



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

Metagenomic data of the bacterial community in coastal Gulf of Mexico sediment microcosms following exposure to Macondo oil (MC252)



Hyunmin Koo, Asim K. Bej*

Department of Biology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 September 2015

Received in revised form

4 November 2015

Accepted 16 November 2015

Available online 26 November 2015

ABSTRACT

The data in this article includes the sequences of bacterial 16S rRNA gene from metagenome of Macondo oil (MC252)-treated and non-oil-treated sediment microcosms, collected from coastal Gulf of Mexico and Bayou La Batre, USA. Metacommunity DNA was PCR amplified with 341F and 907R oligonucleotide primers, targeting V3–V5 regions of the 16S rRNA gene. Data were generated by using bacterial tag-encoded FLX-amplicon pyrosequencing (bTEFAP) methodology and then processed using bioinformatics tools such as QIIME. The data information is deposited to NCBI's BioProject and BioSample and raw sequence files are available via NCBI's Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Specifications Table

Subject area	Biology, Microbial ecology, Biodiversity
More specific subject area	Metagenomics
Type of data	Table

* Correspondence to: 1300 University Blvd., CH 464, Birmingham, AL 35294-1170, U.S.A. Tel.: +1 205 934 9857.
E-mail address: abej@uab.edu (A.K. Bej).

How data was acquired	Pyrosequencing was conducted on a Roche 454 FLX instrument using the Roche Titanium reagents, following the procedures developed at Research and Testing Laboratories (RTL) (Lubbock, TX, USA) (www.researchandtesting.com)
Data format	Raw data sff file
Experimental factors	V3–V5 regions of bacterial 16S rRNA gene were PCR amplified using 341F and 907R oligonucleotide primers.
Experimental features	The sediment samples were collected from 1) Bayou La Batre, AL, USA; 2) U.S. Gulf of Mexico from three different locations (Dauphin Island, Petit Bois Island, and Perdido Pass) and then metacommunity DNA was extracted from non-oil-treated and oil (MC252)-treated sediment samples at various time points for pyrosequencing.
Data source location	1) Bayou La Batre, AL, USA (30°22.7140'N, 88°18.2300'W); 2) Dauphin Island (30°14'54"N, 88°4'24"W), Petit Bois Island (30°12'47"N, 88°30'0"W), and Perdido Pass (30°16'45"N, 87°33'22"W), on the Gulf of Mexico, AL, USA.
Data accessibility	The accession numbers for all sequence data in this paper have been listed in Table 1 , and are publicly available at NCBI.

Value of the data

- This data information provides changes in the microbial community structure and species composition following treatment with MC252.
 - Data is applicable for comparative studies related to oil spill events that have occurred in similar or different locations in the Gulf of Mexico or other ecosystems.
 - Accessibility of raw sequence data allows researchers to perform new analyses based on their own research purposes with new bioinformatics tools.
-

1. Data

All raw sequence data described in this paper are available through NCBI's BioProject, BioSample and SRA database. Accession numbers of BioProject, BioSample and SRA are elaborated in [Table 1](#). The two sets of nextgen sequence data represent the microbial communities from the MC252-treated and non-oil-treated sediment samples in a laboratory microcosm experiment. The sediments were collected from 1) Bayou La Batre, AL, USA; and 2) Dauphin Island, Petit Bois Island, and Perdido Pass, AL, USA.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

2.1. Sample collection and preparation

The sediment and seawater samples were collected from Bayou La Batre, AL in March, 2011 using acid-washed plastic containers. The samples were collected from a single location and placed in a 5-gallon acid-washed plastic bucket. Then, the top 15–30 cm of sediments were sampled, which were thoroughly mixed by stirring and then used for the microcosm setup. To confirm the initial level of oil present in the sediment samples, total petroleum hydrocarbons and total organic carbon (TOC) were revealed by GC–MS using standard methods [1–3]. For each microcosm setup, 200 g (dry weight) sediment and 173.06 g autoclaved (121 °C for 15 min at 15 lb/sq inch pressure) seawater were mixed and placed in a 500 mL glass jar. Then, duplicate sediment samples were subjected to MC252-treatment (500 ppm) for 14 days and 21 days at room temperature (20 ± 1 °C) ([Table 1](#)) [4]. Non-oil-treated control samples (0 h) were maintained throughout the experimentation.

The sediment samples mixed with seawater along the coast of Dauphin Island, Petit Bois Island, and Perdido Pass, were collected in June, 2011 in sterile-cap tubes using a multicorer from the upper

Table 1

Samples treatment, data descriptions, BioProject, BioSample, and SRA accession numbers assigned to this data.

	Oil treatment USA: Alabama						USA: Gulf of Mexico					
	Bayou La Batre (30°22.7140'N, 88°18.2300'W)			Dauphin Island (30°14'54"N, 88°4'24"W)			Petit Bois Island (30°12'47"N, 88°30'0"W)			Perdido Pass (30°16'45"N, 87°33'22"W)		
	0 h	14 days	21 days	0 h	7 days	30 days	0 h	7 days	30 days	0 h	7 days	30 days
Data description	Non-oil-treated control (0 h)	14-day oil-treated	21-day oil-treated	Non-oil-treated control (0 h)	7-day oil-treated	30-day oil-treated	Non-oil-treated control (0 h)	7-day oil-treated	30-day oil-treated	Non-oil-treated control (0 h)	7-day oil-treated	30-day oil-treated
BioProject No.	PRJNA294625			PRJNA244781								
BioSample No.	SAMN-04027582	SAMN-04027583	SAMN-04027584	SAMN-02728982	SAMN-02728983	SAMN-04028927	SAMN-02728987	SAMN-02728988	SAMN-02728989	SAMN-02728984	SAMN-02728985	SAMN-04028928
SRA No.	SRX1179581	SRX1179585	SRX1179587	SRX523350	SRX523351	SRX1182496	SRX523357	SRX523358	SRX523359	SRX523360	SRX523361	SRX1182007

5 cm of sediment surface. Samples were stored at 4 °C in sterile capped tubes. Duplicate sediment samples (each 50 g dry weight) were mixed with 200 ml autoclaved seawater in 500 ml glass jars. Then, the non-oil-treated control (0 h) and oil-treated samples were incubated at room temperature (20 ± 1 °C) for 7 days and 30 days (Table 1) [4]. Since the aim of the study was to monitor a short-term effect of the MC252 oil in sediment microbial communities, we have used the treatment time and scheme described previously by Cappello et al [5].

2.2. DNA extraction

All sediment samples (1 g each in triplicate) from Bayou La Batre and Gulf of Mexico were subjected to metacommunity DNA extraction by using the MoBio PowerSoil[®] DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories Inc., CA; www.mobio.com; cat 12888-100). The quality and concentration of the extracted DNA samples were measured by using a Lambda 2 spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, Conn.) followed by agarose gel electrophoresis in Tris-Acetate-EDTA (TAE, pH 7.5) buffer [6].

2.3. Sequencing

After confirming the purity and the concentration of the DNA, triplicate samples from each oil-treated and non-oil-treated sediment samples were pooled, and 100 ng of DNA was used by the Research and Testing Laboratories (RTL) (Lubbock, Texas) for bacterial tag-encoded FLX-amplicon pyrosequencing (bTEFAP) [7]. The pyrosequencing was conducted using 341F (5'CCT ACG GGA GGC AGC AG 3') [8] and 907R (5'CCG TCA ATT CMT TTG AGT TT 3') [9] oligonucleotide primers targeting the V3–V5 regions of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene [10]. Then, initial generation of the sequencing library was conducted by one-step PCR using the HotStarTaq[™] Plus Master Mix Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and 341F and 907R primers. The pyrosequencing was conducted on a Roche 454[®] FLX instrument using the Titanium reagents and procedures developed at RTL (Lubbock, TX).

2.4. Data analysis

A total of 12 sff files were generated by pyrosequencing and submitted to the NCBI's BioProject, BioSample, and SRA with accession numbers listed in Table 1. These sff files can be converted to FASTA- and QUAL-formatted files by using "process_sff.py" command in QIIME (ver 1.8.0). After converting the sff files, a Mapping file, including Sample ID, BarcodeSequence, and LinkerPrimerSequence information, was created for the analyses. After creating the mapping file, formatting requirements in this file were checked by using "validate_mapping_file.py" in QIIME. Then, these three files (FASTA, QUAL, and Mapping) were used for different analyses by using QIIME as described by Koo et al. [11,12]. All sff files used in this study can be downloaded publicly from NCBI's SRA.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest associated with this manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the UAB Department of Biology, and the Gulf of Mexico Research Initiative (GoMRI) grant, which was distributed by Alabama Marine Environmental Science Consortium (MESC) (Project number: T1-001-DISL); we thank John Delton Hanson of Research and Testing Laboratory, TX for assisting us with the pyrosequencing of the samples; Patricia Sobecky of University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa (UA) and Ronald Kiene of DISL, USA for selecting the proposal for funding; Ronald Kiene and Rona J. Donahoe to share some of the sediment samples for the microcosm study; and Nazia Mojib of UAB for sample preparation and processing. Also, we thank Katherine DV

Hughes and Matthew Pace of UAB CAS IT for the necessary computer support for all bioinformatics analyses of the pyrosequencing data.

Appendix A. Supplementary information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2015.11.040>.

References

- [1] R. Camilli, C.M. Reddy, D.R. Yoerger, B.A. Van Mooy, M.V. Jakuba, J.C. Kinsey, et al., Tracking hydrocarbon plume transport and biodegradation at deepwater horizon, *Science* 330 (6001) (2010) 201–204.
- [2] A. Pavlova, D. Papazova, Oil-spill identification by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry, *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* 41 (5) (2003) 271–273.
- [3] W.F. Roling, M.G. Milner, D.M. Jones, F. Fratepietro, R.P. Swannell, F. Daniel, et al., Bacterial community dynamics and hydrocarbon degradation during a field-scale evaluation of bioremediation on a mudflat beach contaminated with buried oil, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70 (5) (2004) 2603–2613.
- [4] J. K. Volkman, A. T. Revill, Oil pollution and microbial regulation, In: A. Sabljic (Ed.), *Environmental and Ecological Chemistry*, vol II, UNESCO-EoLSS, Paris, France, 2002, pp. 1–9.
- [5] S. Cappello, G. Caruso, D. Zampino, L.S. Monticelli, G. Maimone, R. Denaro, et al., Microbial community dynamics during assays of harbour oil spill bioremediation: a microscale simulation study, *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 102 (1) (2007) 184–194.
- [6] F.M. Ausubel, R. Brent, R.E. Kingston, D.D. Moore, J.G. Smith, J.G. Sideman, et al., *Short Protocols in Molecular Biology: A Compendium of Methods from Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N.Y. Greene Pub, 1987.
- [7] S.E. Dowd, T.R. Callaway, R.D. Wolcott, Y. Sun, T. McKeethan, R.G. Hagevoort, et al., Evaluation of the bacterial diversity in the feces of cattle using 16S rDNA bacterial tag-encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing (bTEFAP), *BMC Microbiol.* 8 (1) (2008) 125–132.
- [8] G. Muyzer, E.C. de Waal, A.G. Uitterlinden, Profiling of complex microbial populations by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis of polymerase chain reaction-amplified genes coding for 16S rRNA, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 59 (3) (1993) 695–700.
- [9] D.J. Lane, B. Pace, G.J. Olsen, D.A. Stahl, M.L. Sogin, N.R. Pace, Rapid determination of 16S ribosomal RNA sequences for phylogenetic analyses, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 82 (20) (1985) 6955–6959.
- [10] H. Li, Y. Zhang, D.S. Li, H. Xu, G.X. Chen, C.G. Zhang, Comparisons of different hypervariable regions of *rrs* genes for fingerprinting of microbial communities in paddy soils, *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41 (5) (2009) 954–968.
- [11] H. Koo, N. Mojib, J.P. Huang, R.J. Donahoe, A.K. Bej, Bacterial community shift in the coastal Gulf of Mexico salt-marsh sediment microcosm in vitro following exposure to the Mississippi Canyon Block 252 oil (MC252), *3 Biotech* 5 (4) (2015) 379–392.
- [12] H. Koo, N. Mojib, R.W. Thacker, A.K. Bej, Comparative analysis of bacterial community-metagenomics in coastal Gulf of Mexico sediment microcosms following exposure to Macondo oil (MC252), *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 106 (5) (2014) 993–1009.