2019. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.00000000002343. Iannetta, D., M. Z. Mackie, D. A. Keir, and J. M. Murias. 2023. "A Single Test Protocol to Establish the Full Spectrum of Exercise Intensity Prescription." *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 55, no. 12: 2271–2280. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.00000000003249.

Iannetta, D., G. Marinari, and J. M. Murias. 2023. "Can the Heart Rate Response at the Respiratory Compensation Point Be Used to Retrieve the Maximal Metabolic Steady State?" *Journal of Sports Sciences* 41, no. 10: 1025–1032. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2023.2259206.

Iannetta, D., J. M. Murias, and D. A. Keir. 2019. "A Simple Method to Quantify the \dot{VO}_2 Mean Response Time of Ramp-Incremental Exercise." *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 51, no. 5: 1080–1086. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.00000000001880.

James, J. J., O. K. Leach, A. M. Young, et al. 2023. "The Exercise Power-Duration Relationship Is Equally Reproducible in Eumenorrheic Female and Male Humans." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 134, no. 2: 230– 241. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00416.2022.

Jones, A. M., M. Burnley, M. I. Black, D. C. Poole, and A. Vanhatalo. 2019. "The Maximal Metabolic Steady State: Redefining the 'Gold standard'." *Physics Reports* 7, no. 10: e14098. https://doi.org/10.14814/phy2.14098.

Jones, A. M., D. P. Wilkerson, F. DiMenna, J. Fulford, and D. C. Poole. 2008. "Muscle Metabolic Responses to Exercise Above and Below the 'Critical Power' Assessed Using 31P-MRS." *American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 294, no. 2: R585–R593. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpregu.00731.2007.

Keir, D. A., F. Y. Fontana, T. C. Robertson, et al. 2015. "Exercise Intensity Thresholds: Identifying the Boundaries of Sustainable Performance." *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 47, no. 9: 1932–1940. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.00000000000613.

Keir, D. A., D. Iannetta, F. Mattioni Maturana, J. M. Kowalchuk, and J. M. Murias. 2022. "Identification of Non-Invasive Exercise Thresholds: Methods, Strategies, and an Online App." *Sports Medicine* 52, no. 2: 237–255. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-021-01581-z.

Keir, D. A., S. Pogliaghi, and J. M. Murias. 2018. "The Respiratory Compensation Point/Deoxy-BP Are Valid Surrogates for Critical Power/ Maximum Lactate Steady State." *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 1. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.00000000001698.

Knight, D. R., D. C. Poole, M. C. Hogan, D. E. Bebout, and P. D. Wagner. 1996. "Effect of Inspired O_2 Concentration on Leg Lactate Release During Incremental Exercise." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 81, no. 1: 246–251. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1996.81.1.246.

La Monica, M. B., D. H. Fukuda, T. M. Starling-Smith, R. Wang, J. R. Hoffman, and J. R. Stout. 2018. "Effects of Normobaric Hypoxia on Upper Body Critical Power and Anaerobic Working Capacity." *Respiratory Physiology & Neurobiology* 249: 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resp. 2017.12.002.

Lee, I.-M., E. J. Shiroma, F. Lobelo, P. Puska, S. N. Blair, and P. T. Katzmarzyk. 2012. "Effect of Physical Inactivity on Major Non-Communicable Diseases Worldwide: An Analysis of Burden of Disease and Life Expectancy." *Lancet* 380, no. 9838: 219–229. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61031-9.

Lei, T.-H., I.-L. Wang, Y.-M. Chen, et al. 2023. "Critical Power Is a Key Threshold Determining the Magnitude of Post-Exercise Hypotension in Non-Hypertensive Young Males." *Experimental Physiology* 108, no. 11: 1409–1421. https://doi.org/10.1113/EP091429.

Leo, J. A., S. Sabapathy, M. J. Simmonds, and T. J. Cross. 2017. "The Respiratory Compensation Point Is Not a Valid Surrogate for Critical Power." *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 49, no. 7: 1452–1460. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.00000000001226.

Lovering, A. T., L. M. Romer, H. C. Haverkamp, D. F. Pegelow, J. S. Hokanson, and M. W. Eldridge. 2008. "Intrapulmonary Shunting and Pulmonary Gas Exchange During Normoxic and Hypoxic Exercise in

Healthy Humans." Journal of Applied Physiology 104, no. 5: 1418–1425. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00208.2007.

Mateika, J. H., and J. Duffin. 1994. "Coincidental Changes in Ventilation and Electromyographic Activity During Consecutive Incremental Exercise Tests." *European Journal of Applied Physiology and Occupational Physiology* 68, no. 1: 54–61. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00599242.

Mekjavic, I. B., O. Eiken, A. LaPrairie, and E. W. Banister. 1987. "The Pattern of Breathing During Hypoxic Exercise." *European Journal of Applied Physiology and Occupational Physiology* 56, no. 6: 619–622. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00424799.

Meyer, T., O. Faude, J. Scharhag, A. Urhausen, and W. Kindermann. 2004. "Is Lactic Acidosis a Cause of Exercise Induced Hyperventilation at the Respiratory Compensation Point?" *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 38, no. 5: 622–625. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2003.007815.

Murgatroyd, S. R., L. A. Wylde, D. T. Cannon, S. A. Ward, and H. B. Rossiter. 2014. "A 'Ramp-Sprint' Protocol to Characterise Indices of Aerobic Function and Exercise Intensity Domains in a Single Laboratory Test." *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 114, no. 9: 1863–1874. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-014-2908-8.

Nicolò, A., S. M. Marcora, and M. Sacchetti. 2020. "Time to Reconsider How Ventilation Is Regulated Above the Respiratory Compensation Point During Incremental Exercise." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 128, no. 5: 1447–1449. https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00814.2019.

Oren, A., B. J. Whipp, and K. Wasserman. 1982. "Effect of Acid-Base Status on the Kinetics of the Ventilatory Response to Moderate Exercise." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 52, no. 4: 1013–1017. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1982.52.4.1013.

Ozcelik, O., S. A. Ward, and B. J. Whipp. 1999. "Effect of Altered Body CO₂ Stores on Pulmonary Gas Exchange Dynamics During Incremental Exercise in Humans." *Experimental Physiology* 84, no. 5: 999–1011. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0958067099018680.

Ozyener, F., H. B. Rossiter, S. A. Ward, and B. J. Whipp. 2001. "Influence of Exercise Intensity on the On- and Off-Transient Kinetics of Pulmonary Oxygen Uptake in Humans." *Journal of Physiology* 533, no. 3: 891–902. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7793.2001.t01-1-00891.x.

Parker Simpson, L., A. Jones, P. Skiba, A. Vanhatalo, and D. Wilkerson. 2015. "Influence of Hypoxia on the Power-Duration Relationship During High-Intensity Exercise." *International Journal of Sports Medicine* 36, no. 2: 113–119. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1389943.

Parker Simpson, L., and M. Kordi. 2017. "Comparison of Critical Power and W' Derived From 2 or 3 Maximal Tests." *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance* 12, no. 6: 825–830. https://doi.org/10. 1123/ijspp.2016-0371.

Poole, D. C., M. Burnley, A. Vanhatalo, H. B. Rossiter, and A. M. Jones. 2016. "Critical Power: An Important Fatigue Threshold in Exercise Physiology." *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 48, no. 11: 2320–2334. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.00000000000939.

Poole, D. C., S. A. Ward, G. W. Gardner, and B. J. Whipp. 1988. "Metabolic and Respiratory Profile of the Upper Limit for Prolonged Exercise in Man." *Ergonomics* 31, no. 9: 1265–1279. https://doi.org/10. 1080/00140138808966766.

Pringle, J., and A. Jones. 2002. "Maximal Lactate Steady State, Critical Power and EMG During Cycling." *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 88, no. 3: 214–226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-002-0703-4.

Rausch, S. M., B. J. Whipp, K. Wasserman, and A. Huszczuk. 1991. "Role of the Carotid Bodies in the Respiratory Compensation for the Metabolic Acidosis of Exercise in Humans." *Journal of Physiology* 444, no. 1: 567–578. https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1991.sp018894.

Richardson, R. S., E. A. Noyszewski, J. S. Leigh, and P. D. Wagner. 1998. "Lactate Efflux From Exercising Human Skeletal Muscle: Role of Intracellular PO₂." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 85, no. 2: 627–634. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1998.85.2.627. Scheuermann, B. W., and J. M. Kowalchuk. 1998. "Attenuated Respiratory Compensation During Rapidly Incremented Ramp Exercise." *Respiration Physiology* 114, no. 3: 227–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0034-5687(98)00097-8.

Takano, N. 2000. "Respiratory Compensation Point During Incremental Exercise as Related to Hypoxic Ventilatory Chemosensitivity and Lactate Increase in Man." *Japanese Journal of Physiology* 50, no. 4: 449–455. https://doi.org/10.2170/jjphysiol.50.449.

Thornton, J. M., A. Guz, K. Murphy, et al. 2001. "Identification of Higher Brain Centres That May Encode the Cardiorespiratory Response to Exercise in Humans." *Journal of Physiology* 533, no. 3: 823–836. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7793.2001.00823.x.

Tiller, N. B., J. Porszasz, R. Casaburi, H. B. Rossiter, and C. Ferguson. 2023. "Critical Power and Respiratory Compensation Point Are Not Equivalent in Patients With COPD." *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 55, no. 6: 1097–1104. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000 000003124.

Townsend, N. E., D. S. Nichols, P. F. Skiba, S. Racinais, and J. D. Périard. 2017. "Prediction of Critical Power and W' in Hypoxia: Application to Work-Balance Modelling." *Frontiers in Physiology* 8: 180. https://doi. org/10.3389/fphys.2017.00180.

Vanhatalo, A., M. I. Black, F. J. DiMenna, et al. 2016. "The Mechanistic Bases of the Power-Time Relationship: Muscle Metabolic Responses and Relationships to Muscle Fibre Type." *Journal of Physiology* 594, no. 15: 4407–4423. https://doi.org/10.1113/JP271879.

Vanhatalo, A., J. Fulford, F. J. DiMenna, and A. M. Jones. 2010. "Influence of Hyperoxia on Muscle Metabolic Responses and the Power-Duration Relationship During Severe-Intensity Exercise in Humans: A 31P Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy Study." *Experimental Physiology* 95, no. 4: 528–540. https://doi.org/10.1113/expphysiol.2009.050500.

Wasserman, K., W. L. Beaver, X.-G. Sun, and W. W. Stringer. 2011. "Arterial H+ Regulation During Exercise in Humans." *Respiratory Physiology & Neurobiology* 178, no. 2: 191–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. resp.2011.05.018.

Wasserman, K., and B. J. Whipp. 1975. "Excercise Physiology in Health and Disease." *American Review of Respiratory Disease* 112: 219–249. https://doi.org/10.1164/arrd.1975.112.2.219.

Wasserman, K., B. J. Whipp, S. N. Koyal, and M. G. Cleary. 1975. "Effect of Carotid Body Resection on Ventilatory and Acid-Base Control During Exercise." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 39, no. 3: 354–358. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1975.39.3.354.

Wasserman, K., B. J. Whipp, S. N. Koyl, and W. L. Beaver. 1973. "Anaerobic Threshold and Respiratory Gas Exchange During Exercise." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 35, no. 2: 236–243. https://doi.org/10.1152/ jappl.1973.35.2.236.

Whipp, B. J., J. A. Davis, F. Torres, and K. Wasserman. 1981. "A Test to Determine Parameters of Aerobic Function During Exercise." *Journal of Applied Physiology* 50, no. 1: 217–221. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl. 1981.50.1.217.

Whipp, B. J., J. A. Davis, and K. Wasserman. 1989. "Ventilatory Control of the 'Isocapnic Buffering' Region in Rapidly-Incremental Exercise." *Respiration Physiology* 76, no. 3: 357–367. https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-5687(89)90076-5.

Whipp, B. J., and S. A. Ward. 1982. "Cardiopulmonary Coupling During Exercise." *Journal of Experimental Biology* 100, no. 1: 175–193. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.100.1.175.