



Prognostic Impact of Metabolism Reprogramming Markers Acetyl-CoA Synthetase 2 Phosphorylation and Ketohexokinase-A Expression in Non-Small-Cell Lung Carcinoma

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Etienne Giroux Leprieur, Hôpital Ambroise-Paré, France

Reviewed by:

Cancan Zhou, First Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, China Yuping Sun, Jinan Central Hospital, China

*Correspondence:

Jie He prof.jiehe@gmail.com Zhimin Lu zhiminlu@zju.edu.cn Yibo Gao gaoyibo@cicams.ac.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Thoracic Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

Received: 19 July 2019 Accepted: 09 October 2019 Published: 05 November 2019

Citation:

Yang X, Shao F, Shi S, Feng X, Wang W, Wang Y, Guo W, Wang J, Gao S, Gao Y, Lu Z and He J (2019) Prognostic Impact of Metabolism Reprogramming Markers Acetyl-CoA Synthetase 2 Phosphorylation and Ketohexokinase-A Expression in Non-Small-Cell Lung Carcinoma. Front. Oncol. 9:1123. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.01123 Xueying Yang¹, Fei Shao^{1,2,3}, Susheng Shi⁴, Xiaoli Feng⁴, Wei Wang¹, Yalong Wang¹, Wei Guo¹, Juhong Wang¹, Shugeng Gao¹, Yibo Gao^{1*}, Zhimin Lu^{5*} and Jie He^{1*}

¹ Department of Thoracic Surgery, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China, ² Cancer Institute, The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University, Qingdao, China, ³ Qingdao Cancer Institute, Qingdao, China, ⁴ Department of Pathology, National Cancer Center/National Clinical Research Center for Cancer/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China, ⁵ Zhejiang Provincial Key Laboratory of Pancreatic Disease, The First Affiliated Hospital, Institute of Translational Medicine, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China

Background: The identification of prognostic markers for non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) is needed for clinical practice. The metabolism-reprogramming marker ketohexokinase (KHK)-A and acetyl-CoA synthetase 2 (ACSS2) phosphorylation at S659 (ACSS2 pS659) play important roles in tumorigenesis and tumor development. However, the clinical significance of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 in NSCLC is largely unknown.

Methods: The expression levels of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 were assessed by immunohistochemistry analyses of surgical specimens from 303 NSCLC patients. The prognostic values of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 were evaluated by Kaplan–Meier methods and Cox regression models.

Results: The expression levels of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 were significantly higher in NSCLC tissues than those in adjacent non-tumor tissues (P < 0.0001). KHK-A or ACSS2 pS659 alone and the combination of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 were inversely correlated with overall survival in NSCLC patients (P < 0.001). The multivariate analysis indicated that KHK-A or ACSS2 pS659 and KHK-A/ACSS2 pS659 were independent prognostic biomarkers for NSCLC (P = 0.008 for KHK-A, P < 0.001 for ACSS2 pS659, and P < 0.001 for KHK-A/ACSS2 pS659). Furthermore, the combination of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 can be used as a prognostic indicator for all stages of NSCLC.

Conclusions: KHK-A or ACSS2 pS659 alone and the combination of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 can be used as prognostic markers for NSCLC. Our findings highlight the important role of metabolic reprogramming in NSCLC progression.

Keywords: metabolism reprogramming, KHK-A, ACSS2 pS659, non-small-cell lung carcinoma, prognosis, immunohistochemistry

November 2019 | Volume 9 | Article 1123

INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is becoming the leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide (1). Non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) is the most common type of lung cancer, accounting for \sim 85% of all cases (2). Due to the high rates of metastasis, recurrence, and drug resistance of NSCLC, its prognosis remains poor (3). There is an urgent need for novel biomarkers to identify a subset of patients with poor survival outcomes.

The reprogramming of energy metabolism is one of the hallmarks of cancer (4). During reprograming, cancer cell metabolism and other cellular activities are integrated and mutually regulated (5). Recent studies have shown that metabolic enzymes, such as ketohexokinase (KHK)-A and acetyl-CoA synthetase 2 (ACSS2), are moderated spatially and temporally in cancer cells so that these enzymes not only have changes in metabolic activities but also gain non-canonical functions (5).

KHK initiates fructose catabolism by catalyzing the transfer of a phosphate group from adenosine triphosphate (ATP) to fructose to produce AMP and fructose 1-phosphate (F1P) (6). F1P is then metabolized to dihydroxyacetone phosphate and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate to bypass important glycolytic regulatory steps in glycolysis and enter the latter stages of glycolysis (7). KHK-A and KHK-C are splicing isoforms of KHK with one exon difference (8). KHK-C is expressed mainly in the liver, intestines, and kidney, whereas KHK-A is ubiquitously expressed at low levels (9). Although fructose can be metabolized by both KHK-C and KHK-A, the primary enzyme involved in fructose metabolism is considered to be KHK-C rather than KHK-A due to its $K_{\rm m}$ (10). We recently reported that a splicing switch from KHK-C to KHK-A occurs in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), leading to fructose metabolism reduction, and KHK-A phosphorylates and activates phosphoribosyl pyrophosphate synthetase 1 (PRPS1), resulting in increased *de novo* nucleic acid synthesis for HCC development (6). Under oxidative stress, KHK-A dissociates from PRPS1 and phosphorylates p62 to activate Nrf2, and activated Nrf2 induces gene expression to counteract oxidative stress and promote HCC development in mice (11). Notably, high KHK-A expression predicted a poor prognosis for HCC patients (6). Thus, KHK-A reprograms HCC cell metabolism and other cellular activities by reducing fructose metabolism and increasing de novo nucleic acid synthesis and the antioxidative stress response by the protein kinase activity of KHK-A. An important remaining question is whether KHK-A plays an important role in cancers other than HCC.

Histone lysine acetylation is essential for regulating chromatin architecture and promoting transcription (12). In mammalian cells, acetyl coenzyme A (acetyl-CoA) is a necessary acetyl donor for lysine acetylation and can be produced by three enzymes: ATP-citrate lyase (ACL), the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC), and acetyl-CoA synthetase (ACSS) (13–15). In nutrient-rich environments, acetyl-CoA is primarily produced by ACL (13), and growth signals promote PDC-dependent acetyl-CoA production (14). In tumors, metabolic stress frequently occurs. Our previous study revealed that AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) can mediate ACSS2 phosphorylation at S659 (ACSS2 pS659) to induce its nuclear translocation in a glucosedeficient environment, and the binding of ACSS2 to the promoter regions of lysosomal and autophagy genes can promote acetyl-CoA production to support histone acetylation and gene expression to promote tumor development (16). Collectively, these results suggest that ACSS2 pS659 plays an important role in tumor metabolism reprogramming through its nuclear function. However, whether ACSS2 pS659 expression is a biomarker for the clinical features and prognosis of cancer is unknown.

In this study, we examined the expression of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 in human NSCLC specimens and the relationship between their abundance and clinical relevance in a large cohort of surgically resected NSCLCs. We found that both KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 are independent prognostic factors for NSCLC patients after surgery, and the combination of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 can be used as a prognostic indicator for all stages of NSCLC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and Specimens

We enrolled a total of 303 consecutive patients diagnosed with NSCLC, including 227 with lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) and 76 with lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC), by pathological examination at the National Cancer Center/Cancer Hospital in Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. Patients were diagnosed

TABLE 1 | Patient characteristics (N = 303).

| Characteristics | Number of patients (%) |
|-------------------------|------------------------|
| Gender | |
| Male | 206 (68.0%) |
| Female | 97 (32.0%) |
| Age (years) | |
| ≤60 | 132 (43.6%) |
| >60 | 171 (56.4%) |
| Histology | |
| Adenocarcinoma | 227 (74.9%) |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | 76 (25.1%) |
| T stage | |
| I | 63 (20.8%) |
| II | 141 (46.5%) |
| III | 62 (20.5%) |
| IV | 37 (12.2%) |
| Node metastasis | |
| No | 153 (50.5%) |
| Yes | 150 (49.5%) |
| TNM stage | |
| I | 84 (27.7%) |
| II | 89 (29.4%) |
| III | 122 (40.3%) |
| IV | 8 (2.6%) |

TNM stage, tumor-node-metastasis stage.





with NSCLC and were without preoperative chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and distant metastasis. All paired tumor and adjacent non-tumor tissues used in this study were collected in compliance with an informed consent policy. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Cancer Center/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, and Peking Union Medical College.

We obtained clinical data by reviewing the patients' medical histories, which are summarized in **Table 1**. Pathological staging was assessed by the 8th edition of the American Joint Committee

| TABLE 2 | Relationship of KHK-A | and ACSS2 pS659 expression | levels with patient characteristics. |
|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|---------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|

| Characteristics | KHK-A expression level | | P-value | ACSS2 pS659 expression level | | P-value |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------|---------|------------------------------|------|---------|
| | Low | High | | Low | High | |
| Gender | | | | | | |
| Male | 149 | 57 | 0.191 | 114 | 92 | 0.034 |
| Female | 63 | 34 | | 41 | 56 | |
| Age (years) | | | | | | |
| ≤60 | 95 | 37 | 0.504 | 78 | 54 | 0.015 |
| >60 | 117 | 54 | | 77 | 94 | |
| T stage | | | | | | |
| 1+11 | 146 | 58 | 0.383 | 110 | 94 | 0.167 |
| III+IV | 66 | 33 | | 45 | 54 | |
| Node metastasis | | | | | | |
| No | 114 | 39 | 0.081 | 82 | 71 | 0.391 |
| Yes | 98 | 52 | | 73 | 77 | |
| TNM stage | | | | | | |
| 1+11 | 127 | 46 | 0.131 | 97 | 76 | 0.048 |
| III+IV | 85 | 45 | | 58 | 72 | |
| Histology | | | | | | |
| Adenocarcinoma | 147 | 80 | 0.001 | 108 | 119 | 0.031 |
| Squamous cell carcinoma | 65 | 11 | | 47 | 29 | |

TNM stage, tumor-node-metastasis stage.



FIGURE 2 | Prognostic value of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 expression in NSCLC. (A,B) The value of the IHC score was used to divide the indicated NSCLC patients into two groups with high and low levels of KHK-A (A) and ACSS2 pS659 (B) expression. Kaplan–Meier survival curves were compared using the log-rank test. All statistical tests were two-sided. Crosses represent censored data from patients who were alive at the last clinical follow-up. (C) IHC scores of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 were used to divide the NSCLC patients into four subgroups (I, KHK-A low expression, and ACSS2 pS659 low expression; II, KHK-A low expression, and ACSS2 pS659 high expression; III, KHK-A high expression, and ACSS2 pS659 low expression; III, KHK-A high expression, and ACSS2 pS659 low expression; IV, KHK-A high expression, and ACSS2 pS659 high expression. Kaplan–Meier survival curves were compared using the log-rank test. All statistical tests were two-sided. Crosses represent censored data from patients who were alive at the last clinical follow-up.

on Cancer/Union for International Cancer Control TNM classification system (17). We obtained completed follow-up information for all patients, and the time from the date of diagnosis to death or the last known date of follow-up was defined as overall survival (OS).

Tissue Microarray and Immunohistochemistry

Prior to tissue microarray (TMA) construction, hematoxylin, and eosin (H&E)-stained slides were evaluated by two pathologists independently, and high tumor/stroma ratio areas were marked in the paraffin-embedded specimens. Two core regions (1 mm in diameter) were extracted from each marked tumor tissue and peritumoral tissue to construct the TMA slides, and consecutive sections measuring $4\,\mu$ m were placed on adhesive slides (18). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) analyses of the paraffin sections were performed as previously described (19). A rabbit polyclonal antibody recognizing KHK-A was obtained from Signalway Biotechnology (Pearland, TX, Cat. #21708) and was diluted to 1:50. An anti-ACSS2 pS659 rabbit polyclonal antibody was obtained from Jiaxing Xinda Biological Technology and was diluted to 1:50. The specificities of these antibodies were previously validated (6, 16).

Evaluation of the Immunohistochemical Findings

IHC scoring of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 was based on the percentage of positive cells and the staining intensity. The proportion scores were defined as follows: 0, 0% of positive cells; 1, 0–1%; 2, 2–10%; 3, 11–30%; 4, 31–70%; and 5, 71–100%. The staining intensity was rated as follows: 0, negative; 1, weak; 2, moderate; and 3, strong. A total score (range: 0, 2–8) was then obtained by combining the proportion and intensity scores, as described previously (20). IHC scoring was assessed

TABLE 3 | Univariate and multivariate analyses of overall survival for 303 NSCLC.

| Factor | Univariate <i>P</i> -value | Multivariate | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|--|
| | | Hazard ratio | 95% CI | P-value | |
| Gender (female vs. male) | 0.930 | | | NA | |
| Age (>60 vs. ≤60) | 0.065 | | | NA | |
| T stage (I vs. II vs. III vs. IV) | 0.017 | | | 0.811 | |
| Node metastasis (yes vs. no) | <0.001 | 2.003 | 1.486-2.700 | <0.001 | |
| Histology (squamous vs. adenocarcinoma) | 0.001 | 0.65 | 0.434–0.975 | 0.037 | |
| KHK-A (high vs. Iow) | <0.001 | 1.533 | 1.120-2.099 | 0.008 | |
| ACSS2 pS659 (high vs. low) | <0.001 | 2.313 | 1.687–3.172 | <0.001 | |

Cl, confidence interval; NA, not adopted.

independently by two experienced pathologists who did not know the clinical information of the patients. The cutoff values for high and low expression were based on the values of the IHC scores. In this study, the expression of KHK-A was classified as low (score ≤ 6) or high (score > 6), and the expression of ACSS2-pS659 was classified as low (score < 5) or high (score > 5).

Statistical Analysis

We used SPSS 21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY) to perform statistical analyses. The association between marker expression and clinical factors was analyzed by the chi-squared (χ^2) test. The survival analyses were performed by the Kaplan–Meier method (logrank test). We used univariate Cox regression to calculate the risk factors for progress, and the risk factors were then included in a multivariate Cox regression model to identify the independent prognostic factors. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical tests were two-sided.

RESULTS

NSCLC Specimens Have Increased KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 Expression Levels

We performed immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of NSCLC specimens (N = 303), including LUAD (**Figure 1A**) and LUSC (**Figure 1B**) tissues. We showed that KHK-A was primary in the cytoplasm of the NSCLC cells and that ACSS2 pS659 was observed in both nucleus and cytoplasm of the NSCLC cells (**Figures 1A,B**). In addition, the expression levels of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 were significantly higher in NSCLC tissues than those in adjacent non-tumor tissues (**Figures 1A–D**), indicating

TABLE 4 | Univariate and multivariate analyses of overall survival for 303 NSCLC.

| Factor | Univariate | Multivariate | | | |
|---|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | P-value | Hazard ratio | 95% Cl | P-value | |
| Gender (female vs. male) | 0.930 | | | NA | |
| Age (>60 vs. ≤60) | 0.065 | | | NA | |
| T stage (I vs. II vs. III vs. IV) | 0.017 | | | 0.823 | |
| Node metastasis (yes vs. no) | <0.001 | 1.953 | 1.446-2.637 | <0.001 | |
| Histology (squamous vs. adenocarcinoma) | 0.001 | 0.661 | 0.441–0.992 | 0.046 | |
| Combination of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 | <0.001 | | | <0.001 | |
| ll vs. I | < 0.001 | 2.803 | 1.920-4.094 | < 0.001 | |
| III vs. I | <0.001 | 2.319 | 1.366-3.936 | 0.002 | |
| IV vs. I | <0.001 | 3.587 | 2.413-5.331 | <0.001 | |
| CI, confidence inte | erval: NA. not | adopted; | . KHK-A ^{Low} /ACSS2 | pS659 ^{Low} | |

CI, confidence interval; NA, not adopted; I, KHK-A^{Low}/ACSS2 pS659^{Low}; II, KHK-A^{Low}/ACSS2 pS659^{High}; III, KHK-A^{High}/ACSS2 pS659^{Low}; IV, KHK-A^{High}/ACSS2 pS659^{High}. that NSCLC specimens have increased KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 expression levels.

KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 Expression Levels Are Correlated With Clinical Features in Patients With NSCLC

We examined the relationship between KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 expression levels and clinical features in NSCLC. KHK-A expression levels were higher in LUAD than those in LUSC (P = 0.001). However, there were no statistical correlations between KHK-A and other clinical features, including gender, age, T classification, N classification, or TNM stage (all P > 0.05, **Table 2**). Notably, ACSS2 pS659 expression levels were higher in LUAD than those in LUSC (P = 0.031), and higher in female patients than in males (P = 0.034). In addition, high ACSS2 pS659 expression was associated with older age (P = 0.015) and advanced TNM stage (P = 0.048).

KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 Expression Levels Are Inversely Correlated With the OS of Patients With NSCLC

We next analyzed whether KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 expression levels in NSCLC patients have any relationship with disease prognosis. As shown in **Figure 2A**, high KHK-A expression levels predicted a poor 5-years OS rate (high vs. low: 23.5 vs. 52.5%, P < 0.001). In addition, high ACSS2 pS659 expression levels were also correlated with a poor 5-years OS rate (**Figure 2B**; high vs. low: 24.2 vs. 62.1%, P < 0.001). To analyze the prognostic value of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 co-expression, we divided the patients into four subgroups using the IHC scores of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659: I, KHK-A low expression and ACSS2 pS659 low expression; III, KHK-A high expression and ACSS2 pS659 low expression; IV, KHK-A high expression, and ACSS2 pS659 high

expression. We observed that OS was significantly different among the four subgroups (**Figure 2C**, P < 0.001) and that high expression of both KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 appeared to have the worst prognosis with the lowest survival rates (5-years OS: 21.1%). In contrast, patients with low tumor expression of both KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 had the best prognosis with the highest OS rate (5-years OS: 69.1%).

A univariate analysis was performed to investigate the risk factors for OS. As shown in Table 3, T stage, node metastasis, histology, KHK-A, and ACSS2 pS659 were significantly associated with OS (P < 0.05). Statistically significant variables were added to multivariate survival analyses. In the Cox regression model, node metastasis, histology, KHK-A expression, and ACSS2 pS659 levels were independent prognostic factors of OS [hazard ratio (HR) = 2.003, 95% confidence interval (95% CI) = 1.486-2.700 for node metastasis; HR = 0.65, 95% CI = 0.434-0.795 for histology; HR = 1.533, 95% CI = 1.120-2.099 for KHK-A expression; and HR = 2.313, 95% CI = 1.687-3.172 for ACSS2 pS659]. To evaluate the prognostic value of combined KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 expression in NSCLC, we considered the expression of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 as a single factor for a separate multivariate analysis. Table 4 shows that the combination of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 was an independent predictor of OS (HR = 2.803, 95%CI = 1.920-4.094 for II vs. I; HR = 2.319, 95% CI = 1.366-3.936 for III vs. I; and HR = 3.587, 95% CI = 2.413-5.331 for IV vs. I).

Furthermore, we analyzed the relationship between combination of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 and prognosis from TNM stage I to IV. Low combined values of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 expression were associated with improved OS in stages I (**Figure 3A**, P < 0.001), II (**Figure 3B**, P = 0.003), and III–IV (**Figure 3C**, P < 0.001). These results indicate that the combined expression of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 is inversely correlated with OS in all stages of NSCLC.





DISCUSSION

Although tremendous clinical success in the treatment of NSCLC during the past few decades has been achieved, the prognosis of patients with NSCLC remains unsatisfactory. We still need to explore biological characteristics that can reflect NSCLC behavior. In this study, we showed that the expression levels of metabolism reprogramming marker KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 were significantly higher in NSCLC tissues than those in adjacent non-tumor tissues, and KHK-A expression levels were higher in LUAD than those in LUSC. In addition, increased ACSS2 pS659 expression was correlated with older age, advanced TNM stage, LUAD, and female patients. Of note, we showed that high expression levels of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 were correlated with reduced OS in NSCLC. In the multivariate analysis, both KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 expression levels were identified as independent prognostic biomarkers for NSCLC. These findings suggest that KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 are potential prognostic indicators for NSCLC.

Our previous work demonstrated that KHK-A and ACSS2 are two key metabolic enzymes that have important roles in tumor development. KHK-A can act as a protein kinase to directly phosphorylate PRPS1 to increase de novo nucleic acid synthesis for hepatocellular tumorigenesis (6). In addition to its role in nucleic acid synthesis, KHK-A phosphorylates p62, leading to the activation of Nrf2 and the expression of its downstream genes to counteract oxidative stress and support HCC development (11). Reports on the role of ACSS2 expression in cancer have been controversial. High ACSS2 expression predicted a poor prognosis in patients with renal cell carcinoma and bladder cancer (21, 22), whereas low ACSS2 expression predicted a poor prognosis in gastric cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma (23, 24). Our previous study showed that the phosphorylation of ACSS2 at S659 rather than its expression level is critical for GBM cells to counteract energy stress. S659-phosphorylated ACSS2 translocates to the nucleus, leading to the binding to downstream genes and acetyl-CoA production, which induces histone acetylation and gene expression for glioma development (16, 25). Although KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 have been studied in HCC and GBM, respectively, their roles in NSCLC had not yet been explored. We showed here that the combination of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 was an independent prognostic biomarker for NSCLC and was inversely related with OS in all stages of NSCLC. Given that multiple randomized clinical trials have suggested that postoperative NSCLC patients with a poor prognosis are more likely to benefit from adjuvant therapy (26), the combination of

REFERENCES

- Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin. (2015) 65:87–108. doi: 10.3322/caac.21262
- Molina JR, Yang P, Cassivi SD, Schild SE, Adjei AA. Non-small cell lung cancer: epidemiology, risk factors, treatment, and survivorship. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*. (2008) 83:584–94. doi: 10.1016/S0025-6196(11)60735-0
- 3. Liu M, Zhang Y, Zhang J, Cai H, Zhang C, Yang Z, et al. MicroRNA-1253 suppresses cell proliferation and invasion of non-small-cell

KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 expression levels can be taken into consideration for decisions regarding the use of adjuvant therapy for NSCLC, especially in the early stage.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, KHK-A or ACSS2 pS659 alone and the combination of KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 can be used as prognostic markers for NSCLC. Our findings highlight the importance of metabolic reprogramming in the clinical behavior of NSCLC and reveal that KHK-A and ACSS2 pS659 can be targeted for NSCLC treatment.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets generated for this study are included in the article/supplementary material.

ETHICS STATEMENT

All samples used in this study were collected with signed informed consent, and this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Cancer Center/Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, and Peking Union Medical College.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XY, YG, ZL, and JH conceived and designed the study and carried out data interpretation. XY wrote the manuscript with help from YG, ZL, and JH. SG, WG, and JW collected tissue samples. XY, WW, and JW performed the immunohistochemical assays. SS and XF evaluated and scored the staining. XY, FS, and YW acquired data and performed the statistical analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFC1308702, 2018YFC1312100, and 2017YFC1311000), the CAMS Initiative for Innovative Medicine (2017-I2M-1-005, 2017-I2M-2-003, and 2019-I2M-2-002), the Beijing Municipal Science & Technology Commission (Z181100001918002), and the Non-profit Central Research Institute Fund of Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (2017PT32001 and 2017PT32017).

lung carcinoma by targeting WNT5A. *Cell Death Dis.* (2018) 9:189. doi: 10.1038/s41419-017-0218-x

- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell. (2011) 144:646–74. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013
- Wang Y, Xia Y, Lu Z. Metabolic features of cancer cells. *Cancer Commun.* (2018) 38:65. doi: 10.1186/s40880-018-0335-7
- Li X, Qian X, Peng LX, Jiang Y, Hawke DH, Zheng Y, et al. A splicing switch from ketohexokinase-C to ketohexokinase-A drives hepatocellular carcinoma formation. *Nat Cell Biol.* (2016) 18:561–71. doi: 10.1038/ncb3338

- Ishimoto T, Lanaspa MA, Le MT, Garcia GE, Diggle CP, Maclean PS, et al. Opposing effects of fructokinase C and A isoforms on fructose-induced metabolic syndrome in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2012) 109:4320–5. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1119908109
- Hayward BE, Bonthron DT. Structure and alternative splicing of the ketohexokinase gene. *Eur J Biochem.* (1998) 257:85–91. doi: 10.1046/j.1432-1327.1998.2570085.x
- Diggle CP, Shires M, Leitch D, Brooke D, Carr IM, Markham AF, et al. Ketohexokinase: expression and localization of the principal fructose-metabolizing enzyme. J Histochem Cytochem. (2009) 57:763–74. doi: 10.1369/jhc.2009.953190
- Asipu A, Hayward BE, O'Reilly J, Bonthron DT. Properties of normal and mutant recombinant human ketohexokinases and implications for the pathogenesis of essential fructosuria. *Diabetes*. (2003) 52:2426–32. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.52.9.2426
- Xu D, Li X, Shao F, Lv G, Lv H, Lee JH, et al. The protein kinase activity of fructokinase A specifies the antioxidant responses of tumor cells by phosphorylating p62. *Sci Adv.* (2019) 5:eaav4570. doi: 10.1126/sciadv. aav4570
- Li B, Carey M, Workman JL. The role of chromatin during transcription. *Cell.* (2007) 128:707–19. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.01.015
- Wellen KE, Hatzivassiliou G, Sachdeva UM, Bui TV, Cross JR, Thompson CB. ATP-citrate lyase links cellular metabolism to histone acetylation. *Science*. (2009) 324:1076–80. doi: 10.1126/science.1164097
- Sutendra G, Kinnaird A, Dromparis P, Paulin R, Stenson TH, Haromy A, et al. A nuclear pyruvate dehydrogenase complex is important for the generation of acetyl-CoA and histone acetylation. *Cell.* (2014) 158:84–97. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.04.046
- Zachary Schug T, Peck B, Dylan Jones T, Zhang Q, Grosskurth S, Israt Alam S, et al. Acetyl-CoA synthetase 2 promotes acetate utilization and maintains cancer cell growth under metabolic stress. *Cancer Cell.* (2015) 27:57–71. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2014.12.002
- Li X, Yu W, Qian X, Xia Y, Zheng Y, Lee JH, et al. Nucleus-translocated ACSS2 promotes gene transcription for lysosomal biogenesis and autophagy. *Mol Cell*. (2017) 66:684–97 e9. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2017.04.026
- Detterbeck FC. The eighth edition TNM stage classification for lung cancer: what does it mean on main street? *J Thor Cardiov Surg.* (2018) 155:356–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2017.08.138
- Kononen J, Bubendorf L, Kallioniemi A, Barlund M, Schraml P, Leighton S, et al. Tissue microarrays for high-throughput molecular profiling of tumor specimens. *Nat Med.* (1998) 4:844–7. doi: 10.1038/nm0798-844
- 19. Guo W, Zhang F, Shao F, Wang P, Li Z, Yang X, et al. PD-L1 expression on tumor cells associated with favorable prognosis in surgically

resected esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *Hum Pathol.* (2019) 84:291-8. doi: 10.1016/j.humpath.2018.09.014

- Yang W, Xia Y, Ji H, Zheng Y, Liang J, Huang W, et al. Nuclear PKM2 regulates beta-catenin transactivation upon EGFR activation. *Nature*. (2011) 480:118–22. doi: 10.1038/nature10598
- Zhang S, He J, Jia Z, Yan Z, Yang J. Acetyl-CoA synthetase 2 enhances tumorigenesis and is indicative of a poor prognosis for patients with renal cell carcinoma. *Urol Oncol.* (2018) 36:243.e9–43.e20. doi: 10.1016/j.urolonc.2018.01.013
- Lee MY, Yeon A, Shahid M, Cho E, Sairam V, Figlin R, et al. Reprogrammed lipid metabolism in bladder cancer with cisplatin resistance. *Oncotarget*. (2018) 9:13231–43. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.24229
- Hur H, Kim YB, Ham IH, Lee D. Loss of ACSS2 expression predicts poor prognosis in patients with gastric cancer. J Surg Oncol. (2015) 112:585–91. doi: 10.1002/jso.24043
- 24. Sun L, Kong Y, Cao M, Zhou H, Li H, Cui Y, et al. Decreased expression of acetyl-CoA synthase 2 promotes metastasis and predicts poor prognosis in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer Sci.* (2017) 108:1338–46. doi: 10.1111/cas.13252
- Zlotorynski E. Gene expression: ACSS2 boosts local histone acetylation. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. (2017) 18:405. doi: 10.1038/nrm.2017.61
- 26. Douillard Y-J, Rosell R, De Lena M, Carpagnano F, Ramlau R, Gonzáles-Larriba JL, et al. Adjuvant vinorelbine plus cisplatin versus observation in patients with completely resected stage IB–IIIA non-small-cell lung cancer (Adjuvant Navelbine International Trialist Association [ANITA]): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2006) 7:719–27. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(06)70804-X

Conflict of Interest: ZL owns shares in Signalway Biotechnology (Pearland, TX), which supplied the rabbit antibody that recognizes KHK-A. ZL's interest in this company had no bearing on its being chosen to supply this reagent.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Yang, Shao, Shi, Feng, Wang, Wang, Guo, Wang, Gao, Gao, Lu and He. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.