Pincer Ligands

Deoxygenation of Epoxides with Carbon Monoxide**

Theo Maulbetsch, Eva Jürgens, and Doris Kunz*^[a]

Abstract: The use of carbon monoxide as a direct reducing agent for the deoxygenation of terminal and internal epoxides to the respective olefins is presented. This reaction is homogeneously catalyzed by a carbonyl pincer-iridium(I) complex in combination with a Lewis acid co-catalyst to achieve a pre-activation of the epoxide substrate, as well as the elimination of CO_2 from a γ -2-iridabutyrolactone intermediate. Especially terminal alkyl epoxides react smoothly

Introduction

Apart from the water-gas shift reaction itself as well as reductions using hydrogen produced by this reaction in situ,^[1] the use of carbon monoxide as a direct deoxygenation agent is very rare in homogenous catalysis and hard to distinguish from the former one depending on the system.^[1–4] The deoxygenation of epoxides (Scheme 1) to alkenes is an important reaction in organic chemistry and some non-catalytic as well as catalytic reactions (homogeneous and heterogeneous) are known. One early report on the deoxygenation of epoxides was in 1955 by Wittig and Haag,^[5] who used triphenylphosphine as a deoxygenation reagent at 180 °C to deoxygenate



Scheme 1. Deoxygenation of epoxides as part of a two-step Wittig reaction.

[a]	T. Maulbetsch, Dr. E. Jürgens, Prof. Dr. D. Kunz
	Institut für Anorganische Chemie, University of Tübingen
	Auf der Morgenstelle 18, 72076 Tübingen (Germany)
	E-mail: Doris.Kunz@uni-tuebingen.de

- [**] A previous version of this manuscript has been deposited on a preprint server (https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv.9778703.v1).
- Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the autor(s) of this article can be found under:

https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202002651.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. and without significant isomerization to the internal olefins under CO atmosphere in benzene or toluene at 80–120 °C. Detailed investigations reveal a substrate-dependent change in the mechanism for the epoxide C–O bond activation between an oxidative addition under retention of the configuration and an S_N2 reaction that leads to an inversion of the configuration.

Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Socletles Publishing

 α , β -epoxy esters that were obtained from the Darzens reaction. They recognized that the temperature can be reduced when adding hydroguinone. Vedejs and Fuchs developed this reaction further by reacting cis or trans epoxides to the betaines with lithium diphenylphosphide and methyl iodide, which subsequently led to formation of the olefin with inversion of the configuration at room temperature.^[6,7] Later, rhenium and molybdenum catalyzed variations were developed.^[8,9] In these cases, the stoichiometric amounts of triorganophosphine oxide as a side product is problematic to get recycled back to the phosphine or phosphide. Other stoichiometric or over-stoichiometric deoxygenation reagents for epoxides^[10,11] are Co₂(CO)₈,^[12] Fe(CO)₅,^[13] Sml₂,^[14] In/InCl,^[15] lithium amide bases with silylboranes^[16] or diazomalonate.^[17] The latter two are catalyzed by copper-nanoparticles and copper complexes, respectively. Not yet fully understood is the deoxygenation of arene oxides and their oxepine tautomers by [Rh(CO)₂Cl]₂ or $[Rh(C_2H_4)_2Cl]_2$ in CHCl₃.^[18, 19] Environmentally much more benign are variations—in addition to electrochemical methods^[20]—in which hydrogen is used as a deoxygenation agent to obtain water as a byproduct. Methylrheniumtrioxide (Re(CH₃)O₃) is a suitable catalyst for this reaction that is carried out at 150 $^\circ\text{C}.$ However, the hydrogenation of the product olefins can be an unwanted side reaction.^[21] Silver and gold nanoparticles are heterogeneous catalysts in a process that uses hydrogen directly^[22] or generates it in situ from alcohols.^[23] The currently most efficient system uses reactive hydrogen species that are produced in an in situ water gas shift reaction from carbon monoxide by a hydrotalcite-supported gold nanoparticle catalyst. $^{\sc{[24,25]}}$ However, above 50 $^\circ C$ the formation of free hydrogen is observed, which increases the CO consumption and requires additional security measures. While aryl oxiranes already react at room temperature, alkyl oxiranes require temperatures of 110°C and an organic solvent.

In the following, we will report on the efficient and stereoselective deoxygenation of terminal and internal alkyl oxiranes as

Chem	Fur 1	2020	26.	10634 -	10640
chem.	Lui. J.	2020,	20,	10034	10040

Wiley Online Library





Figure 1. Structure of the pincer complexes 1 and 2 bearing the bimca ligand.

well as aryl oxiranes with carbon monoxide as a direct deoxygenation agent catalyzed by the nucleophilic carbonyl pincer-complexes $\mathbf{1}^{[26]}$ and $\mathbf{2}^{[27]}$ (Figure 1). Using CO directly is advantageous as the formation of hydrogen, which can cause further side reactions, is avoided *per se*. In combination with the Johnson–Corey– Chaykovsky reaction of aldehydes with sulfur ylides to epoxides, this

sequence equals to the Wittig reaction, but with formation of CO_2 instead of triphenylphosphine oxide (Scheme 1).

Results and Discussion

In 2015 we reported on the nucleophilic isomerization of terminal epoxides to methyl ketones using the electron rich carbonyl pincer-rhodium catalyst **1** in combination with LiNTf₂ as a Lewis acid co-catalyst.^[28] As one of the observed side reactions was a CO insertion into the ring-opened intermediate, we envisaged the possibility of a catalytic reaction to obtain β -lactones, as it is known for Co₂(CO)₈.^[29,30] However, we were surprised, when we found propylene as the only organic product when reacting propylene oxide, 5 mol% of **1** and 20 mol% of LiNTf₂ under 15 bar of CO in C₆D₆ at 80 °C (Scheme 2). The formation of CO₂ was recognized in the ¹³C NMR spectrum.



Scheme 2. Deoxygenation of epoxides (right) with CO catalyzed by the electron rich pincer complexes 1 and 2. The formation of β -lactones was not observed.

This prompted us to investigate this unusual reactivity further.^[31] While the rhodium catalyst **1** seemed to be unstable at the elevated temperature, we were pleased to find that the analogous iridium complex **2** was not only more stable, but also considerably more active (Table 1, entry 5). Other (commercially available) rhodium, iridium, cobalt or iron complexes were much less active under the identical conditions (entries 2–4 and 7–9). With the pincer complex [lr(bimca^{C5})CO], in which the carbene moieties are connected via a 1,5-pentadiyl chain (bimca^{C5}), mainly the epoxide isomerization product, methyl butyl ketone, was detected (entry 6).

Therefore, we optimized the reaction conditions for catalyst **2** (Table 2). Without catalyst or without co-catalyst, the reaction did not proceed (entries 1 and 2). THF as a solvent is not favorable, presumably as it reduces the Lewis acidity of the LiNTf₂ co-catalyst (entry 7). Afterwards we probed the pressure dependence of carbon monoxide. The reaction rate is roughly pressure-independent (entries 8–10). However, at low

Table 1. Catalyst screening for the catalytic deoxygenation of 1,2-epoxyhexane with ${\rm CO.}^{\rm [a]}$

	O [Cat], LiN Bu C ₆ D	Tf ₂ , 10 6, 80) bar CO	Bu + CO ₂
Entry	Catalyst	t [h]	Yield (alkene) [%] ^[b]	Side product ^[b]
1	[Rh(bimca ^{Me})CO] (1)	24	50	-
2	[RhCl(PPh ₃) ₃]	24	0	-
3	[Ir(CO)CI(PPh ₃) ₂]	24	0	-
4	[lr(acac)(CO) ₂]	24	31	-
		90	68	
5	[Ir(bimca ^{Me})CO] (2)	24	98	2% int. olefin
6	[lr(bimca ^{C5})(CO)]	24	8	87%
				methyl butyl ketone
7	[Co(Cp)(CO) ₂]	24	0	-
8	$[Co_2(CO)_8]$	24	0	-
9	[Fe(CO) ₅]	24	0	-

[a] Reaction was carried out in a pressure NMR tube (Wilmad) at 0.2 ${\rm M}$ epoxide concentration. [b] From $^1{\rm H}$ NMR calibrated to 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard.

Table 2. Optimizing the reaction conditions for the catalytic deoxygenation of 1,2-epoxyhexane with CO. $^{\rm [a]}$

$\begin{array}{c} O \\ B_{II} \end{array} \xrightarrow{[2], \text{ LiNTf}_2, \text{ CO}} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\qquad} B_{II} + \text{ CO}_2$									
Entry	[2] [mol %]	LiNTf ₂ [mol %]	CO [bar]	Solvent	<i>T</i> [°C]	t [h]	Conv. ^[b] [%]	Yield ^[b] [%]	lsomer ^[b] [%]
1	5	_	10	C_6D_6	80	24	0	0	
2	-	30	10	C_6D_6	80	24	0	0	
3	1	6.0	10	C_6D_6	80	24	32	32	
4	2.5	15	10	C_6D_6	80	24	81	70	
5	5	30	10	C_6D_6	80	24	100	97	2
6	5	30	10	[D ₈]Tol	80	24	92	91	
7	5	30	10	[D ₈]THF	80	24	7	3	-
8	5	30	2.0	C_6D_6	80	24	83	79	4
9	5	30	5.9	C_6D_6	80	24	94	90	4
10	5	30	15	C_6D_6	80	24	94	91	3
11	5	30	10	[D ₈]Tol	100	8	100	93	
12	5	30	10	[D ₈]Tol	120	0.5	96	92	
						2	100	82	
13	5	30	10	C_6D_6	rt	168	6	6	
[a] Reaction was carried out in a pressure NMR tube (Wilmad) at 0.2 м epox- ide concentration. [b] From ¹ H NMR calibrated to 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard.									

pressure, the amount of CO (1.2 equivalents) comes close to the required stoichiometric amounts in our setup (Wilmad Pressure NMR tubes) and thus the insufficient amount of CO dissolved in benzene explains the lower yields. At 15 bar the yield seems to decrease slightly (entry 10). Therefore, we chose 10 bar as an optimal pressure in all further experiments. To shorten the reaction time, we also increased the temperature and found full conversion at 100 °C after 8 h and at 120 °C after 2 h (entry 11–12). Even at room temperature some product formed, but at a very slow reaction rate (entry 13). With 1hexene oxide, we recognized a slow isomerization of 1-hexene to internal hexenes. The degree of isomerization increases, when the reaction mixture is kept at the reaction conditions

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 10634-	10640
--------------------------------	-------



after full conversion of the epoxide. This isomerization also requires the presence of the Lewis acid as a co-catalyst as it was confirmed by independent measurements (Supporting Information).

We screened a broad range of substrates and found that terminal epoxides react most readily (Figure 2). The reaction under the optimized conditions works very well for aliphatic, terminal epoxides (3a-3e) including benzyl epoxide (3k) (no isomerization to methyl styrene is observed), and 1,1-disubstituted epoxides (3f), whereas internal epoxides (3g-3j) are much harder to deoxygenate as well as terminal epoxides bearing functional groups (3l-3y). In case of styrene oxide (3n) the formation of 28% of benzyl aldehyde indicates a Lewis acid catalyzed epoxide isomerization as side reaction. The use of LiBr (solubilized with 4 equiv of tetrahydrofuran) instead of LiNTf₂, which circumvented this reaction in the nucle-



Figure 2. Chemo- and stereoselective deoxygenation of various epoxides. Reactions were carried out in a medium-wall NMR tube with pressure valve (Wilmad) at 0.2 mu epoxide concentration. Yields were determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy calibrated to 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard after release of the overpressure. * indicates the ¹H NMR yield of the dissolved amount of the gaseous reaction product, measured under CO pressure. [a] 120 °C, 24 h; [b] 120 °C, 96 h; [c] 224 h; [d] 120 °C, 72 h, 11% *trans***4***j*; [e] 120 °C, 72 h, 2% *cis***4***j*; [f] isolated yield, 1 mmol scale, 1 bar CO; 72 h; [g] 100 °C, 24 h; [h] 100 °C, 48 h; [i] 240 h, 9% *cis***3***z*; [j] 240 h; 8% *trans***3***z*; [k] 120 °C, 48 h, 7% *cis***3***z*; [l] 120 °C, 48 h, 16% *trans***3***z*.

ophilic epoxide isomerization,[32] did not have any beneficial effect. Although the amount of the aldehyde by-product could be reduced pronouncedly using LiBr or Lil, the reaction did not go to completion due to deactivation of the catalyst by formation of $[Ir(bimca)(CO)X_2]$ (X = Br, I) (see Supporting Information). While electron rich styrene oxides (31, 3m) lead to an increase of side reactions, electron poor styrene oxides 3o-3t react smoothly at 100 °C and good to excellent yields were obtained with few or negligible formation of the aldehyde. Moreover, also the sterically hindered o-CF₃ substituted styrene oxide 3t can be deoxygenated to 4t in very high yield, albeit at a lower reaction rate. Most striking is the stereochemistry when 1,2-disubstituted epoxides are reacted: deoxygenation of cis epoxide cis-3 j led to full retention of the configuration in olefin cis-4 j. Slow subsequent isomerization of the olefin into the more stable trans-butene, however, can occur towards the end of the reaction. Respectively, trans-butene oxide (trans-3 j) is converted into trans-butene (trans-4j), albeit at a slower reaction rate. The opposite selectivity, inversion of the configuration, is observed with the doubly ester functionalized substrates cis-3z and trans-3z. Although the reaction is extremely slow at 80 °C in benzene (10 d) it proceeds with moderate yields and low isomerization. At 120 °C the epoxy succinate cis-3 z reacted to diethyl fumarate (trans-4z) in 32% yield and the trans epoxide trans-3z to diethyl maleate (cis-4z) in 25% already after 24 h and with still good selectivity. The selectivity of the deoxygenation can be explained with a substrate-dependent change in the epoxide activation mechanism (vide infra) from oxidative addition in the case of alkyl epoxides to an $S_N 2$ mechanism for the C-O bond activation in the case of ethyl carboxylates (3z; see below).

To demonstrate the applicability of our reaction to natural product derived substrates, we obtained **4za** in 99% yield from deoxygenation of **3za**, which can be easily obtained from citronellal in a Johnson-Corey-Chaykovsky reaction with dimethylsulfonium ylide (Scheme 1). For practical reasons, we also found that the reaction can be run at 1 bar of CO on a preparative scale, to avoid the necessity of using special autoclaves. On a 1 mmol scale (at cost of a longer reaction time of 72 h) we isolated the products **4k** (56%) and **4s** (61%) by transfer of the volatiles in vacuo and subsequent removal of benzene (except of 0.5 equiv remaining). Product loss (24% (**4k**) and 17% (**4s**)) was found in the benzene fraction as the scale was too small for a fractional distillation.

Mechanistic investigations

First, we tested whether a second CO ligand coordinates to **2** to form an 18 VE complex **2**+**CO**. However, under 10 bar of CO no change of the ¹H NMR signals of **2** was observed. Moreover, in the ¹³C NMR spectrum we see two separate CO signals, one for the iridium complex **2** and one for free CO. This confirms that no fast exchange of the CO ligand on the NMR timescale occurs. In the IR spectrum (toluene) at atmospheric pressure of CO, neither a shift nor an additional band was observed, and under CO atmosphere only complex **2** crystallized out. Therefore, we conclude that the 16 VE complex **2** is the

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 10634-10640

www.chemeurj.org

10636 © 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



catalytic active species. Also for rhodium complex 1 we had not observed any exchange of the CO ligand by ¹³CO under 3 bar, neither under UV light nor by heating at 60-70 °C.^[26]

The first step of the catalytic cycle (Scheme 3) is the cleavage of the C-O bond of the epoxide (B). This step requires pre-activation of the epoxide by the Lewis acid (step A), as it is already known from the nucleophilic epoxide isomerization with rhodium catalyst 1 and its congeners.^[28, 32] Although the complete catalytic cycle does not operate in absence of the Lewis acid (Table 2, entry 1), the C-O bond cleavage of propylene oxide still occurs at 80 °C, albeit extremely slowly (B'). We took advantage of this observation and reacted 2 with propylene oxide (3 a) and 10 bar of CO at 80 °C for 10 days, and were able to isolate intermediate 5 a. Due to the presence of the CO atmosphere, further reaction of Int-1 to acetone or a hydridoalkyl complex^[33] is blocked. The identity of **5a**, which contains a hitherto unprecedented 2-irida-y-lactone moiety, was proven by spectroscopic methods. The chiral center of the metallalactone moiety reduces the symmetry of the complex so that 8 signals for the aromatic H atoms and two for the Nmethyl groups are observed. The two signals of the diastereomeric methylene protons of the lactone ring are detected at 1.71 and 1.80 ppm and the methine signal at 3.92 ppm. From the ¹³C NMR spectrum further evidence for the formation of the CO complex 5a was obtained (172.6 (IrCO₂R), 182.8 (CO), 78.8 (O-CH(CH₃)-), and 27.6 (Ir-CH₂) ppm). The IR spectrum (ATR) confirms this with characteristic bands at 2014 (CO) and 1630 cm⁻¹ (IrCO₂R). The latter value is similar to the one of a 2platina- γ -lactone (1644 cm⁻¹ (NaCl)), the only structurally characterized 2-metalla- γ -lactone in literature,^[34] and to an acyclic



Scheme 3. Proposed mechanism of the deoxygenation of epoxides with CO catalyzed by iridium complex 2. The Lewis acid co-catalyst is necessary to activate the epoxide (A) as well as to induce the decarboxylation (D, E). The involvement of Int-1 a depends on the substrate: 1,2-dialkyl epoxides react to Int-1 a in an oxidative addition of the epoxide C–O bond, whereas *cis*- and *trans*-1,2-diethylcarboxyl epoxide get activated through an S_N^2 mechanism.

iridaester (1638 (benzene) and 1650 cm⁻¹ (KBr)).^[35] When monitoring the catalytic reaction NMR-spectroscopically (with Lewis acid) at 60 °C over a period of 23 h, this intermediate can also be detected. Its concentration reaches quickly a maximum of 5 mol% and slowly declines during the course of the reaction (see Supporting Information). This shows that the epoxide activation step **A** and the decarboxylation step **E** have about roughly the same reaction rate under the applied conditions for substrate **3 a**.

The reaction of cis- and trans-1,2-dialkyl-substituted epoxide (cis-3 j, trans-3 j) led to retention of the configuration in the respective products (cis-4j and trans-4j) and to inversion in the case of 1,2-diethylcarboxylate-substituted epoxides (3z). As an epoxide opening that follows an S_N2 mechanism would lead to inversion of the configuration in a possible intermediate Int-1 b, we conclude that the activation step B proceeds via oxidative addition under C-O bond cleavage to the iridaoxetane^[10,11,36] Int-1 a with the substrates cis-3 j and trans-3 j. This is followed by CO induced migration of the alkoxide from Ir to a CO ligand^[35, 37, 38] to form 5j. Under the applied conditions, Int-1b could also be formed from Int-1a by Lewis acid ring opening followed by CO coordination and lactone formation by nucleophilic addition of the alkoxide to $CO^{[39,40]}$ to form **5**j without change of the configuration. Attempts to detect 5j from 1,2-dialkylsubstituted epoxides 3j failed, as these substrates do not react without presence of the Lewis acid. During the catalytic conditions, no intermediate was observed which counts for the oxidative addition **B** to be the rate-determining step with these substrates. In case of the ester functionalized epoxides 3z the intermediate 5z was obtained much easier without Lewis acid compared to propylene oxide (3a) as a substrate. The ${}^{3}\!J_{HH}$ coupling between the methine protons of the metallalactone moiety of trans-5z (obtained from cis-3z) of 6.8 Hz and that of cis-5 z (obtained from trans-3 z) of 3.2 Hz, confirm the inversion of the configuration. Proof for the configuration as well as for the formation of the 2-irida-y-lactone was obtained from the X-ray crystal structure analyses (Figure 3). To our knowledge, this is the second example of a structurally characterized 2-metalla-y-lactone.



Figure 3. Molecular structure of the isolated intermediates *cis*-5 z from (*trans*-3 z) (left) and *trans*-5 z (from *cis*-3 z) (right) from reactions of 2 and 3 z without added Lewis acid. Atoms are shown with anisotropic atomic displacement parameters at the 50% probability level for the lactone moiety and the rest in wire-frame style for clarity reasons. Hydrogen atoms (except for the iridacycle) as well as co-crystallized benzene molecules are omitted for clarity.

Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 10634-10640

www.chemeurj.org

Full Paper doi.org/10.1002/chem.202002651



An inversion of the configuration was also observed by Dowd and Kang, using stoichiometric amounts (referring to CO) of $Co_2(CO)_8$ in the reaction with 3z.^[12] They suggested an analogous intermediate. Their reaction occurred already at room temperature, albeit in neat epoxide. S_N2 reactions with Rh¹ and Ir¹ complexes are typically observed in the oxidative addition of alkyl iodide in the Monsanto or Cativa acetic acid process,^[41] while metallaoxetane formation from oxiranes with Rh¹ and Ir¹ was investigated by Milstein and co-workers.^[33,42] In our case, it seems energetically favored for iridium complex **2** to open the electron poor epoxide 3z in an S_N2 fashion and the more electron rich internal epoxide **3j** by oxidative addition of the C–O bond.

The accumulation of intermediate 5 in absence of a Lewis acid also means that the subsequent decarboxylation step E requires the presence of a Lewis acid as well. To confirm this, we heated intermediate **5a** in C₆D₆ (without CO atmosphere) up to 80°C and found no CO2 elimination, while addition of the Lewis acid led to slow formation of the signals of propene (4a) already at room temperature along with the signals of 2. When stoichiometric amounts of LiNTf₂ were added, we observed a slight shift in the ¹H NMR signals, which is most pronounced for the N-CH₃ and the adjacent imidazole signals (see Supporting Information Figure S1). Therefore, we propose formation of Lewis acid adduct 6 (step D) to be mandatory for the CO₂ elimination (step E). In the case of the isolated intermediates cis-5z and trans-5z the CO₂ elimination step required even heating to 60 °C and thus is the rate limiting step using these substrates.

As β -lactones are known to easily eliminate CO₂ under elevated temperatures or Lewis acidic conditions, the reductive elimination of $\beta\mbox{-lactones}$ from intermediate ${\bf 6}$ and subsequent CO₂ elimination could also be a possible way to obtain the olefin. However, as we observed olefin formation from intermediate 5a in the presence of a Lewis acid already at room temperature without detecting any signals of a β -lactone, we are convinced that the olefins are formed by direct CO₂ elimination from intermediate 6. In addition, literature known syntheses of β -lactones often proceed under elevated temperatures as well. Moreover, we could not observe the reverse reaction, the oxidative addition at 2, neither with nor without the presence of the Lewis acid, and only very slow deoxygenation at 80 $^\circ\text{C}$ of the $\beta\text{-lactones}$ to the olefin (after several days). In contrast, Milstein and co-workers have shown that electron rich 16 VE iridium(I) complexes oxidatively add β-propriolactones readily at low temperatures by C_{alkyl} –O bond cleavage, thus forming 4-irida- γ -lactones (Scheme 4, bottom).^[43] C–C_{acvl} bond activation, which would form 2-irida-y-lactones (like in 5), had not been observed.

To answer the question about the particularity of our systems **1** and **2** in comparison with Co_2CO_8 catalyzed CO/epoxide reactions that produce polymers or β -lactones is the fact that no migratory insertion step of the alkyl group to the carbonyl ligand is involved after epoxide opening as the molecular structure of intermediate **5** revealed. Fast CO migratory insertion after epoxide opening and C–O bond formation to obtain a 2-metallaoxolan-3-one (Scheme 4, left) is usually con-



Scheme 4. Literature known formation of β -lactones (left) and their C–O activation with iridium(I) complexes (bottom). The deoxygenation of epoxides (right, this work) proceeds via a new isomeric iridalactone which does not reductively eliminate β -lactones.

sidered the key step in the formation of β -lactones.^[11,30] In contrast, a nucleophilic attack of the alkoxide O-atom at the CO ligand forms the 2-irida- γ -lactone in our case (Scheme 4, right). This can be explained with a stronger Ir-alkyl bond. It is known that migratory insertion to form acyl ligands occurs slowly in iridium complexes and is e.g., the rate limiting step in the Cativa process.^[44,45]

Conclusion

We presented a new homogeneous catalyzed deoxygenation of epoxides that uses CO directly as a traceless and environmentally benign deoxygenation agent. Especially terminal alkyl epoxides react smoothly and without significant isomerization to the internal olefins. Internal epoxides react under either retention or inversion of the configuration, depending on their substituents.^[46] This can be explained by two different modes of the epoxide opening. Either by an oxidative addition of the epoxy-CO bond which leads to retention of the configuration or by an S_N^2 -pathway under inversion of the configuration. Various iridalactones 5 were isolated and in some cases structurally characterized. Under stoichiometric conditions, the coordination of the Lewis acid to 5 forming 6 is observed, from which the olefin is released. Thus, the role of the Lewis acid is not only pre-activation of the epoxide, but also inducing the CO₂ elimination to produce the product olefin.

Experimental Section

General

Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were carried out under an argon atmosphere in dried and degassed solvents using Schlenk technique. Toluene, pentane, were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and dried using an MBraun SPS-800 solvent purification system. All lithium salts used were obtained from commercial suppliers, dried in vacuum and used without further purification. Chemicals from commercial suppliers were degassed through freeze-pump-thaw cycles prior to use. Carbon monoxide was purchased from Westfalen with a purity of 99.97%. High pressure NMR scale experiments were performed in heavy or medium wall pressure valve NMR tubes (Wilmad). ¹H NMR spectra of catalytic experiments were recorded with an increased delay time d₁ of 60 s to insure reliable in-



tegration values. See Supporting Information for the numbering scheme of the compounds.

Synthesis and characterization

Synthesis of the catalyst [Ir(bimcaMe)(CO)] (2): Benzyl potassium (58.6 mg, 450 µmol) and [Ir(acac)(CO)₂] (52.1 mg, 150 µmol) were added to a suspension of HbimcaMe·2HI (104.3 mg, 150 µmol) in 12 mL of toluene at room temperature and stirred for 24 h. The resulting yellow suspension was filtered, and the filtrate dried in vacuo to obtain the desired product as a yellow solid (96 mg, 91%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆): δ = 1.54 (s, 18H, H-11), 3.81 (s, 6H, H-14), 6.14 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 2H, H-2), 7.31 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 2H, H-4), 7.64 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 2H, H-4'), 8.48 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 2H, H-5'). The NMR data in thf-d₈ is identical to that of a sample obtained with a Li-base^[27]

General procedure for the catalytic deoxygenation: 2 (3.3 mg, 5.0 μ mol), lithium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (8.6 mg, 30 μ mol) and a certain amount of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as internal standard were dissolved in 0.5 mL of [D₆]benzene or [D₈]toluene in a pressure NMR tube. Then 100 μ mol of epoxide were added and the NMR tube was pressurized with 10 bar CO, and heated in an oil bath at 80°C, if not otherwise noted. The yield was determined via ¹H NMR.

General procedure for the 1 mmol-scale catalytic deoxygenation: 2 (33 mg, 50 μ mol), lithium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (86 mg, 0.30 mmol) were dissolved in 5 mL of benzene in a 100 mL Schlenk flask. Then 1.0 mmol of epoxide was added, the argon atmosphere exchanged for CO at ambient pressure and the reaction mixture heated in an oil bath at 80 °C for 3 days. The product was then vacuum transferred from the reaction into a trap cooled with liquid nitrogen and solvent then distilled off. Due to the lack of fractional distillation the product still contains 0.5 equivalents of benzene and losses of about 20% of product in the benzene fraction.

Synthesis of 5a: 2 (6.6 mg 10 μ mol) and epoxypropane (1.4 μ L, 20 μ mol) were dissolved in 0.5 mL of C₆D₆ in a pressure NMR tube and pressurized with 10 bar CO. The reaction mixture was heated to 80 °C for 10 d. The solvent was evaporated and the residue extracted with DCM. After concentration to dryness the residue was washed with pentane to obtain 5a as a pale-yellow solid (Yield: NMR: 89%; isolated: 1.6 mg, 23%). ¹H NMR ($C_6 D_{6'}$ 500 MHz): $\delta =$ 1.27 (d, ³J_{HH}=6.0 Hz, 3 H, H-20), 1.50 (s, 9 H, H-11), 1.51 (s, 9 H, H-13), 1.71 (dd, ${}^{2,3}J_{HH} = 11.0$, 5.8 Hz, 1 H, H-19), 1.80 (dd, ${}^{2,3}J_{HH} =$ 11.0 Hz, 11.0 Hz, 1 H, H-19), 3.77 (s, 3 H, H-14), 3.89 (s, 3 H, H-15), 3.92 (ddq, ³J_{HH} = 11.0, 5.8 Hz, 6.0 Hz, 1 H, H-18), 5.91 (d, ³J_{HH} = 2.2 Hz, 1 H, H-4' or 9'), 5.93 (d, $^3J_{\rm HH}\!=\!2.2$ Hz, 1 H, H-4' or 9'), 7.17 (d, $^3J_{\rm HH}\!=\!$ 2.2 Hz, 1 H, H-5' or 10'), 7.20 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} =$ 2.2 Hz, 1 H, H-5' or 10'), 7.42 (d, ${}^{4}J_{HH} = 1.5$ Hz, 1 H, H-2 or 7), 7.43 (d, ${}^{4}J_{HH} = 1.5$ Hz, 1 H, H-2 or 7), 8.37 (d, ${}^{4}J_{HH} = 1.5$ Hz, 1 H, H-4 or 5), 8.38 (d, ${}^{4}J_{HH} = 1.5$ Hz, 1 H, H-4 or 5). ^{13}C NMR (C_6D_6, 125 MHz): $\delta\!=\!23.7$ (C20), 27.6 (C19), 32.8 (C11 +C13), 35.3 (C10+C12), 40.6 (C15), 41.1 (C14), 78.8 (C18), 110.7, 110.8 (C2, C7), 115.8, 116.0 (C4, C5), 117.1, 117.1 (C5', C10'), 124.7 (C4'), 125.1 (C1+C8), 125.3 (C9'), 128.0 (C4a+5a), 134.6, 135.9 (C1a, C8a), 138.8, 138.9 (C3, C6), 147.5 (C7'), 148.6 (C2'), 172.6 (C16), 182.8 (CO). ESI⁺ (MeCN): *m*/*z* 718.3 [M-CO+H]⁺. Anal. Calcd. for C33H38lrN5O3: C, 53.21; H, 5.14; N, 9.40. Found: C, 53.27; H, 5.24; N, 9.52. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 2014 (m, CO), 1630 (w, lactone).

Synthesis of the Intermediates *cis*- and *trans*-5z: 2 (9.9 mg, 15 μ mol) and 2.8 mg (15 μ mol) of either *cis*- or *trans*-diethyl epoxy succinate (**3**z) were dissolved in 0.5 mL of C₆D₆ in a pressure NMR tube and pressurized with 10 bar CO. The reaction mixture was

heated to $80\,^{\circ}$ C for 1 d. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by evaporation of the solvent at room temperature. *cis*-5 z: ¹H NMR ([D₈]Tol, 600 MHz): $\delta = -0.11$ (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, H-29), 0.87 (t, J=7.0 Hz, 3 H, H-24), 1.46 (s, 9 H, H-11), 1.49 (s, 9 H, H-13), 2.43 (dq, J=10.5, 7.2 Hz, 1H, H-28), 3.15 (dq, J=10.5, 7.2 Hz, 1 H, H-28), 3.44 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 1 H, H-19), 3.78 (s, 3 H, H-14/15), 3.85 (dq, J=10.9, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, H-23), 4.07 (dq, J=10.9, 7.0 Hz, 1 H, H-23), 4.09 (s, 3H, H-14/15), 4.25 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 1H, H-18), 6.33 (s, 2H, H-5' and 10'), 7.42 (d, J=1.7 Hz, 1H, H-4' or 9'), 7.47 (s, 2H, H-4/5 or 2/ 7), 7.49 (d, J=1.7 Hz, 1 H, H-4' or 9'), 8.32 (d, J=1.1 Hz, 1 H, H-4/5 or 2/7), 8.32 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1 H, H-4/5 or 2/7). $^{\rm 13}C$ NMR ([D_8]Tol, 151 MHz): $\delta = 13.0$ (C29), 14.6 (C24), 32.7, 32.8 (C11, C13), 35.3 (C10+C12), 39.4 (C19), 41.2, 41.7 (C14, C15), 59.1 (C28), 60.5 (C23), 79.8 (C18), 110.7, 110.8, 115.6, 116.2 (C2+C4+C5+C7), 116.4, 117.9 (C5', C10'), 124.2, 124.8 (C1+C8 or C4a+C5a), 125.8, 127.0 (C4'+C9'), 127.9, 128.0 (C1+C8 or C4a+C5a), 134.1, 134.9 (C1a+ C8a), 139.0 (C3+C6), 144.3, 144.7 (C2', C7'), 168.8 (C16), 170.4 (C21), 179.3 (CO), 180.3 (C26). ESI+ (MeCN): m/z 875.28 [M]+, 848.31 [M-CO+H]⁺. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 2034 (s, CO), 1747 (m, ester), 1691 (m, ester), 1645 (m, lactone).

trans-5*z*: ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 400 MHz): $\delta = -0.17$ (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, H-29), 0.76 (t, J=7.2 Hz, 3 H, H-24), 1.47 (s, 9 H, H-11 or 13), 1.48 (s, 9H, H-11 or 13), 2.36 (dq, J=10.6, 7.1 Hz, 1H, H-28), 3.20 (dq, J= 10.5, 7.3 Hz, 1 H, H-28), 3.58 (dq, J=10.4, 7.1 Hz, 1 H, H-23), 3.60 (d, J=3.2 Hz, 1 H, H-19), 3.76 (dq, J=10.7, 7.1 Hz, 1 H, H-23), 4.04 (s, 3 H, H-14 or 15), 4.05 (s, 3 H, H-14 or 15), 5.46 (d, J=3.2 Hz, 1 H, H-18), 6.12 (d, J=2.0 Hz, 1 H, H-4' or 9'), 6.16 (d, J=1.9 Hz, 1 H, H-4' or 9'), 7.14 (d, J=2.1 Hz, 1 H, H-5' or 10'), 7.37 (d, J=2.1 Hz, 1 H, H-5' or 10'), 7.41 (d, J=1.1 Hz, 1 H, H-2 or 7), 7.43 (d, J=1.1 Hz, 1 H, H-2 or 7), 8.36 (d, J=1.3 Hz, 1 H, H-4 or 5), 8.38 (d, J=1.4 Hz, 1 H, H-4 or 5). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆,101 MHz): δ = 12.8 (C29), 14.5 (C24), 32.8 (C11+C13), 35.3 (C10+C12), 38.7 (C19), 41.4 (C14+C15), 59.6 (C28), 60.8 (C23), 79.6 (C18), 110.6, 110.7 (C2, C7), 115.7, 115.9 (C4, C5), 116.4, 117.5 (C5', C10'), 124.7, 124.9 (C4', C9'), 125.9, 126.4, 127.9, 128.0 (C1, C8, C4a, C5a), 134.6, 134.8 (C1a, C8a), 139.0 (C3 + C6), 142.8, 144.5 (C2', C7'), 168.7 (C16), 173.0 (C21), 181.5, 181.7 (CO, C26). ESI⁺ (MeCN): *m*/*z* 875.28 [M]⁺, 848.31 [M-CO+H]⁺. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 2035 (s, CO), 1738 (m, ester), 1683 (m, ester), 1645 (m, lactone).

X-ray structure analysis

Data collection was carried out on a Bruker APEX Duo CCD with an Incoatec I μ S Microsource with a Quazar MX mirror using Mo K_a radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) and a graphite monochromator. Corrections for absorption effects were applied using SADABS.^[47] All structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS and refined using SHELXL.^[48] Deposition Numbers 1951759, 1951760, 1951761, and 1951762 (*trans-5 z, cis-5 z,* [Ir(bimca)(CO)Br₂] and [Ir(bimca)(CO)I₂], respectively) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ structures.

Acknowledgements

T.M. and E.J. thank the MWK-BW for a fellowship (Landesgraduiertenförderung). We are grateful to Yingying Tian for providing some of the epoxide starting material and Ronja Jordan for a sample of [Ir(bimca^{C5})(CO)], to Cäcilia Maichle-Mössmer for help with the X-ray structure analyses, to Klaus Eichele and

Chem.	Eur. J.	2020.	26.	10634-	10640
c		,	20,		

www.chemeurj.org

10639 © 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: deoxygenation · epoxides · homogeneous catalysis · iridium · pincer ligands

- [1] A. Ambrosi, S. E. Denmark, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 12164– 12189; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 12348–12374.
- [2] D. Chusov, B. List, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 5199–5201; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 5299–5302.
- [3] A. A. Tsygankov, M. Makarova, D. Chusov, *Mendeleev Commun.* 2018, 28, 113-122.
- [4] A. P. Moskovets, D. L. Usanov, O. I. Afanasyev, V. A. Fastovskiy, A. P. Molotkov, K. M. Muratov, G. L. Denisov, S. S. Zlotskii, A. F. Smol'Yakov, D. A. Loginov, D. Chusov, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2017, 15, 6384-6387.
- [5] G. Wittig, W. Haag, Chem. Ber. 1955, 88, 1654-1666.
- [6] E. Vedejs, P. L. Fuchs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 4070-4072.
- [7] E. Vedejs, P. L. Fuchs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 822-825.
- [8] a) K. P. Gable, E. C. Brown, Synlett 2003, 2243–2245; b) K. P. Gable, E. C. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11018–11026.
- [9] a) T. Nakagiri, M. Murai, K. Takai, Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 3346–3349; b) S. Asako, T. Sakae, M. Murai, K. Takai, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016, 358, 3966–3970.
- [10] K. A. Jørgensen, B. Schioett, Chem. Rev. 1990, 90, 1483-1506.
- [11] A. Dauth, J. A. Love, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 2010-2047.
- [12] P. Dowd, K. Kang, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1974, 384-385.
- [13] H. Alper, D. Des Roches, Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 18, 4155-4158.
- [14] J. M. Concellón, E. Bardales, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 189-191.
- [15] M. Mahesh, J. A. Murphy, H. P. Wessel, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 4118– 4123.
- [16] B. Xiao, Z. Niu, Y.-G. Wang, W. Jia, J. Shang, L. Zhang, D. Wang, Y. Fu, J. Zeng, W. He, K. Wu, J. Li, J. Yang, L. Liu, Y. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3791–3794.
- [17] J. Yu, Y. Zhou, Z. Lin, R. Tong, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 4734-4737.
- [18] H. C. Volger, H. Hogeveen, C. F. Roobeek, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas* 2010, 92, 1223–1231. All reactions in references [18]–[20] were performed without the presences of CO gas and in chloroform, which is proposed to act as the deoxygenation agent as phosgene was detected. Although carbonyl containing catalysts (15 or 20 mol% of [Rh(CO)₂Cl]₂) were used and produced some CO₂, full conversion can only be explained with the presence of chloroform.
- [19] a) R. Roulet, J. Wenger, M. Hardy, P. Vogel, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1974**, *15*, 1479–1482; b) R. W. Ashworth, C. A. Berchtold, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1977**, *18*, 339–342.
- [20] J.-M. Huang, Z.-Q. Lin, D.-S. Chen, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 22-25.
- [21] J. E. Ziegler, M. J. Zdilla, A. J. Evans, M. M. Abu-Omar, *Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 48, 9998 – 10000.
- [22] A. Noujima, T. Mitsudome, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa, K. Kaneda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2986–2989; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 3042– 3045.
- [23] T. Mitsudome, A. Noujima, Y. Mikami, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa, K. Kaneda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5545–5548; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 5677–5680.

- [24] T. Mitsudome, A. Noujima, Y. Mikami, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa, K. Kaneda, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 11818–11821.
- [25] K. Kaneda, H. Matsuda (Daicel Chem.), Method for Producing Alkene by Deoxidation of Epoxy Compound, JP2011236165A, 2010.
- [26] M. Moser, B. Wucher, D. Kunz, F. Rominger, Organometallics 2007, 26, 1024–1030.
- [27] A. Seyboldt, B. Wucher, M. Alles, F. Rominger, C. Maichle-Mössmer, D. Kunz, J. Organomet. Chem. 2015, 775, 202-208.
- [28] E. Jürgens, B. Wucher, F. Rominger, K. W. Törnroos, D. Kunz, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 1897 – 1900.
- [29] J. T. Lee, P. J. Thomas, H. Alper, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 5424-5426.
- [30] T. L. Church, Y. D. Y. L. Getzler, C. M. Byrne, G. W. Coates, *Chem. Commun.* 2007, 657–674.
- [31] D. Kunz, E. Jürgens, T. Maulbetsch, DE 102018003492 A1, German patent and trademark office (DMPA), 30.04.2018.
- [32] a) Y. Tian, E. Jürgens, D. Kunz, *Chem. Commun.* 2018, *54*, 11340–11343;
 b) Y. Tian, E. Jürgens, K. Mill, R. Jordan, T. Maulbetsch, D. Kunz, *Chem-CatChem* 2019, *11*, 4028–4035.
- [33] D. Milstein, J. C. Calabrese, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 3773-3774.
- [34] J. Wu, R. P. Sharp, Organometallics 2008, 27, 4810-4816.
- [35] K. A. Bernard, J. D. Atwood, Organometallics 1989, 8, 795-800.
- [36] D. P. Klein, J. C. Hayes, R. G. Bergman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 3704–3706.
- [37] While CO insertion is preferred to proceed into Ir–O over the Ir–C bond, it is different for Ru oxetanes: J. F. Hartwig, R. G. Bergman, R. A. Andersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, *112*, 3234–3236.
- [38] Group 10 complexes (d⁸) prefer CO insertion into the M-OR over the M-R bond: a) H. E. Bryndza, Organometallics **1985**, 4, 1686–1687; b) R. A. Michelin, M. Napoli, R. Ros, J. Organomet. Chem. **1979**, 175, 239–255; DFT: c) S. A. Macgregor, G. W. Neave, Organometallics **2003**, 22, 4547– 4556.
- [39] 2-Rhodaoxetanes can be activated by protonation and insert acetonitrile: a) B. De Bruin, M. J. Boerakker, R. de Gelder, J. M. M. Smits, A. W. Gal, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, *38*, 219–222; *Angew. Chem.* **1999**, *111*, 118–121; b) B. De Bruin, M. J. Boerakker, R. A. W. Verhagen, R. de Gelder, J. M. M. Smits, A. W. Gal, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 298–312.
- [40] Observed for Mn and Re carbonyl complexes: J. C. Selover, G. D. Vaughn, C. E. Strouse, J. A. Gladysz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 1455– 1462.
- [41] P. M. Maitlis, A. Haynes, G. J. Sunley, M. J. Howard, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1996, 2187–2196.
- [42] M. J. Calhorda, A. M. Galvao, C. Unaleroglu, A. A. Zlota, F. Frolow, D. Milstein, Organometallics 1993, 12, 3316–3325.
- [43] A. A. Zlota, F. Frolow, D. Milstein, Organometallics 1990, 9, 1300-1302.
- [44] D. Forster, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1979, 1639.
- [45] A. Haynes, P. M. Maitlis, G. E. Morris, G. J. Sunley, H. Adams, P. W. Badger, C. M. Bowers, D. B. Cook, P. I. P. Elliott, T. Ghaffar, H. Green, T. R. Griffin, M. Payne, J. M. Pearson, M. J. Taylor, P. W. Vickers, R. J. Watt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 2847–2861.
- [46] During the course of publishing this work, deoxygenation of internal alkyl epoxides with a Mn/Al—system that proceeds under inversion of the configuration was presented. L. R. Lamb, A. K. Hubbell, S. N. MacMillan, G. W. Coates, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 8029–8035.
- [47] G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS 2012/1; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 2012.
- [48] a) G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 2008, 64, 112–122; b) G. M.
 Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C 2015, 71, 3–8; c) C. B. Hübschle, G. M.
 Sheldrick, B. Dittrich, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2011, 44, 1281–1284.

Manuscript received: June 1, 2020 Accepted manuscript online: June 2, 2020 Version of record online: July 23, 2020

