ORIGINAL COMMUNICATION



Amitriptyline for post-COVID headache: effectiveness, tolerability, and response predictors

Alicia Gonzalez-Martinez^{1,2,3} · Ángel Luis Guerrero-Peral^{3,4} · Susana Arias-Rivas⁵ · Lorenzo Silva⁶ · Álvaro Sierra³ · Ana Beatriz Gago-Veiga^{1,2} · David García-Azorín^{3,4}

Received: 30 March 2022 / Revised: 8 June 2022 / Accepted: 10 June 2022 / Published online: 12 July 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Background Headache is one of the most frequently reported symptoms in post-COVID patients. The clinical phenotype of COVID-19 headache combines phenotypic features of both tension-type headache (TTH) and migraine. We aimed to assess the effectiveness, side effects and predictors of amitriptyline (AMT) response in a real-world study setting.

Methods We performed an observational multicentric study with a retrospective cohort. All consecutive patients with confirmed COVID-19 infection who received AMT for post-COVID headache from March 2020 to May 2021 were included. Response was evaluated by the reduction in the number of headache days per month (HDM) between weeks 8 and 12, compared with the baseline. We explored which variables were associated with a higher probability of response to AMT. **Results** Forty-eight patients were eligible for the study, 40/48 (83.3%) females, aged 46.85 (SD: 13.59) years. Patients had history of migraine 15/48 (31.3%) or TTH 5/48 (10.4%). The mean reduction of HDM was 9.6 (SD: 10.9; 95% CI 6.5, 12.7) days. Only 2/48 (5%) of patients discontinued AMT due to poor tolerability. History of TTH (10.9, 95% CI 1.3, 20.6) and nausea (-8.5, 95% CI -14.6, -2.5) were associated with AMT response.

Conclusions This study provides real-world evidence of the potential benefit of AMT in patients with post-COVID-19 headache, especially in patients with history of TTH and without concomitant nausea.

Keywords Migraine \cdot Amitriptyline \cdot COVID-19 \cdot Adverse events \cdot Real-world evidence \cdot Real-world effectiveness \cdot Post-COVID-19 headache \cdot Tension-type headache \cdot Long-term effect \cdot Long-haulers

Abbreviations

TTH	Tension-type he	adache			
MOH	Medication over	Medication overuse headache			
🖂 Ángel	Luis Guerrero-Peral				
guene	urol@gmail.com				

- ¹ Headache Unit, Department of Neurology, Hospital Universitario de La Princesa and Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Princesa (IIS Princesa), Madrid, Spain
- ² Department of Neurology, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
- ³ Headache Unit, Department of Neurology, Hospital Clínico Universitario de Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain
- ⁴ Department of Medicine, Universidad de Valladolid, Avda. Ramón y Cajal 3, 47005 Valladolid, Spain
- ⁵ Department of Neurology, Hospital Santiago de Compostela, Galicia, Spain
- ⁶ Department of Neurology, Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro, Madrid, Spain

STROBE	Strengthening the reporting of observational
	studies in epidemiology
ICHD	International classification of headache
	disorders
SD	Standard deviation
IQR	Inter-quartile range
OR	Odds ratio
CI	Confidence interval

Background

Headache is one of the most frequent symptoms of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1]. It is also commonly reported as a post-coronavirus disease (COVID-19) symptom, with a prevalence ranging from 8 to 15% during the first 6 months after SARS-CoV-2 infection [2, 3]. A study that followed 905 COVID-19 patients with headache for 9 months observed that patients who still had headache 2 months after the acute phase of the disease

were likely to experience headache within the following months, being spontaneous improvement highly improbable [4].

Headache is a disabling symptom. Patients who suffer from headache during the acute phase describe it as the worst symptom [1, 5]. The clinical phenotype of COVID-19 headache combines phenotypic features of both tension-type headache (TTH) and migraine [6–9]; indeed, a study that analyzed whether patients fulfilled the International Classification of Headache Disorders (ICHD-3) [10] criteria for TTH or migraine observed that 54% patients fulfilled phenotypic criteria for TTH and 25% for migraine [9].

Amitriptyline (AMT) is a tricyclic antidepressant that has demonstrated efficacy in the treatment of both TTH [11, 12] and migraine [13]. It is one of the main prophylactic treatments recommended in the international guidelines for these conditions [14, 15], and frequently prescribed in routine clinical practice [16]. Moreover, potential benefits have been observed in the treatment of other comorbidities associated with COVID-19 such as insomnia, anxiety or other concomitant pain disorders make it an interesting option [2, 3].

In the present study, we aim to describe patients with post-COVID headache treated with AMT in clinical practice, describing tolerability and exploring the possible predictors of response. We hypothesized that patients with post-COVID-19 headache would respond to AMT.

Method

Study population and data collection

This is an observational analytical multicentric study with a retrospective cohort design.

The study was conducted according to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines [17]. The study period encompassed March 2020–May 2021. Recruitment followed a non-probabilistic convenience sampling method, and every patient with post-COVID headache was assessed for eligibility. Patients were treated with AMT as the preventive treatment for post-COVID-19 headache as per physician in charge.

Eligibility criteria

The study population was patients with post-COVID-19 headache treated with AMT. Post-COVID-19 headache was defined by headache starting during acute phase and lasting for more than 3 months, fulfilling ICHD-3 criteria for chronic headache attributed to systemic viral infection, not better accounted for by another ICHD-3 disorder [10]. The study settings were four third-level university hospitals

from three major cities of Spain where headache diaries are collected on a regular basis.

The inclusion criteria were: (1) Confirmed COVID-19 disease, either by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or serum antibody testing, (2) headache during the acute phase of COVID-19, (3) persistent headache after the resolution of the acute symptoms for at least 3 months, (4) age over 18 years, and (5) minimum follow-up of 12 weeks. Exclusion criteria: (1) incompleteness of data, (2) death during follow-up.

Study endpoints

The primary endpoint was the change in the number of headache days between the baseline, defined as the 4 weeks preceding AMT use, and weeks 8–12 during AMT use. As secondary endpoints we included 30% (partial), 50% (standard) and 75% (optimal) response rates, defined as proportion of patients with 30%, 50%, 75% reduction in monthly days with headache frequency from baseline to weeks 8–12. We evaluated the change in the number of intense headache days-defined as headache intensity higher or equal than 7 in a 0–10 Numerical Rating Scale (NRS)-, and the change of acute medication days between the baseline and weeks 8–12 during AMT use. As exploratory endpoints, we also assessed which predictive variables associated with a higher reduction in headache days.

Variables included in the study

A complete medical history was obtained from each patient during an in-person clinical interview, done by a neurologist with experience in headache disorders. The demographic and clinical variables included sex, age, history of migraine and TTH, history of medication overuse (MOH), anxiety or depression, insomnia and other concomitant pain. Presence of comorbid psychiatric disorders, including anxiety or depression, was evaluated at the time when amitriptyline was used Headache specific variables included prior history of headache, and the specific headache type, prior number of prophylactics for post-COVID-19 headache if any, as well as headache phenotype (intensity measured by NRS between 0 (no pain) and 10 (worst possible pain), localization (hemicranial, holocranial), quality of pain (oppressive, throbbing), headache accompanying symptoms (nausea, vomiting, photophobia, phonophobia, osmophobia, allodynia, worsening with physical activity), headache variables (baseline number of headache days per month, baseline number of moderate-severe headache days per month defined as 4-10 in NRS, baseline urgent care visits per month, baseline number of acute medication days per month), COVID-19 related variables (time elapsed from COVID-19 to AMT onset) and AMT use (AMT starting dose, maximum AMT dose, time lapsed before doubling the starting dose, AMT duration, AMT dose at adverse effects, NSAIDs and triptans as symptomatic treatment). All the variables included in the study are available in Supplementary Table 1.

Statistical analysis

We present categorical variables as frequencies and percentages and continuous variables as means and standard deviations (SD) or medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) if the distribution was not normal was determined by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and homogeneity of variance using Levene test. We used the Chi-square or Fisher's exact test to compare qualitative variables between responders and non-responders and paired Student's t test or Mann-Whitney U test depending on the distribution of the quantitative variables. In the comparison of the clinical situation between the baseline and weeks 8-12, paired T test and Wilcoxon tests were used accordingly. All endpoints were evaluated on an intention-to-treat basis. For response predictors' evaluation, we used a univariate linear regression analysis, assessing which variables were associated with a higher reduction in the number of headache days; variables with a p value below 0.1 were included in a multivariable regression analysis. Moreover, we performed a comparison between responders and non-responders by weeks 8–12. In all comparisons, tests were two-tailed, being accepted the statistical significance if the p value was < 0.05. We present odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). To address missing data, a conservative imputation technique was used (last observation carried forward) for variables with variation over time (e.g., headache days per month), and in the case of nonevolutionary variables, complete case analysis was used. The statistical analyses were done using SPSS v26.0 (IBM Corp. Armonk, NY). Sample size was based on available data. We performed primary analysis of these data and no other analyses of these data have been published.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Written informed consent was waived by ethics committee CEIm Área de Salud Valladolid Este (PI: 21 2280), due to the study being a review of anonymized medical records. The study was done according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki [18].

Results

During the study period, 48 patients fulfilled eligibility criteria. Patients were female in 40/48 (83.3%) cases. Among patients with prior history of migraine or TTH, 12/20 (60%) of patients had ever received a prophylactic treatment, and median number of prophylactic treatments was 1 (IQR: 0–1). Regarding AMT, 6/20 (30%) had previously received it as prophylactic treatment for their primary headache, and therefore, 6/48 (12.5%) had been exposed to AMT before COVID-19 headache. At the time of AMT initiation, the mean age of patients was 46.8 (SD: 13.6). History of migraine was present in 15/48 (31.3%), history of TTH in 5/48 (10.4%) and prior history of medication-overuse headache (MOH) in 1/48 (2.1%). Among other comorbidities anxiety or depression were present in 11/48 (22.9%) of cases, prior history of insomnia in 11/48 (22.9%) and other concomitant pain syndromes in 7/48 (14.6%) patients. The median number of prior prophylactic treatments for post-COVID-19 headache was 0 (0–1). All the demographic variables are listed in Table 1.

Post-COVID-19 headache had a median pain intensity of 7 [IQR: 5.2–9] in a NRS, 38/48 (79%) holocranial location, 44/48 (91%) oppressive quality and 12/48 (25%) throbbing quality. Accompanying symptoms included nausea 16/48 (33.3%), vomiting 6/48 (12.5%), photophobia and phonophobia 16/48 (33.3%), osmophobia 3/48 (6.3%). Variables related with post-COVID-19 headache and AMT are included in Tables 2 and 3.

In the month prior to AMT use, the median number of headache days per month was 30 [IQR: 30–30, range 7–30], and the median number of moderate-intense headache days per month was 10 (4–20). The median number of preventive treatments used for post-COVID-19 headache prior to AMT was 0 [IQR: 0–1]. The median number of days of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) as acute medication was 12 [IQR: 5–24] and the median number of triptans per month was 0 [IQR: 0–0, range 0–10] per month. Time from COVID-19 to AMT was 4 [IQR: 2–7] months.

Amitriptyline use

The starting dose was 10 mg in 40/48 (83.3%) patients. The maximum achieved dose of AMT was 25 mg in 26/48 (54.2%) patients, 50 mg 2/48 (4.2%) patients and 75 mg in 1/48 (2.1%) patients. The time lapsed before doubling the starting dose was 1 week in 8/48 (16.7%) patients, 2 weeks in 8/48 (16.7%) patients and 4 weeks in 9/48 (18.8%). AMT main starting dose and maximum dose are summarized in Fig. 1S. Three patients discontinued AMT due to adverse effects, with a retention rate of 95%. Adverse events are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

Primary endpoint: change in the number of headache days

There was a 9.6 (SD: 10.9; CI 6.5, 12.7) headache days' reduction between the baseline and weeks 8–12 during AMT use (p < 0.001). Figure 1 summarizes the changes in

 Table 1
 Demographic and

 clinical variables of the patients
 included in the study

Variable	All patients $(N=48)$	Response (change in the number of head- ache days)			
		В	95% CI lower limit, CI upper limit	p value	
Female, <i>n/N</i> (%)	40/48 (83.3%)	-0.400	(-9.047, 8.247)	0.926	
Age, years					
n/N (%)	48/48 (100%)	-0.051	(-0.290, 0.189)	0.672	
Mean (SD)	46.85 (13.59)				
Prior history of migraine	15/48 (31.3%)	1.842	(-5.089, 8.774)	0.595	
History of TTH	5/48 (10.4%)	11.535	(1.555, 21.515)	0.024*	
Comorbid anxiety or depression	11/48 (22.9%)	7.862	(0.558, 15.167)	0.035*	
Comorbid insomnia	11/48 (22.9%)	7.037	(-0.342, 14.415)	0.061	
Other concomitant pain	7/48 (14.6%)	2.063	(-7.049, 11.174)	0.651	
Prior number of prophylactics for p	oost-COVID-19 headache				
n/N (%)	48/48 (100%)	-0.268	(-5.271, 4.735)	0.915	
Median (Q1–Q3)	0 (0–1)				

TTH tension-type headache, MOH medication-overuse headache, CI confidence interval, SD standard deviation

^aStd. error difference, 95% CI lower limit, CI upper limit

*p < 0.05

[#]U Mann–Whitney; NaN (not a number)

Table 2 COVID-19-associated headache phenotype regarding ATM response

COVID-19 headache	All patients ($N = 48$)	Response (change in the number of headache days)				
characteristics		B	95% CI lower limit, CI upper limit			
COVID-19 headache All patients (V=48) Response (change in the number of headache days) B 95% CI lower limit, CI upper limit p value Intensity (VAS) n/N (%) 48/48 (100%) 0.243 $(-1.296, 1.782)$ 0.752 Median (Q1–Q3) 7 (5.25–9) -4.632 $(-12.448, 3.185)$ 0.239 Holocranial, n/N (%) $10/48$ (20.8%) -4.632 $(-10.107, 13.198)$ 0.710 Oppressive, n/N (%) $44/48$ (91.7%) 1.545 $(-10.107, 13.198)$ 0.791 Throbbing, n/N (%) $12/48$ (25%) -4.444 $(-11.770, 2.881)$ 0.228 Nausea, n/N (%) $16/48$ (33.3%) -9.531 $(-15.756, -3.307)$ $0.003*$ Photophobia, n/N (%) $16/48$ (33.3%) -1.938 $(-8.750, 4.875)$ 0.570 Photophobia, n/N (%) $16/48$ (33.3%) -10.493 $(-23.683, 2.698)$ 0.116						
n/N (%)	48/48 (100%)	0.243	(-1.296, 1.782)	0.752		
Median (Q1–Q3)	7 (5.25–9)					
Hemicranial, n/N (%)	10/48 (20.8%)	-4.632	(-12.448, 3.185)	0.239		
Holocranial, n/N (%)	38/48 (79.2%)	1.474	(-6.450, 9.398)	0.710		
Oppressive, n/N (%)	44/48 (91.7%)	1.545	(-10.107, 13.198)	0.791		
Throbbing, n/N (%)	12/48 (25%)	-4.444	(-11.770, 2.881)	0.228		
Nausea, n/N (%)	16/48 (33.3%)	-9.531	(-15.756, -3.307)	0.003*		
Vomiting, n/N (%)	6/48 (12.5%)	-6.286	(-15.851, 3.279)	0.192		
Photophobia, n/N (%)	16/48 (33.3%)	-1.938	(-8.750, 4.875)	0.570		
Phonophobia, n/N (%)	16/48 (33.3%)	-4.469	(-11,176, 2.238)	0.186		
Osmophobia, n/N (%)	3/48 (6.3%)	- 10.493	(-23.683, 2.698)	0.116		
Allodynia, n/N (%)	6/48 (12.5%)	-2.993	(-12.658, 6.673)	0.536		
Worsening with headache movement, <i>n</i> / <i>N</i> (%)	28/48 (58.3%)	-2.447	(-8.906, 4.012)	0.449		

VAS Visual Analogue Scale ^aStd. error difference *p < 0.05[#]Mann–Whitney U

headache days per month at baseline and between weeks 8 and 12.

Secondary endpoints: response rate, intense headache days and acute medication days

The proportion of patients with a 30% response by weeks

Table 3 Variables associated with post-COVID-19 headache treated with AMT

Variables	All patients $(N=48)$	Response (change in the number of headache days)		
		B	95% CI lower limit, CI upper limit	p value
Baseline number of headaches per month (days), median (Q1–Q3)	30 (30–30)	0.352	(-0.173, 0.878)	0.183
Baseline number of moderate-severe headache days per month (days), median (Q1–Q3)	10 (4–20)	0.154	(-0.183, 0.491)	0.363
Baseline number of NSAIDs per month (days), median (Q1-Q3)	12(5.25-23.75)	0.104	(-0.210, 0.418)	0.510
Baseline number of triptans per month (days), median (Q1-Q3)	0 (0-0)	-1.119	(-3.173, -3.173)	0.278
Time from COVID-19 to Amitriptyline (months)		-0.641	(-1.402, -0.042)	0.036*
n/N (%)	48/48 (100%)			
Median (Q1–Q3)	4 (2–7)			
Amitriptyline starting dose (mg), median (Q1–Q3)	10 (10–10)	-0.633	(-1.257, -0.009)	0.047*
Amitriptyline maximum doses (mg), median (Q1-Q3)	25 (12.5–25)	-0.069	(-0.349, 0.210)	0.621
Time lapsed before doubling the starting dose (weeks)	2 (0-4)	0.309	(-0.287, 0.906)	0.302
Amitriptyline duration (months), median (Q1–Q3)	3(2-4)	0.292	(-0.845, 1.429)	0.607
NSAIDs as symptomatic treatment, n/N (%)	34/48 (70.8%)	-1.681	(-8.754, 5.393)	0.635
Triptan as symptomatic treatment, n/N (%)	4/48 (8.3%)	-9.182	(-20.520, 2.156)	0.110
Adverse effects, n/N (%)	19/43 (44.18%)	-4.340	(-11.147, 2.467)	0.205

NSAIDs non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

^aStd. error difference

**p* < 0.05

[#]Mann–Whitney U

Fig. 1 Changes in headache days per month at baseline and between weeks 8 and 12 during AMT use. *AMT* amitriptyline. *p < 0.05



8-12 was 24/48 (50%), 50% response by weeks 8-12 was 21/48 (43.7%) and 75% response by weeks 8-12 was 10/48 (21%) (Fig. 1). There was a statistically significant reduction

in the number of intense headache days per month and acute medication days, when comparing weeks 8-12 and the base-line (all p < 0.001).

Exploratory endpoints: predictors of amitriptyline response

In the univariate linear regression analysis, time from COVID-19 to AMT onset, history of TTH, anxiety or depression, nausea and AMT starting dose were associated with a reduction in the number of headache days in Table 3 (Supplementary Table 1) and two variables, prior history of TTH (B value 10.9, 95% CI 1.3, 20.6, p value: 0.024) and nausea (B value - 8.5, 95% CI - 14.6, -2.5), p value: 0.007) remained statistically significant in the multivariable regression analysis (Supplementary Table 1). Table 4 includes the full details of the multivariable linear regression.

Discussion

In the present study, we assessed the real-world effectiveness of AMT for the treatment of post-COVID-19 headache. We observed a 9-day median reduction of headache days per month 3 months after the treatment initiation. Half of the patients had a partial (30%) response, 44% a standard (50%) response and 21% an optimal (75%) response.

One of the main limitations of the study was the absence of a control group. The observed effect of AMT could be masked by the natural history of post-COVID-19 headache, in which some patients present spontaneous improvement over time [19]. However, post-COVID-19 patients that still suffer from headache 2 months after the acute phase are likely to persist with headache after 9 months, according to a multicentric study that included 905 patients with post-COVID-19 headache [4]. For this reason, we will contextualize our results with that of the randomized control studies

(RCTs) of AMT in other headache disorders, as migraine and TTH.

Five RCTs support the benefit of AMT in patients with TTH [20-24]. In the studies that describe the reduction in headache days at 8-12 weeks, the mean reduction in headache days ranges from 1.5 to 6 days [21, 22]. Studies reporting the 50% response rate at 8-12 weeks describe it in 36% of patients [20]. In the case of migraine, three RCTs comparing AMT to placebo support the benefit of AMT as a prophylactic treatment for migraine [25-28]. In the studies that describe the reduction in migraine headache days per month at 8–12 weeks, there is a reduction of up to five migraine headache days [28]. Studies reporting the proportion of patients with a 50% response rate at weeks 8-12 describe it in 55% of patients [25].

An interesting finding of our study was the higher probability of response in patients with history of TTH. This could be related with the phenotypic presentation of post-COVID-19 headache, which in half of the patients fulfills the phenotypic ICHD-3 criteria for TTH [9], and in many cases combines features of both TTH and migraine.

Moreover, AMT was originally developed as an antidepressant, with the first studies published back in 1960's. It is frequently used in the treatment of anxiety, insomnia and other pain disorders, which makes it a useful option in patients with these comorbidities [27, 29–32], being many of these reported by post-COVID-19 patients [33]. In our sample, 22% had anxiety or depression, 22% insomnia and 14% had other concomitant pain as comorbidities; however, the presence of these was associated with a higher probability of response.

Oral prophylactics have been associated with tolerability problems, which may lead to treatment discontinuation.

Table 4 Univariate and multivariate regression analysis	Variable	Analysis	<i>B</i> value	95% CI lower limit, CI upper limit	p value
	Months from COVID-19 to AMT	Univariate	-0.641	(-1.402, -0.042)	0.036*
		Multivariate	-0.429	(-1.253, 0.395)	0.299
	History of TTH	Univariate	11.535	(1.555, 21.515)	0.024*
		Multivariate	10.966	(1.316, 20.617)	0.027*
	Comorbid anxiety or depression	Univariate	7.862	(0.558, 15.167)	0.035*
		Multivariate	2.778	(-4.661, 10.216)	0.455
	Comorbid insomnia	Univariate	7.037	(-0.342, 14.415)	0.061
		Multivariate	1.687	(-5.916, 9.290)	0.656
	Nausea	Univariate	-9.531	(-15.756, -3.307)	0.003*
		Multivariate	-8.547	(-14.624, -2.470)	0.007**
	Initial dose of Amitriptyline (mg)	Univariate	-0.633	(-1.257, -0.009)	0.047*
		Multivariate	-0.322	(-0.909, 0.265)	0.275

TTH tension-type headache, CI confidence interval

*p<0.05

**p<0.01

In the case of AMT, it may cause somnolence, cognitive disturbances and dry mouth, among others [34]. In the TTH and migraine RCTs, the proportion of patients that experienced treatment-related adverse effects (TRAE) was between 28 and 97%; nevertheless, only 7-9% of patients discontinued the treatment due to tolerability reasons [20–22, 25], in line with our findings. The smaller proportion of TRAE and discontinuation may be explained by the relatively low doses that were used as starting dose in most of the cases, since several AEs are dose-dependent [35], facilitating a significant reduction in monthly headache days in post-COVID-19 headache. A progressive titration of the drug is generally recommended, together with monitoring the clinical response and tolerability. AMT seems to be a reasonable treatment for post-COVID-19-associated headache in terms of a risk-benefit ratio at low doses.

Our study has relevant limitations. It is a retrospective study with a small sample size, and therefore, some differences might not be addressed in the current series, and other psychiatric comorbidities were not evaluated. Moreover, as it has been previously discussed, the lack of a placebo-controlled comparison group may have overvalued the positive effect seen in this study. An additional limitation would be the intrinsic selection bias typical of a tertiary referral headache center. Larger scale, randomized placebo-controlled studies would be desirable to evaluate the intrinsic effect of AMT in this group of patients as well as the long-term effectiveness of AMT beyond 12 weeks and the sustained effect of the treatment over time.

Conclusions

This study provides class-4 real-world evidence of possible benefit of AMT in the treatment of post-COVID-19 headache. There was a statistically significant reduction in the number of headache days 3 months after AMT use, with a median change was almost 10 headache days per month less, The proportion of patients with a 50% response was 44%, and 20% of patients had an optimal response. Moreover, there was a significant reduction in the number of intense headache days per month and acute medication days 3 months after AMT use. History of TTH, anxiety or depression, absence of nausea, time from COVID-19 to AMT and amitriptyline starting dose in the univariate analysis, and TTH and the absence of nausea were associated with a higher probability of response. Treatment discontinuation due to adverse effects was infrequent.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-022-11225-5. Journal of Neurology (2022) 269:5702-5709

Acknowledgements To the doctors and patients. To Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Instituto de Salud Carlos III) & European Union (European Social Fund, FSE+) through the Río Hortega Fellowship (CM21/00178) to AGM.

Funding Open Access funding provided thanks to the CRUE-CSIC agreement with Springer Nature. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-forprofit sectors.

Availability of data and materials Anonymized data will be shared by request from any qualified investigator.

Declarations

Conflicts of interest The authors declare no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article

Ethics approval and consent to participate Written informed consent was waived by ethics committee CEIm Área de Salud Valladolid Este (PI: 21 2280), due to the study being a review of anonymized medical records.

Consent for publication Consent to publish research findings was obtained by the ethics committee.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. García-Azorín D, Sierra Á, Trigo J, Alberdi A, Blanco M, Calcerrada I et al (2021) Frequency and phenotype of headache in COVID-19: a study of 2194 patients. Sci Rep 11(1):14674
- 2. Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, Gómez-Mayordomo V, Cuadrado ML, Palacios-Ceña D, Florencio LL, Guerrero AL et al (2021) The presence of headache at onset in SARS-CoV-2 infection is associated with long-term post-COVID headache and fatigue: a case-control study. Cephalalgia Int J Headache. 2021;3331024211020404
- 3. Carfi A, Bernabei R, Landi F, Gemelli Against COVID-19 Post-Acute Care Study Group (2020) Persistent symptoms in patients after acute COVID-19. JAMA 324(6):603-605
- 4 García-Azorín D, Layos-Romero A, Porta-Etessam J, Membrilla J, Caronna E, Gonzalez-Martinez A et al (2022) Post-COVID-19 persistent headache: A multicentric 9-months follow-up study of 905 patients. Cephalalgia Int J Headache
- 5. Trigo J, García-Azorín D, Planchuelo-Gómez Á, Martínez-Pías E, Talavera B, Hernández-Pérez I et al (2020) Factors associated

with the presence of headache in hospitalized COVID-19 patients and impact on prognosis: a retrospective cohort study. J Headache Pain. 21(1)

- Caronna E, Ballvé A, Llauradó A, Gallardo VJ, María Ariton D, Lallana S et al (2020) Headache: a striking prodromal and persistent symptom, predictive of COVID-19 clinical evolution. Cephalalgia Int J Headache 40(13):1410–1421
- Gonzalez-Martinez A, Fanjul V, Ramos C, Serrano Ballesteros J, Bustamante M, Villa Martí A et al (2021) Headache during SARS-CoV-2 infection as an early symptom associated with a more benign course of disease: a case-control study. Eur J Neurol.
- Planchuelo-Gómez Á, Trigo J, de Luis-García R, Guerrero ÁL, Porta-Etessam J, García-Azorín D (2020) Deep phenotyping of headache in hospitalized COVID-19 patients via principal component analysis. Front Neurol
- Trigo López J, García-Azorín D, Planchuelo-Gómez Á, García-Iglesias C, Dueñas-Gutiérrez C, Guerrero ÁL (2020) Phenotypic characterization of acute headache attributed to SARS-CoV-2: an ICHD-3 validation study on 106 hospitalized patients. Cephalalgia 40(13):1432–1442
- Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS) (2018) The international classification of headache disorders, 3rd edition. Cephalalgia 38(1):1–211
- Jackson JL, Shimeall W, Sessums L, DeZee KJ, Becher D, Diemer M et al (2010) Tricyclic antidepressants and headaches: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 341:c5222
- Medina Ortiz O, Arango C, Ezpeleta D (2008) Fármacos antidepresivos en el tratamiento de la cefalea tensional. Med Clínica 130(19):751–757
- Xu X-M, Liu Y, Dong M-X, Zou D-Z, Wei Y-D (2017) Tricyclic antidepressants for preventing migraine in adults. Medicine (Baltimore) 96(22):e6989
- 14. Sociedad Española de Neurología (2020) Manual de práctica clínica en cefaleas
- Antonaci F, Dumitrache C, De Cillis I, Allena M (2010) A review of current European treatment guidelines for migraine. J Headache Pain 11(1):13–19
- García-Azorin D, Santos-Lasaosa S, Gago-Veiga AB, Viguera Romero J, Guerrero-Peral AL (2019) Real world preventative drug management of migraine among Spanish neurologists. J Headache Pain 20(1):19
- von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP et al (2008) The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. J Clin Epidemiol 61(4):344–349
- World Medical Association (2013) World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. JAMA 310(20):2191–2194
- Fernández-de-las-Peñas C, Palacios-Ceña D, Gómez-Mayordomo V, Florencio LL, Cuadrado ML, Plaza-Manzano G et al (2021) Prevalence of post-COVID-19 symptoms in hospitalized and nonhospitalized COVID-19 survivors: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Eur J Intern Med 92:55–70
- Lance JW, Curran DA (1964) Treatment of chronic tension headache. Lancet Lond Engl 1(7345):1236–1239

- Diamond S, Baltes BJ (1971) Chronic tension headachetreated with amitriptyline—a double-blind study. Headache 11(3):110–116
- Bendtsen L, Jensen R, Olesen J (1996) A non-selective (amitriptyline), but not a selective (citalopram), serotonin reuptake inhibitor is effective in the prophylactic treatment of chronic tension-type headache. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 61(3):285–290
- Holroyd KA, O'Donnell FJ, Stensland M, Lipchik GL, Cordingley GE, Carlson BW (2001) Management of chronic tension-type headache with tricyclic antidepressant medication, stress management therapy, and their combination: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA 285(17):2208–2215
- Göbel H, Hamouz V, Hansen C, Heininger K, Hirsch S, Lindner V et al (1994) Chronic tension-type headache: amitriptyline reduces clinical headache-duration and experimental pain sensitivity but does not alter pericranial muscle activity readings. Pain 59(2):241–249
- Couch JR, Hassanein RS (1979) Amitriptyline in migraine prophylaxis. Arch Neurol 36(11):695–699
- Couch JR, Amitriptyline Versus Placebo Study Group (2011) Amitriptyline in the prophylactic treatment of migraine and chronic daily headache. Headache 51(1):33–51
- Gomersall JD, Stuart A (1973) Amitriptyline in migraine prophylaxis. Changes in pattern of attacks during a controlled clinical trial. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 36(4):684–690
- Ziegler DK, Hurwitz A, Preskorn S, Hassanein R, Seim J (1993) Propranolol and amitriptyline in prophylaxis of migraine. Pharmacokinetic and therapeutic effects. Arch Neurol 50(8):825–830
- Leucht C, Huhn M, Leucht S (2012) Amitriptyline versus placebo for major depressive disorder. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 12:CD009138
- Guaiana G, Barbui C, Hotopf M (2007) Amitriptyline for depression. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 3:CD004186
- McQuay HJ, Carroll D, Glynn CJ (1993) Dose-response for analgesic effect of amitriptyline in chronic pain. Anaesthesia 48(4):281–285
- Aurón ZD (1976) Amitriptyline hydrochloride in the treatment of anxiety and insomnia and as a tranquilizer. Neurol Neurocir Psiquiatr 17(3):165–169
- 33. Cénat JM, Blais-Rochette C, Kokou-Kpolou CK, Noorishad P-G, Mukunzi JN, McIntee S-E et al (2021) Prevalence of symptoms of depression, anxiety, insomnia, posttraumatic stress disorder, and psychological distress among populations affected by the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychiatry Res 295:113599
- 34. Gonçalves AL, Martini Ferreira A, Ribeiro RT, Zukerman E, Cipolla-Neto J, Peres MFP (2016) Randomised clinical trial comparing melatonin 3 mg, amitriptyline 25 mg and placebo for migraine prevention. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 87(10):1127–1132
- Lampl C, Huber G, Adl J, Luthringshausen G, Franz G, Marecek S et al (2009) Two different doses of amitriptyline ER in the prophylaxis of migraine: long-term results and predictive factors. Eur J Neurol 16(8):943–948