# Race, ethnicity, and racism in the nutrition literature: an update for 2020

Christopher P Duggan, <sup>1,2</sup> Anura Kurpad, <sup>3</sup> Fatima C Stanford, <sup>4</sup> Bruno Sunguya, <sup>5</sup> and Jonathan C Wells<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Nutrition, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Nutrition, Harvard TH Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Nutrition, St. John's Research Institute, St. John's National Academy of Health Sciences, Bangalore, India; <sup>4</sup>Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; <sup>5</sup>Directorate of Research and Publications, Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania; and <sup>6</sup>Childhood Nutrition Research Centre, University College London, London, United Kingdom

#### **ABSTRACT**

Social disparities in the US and elsewhere have been terribly highlighted by the current COVID-19 pandemic but also an outbreak of state-sponsored violence. The field of nutrition, like other areas of science, has commonly used 'race' to describe research participants and populations, without the recognition that race is a social, not a biologic, construct. We review the limitations of classifying participants by race, and recommend a series of steps for authors, researchers and policymakers to consider when producing and reading the nutrition literature. We recommend that biomedical researchers, especially those in the field of nutrition, abandon the use of racial categories to explain biologic phenomena but instead rely on a more comprehensive framework of ethnicity; that authors consider not just race and ethnicity but many social determinants of health, including experienced racism; that race and ethnicity not be conflated; that dietary pattern descriptions inform ethnicity descriptions; and that depersonalizating language be avoided. J Clin Nutr 2020;112:1409-1414.

**Keywords:** Race, racism, ethnicity, research methods, social determinants of health

Recent debate about the role of race in society has been stimulated in particular by the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic and by episodes of state-sponsored violence and murder (1). These have highlighted persistent social inequities, and have reinvigorated the discussion about race and racism in biomedical research. Indeed, racial scapegoating during the current pandemic has been noted (2). As with other pandemics, research studies have reported racial/ethnic disproportions in case fatality rates globally, with clear inequities in the United States and Europe (3). Higher COVID-19–related mortality and hospitalization rates have been observed among members of African-American, Latinx, and other minority groups (4), and have been attributed to various biologic, socioeconomic, and behavioral factors (5), but none has been definitively proven.

Indeed, the difficulty of identifying exactly what underlies such differential susceptibility to COVID-19 highlights the limited understanding we have of the population variability that has traditionally been approached through the lens of race/ethnicity.

Like many other branches of science, nutrition has a long history of utilizing broad categorizations of people when designing research studies and interpreting the findings. Geographically, for example, populations may consume very different diets and occupy very different environments, and understanding the variable health consequences is a key aim of clinical and public health nutrition. In this situation, it is essential to describe where the study took place, and who participated.

For decades, the concept of "race" has been commonly used to categorize research participants—though this applies in particular to scientists in the United States—and in recent decades the term has been rejected by many scientists in favor of other approaches. The application of race in scientific research is increasingly understood to be problematic. The reality of race lies not in human biology itself, but in human society: it is fundamentally an issue of how we treat people.

In this piece, we discuss how we can best move forward in addressing the issue and effects of race in the nutrition literature (6). While the medical literature is rife with examples of differences in health outcomes based on racial categories (7), the nutrition literature also contains numerous examples of works in which race has been invoked to explain differences in body composition (8), anti-oxidant status (9), dietary intake (10), propensity to obesity (11), diabetes (12), glucose homeostasis (13), cardiovascular diseases (14), the small yet "fat" fetus (15), and many other outcomes, though we note that the same biological variability could also be approached through

Address correspondence to CPD (e-mail: Christopher.duggan@childrens.harvard.edu).

Received October 22, 2020. Accepted for publication October 22, 2020. First published online December 3, 2020; doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqaa341.

Duggan et al.

other interpretative frameworks. We review the limitations of classifying research participants by race, and recommend a series of steps for authors, researchers, and policymakers to consider when producing and reading the nutrition literature. Our overriding argument is that the terms "race" and "racial" have no well-founded biological or physiological meaning (16), and that when referring to population variability they should be augmented by, or discarded in favor of, other terms that provide more informative ways to assess both population differences in health and the impact of the practice of racism and similar discrimination on health outcomes.

# Limitations in the Use of Race and Ethnicity Data

The fact that the term race has been widely used in biomedical research and practice may appear to give it scientific validity. In order to understand how problematic the term is, we briefly review several ways in which the term race provides an inaccurate understanding of population differences.

### Reliance on race as a biological variable

Because race is generally, though often only loosely, attributed to physical phenotype (e.g., skin color, facial and hair features, among others) (17), there is a mistaken tendency to see "racial" characteristics as biological features of a person or population. A long history of misguided attempts to attribute race to biology exists (18), and at worst these have historically supported claims of racial superiority, racial purity, apartheid, and genocide (19, 20). Even when such overt claims are absent, medical students and residents have persistent, erroneous concepts about physiologic differences between populations categorized along racial lines (21). It is important to understand that the concept of race emerged as a socio-political concept both to classify humans and to justify a group's dominance over another (22). The term race is generally applied to very large proportions of humanity, and there is inevitably huge genetic variability within any such single grouping, while at the same time any genetic differences between groupings are trivial relative to the equivalent genetic similarities (23, 24). Indeed, some authors have proposed that current genetic science has made the concept of race obsolete (25). Thus, race is a social construct (26), not a biologic construct, and should be treated accordingly.

We should note, however, that the *concept* of race still has a critical role to play in the literature linking the experience of racism with a range of biologic, social, psychologic, and myriad other health-related outcomes (7). In the nutrition and metabolism field, for example, exposure to racism has been associated with hypertension (27), obesity risk (28), telomere attrition (29), and epigenetic ageing (30). Moreover, the literature around health inequities clearly shows that a person's experience of race and ethnicity has a large role to play in his/her quality and quantity of life.

#### Reliance on race as a reliable and static variable

Despite the provision of a fixed number of racial and ethnic categories by documents from the US Government, National Institutes of Health (31), and other agencies, these categories are limiting, are incomplete, have varied over time, and are closely

associated with changing political objectives (32). Individuals commonly identify among several ancestral and ethnic groups, and in doing so often invoke more specific terms relating to geography, nationality, or language. Self-identification of racial groups has proven difficult: the criteria and norms have changed over time and, as discussed above, are too broad to be scientifically useful (33). The understanding of "Whiteness" has also evolved over time, with some groups originally discriminated against subsequently being included within this categorization (34).

## Lack of clarity on methods used to categorize race

While self-identification has been the most common method in recent periods to determine race, racial categories have variously been assigned to research subjects by investigators, medical examiners, hospital admitting clerks, and others (6), generating further opportunities for prejudice and misclassification to impact scientific data.

#### Use of race as a proxy for social class

One author has noted that US researchers are more likely to describe subjects in term of race rather than social class, as more commonly done in Canada and the United Kingdom (35). While categorizations of race with socioeconomic status and social class are moderately correlated in the United States, it is important to take a more nuanced approach, for racial prejudice compounds socioeconomic barriers to health care and services (36). Racial discrimination can lead directly to economic inequalities, so exposure to such stresses, as well as social class itself, merit assessment in research studies.

#### **Conflating race and ethnicity**

The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition has recently recommended that the term "race" be used to describe racial categories based on physical appearance; "ethnicity" to describe traditions, lifestyle, language, diet, and values; and "ancestry" to describe ancestry informative markers based on genetic or genomic data (17). We commonly receive papers where subjects are described as "European" or "English" or "Hispanic," without any indication of how these terms were defined or how they were determined to apply to the subjects described. Certainly the association of diet and culture with ethnic categories is an area of interest to the broader nutrition field, and may in part underpin the relationships between ethnicity and nutrition and health outcomes. We revisit below how a more comprehensive approach can resolve these problems.

#### Race and Racial Discrimination

If race is not a valid biological concept, how and why should we use this concept in the scientific literature? Clearly, the issue of race looms large in society because it has such profound effects. Many of these effects materialize through broader social interactions, but being categorized as a particular "race" may also directly affect access to and the nature of medical treatment, as for example where algorithms incorporating such a term are used (37).

More generally, individuals may be subject to many forms of racial discrimination, which can profoundly affect many traits relevant to nutritionists. Discrimination affects the physical and social environments in which people live; their access to healthy diets; their exposure to commercial pressures to consume unhealthy commodities, such as tobacco and alcohol; and their opportunities for healthy behaviors, such as physical activity (38–40). Activation of the stress response has a wide range of metabolic effects that may interact with the above factors, and may influence a wide range of exposures or outcomes in nutritional studies (41–43). For these reasons, it is not appropriate to discard the issue of race from nutrition research, but rather to develop a new approach to address it constructively.

#### A new approach to biological variability

We need a new comprehensive framework through which to address the many different components of biological and behavioral variability that drive or emerge from differential nutritional outcomes. Such a framework can be provided by the concept of ethnicity: a composite marker of biology and identity that is better placed to handle the complexity of human variability. In the United States, ethnicity has widely been considered synonymous with cultural identity, which in turn has been contrasted with a gene-based concept of race. However, a much broader conceptualization of ethnicity allows many levels of biology to be taken into account. A review of ethnic variability in cardiovascular risk by Chaturvedi (44) provides a valuable example of how many different aspects of biology and behavior can be explored, without use of the term "racial."

While the notion of race as a primordial, fundamental trait, widely attributed to genotype, should be discarded, this does not mean that genetic ancestry itself is irrelevant to nutritional health (45). However, genetic variability is only 1 of many biological mechanisms that are important, while a range of components of behavior and culture also merit attention. Table 1, updated from Wells (46), lists a wide range of "levels of biology" relevant to cardiometabolic health, where evidence exists for ethnic differences in relevant traits. It should be readily apparent that with the exception of genotype, every other component of variability may incorporate the consequences of experiencing racial discrimination, as well as other relevant environmental factors.

#### Recommendations

Given these limitations in the use of race as a descriptive variable in much of the nutrition and medical literature, and the fact that race alone has limited biologic relevance to outcomes of interest (with the critical exceptions noted above related to the experience of racism and the documentation of health inequities), we recommend the following (and have amended our instructions to authors accordingly).

# Biomedical researchers, especially those in the field of nutrition, should abandon the use of racial categories to explain biologic phenomena

As noted above, race is a social construct which has no clear relationship to biology or physiology. We stress again

**TABLE 1** Components of ethnicity relevant to variability in cardiometabolic risk, with examples

Biological component	Example
DNA content	Frequency of ApoE polymorphism (47)
Gene effect	Physiological effect of FTO gene (48)
DNA expression	Global DNA methylation (49)
Life history	Growth and maturation patterns (50)
Biological ageing	Telomere attrition (51)
Morphology	Body composition (52)
Proteomics	Protein markers of cardiovascular disease (53)
Microbiome	Genetic variability of the biota (54)
Biochemistry	Inflammatory response (55)
Physiology	Blood pressure (56)
Behavior	Physical exercise (57)
Physical environment	Quality of urban environment (58)
Social environment	Social support networks (59)
Education	Educational opportunities and attainment (60)
Access to health care	Bureaucratic procedures (61)
Health care	Clinical management (62)
Commercial pressures	Targeting by commercial companies (38)
Exposure to discrimination	Negative targeting of identity (63)
Values and beliefs	Values and beliefs relating to foods (64)

ApoE, apolipoprotein E; FTO, fat mass and obesity-associated protein.

that we are not claiming that disease incidence, prevalence, and outcomes are equivalent among groups that have undergone different lived experience within racialized societies: they are most obviously not. Nor are we denying the importance of experienced racism and racial discrimination on explaining differences in health and nutrition outcomes: this is clearly the case, too. Nor are we claiming that the widespread practice of assigning race to an individual is not an important social determinant of health: it is a crucial determinant. What we are instead saying is that researchers who use race as an explanatory variable in their analyses, either as an implied genetic variable or without exploring other social variables, are using a variable whose measurement, as noted above, has questionable validity and reliability. In addition, researchers need to be aware that such practices may perpetuate certain stereotypes. "Scientific use of a social category may be interpreted as endorsement of its validity" (65). As noted above, race alone can rarely, if ever, be relied upon as a biologic explanation for nutritional diseases, dietary patterns, or health or nutrition outcomes. At the level of mechanism, nongenetic mechanisms such as epigenetic variability, intergenerational effects, and differences in the microbiome should be taken into consideration. We therefore encourage a broader conceptual approach, based principally on ethnicity.

# Social determinants of health are not limited to race and ethnicity

Race and ethnicity are important factors, but other determinants of health include sex, socioeconomic status, social class, education, housing, income, occupation, employment status, immigration status, legal status, language use, disability status, and others (66). More comprehensive description of a population's social class and status may well relate more closely to health and nutrition outcomes than race (67). In turn, the nutrition literature should explore how a population's race and experience of racism determine these other factors. For instance,

Duggan et al.

if racism limits a group's ability to live in a neighborhood with a walkable environment and access to nutritious foods, and obesity rates are higher in that population, is that fact related to the group's race or to systemic racism? Detailed descriptions of research subjects' social and other characteristics are often indicated, and should be more widely explored.

### When race or ethnicity are characterized, specify the method with which these classifications were made

Examples of classification methods can be self-report, parent report, defined by other observers, or categorized by governmental organizations; each has obvious shortcomings. A succinct explanation of these methods is important for replication purposes, and to the integrity of the scientific method.

# Full descriptions of ethnic background may well include dietary pattern descriptions

As noted above, dietary patterns are often associated with cultural patterns and personal/communal identity, and indeed help contribute to sense of self, as well as community. Describing these differences among different groups, and any associations with health outcomes, remains an important goal of nutritional epidemiology. It should be noted that some prospective cohorts that have been influential in linking diet and disease may lack substantial racial and ethnic diversity [the first Nurses' Health Study cohort was 97% self-reported as white, whereas the third nurses' cohort is 14% "racial or ethnic minority" (68)]. Larger, more representative cohorts are needed, as well as cohorts from low- and middle-income countries.

#### Words matter

Authors and journals should avoid pejorative terms to describe individuals or groups, stigmatizing language, and the use of depersonalizing plural nouns ("Blacks," "Asians," etc.) (6). This is analogous to the realization in the medical literature more broadly that describing people as "diabetics" (69) or "obese" or "non-compliant" has adverse consequences to communication and does not foster a patient-centered attitude. Use of "White versus non-White" comparisons may carry the erroneous assumption that White subjects are normative. Moreover, labels as seemingly specific as "Chinese adult men," "African-American children under age 5 years," or "adult members of the Yoruba people" encompass literally millions of individuals with complex and nonuniform social and health statuses (24).

# Conclusion

As editors, authors, and researchers ourselves, we realize that we have made many of these same errors noted above. With this piece, we hope to raise consciousness about how the routine use of race in the nutrition literature might reflect or promote systemic racism, whereas instead our goal should be to highlight racial inequities that should be vigorously opposed (70). Realization of the inherent limitations and possible adverse consequences of our routine characterization of research populations along limited and imperfect racial categories, as well as the commitment to

not conflate race with biology, can be a step in the nutrition community bending the proverbial arc of history towards justice.

The authors' responsibilities were as follows—All authors drafted the paper and read and approved the final manuscript. CPD, AK, and BS are editors of the *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. CPD's work is funded in part by grants (grant numbers K24 DK104676 and P30 DK040561) from the National Institutes of Health.

#### References

- The New York Times. What we know about the death of George Floyd in Minneapolis 2020 [Internet]. September 21, 2020. Available from: https://www.nytimes.com/article/george-floyd.html.
- Devakumar D, Shannon G, Bhopal SS, Abubakar I. Racism and discrimination in COVID-19 responses. Lancet 2020;395(10231):1194.
- Garcia MA, Homan PA, García C, Brown TH. The color of COVID-19: Structural racism and the pandemic's disproportionate impact on older racial and ethnic minorities. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2020 [accessed 15 November, 2020]. doi:10.1093/geronb/gbaa114.
- 4. Williams DR, Cooper LA. COVID-19 and health equity—A new kind of "herd immunity." JAMA 2020;323(24):2478–80.
- Townsend MJ, Kyle TK, Stanford FC. Outcomes of COVID-19: Disparities in obesity and by ethnicity/race. Int J Obes 2020;44:1807–9.
- Kaplan J, Bennet T. Use of race and ethnicity in biomedical publication. JAMA 2003;289(20):2709–16.
- Smedley BD, Stith AY, Nelson AR, editors. Unequal treatment: Confronting racial and ethnic disparities in health care, Committee on Understanding and Eliminating Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Health Care, Board on Health Sciences Policy, Institute of Medicine. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2003.
- Shypailo RJ, Wong WW. Fat and fat-free mass index references in children and young adults: Assessments along racial and ethnic lines. Am J Clin Nutr 2020;112:566–75.
- Rock CL, Jahnke MG, Gorenflo DW, Swartz RD, Messana JM. Racial group differences in plasma concentrations of antioxidant vitamins and carotenoids in hemodialysis patients. Am J Clin Nutr 1997;65(3):844– 50.
- Kant AK, Graubard BI. Race-ethnic, family income, and education differentials in nutritional and lipid biomarkers in US children and adolescents: NHANES 2003–2006. Am J Clin Nutr 2012;96(3):601– 12.
- Tay J, Goss AM, Garvey WT, Lockhart ME, Bush NC, Quon MJ, Fisher G, Gower BA. Race affects the association of obesity measures with insulin sensitivity. Am J Clin Nutr 2020;111(3):515–25.
- 12. Joshi SR. Type 2 diabetes in Asian Indians. Clin Lab Med 2012;32(2):207–16.
- Scholfield DJ, Behall KM, Bhathena SJ, Kelsay J, Reiser S, Revett KR. A study on Asian Indian and American vegetarians: Indications of a racial predisposition to glucose intolerance. Am J Clin Nutr 1987;46(6):955–61.
- 14. Xia J, Tu W, Manson JE, Nan H, Shadyab AH, Bea JW, Cheng T-YD, Hou L, Song Y. Race-specific associations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D and parathyroid hormone with cardiometabolic biomarkers among US White and Black postmenopausal women. Am J Clin Nutr 2020;112(2):257–67.
- Yajnik CS, Fall CHD, Coyaji KJ, Hirve SS, Rao S, Barker DJP, Joglekar C, Kellingray S. Neonatal anthropometry: The thin–fat Indian baby. Int J Obes 2003;27(2):173–80.
- Tsai J, Cerdena JP, Khazanchi R, Lindo E, Marcelin JR, Rajagopalan A, Sandoval RS, Westby A, Gravlee CC. There is no "African American Physiology": The fallacy of racial essentialism. J Intern Med 2020;288(3):368–70.
- Mersha TB, Abebe T. Self-reported race/ethnicity in the age of genomic research: Its potential impact on understanding health disparities. Hum Genomics 2015;9:1.
- 18. Villarosa L. Myths about physical racial differences were used to justify slavery—and are still believed by doctors today 2019 [Internet]. The New York Times. Available from: https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2019/08/14/magazine/racial-differences-doctors.html.
- Fredrickson G. Racism: A short history. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 2002.

- Cooper RS. Race in biological and biomedical research. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2013;3(11):a00857 3.
- Hoffman KM, Trawalter S, Axt JR, Oliver MN. Racial bias in pain assessment and treatment recommendations, and false beliefs about biological differences between Blacks and Whites. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2016;113(16):4296–301.
- 22. Williams D. Race and health: Basic questions, emerging directions. Ann Epidemiol 1997;7:322–33.
- 23. Committee on Diversity Subcommittee on Revising the American Association of Physical Anthropologists Statement on Race. American Association of Physical Anthropologists statement on race and racism 2019 [Internet]. Available from: https://physanth.org/about/position-st atements/aapa-statement-race-and-racism-2019/.
- Foster MW, Sharp RR. Race, ethnicity, and genomics: Social classifications as proxies of biological heterogeneity. Genome Res 2002;12(6):844–50.
- Cooper RS. Genetic factors in ethnic disparities in health. In: Anderson N, Bulatao R, Cohen B, editors. Critical perspectives on racial and ethnic differences in health in late life. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2004, p. 269–309.
- Schwartz RS. Racial profiling in medical research. N Engl J Med 2001;344(18):1392–3.
- Brondolo E, Love EE, Pencille M, Schoenthaler A, Ogedegbe G. Racism and hypertension: A review of the empirical evidence and implications for clinical practice. Am J Hypertens 2011;24(5): 518–29.
- 28. Cozier YC, Yu J, Coogan PF, Bethea TN, Rosenberg L, Palmer JR. Racism, segregation, and risk of obesity in the Black Women's Health Study. Am J Epidemiol 2014;179(7):875–83.
- Lu D, Palmer JR, Rosenberg L, Shields AE, Orr EH, DeVivo I, Cozier YC. Perceived racism in relation to telomere length among African American women in the Black Women's Health Study. Ann Epidemiol 2019;36:33–9.
- Brody GH, Miller GE, Yu T, Beach SR, Chen E. Supportive family environments ameliorate the link between racial discrimination and epigenetic aging: A replication across two longitudinal cohorts. Psychol Sci 2016;27(4):530–41.
- Yudell M, Roberts D, DeSalle R, Tishkoff S; 70 signatories. NIH must confront the use of race in science. Science 2020;369(6509): 1313–14.
- Ver Ploeg M, Perrin E, editors. National Research Council (US) panel on DHHS collection of race and ethnic data. Measuring race, ethnicity, socioeconomic position, and acculturation. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2004.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Use of race and ethnicity in public health surveillance: Summary of the CDC/ATSDR workshop. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 1993;42:No. RR-10.
- Jacobson MF. Whiteness of a different color: European immigrants and the alchemy of race. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1998.
- Jones C. Race, racism, and the practice of epidemiology. Am J Epidemiol 2001;154:299–304.
- Williams DR, Mohammed SA, Leavell J, Collins C. Race, socioeconomic status, and health: Complexities, ongoing challenges, and research opportunities. Ann NY Acad Sci 2010;1186:69–101.
- Vyas DA, Eisenstein LG, Jones DS. Hidden in plain sight— Reconsidering the use of race correction in clinical algorithms. N Engl J Med 2020;383(9):874–82.
- Alaniz ML. Alcohol availability and targeted advertising in racial/ethnic minority communities. Alcohol Health Res World 1998;22(4):286–9.
- 39. Williams DR, Collins C. Racial residential segregation: A fundamental cause of racial disparities in health. Public Health Rep 2001;116(5):404–16.
- Torres ME, Meetze EG, Smithwick-Leone J. Latina voices in childhood obesity: A pilot study using Photovoice in South Carolina. Am J Prev Med 2013;44(Suppl 3):S225–31.
- 41. Adam TC, Epel ES. Stress, eating and the reward system. Physiol Behav 2007;91(4):449–58.
- 42. Khaled K, Tsofliou F, Hundley V, Helmreich R, Almilaji O. Perceived stress and diet quality in women of reproductive age: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutr J 2020;19(1):92.
- McEwen BS. Neurobiological and systemic effects of chronic stress. Chronic Stress (Thousand Oaks) 2017;1:1–11.
- 44. Chaturvedi N. Ethnic differences in cardiovascular disease. Heart 2003;89(6):681-6.

- 45. Moltke I, Grarup N, Jorgensen ME, Bjerregaard P, Treebak JT, Fumagalli M, Korneliussen TS, Andersen MA, Nielsen TS, Krarup NT, et al. A common Greenlandic TBC1D4 variant confers muscle insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. Nature 2014;512(7513): 190–3.
- 46. Wells JC. Ethnic variability in adiposity, thrifty phenotypes and cardiometabolic risk: Addressing the full range of ethnicity, including those of mixed ethnicity. Obes Rev 2012;13(Suppl 2): 14–29.
- 47. Burman D, Mente A, Hegele RA, Islam S, Yusuf S, Anand SS. Relationship of the ApoE polymorphism to plasma lipid traits among South Asians, Chinese, and Europeans living in Canada. Atherosclerosis 2009;203(1):192–200.
- 48. Yajnik CS, Janipalli CS, Bhaskar S, Kulkarni SR, Freathy RM, Prakash S, Mani KR, Weedon MN, Kale SD, Deshpande J, et al. FTO gene variants are strongly associated with type 2 diabetes in South Asian Indians. Diabetologia 2009;52(2):247–52.
- Zhang FF, Cardarelli R, Carroll J, Fulda KG, Kaur M, Gonzalez K, Vishwanatha JK, Santella RM, Morabia A. Significant differences in global genomic DNA methylation by gender and race/ethnicity in peripheral blood. Epigenetics 2011;6(5):623–9.
- Walker R, Gurven M, Hill K, Migliano A, Chagnon N, De SR, Djurovic G, Hames R, Hurtado AM, Kaplan H, et al. Growth rates and life histories in twenty-two small-scale societies. Am J Hum Biol 2006;18(3):295–311.
- Chae DH, Wang Y, Martz CD, Slopen N, Yip T, Adler NE, Fuller-Rowell TE, Lin J, Matthews KA, Brody GH, et al. Racial discrimination and telomere shortening among African Americans: The Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study. Health Psychol 2020;39(3):209–19.
- Dulloo AG, Jacquet J, Solinas G, Montani JP, Schutz Y. Body composition phenotypes in pathways to obesity and the metabolic syndrome. Int J Obes 2010;34:S4–17.
- 53. Kim CX, Bailey KR, Klee GG, Ellington AA, Liu G, Mosley TH Jr., Rehman H, Kullo IJ. Sex and ethnic differences in 47 candidate proteomic markers of cardiovascular disease: The Mayo Clinic proteomic markers of arteriosclerosis study. PLOS One 2010;5(2):e9065.
- Gupta VK, Paul S, Dutta C. Geography, ethnicity or subsistencespecific variations in human microbiome composition and diversity. Front Microbiol 2017;8:1162.
- 55. Shah T, Newcombe P, Smeeth L, Addo J, Casas JP, Whittaker J, Miller MA, Tinworth L, Jeffery S, Strazzullo P, et al. Ancestry as a determinant of mean population C-reactive protein values: Implications for cardiovascular risk prediction. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 2010;3(5):436–44.
- 56. Lane D, Beevers DG, Lip GY. Ethnic differences in blood pressure and the prevalence of hypertension in England. J Hum Hypertens 2002;16(4):267–73.
- 57. Gavin JR 3rd, Fox KM, Grandy S. Race/ethnicity and gender differences in health intentions and behaviors regarding exercise and diet for adults with type 2 diabetes: A cross-sectional analysis. BMC Public Health 2011;11:533.
- Redwood Y, Schulz AJ, Israel BA, Yoshihama M, Wang CC, Kreuter M. Social, economic, and political processes that create built environment inequities: Perspectives from urban African Americans in Atlanta. Family & Community Health 2010;33(1):53–67.
- Sagrestano LM, Feldman P, Rini CK, Woo G, Dunkel-Schetter C. Ethnicity and social support during pregnancy. Am J Community Psychol 1999;27(6):869–98.
- 60. Fleischmann F, Phalet K, Neels K, Deboosere P. Contextualizing ethnic educational inequality: The role of stability and quality of neighborhoods and ethnic density in second-generation attainment. International Migration Review 2011;45(2):386–425.
- Fiscella K, Franks P, Gold MR, Clancy CM. Inequality in quality: Addressing socioeconomic, racial, and ethnic disparities in health care. JAMA 2000;283(19):2579–84.
- 62. Mathur R, Badrick E, Boomla K, Bremner S, Hull S, Robson J. Prescribing in general practice for people with coronary heart disease; Equity by age, sex, ethnic group and deprivation. Ethnicity & Health 2011;16(2):107–23.
- Paradies Y, Ben J, Denson N, Elias A, Priest N, Pieterse A, Gupta A, Kelaher M, Gee G. Racism as a determinant of health: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLOS One 2015;10(9):e0138511.

Duggan et al.

64. Bruss MB, Morris JR, Dannison LL, Orbe MP, Quitugua JA, Palacios RT. Food, culture, and family: Exploring the coordinated management of meaning regarding childhood obesity. Health Commun 2005;18(2):155–75.

- Hahn RA, Stroup DF. Race and ethnicity in public health surveillance: Criteria for the scientific use of social categories. Public Health Rep 1994;109(1):7–15.
- Singh GK, Daus GP, Allender M, Ramey CT, Martin EK, Perry C, Reyes AAL, Vedamuthu IP. Social determinants of health in the United States: Addressing major health inequality trends for the nation, 1935– 2016. Int J MCH AIDS 2017;6(2):139–64.
- Bleich SN, Thorpe RJ Jr., Sharif-Harris H, Fesahazion R, Laveist TA. Social context explains race disparities in obesity among women. J Epidemiol Community Health 2010;64(5):465–9.
- 68. Bao Y, Bertoia ML, Lenart EB, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC, Speizer FE, Chavarro JE. Origin, methods, and evolution of the three Nurses' Health Studies. Am J Public Health 2016;106(9):1573–81.
- 69. Dickinson JK. Commentary: The effect of words on health and diabetes. Diabetes Spectr 2017;30(1):11–16.
- Wells JCK. Promoting ethnic parity in health, leaving behind "race": A challenge for the global community in 2020. Am J Clin Nutr 2020;112(3):505–6.