



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

**Response to McMahon et al's  
"Cutaneous reactions reported after  
Moderna and Pfizer COVID-19  
vaccination: A registry-based study  
of four hundred fourteen cases"**



*To the Editor:* McMahon et al<sup>1</sup> reported cutaneous reactions that occurred after the administration of messenger RNA (mRNA) COVID-19 vaccines. The authors recorded 414 unique patients and observed a broad spectrum of reactions after vaccination, from local injection site reactions and delayed large local reactions to urticaria and morbilliform eruptions. Several unusual reactions (erythromelalgia, pernio/chilblains, filler reactions, and pityriasis rosea-like eruptions) were also observed. All these lesions were characterized as local (near the injection site), according to the authors. Similar lesions, which were characterized as injection site reactions, were also reported by Blumenthal et al<sup>2</sup> and Baden et al<sup>3</sup> after the administration of the mRNA1273 vaccine.

A close examination of the data presented by McMahon et al<sup>1</sup> in Figs 1 and 2 shows that the reactions were not limited to the injection site but were also distant. These findings should be considered as distant skin reactions, seemingly having the same origin/pathophysiology as the local reactions observed at the injection site. Additionally, many patients exhibited other systemic symptoms concurrently with the skin reactions. Fatigue was reported by 62% of vaccine recipients after the second dose of the mRNA1273 vaccine, while 25% experienced myalgia after the second dose of the BNT162b2. Other symptoms and clinical signs were also reported, such as gastrointestinal symptoms (3.9% after mRNA1273 second dose), syncope episodes, metallic taste, and hematuria. All these findings were not discussed in depth and, in our opinion, deserve further consideration.

The case series by McMahon et al<sup>1</sup> presented 3 types of adverse effects: local skin reactions at the injection site, distant skin reactions, and/or more generalized adverse reactions. The authors did not consider the possibility that these phenomena may be closely linked. They did, however, mention that these exanthemas mimicked dermatologic manifestations of COVID-19 and suggested that an unexplained immune response may have been responsible for the findings. Since similar skin reactions and generalized adverse events have been reported after the administration of all SARS-CoV-2 vaccines available so far (mRNA and viral vectored), we formulate the hypothesis that the spike glycoprotein, which is produced as a result of the

vaccination with all currently available vaccines, is responsible for these phenomena.

*In silico* modeling studies suggest that an epitope of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, adjacent to the receptor-binding domain, may interact with the  $\alpha 7$  nicotinic acetylcholine receptors.<sup>4</sup> These receptors are widely present in different cells, including T-lymphocytes and macrophages, and have a pivotal role as part of the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway. The potential interaction of the spike with  $\alpha 7$  nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in macrophages was recently described.<sup>5</sup> Dysregulation of this system could explain many of the clinical manifestations of COVID-19, could be linked to both systemic effects and skin lesions, and may play a role in the pathophysiology of severe COVID-19, in which immune dysfunction and hyperinflammatory responses appear to be implicated. Since this hypothesis is based on the interaction between the spike and  $\alpha 7$  nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, it could be applicable to adverse effects related to the vaccination (which results in spike production), and this needs to be further explored. Considering that in many cases, skin lesions are not an isolated clinical manifestation, it is important that dermatologists record systemic symptoms and signs and be alert for the possibility that similar skin and systemic reactions may appear after the second vaccine dose.

*Konstantinos Poulas, PhD, and Konstantinos Farsalinos, MD*

*From the Department of Pharmacy, University of Patras, Patras, Greece.*

*Funding sources: None.*

*IRB approval status: Not applicable.*

*Reprints not available from the authors.*

*Correspondence to: Konstantinos Poulas, PhD, Department of Pharmacy, University of Patras, Rio, Patras 26500, Greece*

*E-mail: [kpoulas@upatras.gr](mailto:kpoulas@upatras.gr)*

**Conflicts of interest**

The authors are participating in patent applications regarding anti-COVID-19 therapies.

**REFERENCES**

1. McMahon DE, Amerson E, Rosenbach M, et al. Cutaneous reactions reported after Moderna and Pfizer COVID-19 vaccination: a registry-based study of 414 cases. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2021;85:46-55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2021.03.092>
2. Blumenthal KG, Freeman EE, Saff RR, et al. Delayed large local reactions to mRNA-1273 vaccine against SARS-CoV-2. *N Engl J*

- Med.* 2021;384:1273-1277. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2102131>
3. Baden LR, El Sahly HM, Essink B, et al. Efficacy and safety of the mRNA-1273 SARS-CoV-2 vaccine. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;384(5):403-416. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2035389>
  4. Farsalinos K, Eliopoulos E, Leonidas DD, Papadopoulos GE, Tzartos S, Poulas K. Nicotinic cholinergic system and COVID-19: in silico identification of an interaction between SARS-CoV-2 and nicotinic receptors with potential therapeutic targeting implications. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;21(16):5807. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21165807>
  5. Tanmay S, Labrou D, Farsalinos K, Poulas K. Is SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein impairing macrophage function via  $\alpha$ 7-nicotinic acetylcholine receptors? *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2021;152:112184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2021.112184>
- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2021.09.071>