www.nature.com/onc

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

CpG island hypermethylation-associated silencing of non-coding RNAs transcribed from ultraconserved regions in human cancer

A Lujambio¹, A Portela¹, J Liz¹, SA Melo¹, S Rossi², R Spizzo², CM Croce³, GA Calin² and M Esteller^{1,4}

¹Cancer Epigenetics and Biology Program (PEBC), Bellvitge Biomedical Research Institute (IDIBELL), 08907L'Hospitalet, Barcelona, Spain; ² Experimental Therapeutics & Cancer Genetics, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Texas State University, Houston, TX, USA; ³Department of Molecular Virology, Immunology and Medical Genetics, Comprehensive Cancer Center, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA and ⁴Institucio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Barcelona, Catalonia, Spain

Although only 1.5% of the human genome appears to code for proteins, much effort in cancer research has been devoted to this minimal fraction of our DNA. However, the last few years have witnessed the realization that a large class of non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs), named microRNAs, contribute to cancer development and progression by acting as oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes. Recent studies have also shown that epigenetic silencing of microRNAs with tumor suppressor features by CpG island hypermethylation is a common hallmark of human tumors. Thus, we wondered whether there were other ncRNAs undergoing aberrant DNA methylationassociated silencing in transformed cells. We focused on the transcribed-ultraconserved regions (T-UCRs), a subset of DNA sequences that are absolutely conserved between orthologous regions of the human, rat and mouse genomes and that are located in both intra- and intergenic regions. We used a pharmacological and genomic approach to reveal the possible existence of an aberrant epigenetic silencing pattern of T-UCRs by treating cancer cells with a DNA-demethylating agent followed by hybridization to an expression microarray containing these sequences. We observed that DNA hypomethylation induces release of T-UCR silencing in cancer cells. Among the T-UCRs that were reactivated upon drug treatment, $Uc.160 +$, $Uc283 + A$ and $Uc.346 +$ were found to undergo specific CpG island hypermethylation-associated silencing in cancer cells compared with normal tissues. The analysis of a large set of primary human tumors $(n = 283)$ demonstrated that hypermethylation of the described T-UCR CpG islands was a common event among the various tumor types. Our finding that, in addition to microRNAs, another class of ncRNAs (T-UCRs) undergoes DNA methylation-associated inactivation in transformed cells supports a model in which epigenetic and genetic alterations in coding and noncoding sequences cooperate in human tumorigenesis. Oncogene (2010) 29, 6390–6401; doi[:10.1038/onc.2010.361](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/onc.2010.361); published online 30 August 2010

rden

Keywords: DNA methylation; CpG island; non-coding RNA; epigenetics

Introduction

The best-studied sequences of the genome are proteincoding genes, but their coding exons account for only 1.5% of the genome (2% if untranslated regions (UTRs) are included) ([International Human Genome Sequen](#page-11-0)[cing Consortium, 2004\). Thus, a large fraction of the](#page-11-0) [genome is constituted by non-protein-coding regions](#page-11-0) [that might have critical biological relevance \(Mattick,](#page-11-0) [2003\). The importance of this functional part of the](#page-11-0) [non-protein-coding genome is particularly apparent in a](#page-11-0) [large class of small, non-coding RNAs \(ncRNAs\), called](#page-11-0) [microRNAs \(miRNAs; Ambros, 2004; Bartel, 2004;](#page-10-0) [He and Hannon, 2004; Mendell, 2005\). These 19–24](#page-11-0) [nucleotide transcripts regulate the expression of mes](#page-11-0)[senger RNAs at the transcriptional and translational](#page-11-0) [levels by imperfect base pairing with the 3](#page-11-0)'[-UTR, the 5](#page-11-0)'[-](#page-11-0) [UTR and/or the coding region of the target \(Miranda](#page-11-0) et al., 2006; Iwama et al.[, 2007; Duursma](#page-10-0) et al., 2008). [In human cancer, recent studies have shown that miRNA](#page-10-0) [expression profiles differ between normal tissues and](#page-10-0) [derived tumors, and between tumor types \(Calin](#page-10-0) *et al.*, 2004a; Lu et al., 2005; Volinia et al.[, 2006\). miRNAs can](#page-11-0) [act as oncogenes or tumor suppressors, exerting a key](#page-11-0) [function in tumorigenesis \(Esquela-Kerscher and Slack,](#page-10-0) [2006; Hammond, 2007; Lujambio and Esteller, 2009\).](#page-11-0) [Defects in miRNA function have been associated with a](#page-11-0) [failure of miRNA post-transcriptional regulation \(Thom](#page-11-0)son et al.[, 2006\), miRNA transcriptional repression by](#page-11-0) [oncogenic factors \(Chang](#page-10-0) et al., 2008), loss-of-function [genetic alterations in miRNA-processing genes \(Melo](#page-11-0) et al.[, 2009\) and, most interestingly, transcriptional silen](#page-11-0)[cing associated with hypermethylation of CpG island](#page-11-0) promoters (Saito et al., 2006; Han et al.[, 2007; Lujambio](#page-11-0) et al.[, 2007, 2008; Toyota](#page-11-0) et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2009).

Correspondence: Dr M Esteller, Cancer Epigenetics and Biology Program (PEBC), Bellvitge Institute for Biomedical Research (IDI-BELL), 08907L'Hospitalet, 3rd Floor, Hospital Duran i Reynals, Avda. Gran Via 199-203, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Barcelona, Catalonia 08907, Spain.

E-mail: mesteller@iconcologia.net

Received 5 February 2010; revised 3 June 2010; accepted 13 July 2010; published online 30 August 2010

Hypermethylation of non-coding RNAs A Lujambio et al

[Thus, as occurs with miRNAs, it is likely that other types](#page-11-0) [of ncRNAs are also involved in human tumorigenesis](#page-11-0) [and undergo epigenetic and genetic defects in this disease.](#page-11-0) [In this paper, we have focused on the possible DNA](#page-11-0) [methylation-associated silencing in human cancer cells of](#page-11-0) [another class of ncRNAs, transcribed-ultraconserved](#page-11-0) [regions \(T-UCRs\) \(Bejerano](#page-10-0) et al., 2004; Calin et al., [2007; Katzman](#page-11-0) et al., 2007).

Ultraconserved regions (UCRs) are a subset of conserved sequences that are located in both intraand intergenic regions (Bejerano et al.[, 2004; Katzman](#page-11-0) *et al.*, 2007). They are absolutely conserved (100%) [between orthologous regions of the human, rat and](#page-11-0) [mouse genomes \(Bejerano](#page-10-0) *et al.*, 2004) and are strongly [constrained functional elements \(Katzman](#page-11-0) et al., 2007). [The UCRs exhibit almost no natural variation in the](#page-11-0) [human population \(Bejerano](#page-10-0) et al., 2004). In the human [genome, 481 sequences longer than 200 bp that are](#page-10-0) [maximally conserved with orthologous segments in](#page-10-0) [rodents are defined as UCRs \(Bejerano](#page-10-0) et al., 2004). [Of these, 56% are non-exonic \(without evidence of an](#page-10-0) [encoding protein\), 23% are partly exonic \(coincide with](#page-10-0) [the messenger RNA of a known human protein-coding](#page-10-0) [gene, including UTRs\) and 21% are possibly exonic](#page-10-0) [\(inconclusive evidence of overlap with protein-coding](#page-10-0) [genes\). Much effort is currently being devoted to](#page-10-0) [unraveling the putative functions of UCRs, such as an](#page-10-0) [antisense regulatory role for protein-coding genes or](#page-10-0) [other ncRNAs, or a regulatory function as enhancers](#page-10-0) (Nobrega et al.[, 2003; Pennacchio](#page-11-0) et al., 2006). The [majority \(93%\) of UCRs are transcribed \(called T-UCRs\)](#page-11-0) [in normal human tissues, both ubiquitously and in a](#page-11-0) [tissue-specific manner \(Calin](#page-10-0) et al., 2007). Most impor[tantly, recent data suggest that T-UCRs are altered at](#page-10-0) [the transcriptional level in human tumorigenesis and the](#page-10-0) [aberrant T-UCR expression profiles can be used to](#page-10-0) [differentiate human cancer types \(Calin](#page-10-0) et al., 2007).

The downregulation of protein-coding genes, such as many important tumor suppressor genes in human cancer ([Jones and Baylin, 2007; Esteller, 2008\) and](#page-10-0) [miRNAs with growth-inhibitory functions \(Lujambio](#page-11-0) et al., 2007, 2008; Huang et al.[, 2009\), has been tightly](#page-11-0) [linked to the presence of CpG island promoter](#page-11-0) [hypermethylation. Therefore, we wondered whether](#page-11-0) [the same mechanism could have a role in the loss of](#page-11-0) [adequate T-UCR expression in tumors. Herein, we](#page-11-0) [present a pharmacological and genomic unmasking of](#page-11-0) [T-UCR CpG islands that undergo cancer-specific](#page-11-0) [hypermethylation-associated transcriptional silencing,](#page-11-0) such as $Uc.160 +$, $Uc283 + A$ and $Uc.346 +$ [, in the](#page-11-0) [most common types of human cancer. These findings](#page-11-0) [support a model in which epigenetic disruption of](#page-11-0) [emerging new classes of ncRNAs, such as T-UCRs,](#page-11-0) [constitutes a hallmark of human tumorigenesis.](#page-11-0)

Results

To identify T-UCRs with putative DNA methylationrelated inactivation in human tumors, we followed the experimental algorithm shown in Figure 1. First, we

Figure 1 Schematic strategy used to unmask DNA methylationassociated repression of T-UCRs in colon cancer cells. AZA, 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine.

treated the human colorectal cancer cell line HCT-116 with the DNA methylation inhibitor 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine. To measure T-UCR levels, total RNA was extracted before and after treatment with the DNAdemethylating drug in each case, and profiled using our [previously validated UCR platform \(Calin](#page-10-0) et al., 2007). [This UCR microarray chip includes a total of 481](#page-10-0) [human UCR sequences longer than 200 bp \(Bejerano](#page-10-0) et al.[, 2004\). T-UCRs can be transcribed from one](#page-10-0) [strand \(sense or antisense\) or bidirectionally \(Calin](#page-10-0) et al.[, 2007\). In total, two 40-mer probes were designed](#page-10-0) [for each UCR: one corresponding to the sense genomic](#page-10-0) sequence (named $+$) and the other to the comple[mentary sequence \(named '](#page-10-0) $+A$ '). Thus, 962 possible [T-UCRs can be studied using this approach. Our](#page-10-0) [pharmacological screening revealed that 14 of 481](#page-10-0) [\(2.9%\) human UCRs printed on the microarray had](#page-10-0) [minimal basal expression in the untreated cells and](#page-10-0) \geq [2.25 log 2 \(ratio between geometric means of intensity\)](#page-10-0) [upregulation upon use of 5-aza-2](#page-10-0)'[-deoxycytidine \(Sup](#page-10-0)[plementary Table 1\). Of these 14 significantly upregu](#page-10-0)[lated T-UCRs, 6 \(42.9%\) had one canonical CpG island](#page-10-0) [within a 2000-bp distance upstream in the transcrip](#page-10-0)tional sense of that particular T-UCR: $Uc.283 + A$, $Uc.346 +$ $Uc.346 +$, $Uc.160 +$, $Uc.282 + A$, $Uc.469 + A$ and $Uc.392 + A$ $Uc.392 + A$ (Supplementary Table 1). The studied [length likely covers most of the CpG islands with a](#page-10-0)

[possible impact on T-UCR expression because T-UCR](#page-10-0) [length has been described to range between 0.8 and](#page-10-0) [1.8 kb \(Calin](#page-10-0) et al., 2007).

To demonstrate the presence of CpG island methylation in these six upregulated T-UCRs upon DNA demethylation treatment, we undertook bisulfite genomic-sequencing analyses of multiple clones in the HCT-116 colorectal cancer cell line from which the T-UCR expression microarray data were obtained. We found dense DNA methylation in 5 of 6 (83.3%) of the described CpG island-associated T-UCRs: $Uc.283 + A$, $Uc.346 +$, $Uc.160 +$, $Uc.282 + A$ and $Uc.469 + A$ (Figures 2a and b; Supplementary Figure 1), whereas $Uc392 + A$ was found to be unmethylated in HCT-116

cells (Supplementary Figure 2). We wanted to focus on the cancer-specific DNA methylation changes; hence we used bisulfite genomic sequencing to analyze the DNA methylation status of these remaining five T-UCRassociated CpG islands in normal colon mucosa $(n = 5)$, to exclude tissue-specific DNA methylation patterns. The expression of many T-UCRs is different according to cell type (Calin et al.[, 2007\); hence it was not](#page-10-0) [surprising to observe that 2 of 5 \(40%\) of these T-UCR](#page-10-0)associated CpG islands, $Uc.282 + A$ and $Uc.469 + A$, [were also densely methylated in normal colorectal](#page-10-0) [mucosa \(Supplementary Figure 1\). However, and most](#page-10-0) [importantly, the CpG islands for Uc.160](#page-10-0) + [, Uc.283](#page-10-0) + [A](#page-10-0) and $Uc.346 +$ [were always unmethylated in all normal](#page-10-0)

Figure 2 CpG island methylation of T-UCRs in colon cancer cell lines and normal tissues. (a) Bisulfite genomic sequencing of the associated CpG islands for the T-UCRs Uc.160 +, Uc.283 + A and Uc.346 $+$ in the colorectal cancer cell lines HCT-116 and DKO, normal colon (NC) and normal lymphocytes (NL). CpG dinucleotides are represented as short vertical lines and the location of the T-UCR probe from the expression microarray is represented as a black arrowhead. The locations of the bisulfite genomic sequencing primers are indicated by black arrows. Eight single clones are represented for each sample. Presence of a methylated or unmethylated cytosine is indicated by a black or a white square, respectively. For Uc.283 + A and Uc.346 + , two regions within the CpG island were analyzed. The transcription start sites identified by RACE are represented by vertical black arrows. (b) Methylation-specific PCR analyses for Uc.160 +, Uc.283 + A and Uc.346 + methylation in the colorectal cancer cell lines HCT-116 and DKO, normal colon (NC) and normal lymphocytes (NL). Unmethylated (U) or methylated (M) sequences. In vitro methylated DNA (IVD) is shown as a positive control for methylated sequences. The locations of the methylation-specific PCR primers are indicated in panel 'a' by gray arrows.

[tissues studied \(Figures 2a and b\). The DNA methyla](#page-2-0)[tion results were also confirmed using methylation](#page-2-0)[specific PCR \(Figure 2b\).](#page-2-0)

To demonstrate the transcriptional silencing of these T-UCRs in cancer cells in association with the presence of CpG island hypermethylation, we measured T-UCR levels by quantitative reverse transcriptase (RT)–PCR. The expression of Uc.160 +, Uc.283 + A and Uc.346 + transcripts was not detectable in HCT-116 cells showing CpG island methylation of the corresponding CpG island ([Figure 3a\). Most importantly, a restoration of](#page-4-0) [T-UCR expression was observed upon treatment with](#page-4-0) [the DNA-demethylating agent \(Figure 3a\). These results](#page-4-0) [were confirmed using an alternative model, specifically](#page-4-0) [an isogenic HCT-116 cell line in which the two major](#page-4-0) [DNA methyltransferases, DNMT1 and DNMT3b, had](#page-4-0) [been genetically disrupted \(HCT116 DKO\) \(Rhee](#page-11-0) *et al.*, [2002\). The CpG islands for Uc.160](#page-11-0) +[, Uc.283](#page-11-0) + [A and](#page-11-0) $Uc.346 +$ $Uc.346 +$ [were unmethylated in DKO cells, although](#page-11-0) [they were hypermethylated in its parental cell line,](#page-11-0) [HCT116 \(Figures 2a and b\). Most importantly, restora](#page-2-0)[tion of Uc.160](#page-2-0) + [, Uc.283](#page-2-0) + [A and Uc.346](#page-2-0) + [expression](#page-2-0) [was observed in DKO cells \(Figure 3a\), reinforcing the](#page-4-0) [link between CpG island hypermethylation and T-UCR](#page-4-0) [silencing.](#page-4-0)

DNA methylation silencing of tumor suppressor genes (Nguyen et al.[, 2002; Ballestar](#page-10-0) et al., 2003; McGarvey et al.[, 2008; Jacinto](#page-11-0) et al., 2009) and [microRNAs with growth-inhibitory functions \(Saito](#page-11-0) et al., 2006; Lujambio et al.[, 2007\) is closely linked to](#page-11-0) [chromatin modifications. To determine active vs in](#page-11-0)[active expression, we analyzed by chromatin immuno](#page-11-0)[precipitation the presence of a histone modification](#page-11-0) [mark associated with active transcription, trimethyla](#page-11-0)[tion of lysine 4 of histone H3 \(Esteller, 2007\), in these T-](#page-10-0)[UCRs in HCT-116 cells. Uc.160](#page-10-0) +[, Uc.283](#page-10-0) + [A and](#page-10-0) $Uc.346+CpG$ $Uc.346+CpG$ island hypermethylation was accompa[nied by the absence or minimal presence of trimethyla](#page-10-0)[tion of lysine 4 of histone H3 \(Figure 3b\). This histone](#page-4-0) [mark was present in the studied T-UCR CpG islands in](#page-4-0) [DKO cells or upon treatment of HCT-116 cells with the](#page-4-0) [DNA-demethylating agent \(Figure 3b\). Furthermore,](#page-4-0) [because DNA methylation and histone tail modifica](#page-4-0)[tions crosstalk with nucleosome positioning in tran](#page-4-0)[scriptional regulation \(Henikoff, 2007, 2008\), we](#page-11-0) [wondered whether T-UCR CpG island methylation](#page-11-0) [silencing is also associated with a less accessible local](#page-11-0) [chromatin conformation, as it occurs for hypermethy](#page-11-0)[lated CpG islands of tumor suppressor genes \(Lin](#page-11-0) et al., [2007\). We examined chromatin accessibility in the](#page-11-0) [Uc.160](#page-11-0) + [, Uc.283](#page-11-0) + [A and Uc.346](#page-11-0) + [CpG islands using](#page-11-0) [nuclei isolated from HCT-116 cells with increasing](#page-11-0) concentrations of the Msp[I restriction enzyme coupled](#page-11-0) to real-time PCR. We observed that when $Uc.160 +$ [,](#page-11-0) $Uc.283 + A$ $Uc.283 + A$ and $Uc.346 + CpG$ islands are hypermethy[lated, these sites are inaccessible to the enzyme](#page-11-0) [\(Figure 3c\), but restoration of T-UCR expression](#page-4-0) [associated with CpG island demethylation upon 5-aza-](#page-4-0)[2](#page-4-0)'[-deoxycytidine treatment or in DKO cells results in](#page-4-0) [higher accessibility \(Figure 3c\). In addition, the un](#page-4-0)[methylated T-UCR CpG islands were occupied by RNA](#page-4-0)

[polymerase II that was not present in the hypermethy](#page-4-0)[lated CpG islands \(Figure 3d\). In DKO cells or upon the](#page-4-0) [use of the DNA-demethylating drug, RNA polymerase](#page-4-0) [II occupancy for the studied T-UCR CpG islands was](#page-4-0) [restored \(Figure 3d\). Most importantly, we character](#page-4-0)[ized the transcription start sites for the studied T-UCRs](#page-4-0) [using rapid amplification of cDNA ends \(RACE\). For](#page-4-0) [Uc.160](#page-4-0) $+$ [and Uc.283](#page-4-0) $+$ [A, the transcripts were origi](#page-4-0)[nated within the studied corresponding CpG islands](#page-4-0) [\(vertical black arrows in Figure 2a\), while for Uc.346](#page-2-0) $+$ [transcription started just 189 bp downstream of the CpG](#page-2-0) [island. Thus, our data suggest that expression of the](#page-2-0) [Uc.160](#page-2-0) +[, Uc.283](#page-2-0) + [A and Uc.346](#page-2-0) + [transcripts occurs](#page-2-0) [in the context of an unmethylated CpG island, and](#page-2-0) [that cancer-specific hypermethylation of the described](#page-2-0) [T-UCR CpG islands is associated with transcriptional](#page-2-0) [silencing in a chromatin environment characteristic of](#page-2-0) [gene repression.](#page-2-0)

The presence of Uc.160 +, Uc.283 + A and Uc.346 + cancer-specific CpG island hypermethylation and transcriptional silencing was not a unique feature of the particular colorectal cancer cell line HCT-116, but upon analyzing a large set of human cancer cell lines $(n = 59)$ from eight different tumor types, we observed that it was commonly found in colon, breast, lung, lymphoma and leukemia cells ([Table 1\). The T-UCR CpG island](#page-5-0) [methylation status was not associated with the K-ras](#page-5-0) [and p53 mutational status or the presence of micro](#page-5-0)[satellite instability \(Fisher's exact test,](#page-5-0) $P > 0.05$). Quan[titative RT–PCR studies in the leukemia cell line](#page-5-0) MOLT-16 confirmed that $Uc.160 +$, $Uc.283 + A$ and $Uc.346 + CpG$ $Uc.346 + CpG$ island hypermethylation was associated [with loss of the corresponding T-UCR, while cells with](#page-5-0) [unmethylated CpG islands expressed these transcripts](#page-5-0) [\(Figures 4a and b\). We also confirmed in MOLT-16 cells](#page-7-0) [that treatment with the demethylating agent restored T-](#page-7-0)[UCR expression \(Figure 4b\). Moreover, we used the](#page-7-0) [neuroblastoma cell line LAN-1, which was unmethy](#page-7-0)[lated for all the three studied T-UCR CpG islands, to](#page-7-0) [further confirm the association between an unmethy](#page-7-0)[lated Uc.160](#page-7-0) +[, Uc.283](#page-7-0) + [A and Uc.346](#page-7-0) + [CpG island](#page-7-0) [and expression of the corresponding transcript \(Supple](#page-7-0)[mentary Figure 3\). We also performed chromatin](#page-7-0) [immunoprecipitation to obtain a detailed map of](#page-7-0) [RNA polymerase II occupancy in the three character](#page-7-0)[ized T-UCRs in LAN-1 cells. We observed an enrich](#page-7-0)[ment in RNA polymerase II in the corresponding](#page-7-0) [transcription start sites previously identified by RACE](#page-7-0) [\(Supplementary Figure 3\), reinforcing the link between](#page-7-0) [T-UCR-unmethylated 5](#page-7-0)'[-ends and active transcription.](#page-7-0) [Furthermore, we also used the T-UCR-unmethylated](#page-7-0) [neuroblastoma cell lines to study the cellular localiza](#page-7-0)[tion of these transcripts. If mature microRNAs are](#page-7-0) [mostly located in the cytosol \(Ambros, 2004; Bartel,](#page-10-0) [2004; He and Hannon, 2004\), other non-coding RNAs](#page-11-0) [have been shown to localize in nucleus \(Chen and](#page-10-0) [Carmichael, 2009\). Using quantitative RT–PCR in](#page-10-0) [isolated nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions of the](#page-10-0) [neuroblastoma cell lines LAN-1, SK-N-SH and SK-N-](#page-10-0)EB1, we have observed that $Uc.160 +$, $Uc.283 + A$ and $Uc.346 + CpG$ $Uc.346 + CpG$ are almost exclusively localized in the

Figure 3 T-UCR expression and chromatin environment. (a) Expression analyses of T-UCRs by quantitative RT–PCR. Uc.160 +, $Uc.283 + A$ and $Uc.346 +$ show minimal expression in the hypermethylated HCT-116 cells, while treatment with the DNAdemethylating agent 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (AZA) and DKO cells show T-UCR upregulation. (b) Quantitative chromatin immunoprecipitation assay for the histone modification mark trimethylation of lysine 4 of histone H3 (H3K4me3) that is associated with active transcription. The presence of T-UCR CpG island methylation is associated with the lack of H3K4me3 histone, whereas the opposite scenario is observed when DNA demethylation events are present by genetic disruption of the DNMTs (DKO cells) or pharmacological treatment with a DNA-demethylating agent (AZA lane). (c) Chromatin accessibility assay using the MspI restriction enzyme coupled to real-time PCR. The hypermethylated CpG islands of Uc.160 +, Uc.283 + A and Uc.346 + CpG in HCT-116 are inaccessible to the enzyme, but CpG island demethylation upon 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine treatment or in DKO cells results in higher accessibility. (d) Quantitative chromatin immunoprecipitation assay for RNA polymerase II (RNA Pol II) shows its absence in T-UCR hypermethylated CpG islands and its enrichment upon DNA hypomethylation events (DKO cells and HCT-116 treated with the DNA-demethylating drug).

6394

Cell line	Uc.160+	$Uc.283+A$	Uc.346+	Tumor type
HCT-116				Colon
SW-480				
SW-620				
RKO				
LoVo				
DLD-1				
COLO 205				
A-549				Lung
H ₂₃				
H460				
Calu-3				
H441				
H522				
H358				
EBC-1				
H1299				
BT-549				Breast
MCF-7				
MDA-MB-157				
SkBr3				
MDA-MB-231				
HCC1937				
MDA-MB-436				
CAMA-1				
BT-474				
UAC3199				
LAI-5S				Neuroblastoma
$LAN-1$				
SK-N-SH				
$SK-N-BE(2)$				
HL-60				Leukemia
Jurkat				
KG-1a				
Molt-16				
SKNO1				
REH				
K562				
KOPN-8				
Molt-4				
HEL 92.1.7				
CMK				
$SD-1$				
SEM				
MV-4-11				
MOLM-13				
Ramos				Lymphoma
Akata				
Raji				
Namalwa				
Hut78				
JeKo-1				
U-937				
Karpas-422				
Saos-2				Sarcoma
A-673				
MG-63				
CAL-78				
IGR 39				Melanoma
IGR 37				

Table 1 Profile of T-UCR CpG island methylation status in human cancer cell lines

Black and white boxes indicate methylated or unmethylated CpG island, respectively.

[cytosol \(Supplementary Figure 4\). This finding might be](#page-10-0) [an important clue for further research focused on the](#page-10-0) [physiological roles of T-UCRs.](#page-10-0)

Most importantly, the CpG island hypermethylation of Uc.160 +, Uc283 + A and Uc.346 + was not an in vitro phenomenon, because when we examined 283 human primary malignancies corresponding to six different tissue types, we observed that $Uc.160 +$, $Uc.283 + A$ and $Uc.346 + CpG$ island hypermethylation was commonly found in primary colon, breast and lung tumors, in addition to melanomas, leukemias and lymphomas [\(Figures 5a and b\). We confirmed in a set of](#page-9-0) [corresponding normal tissues \(fifteen lung, two breast](#page-9-0) [and three lymphocyte samples\), in addition to the](#page-9-0) [previously analyzed five colon mucosas, the absence of](#page-9-0) [hypermethylation of the described T-UCRs \(Figures 4a](#page-7-0) [and 5b\). For colorectal tumors, where K-ras and p53](#page-7-0) [mutational and microsatellite instability data were](#page-7-0) available (Lujambio et al.[, 2007, 2008\), the T-UCR](#page-11-0) [CpG island methylation status was not associated with](#page-11-0) [any of the described genetic alterations \(Fisher's exact](#page-11-0) test, $P > 0.05$). To determine whether hypermethylation [of the described T-UCRs might represent an early lesion](#page-11-0) [in tumorigenesis, we examined the CpG island methyla](#page-11-0)[tion status of Uc.160](#page-11-0) +[, Uc.283](#page-11-0) + [A and Uc.346](#page-11-0) + [in](#page-11-0) benign colorectal adenomas $(n = 19)$, a lesion that is a [precursor to invasive colorectal tumors. We observed](#page-11-0) [that T-UCR hypermethylation is already present in these](#page-11-0) [premalignant lesions, although in a lower frequency to](#page-11-0) [that of established colon carcinomas \(Figure 5b\). How](#page-9-0)[ever, the most compelling data regarding the role of](#page-9-0) [Uc.160](#page-9-0) + [, Uc.283](#page-9-0) + [A and Uc.346](#page-9-0) + [epigenetic silencing](#page-9-0) [in tumor progression were obtained when the T-UCR](#page-9-0) [CpG island hypermethylation status was determined](#page-9-0) [with respect to the existence or non-existence of lymph](#page-9-0) [node metastasis in the tumors for which data were](#page-9-0) available (Figure 5c). The presence of $Uc.160 +$ [,](#page-9-0) $Uc.283 + A$ $Uc.283 + A$ and $Uc.346 + CpG$ island hypermethylation [in the primary tumor was significantly associated with](#page-9-0) [those tumors that were positive for metastatic cancer](#page-9-0) [cells in the corresponding lymph nodes \(Fisher's exact](#page-9-0) [test;](#page-9-0) $P = 0.0093$, $P = 1.366E - 07$ $P = 1.366E - 07$ $P = 1.366E - 07$ and $P = 2.5818E - 07$ $P = 2.5818E - 07$ $P = 2.5818E - 07$, [respectively\) \(Figure 5c\), which highlights the impor](#page-9-0)tance of the in vivo [role of T-UCR epigenetic silencing in](#page-9-0) [tumorigenesis.](#page-9-0)

One of the main challenges in research into UCR (and ncRNAs generally) is the identification of a particular cellular function. If tumor suppressor roles have been described for coding genes ([Jones and Baylin, 2007;](#page-11-0) [Esteller, 2008\) and microRNAs \(Davalos and Esteller,](#page-10-0) [2010\) undergoing cancer-specific CpG island hyper](#page-10-0)[methylation-associated silencing, T-UCRs might also](#page-10-0) [act in a similar manner. We have briefly addressed](#page-10-0) [this issue by cloning the full length of the T-UCR](#page-10-0) $Uc.283 + A$ $Uc.283 + A$ (2227 bp) and restoring its expression by [transfection in the hypermethylated and silenced HCT-](#page-10-0)[116 colorectal cancer cell line. Upon re-introduction of](#page-10-0) $Uc.283 + A$ $Uc.283 + A$, we have observed a marked increase in the [cell-doubling time and a major enhancement in the](#page-10-0) [number of dead cells, assessed by the trypan blue and](#page-10-0) [cell cycle assays \(Supplementary Figure 5\). These results](#page-10-0) [suggest that the described hypermethylated T-UCRs](#page-10-0) [might have potential growth-inhibitory features that will](#page-10-0) [warrant further investigation.](#page-10-0)

From a molecular genetics standpoint, untranscribed UCRs might have regulatory functions as enhancers (Nobrega et al.[, 2003; Pennacchio](#page-11-0) et al., 2006), while

[myriad functions can be postulated for T-UCRs, such](#page-11-0) [as an antisense inhibitory role for protein-coding genes](#page-11-0) [or other ncRNAs, including miRNAs. We have](#page-11-0)

[preliminarily studied the possible effect of T-UCRs in](#page-11-0) [the expression of protein-coding genes located upstream](#page-11-0) [and downstream of the transcription start sites of](#page-11-0) $Uc.160 +$ $Uc.160 +$, $Uc.283 + A$ and $Uc.346 +$ [\(Supplementary](#page-11-0) [Table 3\). The available microarray expression data that](#page-11-0) [compare HCT-116 vs DKO cells \(Schuebel](#page-11-0) et al., 2007) [show that the expression of three T-UCR-surrounding](#page-11-0) [genes included in the described genomic platform](#page-11-0) $(AP3B1$ [for Uc.160](#page-11-0) +; RFX4 [for Uc.346](#page-11-0) +; and [ERCC6](#page-11-0) for $Uc.283 + A$) was unchanged in the DNA-hypo[methylated cells. Thus, because DKO cells re-express the](#page-11-0) [T-UCRs characterized in our study and there is no effect](#page-11-0) [in the expression levels of the neighboring genes](#page-11-0) AP3B1, RFX-4 and ERCC6[, we can hypothesize that T-UCRs](#page-11-0) [exert their function at another level. The precise](#page-11-0) [molecular targets of these T-UCRs will be the focus of](#page-11-0) [future research in this area.](#page-11-0)

On the other hand, instead of T-UCRs acting on protein-coding genes and miRNAs, it is possible that miRNAs exert control over T-UCRs. Evidence supporting this contention comes from the demonstration that many T-UCRs have significant antisense complementarity with particular miRNAs, the negative correlation between expression of specific T-UCRs and predicted interactor miRNAs, and the demonstration in a small subset of T-UCRs of an interaction in vitro (luciferase assays) and in vivo (miR transfection) with miR/T-UCR [\(Calin](#page-10-0) et al., [2007\). The latter observation is particularly relevant to](#page-10-0) $Uc.160 +$ $Uc.160 +$ [, in which transfection of the oncogenic miR-](#page-10-0)[155 into leukemia cells significantly reduced the expres](#page-10-0)[sion level of Uc.160](#page-10-0) + (Calin *et al.*[, 2007\). Thus, if it is](#page-10-0) [widely accepted that the probability of simultaneous](#page-10-0) [hits in the same molecular pathway in a given tumor is](#page-10-0) [very low, simultaneous overexpression of miR-155 and](#page-10-0) [CpG island hypermethylation-associated inactivation](#page-10-0) [of Uc.160](#page-10-0) $+$ [in the same leukemia sample should be a](#page-10-0) [rare event. To test whether this inverse association](#page-10-0) [exists, we analyzed the association between miR-155](#page-10-0) [expression and Uc.160](#page-10-0) + [CpG island methylation status](#page-10-0) [in our 15 human leukemia cell lines. We observed an](#page-10-0) [unmethylated Uc.160](#page-10-0) + [CpG island in the leukemias with](#page-10-0) high levels of the miR-155 transcript $(n = 7)$ compared with the presence of $Uc.160 +$ [hypermethylation in the](#page-10-0) [leukemias with low levels of miR-155 \(](#page-10-0) $n=8$) ($P=0.01492$, Student's t[-test\) \(Figure 5d\). Thus, the mutual exclusivity](#page-9-0) of the epigenetic alteration of $Uc.160 +$ [and the over](#page-9-0)[expression of the oncogenic miR-155 suggests that they](#page-9-0) [may have a critical and cooperative role in human](#page-9-0) [leukemogenesis.](#page-9-0)

Discussion

The increasing number of cancer exonomes and genomes sequenced exhibits a low rate of true genetic mutations ([Velculescu, 2008\). Thus, there is a large](#page-11-0) [fraction of the genome constituted by non-coding DNA](#page-11-0) [sequences that is likely to contain genetic and epigenetic](#page-11-0) [defects in malignancies. The best example is the most](#page-11-0) [widely studied type of ncRNA, the microRNAs.](#page-11-0) [miRNA dysregulation has been found in many human](#page-11-0) [diseases, cancer being the paradigmatic example \(Lu](#page-11-0) et al.[, 2005; Calin and Croce, 2006; Volinia](#page-11-0) et al., 2006). [miRNA genetic alterations, such as deletions, mutations](#page-11-0) [and amplifications, have been increasingly found in](#page-11-0) [human tumors \(Calin](#page-11-0) *et al.*, 2004b; Zhang *et al.*, 2006; Rossi et al., 2008; Spizzo et al.[, 2009\), and the miRNA](#page-11-0) [processing machinery can also be altered in transformed](#page-11-0) cells (Zhang et al., 2006; Rossi et al.[, 2008; Merritt](#page-11-0) et al., 2008; Hill et al., 2009; Melo et al.[, 2009\). Most](#page-11-0) [importantly, miRNAs can also undergo cancer-specific](#page-11-0) [5](#page-11-0)'[-CpG island hypermethylation-associated silencing](#page-11-0) (Saito et al., 2006; Han et al.[, 2007; Lujambio](#page-11-0) et al., [2007, 2008; Toyota](#page-11-0) et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2009). We [have now provided data that suggest that DNA](#page-11-0) [methylation-associated silencing of miRNAs in human](#page-11-0) [cancer may be just the tip of the iceberg and that it](#page-11-0) [might be many more different classes of ncRNAs](#page-11-0) [undergoing epigenetic inactivation in transformed cells,](#page-11-0) [T-UCRs being the second prototypical example.](#page-11-0)

UCRs were discovered by bioinformatic comparisons of the genomes of mouse, rat and human [\(Bejerano](#page-10-0) *et al.*, [2004\). In total, 481 UCR sequences show 100% identity](#page-10-0) [among the three species. Some of them contain protein](#page-10-0)[coding sequences, but over half are not predicted to code](#page-10-0) [for any protein \(Bejerano](#page-10-0) et al., 2004). Using a custom[designed microarray \(Calin](#page-10-0) et al., 2007), a new class of [ncRNAs encoded from a subset of UCRs was identified:](#page-10-0) [transcribed UCRs \(T-UCRs\). These T-UCRs \(also](#page-10-0) [called ultraconserved genes, UCGs\) are expressed in](#page-10-0) [normal tissues with housekeeping and tissue-specific](#page-10-0) patterns (Calin et al.[, 2007\), in a similar manner as](#page-10-0) [protein-coding genes and miRNAs do. Interestingly, we](#page-10-0) [observed that while almost half of the T-UCR-associated](#page-10-0) [CpG islands are unmethylated in all tissues, the other](#page-10-0) [half show tissue-specific T-UCR CpG island methyla](#page-10-0)[tion, as occurs with promoter CpG islands of coding](#page-10-0) [genes \(Jones and Baylin, 2007; Esteller, 2008\) and](#page-10-0) [miRNAs \(Lujambio and Esteller, 2007, 2009\). The](#page-11-0) [genome-wide expression profile of T-UCRs reveals that](#page-11-0)

Figure 4 CpG island methylation and expression of T-UCRs in cancer cell lines and normal tissues. (a) Bisulfite genomic sequencing of the associated CpG islands for the T-UCRs Uc.160 + , Uc.283 + A and Uc.346 + in the leukemia cell line MOLT16 and normal tissues (colon, NC; lymphocytes, NL; lung, Nlu-1 to Nlu-3). CpG dinucleotides are represented as short vertical lines and the location of the T-UCR probe from the expression microarray is represented as a black arrowhead. The locations of the bisulfite genomic sequencing primers are indicated by black arrows. Eight single clones are represented for each sample. Presence of a methylated or unmethylated cytosine is indicated by a black or white square, respectively. The transcription start sites identified by RACE are represented by vertical black arrows. (b) Expression analyses of T-UCRs by quantitative RT–PCR. Uc.160 + and Uc.346 + show minimal expression in the hypermethylated MOLT-16 cells, while treatment with the DNA-demethylating agent 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (AZA) induces T-UCR upregulation. The Uc.283 $+$ A CpG island is unmethylated in MOLT-16 and the T-UCR is expressed at similar levels in the untreated and demethylating agent-treated cells.

* 3 Normal lymphocyte; 5 normal colon, 2 normal breast and 15 normal lung were analyzed.

[these ncRNAs have distinct signatures in normal tissues](#page-11-0) [and human cancers, and between tumor types \(Calin](#page-10-0) et al.[, 2007\). Many genetic defects could cause T-UCR](#page-10-0)

 $\mathbf b$

[dysregulation in transformed cells, and, in fact, several of](#page-10-0) [these T-UCRs are located at fragile sites, amplified](#page-10-0) [regions and loss-of-heterozygosity loci \(Calin](#page-10-0) et al.,

n=8

leukemias

Contract

Figure 5 T-UCR CpG island hypermethylation patterns in human tumorigenesis. (a) Methylation-specific PCR analyses for $Uc.160 +$, $Uc.283 + A$ and $Uc.346 +$ methylation in primary human tumors from different types. In vitro methylated DNA (IVD) and normal lymphocytes (NLs) are shown as positive and negative controls for methylated and unmethylated sequences, respectively. (b) Frequency of Uc.160 + , Uc.283 + A and Uc.346 + hypermethylation in primary human tumors from different types, normal tissues and premalignant lesions (colorectal adenomas). (c) Distribution of Uc.160 +, Uc.283 + A and Uc.346 + CpG island hypermethylation in primary tumors according to the presence or absence of lymph node metastasis. The presence of hypermethylation of the T-UCRs is significantly associated with the existence of metastasis in human malignancies. (d) Observation of an unmethylated Uc.160 $+$ CpG island in all leukemias that overexpress the miR-155 transcript determined by quantitative RT–PCR in comparison with the presence of Uc.160 + hypermethylation in all leukemias with low levels of miR-155 ($P = 0.01492$, Student's t-test). CRC, colorectal carcinoma.

2004b; Zhang et al., 2006; Rossi et al.[, 2008\). However, it](#page-11-0) [is reasonable to propose that they could also undergo](#page-11-0) [CpG island hypermethylation-associated silencing. Our](#page-11-0) [finding that epigenetic inactivation by CpG island](#page-11-0) [hypermethylation of a subset of T-UCRs, such as](#page-11-0) $Uc160 +$ $Uc160 +$, $Uc.283 + A$ and $Uc.346 +$ [, occurs in a wide](#page-11-0) [spectrum of human cancer cell lines and primary tumors](#page-11-0) [of varying cellular and tissue origin provides clear](#page-11-0) [support for the concept that major disruption of ncRNA](#page-11-0) [programming is a common feature of cancer cells.](#page-11-0)

An enormous task still lies ahead. New classes of ncRNAs are emerging, such as piwi-interacting RNAs, small-nucleolar RNAs, long ncRNAs, telomere-specific small RNAs and transcription-initiation RNAs, that might be subject to transcriptional regulation of neighboring CpG islands and DNA methylation. The particular functions of these ncRNAs are beginning to be elucidated, but little is currently known about their alteration in diseases such as cancer. However, it seems likely that aberrations in the DNA methylation pattern of their underlying genomic sequences will turn out to have a role, and therefore merit further investigation. For T-UCRs, once we have demonstrated their epigenetic disturbance in human neoplasms, we will be in a position to begin to understand their biological function better. For untranscribed UCRs, previous studies have suggested important roles in promoting the expression of several genes and in regulating alternative splicing (Bejerano et al.[, 2004, 2006; Lareau](#page-11-0) et al., [2007\). However, for T-UCRs, which have a chromatin](#page-11-0) [context \(histone modifications, chromatin accessibility](#page-11-0) [and RNA polymerase II occupancy\) similar to that](#page-11-0) [observed in protein-coding genes and miRNAs depend](#page-11-0)[ing on their transcriptional status, several functions are](#page-11-0) [still being studied.](#page-11-0)

In summary, our findings demonstrate that, in addition to miRNAs, epigenetic silencing of other classes of ncRNAs, such as T-UCRs, is a common feature of human cancer. Our results not only provide further valuable knowledge about the mechanisms involved in normal and aberrant regulation of ncRNA transcription, but also indicate that the tumor-specific CpG island hypermethylated T-UCRs identified might be useful biomarkers of the disease. Above all, the reactivation of those T-UCRs that have undergone aberrant CpG island hypermethylation in the transformed cells might provide a new molecular basis for the therapeutic use of pharmacological compounds with DNA-demethylating activity in the treatment of cancer patients.

Materials and methods

Cell lines, culture conditions and primary study samples

The 59 human cancer cell lines examined in this study were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany). HCT-116 and DKO cells were a generous gift from Dr Bert Vogelstein (Johns Hopkins Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center, Baltimore, MD, USA). Cell lines were treated with 1 µM 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) for 48h to achieve demethylation (Herman et al.[, 1998\). Cell-doubling time, trypan blue assay](#page-11-0) [and cell cycle analysis were performed as described in](#page-11-0) [Supplementary Materials and methods. DNA samples from](#page-11-0) [primary tumors \(](#page-11-0) $n = 283$), benign colorectal adenomas ($n = 19$) and normal tissues $(n = 25)$ were obtained at the time of the [clinically indicated surgical procedures. All patients gave](#page-11-0) [written consent to participate in the study and the Ethics](#page-11-0) [Committee of the different hospitals cleared the study protocol.](#page-11-0)

RNA isolation and T-UCR expression microarray analysis

Total RNA was isolated from the colorectal cancer cell line HCT-116, before and after 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine treatment, by Trizol extraction (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). T-UCR microarray profiling was conducted as described ([Calin](#page-10-0) et al., [2007\). Microarray chips were developed with a total of 481](#page-10-0) [human UCR sequences \(Calin](#page-10-0) et al., 2007). For each UCR, [two 40-mer probes were designed, one corresponding to the](#page-10-0) sense genomic sequence (named $' +$) and the other to the [complementary sequence \(named '](#page-10-0) $+A$ '). The design criteria were as described (Liu et al.[, 2004\). Each oligo was printed in](#page-11-0) [duplicate in two different slide locations, and therefore](#page-11-0) [quadruplicate numerical values were available for analysis.](#page-11-0) [Several thousand \(3484\) blank spots were used for background](#page-11-0) [subtraction. RNA extraction and microarray experiments,](#page-11-0) [consisting of the UCR microarray assembly, target prepara](#page-11-0)[tion and array hybridization, were performed as described in](#page-11-0) [detail elsewhere \(Calin](#page-11-0) et al., 2004a; Liu et al., 2004). Further [details are provided in Supplementary Materials and methods.](#page-11-0)

DNA methylation analyses

The CpG Island Searcher Program [\(Takai and Jones, 2003\)](#page-11-0) [was used to determine which T-UCRs were located within a](#page-11-0) ±[2000-bp proximity of a CpG island, as it has been predicted](#page-11-0) [that more than 90% of the human miRNA promoters are](#page-11-0) [located 1000 bp upstream of the mature miRNA \(Saini](#page-11-0) et al., 2007; Zhou et al.[, 2007\). DNA methylation status was](#page-11-0) [established by PCR analysis of bisulfite-modified genomic](#page-11-0) [DNA, which induces chemical conversion of unmethylated,](#page-11-0) [but not methylated, cytosine to uracil. Two procedures were](#page-11-0) [used. First, methylation status was analyzed by bisulfite](#page-11-0) [genomic sequencing of the corresponding CpG islands. Eight](#page-11-0) [independent clones were analyzed. The second analysis used](#page-11-0)

6400

[methylation-specific PCR with primers specific for either the](#page-11-0) [methylated or the modified unmethylated DNA. The primers](#page-11-0) [used are described in the Supplementary Table 2.](#page-11-0)

Quantification and cellular localization of T-UCRs and miR-155 with real-time PCR

Quantitative RT–PCR was performed to quantify the level of T-UCRs as described previously (Calin et al., 2007). Each RT reaction contained 1 µg of purified and DNase-treated (turbo DNA-free, Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) total RNA, which was reverse transcribed using Thermoscript RT and gene-specific (sense or antisense) primers. cDNA was amplified using realtime PCR and SYBR (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) green detection using PCR primers designed to amplify the same 40-bp regions as the oligo probe on the microarray. The primers used are described in Supplementary Table 2. TaqMan assays were used to quantify the level of miR-155 as [described previously \(Lujambio](#page-11-0) et al., 2008). The relative [amounts of each UCR and miRNA to the control \(U6 and](#page-11-0) [GAPDH, and RNU19, respectively\) were determined using](#page-11-0) [the 2\(DDCt\) method \(Livak and Schmittgen, 2001\).](#page-11-0) [Further details are provided in Supplementary Materials and](#page-11-0) [methods.](#page-11-0)

Quantitative chromatin immunoprecipitation

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays were performed as previously described (Jacinto et al.[, 2009\) using the anti](#page-11-0)[trimethyl-K4 histone H3 antibody \(ab8580/ab1220; Abcam,](#page-11-0) [Cambridge, MA, USA\) and anti-RNA polymerase II \(N20,](#page-11-0) [SC899; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA\).](#page-11-0) [The primers used are described in Supplementary Table 2.](#page-11-0) [Further details are provided in Supplementary Materials and](#page-11-0) [methods.](#page-11-0)

Chromatin accessibility

Nuclei from each cell line were digested in a series of increasing MspI restriction enzyme (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, Mannheim, Germany) concentrations (0, 100 and 400 U/ml) at 37° C for 1 h. We used the 2(DDCt) method [\(Livak and](#page-11-0) [Schmittgen, 2001\) to determine relative levels of amplification,](#page-11-0)

References

- Ambros V. (2004). The functions of animal microRNAs. Nature 431: 350–355.
- Ballestar E, Paz MF, Valle L, Wei S, Fraga MF, Espada J et al. (2003). Methyl-CpG binding proteins identify novel sites of epigenetic inactivation in human cancer. EMBO J 22: 6335–6345.
- Bartel DP. (2004). MicroRNAs: genomics, biogenesis, mechanism, and function. Cell 116: 281–297.
- Bejerano G, Lowe CB, Ahituv N, King B, Siepel A, Salama SR et al. (2006). A distal enhancer and an ultraconserved exon are derived from a novel retroposon. Nature 441: 87–90.
- Bejerano G, Pheasant M, Makunin I, Stephen S, Kent WJ, Mattick JS et al. (2004). Ultraconserved elements in the human genome. Science 304: 1321–1325.
- Calin GA, Croce CM. (2006). MicroRNA signatures in human cancers. Nat Rev Cancer 6: 857–866.
- Calin GA, Liu CG, Ferracin M, Hyslop T, Spizzo R, Sevignani C et al. (2007). Ultraconserved regions encoding ncRNAs are altered in human leukemias and carcinomas. Cancer Cell 12: 215–229.
- Calin GA, Liu CG, Sevignani C, Ferracin M, Felli N, Dumitru CD et al. (2004a). MicroRNA profiling reveals distinct signatures in B cell chronic lymphocytic leukemias. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101: 11755–11760.

[using a region in the](#page-11-0) RIZ gene without MspI targets for [normalization. Values were expressed as the difference relative](#page-11-0) [to the controls. The primers used are described in Supplemen](#page-11-0)[tary Table 2. Further details are provided in Supplementary](#page-11-0) [Materials and methods.](#page-11-0)

RACE, cloning and transfection

Transcription start sites for the three studied T-UCRs $(Uc.160 +$, $Uc.283 + A$ and $Uc.346 +$) were identified by RACE in the $5'$ direction. The sequences were obtained independently from DKO and LAN-1 cell lines according to the manufacturer's protocol (SMARTerTM RACE cDNA Amplification Kit; Clontech, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The 3' end of $Uc.283 + A$ was localized by primer walking within a region of \sim 350 bp. The primers used in both approaches are described in Supplementary Table 2. For transfection, the $Uc.283 + A$ expression vector (pCDNA3.1 $Uc283 + A$) was constructed by cloning the DNA corresponding to $Uc.283 + A$ into a pCDNA3.1 $(+)$ vector. An empty pCDNA3.1 $(+)$ vector was used as a control. HCT-116 cells were transfected by electroporating 107 cells in 0.8 ml phosphate-buffered saline with $40 \mu g$ of the vector at 250 V and 975 mF . After electroporation, cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline and seeded in fresh medium.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Grants SAF2007-00027- 65134, Consolider CSD2006-49, CANCERDIP HEALTH-F2-2007-200620 and the Dr Josef Steiner Cancer Research Foundation. AL is supported by a FPU Fellowship. AP is a Sara Borell Postdoctoral Fellow. ME is an ICREA Research Professor.

- Calin GA, Sevignani C, Dumitru CD, Hyslop T, Noch E, Yendamuri S et al. (2004b). Human microRNA genes are frequently located at fragile sites and genomic regions involved in cancers. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101: 2999–3004.
- Chang TC, Yu D, Lee YS, Wentzel EA, Arking DE, West KM et al. (2008). Widespread microRNA repression by Myc contributes to tumorigenesis. Nat Genet 40: 43–50.
- Chen LL, Carmichael GG. (2009). Altered nuclear retention of mRNAs containing inverted repeats in human embryonic stem cells: functional role of a nuclear noncoding RNA. Mol Cell 35: 467–478.
- Davalos V, Esteller M. (2010). MicroRNAs and cancer epigenetics: a macrorevolution. Curr Opin Oncol 22: 35-45.
- Duursma AM, Kedde M, Schrier M, le Sage C, Agami R. (2008). miR-148 targets human DNMT3b protein coding region. RNA 14: 872–877.
- Esquela-Kerscher A, Slack FJ. (2006). OncomiRs-microRNAs with a role in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 6: 259–269.
- Esteller M. (2007). Cancer epigenomics: DNA methylomes and histone-modification maps. Nat Rev Genet 8: 286–298.
- Esteller M. (2008). Epigenetics in cancer. N Engl J Med 358: 1148–1159.
- Hammond SM. (2007). MicroRNAs as tumor suppressors. Nat Genet 39: 582–583.

Han L, Witmer PD, Casey E, Valle D, Sukumar S. (2007). DNA methylation regulates MicroRNA expression. Cancer Biol Ther 6: 1284–1288.

- He L, Hannon GJ. (2004). MicroRNAs: small RNAs with a big role in gene regulation. Nat Rev Genet 5: 522–531.
- Henikoff S. (2007). Nucleosomes at active promoters: unforgettable loss. Cancer Cell 12: 407–409.
- Henikoff S. (2008). Nucleosome destabilization in the epigenetic regulation of gene expression. Nat Rev Genet 9: 15–26.
- Herman JG, Umar A, Polyak K, Graff JR, Ahuja N, Issa JP et al. (1998). Incidence and functional consequences of hMLH1 promoter hypermethylation in colorectal carcinoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95: 6870–6875.
- Hill DA, Ivanovich J, Priest JR, Gurnett CA, Dehner LP, Desruisseau D et al. (2009). DICER1 mutations in familial pleuropulmonary blastoma. Science 325: 965.
- Huang YW, Liu JC, Deatherage DE, Luo J, Mutch DG, Goodfellow PJ et al. (2009). Epigenetic repression of microRNA-129-2 leads to overexpression of SOX4 oncogene in endometrial cancer. Cancer Res 69: 9038–9046.
- International Human Genome Sequencing Consortium (2004). Finishing the euchromatic sequence of the human genome. Nature 431: 931-945.
- Iwama H, Masaki T, Kuriyama S. (2007). Abundance of microRNA target motifs in the 3'-UTRs of 20527 human genes. FEBS Lett 581: 1805–1810.
- Jacinto FV, Ballestar E, Esteller M. (2009). Impaired recruitment of the histone methyltransferase DOT1L contributes to the incomplete reactivation of tumor suppressor genes upon DNA demethylation. Oncogene 28: 4212–4224.
- Jones PA, Baylin SB. (2007). The epigenomics of cancer. Cell 128: 683–692.
- Katzman S, Kern AD, Bejerano G, Fewell G, Fulton L, Wilson RK et al. (2007). Human genome ultraconserved elements are ultraselected. Science 317: 915.
- Lareau LF, Inada M, Green RE, Wengrod JC, Brenner SE. (2007). Unproductive splicing of SR genes associated with highly conserved and ultraconserved DNA elements. Nature 446: 926–929.
- Lin JC, Jeong S, Liang G, Takai D, Fatemi M, Tsai YC et al. (2007). Role of nucleosomal occupancy in the epigenetic silencing of the MLH1 CpG island. Cancer Cell 12: 432–444.
- Liu CG, Calin GA, Meloon B, Gamliel N, Sevignani C, Ferracin M et al. (2004). An oligonucleotide microchip for genome-wide microRNA profiling in human and mouse tissues. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101: 9740–9744.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2(-\text{Delta Delta C(T)})$ method. Methods 25: 402–408.
- Lu J, Getz G, Miska EA, Alvarez-Saavedra E, Lamb J, Peck D et al. (2005). MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers. Nature 435: 834–838.
- Lujambio A, Calin GA, Villanueva A, Ropero S, Sánchez-Céspedes M, Blanco D et al. (2008). A microRNA DNA methylation signature for human cancer metastasis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 13556– 13561.
- Lujambio A, Esteller M. (2007). CpG island hypermethylation of tumor suppressor microRNAs in human cancer. Cell Cycle 6: 1455–1459.
- Lujambio A, Esteller M. (2009). How epigenetics can explain human metastasis: a new role for microRNAs. Cell Cycle 8: 377–382.
- Lujambio A, Ropero S, Ballestar E, Fraga MF, Cerrato C, Setién F et al. (2007). Genetic unmasking of an epigenetically silenced microRNA in human cancer cells. Cancer Res 67: 1424–1429.
- Mattick JS. (2003). Challenging the dogma: the hidden layer of nonprotein-coding RNAs in complex organisms. BioEssays 25: 930–939.
- McGarvey KM, Van Neste L, Cope L, Ohm JE, Herman JG, Van Criekinge W et al. (2008). Defining a chromatin pattern that characterizes DNA-hypermethylated genes in colon cancer cells. Cancer Res 68: 5753–5759.
- Melo SA, Ropero S, Moutinho C, Aaltonen LA, Yamamoto H, Calin GA et al. (2009). A TARBP2 mutation in human cancer impairs microRNA processing and DICER1 function. Nat Genet 41: 365–370.
- Mendell JT. (2005). MicroRNAs: critical regulators of development, cellular physiology and malignancy. Cell Cycle 4: 1179–1184.
- Merritt WM, Lin YG, Han LY, Kamat AA, Spannuth WA, Schmandt R et al. (2008). Dicer, Drosha, and outcomes in patients with ovarian cancer. N Engl J Med 359: 2641-2650.
- Miranda KC, Huynh T, Tay Y, Ang YS, Tam WL, Thomson AM et al. (2006). A pattern-based method for the identification of MicroRNA binding sites and their corresponding heteroduplexes. Cell 126: 1203–1217.
- Nguyen CT, Weisenberger DJ, Velicescu M, Gonzales FA, Lin JC, Liang G et al. (2002). Histone H3-lysine 9 methylation is associated with aberrant gene silencing in cancer cells and is rapidly reversed by 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine. Cancer Res 62: 6456-6461.
- Nobrega MA, Ovcharenko I, Afzal V, Rubin EM. (2003). Scanning human gene deserts for long-range enhancers. Science 302: 413.
- Pennacchio LA, Ahituv N, Moses AM, Prabhakar S, Nobrega MA, Shoukry M et al. (2006). In vivo enhancer analysis of human conserved non-coding sequences. Nature 444: 499–502.
- Rhee I, Bachman KE, Park BH, Jair KW, Yen RW, Schuebel KE et al. (2002). DNMT1 and DNMT3b cooperate to silence genes in human cancer cells. Nature 416: 552–556.
- Rossi S, Sevignani C, Nnadi SC, Siracusa LD, Calin GA. (2008). Cancerassociated genomic regions (CAGRs) and noncoding RNAs: bioinformatics and therapeutic implications. Mamm Genome 19: 526–540.
- Saini HK, Griffiths-Jones S, Enright AJ. (2007). Genomic analysis of human microRNA transcripts. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 17719–17724.
- Saito Y, Liang G, Egger G, Friedman JM, Chuang JC, Coetzee GA et al. (2006). Specific activation of microRNA-127 with downregulation of the protooncogene BCL6 by chromatin-modifying drugs in human cancer cells. Cancer Cell 9: 435–443.
- Schuebel KE, Chen W, Cope L, Glöckner SC, Suzuki H, Yi JM et al. (2007). Comparing the DNA hypermethylome with gene mutations in human colorectal cancer. PLoS Genet 3: 1709–1723.
- Spizzo R, Nicoloso MS, Croce CM, Calin GA. (2009). SnapShot: microRNAs in cancer. Cell 137: 586-586.e1.
- Takai D, Jones PA. (2003). The CpG island searcher: a new WWW resource. In Silico Biol 3: 235–240.
- Thomson JM, Newman M, Parker JS, Morin-Kensicki EM, Wright T, Hammond SM. (2006). Extensive post-transcriptional regulation of microRNAs and its implications for cancer. Genes Dev 20: 2202–2207.
- Toyota M, Suzuki H, Sasaki Y, Maruyama R, Imai K, Shinomura Y et al. (2008). Epigenetic silencing of microRNA-34b/c and B-cell translocation gene 4 is associated with CpG island methylation in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res 68: 4123–4132.
- Velculescu VE. (2008). Defining the blueprint of the cancer genome. Carcinogenesis 29: 1087–1091.
- Volinia S, Calin GA, Liu CG, Ambs S, Cimmino A, Petrocca F et al. (2006). A microRNA expression signature of human solid tumors defines cancer gene targets. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 2257–2261.
- Zhang L, Huang J, Yang N, Greshock J, Megraw MS, Giannakakis A et al. (2006). microRNAs exhibit high frequency genomic alterations in human cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103: 9136–9141.
- Zhou X, Ruan J, Wang G, Zhang W. (2007). Characterization and identification of microRNA core promoters in four model species. Plos Comput Biol 3: e37.

Thisworkislicensed under the Creative Commons \odot SOME RIGHTS RESERVED Attribution-NonCommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on the Oncogene website (http://www.nature.com/onc)