ORIGINAL RESEARCH

WILEY

Serum neurofilament light chain in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy

Tomohiro Hayashi¹ | Takamasa Nukui¹ | Jin-Lan Piao¹ | Tomoyuki Sugimoto² | Ryoko Anada¹ | Noriyuki Matsuda¹ | Mamoru Yamamoto¹ | Hirofumi Konishi¹ | Nobuhiro Dougu¹ | Yuji Nakatsuji¹

¹Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Toyama, Toyama, Japan

²Graduate School of Data Science, Shiga University, Shiga, Japan

Correspondence

Yuji Nakatsuji, Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Toyama, 2630 Sugitani, Toyama 930-0194, Japan. Email: nakatsuj@med.u-toyama.ac.jp

Funding information

Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare of Japan; Practical Research Project for Rare/ Intractable Diseases of the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED)

Abstract

Objectives: Neurofilament light chain (NfL) levels have been suggested as reflecting axonal damage in various inflammatory and neurodegenerative disorders, including acquired peripheral neuropathies. We aimed to investigate if serum NfL (sNfL) levels can be a biomarker of disease activity and treatment response in patients with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP).

Materials and methods: The sNfL levels of eleven newly diagnosed patients with CIDP were retrospectively assayed and compared with seven healthy volunteers. The levels were assayed before and after intravenous immunoglobulin treatment in patients with CIDP and were also assayed in the remission period.

Results: Baseline sNfL levels in patients with CIDP before treatment were significantly higher than those in healthy controls. The levels significantly decreased overtime after one month of treatment and in remission period. There were significant negative correlations between the sNfL levels and the disease duration (the interval between the onset of the disease and the time of sampling), and weak correlations between the sNfL levels and overall neuropathy limitations scale.

Conclusions: sNfL may be a potential biomarker reflecting the disease activity in patients with CIDP.

KEYWORDS

biomarker, chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, neurofilament light chain

1 | INTRODUCTION

Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) is the most common immune-mediated neuropathy with a prevalence ranging from one to nine per 100,000 (Dalakas, 2011). CIDP typically presents with a progressive or relapsing course for more than eight weeks with both distal and proximal motor and sensory deficits. However, it is composed of clinically heterogeneous disorders with

different phenotypic variants. According to the European Federation of Neurological Societies/Peripheral Nerve Society (EFNS/PNS) Guideline, several variants such as multifocal acquired demyelinating sensory and motor (MADSAM or Lewis-Sumner syndrome), pure motor or sensory, and distal acquired demyelinating symmetric (DADS) polyneuropathy are included in CIDP (Van den Bergh et al., 2010). Randomized controlled trials have demonstrated that approximately two-thirds of patients with CIDP improve with corticosteroids,

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2021 The Authors. Brain and Behavior published by Wiley Periodicals LLC

VILEV_Brain and Behavior

immunoglobulins, and plasmapheresis. Maintenance therapy with intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) can induce sustained remission and prevent further axonal loss (Dalakas, 2011). Although a few biomarkers were reported to treatment response to IVIg such as the inhibitory $Fc\gamma$ RIIb on B cells and transient axonal glycoprotein-1 (TAG-1), no established biomarkers indicative of disease activity or predictive of therapeutic responses for patients with CIDP have been reported (lijima et al., 2009; Tackenberg et al., 2009).

Neurofilaments are the primary cytoskeletal proteins of neurons in both the central nervous system (CNS) and the peripheral nervous system (PNS), and form a lattice comprising neurofilament light (NfL), medium, and heavy chains (Perrot et al., 2008). As neuronal damage leads to the release of these proteins into the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and plasma, increased concentrations of NfL have been reported in neurological diseases such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), multiple sclerosis (MS), and Alzheimer disease (AD) (Disanto et al., 2017; Mattsson et al., 2017; Rosengren et al., 1996). In addition, NfL has recently been recognized as a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker of such neurological disorders (Bridel et al., 2019; Gaetani et al., 2019; Khalil et al., 2018; Zetterberg, 2016). An increase in the levels of sNfL has been reported recently with regard to peripheral neuropathy, and sNfL is suggested to be a novel biomarker of disease activity in patients with CIDP and Guillain-Barre syndrome (Altmann et al., 2020; Bischof et al., 2017; Gwen et al., 2019; Mariotto et al., 2018; Sandelius et al., 2018).

In this report, we assayed sNfL in patients with treatment-naive CIDP before and after treatment with IVIg and also during remission. Correlations between sNfL levels and disease activity, treatment responses, and clinical features including laboratory data and neurophysiological findings were also investigated.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Patients

Eleven patients who have been diagnosed with definite CIDP for the first time according to the EFNS/PNS 2010 criteria were studied retrospectively (Van den Bergh et al., 2010). MADSAM was defined as a typical mononeuropathy multiplex or asymmetry of symptoms, which was determined as differences in muscle strength by one or more Medical Research Council (MRC) scales in the homonymous muscles (Kuwabara et al., 2015). Demographic and clinical features, including disease duration and clinical severity assessed by the overall neuropathy limitations scale (ONLS), were collected (Graham & Hughes, 2006; Kuitwaard et al., 2015). Seven age-matched healthy controls (HC) without a medical history of neurological diseases were included (Table 1). Patients were categorized into the following three groups: 1. Pretreatment group: newly diagnosed patients with CIDP starting treatment with IVIg for the first time (n = 11). 2. Post-treatment group: patients with CIDP one month after the first treatment with IVIg (n = 7). 3. Remission group: patients in long-term remission who

had been off treatment or oral prednisolone or immunosuppressant for longer than six months (n = 9). This study was performed with the approval of the Ethics Committee of the University of Toyama (approval No. 29–32). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

2.2 | Treatment

Eleven patients with CIDP received IVIg therapy. IVIg was administered using a conventional protocol (0.4 g/kg for 5 days). Treatment was considered effective when the symptoms improved by one or more on ONLS within four weeks after IVIg treatment.

2.3 | Neurophysiological study

All patients underwent nerve conduction studies to confirm the diagnosis of CIDP. As an electrophysiological proxy marker of axonal damage, we used the lowest (left or right) summated distal compound muscle action potential (CMAP) negative peak area of the median, ulnar, and tibial nerves (Gwen et al., 2019).

2.4 | NfL assay

Serum and CSF samples were centrifuged at room temperature, aliquoted in polypropylene tubes within 1 hr of collection, and then stored at -80°C until use. The concentration of NfL protein was determined in duplicates by investigators blinded to the clinical data using an HD-1 immunoassay analyzer (Quanterix, Simoa, Lexington, MA, the United States of America), which runs ultrasensitive paramagnetic bead-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays.

2.5 | Statistical analyses

A rank-based nonparametric test (Mann–Whitney's U test) and Welch's *t* test were used for the patients with CIDP and controls, correlations were determined using nonparametric Spearman's correlation coefficient, and the corresponding plots were drawn on logarithmic scale. Longitudinal time-effects were analyzed by trend test based on linear mixed regression model. Statistically significant differences were determined at a 5% level of probability. The statistical analysis was performed using JMP[®]14 (SAS Institute Inc.), or R version 4.0.2 (R Core Team, R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

3 | RESULTS

Eleven patients with CIDP and seven healthy controls were enrolled in this study. Patient demographics are shown in Table 1. TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics of patients with CIDP and HC

	CIDP patients			
	Pre-IVIg	Post-IVIg	Remission	НС
n (Male/Female)	11 (5/6)	7 (3/4)	9 (5/4)	7 (4/3)
Age, year; median (IQR)	59.6 (53.5-71.1)		61.8 (53.8-72.0)	58.0 (46.0-62.0)
Disease duration, week; median (IQR)	16.0 (8.0–28.0)		81.0 (72.9–153.0)	
CIDP subtype, n				
Typical:Atypical	7:4	4:3	6:3	
Combined treatment				
Prednisolone	<i>n</i> = 1 (2.5 mg)	n = 2 (2.5–10 mg)	n = 3 (5-10 mg)	
Serum NfL value, pg/ml; median (IQR), mean (±SD)	23.6 (18.0–169.1), 166.6 (337.8)	23.7 (17.3–170.5), 196.0 (345.8)	12.4 (10.2–27.9), 33.3 (42.1)	10.1 (8.2–13.6), 12.2 (6.3)
CSF NfL value, pg/ml; median (IQR)	826.5 (638.2–1329.9)			
ONLS; median (IQR) ^a	5.0 (3.0-6.0)	3.0 (1.5-5.5)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	
grip strength, kilogram; mean (±SD)ª	12.3 (8.3)	17.1 (10.2)	22.4 (8.3)	
MRC sum score; median (IQR) ^a	54.0 (52.5-56.5)	60.0 (54.5-60.0)	60.0 (60.0)	
Summated distal CMAP negative peak area, mVms; median (IQR)	30.3 (24.2-61.1)	32.7 (24.1-41.6)	56.7 (49.0-58.5)	

Abbreviations: CIDP, chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy; CMAP, compound muscle action potential; CSF cerebrospinal fluid; EFNS/ PNS, European Federation of Neurological Societies/Peripheral Nerve Society; HC, healthy control; IQR, interquartile range; IVIg, intravenous immunoglobulin; MADSAM, multifocal acquired demyelinating sensory and motor; MRC sum score, Medical Research Council sum score; NfL, neurofilament light chain; ONLS, Overall Neuropathy Limitations Scale.

^aThe levels of ONSL (p = .003), grip strength (p = .0016), MRC sum score (p = .0010) significantly improved overtime (Mixed-effect Regression model (repeated-ANOVA trend test)).

The cohort consisted of patients with typical CIDP (n = 7), MADSAM (n = 3), and pure sensory (n = 1). The median disease duration before blood sampling was 16.0 weeks (interquartile range [IQR]:8.0-28.0). No patients received prior therapy for CIDP, though one patient had been received 2.5 mg of prednisolone for the treatment of idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura at pre-IVIg sampling. The median ONLS of the pretreatment, post-IVIg, and remission groups were 5.0 (IQR: 3.0-6.0), 3.0 (IQR: 1.5-5.5), and 2.0 (IQR: 1.0-3.0), respectively (Table 1). The sNfL levels were significantly correlated with cerebrospinal fluid NfL (CSF NfL) at pre-IVIg sampling (n = 11, Spearman's rho = 0.78, p = .004) (Figure 1). The sNfL levels were significantly higher in the CIDP pretreatment group (mean 166.6 \pm 337.8 (SD) pg/mL than in the healthy controls (mean 12.2 \pm 6.3 pg/ml) (Welch's t test, p =.015) (Figure 2). The sNfL levels significantly decreased overtime after one month of treatment and in remission period (Mixed-effect Regression model (repeated-ANOVA trend test, p = .002)) (Figure 3). The levels of ONLS, grip strength, and MRC sum score also significantly improved overtime after one month of treatment and in remission period (trend test based on linear mixed regression model), ONLS: p = .0003, grip power: p = .0016, MRC sum score: p = .0010) (Table 1).

Next, we examined whether there were correlations between sNfL levels and disease activity, treatment responses, and



Brain and Behavior

FIGURE 1 Correlation between the serum and the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) neurofilament light chain (NfL) levels in patients with treatment-naïve CIDP. The sNfL levels significantly correlated with CSF NfL. Correlation is presented with Spearman's ρ and *p*-value

clinical features, including laboratory data and neurophysiological findings. A significant negative correlation was observed between sNfL and disease duration (n = 11, Spearman's rho = -0.70,

/II FV-



FIGURE 2 sNfL levels in patients with treatment-naïve CIDP (Pre-IVIg) and in healthy controls (HC). Closed squares and horizontal bars represent group means and group medians, respectively. The sNfL levels were significantly higher in the CIDP pretreatment group than in HC. Correlation is presented with Welch's *t* test and *p*-value



FIGURE 3 Changes in sNfL levels in each individual patient with CIDP at different disease phases of Pre-IVIg, Post-IVIg, and remission. The sNfL levels significantly decreased overtime after one month of treatment and in remission period. Closed squares represent means. Longitudinal time-effects are presented with trend test based on linear mixed regression model and *p*-value

p = .016) (Figure 4a). There was a correlation between sNfL and ONLS, though it was not significant (n = 11, Spearman's rho = 0.49, p = .122) (Figure 4b). There were no correlations between the sNfL levels and CIDP subtype (typical/atypical), age, grip strength, MRC sum score, and summated distal CMAP negative peak area (Table 2).

4 | DISCUSSION

In the present study of patients with CIDP in three different phases, significant increase in the sNfL levels was observed in patients with treatment-naïve CIDP who were supposed to have high disease activity. This result is in line with a previous report (Mariotto et al., 2018). The sNfL levels tended to decrease in the post-IVIg and remission groups significantly compared to those in the pre-IVIg group, which seems at least in part to reflect the treatment response. On the other hand, the timing of NfL measurement could influence its concentration, especially in relation to the latest exacerbation and treatment (Faravelli et al., 2020; Gaetani et al., 2019; Wurster et al., 2019). CSF NfL levels in patients with multiple sclerosis, for example, is thought to remain high for two to three months after relapse before dropping to lower levels after treatment, and this seems to apply to sNfL in CIDP as well (Gaetani et al., 2019). The levels of sNfL at post-IVIg period might have been more significantly decreased if we took blood sample 2 to 3 months after treatments with IVIg. The significant increase in the levels of sNfL seems to imply an underlying severe neuroaxonal damage during the acute phase of patients with treatment-naïve CIDP. It would be better to assay sNfL at more specific time points chronologically to reveal the dynamics of axonal damage.

The levels of sNfL reflect neuroaxonal damage, and treatment with IVIg could repair axonal damage (Khalil et al., 2018). However, no correlation between the levels of sNfL and summated distal CMAP negative peak area was detected. As the sNfL levels of patients in remission tended to be lower than those in the post-IVIg group, a more extended observation period might be necessary to repair neuroaxonal damage and detect correlations between the sNfL levels and electrophysiological examination.

Recent studies have clarified that the mechanisms of neuropathy in patients with IgG4 autoantibodies against paranodal junction components such as neurofascin 155 (NF155) are different from those in conventional CIDP patients with macrophage-induced demyelination (Koike et al., 2017; Koike & Katsuno, 2020). Although we did not examine anti-NF155 antibody in our study, the levels of NfL may be higher in patients with this antibody than in those with conventional CIDP because severer axonal degeneration is suggested to occur in the former. The analysis based on the positivity of anti-NF155 antibody is of interest.

This study had several limitations in that it was a hospital-based cross-sectional study, and the sample size was small.

In summary, our results suggest that sNfL may be a potential biomarker for assisting the diagnosis and evaluating the activity of CIDP. Further studies are needed to clarify the significance of sNfL as a biomarker for CIDP.

FIGURE 4 Correlation between the sNfL levels in patients with treatmentnaïve CIDP (Pre-IVIg) and disease duration (the interval between new-onset episode and serum collection; week) (a) and Overall Neuropathy Limitations Scale (ONLS) (b). A significant negative correlation was observed between sNfL and disease duration, and there was a correlation between sNfL and ONLS, though it was not significant. Correlation is presented with Spearman's p and p-value



TABLE 2Correlation analyses between sNfL levels in treatment-
naïve patients with CIDP at pre-IVIg and disease activity, treatment
responses, and clinical features

		sNfL (Pre-IVIg)
CIDP subtype, <i>n</i> typical : atypical	7:4	p = .257
Age, year ^a	59.6 (53.5-71.1)	r = 0.30 p =.370
Disease duration, week ^a	16.0 (8.0-28.0)	r = -0.70 p = .016
ONLSª	5.0 (3.0-6.0)	r = 0.49 p =.122
Grip strength; kg, mean (SD)	12.3 (8.3)	r = −0.31 p = .347
MRC sum score ^a	54.0 (52.5-56.5)	r = -0.38 p = .250
Summated distal CMAP negative peak area, mVms ^a	30.3 (24.2-61.1)	r = -0.28 p = .401

Abbreviations: CIDP, chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy; CMAP, compound muscle action potential; IQR, interquartile range; IVIg, intravenous immunoglobulin; MRC sum score, Medical Research Council sum score; ONLS, Overall Neuropathy Limitations Scale; *SD*, standard deviation; sNfL, serum neurofilament light chain.

^aMedian (IQR).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported in part by the Health and Labour Sciences Research Grant on Rare and Intractable Diseases from the Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare of Japan and by the Practical Research Project for Rare/Intractable Diseases of the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest associated with this manuscript.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

T.H, T.N., J-L.P, and Y.N. took part in the study design. R.A., N.M., M.Y., H.K., and N.D. performed the clinical evaluation. T.H. and T.N. analyzed the data. T.S. performed the statistical evaluation. All authors contributed to the interpretation of data. T.H. wrote the manuscript draft, which was critically revised and finally approved by all authors. Y.N. supervised the study.

PEER REVIEW

The peer review history for this article is available at https://publo ns.com/publon/10.1002/brb3.2084.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Tomohiro Hayashi ២ https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0463-9069

REFERENCES

- Altmann, P., De Simoni, D., Kaider, A., Ludwig, B., Rath, J., Leutmezer, F., Zimprich, F., Hoeftberger, R., Lunn, M. P., Heslegrave, A., Berger, T., Zetterberg, H., & Rommer, P. S. (2020). Increased serum neurofilament light chain concentration indicates poor outcome in Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*, 17(1), 86. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12974-020-01737-0
- Bischof, A., Maniqold, T., Barro, C., Heijnen, I., Berger, C. T., Derfuss, T., Kuhle, J., & Daikeler, T. (2017). Serum neurofilament light chain: A biomarker of neuronal injury in vasculitic neuropathy. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases*, 77, 1093–1094. https://doi.org/10.1136/annrheumdis-2017-212045
- Bridel, C., van Wleringen, W. N., Zetterberg, H., Tijms, B. M., Teunissen,
 C. E., Alvarez-Cermeño, J. C., Andreasson, U., Axelsson, M.,
 Bäckström, D. C., Bartos, A., Bjerke, M., Blennow, K., Boxer, A.,
 Brundin, L., Burman, J., Christensen, T., Fialová, L., Forsgren, L.,
 Frederiksen, J. L., ... Wild, E. J. (2019). Diagnostic value of cerebrospinal fluid neurofilament light protein in neurology: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Neurology, 76(9), 1035–1048. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.1534
- Dalakas, M. C. (2011). Advances in the diagnosis, pathogenesis and treatment of CIDP. *Nature Reviews Neurology*, 7, 507–517. https://doi. org/10.1038/nrneurol.2011.121
- Disanto, G., Barro, C., Benkert, P., Naegelin, Y., Schädelin, S., Giardiello, A., Zecca, C., Blennow, K., Zetterberg, H., Leppert, D., Kappos, L., Gobbi, C., & Kuhle, J. (2017). Serum neurofilament light: A biomarker of neuronal damage in multiple sclerosis. *Annals of Neurology*, *81*, 857–870. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.24954
- Faravelli, I., Meneri, M., Saccomanno, D. et al. (2020). Nusinersen treatment and cerebrospinal fluid neurofilaments: An explorative study

WILEY_Brain and Behavior

on Spinal Muscular Atrophy type 3. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, 24, 3034–3039.

- Gaetani, L., Blennow, K., Calabresi, P., Di Filippo, M., Parnetti, L., & Zetterberg, H. (2019). Neurofilament light chain as a biomarker in neurological disorders. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 90, 870–881. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2018-320106
- Graham, R. C., & Hughes, R. A. (2006). A modified peripheral neuropathy scale: The overall neuropathy limitations scale. *Journal of Neurology*, *Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 77, 973–976. https://doi.org/10.1136/ jnnp.2005.081547
- Iijima, M., Tomita, M., Morozumi, S., Kawagashira, Y., Nakamura, T., Koike, H., Katsuno, M., Hattori, N., Tanaka, F., Yamamoto, M., & Sobue, G. (2009). Single nucleotide polymorphism of TAG-1 influences IVIg responsiveness of Japanese patients with CIDP. *Neurology*, 73, 1348– 1352. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181bd1139
- Khalil, M., Teunissen, C. E., Otto, M., Piehl, F., Sormani, M. P., Gattringer, T., Barro, C., Kappos, L., Comabella, M., Fazekas, F., Petzold, A., Blennow, K., Zetterberg, H., & Kuhle, J. (2018). Neurofilaments as biomarkers in neurological disorders. *Nature Reviews. Neurology*, 14, 577–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41582-018-0058-z
- Koike, H., Kadoya, M., Kaida, K., Ikeda, S., Kawagashira, Y., Iijima, M., Kato, D., Ogata, H., Yamasaki, R., Matsukawa, N., Kira, J.-I., Katsuno, M., & Sobue, G. (2017). Paranodal dissection in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy with anti-neurofascin-155 and anti-contactin-1 antibodies. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry*, 88, 465–473. https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2016-314895
- Koike, H., & Katsuno, M. (2020). Pathophysiology of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: Insight into classification and therapeutic strategy. *Neurology and Therapy*, 9, 213–227.
- Kuitwaard, K., Hahn, A. F., Vermeulen, M. et al. (2015). Intravenous immunoglobulin response in treatment-naïve chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Journal of Neurology*, *Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 86, 1331–1336.
- Kuwabara, S., Isose, S., Mori, M. et al. (2015). Different electrophysiological profiles and treatment response in typical and atypical chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneropathy. *Journal of Neurology*, *Neurosurgery and Psychiatry*, 86, 1054–1059.
- Mariotto, S., Farinazzo, A., Maqliozzi, R., Alberti, D., Monaco, S., & Ferrari, S. (2018). Serum and cerebrospinal neurofilament light chain levels in patients with acquired peripheral neuropathies. *Journal of the Peripheral Nervous System*, 23, 174–177. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jns.12279
- Mattsson, N., Andreasson, U., Zetterberg, H., Blennow, K. (2017). Association of plasma neurofilament light with neurodegeneration in patients with Alzheimer disease. JAMA Neurology, 74(5), 557–566. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.6117
- Perrot, R., Berges, R., Bocquet, A., & Eyer, J. (2008). Review of the multiple aspects of neurofilament functions, and their possible

contribution to neurodegeneration. *Molecular Neurobiology*, *38*, 27-65. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-008-8033-0

- Rosengren, L. E., Karlsson, J. E., Karlsson, J. O., Adiutori, R., Malaspina, A., Laura, M., Reilly, M. M., & Rossor, A. M. (1996). Patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and other neurodegenerative diseases have increased levels of neurofilament protein in CSF. *Journal of Neurochemistry*, 67, 2013–2018. https://doi.org/10.1046/ j.1471-4159.1996.67052013.x
- Sandelius, A., Zetterberg, H., Blennow, K., Adiutori, R., Malaspina, A., Laura, M., Reilly, M. M., & Rossor, A. M. (2018). Plasma neurofilament light chain concentration in the inherited peripheral neuropathies. *Neurology*, 90, e518–e524. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000 00000004932
- Tackenberg, B., Jelcic, I., Baerenwaldt, A. et al. (2009). Impaired inhibitory Fcγ receptor IIB expression on B cells in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106, 4788–4792.
- Van den Bergh, P. Y., Hadden, R. D., Bouche, P., Cornblath, D. R., Hahn, A., Illa, I., Koski, C. L., Léger, J.-M., Nobile-Orazio, E., Pollard, J., Sommer, C., Van Doorn, P. A., & Van Schaik, I. N. (2010). European Federation of Neurological Societies/Peripheral Nerve Society Guideline on management of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy:Report of a joint task force of the European Federation of Neurological Societies and the Peripheral Nerve Society - First Revision. European Journal of Neurology, 17(3), 356–363. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2009.02930.x
- van Gwen, G. A., Wieske, L., Verhamme, C., Vrancken, A. F. J., Doorn, P. A., Michalak, Z., Barro, C., Schaik, I. N., Kuhle, J., & Eftimov, F. (2019). Serum neurofilament light chain in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Journal of the Peripheral Nervous System*, 24, 187– 194. https://doi.org/10.1111/jns.12319
- Wurster, C. D., Gunther, R., Steinacker, P., Dreyhaupt, J., Wollinsky, K., Uzelac, Z., Witzel, S., Kocak, T., Winter, B., Koch, J. C., Lingor, P., Petri, S., Ludolph, A. C., Hermann, A., & Otto, M. (2019). Neurochemical markers in CSF of adolescent and adult SMA patients undergoing nusinersen treatment. *Therapeutic Advances in Neurological Disorders*, 12, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1177/1756286419846058
- Zetterberg, H. (2016). Neurofilament light: A dynamic cross-disease fluid biomarker for neurodegeneration. *Neuron*, 91, 1–3. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neuron.2016.06.030

How to cite this article: Hayashi T, Nukui T, Piao J-L,

et al. Serum neurofilament light chain in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Brain Behav.* 2021;11:e02084. https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.2084