

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Ampofo AG, Adumatta AD, Owusu E, Awuviry-Newton K (2020) A cross-sectional study of barriers to cervical cancer screening uptake in Ghana: An application of the health belief model. PLoS ONE 15(4): e0231459. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0231459

Editor: Ali Montazeri, Iranian Institute for Health Sciences Research, ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN

Received: August 20, 2019

Accepted: March 24, 2020

Published: April 30, 2020

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231459

Copyright: © 2020 Ampofo et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data may compromise the privacy of study participants and are therefore only available upon request. Due to these conditions, interested researchers can **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

A cross-sectional study of barriers to cervical cancer screening uptake in Ghana: An application of the health belief model

Ama G. Ampofo^{1,2,3}*, Afia D. Adumatta¹, Esther Owusu¹, Kofi Awuviry-Newton^{4‡}

1 Department of Nursing, Garden City University College, Kumasi, Ghana, 2 Health Behaviour Research Collaborative, Priority Research Centre for Health Behaviour, School of Medicine and Public Health, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, Australia, 3 Hunter Medical Research Institute, New Lambton Heights, Australia, 4 Priority Research Centre for Generational Health and Ageing, Faculty of Health and Medicine, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, Australia

• These authors contributed equally to this work.

‡ These authors also contributed equally to this work.

* tyzy2009@hotmail.com

Abstract

Background

The high incidence (32.9, age-standardized per 100,000) and mortality (23.0, age-standardized per 100,000) of cervical cancer (CC) in Ghana have been largely attributed to low screening uptake (0.8%). Although the low cost (Visual inspection with acetic acid) screening services available at various local health facilities screening uptake is meager.

Objective

The purpose of the study is to determine the barriers influencing CC screening among women in the Ashanti Region of Ghana using the health belief model.

Methods

A analytical cross-sectional study design was conducted between January and March 2019 at Kenyase, the Ashanti Region of Ghana. The study employed self-administered questionnaires were used to collect data from 200 women. Descriptive statistics were used to examine the differences in interest and non-interest in participating in CC screening on barriers affecting CC screening. Multivariable logistic regression was used to determine factors affecting CC screening at a significance level of p<0.05.

Results

Unemployed women were less likely to have an interest in CC screening than those who were employed (adjustes odds ratio (aOR) = 0.005, 95%CI:0.001-0.041, p = 0.005). Women who were highly educated were 122 times very likely to be interested in CC screening than those with no or low formal education (aOR = 121.91595%CI: 14.096-1054.469, p<0.001) and those who were unmarried were less likely to be interested in CC screening than those with those who were married (aOR = 0.124, 95%CI: 0.024-0.647, p = 0.013).

access to the underlying data by sending an e-mail request through the Garden City University College board (contact at christa@gcuc.edu.gh) to the data holder, the correspnding author, Ama G. Ampofo (ama.ampofo@uon.edu.au).

Funding: No specific funding for this work

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Also, perceived threat, perceived benefits, perceived barriers and cues for action showed significant differences with interest in participating in screening with a P-values <0.003. The association was different for long waiting time, prioritizing early morning and late evening screening which showed no significant difference (P-value > 0.003).

Conclusions

Married women, unemployed and those with no formal education are less likely to participate in CC screening. The study details significant barriers to cervical cancer screening uptake in Ghana. It is recommended that the Ghana health services should develop appropriate, culturally tailored educational materials to inform individuals with no formal education through health campaigns in schools, churches and communities to enhance CC screening uptake.

Introduction

The incidence and mortality of cervical cancer in low-and lower-middle-income countries (LLMIC) continue to rise, including sub-Saharan African[1]. According to Globocan (2018), the age-standardized incidence and mortality rate per 100,000 women of cervical cancer (CC) in LLMIC countries is 28.8 and 22.1 making CC the leading cause of cancer-related mortality in these countries [1]. Ghana, one of the sub-Saharan countries, has a high age-standardized incidence and mortality rate of 32.9 and 23.0 per 100,000, respectively. These estimates make cervical cancer the most frequently diagnosed cancer after breast cancer (43.0, age-standardized per 100,000 women) and the leading cause of cancer deaths amongst women in Ghana [1].

Despite the burden of cervical cancer in sub-Saharan Africa few interventions such as mass media campaigns, special education and reminders have been used to create awareness of primary and secondary prevention of cervical cancer including screening [2]. No policies towards national screening programmes currently exist in these countries, and a similar situation is seen in Ghana. Thus if steps are not taken, it may lead to the estimated 5-year prevalence of CC (46.4, age standardized rate per 100,000) across all ages [1].

Although population-based cervical screening and guidelines have resulted in a substantial decline in the burden of CC in developed countries, the lack of screening programs contributes to the high risk of CC in sub-Saharan Africa [3-6]. In Ghana, the non-existent national screening program for CC [6], most of the time, is attributed to lack of funds, limited qualified personnel, and infrastructure for the development of widespread screening initiatives [7]. This deficit has resulted in CC screening test such as Pap smear or visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA) services being offered on local uncoordinated basis. In other words, it is considered as an opportunistic screening, where doctors request Pap smear and VIA for patients in clinics and hospitals either as part of general medical examination or for consultations related or unrelated to CC [8]. In addition to this, government and private hospitals have trained nurses and doctors who conduct CC screening, especially in various family planning units as a routine before the insertion of an intrauterine device (IUD). Furthermore, organized screening by benevolent organizations is done occasionally in various communities in Ghana. Despite the multiple avenues for CC screening, uptake for these services are very low [8-10] with participation rates lower than 3% in Ghana [11]. Therefore, the evidence regarding screening uptake in Ghana remains very scarce.

Given the evidence that CC screening has accounted for a significant decline in mortality in some countries, well-coordinated programs are vital in achieving such outcomes [12, 13]. High-income countries have seen a successful decrease in CC incidence and mortality, and

this has been greatly attributed to well patronized preventive screening programs [1]. For instance, the United Kingdom has experienced a significant 70% drop in mortality over the years, with 70–73% screening uptake between [14]. Also, a 60% reduction in mortality was seen in the Netherlands; where invitations for screening are sent out annually to eligible women to increase participation [15]. Moreover, since the introduction of Papanicolaou (Pap) smear-based screening in the United States, the incidence rate of CC among white and African-American women has dramatically decreased by over 50% and 70% respectively. [16].

Previous studies conducted in Ghana have established the inadequate knowledge about cervical cancer risk factors and screening amongst women, leads to low self-perception of risk on the disease and low patronage of screening services. For instance, Ebu et al. [17] reported a high number (93.6%) and (97.7%) of women in the central region of Ghana had inadequate knowledge of the risk factors and screening of cervical cancer respectively. On the contrary, 85.6% of college students in Ghana were aware of cervical cancer. Therefore the knowledge level of the disease amongst women in Ghana remains unclear [18].

Although the high incidence of cervical cancer exists, low CC screening uptake, little knowledge on cervical cancer and various factors may contribute to the low interest in CC screening in Ghana. According to the health belief model (HBM), one of the most widely used health behavioural models due to its ability to understand health behaviour, modifying factors such as sociodemographic characteristics, knowledge of the disease, perceptions threat (susceptibility and seriousness), benefits, barriers and self-efficacy of the illness, behaviour and its cues for action are likely to influence a particular behaviour [19]. In systematic reviews across countries including sub-Saharan regions, low awareness of CC, perceived barriers like cultural beliefs, perceived fear of screening procedure and adverse outcome, societal stigmatisation, embarrassment, and lack of spousal support have been largely attributed to CC screening [20–25]. This evidence suggests that literature perceived threat, benefits and self-efficacy of cervical cancer is limited in sub-Saharan countries.

In Ghana, a qualitative study investigated only the psychological barriers affecting CC screening [26]. Although Ebu et al. [17] assessed the barriers of CC screening in the Central Region of Ghana, this study could determine the associated factors with interesting participating in CC cancer such as sociodemographic characteristics (education, marital status and occupation). Moreover, the current study can find the association between CC screening interest and barriers to screening, applying thethe health belief model. Therefore the evidence regarding barriers to CC screening remains unclear.

To best of the authors' knowledge, no empirical study has investigated women's behaviours towards CC screening in the Kenyase, Ashanti region of Ghana given the evidence that CC incidence and mortality is more common in this area [27]. More to this evidence there exist a differential cultural belief is prevalent across regions in Ghana. This study sought to determine the 1) uptake and interest in CC screening, 2) knowledge level of CC, 3) barriers influencing interest in CC screening, 4) association between sociodemographic characteristics and CC screening uptake under the lens of the health belief model among women in Ashanti region of Ghana. The findings will provide an insights into factors affecting CC screening participation and appropriate ways of targeting educational interventions amongst women in Ghana.

Materials and methods

Study setting and population

The study was conducted at Kenyase in the Kwabre District in the Ashanti region in Ghana. Kenyase shares boundaries with Duase, Bouhban, and Bosore. Akans are the predominant ethnic group with a smaller proportion of the population originating from outside ethnic Akan. Kenyase has quite a large number of people, which is about 5000. A significant activity of the area is petty trading. The study population consisted of reproductive women in Kenyase environs, including Market places and schools.

Study design and period

The analytical cross-sectional study design was used to conduct the study between January and March 2019 in Kenyase, a suburb of the Ashanti Region of Ghana.

Sampling size and sampling procedure

A sample size of 245 was calculated using 5% error, 95% confidence interval using the formula below [28]:

$$n=\frac{z^2\times pq}{e^2}$$

Where;

n = minimum sample size.

z = confidence interval at 95%, 1.96.

p = estimated proportion of CC screening uptake in Ghana, 0.2.

q = 1-p.

e = margin of error at 5%.

The sample size (n) was calculated as follows;

$$n = \frac{1.96^2 \times 0.2(1 - 0.2)}{0.05^2}$$

n = 246

Convenience sampling where random shops and houses in the community under study were chosen and visited until the predetermined number of surveys were completed.

Data collection procedure

Well-structured self-administered questionnaires, which required about 20 minutes, were developed. The description of the questionnaire was as follows:

The sociodemographic characteristics consisted of age, occupation, educational background, religion, marital status and number of children, family history of cancer. The participants' beliefs about CC and screening were measured by 37 items based on constructs adapted from the HBM, as shown in Fig 1. These included four subscales of HBM: perceived threat, perceived benefits, perceived barriers and cue for action. The items were arranged as section B was for awareness of CC with 12 response items. Section C-the perceived threat of CC referred to woman's perception about the chances of getting cervical cancer and beliefs concerning the severity of cervical cancer screening. Section D—perceived benefits of cervical cancer screening. Section E—perceived barriers to cervical cancer screening were categories into psychosocial barriers, socioeconomic barriers, and healthcare system barriers. Section G—cues for action. For each item, the respondents were asked to answer yes, no or I don't know.

The pre-test was conducted among fifteen (15) women within age considered in a different community not selected for the study to ensure the contents of the questionnaire was clear. The questions were piloted using a one-on-one interview with women by the study researchers. The Cronbach alpha, which is a measure of internal consistency ranging from 0.70 to 1.00, with high coefficients indicating high levels of reliability, was used to determine the validity and the reliability of the questionnaire. The Cronbach alpha of most of the questions was



Fig 1. Health belief model components and linkages.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231459.g001

0.934. Appropriate changes were made to modify the questionnaire after the pilot study. The entire questionnaire was also available in English. The questionnaire was then personally delivered to the women in paper form by the research assistants. In situations where participants were illiterates, the researchers explained the questions to them in the local dialect (Twi).

Data analysis

The data were analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) version 21.0. The results are presented with tables. The dependent variables were "the interest in participating in CC screening" and "have you been screened before" measured as "Yes" or "No" and presented as frequencies (percentages). With regards to assessing participants knowledge on cervical cancer, scoring was one '1' for each correct response and zero '0' for the wrong answer. The analysis of awareness and knowledge score was ranked as high if the total score is 6–9, fair if the overall score is 3–5 and low if the total score is less than 2. Pearson chi-square test was used to show the differences between proportions of individuals who were interested or not interested in participating in CC screening on the HBM subscales (perceived threat, perceived benefits, perceived barriers and cue for action). The significance level was set at p < 0.003 (Bonferroni's correction was used to adjust the significance level due to multiple comparisons). The independent variables were categorized as; the educational background was classified as high formal (tertiary graduates), low formal (primary, junior and senior high school), no formal education (illiterates). Marital status was married or unmarried (single, widowed, divorced and informal union). The number of children was none or more than or equal to one. Religion was categorized as Christian or Muslim, and family history was indicated as "Yes" or "No". Bivariate analysis was used to examine the relationship between the dependent variable (interest in participating in screening) and the explanatory variables. Variables that were not significant were dropped from the model

while those found to be significant were entered in multivariable logistic regression analysis. Crude odds ratio were adjusted a priori for age, family history, religious status, and number of children to identify factors influencing CC screening. Significance was set at p-value <0.05.

Ethical approval

Approval was obtained from Garden City University College after the internal review board reviewed the proposal of the study. Also, an introductory letter was sent to the Unit Committee leader of the community and the queen mother of Kenyase. The proposal of the research was also reviewed by the committee leader of the community and consent was given to proceed with the study. Written consent of the participants was sought. Furthermore, participants had the freedom to participate or withdraw from the study at any time. Confidentiality and anonymity of respondents were ensured.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics

There was 81% response rate since 200 questionnaires were returned. Majority of the respondents' 115 (57.5%) were between 26–40, and 155 (77.5%) of the respondents were employed. 119 (59.5%) of the respondents had low education, and 160 (80%) of the total respondents were Christians. 105 (52.5%) of the evaluated respondents were not married, and 151 (75.5.5%) of the respondents had more than or equal to one child or children while 78% had no family history of CC (see Table 1).

Variable	Frequency N = 200	Percentage (%)	Percentage (%)	
Age				
15–25	54	27		
26-40	115	57.5		
41-50	31	15.5		
Occupation				
employed	155	77.5		
unemployed	45	22.5		
Educational background				
High formal education	31	15.5		
Low formal education	119	59.5		
No formal education	50	25		
Religion				
Christian	160	80		
Muslim	40	20		
Marital status				
unmarried	105	52.5		
married	95	47.5		
Number of children				
More than or equal to one	151	75.5		
None	49	24.5		
Family history				
Yes	44	22		
No	156	78		

Table 1. Respondents' sociodemographic characteristics amongst women in Kenyase, Ghana, N = 200.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231459.t001

Interest and uptake in cervical cancer screening

Table 2 shows the interest and uptake CC screening amongst the respondents. More than half 163 (81.5%) had heard of CC screening. However, an overwhelming majority of 194 (97%) reported they had not been screened before. Out of the 6 (3%) who had been screened before, 4 (2%) had screened one year ago while 2 (1%) said they were screened not more than five years ago. 198 (99%) did not know how often they should go for screening. Interestingly, more than half 174 (87%) of the women evaluated said they were interested in participating in CC screening.

Knowledge of cervical cancer

Table 3 presents knowledge of CC amongst respondents. Overall, 164 (82%) had scores below 5 for their level of knowledge of CC denoting inadequate understanding of cervical cancer. Only 36 (18%) of the respondents had adequate knowledge of cervical cancer. Almost all 190 (95%) of the women surveyed responded that CC was not a sexually transmitted disease and a little more than half 112 (56%) of the women surveyed indicated that CC could not be prevented. Also, majority 189 (94.5%) of the respondents mentioned it could not be prevented through vaccination of young girls.

Additionally, the majority of 143 (71.5%) of the respondents knew CC is curable in hospitals when diagnosed early. Most of the respondents had inadequate knowledge of the risk factors of cervical cancer. 60 (39%) of the respondents knew that multiple male sexual partners, while 78 (30%) knew a sexually transmitted virus caused CC. Surprisingly only 6 (3%) of them indicated HPV as a risk factor of CC, while 8 (4%) knew early sexual activity could lead to cervical cancer. Most of the respondent could not identify the signs and symptoms of CC. Less than half 71 (35.5%) of the respondents knew lower abdominal pains were part of the signs and symptoms of CC while few 25(12.5%), 11 (5.5%), 10 (5%) reported vaginal bleeding, pain in the genital during sexual intercourse and intermenstrual vaginal bleeding respectively as part of the signs and symptoms of CC.

Variable	Frequency N = 200	Percentage (%)
Have you heard of cervical cancer screening?		
Yes	163	81.5
No	37	18.5
Have you been screened with cervical cancer before?		
Yes	6	3
No	194	97
If Yes, Last time Screened		
No answer	194	97
A year ago	4	2
Not more than five years ago	2	1
How often do you go for cervical cancer screening?		
Once a year	2	1
Don't know	198	99
Are you interested in participating in screening?	Yes : 174	87
	No : 26	13
TOTAL	200	100

Table 2. Interest and uptake cervical cancer screening amongst women in Kenyase, Ghana, N = 200.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231459.t002

Variable	Frequency N = 200	Percentage (%)
Cervical cancer is a sexually transmitted disease		
Yes	10	5
No	190	95
Cervical cancer is preventable		
Yes	88	44
No	112	56
Cervical cancer is curable through vaccination		
Yes	11	5.5
No	189	94.5
Cervical cancer is curable in hospitals when diagnosed early		
Yes	143	71.5
No	57	28.5
Risk Factors of cervical cancer		
Early-onset of sexual activity	8	4
Infection with sexually transmitted infection	60	30
HPV	6	3
Multiple male sexual partners	78	39
Smoking cigarettes/tobacco	12	6
Grand multiparity	17	8.5
All the above	19	9.5
Don't know	10	5
Signs and symptoms of cervical cancer		
Intermenstrual vaginal bleeding	10	5
Post-menopausal bleeding	12	6
Vaginal bleeding	25	12.5
Post-coital vaginal bleeding	18	9
Excessive vaginal discharge	12	6
Lower abdominal pain	71	35.5
Pain in the genital during sexual intercourse	11	5.5
All the above	25	12.5
Don't know	16	8
Knowledge level on cervical cancer		
High	Fair	Low
9-6	5-3	Less than 2
36 (18%) of the respondents scored high.	74 (37%) of the respondents had a fair score.	90 (45%) of the respondents had a low score.

Table 3. Knowledge of cervical cancer amongst women in Kenyase, Ghana, N = 200.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231459.t003

Barriers to cervical cancer screening

Table 4 shows the responses to barriers that may affect CC screening among respondents. The main barriers identified by the respondents were individual beliefs and attitudes to screening, socioeconomic and healthcare system barriers. Majority of the respondents affirmed the fact that certain individual beliefs and attitudes may prevent them from participating in screening. For instance, a considerable number of the respondents 160 (80%) thought the screening was scary while about two thirds 149 (74.5%) of the respondents mentioned they were not susceptible to CC and thus will not screen. In addition to this, socioeconomic barriers such as lack of affordability and time may prevent respondents' likelihood of undergoing screening procedures. For instance, more than half of the respondents, 139 (69.5%) indicated screening was

Variable	Yes, N (%)	No, N (%)	Don't know, N (%)
Perceived threat			
Are you afraid of bad diagnosis?	147 (73.5)	33 (16.5)	20 (10)
Do you feel susceptible to cervical cancer?	16 (8)	149 (74.5)	35(17.5)
Does the thought of cancer scare you?	160 (80)	27 (13.5)	13(6.5)
Perceived benefits			
Is cervical cancer screening important?	186 (93)	0 (0)	14 (7)
Do you believe cervical cancer can be cured?	37 (18.5)	87 (43.5)	76 (38)
Perceived barriers			
Psychosocial barriers			
Does respondent's culture forbid cervical screening?	0 (0)	185 (92.5)	15(7.5)
Is CC screening embarrassing?	153 (76.5)	35 (17.5)	12(6)
Is cervical cancer screening painful?	8 (4)	27 (13.5)	165 (82.5)
Does respondent's religion have anything against CC screening?	11 (5.5)	173 (86.5)	16(8)
Is CC a curse from the gods?	3 (1.5)	182 (91)	15 (7.5)
Socioeconomic barriers			
Is CC screening test affordable?	47(23.5)	14 (7)	139 (69.5)
Can afford USD 2-10	46 (23)	0 (0)	154 (77)
Is the transport system in the facility good?	139 (69.5)	29 (14.5)	32 (16)
Do you have time for screening?	23 (11.5)	177 (88.5)	0 (0)
Healthcare system barriers			
Are there long waiting time at health facility?	172 (86)	28 (14)	0(0)
Does the respondent feel comfortable with male health personnel offering to screen?		157 (78.5)	35 (17.5)
Does the respondent know any health facility offering CC screening?	83 (41.5)	110 (55)	7(3.5)
Is it difficult to communicate with health personnel?	133 (66.5)	38 (19)	29(14.5)
Cues for action			
Prioritizing early morning and late evening increase screening	165 (78)	7(3.5)	37 (18.5)
Ensuring awareness of facility improve behavior for screening	163 (81.5)	14 (7)	23 (11.5)
Will preferring female health personnel increase screening uptake?	178 (89%)	15 (7.5)	7 (3.5)

Table 4.	Barriers to ce	rvical cancer scre	ening amongst	women in k	Kenyase, Gh	ana, N = 200
----------	----------------	--------------------	---------------	------------	-------------	--------------

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231459.t004

expensive, and 46(23%) could only afford between USD 2–10. Again, some aspects of the healthcare system are likely to pose as barriers to CC screening. For example, almost all the respondents 157 (78.5%) mentioned they did not like male health personnel offering screening services and 172 (86%) and 133 (66.5%) alluded that long waiting time at the health facility and communication respectively may prevent them from seeking CC screening services.

The difference between respondents' interest in participating in screening and HBM constructs

Table 5 describes the difference between respondents' interest in participating in screening and HBM constructs. There was a significant difference between all the constructs and the respondents' interest in screening except long waiting time, CC can be cured and prioritizing early morning and late evening screening which showed no significant difference (P-value > 0.003).

The relationship between respondents' sociodemographic characteristics and interest in participating in screening

Table 6 describes the sociodemographic predictors and interest in participating in CC screening using multivariate logistic regression analysis. Occupation (unemployed), educational background (high and no formal education) and marital status (married) were independent predictors of interest in participating in CC screening. Unemployed women were less likely to have an interest in CC screening than those who were employed (aOR = 0.005, 95%CI:0.001–0.041, p = 0.005). Women who were highly educated were 122 times very likely to be interested in CC screening than those with no or low formal education (aOR = 121.915 95%CI: 14.096–1054.469, p<0.001) and those who were unmarried were less likely to be interested in CC screening than those who were married (aOR = 0.124, 95%CI: 0.024–0.647, p = 0.013).

Discussion

Although CC screening reduces the incidence and mortality rates of cervical cancer, women in developing countries have reported low screening uptake, especially in Ghana. The current

Table 5. Chi-square analysis of respondents' interest in participating in screening on the perceived threat, perceived benefits, perceived barriers and cues of action amongst women in Kenyase, Ghana, N = 200.

Variable	Pearson chi-square	P-value
Perceived threat of Cervical Cancer		
Thought of cancer is scary	230.500	< 0.001*
Susceptibility to cervical cancer	149.730	< 0.001*
Afraid of bad diagnosis	20.123	< 0.001*
Perceived benefits of cervical cancer screening		
Importance of cervical cancer screening	185.663	< 0.001*
Cervical cancer be cured	3.300	0.192
Perceived barriers of cervical cancer screening		
Psychosocial barriers		
Cervical cancer screening is embarrassing	14.874	0.005
Culture forbid cervical screening	92.529	< 0.001*
Religion against CC screening	100.366	< 0.001*
CC is a curse from the gods	94.472	< 0.001*
Cervical cancer screening painful	16.580	< 0.001*
Socioeconomic barriers		
Cervical cancer is screening expensive	162.551	$< 0.001^{*}$
Transport system	130.575	< 0.001*
Have time for screening	21.901	< 0.001*
Healthcare system barriers		
Knowledge of health facility offering screening	15.985	0.003*
Comfortable with male personnel	17.594	< 0.001*
Long waiting time	3.835	0.429
Difficulties in communication	121.585	< 0.001*
Cues for action for cervical cancer screening		
Prioritizing early morning and late evening screening	0.916	0.619
Ensuring awareness of facility improve behavior for screening	85.043	< 0.001*
Prefer female health personnel for screening	95.667	< 0.001*

*p-value statistically significant.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231459.t005

Variable	N	P-value	aOR (95% CI) ^a
Occupation			
Employed	155	Ref	
Unemployed	45	0.005*	0.005 (0.001-0.041)
Educational background			
High formal education	31	<0.001*	121.9 (14.095–1054.469)
Low formal education	119	Ref	
No formal education	50	0.208	2.573 (0.591–11.211)
Marital status			
Unmarried	105	Ref	
Married	95	0.013*	0.124 (0.024–0.647)

Table 6. Sociodemographic factors predicting interest in participating in cervical cancer screening amongst women in Kenyase, Ghana, N = 200.

*p-value statistically significant.

Adjusted for age, family history, religious status, and number of children.

aOR = adjusted odds ratio.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0231459.t006

study revealed that only 3% of the respondents had ever patronized a CC screening test (either VIA or Pap test) even though there is high interest in participating in CC screening. This finding is consistent with a study conducted in which only 0.8% of the women and 8% of college students in the central region of Ghana had been screened before [17, 18]. Two systematic reviews reported similar findings of low screening uptake of 10%, 11%-19.9% and 14% in Tanzania, Ethiopia and Kenya, respectively [26, 29]. An explanation for these findings could be attributed to inadequate information about CC screening techniques and the unavailability of stimulating factors to enhance screening uptake. Thus national screening programs or routine screening during hospital visits could be adopted to improve screening rates.

Even though the study reported that majority of the respondents 194 (97%) had not been screened of CC before, a considerable number 163 (81.5%) had heard of screening, and more than 174 (87%) were interested in participating in screening. These findings were consistent with a study conducted in the capital of Ghana, Accra in 2009 amongst college students in which the majority of the respondents had heard of CC screening [30]. On the contrary, a study conducted in 2015 in the Central Region of Ghana found that a greater proportion (68.4%) of the participants had never heard of screening [17]. Likewise, studies in other developing countries like Zimbabwe, Ethiopia, and Nigeria [31–33]. A possible explanation for these differences in results could be attributed to improved CC education in Ghana across the years. Also, the fact that most of the women were interested in CC screening means that a national screening programme with intensive education and awareness is likely to see improved uptake.

Despite the high proportion of respondents knowing about CC screening, there was a low level of awareness of risk factors, signs and symptoms and preventive strategies. For instance, a notable finding is a fact that only 3% and 4% of the respondents could recognize HPV and first sexual intercourse as part of the risk factors of CC respectively [1, 34]. Previous studies in Ghana, Ethiopia, Nigeria, Kenya had similar findings [30, 33, 35–39]. Therefore, it was not surprising that majority of the respondents were not aware that CC ould be prevented through vaccination, which may result in low patronage of vaccination services. Hence, education on CC should be intensified since HPV has been highly associated with CC [1].

The key to effective screening programs depends primarimainly on an in-depth understanding of women and following clarification of beliefs and myths, which may lead to a reduction in barriers to screening despite high interest. The perceived threat, benefit, barriers and cues for action may influence interest in participating in CC screening except for long waiting time, which showed no statistically significant difference in interest in participating in screening in the current study. However, a notable finding in the current study is the fact that psychosocial barriers, such as cultural taboos and beliefs and were not indicated to affect CC screening. In detail, the study revealed that fear of pain and the outcome of diagnosis influenced respondents' interest in screening. Studies conducted in Ghana and other sub-Saharan countries like Nigeria, Kenya have provided similar insights [17, 32, 40–43]. Also, the study indicated perceived lack of susceptibility and the importance of CC screening were significantly associated with interest in screening as well as high cost, transportation to the health facility and busy work schedules could affect interest in screening.

Another finding that prevented women from participating in screening is lack of knowledge on facilities offering screening services, male caregiver and difficulty in communication with the healthcare workers. These findings were affirmed in other studies conducted in Ghana and other sub-Saharan countries [32, 42–44]. Possible explanations to these outcomes could be because most women feel they do not experience signs and symptoms of the disease and thus may not find screening very important. Hence might result in a lack of time to attend or even seek locations where screening is ongoing or takes place.

The last HBM constructs, cues for action denotes a readiness to take action to improve interest in screening were found to include promoting awareness of screening facilities and using female screeners. The authors recommend that CC screening services should be incorporated into the national health insurance scheme as well as initiating a national screening program to improve coverage of CC screening services. Also, mobile screening sites could be set up in the communities, especially during market days, to enhance screening uptake.

The evidence concerning the likelihood of employment influencing the intention to screen is unclear. The current findings revealed that unemployed women were less likely than those employed to be interested in participating in CC screening, which is in line with studies conducted in Ghana and Nigeria. These studies reported a statistically significant association between employment and participating in CC screening services [45, 46]. Similarly, a study in Ethiopia said that women who were employed were four times more likely to utilize CC screening services [47]. However, amongst HIV patients, a study conducted in Ghana indicated that employment was not expected to affect the intention to have CC screening [48]. A possible explanation to this finding could be attributed to the fact that women who are employed have the financial ability to afford the cost of screening or perhaps they are keen on their health to be able to continue their work. Because CC services continue to be paid for, the occupation of women will play a significant role in seeking screening services as low socioeconomic status, including unemployed and part-time workers are less likely to patronise screening services.

The present finding revealed that women with high to no formal of education were more likely to show interest in CC screening. However, no formal education showed a statistically insignificant relationship with an interest in CC screening. This finding affirmed a study conducted in Ghana, in which respondents with a high level of education were more likely to screen [17]. Individuals with a higher level of education are more than 100 times likely to have an interest in CC screening. Thus, education could play a significant role in enhancing participation of CC screening activities. According to Ebu [48], educated women are placed in a position to understand health risk and so are more likely to engage in screening test. Education tends to change beliefs and unfavourable behaviours towards interventions put in place to enhance knowledge of health and illness [49]. Hence it is not surprising they have better chances of using maternal health services [50].

Furthermore, the finding of the current study suggests that married women are less likely to be interested in screening. Contrary to this finding, married women are two times more likely to

accept CC screening than those who are single in Tanzania [49]. An outcome which was not consistent with the current study. However, a study conducted in Ghana showed that marital status is not a statistically significant determinant of women' intention to have CC screening [48]. Thus the influence of marital status on CC screening appears to be inconsistent across studies. A possible explanation could be due to the concept of the different measurement across the studies as those who were in an informal union, or cohabiting were regarded as unmarried in the present study and other studies may classify them as married. Furthermore, the domineering power of men in African societies could prevent their partners from seeking CC services. This is because their partners influence health-seeking behaviours of women, and an example of this has been reported in situations whereby partners set up appointments with a physician [51].

Even though the current study has shown low screening uptake exists, and has provided insights into the factors affecting CC screening participation in Ghana, it's limitation cannot be overlooked. The major limitation is the less rigorous and biased sampling technique, convenience sampling, which makes generalizations impossible. Therefore, the likelihood of selecting more women who were interested in screening may be biased the findings. Thus future studies should use robust methods. This study has also contributed to the application of the health belief model to understand human behaviour by guiding the authors to identify various factors and barriers affecting cervical cancer screening Ghana.

Conclusions

The study has documented that married women, unemployed and those with no formal education are less likely to participate in CC screening. Also, essential barriers relating to perceived lack of susceptibility, feeling of embarrassment, fear of wrong diagnosis and pains, feeling afraid, high cost, busy work schedule, lack of knowledge on screening facilities, the gender of screener and communication barriers affects women's interest in participating in screening. Hence the Ghana health services should develop appropriate, culturally tailored educational materials on CC screening to inform women particularly those with no formal education through social media, television, radio and community information systems to enhance uptake. Also, in the short term, the government of Ghana should make policies to incorporate cervical cancer screening to maternal health services such as family planning. In the long run, a national screening program should be instituted to enhance cervical screening uptake. Future studies should use larger sample sizes to make generalizations to improve policy decisions. Also, even though the current research identified various barriers that may affect interest in participating in CC screening using the HBM, the constructs of this model can be used in future studies to predict interest in CC screening among women in Ghana.

Supporting information

S1 File. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

A special thanks go to all the respondents who took part in this study, the Queen mother and opinion leaders at Kenyase for their approval and support during the study. All authors are appreciated for their time in improving the quality of this manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Ama G. Ampofo, Afia D. Adumatta, Esther Owusu.

Data curation: Ama G. Ampofo, Afia D. Adumatta, Esther Owusu.

Formal analysis: Ama G. Ampofo, Afia D. Adumatta, Esther Owusu, Kofi Awuviry-Newton.

Investigation: Ama G. Ampofo.

Methodology: Ama G. Ampofo, Afia D. Adumatta, Esther Owusu.

Project administration: Afia D. Adumatta.

Software: Kofi Awuviry-Newton.

Supervision: Ama G. Ampofo.

Validation: Ama G. Ampofo, Afia D. Adumatta, Kofi Awuviry-Newton.

Visualization: Ama G. Ampofo, Afia D. Adumatta, Esther Owusu.

Writing – original draft: Ama G. Ampofo, Afia D. Adumatta, Esther Owusu, Kofi Awuviry-Newton.

Writing – review & editing: Ama G. Ampofo, Afia D. Adumatta, Esther Owusu, Kofi Awuviry-Newton.

References

- Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLO-BOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians. 2018; 68(6):394–424.
- Johnson LG, Armstrong A, Joyce CM, Teitelman AM, Buttenheim AM. Implementation strategies to improve cervical cancer prevention in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. Implementation science: IS. 2018; 13(1):28-. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-018-0718-9 PMID: 29426344.
- 3. Parkin D, Whelan S, Ferlay J, Teppo L, Thomas D. Cancer incidence in five continents Vol VIII IARC Scientific Publications No 155. 2002 Lyon. France International Agency for Research on Cancer.
- Sankaranarayanan R, Budukh AM, Rajkumar R. Effective screening programmes for cervical cancer in low-and middle-income developing countries. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. 2001; 79:954– 62. PMID: <u>11693978</u>
- 5. Group IW. IARC Handbooks of Cancer Prevention, vol. 10: Cervix Cancer Screening. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer. World Health Organization, IARC Press. 2005.
- Olson B, Gribble B, Dias J, Curryer C, Vo K, Kowal P, et al. Cervical cancer screening programs and guidelines in low- and middle-income countries. International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics. 2016; 134(3):239–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgo.2016.03.011 PMID: 27350227
- 7. Everett T, Bryant A, Griffin MF, Martin-Hirsch PP, Forbes CA, Jepson RG. Interventions targeted at women to encourage the uptake of cervical screening. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2011;(5).
- 8. Adanu RMK, Seffah JD, Duda R, Darko R, Hill A, Anarfi J. Clinic visits and cervical cancer screening in accra. Ghana Med J. 2010; 44(2):59–63. https://doi.org/10.4314/gmj.v44i2.68885 PMID: 21327005.
- Chen G, Hill A, Seffah J, Darko R, Anarfi J, Duda R. Epidemiology of cervical cancer and dysphasia in a cross-sectional of women in Accra, Ghana. Int J Trop Med. 2005; 1(1):7–11.
- 10. Duda R, Chen G, Hill A, Darko R, Adanu R, Seffah J. Screening for cervical cancer still not included as routine health care for women. International Journal of Tropical Medicine. 2005; 1(1):1–6.
- Bruni L, Barrionuevo-Rosas L, Albero G, Serrano B, Mena M, Gómez D, et al. Human Papillomavirus and Related Diseases in Ghana. Summary Report: ICO Information Centre on HPV and Cancer (HPV Information Centre); 2016 [cited 2016 15 October]. Available from: http://www.hpvcentre.net/
- Kuie TS. Cervical cancer [electronic resource]: its causes and prevention / Tay Sun Kuie. ebrary I, editor. Singapore: Singapore: Marshall Cavendish Editions; 2009.
- Goldie SJ, Gaffikin L, Goldhaber-Fiebert JD, Gordillo-Tobar A, Levin C, Mahé C, et al. Cost-effectiveness of cervical-cancer screening in five developing countries. N Engl J Med. 2005; 353(20):2158–68. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa044278 PMID: 16291985.

- Cervical cancer mortality statistics 2015 [updated 2015-05-15]. Available from: http://www. cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/cervical-cancer/ mortality
- van Ballegooijen M, Hermens R. Cervical cancer screening in The Netherlands. European Journal of Cancer. 2000; 36(17):2244–6. <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-8049(00)00317-8</u> PMID: 11072213
- Adegoke O, Kulasingam S, Virnig B. Cervical cancer trends in the United States: a 35-year populationbased analysis. Journal of women's health. 2012; 21(10):1031–7. https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2011. 3385 PMID: 22816437
- Ebu NI, Mupepi SC, Siakwa MP, Sampselle CM. Knowledge, practice, and barriers toward cervical cancer screening in Elmina, Southern Ghana. International journal of women's health. 2014; 7:31–9. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJWH.S71797 PMID: 25565902.
- Binka C, Nyarko SH, Doku DT. Cervical Cancer Knowledge, Perceptions and Screening Behaviour Among Female University Students in Ghana. Journal of Cancer Education. 2016; 31(2):322–7. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1007/s13187-015-0852-x PMID: 25957285</u>
- Rosenstock IM, Strecher VJ, Becker MH. Social learning theory and the health belief model. Health education quarterly. 1988; 15(2):175–83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/109019818801500203</u> PMID: 3378902
- Nwobodo H, Ba-Break M. Analysis of the Determinants of Low Cervical Cancer Screening Uptake Among Nigerian Women. J Public Health Afr. 2015; 6(2):484. Epub 2015/08/17. https://doi.org/10. 4081/jphia.2015.484 PMID: 28299143; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5349270.
- Chan DNS, So WKW. A Systematic Review of the Factors Influencing Ethnic Minority Women's Cervical Cancer Screening Behavior: From Intrapersonal to Policy Level. Cancer Nursing. 2017; 40(6):E1–E30. https://doi.org/10.1097/NCC.0000000000436 PubMed PMID: 00002820-201711000-00013. PMID: 28081032
- Lim JN, Ojo AA. Barriers to utilisation of cervical cancer screening in Sub Sahara Africa: a systematic review. Eur J Cancer Care (Engl). 2017; 26(1). Epub 2016/02/09. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ecc.12444</u> PMID: 26853214.
- Basu P, Sarkar S, Mukherjee S, Ghoshal M, Mittal S, Biswas S, et al. Women's perceptions and social barriers determine compliance to cervical screening: Results from a population based study in India. Cancer Detection and Prevention. 2006; 30(4):369–74. <u>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cdp</u>. 2006.07.004 PMID: 16963194
- 24. Gu C, Chan CW, Twinn S. How sexual history and knowledge of cervical cancer and screening influence Chinese women's screening behavior in mainland China. Cancer nursing. 2010; 33(6):445–53. https://doi.org/10.1097/NCC.0b013e3181e456dc PMID: 20697268
- McFarland DM, Gueldner SM, Mogobe KD. Integrated Review of Barriers to Cervical Cancer Screening in Sub-Saharan Africa. Journal of Nursing Scholarship. 2016; 48(5):490–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jnu.</u> 12232 PMID: 27434871
- Lim JNW, Ojo AA. Barriers to utilisation of cervical cancer screening in Sub Sahara Africa: a systematic review. European Journal of Cancer Care. 2017; 26(1):e12444. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecc.12444 PMID: 26853214
- Nartey Y, Hill PC, Amo-Antwi K, Nyarko KM, Yarney J, Cox B. Cervical Cancer in the Greater Accra and Ashanti Regions of Ghana. Journal of Global Oncology. 2017; 3(6):782–90. https://doi.org/10.1200/ JGO.2016.005744 PMID: 29244993.
- 28. Cochran WG. Sampling techniques-3. 1977.
- Devarapalli P, Labani S, Nagarjuna N, Panchal P, Asthana S. Barriers affecting uptake of cervical cancer screening in low and middle income countries: A systematic review. Indian Journal of Cancer. 2018; 55(4):318–26. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijc.JJC_253_18 PMID: 30829264
- Abotchie PN, Shokar NK. Cervical cancer screening among college students in ghana: knowledge and health beliefs. International journal of gynecological cancer: official journal of the International Gynecological Cancer Society. 2009; 19(3):412–6. https://doi.org/10.1111/IGC.0b013e3181a1d6de PMID: 19407569.
- Mupepi SC, Sampselle CM, Johnson TR. Knowledge, attitudes, and demographic factors influencing cervical cancer screening behavior of Zimbabwean women. Journal of Women's Health. 2011; 20 (6):943–52. https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2010.2062 PMID: 21671779
- Ndikom CM, Ofi BA. Awareness, perception and factors affecting utilization of cervical cancer screening services among women in Ibadan, Nigeria: a qualitative study. Reproductive health. 2012; 9(1):11.
- Getahun F, Mazengia F, Abuhay M, Birhanu Z. Comprehensive knowledge about cervical cancer is low among women in Northwest Ethiopia. BMC Cancer. 2013; 13(1):2-. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-

13-2 PMID: 104308724. Language: English. Entry Date: 20150206. Revision Date: 20150711. Publication Type: Journal Article.

- Schluterman NH, Sow SO, Traore CB, Bakarou K, Dembelé R, Sacko F, et al. Differences in patterns of high-risk human papillomavirus infection between urban and rural low-resource settings: cross-sectional findings from Mali. BMC women's health. 2013; 13(1):4.
- 35. Nwankwo K, Aniebue U, Aguwa E, Anarado A, Agunwah E. Knowledge attitudes and practices of cervical cancer screening among urban and rural Nigerian women: a call for education and mass screening. European journal of cancer care. 2011; 20(3):362–7. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2354.2009.01175.x PMID: 20345451
- Eze JN, Umeora OU, Obuna JA, Egwuatu VE, Ejikeme BN. Cervical cancer awareness and cervical screening uptake at the Mater Misericordiae Hospital, Afikpo, Southeast Nigeria. Annals of African medicine. 2012; 11(4):238. https://doi.org/10.4103/1596-3519.102856 PMID: 23103924
- Balogun M, Odukoya O, Oyediran M, Ujomu P. Cervical cancer awareness and preventive practices: a challenge for female urban slum dwellers in Lagos, Nigeria. African Journal of Reproductive Health. 2012; 16(1).
- Nadarzynski T, Waller J, Robb KA, Marlow LA. Perceived risk of cervical cancer among pre-screening age women (18–24 years): the impact of information about cervical cancer risk factors and the causal role of HPV. Sex Transm Infect. 2012; 88(6):400–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2012-050482</u> PMID: 22517886
- 39. Ampofo A.G and others. The level of knowledge and associated sociodemographic factors on cervical cancer among women, a crossectional study at Kenyase Bosore coumminty, Ghana. Pan African Medical Journal. Forthcoming.
- Teng FF, Mitchell SM, Sekikubo M, Biryabarema C, Byamugisha JK, Steinberg M, et al. Understanding the role of embarrassment in gynaecological screening: a qualitative study from the ASPIRE cervical cancer screening project in Uganda. BMJ open. 2014; 4(4):e004783. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-004783 PMID: 24727360
- White HL, Mulambia C, Sinkala M, Mwanahamuntu MH, Parham GP, Kapambwe S, et al. Motivations and experiences of women who accessed "see and treat" cervical cancer prevention services in Zambia. J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol. 2012; 33(2):91–8. <u>https://doi.org/10.3109/0167482X.2012.656161</u> PMID: 22369192
- Ngugi CW, Boga H, Muigai AW, Wanzala P, Mbithi JN. Factors affecting uptake of cervical cancer early detection measures among women in Thika, Kenya. Health care for women international. 2012; 33 (7):595–613. https://doi.org/10.1080/07399332.2011.646367 PMID: 22681745
- Fort VK, Makin MS, Siegler AJ, Ault K, Rochat R. Barriers to cervical cancer screening in Mulanje, Malawi: a qualitative study. Patient preference and adherence. 2011; 5:125. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/</u> PPA.S17317 PMID: 21448296
- Mutyaba T, Faxelid E, Mirembe F, Weiderpass E. Influences on uptake of reproductive health services in Nsangi community of Uganda and their implications for cervical cancer screening. Reproductive Health. 2007; 4(1):4.
- 45. Kokuro M. Factors affecting the utilisation of cervical cancer screening among women attending health services in the kumasi metropolis of ghana: Stellenbosch: Stellenbosch University; 2017.
- **46.** Chinaka C, Nwazue U. Awareness of cervical cancer and its screening in Abakaliki, Nigeria. African Journal of Cellular Pathology. 2013; 1(1):47–51.
- Teame H, Gebremariam L, Kahsay T, Berhe K, Gebreheat G, Gebremariam G. Factors affecting utilization of cervical cancer screening services among women attending public hospitals in Tigray region, Ethiopia, 2018; Case control study. PLOS ONE. 2019; 14(3):e0213546. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213546</u> PMID: 30870497
- Ebu NI. Socio-demographic characteristics influencing cervical cancer screening intention of HIV-positive women in the central region of Ghana. Gynecologic Oncology Research and Practice. 2018; 5(1):3. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40661-018-0060-6 PMID: 29541478
- **49.** Kahesa C, Kjaer S, Mwaiselage J, Ngoma T, Tersbol B, Dartell M, et al. Determinants of acceptance of cervical cancer screening in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. BMC Public Health. 2012; 12(1):1093. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-1093 PMID: 23253445</u>
- Gerald EIEU, Ogwuche CHE. Educational level, sex and church affiliation on health seeking behaviour among parishioners in Makurdi metropolis of Benue state. Journal of Educational Policy and Entrepreneurial Research. 2014; 1(2):311–6.
- Seymour-Smith S, Wetherell M, Phoenix A. 'My wife ordered me to come!': A discursive analysis of doctors' and nurses' accounts of men's use of general practitioners. Journal of health psychology. 2002; 7 (3):253–67. https://doi.org/10.1177/1359105302007003220 PMID: 22114249