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# Association between social support and medication literacy in older adults with hypertension 
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#### Abstract

Background: Reduced physical function and reduced social networks place older adults with hypertension at high risk for medication-related harm. Medication literacy is one of the preventable factors that affect the success of drug therapy for hypertension. However, little is known about the level of medication literacy and its influencing factors in older adults with hypertension. Objective: The purpose of this study was to investigate the levels of social support and medication literacy, and the association between them in older Chinese adult patients with hypertension.


Methods: A total of 362 older adult patients with hypertension were investigated using a demographic characteristics questionnaire, the Chinese Medication Literacy Scale for Hypertensive Patients (C-MLSHP) and the Social Support Rating Scale (SSRS). Pearson correlation analysis, canonical correlation analysis (CCA) and hierarchical linear regression were used to analyse the relationship between social support and medication literacy.

Results: Our results showed that the mean scores for the C-MLSHP and the SSRS for older adult patients with hypertension were 23.89 (SD = 4.66) and 39.22 ( $\mathrm{SD}=5.53$ ), respectively. The results of the Pearson correlation analysis suggested that the score for social support was positively correlated with the score for medication literacy ( $r=0.431, P<0.01$ ). The results of CCA demonstrate that older adult patients with hypertension who had more subjective ( $r_{\mathrm{s}}=0.682$ ) and objective support ( $r_{\mathrm{s}}=0.817$ ) performed better in knowledge ( $r_{s}=0.633$ ), skills ( $r_{s}=0.631$ ) and behavior literacy ( $r_{s}=0.715$ ). Hierarchical linear regression indicated that two dimensions of subjective support ( $B=0.252, P<0.001$ ) and objective support ( $B=0.690, P<0.001$ ) in social support were found to be independent predictors of medication literacy ( $R^{2}=0.335, F=19.745, P<0.001$ ).

Conclusion: Social support is positively associated with medication literacy in older Chinese adult patients with hypertension. The study highlights the importance of social support in promoting medication literacy among older adult patients with hypertension.
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## Introduction

Hypertension is a common disease characterized by elevated arterial pressure, and it is a major risk factor for many common causes of morbidity, including stroke, myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, and end-stage renal disease (1). In 2019, the total number of people with hypertension was estimated to exceed 1.2 billion globally (2). As the most populous developing country, China faces a serious burden of hypertension. According to the data published in the "China Cardiovascular Disease Report 2021," approximately 18.8\% of Chinese adults (aged $\geq 18$ years) were diagnosed with hypertension, and almost $50 \%$ of them were older adults (aged $\geq 60$ years) (3).

Antihypertension medication still serves as a major therapy choice for treating hypertension. Most hypertensive patients need to take antihypertensive medications consistently and correctly to maintain blood pressure within target levels and to reduce the risk of complications and death. Medical therapy, however, is a double-edged sword. Medication-related harm (MRH) caused by non-adherence, medication error and improper medication use are major hidden dangers that threaten patients' health and waste medical resources. Studies have revealed that older adults with chronic conditions are high-risk groups for the occurrence of MRH due to their high exposure to medicines, difficulty in monitoring prescribed medications, and age-related pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic changes (4-7). Unsafe medication practices are very common in older adults, which results in a variety of adverse consequences. A systematic review found that MRH was the reason for hospitalization in one in ten hospitalized older adults (8), and another study estimated that avoiding hospitalization of one older people due to MRH could save approximately 5,600 euros (9). In a prospective study analyzing the risk of MRH in older hospitalized patients, $12 \%$ of patients experienced MRH events associated with antihypertensive medications. Eighty percent of MRH events were classified as "severe," requiring dose changes or discontinuation of treatment. Moreover, further analysis showed that almost half of MRH cases could be prevented (10). The degradation of physiological and cognitive functions caused by aging, such as the reduction of vision, attention and memory and the decline of executive ability and judgment, all interfere with safe medication practice in older adults (1113). On the other hand, the lack of pharmaceutical guidance services and the complexity of medication-related information (prescriptions and drug instructions) also increase the difficulty of obtaining, comprehending, communicating, calculating and processing medication-related information (14-16). Therefore, the problem of safe medication use in older adult patients with hypertension is worthy of attention.

The level of medication-related health literacy affects whether patients with chronic diseases can safely adhere to medication in the long term. Medication literacy is a new concept in the field of medicine that was proposed in recent years; it is defined as "the degree to which individuals can obtain, comprehend, communicate, calculate and process patient-specific information about their medications to make informed medication and health decisions in order to safely and effectively use their medications, regardless of the mode by which the content is delivered (e.g., written, oral and visual)" ( 17,18 ). Medication literacy reflects the specific literacy competencies required to act on medicationrelated information (19). Our research team has conducted a series of exploratory studies on the medication literacy of hypertensive patients, which showed that knowledge, attitude, skills and behavior are the four core elements of hypertensive patients' medication literacy, and each domain is essential and critical for processing medication information and correct medication use (20-23). First, correct and adequate knowledge about hypertension and its medication treatment is fundamental to safe medication use and persistent adherence (24, 25). Second, hypertensive patients' attitudes, including their beliefs related to hypertension severity and susceptibility, their beliefs about the effectiveness or necessity of taking antihypertensive medication, and their self-efficacy in hypertension disease management, also play critical roles in their adherence to antihypertensive drug use and disease management $(26,27)$. Third, appropriate skills to calculate and process information about their medications are prerequisites for making informed medication and health decisions to achieve safe and e?ective medication behavior (25, 28). Finally, correct medication use is one of the indicators of optimal goals and outcomes in the process of medication use ( 17,20 ). Specifically, patients' medication-taking behavior, decision-making regarding type of medication, medication information-seeking, blood pressure monitoring, and responses to adverse effects.

Previous studies have found that medication literacy is closely related to appropriate medication use and safe selfcare (23,29). The improvement of medication literacy could help reduce non-adherence to treatments, enabling patients to participate more fully in their medication therapy ( 23,30 ). In recent years, some studies have investigated the medication literacy level of hypertensive patients and found that the medication knowledge level among the older adult group was lower than that of other age groups $(19,21,22)$. The prevalence of inadequate levels of literacy is high among older adults, making them more susceptible to medication errors (31). These studies, however, did not specifically focus on older adult patients with hypertension and did not explore the relationship between social support and medication literacy.

Social support was defined as "the social resources that individuals perceive to be available or that are actually provided to them by non-professionals in the context of both formal support groups and informal helping relationships" (32). Xiao (33) further categorized social support into three dimensions: subjective support, objective support, and utilization of support. Social support can be provided by family members, close friends, colleagues, neighbors, relatives, and healthcare personnel. Subjective support is the emotional and spiritual support obtained by individuals, which can result in psychological satisfaction and a sense of being respected. Objective support refers to the actual help and the visible assistance given by organizations and social relations in which the individual is located. The utilization of support indicates that patients are seeking social support and their degree of acceptance of social support.

Prior studies have demonstrated that receiving social support from formal or informal social networks can help hypertensive patients improve their medication adherence and self-care behaviors and even reduce the risk of hypertension (34-36). In addition, studies have shown that inadequate social support may be a direct or indirect predictor of poor health literacy among patients with hypertension (37, 38). Therefore, we speculate that medication literacy, as in health literacy with regard to the process of medication use, may be associated with social support. In fact, in the conceptual model of medication literacy proposed by Neiva et al. (19), medication literacy can be considered an interaction between the demands of the social environment, including the health system, and the competencies of individuals to use the medication properly; thus, the supportiveness of family and social networks are emerging as important social and environmental determinants of medication literacy. This theory has been supported by a study that revealed a significant association of social support with medication literacy in Chinese patients with coronary heart disease (39). However, there is a lack of empirical evidence exploring the relationship between medication literacy and social support in older adult patients with hypertension. Assessing the medication literacy of older adults with hypertension can provide insight into their ability to acquire, understand, process, and apply medicationrelated information. Meanwhile, analyzing the impact of social support on medication literacy can provide a valuable reference for formulating strategies to improve the medication literacy of older adult patients with hypertension, improving safe medication practice and preventing MRH.

To our knowledge, this study is the first to investigate the level of medication literacy and explore the relationship between social support and medication literacy in older adult patients with hypertension. Meanwhile, based on the extant literature and related theory of medication literacy, we hypothesized that
social support is associated with medication literacy in older adult patients with hypertension.

## Methods

## Study design and participants

This cross-sectional study was conducted at three general hospitals and three community healthcare services in a southern province of China from June 2020 to January 2021. Patients were included if they (1) were aged 60 years or older; (2) had been diagnosed with hypertension by a cardiologist; (3) were being treated with antihypertensive medication; (4) spoke Chinese and communicated well with others; and (5) had an understanding of the purpose and process of the study and gave informed consent. Patients were excluded if they (1) were diagnosed with other serious diseases, such as other cancers, acute myocardial infarction, cerebral hemorrhage or chronic renal failure; (2) had secondary hypertension, such as elevated blood pressure caused by chronic renal dysfunction diseases; or (3) were diagnosed with psychological or mental impairment according to International Classification of Diseases guidelines or were receiving psychotherapy treatment. Investigations were conducted by two trained researchers. The diagnosis of hypertension and the number of antihypertensive medications that patients were taking were abstracted from medical records. Hypertensive patients who were eligible were invited to participate in the study while they were waiting for clinical consultations or after the clinic visit in the waiting room of the outpatient clinic. Each participant was provided with information on the purpose, content, investigation procedures and anonymity of respondents. Upon signing an informed consent form, each patient completed a self-administered (selfcompleted) questionnaire. For illiterate patients, the researchers communicated with both them and their family members. If they agreed to participate in the study, then they were instructed by one of their family members to sign the informed consent forms. Next, the researchers read the items word by word, and the responses of the subjects were recorded on the questionnaires. All completed questionnaires were immediately collected onsite and checked for missing information to ensure data integrity.

## Measures

## Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics

The following information about patients' sociodemographic and clinical characteristics was collected using a homemade questionnaire: gender, education level,
annual income, marital status, duration of hypertension, family history of hypertension, number of antihypertensive drugs prescribed, and number of cohabitating persons.

## Chinese medication literacy scale for hypertensive patients

The C-MLSHP is a self-administered medication literacy measure for hypertensive patients (20). This scale includes 37 items on four domains of knowledge literacy (e.g., "Hypertension can be induced by weight gain and obesity."), attitude literacy (e.g., "Patients with hypertension should visit doctors periodically."), skill literacy (e.g., "According to this prescription sheet, how many times a day should Tom take antihypertensives in total?"), and behavior literacy (e.g., "Have you ever searched for any information about antihypertensives?"). The scale-level content validity index (SCVI/Ave) of this scale was 0.97 , and the I-CVI for each item ranged from 0.83 to 1.00 , indicating a good and acceptable content and face validity. Cronbach's $\alpha$ coefficient was 0.85 for the full scale, 0.75 for the knowledge dimension, 0.78 for the attitude dimension, 0.76 for the skill dimension, and 0.74 for the behavior dimension. Spearman-Brown split-half reliability was 0.89 for the full scale, 0.82 for the knowledge dimension, 0.87 for the attitude dimension, 0.79 for the skill dimension, and 0.81 for the behavior dimension. The test-retest reliability was 0.97 for the full scale, 0.96 for the knowledge dimension, 0.96 for the attitude dimension, 0.88 for the skill dimension, and 0.93 for the behavior dimension (20). For items in the domains of knowledge and skill, a correct answer for each item scored 1, and an incorrect or "I don't know" response scored 0 . Each item in the attitude domain was measured on a 5 -point Likert scale: $1.00=$ Totally Agree, $0.75=$ Agree, $0.5=$ Do Not Agree, $0.25=$ Disagree, $0.00=$ Totally Disagree. In the practice domain, responses were also measured on a 5-point Likert scale: always, often, sometimes, seldom, never), for scores of $1.0,0.75$, 0.5 , and 0.25 , respectively. The total score for this scale ranged from 0 to 37 , with higher scores indicating higher medication literacy levels. The scale has been attached as Appendices in the Supplementary material.

## Social support rating scale

This scale is used to measure individual social relations. It includes 10 items in three dimensions: subjective support (e.g., "How many intimate friends do you have? From whom can you receive support and help?"), objective support (e.g., "In the past, when you encountered difficulties, what was your source of comfort and caring?"), and utilization of social support (e.g., "What is the way of talking when you are in trouble?") (33). The highest possible score is 66 , with higher scores indicating better social support. Scores are categorized as follows: scores $<22$ indicate a low level, scores $23 \sim 44$ indicate a medium level, and scores $45 \sim 66$ indicate a high level. The Cronbach's
$\alpha$ coefficient was 0.81 for the full scale, 0.72 for the subjective support dimension, 0.73 for the objective support dimension, and 0.81 for the utilization of support dimension. The scale and scoring method are shown in Supplementary material.

## Data analysis

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 24.0, Armonk, NY, USA). Continuous variables are expressed as the means $\pm$ standard deviations ( $M \pm S D$ ), and categorical variables are summarized as absolute numbers and percentages. The scores of medication literacy among participants with different sociodemographic and clinical characteristics were compared using the independent-sample $t$ test or analysis of variance with the LSD post-hoc test. Three strategies were employed to determine the association between medication literacy and social support. First, Pearson correlation analysis was used to determine the correlation between medication literacy and social support. Second, a canonical correlation analysis (CCA) was conducted using the three social support variables ( $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ : Subjective support; $\mathrm{X}_{2}$ : Objective support; $\mathrm{X}_{3}$ : Support utilization) as predictors of the four medication literacy variables ( $\mathrm{Y}_{1}$ : Knowledge literacy; $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ : Attitude literacy; $\mathrm{Y}_{3}$ : Skill literacy; $\mathrm{Y}_{4}$ : Behavior literacy) to further examine the relationships between the multiple dimensions of medication literacy and social support. Canonical variables U and V represent the comprehensive variables formed by $\mathrm{X}_{1}-\mathrm{X}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{1}-\mathrm{Y}_{4}$, respectively. CCA is a method of identifying associations between two multidimensional variables, which has the advantage of being able to examine multiple causes and multiple effects between complex constructs and to identify a variable's importance in terms of degree (40). Third, hierarchical linear regression analysis was conducted with the scores of medication literacy as dependent variables. The clinical and socio-demographic variables demonstrated statistical significance at the level of $P<0.05$ in the univariate analysis were entered into the model as independent variables on the first step (including gender, education level, annual income, duration of hypertension, number of antihypertensive drugs prescribed and number of co-lived persons), and the scores of each dimension of social support on the second step. Hierarchical linear regression analysis was performed according to the standard of $\alpha$ in $=0.05$ and $\alpha$ out $=0.10$ with the Enter method. Two-sided $P$-values $<0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

## Results

## Patient characteristics

In this study, a total of 400 questionnaires were distributed, of which 387 were completed, yielding a response rate of $96.75 \%$.

TABLE 1 Patient characteristics $(n=362)$.

| Factors | Items | $N$ | \% | Medication literacy | $t / F$ value | $P$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Male | 187 | 51.7 | $24.35 \pm 4.98$ | 1.986 | 0.048 |
|  | Female | 175 | 48.3 | $23.39 \pm 4.24$ |  |  |
| Education level | Junior middle school or below | 217 | 60 | $22.56 \pm 4.73$ | 30.746 | 0.000 |
|  | Senior high school or secondaryspecialized school | 88 | 24.3 | $24.94 \pm 3.37^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
|  | Junior college or above | 57 | 15.7 | $27.30 \pm 3.93{ }^{\text {ab }}$ |  |  |
| Annual income | <30,000/year | 150 | 41.4 | $22.97 \pm 4.91$ | 5.419 | 0.005 |
|  | 30,000-49,999/year | 110 | 30.4 | $24.80 \pm 4.38^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
|  | $\geq 50,000 /$ year | 102 | 28.2 | $24.25 \pm 4.36^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Marital status | Married | 320 | 88.4 | $23.93 \pm 4.71$ | 0.032 | 0.974 |
|  | Single | 42 | 11.6 | $23.54 \pm 4.27$ |  |  |
| Duration of hypertension | <5 years | 106 | 29.3 | $22.97 \pm 4.89$ | 7.153 | 0.001 |
|  | 5-9.9 years | 119 | 32.9 | $25.15 \pm 4.59^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
|  | $\geq 10$ years | 137 | 37.8 | $23.50 \pm 4.31^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| Family history of hypertension | Yes | 196 | 54.1 | $24.28 \pm 4.45$ | 1.746 | 0.082 |
|  | No | 166 | 45.9 | $23.42 \pm 4.86$ |  |  |
| Number of antihypertensive drugs prescribed | One | 233 | 64.4 | $23.57 \pm 4.07$ | 5.639 | 0.004 |
|  | 2-3 kinds | 118 | 32.6 | $24.81 \pm 5.29^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
|  | 4 or more | 11 | 3 | $20.66 \pm 6.81{ }^{\text {ab }}$ |  |  |
| Hypertension complication | Yes | 121 | 33.4 | $23.28 \pm 4.93$ | 1.739 | 0.083 |
|  | No | 241 | 66.6 | $24.19 \pm 4.49$ |  |  |
| Number of co-lived person | 1 or 0 | 32 | 8.8 | $23.30 \pm 4.34$ | 10.587 | 0.000 |
|  | 2-4 | 194 | 53.6 | $24.89 \pm 4.84$ |  |  |
|  | $\geq 5-7$ | 136 | 37.6 | $22.59 \pm 4.11^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Compared with the first layer, $P<0.05$; ${ }^{b}$ Compared with the second layer, $P<0.05$.

Of these, there were 362 valid questionnaires, for a valid rate of $90.5 \%$. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the 362 participants in the study are presented in Table 1. The mean age of the participants was 67.3 years ( $\mathrm{SD}=6.7$ years). Approximately half of the patients (51.7\%) were male, $60 \%$ had a lower level of education (in China, every citizen must complete 6 years of primary school education and 3 years of junior middle school education), and $30.4 \%$ had a family annual income of 30,000-49,999 Chinese yuan. The majority of the patients in the study population were married ( $88.4 \%$ ). A total of $37.8 \%$ of the participants had been diagnosed with hypertension for at least 10 years, $54.1 \%$ had a family history of hypertension, $64.4 \%$ were taking only one antihypertensive drug, and only $8.8 \%$ lived alone or with one person.

## Medication literacy of older adults with hypertension

The mean score for medication literacy was $23.89 \pm 4.66$ (range: 11.50-35.00). Additionally, the mean scores for each dimension of medication literacy were $6.35 \pm 2.15$ (range:
$1.00-9.00$ ) for the knowledge dimension, $5.18 \pm 1.08$ (range: $2.75-7.75$ ) for the attitude dimension, $4.33 \pm 2.15$ (range: $0.00-7.00$ ) for the skill dimension, and $8.03 \pm 2.01$ (range: $3.00-12.00)$ for the behavior dimension. Patients with different sociodemographic and clinical characteristics had different medication literacy scores, and the results of independentsample $t$ tests and analysis of variance for each item are shown in Table 1.

## Social support for older adults with hypertension

The results showed that the mean score of social support was $39.22 \pm 5.53$ (range: 28.00-53.00), and the mean scores for subjective support, objective support and utilization of support were $22.87 \pm 4.23$ (range: $14.00-31.00$ ), $9.04 \pm 2.22$ (range: $1.00-16.00$ ), and $7.31 \pm 1.95$ (range: 3.00-12.00), respectively. According to the scoring standard of the SSRS, the score for social support of older adult patients with hypertension was at a medium level. Specifically, 32 (8.8\%) had low social support, 265

TABLE 2 Pearson correlation analysis between medication literacy and social support ( $n=362$ ).

| Dimensions | Subjective support | Objective support | Utilization of support | Total social support scores |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knowledge literacy | $0.164^{* *}$ | $0.325^{* *}$ | 0.041 |  |
| Attitude literacy | $0.106^{*}$ | $0.221^{* *}$ | 0.043 | $0.242^{* *}$ |
| Skill literacy | $0.241^{* *}$ | $0.236^{* *}$ | $0.155^{* *}$ |  |
| Behavior literacy | $0.244^{* *}$ | $0.234^{* *}$ | $0.182^{* *}$ |  |
| Total medication literacy scores | $0.316^{* *}$ | $0.408^{* *}$ | 0.072 | $0.396^{* *}$ |
| $* P<055^{* * P}$ |  |  | $0.431^{* *}$ |  |

${ }^{*} P<0.05 ;{ }^{* *} P<0.01$.
(73.2\%) had medium social support, and 65 (18.0\%) had high social support.

## Association between medication literacy and social support

First, the results of the Pearson correlation analysis suggested that the total scores of social support ( $r=0.431$, $P<0.01$ ), subjective support ( $r=0.316, P<0.01$ ) and objective support ( $r=0.408, P<0.01$ ) were positively correlated with the total score of medication literacy, respectively. However, the utilization support dimension was found to be positively correlated only with behavior literacy $(r=0.182, P<0.01)$ (Table 2).

Second, the results of the CCA are shown in Table 3. Two pairs of canonical variables $\left(\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}, \mathrm{U}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}\right)$ were generated with canonical correlation coefficients of 0.484 (Wilks's $\lambda=0.727, F$ $=10.031, P<0.001$ ) and 0.218 (Wilks's $\lambda=0.949, F=3.157, P$ $=0.005)$. The standardized canonical correlation coefficient and canonical loadings of $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ are presented in Table 3. Redundancy analysis performed on the two pairs of canonical variables demonstrated that $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ explained 39.4 and $30.2 \%$ of the variance of the observed social support variables and 37.1 and $12.3 \%$ of the variance of the observed medication literacy variables, respectively. Since $U_{1} V_{1}$ had the largest canonical correlation coefficient and the highest contribution rate, which could fully express the correlation between the two groups of variables, $U_{1} V_{1}$ was selected for interpretation in this study. The normalized expression for $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{1}=0.495 X_{1}+0.729 X_{2}+0.300 X_{3} \\
& V_{1}=0.344 Y_{1}+0.163 Y_{2}+0.466 Y_{3}+0.587 Y_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the expression, it is clear that $\mathrm{U}_{1}$ was mainly affected by subjective support $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ and objective support $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$, and $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ had a large weight in knowledge literacy $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{1}\right)$, skill literacy $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{3}\right)$ and behavior literacy $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{4}\right)$. Because all of the observed variables had the same sign, the results indicated that older adult patients with hypertension with higher subjective and objective support performed better in the three dimensions of knowledge literacy, skill literacy and behavioral literacy (Figure 1).

TABLE 3 Canonical correlation analysis of medication literacy and social support.

| Variable | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{1}}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{2}}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Coef | $r_{s}$ |  | Coef | $r_{s}$ |
| Subjective support $\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)$ | 0.495 | 0.682 |  | 0.377 | 0.261 |
| Objective support $\left(\mathrm{X}_{2}\right)$ | 0.729 | 0.817 | 0.522 | 0.519 |  |
| Support utilization $\left(\mathrm{X}_{3}\right)$ | 0.300 | 0.223 | 0.760 | 0.830 |  |
| Knowledge literacy $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{1}\right)$ | 0.344 | 0.633 | 0.688 | 0.631 |  |
| Attitude literacy $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{2}\right)$ | 0.163 | 0.416 | 0.448 | 0.496 |  |
| Skill literacy $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{3}\right)$ | 0.466 | 0.631 | 0.207 | 0.012 |  |
| Behavior literacy $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{4}\right)$ | 0.587 | 0.715 | 0.686 | 0.498 |  |

Coef, standardized canonical correlation coefficient; $r_{s}$, canonical loadings.

Finally, the results of hierarchical linear regression analysis showed that independent variables such as gender explained $17.7 \%$ of the variance of medication literacy in the first layer ( $R^{2}=0.177, F=12.695, P<0.001$ ). Social support variables were added on the basis of Model 1, and the power to explain the variance of medication literacy increased by $15.8 \%$ in Model 2 ( $R^{2}=0.335, F=19.745, P<0.001$ ). The final hierarchical regression model explained $33.5 \%$ of variability in medication literacy. Education level ( $B=1.068, P=0.001$ ), annual income ( $B=0.741, P=0.007$ ), number of co-lived person $(B=-1.521$, $P<0.001$ ), subjective support $(B=0.252, P<0.001)$ and objective support ( $B=0.690, P<0.001$ ) were the factors affecting patients' medication literacy (Table 4).

## Discussion

This study is the first to describe the association between social support and medication literacy among older Chinese adult patients with hypertension. In this study, we found that social support had a positive relationship with medication literacy, and older adult patients with hypertension who had more subjective and objective support performed better in knowledge, skills and behavior literacy. Furthermore, two dimensions of subjective support and objective support in


TABLE 4 Hierarchical linear regression analysis of factors influencing medication literacy $(\boldsymbol{n}=362)$.

| Variables | Model 1 |  |  |  | Model 2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | B | SE | $t$ | $P$ | B | SE | $t$ | $P$ |
| Constant | 22.503 | 1.629 | 13.814 | <0.001 | 10.741 | 2.095 | 5.126 | <0.001 |
| Gender | -0.777 | 0.502 | $-1.547$ | 0.123 | -0.319 | 0.458 | -0.696 | 0.487 |
| Education level | 2.210 | 0.311 | 7.106 | 0.000 | 1.068 | 0.307 | 3.476 | 0.001 |
| Annual income | 0.201 | 0.295 | 0.682 | 0.496 | 0.741 | 0.274 | 2.704 | 0.007 |
| Duration of hypertension | 0.227 | 0.303 | 0.750 | 0.454 | -0.196 | 0.281 | -0.698 | 0.485 |
| Number of antihypertensive drugs prescribed | 0.492 | 0.490 | 1.005 | 0.315 | 0.867 | 0.444 | 1.954 | 0.052 |
| Number of co-lived person | -1.060 | 0.373 | $-2.841$ | 0.005 | $-1.521$ | 0.342 | -4.441 | <0.001 |
| Subjective support |  |  |  |  | 0.252 | 0.052 | 4.867 | <0.001 |
| Objective support |  |  |  |  | 0.690 | 0.100 | 6.914 | <0.001 |
| Support utility |  |  |  |  | 0.175 | 0.107 | 1.635 | 0.103 |
| $R^{2}$ | 0.177 |  |  |  | 0.335 |  |  |  |
| $F$ value | $F_{(6,355)}=12.695, P<0.001$ |  |  |  | $F_{(9,352)}=19.745, P<0.001$ |  |  |  |

B, unstandardized regression coefficients; SE, Standard error.
social support were found to be independent predictors of medication literacy.

## Medication literacy of older adult patients with hypertension

Our results showed that the mean score for the CMLSHP for older adult patients with hypertension was 23.89 $\pm 4.66$, which is lower than the survey results of hypertensive patients in the $18-44$ years ( $27.10 \pm 4.77$ ) and $45-59$ years ( $24.87 \pm 5.02$ ) age groups by Ma et al. (22). Although some studies used different medication literacy assessment tools than this study, their results also showed that medication literacy decreased with increasing patient age (41, 42). The results showed that education level, annual income and number of cohabitating persons were the demographic factors affecting the
level of medication literacy among older adult patients with hypertension. Similar influencing factors of medication literacy for hypertensive patients have also been identified in previous studies (20, 22). Patients with higher education level have better ability to obtain, understand and calculate information related to complex medication, and are more able to make correct medication decisions (43). Therefore, they have higher medication literacy than patients with lower education level. In addition, the results in the present study showed that with the increase of annual income, the level of medication literacy of the patients will be improved. One possible reason is that patients with higher annual incomes are more likely to value the health education of medical staff than those with lower annual incomes. Secondly, patients with higher annual incomes are more willing to spend money on health care and health promotion than patients who lack sufficient and stable sources of income, including long-term purchase and use of antihypertensive drugs $(22,44)$. A study showed that a lack of family support caused
by $\leq 2$ people living together is one of the main risk factors for hypertension in men (45). However, this study found that the medication literacy of older adult patients with hypertension is reduced when the number of cohabitating persons is more than five. The reason might be that living with more family members does not mean that patients can obtain more effective family support. In contrast, they may be distracted from their health by their families.

## Social support of older adult patients with hypertension

In this study, the social support score was $39.22 \pm 5.53$, which revealed that older adult patients with hypertension received mid-level social support. Furthermore, the scores of objective support, subjective support and support utilization were lower than the norm level of Chinese adults' social support ( $44.34 \pm 8.38$ ) (46). The reason for this phenomenon may be that the older population in China have fewer social activities after retirement or are unable to work. Their sources of social support are mainly family members, such as spouses and children, while support from other social networks, such as friends, organizations and professional medical personnel, is limited. A qualitative study found that with increasing age, the demand for social support of patients with hypertension also gradually increases (47). Therefore, if family members of older hypertensive people lack time and energy to care for them, their social support level, including subjective support and objective support, will be further reduced (48).

## Relationship between social support and medication literacy

The results of the present study revealed the important impact of social support on medication literacy in older adult patients with hypertension. When taken as a whole, the results of Pearson correlation analysis suggested that social support was positively correlated with medication literacy ( $r=0.431$, $P<0.01$ ). This result was consistent with a previous study in which the impact of social support on medication literacy among patients with coronary heart disease was tested (39). It indicates that strengthening patient social support can, to some extent, improve patient medication literacy levels.

To further analyse how social support influences medication literacy, CCA was used. According to the first pair of canonical correlation variables $\left(\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\right)$, the comprehensive variables reflecting social support $\left(\mathrm{U}_{1}\right)$ are mainly determined by objective support and subjective support, and the comprehensive variables reflecting medication literacy are mainly determined by behavior literacy, knowledge literacy and skill literacy. Therefore, the results suggest that older
adult patients with hypertension with more subjective and objective support perform better in knowledge, skills and behavior literacy.

Moreover, the results of hierarchical regression analysis showed that subjective support $(B=0.252, P<0.001)$ and subjective support ( $B=0.175, P<0.001$ ) had a significant impact on medication literacy level in older adult with hypertension. Subjective support and objective support independently explained $15.8 \%$ of the variation of medication literacy, suggesting that the increase of subjective support and objective support would possibly improve medication literacy of older adult patients with hypertension. This result was consistent with a previous study, in which the relationship between social support and medication literacy among discharged patients after percutaneous coronary intervention was tested (49). These findings suggest the potential value of social support in promoting medication literacy among older adult patients with hypertension. Strengthening subjective support and objective support for older adult patients with hypertension may help to improve their medication literacy.

However, in the CCA and regression analysis, no relationship was found between the dimension of support utilization and medication literacy. This was consistent with the study of Qiao et al. (39). The study reported by Lu and Chen (50) indicated that with increasing age, the physiological function of individuals degenerates, resulting in inconvenient movement, cognitive function degradation and slow behavioral responses. Therefore, these negative changes prevent them from actively using social support to a certain extent. Considering this contradiction, further studies might be required to explain the interaction between support utilization and medication literacy.

## Study limitations

This study had some limitations. First, we only selected older adult patients with hypertension in Changsha, Hunan Province, China, which resulted in a small sample size. Second, this study adopted an across-sectional design and lacked follow-up of survivors across different time periods. Further studies using a multicentre, large-sample longitudinal cohort are needed to validate the observed trajectory of medication literacy, examine its dynamic changes, and provide a reference for the clinical development of targeted interventions.

## Conclusion

This study was conducted to examine the relationship between medication literacy and social support among older adults Chinese patients with hypertension. Higher social support was found to be associated with better medication literacy. In the absence of other studies on older adult patients with hypertension, our study provides important information for medical health providers to conduct medication literacy
interventions for older adult patients with hypertension. Further prospective studies and intervention trials are warranted.
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