

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



## Caring for the Vaccine-Hesitant Family: Evidence-Based Alternatives to Dismissal

Joshua T. B. Williams, MD<sup>1,2</sup>, Sean T. O'Leary, MD, MPH<sup>2,3,4</sup>, and Abraham M. Nussbaum, MD, MTS<sup>5,6</sup>

accine hesitancy is a growing public health threat. Recent data suggest that only one-half of children in the US are up-to-date for all recommended vaccines by 19-35 months, and more than one-third of children are

on alternative or shot-limiting vaccine schedules.<sup>1</sup> Measles infected 1282 Americans in 2019—the most cases since 1992—

and the US nearly lost its measles elimination status.<sup>2</sup> One in 40 kindergartners attends school with a nonmedical vaccine exemption, and many more kindergartners attend school underimmunized without plans to catch up.<sup>3</sup> Antivaccination groups spread doubt about vaccines through film and social media outlets.<sup>4</sup> From 2011 to 2017, elected officials introduced antivaccination bills into state legislatures more often than provaccination bills.<sup>5</sup> Even a few physicians promote nonrecommended vaccination schedules and exempt children from vaccines without medical cause.<sup>6</sup>

In response, provaccination advocates and policy makers are rebutting antivaccination bills and working to tighten or eliminate vaccine exemptions. Since 2003, no US state has added or broadened nonmedical exemptions, and 12 states have since repealed or restricted nonmedical exemptions.<sup>7</sup> In 2019 alone, Maine and New York eliminated nonmedical exemptions; Washington repealed personal belief exemptions for the measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine; and 7 more state legislatures introduced bills to eliminate nonmedical vaccine exemptions.<sup>7</sup> Simultaneously, public health officials have proposed novel communication slogans-such as "Safe Vaccinations for a Healthy Nation"-to educate Americans about vaccines,8 with researchers suggesting novel public health partnerships with diverse stakeholders, such as clergy.<sup>9</sup> Unfortunately, legislative actions meant to raise vaccination rates have been slowed or even doomed by coordinated antivaccine opposition and skepticism about institutional intrusion into family life.<sup>10</sup> Public health interventions are well-intended, but they may fail to boost vaccination rates among the vaccine-hesitant and even decrease vaccination intentions.<sup>11</sup> Even a global pandemic that has overwhelmingly sickened and killed frail, vulnerable, and disadvantaged people may not be transforming hesitancy into trust among vaccination skeptics.

Physicians are uniquely positioned to restore American confidence in vaccines because our clinical work depends on personal encounters that engender trust. However, clinicians who care for children are adversely impacted by vaccine

| AAP | American Academy of Pediatrics |
|-----|--------------------------------|
| HPV | Human papillomavirus           |

hesitancy, too. A 2011 survey of US primary care providers found that 1 in 10 providers reported that  $\geq 10\%$  of parents in their practice had refused a vaccine, whereas 1 in 5 said  $\geq 10\%$  of parents asked to spread out vaccines in a typical

See related article, p 72

s asked to spread out vaccines in a typical month.<sup>12</sup> When talking with parents with substantial concerns, 53% of respondents spent 10-19 minutes, of a typical

15-minute visit, doing so.<sup>12</sup> These conversations bring burnout instead of booster shots; 46% of pediatricians found their work less satisfying as a result of needing to discuss vaccines at length, as did 21% of family medicine physicians.<sup>12</sup> The hard work is likely to continue: a 2014 survey of 374 pediatricians found that 58% of respondents reported frequent requests for alternative vaccination schedules.<sup>13</sup>

How should physicians proceed?

There is no clear consensus, but a concerning trend may be emerging. Some providers, understandably frustrated and tired of parental pushback, are refusing to care for families who forgo vaccines. In national surveys of pediatricians in 2012 and 2013, 12%-21% of pediatricians reported always or often dismissing families who refused vaccines from their practice, with rates rising over time.<sup>14,15</sup> This is occurring even though many have argued strongly against the widespread adoption of this practice on ethical, legal, and public health grounds in the US and Canada.<sup>16-19</sup> In 2016, the American Academy of Pediatrics' (AAP) Report "Countering Vaccine Hesitancy" characterized dismissal as acceptable only after careful consideration of the situation, transparency with parents about the risks to their child, and openness about practice policies.<sup>20</sup>

Although we are unaware of any recent studies measuring practice dismissal, pediatricians' willingness to embrace dismissal may be increasing after the 2019 measles epidemic. Recently, 1 pediatrician in a high-refusal setting encouraged colleagues to engage vaccine-hesitant families through multiple strategies of nonviolent resistance, including dismissal. "So far," he said, "punishing kids by practice exclusion for their parents' folly has been limited nationally, but may need to be more formally included in the strategy of all physicians."<sup>21</sup>

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Denver Health Medical Center, Denver, CO; <sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics; <sup>3</sup>Pediatric Infectious Diseases, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora; <sup>4</sup>Adult and Child Consortium for Health Outcomes Research and Delivery Science (ACCORDS), Aurora; <sup>5</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora; and <sup>6</sup>Department of Behavioral Health, Denver Health Medical Center, Denver, CO

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

<sup>0022-3476/\$ -</sup> see front matter. © 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2020.05.029

Dismissal policies likely will create more problems than they solve. First, it is unclear where children go after dismissal, especially given the shortage of primary care providers in North America.<sup>19,20</sup> Second, practice dismissal may paradoxically increase the risk of outbreaks. As increasing numbers of unvaccinated patients cluster in practices tolerant of vaccine delay or refusal, the risks of vaccinepreventable diseases in those practices and communities only increase.<sup>16,22</sup> Third, antivaccination groups are targeting the practices. In February 2020, antivaccination proponents introduced a bill in Colorado's House of Representatives entitled the "Vaccine Consumer Protection Act." The bill mandated that healthcare providers or facilities "shall not limit or deny health care services or benefits to a patient [...] because the patient or the patient's parent or guardian delayed or declined a vaccination."23 The bill mandated that providers pay fines of "up to one thousand dollars for the first violation and up to five thousand dollars for each subsequent violation."23 We are unaware of any such bills being enacted, but such legislation raises the potential of financial and legal difficulties for providers who continue to dismiss families.

As an alternative to practice dismissal, there are several evidence-based tools in the provider-parent communication literature that can increase parental vaccine acceptance while keeping children of vaccine-hesitant parents in our practices. These tools—A Presumptive Approach, Motivational Interviewing, and Persistence—may even restore physician morale. As policymakers and public health experts work to address vaccine hesitancy, we believe pediatricians also can play a role in increasing vaccine confidence.

What would it look like to increase vaccine confidence, one visit at a time? First, physicians could increase vaccine acceptance with a presumptive approach to vaccine discussions. In 2013, researchers in Seattle videotaped more than 100 encounters between physicians and parents, of which 50% were vaccine-hesitant, to determine what predicted vaccine uptake at the end of the visit.<sup>24</sup> They found that how we begin the conversation matters. Some physicians began the conversation with what the authors called a participatory approach, offering parents latitude over vaccination decisions with opening lines such as: "What do you think about doing some shots today?" Others presumed that parents intended to vaccinate their children, using phrases such as "Johnnie is due for his 2-month vaccines today." When physicians used a presumptive approach, 74% of parents accepted vaccines; conversely, when physicians used a participatory approach, 83% of parents resisted vaccines.<sup>24</sup> By emphasizing vaccination as the social norm, a presumptive approach helps parents draw confidence from provider confidence as they face the decision of vaccination. Its benefits accrue with use. A longitudinal study of 73 parent-child dyads found child immunization status at 8 months was associated with the number of presumptive discussions parents had with providers at 2, 4, and 6 month well-child visits.<sup>25</sup> A presumptive approach also may increase adolescent vaccination uptake. A multiarm randomized trial in 30 pediatric and

family medicine clinics across North Carolina found a 5.4% increase (95% CI 1.1%-9.7%) in human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination coverage for patients in clinics whose providers received presumptive training, compared with conversation training or usual care.<sup>26</sup> One can imagine similar successes in alternative contexts (eg, hospital care units, emergency departments, or subspecialty clinics) and with different vaccines. For example, a Seattle hospital-based intervention demonstrated that a presumptive approach with parents increases child seasonal influenza vaccination.<sup>27</sup> To help pediatricians adopt a presumptive approach, the AAP has communication tools that generalize to diverse settings and can be built into electronic medical record systems.<sup>28</sup> To build confidence in clinical settings, we should begin vaccination discussions presumptively.

Second, if patients or parents resist a presumptive approach, we should engage them with motivational interviewing. Instead of a paternalistic approach, which compels parents to vaccinate because "the doctor said so," motivational interviewing guides conversations in a noncondescending manner so that parents gradually develop their own commitment to follow vaccine recommendations. The spirit of motivational interviewing has 4 components, easily remembered with the acronym PACE: Partnership, Acceptance, Compassion, and Evocation.<sup>29</sup> The Table provides conceptual understandings of each component, applied to vaccination, and gives examples of clinician questions or comments that illustrate each component. When clinicians find themselves in difficult conversations, they can partner with parents to understand their earnest questions about vaccines, affirm them as fellow humans worthy of dignity, and bolster their values that align with vaccination. Clinicians who use motivational interviewing also may increase vaccine uptake. A recent randomized trial in 16 practices with 125 providers demonstrated that a presumptive approach with motivational interviewing increased HPV vaccine initiation for adolescents 13-17 years old by 9%, compared with a 3% decrease in controls.<sup>30</sup> Providers believed that motivational interviewing was useful; 86% of providers reported using the technique frequently, much more so than Web sites, decision-aids, or disease images.<sup>31</sup> A multisite clinical trial to train providers on how to Presumptively Initiate Vaccines and Optimize Talk with Motivational Interviewing (PIVOT with MI) is ongoing.<sup>32</sup> Given its perceived utility and effectiveness, motivational interviewing may even counter clinician burnout. In a pilot study of the impact of motivational interviewing on obesity-related patient encounters, clinicians who received training had notable improvements in their burnout scores, compared with controls.<sup>33</sup> In sum, both parental confidence and physician well-being may improve as we work with vaccine hesitant parents via motivational interviewing.

Physicians have a third tool to build vaccine confidence: persistence. In the presumptive vs participatory study discussed previously by Opel et al, the study team also measured the percentage of parents who ultimately vaccinated their children despite an initial reticence to do so.<sup>24</sup> Ultimately,

| component   |  |  |
|-------------|--|--|
| Components  | Definition   | Sample Question/Comment  |
| Partnership | We avoid being the "expert," assuming the role of a partner and<br>validating concerns. We work "for" and "with" patients and<br>parents; we don't lecture "to" or "at" them. After hearing<br>parental concerns, we ask permission to share information<br>with them. | "It makes sense that you're worried about vaccine safety. All<br>parents want to keep their children safe. Could I share a few<br>things I've learned about vaccine safety with you?"                                    |
| Acceptance  | We affirm the absolute value of our patients or parents,<br>accepting them as fellow humans. We highlight their<br>autonomy to make decisions, although we are free to<br>disagree with them.  | "I strongly recommend this vaccine, but the choice is yours.<br>Thank you for continuing to have this hard conversation with<br>me. I'm happy to continue talking with you at our next visit."                           |
| Compassion  | We seek the good and well-being of others. We recommend vaccines because we believe they help others, not out of self-interest.  | "I want you to consider the measles vaccine because I care<br>about your child's health. I also think it's really important in<br>order to protect babies who are too young to get the measles<br>vaccine."              |
| Evocation   | Positive ideas about and reasons for vaccination come from the<br>patient or parent, not us. We reflect on patient or parental<br>ideas and demonstrate how they align with the benefits of<br>vaccination.  | "You've shared a lot of worries with me. Would you tell me more<br>about what's important to you? [] I hear protecting your<br>child is important to you. May I share how vaccines would<br>work to protect your child?" |

|   | Table. Motivational interviewing components with definitions and sample comments or questions that illustrate each |
|---|--|
| I | component  |

47% of parents agreed to follow the physician's recommendation when physicians continued to discuss their concerns and offer them a positive recommendation for vaccination.<sup>24</sup> In a separate study of 43 audio-recorded visits with unvaccinated adolescents and their parents, researchers found that 37 parents expressed hesitancy about the HPV vaccine at least once during the visit.<sup>34</sup> Some providers responded with only persistence, some responded with a mix of acquiescence and persistence, and some simply acquiesced to parents' concerns. Of the 18 encounters in which providers used persistence only, 17 adolescents received the HPV vaccine; conversely, no adolescent received the vaccine when providers simply acquiesced.<sup>34</sup> Furthermore, whether discussing childhood vaccines or HPV, physicians can persist over the short-term horizon of a visit through motivational interviewing, as described previously.

We must also persist over the long-term horizon of the physician-patient relationship. In the longitudinal study cited previously, persistent use of the presumptive approach at 2-, 4-, and 6-month well child visits was associated with a  $\sim$ 35% decrease in a child's percentage of days underimmunized at 8 months.<sup>25</sup> In another longitudinal study of nearly 500 parents who had ever declined HPV vaccination for their 11- to 17-year-old child, researchers found that secondary acceptance of the HPV vaccine-within 12 months of an initial refusal-was associated with receiving follow-up counseling (OR 2.16; 95% CI 1.42-3.28).<sup>35</sup> When asked why they ultimately accepted the HPV vaccine for their child, one-third of parents cited receiving a second recommendation.<sup>35</sup> We believe persistence will pay off with other vaccines as clinicians form long-term relationships with parents and children, understand their concerns, and recommend vaccines anew. Providers also could ask parents to sign a vaccine declination form, such as the one created by the AAP.<sup>36</sup> As of 2011, nearly one-half of pediatricians and one-third of family medicine physicians used such forms when parents refused vaccines.<sup>12</sup> Although the use of a declination form has not been tested in a randomized trial, the use of such a form offers the opportunity to clearly delineate the risks of vaccine-preventable diseases, the importance of vaccines, and the responsibility parents have for the consequences of vaccine delay. Of course, we must discern the best time and context in which to introduce a declination form, but doing so may motivate parents on the fence to accept recommendations from their persistent providers.

Dealing with parental vaccine hesitancy has become a frustrating yet essential part of being a physician. Practice dismissal may be a tempting option for burnt-out physicians to avoid hesitant parents and the public health risks their children pose to other patients. Yet, as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 circles the globe and researchers race to develop a vaccine, we can develop, in parallel, our ability to talk about vaccines with concerned parents. Evidence-based alternatives to dismissal exist, increase parental acceptance of vaccines, will keep undervaccinated and unvaccinated children with pediatricians, and improve population health. As policymakers and public health experts work at system levels, we should consider what we as pediatricians might do to increase vaccine confidence. Who else is better positioned to do so than the pediatricians who form long-term relationships with parents and their children? Contentious conversations require care, but we believe evidence-based tools that teach a Presumptive Approach, Motivational Interviewing, and Persistence can help. As we work as pediatricians to improve the state of vaccine confidence, let us consider caring for vaccine-hesitant families, one visit at a time.

Submitted for publication Mar 10, 2020; last revision received May 7, 2020; accepted May 14, 2020.

Reprint requests: Joshua T. B. Williams, MD, Kid's Care Clinic, Denver Health Medical Center, 301 W 6th Ave, MC 1911, Denver, CO 80204. E-mail: joshua. williams@dhha.org

## References

- 1. Hargreaves AL, Nowak G, Frew P, Hinman AR. Adherence to timely vaccinations in the United States. Pediatrics 2020;145:e20190783.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Measles cases and outbreaks. 2020. https://www.cdc.gov/measles/cases-outbreaks.html. Accessed March 9, 2020.
- 3. Seither R, Loretan C, Driver K, Mellerson JL, Knighton CL, Black CL. Vaccination coverage with selected vaccines and exemption rates among children in kindergarten—United States, 2018-19 school year. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2019;68:905-12.
- Kata A. Anti-vaccine activists, Web 2.0, and the postmodern paradigm— An overview of tactics and tropes used online by the anti-vaccination movement. Vaccine 2012;30:3778-89.
- Goldstein ND, Suder JS, Purtle J. Trends and characteristics of proposed and enacted state legislation on childhood vaccination exemption, 2011–2017. Am J Public Health 2019;109:102-7.
- Silverman RD, Yang YT. Lessons from California's discipline of a popular physician for vaccination exemptions without medical cause. JAMA 2019;173:121-2.
- American Academy of Pediatrics. Update on top 10 resolutions adopted at Annual Leadership Forum. 2019. https://www.aappublications.org/ news/2019/07/02/alf070219. Accessed February 2, 2020.
- Gostin LO, Ratzan SC, Bloom BR. Safe vaccinations for a healthy nation: increasing US vaccine coverage through law, science, and communication. JAMA 2019;321:1969-70.
- Williams JTB, Nussbaum AM, O'Leary ST. Building trust: clergy and the call to eliminate religious exemptions. Pediatrics 2019;144:e20190933.
- Tully T, Otterman S, Hoffman J. How anti-vaccine activists doomed a bill in New Jersey. New York Times: New York, New York; 2020. https://www.nytimes.com/2020/01/16/nyregion/nj-vaccinations-religiousexemption.html. Accessed March 9, 2020.
- 11. Nyhan B, Reifler J, Richey S, Freed GL. Effective messages in vaccine promotion: a randomized trial. Pediatrics 2014;133:e835-42.
- Kempe A, Daley MF, McCauley MM, et al. Prevalence of parental concerns about childhood vaccines: the experience of primary care physicians. Am J Prev Med 2011;40:548-55.
- Mohanti S, Feemster KA, Buttenheim A, Moser CA, Field RI, Mayer W, et al. Factors associated with pediatrician responses to alternative immunization schedule requests. Clin Pediatr (Phila) 2018;57:180-8.
- 14. O'Leary ST, Allison MA, Fisher A, et al. Characteristics of physicians who dismiss families for refusing vaccines. Pediatrics 2015;136:1103-11.
- **15.** Hough-Telford C, Kimberlin DW, Aban I, Hitchcock WP, Almquist J, Kratz R, et al. Vaccine delays, refusals, and patient dismissals: a survey of pediatricians. Pediatrics 2016;138:1-11.
- **16.** Diekema DS. Provider dismissal of vaccine-hesitant families. Hum Vaccines Immunother 2013;9:2661-2.
- 17. Chervenak FA, McCullough LB, Brent RL. Professional responsibility and early childhood vaccination. J Pediatr 2016;169:305-9.
- Halperin B, Melnychuk R, Downie J, MacDonald N. When is it permissible to dismiss a family who refuses vaccines? Legal, ethical and public health perspectives. Paediatr Child Health (Oxford) 2007;12:843-5.
- **19.** MacDonald NE, Harmon S, Dube E, Taylor B, Steenbeek A, Crowcroft N, et al. Is physician dismissal of vaccine refusers an acceptable practice in Canada? A 2018 overview. Paediatr Child Heal 2019;24:92-7.

- Edwards KM, Hackell JM. Committee on Infectious Diseases, the Committee on Practice And Ambulatory Medicine. Countering vaccine hesitancy. Pediatrics 2016;138:e20162146.
- Fishaut M. Follow Gandhi's approach when responding to vaccine aversion. AAP News: Elk Grove Village, IL; 2019. https://www. aappublications.org/news/2019/07/30/lte073019. Accessed February 2, 2020.
- 22. Buttenheim AM, Cherng ST, Asch DA. Provider dismissal policies and clustering of vaccine-hesitant families: an agent-based modeling approach. Hum Vaccines Immunother 2013;9:1819-24.
- Williams D. HOUSE BILL 20-1239: Vaccine Consumer Protection Act. Denver: Second Regular Session, Seventy-second General Assembly of the State of Colorado; 2020. 1-7. https://leg.colorado.gov/sites/default/ files/documents/2020A/bills/2020a\_1239\_01.pdf. Accessed March 9, 2020.
- 24. Opel DJ, Heritage J, Taylor JA, Mangione-Smith R, Showalter Salas H, Devere V, et al. The architecture of provider–parent vaccine discussions at health supervision visits. Pediatrics 2013;132:1037-46.
- 25. Opel DJ, Zhou C, Robinson JD, Henrikson N, Lepere K, Mangione-Smith R, et al. Impact of childhood vaccine discussion format over time on immunization status. Acad Pediatr 2018;18:430-6.
- 26. Brewer NT, Hall ME, Malo TL, Gilkey MB, Quinn B, Lathren C. Announcements versus conversations to improve HPV vaccination coverage: a randomized trial. Pediatrics 2017;139:e20161764.
- Hofstetter AM, Simon TD, Lepere K, Ranade D, Strelitz B, Englund JA, et al. Parental vaccine hesitancy and declination of influenza vaccination among hospitalized children. Hosp Pediatr 2018;8:628-35.
- American Academy of Pediatrics. Vaccine Hesitancy Practice Improvement Project. 2019. https://www.aap.org/en-us/advocacy-and-policy/aap-healthinitiatives/immunizations/Practice-Management/Pages/Vaccine-Hesitancy-Practice-Improvement-Project.aspx. Accessed February 2, 2020.
- **29.** Miller WM, Rollnick S. Motivational interviewing: helping people change. 3rd ed. New York: The Guilford Press; 2013.
- **30.** Dempsey AF, Pyrznawoski J, Lockhart S, Barnard J, Campagna EJ, Garrett K, et al. Effect of a health care professional communication training intervention on adolescent human papillomavirus vaccination a cluster randomized clinical trial. JAMA Pediatr 2018;172:1-9.
- Reno JE, O'Leary ST, Pyrzanowski J, Lockhart S, Thomas J, Dempsey AF. Evaluation of the implementation of a multicomponent intervention to improve health care provider communication about human papillomavirus vaccination. Acad Pediatr 2018;18:882-8.
- 32. O'Leary ST, Opel DJ. Presumptively initiating vaccines and optimizing talk with motivational interviewing (PIVOT with MI). 2019. US National Library of Medicine: Bethesda, Maryland; 2019. https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03885232. Accessed February 2, 2020.
- 33. Pollak KI, Nagy P, Bigger J, Bilheimer A, Lyna P, Gao X, et al. Effect of teaching motivational interviewing via communication coaching on clinician and patient satisfaction in primary care and pediatric obesityfocused offices. Patient Educ Couns 2016;99:300-3.
- 34. Shay LA, Baldwin AS, Betts AC, Marks EG, Higashi RT, Street RL Jr, et al. Parent–provider communication of HPV vaccine hesitancy. Pediatrics 2018;141:e20172312.
- Kornides ML, McRee AL, Gilkey MB. Parents who decline HPV vaccination: who later accepts and why? Acad Pediatr 2018;18:S37-43.
- Tan T, Rothstein E. Documenting parental refusal to have their children vaccinated. 2013. American Academy of Pediatrics: Elk Grove Village, IL; 2013. https://www.aap.org/en-us/Documents/immunization\_ refusaltovaccinate.pdf. Accessed February 2, 2020.