





## The Genome Sequence of *Brucella intermedia* DF13, a 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic Acid-Degrading Soil Bacterium Isolated in Brazil

© Sheila da Silva, a © Barbara Alvarenga Peckle, a, d José Roberto de Assis Ribeiro, a © Selma Soares de Oliveira, a, b Kayo Bianco, c Maysa Mandetta Clementino, c Ida Carolina Neves Direito, d © Andrew Macrae B.

Programa pós-graduação de Biotecnologia Vegetal e Bioprocessos da Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

Sheila da Silva and Barbara Alvarenga Peckle contributed equally to this work. The order of authors was determined as shown because more than one coauthored paper was submitted, so the order was divided among the submissions.

**ABSTRACT** Brucella intermedia/Ochrobactrum intermedium strain DF13 was isolated from Brazilian soil and is able to degrade 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D). Here, we report on its genome sequence, with 4,570,268 bp and a 57.8% G+C content.

**KEYWORDS** *Ochrobactrum intermedium, Brucella intermedia,* bioremediation, biodegradation, 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D), soil

embers of the genus Brucella are Gram-negative aerobic bacilli (1), belonging to the Brucellaceae family (2, 3), and are known as human and animal pathogens (2). Based on recent and thorough genome comparisons, the genus Ochrobactrum has been reclassified and its species included within the genus Brucella (4, 5). Consequently, strain DF13, originally classified as Ochrobactrum intermedium, is synonymous with Brucella intermedia. Ochrobactrum intermedium is known to biodegrade organic pollutants (6) as well as organophosphorus pesticides (7, 8). The genus Ochrobactrum was described as capable of degrading the pesticide 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) (9). Based on our interests in biodegradation, we report on this strain's genome. The strain was isolated from topsoil, at a depth of 0 to 20 cm, from Fazendinha Agroecológica-SIPA, located at 43°40′00″W and 22°44′30″S. For isolation, a dilution (10<sup>-1</sup>) of 10 g of the soil was performed with 0.1% NaCl (wt/vol), 0.1% (wt/vol) sodium pyrophosphate, and 0.1% (vol/vol) Tween 20 after incubation at 30°C and centrifuged at 180 rpm for 20 min. Then, serial dilution ( $10^{-2}$  to  $10^{-7}$ ) in 0.85% saline was performed. A total of 100  $\mu$ L of each dilution was plated on a 2,4-D, MEMB selective medium (10). The strain was maintained and grew in a minimal mineral medium with 2,4-D as the sole carbon source. A single colony grown on LB medium at 28°C was used for DNA extraction using the PureLink genomic DNA minikit (Invitrogen) and was quantified with a Oubit fluorometer.

The genomic paired-end library (2  $\times$  300 bp) with 550-bp medium-sized inserts was built using the Nextera XT DNA library preparation kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA) and sequenced on Illumina MiSeq (INCQS; Fiocruz, Manguinhos, RJ, Brazil) using the MiSeq reagent kit V3 (600 cycle). FastQC v0.11.9 (11) and Trim Galore v0.6.6 (12) tools were used to check and trim at a cutoff of >30 for quality Phred score. Genome assembly was performed combining *de novo* and mapping assembly techniques. SPAdes v3.15.0 (13) was used for the *de novo* assembly and the output was used for assembly with MeDuSa v1.6 (14) using 27 *Brucella intermedia* reference genomes available from the NCBI. CheckM v1.4.0 (15) and QUAST v.5.0.2 (16) were used to check assembly quality and contamination. Genomic annotation was made with NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) v5.3 (17–19). Taxonomic identification was

**Editor** Catherine Putonti, Loyola University Chicago

**Copyright** © 2022 Silva et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Barbara Alvarenga Peckle, barbarapeckle89@gmail.com, or Andrew Macrae, amacrae@micro.ufrj.br.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 12 November 2021 Accepted 12 March 2022 Published 28 March 2022

April 2022 Volume 11 Issue 4 10.1128/mra.01105-21

binstituto de Microbiologia Paulo de Góes da Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

cLaboratório de Micro-organismos de Referência, Instituto Nacional de Controle de Qualidade em Saúde, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, Manguinhos, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil dLaboratório de Biotecnologia Ambiental (LBA) do Centro Universitário Estadual da Zona Oeste (UEZO), Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

based on the Average Nucleotide Identity (ANI) v3.8.3, Pairwise Tetra-correlation (TETRA) v3.8.3, and Tetra Correlation Search (TCS) v3.8.3 from JSpeciesWS (20). The sequencing resulted in 1,036,905 raw reads (602,099,110 bp). SPAdes resulted in 53 contigs, and the MeDuSa assembly resulted in a genome length of the 4,570,268 bp based on 6 scaffolds with 95.7× coverage; the  $N_{50}$  value was 4,552,750 bp, and the  $L_{50}$  had 1 scaffold with a 57.8% G+C content in the QUAST result. CheckM showed 100% completeness and 0% contamination. PGAP annotation resulted in 4,342 genes: 4,281 coding DNA sequences (CDS), 5 rRNA genes, and 52 tRNAs genes. The TCS, ANI, and TETRA results were 0.9994, 98.65, and 0.99949, respectively, for *Brucella intermedia* type strain NCTC12171 (NCBI assembly accession number GCA\_900454225.1). The results were 0.98984 (TCS), 82.37 (ANIb), and 0.98984 (TETRA) for *Brucella daejeonensis* type strain DSM 26944 (NCBI assembly accession number GCA\_014199265.1). Default parameters were used for all software tools.

**Data availability.** The genome sequence has been deposited at GenBank under accession number JAIQVX000000000. Raw read data are available at SRA accession number SRR15853869, BioProject number PRJNA757421, and BioSample number SAMN20961033.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (FIOCRUZ), Centro Universitário Estadual da Zona Oeste (UEZO), the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (Capes), Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ), and the Programa de Pósgraduação em Biotecnologia Vegetal e Bioprocessos (PBV).

We claim that there are no conflicts of interest.

## **REFERENCES**

- Kassab I, Sarsam N, Affas S, Ayas M, Baang JH. 2021. A case of Ochrobactrum intermedium bacteremia secondary to cholangitis with a literature review. Cureus 13(4):e14648. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.14648.
- Scholz HC, Vergnaud G. 2013. Molecular characterisation of *Brucella* species. Rev Sci Tech 32:149–162. https://doi.org/10.20506/rst.32.1.2189.
- Pfefer TSL, Timme R, Kase JA. 2018. Identification of *Brucella* genus and eight *Brucella* species by Luminex bead-based suspension array. Food Microbiol 70:113–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2017.09.015.
- Oren A, Garrity GM. 2020. List of new names and new combinations previously effectively, but not validly, published. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 70: 4043–4049. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004244.
- Hördt A, López MG, Meier-Kolthoff JP, Schleuning M, Weinhold L-M, Tindall BJ, Gronow S, Kyrpides NC, Woyke T, Göker M. 2020. Analysis of 1,000+ type-strain genomes substantially improves taxonomic classification of Alphaproteobacteria. Front Microbiol 11:468. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00468.
- Yadav P, Yadav A, Srivastava JK, Raj A. 2021. Reduction of pollution load of tannery effluent by cell immobilization approach using *Ochrobactrum intermedium*.
  J Water Process Eng 41:102059. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2021.102059.
- Jiang B, Zhang N, Xing Y, Lian L, Chen Y, Zhang D, Li G, Sun G, Song Y. 2019. Microbial degradation of organophosphorus pesticides: novel degraders, kinetics, functional genes, and genotoxicity assessment. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int 26:21668–21681. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05135-9.
- Yu MF, Xia ZZ, Yao JC, Feng Z, Li DH, Liu T, Cheng GJ, He DL, Li XH. 2021. Functional analysis of the ocnE gene involved in nicotine-degradation pathways in Ochrobactrum intermedium SCUEC4 and its enzymatic properties. Can J Microbiol 67:138–146. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjm-2020-0118.
- Han L, Liu Y, He A, Zhao D. 2014. 16S rRNA gene phylogeny and tfdA gene analysis of 2,4-D-degrading bacteria isolated in China. World J Microbiol Biotechnol 30:2567–2576. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-014-1680-6.
- Chong N-M. 2005. Development of a tool for measuring the degradation capacity of microorganisms for a xenobiotic. Enzyme Microb Technol 37: 467–471. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2004.06.019.
- 11. Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.
- 12. Krueger F. 2015. Trim Galore: a wrapper tool around Cutadapt and FastQC

- to consistently apply quality and adapter trimming to FastQ files. https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim\_galore.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.
- Bosi E, Donati B, Galardini M, Brunetti S, Sagot M, Li P, Crescenzi P, Fani R, Fondi M. 2015. MeDuSa: a multi-draft based scaffolder. Bioinformatics 31: 2443–2451. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv171.
- Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome Res 25:1043–1055. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114.
- Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. 2013. QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 29:1072–1075. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btt086.
- 17. Li W, O'Neill KR, Haft DH, DiCuccio M, Chetvernin V, Badretdin A, Coulouris G, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Durkin AS, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Lanczycki CJ, Song JS, Thanki N, Wang J, Yamashita RA, Yang M, Zheng C, Marchler-Bauer A, Thibaud-Nissen F. 2021. RefSeq: expanding the Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline reach with protein family model curation. Nucleic Acids Res 49: D1020–D1028. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa1105.
- Haft DH, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Brover V, Chetvernin V, O'Neill K, Li W, Chitsaz F, Derbyshire MK, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Lu F, Marchler GH, Song JS, Thanki N, Yamashita RA, Zheng C, Thibaud-Nissen F, Geer LY, Marchler-Bauer A, Pruitt KD. 2018. RefSeq: an update on prokaryotic genome annotation and curation. Nucleic Acids Res 46:D851–D860. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1068.
- Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkw569.
- Richter M, Rosselló-Móra R, Glöckner FO, Peplies J. 2016. JSpeciesWS: a web server for prokaryotic species circumscription based on pairwise genome comparison. Bioinformatics 32:929–931. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv681.

April 2022 Volume 11 Issue 4 10.1128/mra.01105-21