



Time-Dependent Changes in Cortisol and Tympanic Temperature Lateralization During Food Deprivation Stress in Marmoset Monkeys

Lucas C. Pereira^{1,2}, Rafael S. Maior^{1,3} and Marilia Barros^{1,2}*

¹Primate Center, Institute of Biology, University of Brasilia, Brasilia, Brazil, ²Department of Pharmacy, School of Health Sciences, University of Brasilia, Brasilia, Brazil, ³Department of Physiological Sciences, Institute of Biology, University of Brasilia, Brasilia, Brazil

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Fabrizio Sanna, University of Cagliari, Italy

Reviewed by:

Lesley J. Rogers, University of New England, Australia Sarah B. Carp, University of Nebraska Omaha, United States

> *Correspondence: Marilia Barros mbarros@unb.br

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Individual and Social Behaviors, a section of the journal Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience

> **Received:** 17 April 2020 **Accepted:** 23 June 2020 **Published:** 16 July 2020

Citation:

Pereira LC, Maior RS and Barros M (2020) Time-Dependent Changes in Cortisol and Tympanic Temperature Lateralization During Food Deprivation Stress in Marmoset Monkeys. Front. Behav. Neurosci. 14:123. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2020.00123 Temporal information about food availability can be easily entrained, as in the case of fixed feeding routines of captive animals. A sudden unintentional or deliberate delay (e.g., food deprivation-FD) leads to frustration and psychological stress due to the loss of temporal predictability. How marmosets - an increasingly used small primate - process and respond to FD stress has not been previously assessed. Here we delayed the routine feeding of adult captive marmosets for 3 or 6 h. Blood cortisol concentration was used as a hormonal measure of the stress response. Changes in the left/right baseline tympanic membrane temperature (TMT) were used as an indirect ipsilateral indicator of hemisphere activity. Marmosets that were deprived for 3 h had higher cortisol levels than non-deprived controls. Cortisol concentration in the marmosets deprived for 6 h did not differ from controls possibly due to adaptative mechanisms against the detrimental effects of prolonged high cortisol levels. Interestingly, FD stress may have been processed more symmetrically at first, as indicated by the bilateral increase in TMT at the 3 h interval. As the event progressed (i.e., 6 h), a clear rightward TMT bias suggests that hemisphere activity had become asymmetrical. Therefore, the sudden loss of temporal predictability of an entrained routine feeding schedule induces time-dependent changes in the cortisol stress response and shifts in the TMT (and potentially hemisphere activity) lateralization bias of adult captive marmosets.

Keywords: food deprivation, stress, cortisol, tympanic membrane temperature, hemisphere asymmetry, marmoset

INTRODUCTION

Feeding behavior can be influenced by experience-dependent learning processes related to the food item *per se* and its potential rewarding properties, along with its spatial and/or temporal availability (Webb et al., 2009). For example, the latter can be detected when feeding repeatedly occurs at a specific circadian phase. The animal will then likely come to expect or even anticipate the time of day or season when (particular) foods will become available

again (reviewed in Mistlberger, 2011). Different foraging strategies may then be adopted at specific times to optimize food intake and minimize energy expenditure (Armstrong, 1980).

The ability to detect, retain, and use such temporal information is frequently demonstrated by animals in captive conditions. Many facilities adopt routine feeding schedules that easily become entrained (Bassett and Buchanan-Smith, 2007). Once this is established, the sudden loss of predictability related to an appetitive event such as feeding can rapidly lead to frustration and psychological stress due to the change in reward contingency (Levine, 2000). This can be the case, for example, during food deprivation (FD) stress; when an animal that can and wants to eat is unable to do so due to the lack of food brought on by events beyond its control (McCue, 2010). As a result, FD can be used to experimentally assess the effects of emotional distress, but it can also inadvertently ensue from routine captive management. Deliberate or unintentional delays in feeding schedules activate the hypothalamus-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and negatively affects the behavior of several species (chickens: Beuving et al., 1989; calves: Johannesson and Ladewig, 2000; sheep: Yayou et al., 2009; Normando et al., 2013; horses: Zupan et al., 2020). Although this also seems to be the case for nonhuman primates (NHP), including a rise in cortisol levels, attention so far has been mainly on how they respond to a specific (usually brief) delay (Lado-Abeal et al., 2000; Waitt and Buchanan-Smith, 2001; however see Lyons et al., 2000; Medhamurthy et al., 2007).

In both humans and animals, emotionally-laden events can be asymmetrically processed by the brain. Functional lateralization, established by (epi)genetic factors that interact with environmental cues (Rogers, 2014), allows for higher neural processing capacity, speed, and efficiency (Vallortigara and Rogers, 2005). The right hemisphere seems to preferentially detect, process, and respond to aversive stimuli and negative affect, although there is still some debate over which is the prevailing side (Gainotti, 2012; Rogers, 2009). In NHP, there are several reports of a rightward bias during predatory (marmosets: Hook-Costigan and Rogers, 1998; Souza Silva et al., 2007; Pereira et al., 2018), social (macaques: Hauser, 1993; Kalin et al., 1998; chimpanzees: Parr and Hopkins, 2000; baboons: Wallez and Vauclair, 2011), capture/restraint (Tomaz et al., 2003; marmosets: Pereira et al., 2019), and novelty-related stress (marmosets: Cameron and Rogers, 1999). Then again, Hanbury et al. (2011) reported that neural activity increased bilaterally in restrained bushbabies, whereas lesions restricted to either the left or right hemisphere blunted the response of rhesus monkeys to a snake model (Izquierdo and Murray, 2004). Other studies have also revealed a right-side dominance regardless of the emotional valence (macaques: Hauser and Akre, 2001; chimpanzees: Fernández-Carriba et al., 2002), or a complete lack of lateralization (e.g., a human intruder with macaques: Izquierdo and Murray, 2004). Hemisphere specialization of emotional activity in NHP thus requires further investigation. Also, to the best of our knowledge, hemispheric processing of FD stress has yet to be addressed.

Here we assessed whether brief FD induces a stress response in adult captive marmoset monkeys and if so, whether it is

asymmetrically processed by the brain. For this, we delayed routine food placement for either 3 h or 6 h. Blood cortisol concentration was used as a hormonal measure of the stress response, while a change in tympanic membrane temperature (TMT) was used as an indicator of hemisphere activity. TMT can be a fast, inexpensive, non-invasive, and indirect index of real-time changes in hemisphere activity (Cherbuin and Brinkman, 2007; Propper and Brunyé, 2013), including that of marmosets (Tomaz et al., 2003; Pereira et al., 2018, 2019). It reflects ipsilateral shifts in cerebral blood flow caused by activityinduced changes in brain temperature (Baker et al., 1972; Schiffer et al., 1999; Schuman et al., 1999; Yablonskiy et al., 2000). We hypothesized that FD stress would alter the marmosets' right TMT and hemisphere activity, yet did not predict the direction of the TMT shift, as this has been shown to increase and decrease in response to stress in this species (Pereira et al., 2018, 2019).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement

Animal numbers and the procedures herein were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the University of Brasilia (no. 006/2017). All procedures were carried out following the Brazilian regulations for the scientific use of laboratory animals (Lei Arouca 11.794/2008), as well as the CONCEA/Brazil and NIH/USA guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals.

Subjects, Housing Conditions, and Feeding Routine

Twenty-four adult black tufted-ear marmosets were used (*Callithrix penicillata*; 14:10 males:females aged 4.5–8.0 years old), weighing 335 ± 9 g (295–490 g) at the beginning of the study. They were either born at the Primate Center of the University of Brasilia, being the second or third generation in captivity, or had transferred to this location from other facilities in Brazil at least six years before this study. All subjects were implanted with a subcutaneous radiofrequency transponder identification microchip that had a temperature-recording sensor (BioThermo 985 LifeChip, Destron Fearing, South St. Paul, MN, USA). For more details see Pereira and Barros (2016).

The marmosets used in the study were housed as either same or different-sex pairs in standard home-cages of the same colony room, with both animals from each pair being tested simultaneously. Only pair-housed animals were used to minimize undue stress on the colony. Therefore, there were two male-male pairs due to a limitation in the number of pair-only groups available when the study was held. The housing facility had two parallel rows of 12 cages each (2 \times 1 \times 2 m; W \times L \times H), separated by a wire-mesh enclosed central corridor accessed only by husbandry and research personnel. A roof covered the entire central corridor and two-thirds of each home-cage, giving the animals unrestricted access to a protected and uncovered area. Marmosets were exposed to natural light, temperature, and humidity conditions. Each home-cage had a nest-box, ropes, wood perches, a monkey chow dispenser, and a tray for fresh food.

The marmosets were given fresh food once a day, consisting of pieces of fruits and vegetables, boiled eggs, nuts, live mealworms, and/or cooked chicken breast. This diet was prepared daily and individually portioned in food trays for each home-cage. The trays were taken to the colony room where a caretaker immediately placed them, in random order, in their respective home-cages. This procedure usually lasted <5 min and was held on a fairly regular schedule, beginning at 07:00 h (\pm 10 min). Unconsumed fresh items within the home-cage were removed at 17:00 h. Water and monkey chow were available *ad libitum*. The housing and maintenance conditions complied with the regulations of the Brazilian Institute of Environment and Renewable Natural Resources (IBAMA).

TMT and **SCT** Assessment and Analyses

The right and left TMT were assessed with an infrared digital ear thermometer used previously in marmosets (IFR 100 Dual-Mode Thermometer, Microlife, Brazil; Pereira and Barros, 2016; Pereira et al., 2018, 2019). It had an operating temperature range of 10–50°C, sensitivity of 0.1 °C, and accuracy of \pm 0.2°C (between 32.0 and 42.2°C). Six readings were made, at 5 s intervals: three in the left ear and three in the right ear. These measurements were taken one at a time, alternating between the two sides. The first ear to be recorded was determined arbitrarily. For each reading, the subject's external ear was pulled back gently and the thermometer inserted. The device was then activated and the result displayed ca. 1 s later. From the three readings made in each ear, we only used the highest recorded temperature. This was done to minimize a possible error when positioning the thermometer, as the tympanic membrane is hotter than the surrounding tissue (Heusch et al., 2006).

The subcutaneous temperature (SCT) was also recorded to assess whether changes in the TMT were due to an effect of the stress trial on general body temperature. We used a portable universal reader (HS9002B Pocket Reader, Destron Fearing, São Caetano do Sul, Brazil) to display the SCT detected by the subject's microchip implant (see "Subjects, Housing Conditions, and Feeding Routine" section).

For the SCT and TMT readings, the marmosets were captured with a net and manually restrained. Readings were held immediately before and after the stress trial described below. Disposable probe covers were used in the case of the TMT thermometry. To account for individual variations in the subjects' initial temperature, a *difference score* was calculated as follows: Δ = post-stress temperature – pre-stress temperature (in °C). Temperatures thus increased during the stress trial when Δ scores were positive, whereas a negative score indicated a decrease in temperature.

Food-Deprivation (FD) Stress Procedure

The marmosets were randomly assigned to one of four experimental groups (n = 6/group). Two groups were food-deprived, receiving their standard diet 3 (FD3h) or 6 h (FD6h) after their normal feeding time. Each of these groups had four males and two females. The other two groups served as controls (CG)–CG3h for the 3 h interval and CG6h for the 6 h interval. Each of these groups had three males and three

females. As they were not food-deprived, their standard diet was given at the usual time. Aside from the different feeding times, all subjects were submitted to the same procedure described below. Water remained available *ad libitum* for all four groups. Pair-mates were necessarily assigned to the same experimental group and assessed simultaneously, each one by a different research team.

Each subject was tested only once, but not all members of a group were necessarily tested on the same day. The day before the stress trial was held, all unconsumed food was routinely removed from the home-cage at 17:00 h, along with the chow dispenser. The next day, at 07:00 h (i.e., the usual feeding time), the pair-mates were captured in the home-cage and manually restrained. Their pre-stress SCT and TMT were recorded and the animals returned to the home-cage. Thereafter (i.e., at ca. 07:05 h), pair-mates of the CG groups received their standard diet of fresh food and chow. For pair-mates of the FD groups, these items were withheld for the duration of the pre-established stress interval. During the next 3 h (CG3h and FD3h groups) or 6 h (CG6h and FD6h groups) the subjects remained undisturbed in the home-cage, with or without access to food according to their group. At the end of the pre-established interval, the pair-mates were captured, manually restrained and their post-stress SCT and TMT registered. They were then taken to a procedure room adjacent to the colony facility where a blood sample was taken before being returned to their home-cage. Thereafter, pair-mates of the FD groups received their standard diet; i.e., at ca. 10:05 h for the FD3h group and 13:05 h for the FD6h group. We also recorded the times required to capture each subject and to assess its temperature (Table 1). Behavioral measures were not recorded in this study.

Blood Sampling and Cortisol Assay

A single blood sample was taken after the post-stress TMT assessment. Pair-mates were sampled simultaneously by the different research teams. Each animal was briefly anesthetized with isoflurane by inhalation using a portable universal vaporizer (Brasmed Vetcase, São Paulo, Brazil) set at 2% and oxygen flow at 1 L/min. Via femoral venipuncture, a 0.5 ml blood sample was taken and placed in a 4 ml vial containing clot activator and serum separator barrier gel (Vacuette, Brazil). After recovery (1–2 min), the animals were returned to the home-cage and monitored during the next 30 min. The duration of the procedure was recorded to assess for possible influence on cortisol levels (**Table 1**).

Each blood sample was centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 5 min at room temperature. The serum was transferred to a polypropylene vial and analyzed for cortisol concentration. A single direct chemiluminescence immunoassay (CLIA) was held using a commercial kit for the automated ADVIA Centaur[®] XP system (Siemens, Brazil). A dilution of 1:50 serum:diluent was used (Multi-diluent 3, Siemens, Brazil). CLIA and dilution parameters were based on a previous study in this species (Pereira et al., 2018). Assay sensitivity was 1 μ g/dl, and inter- and intraassay coefficient of variation from pooled serum were 9.8% and 7.5%, respectively.

 181 ± 21

Parameter Food-deprivation stress interval					
Parameter	Food-deprivation stress interval				
	3 h		6 h		
	Control	Food-deprived	Control	Food-deprived	
Pre-test procedures					
Home-cage capture	26 ± 6	38 ± 10	35 ± 10	32 ± 10	
Temperature assessment	62 ± 9	68 ± 11	53 ± 21	48 ± 18	
Post-test procedures					
Home-cage capture	30 ± 7	32 ± 6	39 ± 11	27 ± 10	
Temperature assessment	54 ± 7	47 + 12	43 ± 12	39 ± 12	

 129 ± 24

TABLE 1 | The time required to perform different steps of the procedure in the monkeys submitted to a single 0 (control), 3- or 6-h food-deprivation stress, expressed as mean \pm SEM in seconds.

Statistical Analyses

Blood sampling

Data were normally distributed and with equal variance, as assessed by Shapiro-Wilk and Levene's test, respectively. TMT Δ scores were analyzed using a mixed-design two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with SCT Δ scores as a covariate, and "group" (CG \times FD) and "side" (left \times right) as the betweensubject and repeated measure variables, respectively. Data from the CG3h and FD3h groups were analyzed separately from the CG6h and FD6h groups. Data on cortisol, body mass, and SCT Δ scores were assessed for between-group and test duration effects via two-way ANOVA. Whenever appropriate, subsequent comparisons were performed using Tukey's test. Pearson correlations were used to establish the relationship between: (1) TMT Δ scores and cortisol concentration; (2) TMT preand post-stress temperature values and the respective home-cage capture time; (3) TMT pre- and post-stress temperature values and the respective temperature assessment times; (4) TMT Δ scores and SCT Δ scores; and (5) cortisol concentrations and blood sample times. The significance level for all tests was set at $p \leq 0.05$.

 136 ± 35

RESULTS

At the end of the stress interval, the marmosets that had been deprived for 3 h had cortisol concentrations that were significantly higher than that of the remaining groups tested (group effect: $F_{(1,20)} = 6.23$, p = 0.02; test duration effect: $F_{(1,20)} = 3.24$, p = 0.09; interaction: $F_{(1,20)} = 5.49$, p = 0.03; **Figure 1**). The animals that were FD for 6 h and both non-deprived controls had similar cortisol levels that were lower than the FD3h group. Importantly, cortisol concentrations were not related to the time required to obtain the blood samples (CG3h: $r_{(4)} = 0.60$, p = 0.21; FD3h: $r_{(4)} = 0.10$, p = 0.72; CG6h: $r_{(4)} = -0.43$, p = 0.40; FD6h: $r_{(4)} = -0.48$, p = 0.35; **Table 1**).

TMT, on the other hand, was significantly altered by both stress intervals (**Figure 2**). However, the specific change seen in each ear differed between the FD3h and FD6h groups. Marmosets that were FD for 3 h had a significant bilateral increase in their TMT. In the corresponding non-deprived controls, we recorded similar temperatures before and after the same 3 h interval in both ears (group effect: $F_{(1,9)} = 38.42$, p < 0.01; side effect: $F_{(1,9)} = 0.02$, p = 0.89; interaction:



170 + 82

 $F_{(1,9)} = 0.24$, p = 0.64), with no significant effect of the SCT Δ score ($F_{(1,9)} = 2.00$, p = 0.19). For the marmosets that were FD for 6 h, the right TMT increased significantly, but the left ear was unaffected. In the non-deprived controls, the temperature on either side remained constant during the same 6 h interval (group effect: $F_{(1,9)} = 3.65$, p = 0.09; side effect: $F_{(1,9)} = 6.54$, p = 0.03; interaction: $F_{(1,9)} = 6.76$, p = 0.03; **Figure 2**). The SCT Δ score did not influence this result as well ($F_{(1,9)} = 0.98$, p = 0.35).

Correlational analyses did not reveal a relationship between the left or right TMT values and the time required to capture the subjects in their home-cages (*pre-test capture time*—vs. pre-stress left TMT: $r_{(22)} = -0.06$, p = 0.77 and vs. pre-stress right TMT: $r_{(22)} = -0.04$, p = 0.85; *post-test capture time*—vs. post-stress left TMT: $r_{(22)} = 0.27$, p = 0.20 and vs. post-stress right TMT: $r_{(22)} = 0.07$, p = 0.75; **Table 1**). Similarly, TMT values were not associated with the time required to assess the body temperatures (*pre-stress temperature assessment time*—vs. pre-stress left TMT: $r_{(22)} = 0.61$, p = 0.77 and vs. pre-stress right TMT: $r_{(22)} = 0.32$, p = 0.13; *post-stress temperature assessment time*—vs. post-stress left TMT: $r_{(22)} = -0.02$, p = 0.92 and vs. post-stress right TMT: $r_{(22)} = 0.03$, p = 0.88; **Table 1**). TMT Δ scores were found to be unrelated to the cortisol concentrations measured at the end of the stress interval



(*left side*: CG3h: $r_{(4)} = 0.46$, p = 0.36; FD3h: $r_{(4)} = -0.26$, p = 0.62; CG6h: $r_{(4)} = 0.10$, p = 0.85; FD6h: $r_{(4)} = 0.40$, p = 0.44; *right side*: CG3h: $r_{(4)} = -0.39$, p = 0.44; FD3h: $r_{(4)} = 0.06$, p = 0.91; CG6h: $r_{(4)} = -0.60$, p = 0.21; FD6h: $r_{(4)} = 0.40$, p = 0.44), as well as the SCT Δ scores (SCT Δ score—vs. left TMT Δ score: $r_{(22)} = 0.24$, p = 0.28 and vs. right TMT Δ score: $r_{(22)} = 0.28$, p = 0.19). Finally, there were no general effects for group, test duration or interaction in terms of the SCT Δ scores (group effect: $F_{(1,20)} = 0.03$, p = 0.87; test duration effect: $F_{(1,20)} = 0.04$, p = 0.85; interaction: $F_{(1,20)} = 0.42$, p = 0.52; **Table 2**) or body mass (group effect: $F_{(1,20)} = 0.33$, p = 0.57; test duration effect: $F_{(1,20)} = 3.15$, p = 0.09; **Table 2**).

TABLE 2 | Body mass and change in subcutaneous temperature (SCT Δ) of the monkeys submitted to a single 0 (control), 3- or 6-h food-deprivation stress, expressed as mean \pm SEM.

Parameter	Food-deprivation stress interval			
	3 h		6 h	
	Control	Food-deprived	Control	Food-deprived
Body mass (g)	340 ± 9	318 ± 8	322 ± 13	376 ± 29
SCT Δ score (°C) ^a	0.1 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.2	0.2 ± 0.1	0.1 ± 0.1

^a Δ score = post-stress temperature - pre-stress temperature

DISCUSSION

In this study, a delay in the routine feeding schedule induced a stress-related reaction in our adult captive marmosets. When food provisioning was withheld for 3 h, circulating cortisol levels were significantly higher compared to non-deprived individuals (FD3h \times CG3h group). These control animals received the daily standard diet at the usual time, but like the FD3h group had their cortisol assayed 3 h later. Cortisol concentration was unrelated to blood sampling time and the concentration of non-deprived individuals matched that of non-stressed marmosets (Chrousos et al., 1982; Pryce et al., 2002; Saltzman and Abbott, 2011).

HPA axis hyperactivity has been observed in rhesus macaques due to a short (< 3 h) delay in fixed feeding schedules (Helmreich et al., 1993; Lado-Abeal et al., 2000). Agonistic, self-directed, and stereotyped stress-related behaviors were also seen in stumptailed macaques when food was given later than expected (< 1 h delay; Waitt and Buchanan-Smith, 2001). Similar results are reported for farm animals (calves: Johannesson and Ladewig, 2000; Normando et al., 2013; chickens: Beuving et al., 1989; horses: Zupan et al., 2020; sheep: Yayou et al., 2009). In ad libitum fed rodents, corticosterone levels increased only after feeding had been interrupted for ca. 24 h (Galicich et al., 1963; Huang et al., 2016; Johansson et al., 2008; Nowland et al., 2011). Food availability does not usually become entrained under ad libitum feeding schedules (Stephan, 2002), and thereby delayed feeding is not expected to immediately induce frustration. However, under experimental settings, switching from a predictable to an unpredictable food reinforcement schedule readily elevated corticosterone concentration (Coe et al., 1983; Davis et al., 1976; Goldman et al., 1973; Levine et al., 1972). This setup may be more akin to the unexpected delay that our marmosets faced. Similar shifts have promptly elevated cortisol levels and negatively affected the behavior of different NHP species (Gottlieb et al., 2013; Lyons et al., 2000; Ulyan et al., 2006; however see Bloomsmith and Lambeth, 1995). When feeding/food reward is an unpredictable event, switching to a predictable schedule (*rats*: Levine et al., 1972) does not affect circulating glucocorticoids. A feeding routine where energy intake varies each day also seems to have a minimal effect on cortisol in NHP (howler monkeys: Martínez-Mota et al., 2016). Therefore, a key aspect of our marmosets' stress-related reaction may have been the sudden loss of temporal predictability related to the entrainment of the routine feeding schedule. However, this should be interpreted with caution as we cannot discard the possibility that cortisol release could partly reflect a homeostatic energy mobilizing response to lower glucose levels due to the FD (McCue, 2010).

The initial rise in circulating cortisol was possibly reversed when the FD interval increased. After a 6 h delay, cortisol levels no longer differed from that of non-deprived controls (FD6 h \times CG6h and CG3h). Although this may be an adaptative physiological mechanism of the HPA axis (Habib et al., 2001), other types of stressors have induced a high cortisol response for more prolonged intervals (e.g., *3-week social isolation*: Cinini et al., 2014), so further studies are required. Cortisol release varies according to stress duration (Miller et al., 2007). However, we are presently unsure how FD stress intervals longer than 6 h will affect HPA axis activity. The exact timeframe of this effect may vary between NHP species. In bonnet macaques with a fixed daily feeding schedule, cortisol levels were still elevated ca. 20 h after FD onset (Medhamurthy et al., 2007). Further studies are required for a more comprehensive comparative analysis of the hormonal response of NHP to FD stress.

Also, the marmosets' TMT rose significantly, but only when the feeding routine was not on-schedule (FD3h and FD6h groups). This was not related to overall body temperature (i.e., SCT), or the time needed to capture the animal or to obtain its temperature. SCT remained unaltered during the procedure and, similar to body mass, did not differ between groups. On the other hand, TMT is an accurate approximation of cerebral temperature (Mariak et al., 1994; Schuman et al., 1999). Variations in baseline TMT seem to correspond to ipsilateral changes in cerebral blood flow and brain temperature (Baker et al., 1972; Schuman et al., 1999; Yablonskiy et al., 2000) induced by shifts in cerebral activity (Schiffer et al., 1999). Given that negative emotional stimuli can increase neuronal activation and regional blood flow (Canli et al., 1998), the rise in our marmosets' TMT may reflect an ipsilateral increase in hemisphere activity. This is consistent with other betweensubject reports in both humans (e.g., Propper and Brunyé, 2013) and NHP (bushbabies: Hanbury et al., 2011, 2013; chimpanzees: Parr and Hopkins, 2000; macaques: Boyce et al., 1996; marmosets: Pereira et al., 2019) using TMT measures following different types of stressors (e.g., social interaction, capture/restraint).

It should be noted, though, that TMT increased bilaterally after the 3 h delay, whereas a rightward bias was detected after the longer 6 h interval. Ubiquitous stressful events, such as FD, involve a complex multi-system response controlled by the brain (van Oort et al., 2017). For the appropriate response to occur and at the correct time, the affective, autonomic, neuroendocrine, and cognitive neural networks interact; each having specific functional asymmetries (Gerendai and Halász, 1997; Hagemann et al., 2003; Vogels et al., 1994). As distinct (sub)cortical structures are recruited and/or inactivated at different time lags (Carlson et al., 1988; Raichle et al., 2001), cerebral activity in our marmosets may have shifted over time. The bilateral rise in TMT after the shorter interval may reflect an initial widespread activation pattern. Then again, a prominent bilateral activity may mask a robust right-sided activity, as reported in human infants during social isolation stress (Dawson et al., 1992).

As the stress event progressed in the present study, different areas were possibly inactivated (e.g., lower HPA axis activity reducing cortisol release in the FD6h group), revealing a clear rightward bias in TMT and hemisphere activity. Negative emotional stimuli are frequently associated with dextrally asymmetrical neural activity (reviewed in Gainotti, 2012). A greater right hemisphere bias has been associated with a higher tendency for withdrawal behaviors (*macaques:* Hopkins and Bennett, 1994; Hook-Costigan and Rogers, 1998; *marmosets*: Cameron and Rogers, 1999; *bushbabies*: Hanbury

et al., 2013) and more reactive/fearful-like temperament that leads to more intense and/or enduring behavioral reactions (macaques: Kalin et al., 1998). Although the shifts in TMT that were detected here were unable to predict the marmosets' cortisol response to the FD stress, macaques with the greater right than left hemisphere activity had high cortisol concentrations that correlated with a more intense behavioral response toward a human intruder (Kalin et al., 1998). In marmosets, social contact (Rukstalis and French, 2005) and predator mobbing calls (Clara et al., 2008; Cross and Rogers, 2006) have been associated with lower cortisol release, the former being produced with a right hemimouth/left hemisphere bias (Hook-Costigan and Rogers, 1998). Even though we were unable to record the behavioral reactions, these previous studies suggest that hemisphere asymmetry, cortisol release, and behavioral response to stress may be linked, requiring further investigation.

Although cerebral activation during FD stress has yet to be assessed in NHP, time-dependent changes seem to occur for other types of stress. For example, TMT increased bilaterally after a brief restraint event (bushbabies: Hanbury et al., 2011), whereas a right-side dominance was seen after longer intervals (marmosets: Pereira et al., 2019) or recurring episodes (marmosets: Tomaz et al., 2003). On the other hand, brief exposures to a predator model were asymmetrically processed by the right hemisphere (marmosets: Hook-Costigan and Rogers, 1998; Souza Silva et al., 2007; Pereira et al., 2018), but the response to a food-retrieval task associated with a predatory stimulus was blunted by unilateral lesions on either side (macaques: Izquierdo and Murray, 2004). When processing emotionally-laden stimuli, aspects other than event duration may contribute to the variability in functional hemisphere bias, or even lack thereof, including the type of stimulus (e.g., restraint vs. predatory stress), species (e.g., response to unfamiliar human by marmosets vs. macaques; Izquierdo and Murray, 2004; Pereira et al., 2018) and trait-like characteristics (e.g., fearful temperament; Kalin et al., 1998). It should also be noted that due to the variable and small sample size of each sex in each group, particularly in terms of females, an analysis by sex was not conducted. Sex has been reported to differently influence the cortisol response of male vs. female marmosets (Smith and French, 1997; Cross and Rogers, 2006; Saltzman and Abbott, 2011; Pereira et al., 2018). Caution should thus be taken when generalizing the results. Furthermore, superficial and deep areas in the brain may differ in terms of temperature, heat dissipation mechanisms, and regional blood flow (Sukstanskii and Yablonskiy, 2006). Emotional and autonomic thermoregulatory processes interact bidirectionally (Hagemann et al., 2003) suggesting that aspects unrelated to emotional processing may also affect brain temperature and blood flow (e.g., Hayward and Baker, 1968). Therefore, we are still uncertain as to the exact mechanisms underlying the time-dependent shifts in the TMT and hemisphere activity of our marmosets.

In summary, our results suggest that the sudden loss of temporal predictability of an entrained routine feeding schedule induced a time-dependent neuroendocrine (i.e., cortisol) stress response in adult captive marmosets, as reported for other NHP and non-primate species. This provides important insights into the welfare of captive marmoset colonies, particularly when considering routine management procedures such as food provisioning. Interestingly, this negative emotional event seems to have been processed more symmetrically at first, as indicated by the bilateral increase in TMT at the 3 h interval. As the event progressed (i.e., 6 h), there was a clear rightward TMT bias suggesting that hemisphere activity had become asymmetrical. The exact mechanisms underlying activity-induced changes in brain temperature and blood flow, and how changes in baseline TMT relate to time-dependent shifts in hemisphere activity during aversive events are still unresolved.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Animal Ethics Committee of the University of Brasilia (no. 006/2017).

REFERENCES

- Armstrong, S. (1980). A chronometric approach to the study of feeding behavior. Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev. 4, 27–53. doi: 10.1016/0149-7634(80)90024-x
- Baker, M. A., Stocking, R. A., and Meehan, J. P. (1972). Thermal relationship between tympanic membrane and hypothalamus in conscious cat and monkey. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 32, 739–742. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1972.32.6.739
- Bassett, L., and Buchanan-Smith, H. M. (2007). Effects of predictability on the welfare of captive animals. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 102, 223–245. doi: 10.1016/j. applanim.2006.05.029
- Beuving, G., Jones, R. B., and Blokhuis, H. J. (1989). Adrenocortical and heterophil/lymphocyte responses to challenge in hens showing short and long tonic immobility reactions. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 30, 175–184. doi: 10.1080/00071668908417135
- Bloomsmith, M. A., and Lambeth, S. P. (1995). Effects of predictable versus unpredictable feeding schedules on chimpanzee behavior. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 44, 65–74. doi: 10.1016/0168-1591(95)00570-i
- Boyce, W. T., Hihley, J. D., Jeremin, J. J., Champoux, M., and Suomi, S. J. (1996). Tympanic temperature asymmetry and stress behavior in rhesus monkeys and children. Arch. Pediatr. Adolesc. Med. 150, 518–523. doi: 10.1001/archpedi. 1996.02170300072014
- Cameron, R., and Rogers, L. J. (1999). Hand preference of the common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*): problem solving and responses in a novel setting. *J. Comp. Psychol.* 113, 149–157. doi: 10.1037/0735-7036.113.2.149
- Canli, T., Desmond, J. E., Zhao, Z., Glover, G., and Gabrieli, J. D. E. (1998). Hemispheric asymmetry for emotional stimuli detected with fMRI. *Neuroreport* 9, 3233–3239. doi: 10.1097/00001756-199810050-00019
- Carlson, N., Glick, S. D., Hinds, P. A., and Baird, J. L. (1988). Food deprivation alters dopamine utilization in the rat prefrontal cortex and asymmetrically alters amphetamine-induced rotational behavior. *Brain Res.* 454, 373–377. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(88)90840-2
- Cherbuin, N., and Brinkman, C. (2007). Sensitivity of functional tympanic membrane thermometry (fTMT) as an index of hemispheric activation in cognition. *Laterality* 12, 239–261. doi: 10.1080/13576500701218345

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LP and MB conceived and designed the study, acquired, analyzed and interpreted the data, and drafted and critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. RM analyzed and interpreted the data, and drafted and critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content.

FUNDING

LP received a doctoral scholarship from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq). MB (305525/2018-2) and RM (310719/2017-8) received research fellowships from CNPq. These funding sources had no involvement in the study design, data collection, analysis or interpretation, the writing of the manuscript, or the decision to submit it for publication.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Antonizete dos Reis Souza, for veterinary care and assistance with the blood samplings, Geinaldo V. da Silva, Almir G. de Araújo and Lindionor R. de Souza for animal care and support during the procedures, and the Núcleo de Apoio à Pesquisas (NAP)/Sabin Institute in Brazil for running the hormone assays.

- Chrousos, G. P., Renquist, D., Brandon, D., Eil, C., Pugeat, M., Vigersky, R., et al. (1982). Glucocorticoid hormone resistance during primate evolution: receptor-mediated mechanisms. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci U S A* 79, 2036–2040. doi: 10.1073/pnas.79.6.2036
- Cinini, S. M., Barnabe, G. F., Galvao-Coelho, N., Medeiros, M. A., Perez-Mendes, P., Sousa, M. B. C., et al. (2014). Social isolation disrupts hippocampal neurogenesis in young non-human primates. *Front. Neurosci.* 8:45. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2014.00045
- Clara, E., Tommasi, L., and Rogers, L. J. (2008). Social mobbing calls in marmoset monkeys (*Callithrix jacchus*): effects of experience and associated cortisol levels. *Anim. Cogn.* 11, 349–358. doi: 10.1007/s10071-007-0125-0
- Coe, C. L., Stanton, M. E., and Levine, S. (1983). Adrenal responses to reinforcement and extinction: role of expectancy versus instrumental responding. *Behav. Neurosci.* 97, 654–657. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.97.4.654
- Cross, N., and Rogers, L. J. (2006). Mobbing vocalizations as a coping response in the common marmoset. *Horm. Behav.* 49, 237–245. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2005. 07.007
- Davis, H., Memmott, J., MacFadden, L., and Levine, S. (1976). Pituitary-adrenal activity under different appetitive extinction procedures. *Physiol. Behav.* 17, 687–690. doi: 10.1016/0031-9384(76)90170-0
- Dawson, G., Panagiotides, H., Klinger, L. G., and Hill, D. (1992). The role of frontal lobe functioning in the development of infant self-regulatory behavior. *Brain Cogn.* 20, 152–175. doi: 10.1016/0278-2626(92)90066-u
- Fernández-Carriba, S., Loeches, A., Morcillo, A., and Hopkins, W. D. (2002). Functional asymmetry of emotions in primates: new findings in chimpanzees. *Brain Res. Bull.* 57, 561–564. doi: 10.3410/f.1007702.96806
- Gainotti, G. (2012). Unconscious processing of emotions and the right hemisphere. *Neuropsychologia* 50, 205–218. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia. 2011.12.005
- Galicich, J. H., Halberg, F., and French, L. A. (1963). Circadian adrenal cycle in C mice kept without food and water for a day and a half. *Nature* 197, 811–813. doi: 10.1038/197811a0
- Gerendai, I., and Halász, B. (1997). Neuroendocrine asymmetry. Front. Neuroendocrinol. 18, 354–381. doi: 10.1006/frne.1997.0154

- Goldman, L., Coover, G. D., and Levine, S. (1973). Bidirectional effects of reinforcement shifts on pituitary-adrenal activity. *Physiol. Behav.* 10, 209–214. doi: 10.1016/0031-9384(73)90299-0
- Gottlieb, D. H., Coleman, K., and McCowan, B. (2013). The effects of predictability in daily husbandry routines on captive rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*). *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 143, 117–127. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2012.10.010
- Habib, K. E., Gold, P. W., and Chrousos, G. P. (2001). Neuroendocrinology of stress. *Endocrinol. Metab. Clin. North Am.* 30, 695–728. doi: 10.1016/s0889-8529(05)70208-5
- Hagemann, D., Waldstein, S. R., and Thayer, J. F. (2003). Central and autonomic nervous system integration in emotion. *Brain Cogn.* 52, 79–87. doi: 10.1016/s0278-2626(03)00011-3
- Hanbury, D. B., Edens, K. D., Fontenot, M. B., Greer, T. F., McCoy, J. G., and Watson, S. L. (2013). Handedness and lateralised tympanic membrane temperature in relation to approach-avoidance behaviour in Garnett's bushbaby (*Otolemur garnettii*). *Laterality* 18, 120–133. doi: 10.1080/1357650x. 2011.642876
- Hanbury, D. B., Edens, K. D., Legg, C. E., Fontenot, M. B., and Watson, S. L. (2011). Food reinforcement effects on tympanic membrane temperature in response to restraint stress in Garnett's bushbaby (*Otolemur garnettii*). J. Med. Primatol. 40, 354–356. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0684.2011.00481.x
- Hauser, M. D. (1993). Right hemisphere dominance for the production of facial expression in monkeys. *Science* 261, 475–477. doi: 10.1126/science.8332914
- Hauser, M. D., and Akre, K. (2001). Asymmetries in the timing of facial and vocal expressions by rhesus monkeys: implications for hemispheric specialization. *Anim. Behav.* 61, 391–400. doi: 10.1006/anbe.2000.1588
- Hayward, J. N., and Baker, M. A. (1968). A comparative study of the role of the cerebral arterial blood in the regulation of brain temperature in five mammals. *Brain Res.* 16, 417–440. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(69)90236-4
- Helmreich, D. L., Mattern, L. G., and Cameron, J. L. (1993). Lack of a role of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis in the fasting-induced suppression of luteinizing hormone secretion in adult male rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*). *Endocrinology* 132, 2427–2437. doi: 10.1210/endo.132.6.8389280
- Heusch, A. I., Suresh, V., and McCarthy, P. W. (2006). The effect of factors such as handedness, sex and age on body temperature measured by an infra-red 'tympanic' thermometer. *J. Med. Eng. Technol.* 30, 235–241. doi: 10.1080/03091900600711324
- Hook-Costigan, M. A., and Rogers, L. J. (1998). Lateralized use of the mouth in production of vocalizations by marmosets. *Neuropsychologia* 36, 1265–1273. doi: 10.1016/s0028-3932(98)00037-2
- Hopkins, W. D., and Bennett, A. J. (1994). Handedness and approach avoidance behavior in chimpanzees (Pan). J. Exp. Psychol. Anim. B. 20, 413–418. doi: 10.1037/0097-7403.20.4.413
- Huang, C. C., Chou, D., Yeh, C. M., and Hsu, K. S. (2016). Acute food deprivation enhances fear extinction but inhibits long-term depression in the lateral amygdala via ghrelin signaling. *Neuropharmacology* 101, 36–45. doi: 10.1016/j. neuropharm.2015.09.018
- Izquierdo, A., and Murray, E. A. (2004). Combined unilateral lesions of the amygdala and orbital prefrontal cortex impair affective processing in rhesus monkeys. J. Neurophysiol. 91, 2023–2039. doi: 10.1152/jn.00968.2003
- Johannesson, T., and Ladewig, J. (2000). The effect of irregular feeding times on the behaviour and growth of dairy calves. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 69, 103–111. doi: 10.1016/s0168-1591(00)00127-1
- Johansson, A., Fredriksson, R., Winnergren, S., Hulting, A. L., Schiöth, H. B., and Lindblom, J. (2008). The relative impact of chronic food restriction and acute food deprivation on plasma hormone levels and hypothalamic neuropeptide expression. *Peptides* 29, 1588–1595. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2008. 04.018
- Kalin, N. H., Larson, C., and Shelton, S. E. (1998). Asymmetrical frontal brain activity, cortisol, and behavior associated with fearful temperament in rhesus monkeys. *Behav. Neurosci.* 112, 286–292. doi: 10.1037/0735-7044.112.2.286
- Lado-Abeal, J., Hickox, J. R., Cheung, T. L., Veldhuis, J. D., Hardy, D. M., and Norman, R. L. (2000). Neuroendocrine consequences of fasting in adult male macaques: effects of recombinant rhesus macaque leptin infusion. *Neuroendocrinology* 71, 196–208. doi: 10.1159/000054537
- Levine, S. (2000). Influence of psychological variables on the activity of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 405, 149–160. doi: 10.1016/s0014-2999(00)00548-3

- Levine, S., Goldman, L., and Coover, G. (1972). Expectancy and the pituitaryadrenal system. *Ciba Found. Symp.* 8, 281–291. doi: 10.1002/9780470719 916.ch13
- Lyons, D. M., Fong, K. D., Schrieken, N., and Levine, S. (2000). Frustrative nonreward and pituitary-adrenal activity in squirrel monkeys. *Physiol. Behav.* 71, 559–563. doi: 10.1016/s0031-9384(00)00384-x
- Mariak, Z., Lewko, J., Luczaj, J., Polocki, B., and White, M. D. (1994). The relationship between directly measured human cerebral and tympanic membrane temperatures during changes in brain temperatures. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. Occup. Physiol.* 69, 545–549. doi: 10.1007/bf00 239873
- Martínez-Mota, R., Righini, N., and Palme, R. (2016). Fluctuations in daily energy intake do not cause physiological stress in a Neotropical primate living in a seasonal forest. *Oecologia* 182, 973–984. doi: 10.1007/s00442-016-3739-6
- McCue, M. D. (2010). Starvation physiology: reviewing the different strategies animals use to survive a common challenge. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* 156, 1–18. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2010.01.002
- Medhamurthy, R., Priyanka, G., Vinuthan, M. K., and Manjunatha, A. M. (2007). Short-term fasting leads to inhibition of responsiveness to LH-stimulated testosterone secretion in the adult male bonnet monkey. *Am. J. Primatol.* 69, 791–801. doi: 10.1002/ajp.20406
- Miller, G. E., Chen, E., and Zhou, E. S. (2007). If it goes up, must it come down? Chronic stress and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis in humans. *Psychol. Bull.* 133, 25–45. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.133.1.25
- Mistlberger, R. E. (2011). Neurobiology of food anticipatory circadian rhythms. *Physiol. Behav.* 104, 535–545. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.04.015
- Normando, S., Mantovani, R., Marinelli, L., and Gabai, G. (2013). Effects of a delay in feed delivery on behaviour, milk yield and heamatological parameters of dairy cows. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.* 12:e24. doi: 10.4081/ijas.2013.e24
- Nowland, M. H., Hugunin, K. M. S., and Rogers, K. L. (2011). Effects of short-term fasting in male Sprague-Dawley rats. *Comp. Med.* 61, 138–144.
- Parr, L. A., and Hopkins, W. D. (2000). Brain temperature asymmetries and emotional perception in chimpanzees, Pan troglodytes. *Physiol. Behav.* 71, 363–371. doi: 10.1016/s0031-9384(00)00349-8
- Pereira, L. C., and Barros, M. (2016). Relationship between body temperature, weight and hematological parameters of black tufted-ear marmosets (*Callithrix penicillata*). J. Med. Primatol. 45, 118–125. doi: 10.1111/jmp.12214
- Pereira, L. C., Duarte, R. B., Maior, R. S., and Barros, M. (2018). Natural predator and a human stimulus differently affect the behavior, cortisol and cerebral hemisphere activity of marmoset monkeys. *Physiol. Behav.* 195, 112–117. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2018.07.027
- Pereira, L. C., Maior, R. S., and Barros, M. (2019). Rightward tympanic membrane temperature bias during acute restraint-isolation stress in marmoset monkeys. *Front. Neurosci.* 13:913. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2019.00913
- Propper, R. E., and Brunyé, T. T. (2013). Lateralized difference in tympanic membrane temperature: emotion and hemispheric activity. *Front. Psychol.* 4:104. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2013.00104
- Pryce, C. R., Palme, R., and Feldon, J. (2002). Development of pituitary-adrenal endocrine function in the marmoset monkey: infant hypercortisolism is the norm. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 87, 691–699. doi: 10.1210/jcem.87.2.8244
- Raichle, M. E., MacLeod, A. M., Snyder, A. Z., Powers, W. J., and Gusnard, D. A. (2001). A default mode of brain function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 98, 676–682. doi: 10.1073/pnas.98.2.676
- Rogers, L. J. (2009). Hand paw preferences in relation to the lateralised brain. *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B.* 364, 943–954. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2008.0225
- Rogers, L. J. (2014). Asymmetry of brain and behavior in animals: its development, function and human relevance. *Genesis* 52, 555–571. doi: 10.1002/dvg.22741
- Rukstalis, M., and French, J. A. (2005). Vocal buffering of the stress response: exposure to conspecific vocalizations moderates urinary cortisol excretion in isolated marmosets. *Horm. Behav.* 47, 1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2004. 09.004
- Saltzman, W., and Abbott, D. H. (2011). Hormonal and behavioral responses to stress in lactating and non-lactating female common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*). *Physiol. Behav.* 104, 446–453. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2011.05.005
- Schiffer, F., Anderson, C. M., and Teicher, M. H. (1999). Electroencephalogram, bilateral ear temperature and affect changes induced by lateral visual field stimulation. *Comp. Psychiatry* 40, 221–225. doi: 10.1016/s0010-440x(99) 90007-x

- Schuman, M. U., Suhr, D. F., Gosseln, H. H., Brauer, A., Jantzen, J. P., and Samii, M. (1999). Local brain surface temperature compared to temperatures measured at standard extracranial monitoring sites during posterior fossa surgery. J. Neurosurg. Anesthesiol. 12, 90–95. doi: 10.1097/00008506-199904000-00004
- Smith, T. E., and French, J. A. (1997). Psychosocial stress and urinary cortisol excretion in marmoset monkeys (*Callithrix kuhlii*). *Physiol. Behav.* 62, 225–232. doi: 10.1016/s0031-9384(97)00103-0
- Souza Silva, M. A., Topic, B., Lamounier-Zepter, V., Huston, J. P., Tomaz, C., and Barros, M. (2007). Evidence for hemispheric specialization in the marmoset (*Callithrix penicillata*) based on lateralization of behavioral/neurochemical correlations. *Brain Res. Bull.* 74, 416–428. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2007. 07.012
- Stephan, F. K. (2002). The "other" circadian system: food as a zeitgeber. J. Biol. Rhythms. 17, 284–292. doi: 10.1177/074873040201700402
- Sukstanskii, A. L., and Yablonskiy, D. A. (2006). Theoretical model of temperature regulation in the brain during changes in functional activity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 103, 12144–12149. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0604 376103
- Tomaz, C., Verburg, M. S., Boere, V., Pianta, T. F., and Belo, M. (2003). Evidence of hemispheric specialization in marmosets (*Callithrix penicillata*) using tympanic membrane thermometry. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 36, 913–918. doi: 10.1590/s0100-879x2003000700012
- Ulyan, M. J., Burrows, A. E., Buzzell, C. A., Raghanti, M. A., Marcinkiewicz, J. L., and Phillips, K. A. (2006). The effects of predictable and unpredictable feeding schedules on the behaviour and physiology of captive brown capuchins (*Cebus apella*). *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 101, 154–160. doi: 10.1016/j.applanim.2006. 01.010
- Vallortigara, G., and Rogers, L. J. (2005). Survival with an asymmetrical brain: advantages and disadvantages of cerebral lateralization. *Behav. Brain Sci.* 28, 575–589. doi: 10.1017/s0140525x05000105
- van Oort, J., Tendolkar, I., Hermans, E. J., Mulders, P. C., Beckmann, C. F., Schene, A. H., et al. (2017). How the brain connects in response to acute stress: a review at the human brain systems level. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 83, 281–297. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.10.015

- Vogels, R., Saunders, R. C., and Orban, G. A. (1994). Hemispheric lateralization in rhesus monkeys can be task-dependent. *Neuropsychologia* 32, 425–438. doi: 10.1016/0028-3932(94)90088-4
- Waitt, C., and Buchanan-Smith, H. M. (2001). What time is feeding? How delays and anticipation of feeding schedules affect stump-tailed macaque. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 75, 75–85. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1591(01)00174-5
- Wallez, C., and Vauclair, J. (2011). Right hemisphere dominance for emotion processing in baboons. *Brain Cogn.* 75, 164–169. doi: 10.1016/j.bandc.2010. 11.004
- Webb, I. C., Baltazar, R. M., Lehman, M. N., and Coolen, L. M. (2009). Bidirectional interactions between the circadian and reward systems: is restricted food access a unique zeitgeber? *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 30, 1739–1748. doi: 10.1111/j.1460-9568.2009.06966.x
- Yablonskiy, D. A., Ackerman, J. J. H., and Raichle, M. E. (2000). Coupling between changes in human brain temperature and oxidative metabolism during prolonged visual stimulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* 97, 7603–7608. doi: 10.1073/pnas.97.13.7603
- Yayou, K., Nakamura, M., and Ito, S. (2009). Effects of AVP V1a and CRH receptor antagonist on psychological stress responses to frustrating condition in sheep. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 71, 431–439. doi: 10.1292/jvms.71.431
- Zupan, M., Stuhec, I., and Jordan, D. (2020). The effect of an irregular feeding schedule on equine behavior. J. Appl. Anim. Welf. Sci. 23, 156–163. doi: 10.1080/10888705.2019.1663734

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Pereira, Maior and Barros. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.