



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

FRACTIONATION AND CHANGES IN PATIENT CARE

MRI in Radiation Oncology After the COVID-19 Pandemic

Lisa Singer, MD, PhD, Alex Marques, RT(T) (MR), Daniel N. Cagney, MD, and Evangelia Kaza, PhD

Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

Received Jun 15, 2020. Accepted for publication Jun 19, 2020.

The COVID-19 pandemic presents new challenges for many aspects of health care delivery, including diagnostic and therapeutic procedures in radiation oncology. Because the date of COVID-19 elimination is not yet known, efforts to take precautions against transmission of COVID-19, and similar viruses, will likely continue. One area that will likely be changed after the pandemic is magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) use in radiation oncology. National guidelines released during the COVID-19 pandemic addressed MRI use in diagnostic radiology, with applications to radiation oncology.¹⁻³ MRI is used in many radiation oncology departments for magnetic resonance linear accelerator (MR-linac) treatments and for MRI simulations. Given the risks imposed by a strong magnetic field, all MRI scans require a careful assessment of devices implanted in, or accompanying the patient; in cases in which MRI presents a risk, a risk–benefit analysis is used.⁴⁻⁶ Post-pandemic, the possibility of virus transmission should continue to be included in patient risk assessment, requiring new mitigation strategies. Here, we reassess the benefits and risks of MRI use in post–COVID-19 radiation oncology and present recommendations for a path forward.

MRI plays a crucial role in radiation oncology, with established applications in defining brain and spine targets for stereotactic treatments and facilitating image guided brachytherapy for cervical cancer.^{7,8} MRI simulation and MR-linac use has grown, and MRI is used for contouring and image guidance across a broad set of malignancies

owing to its superior soft tissue contrast compared with computed tomography, its lack of ionizing radiation making it well suited for continuous imaging, and its ability to support online adaptive radiation therapy workflows with real-time tumor tracking.^{9,10} Single-institution prospective trials¹¹⁻¹³ and multi-institutional retrospective studies^{14,15} provide early evidence regarding the value of MR-linac use in radiation oncology, but a higher level of evidence is needed through randomized trials.

The financial impact of COVID-19 is expected to persist after the pandemic, and higher levels of evidence will be needed to justify the acquisition of often costly MRI simulators and MR-linacs in radiation oncology. Although the need for evidence-based medicine has always existed in our field, the pandemic is expected to increase this requirement. For a device that is both costly and incorporates a strong magnetic field that presents a risk to vulnerable categories of patients and staff, randomized trials establishing a broader role for MRI will be crucial. Master protocols may provide an efficient mechanism for conducting multiple prospective trials across disease sites.^{16,17}

In the aftermath of COVID-19, the number of available employees in health care facilities may decrease. Personnel allocation may be reassessed to minimize room occupancy and to keep a safe distance among staff and patients where possible. MRI application in radiation oncology requires multidisciplinary collaboration of personnel including physicists, technologists, physicians, and nurses. Training

Corresponding author: Lisa Singer, MD, PhD; E-mail: Lisa_Singer@DFCI.harvard.edu

Disclosures: L.S. received funding for work in MRI, outside the scope of this project, from Brigham Research Institute and ViewRay, Inc. E.K. works on research in MRI sponsored by the Kayes Technology Grant,

JCRT Foundation, Inc, and has received funding from the Taiclet Family and from ViewRay, Inc, outside the scope of this work. D.N. works on research in MRI sponsored by NH TherAguiX and ViewRay, Inc, outside the scope of this work.



of multidisciplinary teams can incorporate off-site and virtual simulations to reduce the number of staff in the clinic in the postpandemic world. This is especially relevant to MR-linac training, in which radiation therapists often benefit from cross-training in radiology with magnetic resonance technologists. The success of MRI growth postpandemic will require cross-institutional collaboration to ensure safe MRI delivery.

The risks of MRI relate to the strong magnetic fields that are not encountered in other areas of radiation oncology. The MRI environment imposes unique considerations regarding the safety of items that may enter the scanner room. During the COVID outbreak, an additional risk factor for the MRI environment emerged: personal protective equipment (PPE), such as face masks, respirators, face shields, goggles, and gowns. After the pandemic, continued consideration of the safety of PPE in the MRI environment will be required. PPE and any devices accompanying the patient should be tested for ferromagnetic components using a ferromagnetic detector or portable magnet before entering zone IV. Ferromagnetic parts should be replaced if possible¹ without compromising PPE or device function; otherwise, a minimum allowed distance to the scanner at which magnetic forces are not strong enough to attract a specific metallic item should be estimated and adhered to, and options to secure or safely replace the item should be considered.

The COVID-19 outbreak has also sensitized health care providers to the possibility that even asymptomatic patients may carry viruses transmittable through droplets that can remain in the air for a few hours and fall on nearby surfaces. To minimize potential transmission to persons subsequently entering the scanner room, patients should be required to wear face masks continuously during the whole examination and treatment procedure. Masks containing metallic parts should be replaced with MR safe masks when possible, or the metallic components should be removed.¹ Even if patients' masks with metallic parts are well secured and not pulled by the scanner magnet, they could cause artifacts on the images¹⁸ and present heating hazards due to the radiofrequency fields present during MRI scanning.

The COVID-19 pandemic prompted many MRI facilities to reevaluate their air-conditioning and filtration systems with the goal of limiting transmission of airborne diseases. Although room ventilation is important to decrease droplet concentration in the air, strong air circulation may aid this spread. Therefore, scanner bore fan speed should be reassessed to achieve a balance between droplet precautions and avoiding patient heating.¹⁹ It is recommended to turn the fan off to allow droplets to settle before terminal cleaning. MRI scanner cleaning regimens have been enhanced to better disinfect scanner surfaces and coils between patients and to include a more thorough terminal cleaning. Nevertheless, the ingredients of additional cleaning agents should be checked against scanner

manufacturer cleaning recommendations to avoid degrading of the equipment.

Despite these additional risks to MRI scanning presented by COVID-19, we continued to scan patients in radiation oncology during the pandemic, considering anticipated patient benefit and necessary precautions. A previously published workflow²⁰ for treating COVID-19—positive patients in radiation oncology can be adapted for the MRI environment, to scan patients with confirmed or suspected infectious viruses. Once the pandemic has ended, we anticipate continued use of MRI in radiation oncology, with prolonged need for PPE and for magnetic resonance safety analysis of this PPE. Post-COVID, risks imposed by respiratory-transmitted viruses in the MRI environment can be mitigated using the measures outlined here. With well-designed clinical trials and comprehensive safety analyses that include PPE and viral transmission, MRI will continue to have a promising future in radiation oncology after the pandemic.

References

1. American College of Radiology. ACR guidance on COVID-19 and MR use. Available at: <https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Radiology-Safety/MR-Safety/COVID-19-and-MR-Use>. Accessed June 30, 2020.
2. Mossa-Basha M, Meltzer CC, Kim DC, et al. Radiology department preparedness for COVID-19: Radiology scientific expert panel [e-pub ahead of print]. *Radiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200988>. Accessed June 30, 2020.
3. Han Y, Chen T, Bryant J, et al. Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) guidance for the practice of cardiovascular magnetic resonance during the COVID-19 pandemic. *J Cardiovasc Magn Reson* 2020;22:26.
4. ACR Committee on MR Safety, Greenberg TD, Hoff MN, et al. ACR guidance document on MR safe practices: Updates and critical information 2019. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2019;51:331-338.
5. ACR Manual on MR Safety. Available at: <https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Radiology-Safety/MR-Safety/Manual-on-MR-Safety.pdf>. Accessed April 17, 2020.
6. Expert Panel on MR Safety, Kanal E, Barkovich AJ, et al. ACR guidance document on MR safe practices: 2013. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2013;37:501-530.
7. Sturdza A, Pötter R, Fokdal LU, et al. Image guided brachytherapy in locally advanced cervical cancer: Improved pelvic control and survival in RetroEMBRACE, a multicenter cohort study. *Radiother Oncol* 2016;120:428-433.
8. Paulson ES, Crijns SPM, Keller BM, et al. Consensus opinion on MRI simulation for external beam radiation treatment planning. *Radiother Oncol* 2016;121:187-192.
9. Hall WA, Paulson ES, van der Heide UA, et al. The transformation of radiation oncology using real-time magnetic resonance guidance: A review. *Eur J Cancer* 2019;122:42-52.
10. Corradini S, Alongi F, Andratschke N, et al. MR-guidance in clinical reality: Current treatment challenges and future perspectives. *Radiat Oncol* 2019;14:1-12.
11. Henke LE, Olsen JR, Contreras JA, et al. Stereotactic MR-guided online adaptive radiation therapy (SMART) for ultracentral thorax malignancies: Results of a phase 1 trial. 2019;4:201-209.
12. Wojcieszynski AP, Hill PM, Rosenberg SA, et al. Prospective results of real-time magnetic resonance imaging guided lumpectomy cavity boost treatment. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2016;96(suppl):S62.

13. Bruynzeel AME, Tatar SU, Oei SS, et al. A prospective single-arm phase II study of stereotactic magnetic-resonance-guided adaptive radiotherapy for prostate cancer: Early toxicity results. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2019;105:1086-1094.
14. Rosenberg SA, Henke LE, Shaverdian N, et al. A multi-institutional experience of MR-guided liver stereotactic body radiation therapy. *Adv Radiat Oncol* 2019;4:142-149.
15. Rudra S, Jiang N, Rosenberg SA, et al. Using adaptive magnetic resonance image-guided radiation therapy for treatment of inoperable pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Med* 2019;8:2123-2132.
16. Bitterman DS, Cagney DN, Singer L, et al. Master protocol trial design for efficient and rational evaluation of novel therapeutic oncology devices. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2020;112:229-237.
17. Gani C. Feasibility of online MR-guided radiotherapy on a 1.5T MR-Linac. Available at: clinicaltrials.gov. Accessed June 13, 2020 <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04172753>.
18. Murray OM, Bisset JM, Gilligan PJ, et al. Respirators and surgical facemasks for COVID-19: Implications for MRI. *Clin Radiol* 2020;75:405-407.
19. Posh J, Garlock A. MRI Safety & Covid-19: A Q&A follow-up. Available at: <https://www.metrasens.com/mri-safety-talks-podcast/>. Accessed June 12, 2020.
20. Perni S, Milligan MG, Saraf A, et al. Treating the SARS-CoV-2-positive patient with cancer: A proposal for a pragmatic and transparent ethical process [e-pub ahead of print]. *Cancer*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.32962>. Accessed June 30, 2020.