

# Are higher follicle-stimulating hormone levels before androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer associated with oncological and cardiac outcomes and overall survival? —a population-level analysis

# Kyle Dymanus<sup>1,2#</sup>, Nadine A. Friedrich<sup>3#</sup><sup>^</sup>, Lauren E. Howard<sup>4,5</sup>, Taofik Oyekunle<sup>4,5</sup>, Amanda M. De Hoedt<sup>5</sup>, Artak Labadzhyan<sup>6</sup>, Thomas Polascik<sup>4,5</sup>, Zachary Klaassen<sup>1,7\*</sup>, Stephen J. Freedland<sup>3,5,8\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Medical College of Georgia, Augusta University, Augusta, GA, USA; <sup>2</sup>Section of Urology, Department of Surgery, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA; <sup>3</sup>Department of Urology, Samuel Oschin Comprehensive Cancer Institute, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA; <sup>4</sup>Duke Cancer Institute, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC, USA; <sup>5</sup>Urology Section, Department of Surgery, Veterans Affairs Health Care System, Durham, NC, USA; <sup>6</sup>Division of Endocrinology, Department of Internal Medicine, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA; <sup>7</sup>Georgia Cancer Center, Augusta, GA, USA; <sup>8</sup>Center for Integrated Research in Cancer and Lifestyle, Samuel Oschin Comprehensive Cancer Institute, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA, USA

*Contributions:* (I) Conception and design: K Dymanus, NA Friedrich, Z Klaassen, SJ Freedland; (II) Administrative support: AM De Hoedt; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: AM De Hoedt, T Polascik, SJ Freedland; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: LE Howard; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: LE Howard, T Oyekunle, A Labadzhyan; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

\*These authors contributed equally to this work and should be considered as co-first authors.

\*These authors contributed equally for the senior authorship.

*Correspondence to*: Zachary Klaassen, MD, MSc. Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Medical College of Georgia, Augusta University, 1120 15th Street, Augusta, GA 30912, USA; Georgia Cancer Center, Augusta, GA, USA. Email: zklaassen19@gmail.com.

**Background:** Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT), commonly delivered via a luteinizing hormonereleasing hormone (LHRH) agonist, is the standard treatment for advanced prostate cancer (PC). While quite effective, it has been associated with an increased risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE). The exact mechanisms are not clear. However, it has been theorized that follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), a pituitary hormone that is involved in controlling normal testosterone levels, which is decreased with LHRH-agonist therapy, may be the culprit. We performed a retrospective population-level study to test the link of FSH levels on the development of MACE, castrate-resistant PC (CRPC), and death among men starting ADT.

**Methods:** All men (n=1,539) who had an FSH level between 1999 and 2018 within 2 years prior to starting ADT and complete data were identified within the Veterans Affairs (VA) Health System. FSH was dichotomized as low/normal ( $\leq$ 8 IU/mL) and high (>8 IU/mL), using established cut-points. The associations between FSH and time to MACE, death, and CRPC were tested using log-rank tests and multivariable Cox proportional hazards models.

**Results:** Patients with high FSH were older (median 76 vs. 73 years, P<0.001), started ADT earlier (median 2007 vs. 2009, P=0.027), and had lower body mass index (BMI) (median 29.1 vs. 30.1 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, P=0.004) compared to those with low/normal FSH. On multivariable analysis, there was no association between FSH and time from ADT to MACE, CRPC, or death.

**Conclusions:** In this population-level study of men receiving an FSH test prior to starting ADT, there was no association between FSH levels and time from ADT to MACE, CRPC, or death. Although further

^ ORCID: 0000-0002-6713-0614.

studies are needed, these results do not support a link between pre-ADT FSH and long-term oncological or cardiovascular outcomes.

Keywords: Prostate cancer; follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH); overall survival (OS)

Submitted Feb 21, 2023. Accepted for publication Sep 15, 2023. Published online Oct 16, 2023. doi: 10.21037/tau-23-114

View this article at: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau-23-114

#### Introduction

Prostate cancer (PC) is strongly androgen-dependent, but other hormones may also play a role in driving PC growth (1). Specifically, the link between PC pathophysiology and multiple endogenous hormones and proteins has been studied, total testosterone, free testosterone, luteinizing hormone (LH), including prostate-specific antigen (PSA), with only a few studies assessing follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) (2,3). FSH is a pituitary glycoprotein hormone that is crucial for reproduction and sexual development. When gonadotropin-releasing hormone or LH-releasing hormone (GnRH/LHRH) binds to its receptor, it promotes the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis (HPGA) to control testosterone production through LH and FSH secretion. FSH is also involved in a negative feedback loop where elevated testosterone levels directly negatively feedback on the pituitary, resulting in suppressed FSH levels (4). FSH then stimulates Sertoli cells to make androgen-binding protein as well as maintain normal sperm

#### **Highlight box**

#### Key findings

 We found no association between pre-androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) levels with cardiovascular or oncological outcomes.

#### What is known and what is new?

- Only one prior study analyzed FSH and cardiovascular outcomes in prostate cancer patients wherein they studied. 492 men undergoing radical prostatectomy and found no link between pretreatment FSH and cardiovascular outcomes.
- Our data do not support the clinical utility of pre-treatment FSH levels for assessing risk of cardiovascular or oncological outcomes in men about to start ADT.

#### What is the implication, and what should change now?

• We found that pre-treatment FSH levels are unlikely to be linked with adverse cardiovascular or oncological outcomes. We encourage studies assessing post-treatment FSH levels. production (5,6). Nevertheless, apart from the typical release of FSH by the anterior pituitary gland, the prostate gland has been observed to produce FSH and exhibit FSH receptors (FSHR) in pathological conditions such as benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and advanced or metastatic PC (7-9). As a result, there has been an increased interest in examining the involvement of FSH in the progression and onset of PC.

A key treatment for advanced PC involves lowering androgen levels using androgen deprivation therapy (ADT) (10). Given ADT is typically performed by a LHRH agonist, FSH levels will decrease. The consequences of the FSH fall with ADT on oncological outcomes are unclear. As noted, given that PC cells both produce FSH and express FSHR, there is concern that FSH may be acting as an autocrine growth factor for PC. Indeed, direct targeting of FSHR is now being tested as a novel treatment for PC, in addition to potential combination therapies with ADT (11). Moreover, some studies suggest FSH may play a role in the development of atherosclerosis during ADT, a well-known risk of ADT (12-15). There has even been some literature suggesting that regularly monitoring FSH during ADT may have benefits as most PC patients are older men at elevated risk of cardiovascular disease (11). In addition to treatment monitoring, research in the past decade has revealed a correlation between elevated FSH levels and reduced total testosterone levels. Moreover, these increased FSH levels have been linked to more aggressive forms of PC and an increased likelihood of extraprostatic extension (16-18). Intriguingly, a study found that higher FSH after the start of ADT was associated with shorter time to development of castrate-resistant PC (CRPC). However, whether FSH levels prior to ADT hold similar prognostic importance is unknown.

We sought to evaluate FSH levels in PC patients before ADT and assess if there are associations between FSH levels and the development of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), CRPC, death. If positive, this would suggest FSH may be a novel biomarker of cardiovascular and oncological



Figure 1 Consort diagram for patient selection (ADT cohort). FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; BMI, body mass index; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events.

outcomes after ADT. Based upon the potential role of FSH in atherosclerosis development, we hypothesized that higher FSH levels prior to ADT are associated with long-term risk of MACE. We present this article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-23-114/rc).

#### Methods

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). This study was approved by the Durham Veterans Affairs (VA) Central Institutional Review Board (No. 1827) and individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived. Additionally, the study ensures that all data collected will be maintained with strict confidentiality. The data were retrieved from the corporate data warehouse. Using claims for PC and crossing this with pharmacy records for ADT and those who had a FSH test, we identified 1,770 PC patients who had a pretreatment FSH test between 1999 and 2018 within 2 years prior to starting ADT in the VA Health System (Figure 1). Among these, we excluded men who received clomiphene within a year prior to the FSH test (n=1), >90 years of age (n=165), had an FSH level >100 IU/mL (n=3), had a body mass index (BMI) <15 or  $>60 \text{ kg/m}^2$  or missing (n=48), or had chemotherapy within 6 months of ADT start (n=14), leading to our analysis cohort of 1,539 men to assess the association between serum FSH and overall survival (OS) and CRPC. In analyses to assess the association between serum FSH and MACE development, we further excluded patients who had MACE before ADT (n=477) leading to a cohort of 1,062 patients. FSH was dichotomized *a priori* as low/normal ( $\leq$ 8 IU/mL) and high (>8 IU/mL) as we previously described (19). Baseline and clinical characteristics were compared between the FSH groups (low/normal *vs.* high). Continuous variables were compared using Wilcoxon rank sum test and categorical variables were compared using Chi-Square test.

MACE was defined as myocardial infarction, coronary artery disease, cardiovascular disease, congestive heart disease or stroke based upon the International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-9 and ICD-10 claims. CRPC was determined by querying lab and prescription data to identify PSA rise while on continuous ADT.

The association between serum FSH and MACE development was the primary outcome. Secondary outcomes were the associations between serum FSH and CRPC and OS. Time from ADT to events of interest (MACE, death, or CRPC) was estimated by Kaplan-Meier method and differences between FSH groups were tested using the log-rank test. Univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazards (CPH) models were used to assess the association between serum FSH and all outcomes. Multivariable models were adjusted for age, year, race, BMI, Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI), primary treatment, biopsy grade group, PSA, time from FSH to ADT, and chemotherapy (time-dependent). The model assessing MACE as an outcome was, in addition, adjusted for testosterone level (lowest, middle, and highest tertile vs. missing). CPH assumption was assessed using Schoenfeld

residuals and for all outcomes CPH assumptions were met. For analyses, we defined the start of follow-up as the time of ADT initiation. In analysis, FSH was modeled as continuous, log continuous, and categorical variables, though the dichotomous analyses being considered primary.

#### Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS Version 9.4 (SAS institute, Cary, NC, USA) and Stata 14.2 (Stata Corp., College Station, Texas, USA). All significance tests were two-sided with P<0.05.

# Results

Of the 1,539 patients, 878 (57%) had a low/normal FSH level and 661 (43%) had a high level. Patients with high FSH were older (median 76 vs. 73 years, P<0.001), started ADT earlier (median 2007 vs. 2009, P=0.027), and had a lower BMI (median 29.1 vs. 30.1 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, P=0.004) compared to those with low/normal FSH. Patients with low/normal vs. high FSH were comparable by race, primary treatment type [radiation therapy (XRT) or radical prostatectomy (RP)], grade group on diagnostic biopsy, PSA and Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) at ADT, as well as testosterone and chemotherapy use (all  $P \ge 0.053$ ) (Table 1). After excluding men who had MACE prior to ADT, similar results were seen in that patients with high FSH were older (median 76 vs. 72 years, P<0.001), had lower BMI (median 28.7 vs. 29.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, P=0.010) and were likewise comparable on race, treatment type, grade group, PSA and CCI at ADT, as well as testosterone and chemotherapy use ( $P \ge 0.095$ ) (Table 2).

Time to MACE from ADT was no different between the FSH groups (log-rank P=0.43) (*Figure 2*). Regardless of FSH modeling as continuous, log continuous, or categorical variables, both univariable and multivariable Cox regression analyses showed no association between FSH levels and the development of MACE among men undergoing ADT (HR 1.00–1.10, P≥0.43) (*Table 3*).

Time from ADT to CRPC was not significantly different between the two FSH groups (log-rank P=0.636) (*Figure 3*). Regardless of FSH modeling as continuous, log continuous, or categorical variables, both univariable and multivariable Cox regression analyses showed no association between FSH levels and CRPC (*Table 4*).

In univariable analysis, higher FSH was associated with worse OS when assessed as a continuous and logtransformed variable (HR 1.01, 95% CI: 1.01–1.02; HR 1.23, 95% CI: 1.11–1.37, respectively) (*Figure 4, Table 5*). Similarly, when modeled as a categorical variable, patients with high (>8 UI/mL) FSH values were at increased risk of death compared to those in the low/normal category (HR 1.22, 95% CI: 1.05–1.41). After multivariable adjustment, however, no association was found with OS, regardless of whether FSH was assessed as a continuous, log continuous, or categorical variable.

#### **Discussion**

Androgen-deprivation therapy is the mainstay treatment for metastatic and CRPC (20). However, while life-prolonging in the right situation, ADT poses several side effects and risks including changes in body composition, increased fracture risk, development of insulin resistance, unfavorable lipid profile, and increased cardiovascular risk (21). The exact mechanisms to explain the increased cardiovascular risk are not clear, though some studies suggest elevated FSH levels may mediate some of this risk (12). Based upon the potential role of FSH in atherosclerosis development, we hypothesized that higher FSH levels prior to ADT are associated with long-term risk of MACE. However, to date, no previous studies assessed the relationship between FSH levels prior to ADT to MACE outcomes. To address this, we investigated the association of FSH levels prior to ADT with the development of MACE, CRPC, or death within the VA medical records. Using data from over 1,500 men, we found no association between FSH levels prior to ADT and long-term risk of MACE, CRPC, or death. These data suggest that pre-treatment FSH levels are unlikely to be linked with adverse cardiovascular or oncological outcomes.

To our knowledge, the current study is the first study analyzing a cohort of men all undergoing ADT assessing pre-ADT FSH levels as risk factors for cardiovascular outcomes. To date, only one prior study analyzed FSH and cardiovascular outcomes in PC patients. In that study, among 492 men undergoing RP, there was no link between pre-treatment FSH and cardiovascular outcomes (22). Combined with the current study, where we also found no association between FSH and MACE, we conclude that the current literature does not support pre-treatment FSH levels predicting cardiovascular outcomes in men with PC. Whether FSH levels after treatment with ADT would show similar null associations requires further study.

In contrast to cardiovascular outcomes, which has been examined in only one study to date, the association between

Demographic variables	FSF	Durchas	
	Low/normal (≤8 UI/mL) (N=878)	High (>8 UI/mL) (N=661)	P value
Age at ADT (years)	73 [68, 79]	76 [70, 83]	<0.001 <sup>1</sup>
Year of ADT start	2009 [2004, 2013]	2007 [2004, 2012]	0.0271
Race, n [%]			0.116 <sup>2</sup>
Black	307 [35]	195 [30]	
White	473 [54]	377 [57]	
Other	40 [5]	34 [5]	
Unknown	58 [7]	55 [8]	
BMI at ADT (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	30.1 (26.3, 34.2)	29.1 (25.8, 33.2)	0.004 <sup>1</sup>
CCI at ADT			0.102 <sup>2</sup>
0–2	252 [29]	165 [25]	
3+	626 [71]	496 [75]	
Primary XRT or RP	111 [13]	98 [15]	0.216 <sup>2</sup>
Grade group			0.347 <sup>2</sup>
1	201 [23]	144 [22]	
2–3	286 [33]	201 [30]	
4–5	275 [31]	208 [31]	
Unknown	116 [13]	108 [16]	
PSA at ADT (ng/mL)	6.6 (2.5, 13.9)	6.5 (2.4, 13.8)	0.8071
Testosterone pre-ADT			0.622 <sup>2</sup>
<30 ng/mL	183 [21]	136 [21]	
30–299 ng/mL	203 [23]	138 [21]	
≥300 ng/mL	182 [21]	152 [23]	
Missing	310 [35]	235 [36]	
Chemo before ADT			0.053 <sup>2</sup>
Never	753 [86]	579 [88]	
Before ADT	18 [2]	22 [3]	
After ADT	107 [12]	60 [9]	
Months from FSH to ADT	8.4 (3.0, 15.9)	7.9 (3.2, 14.6)	0.199 <sup>1</sup>
CRPC	231 [26]	177 [27]	0.837 <sup>2</sup>
Dead	381 [43]	337 [51]	0.003 <sup>2</sup>

Table 1 Characteristics of patients who received ADT, stratified by FSH

Data are shown as median (Q1, Q3) or n [%]. <sup>1</sup>, Wilcoxon; <sup>2</sup>, Chi-Square. ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; XRT, radiation therapy; RP, radical prostatectomy; PSA, prostatic-specific antigen; CRPC, castration-resistant prostate cancer.

Demographic variables	FSF	Ducha	
	Low/normal (≤8 UI/mL) (N=620)	High (>8 UI/mL) (N=442)	P value
Age at ADT (years)	72 [67, 78]	76 [70, 82]	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>
Year of ADT start	2008 [2004, 2013]	2007 [2004, 2012]	0.120 <sup>1</sup>
Race			0.484 <sup>2</sup>
Black	221 [36]	141 [32]	
White	327 [53]	243 [55]	
Other	26 [4]	25 [6]	
Unknown	46 [7]	33 [7]	
BMI at ADT (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	29.5 (26.0, 33.6)	28.7 (25.3, 32.4)	0.010 <sup>1</sup>
CCI at ADT			0.095 <sup>2</sup>
0–2	227 [37]	140 [32]	
3+	393 [63]	302 [68]	
Primary XRT or RP	81 [13]	67 [15]	0.331 <sup>2</sup>
Grade group			0.889 <sup>2</sup>
1	135 [22]	104 [24]	
2–3	204 [33]	138 [31]	
4–5	191 [31]	134 [30]	
Unknown	90 [15]	66 [15]	
PSA at ADT (ng/mL)	6.9 (2.6, 15.5)	7.0 (2.8, 14.2)	0.929 <sup>1</sup>
Testosterone pre-ADT			0.155 <sup>2</sup>
<30 ng/mL	131 [21]	92 [21]	
30–299 ng/mL	143 [23]	80 [18]	
≥300 ng/mL	134 [22]	115 [26]	
Missing	212 [34]	155 [35]	
Chemo before ADT			0.204 <sup>1</sup>
Never	526 [85]	386 [87]	
Before ADT	12 [2]	15 [3]	
After ADT	82 [13]	41 [9]	
Months from FSH to ADT	8.1 (2.7, 15.8)	7.8 (2.8, 14.1)	0.211 <sup>1</sup>
MACE	162 [26]	120 [27]	0.711 <sup>2</sup>

Table 2 Characteristics of patients who received ADT and no MACE before ADT, stratified by FSH

Data are shown as median (Q1, Q3) or n [%].<sup>1</sup>, Wilcoxon;<sup>2</sup>, Chi-Square. ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; BMI, body mass index; CCI, Charlson Comorbidity Index; XRT, radiation therapy; RP, radical prostatectomy; PSA, prostatic-specific antigen.

FSH and oncological outcomes has been studied in several papers. A retrospective analysis of 96 patients undergoing RP found significant correlation between preoperative FSH levels and extraprostatic extension (17). In contrast, a study of 126 patients undergoing RP found no association between preoperative FSH levels and tumor volume (18).



Figure 2 Kaplan-Meier curve for time from ADT to MACE, stratified by FSH level. MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; FSH, folliclestimulating hormone.

# Table 3 Association between first FSH test and time from ADT to MACE

FSH measures	Univariable		Multivariable*			
	HR	95% CI	P value	HR	95% CI	P value
FSH-continuous	1.00	0.99–1.01	0.65	1.00	0.98–1.01	0.62
FSH-log continuous	1.05	0.89–1.24	0.60	1.00	0.83–1.21	0.98
FSH-categorical						
Low/normal (≤8 UI/mL)	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-
High (>8 UI/mL)	1.10	0.87–1.39	0.43	1.04	0.81–1.34	0.74

\*, adjusted for age, year, race, BMI, Charlson Comorbidity Index, primary treatment, biopsy grade group, PSA, time from FSH to ADT, testosterone and chemotherapy (time-dependent). FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; Ref., reference; BMI, body mass index; PSA, prostatic-specific antigen.

#### Table 4 Association between first FSH test and time from ADT to CRPC

FSH measures	Univariable		Multivariable*			
	HR	95% CI	P value	HR	95% CI	P value
FSH-continuous	1.01	0.99–1.02	0.09	1.00	0.99–1.01	0.77
FSH—log continuous	1.09	0.95–1.25	0.22	0.98	0.85–1.13	0.80
FSH-categorical						
Low/normal (≤8 UI/mL)	Ref.	_	-	Ref.	-	-
High (>8 UI/mL)	1.05	0.86–1.43	0.64	0.94	0.77-1.16	0.57

\*, adjusted for age, year, race, BMI, Charlson Comorbidity Index, primary treatment, biopsy grade group, PSA, time from FSH to ADT, and chemotherapy (time-dependent). FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; CRPC, castration-resistant prostate cancer; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; Ref., reference; BMI, body mass index; PSA, prostate-specific antigen.



Figure 3 Kaplan-Meier curve for time from ADT to CRPC, stratified by FSH level. CRPC, castration-resistant prostate cancer; ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone.

# Dymanus et al. FSH levels and outcomes after ADT

Consistent with this latter study, we found null associations between pre-treatment FSH groups and oncological outcomes, albeit among men undergoing ADT. Intriguingly, one study examined FSH levels after starting ADT among 103 men and found that higher FSH levels were correlated with shorter time to CRPC (23). Collectively, these data suggest that pre-treatment FSH levels may have limited prognostic value, whereas as post-treatment values after ADT may have value, though this requires further study.

In men with PC, the key causes of death are cardiovascular and PC (24). Given null associations between FSH and these outcomes, it is not surprising we found no association between pre-treatment FSH and OS. These data are similar to results from others that also found no association between FSH and OS (25). As noted above,



**Figure 4** Kaplan-Meier curve for time from ADT to mortality, stratified by FSH level. ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone.

whether post-ADT FSH levels would give similar results requires further study.

Our study is not devoid of limitations. First, similar to any observational study, these findings do not indicate the lack of cause-and-effect connection between FSH and the development of MACE, CRPC, or death; rather FSH and these outcomes were merely not associated. Additionally, while our cutoff for low/normal and high FSH values was as we did in the past and this was selected a priori, the exact cut-offs for cardiovascular and oncological outcomes may differ. However, even when analyzed as continuous or log-continuous variable, FSH was unrelated to these outcomes. It is possible further analyses may find alternative cut-points that are linked with these outcomes. We did not perform such analyses as there was no strong rationale that alternative cut-offs would be linked with these outcomes and exploring various cut-offs without predefining them, would be viewed as multiple testing and hypothesis generating vs. our current study which aimed to test the hypothesis that FSH was linked with these outcomes. Third, a sizable percent of men were missing testosterone levels at the time of FSH testing preventing us from analyzing how these values may have modified the associations between FSH and MACE, CRPC, or OS. Fourth, given the study was population-level with very large numbers, we could not abstract Gleason score and were thus not able to adjust for grade in our multivariable models. Fifth, we did not report drinking history, as it was not available within our dataset. Consequently, the potential influence of drinking history on the outcome of MACE in our study remains unknown, thereby warranting further investigation. Sixth, it is likely that many factors influence FSH levels that we could not capture or control for, and it

Table 5 Association between first FSH test and time from ADT to all-cause mortality

FSH measures	Univariable		Multivariable*			
	HR	95% CI	P value	HR	95% CI	P value
FSH-continuous	1.01	1.01–1.02	<0.001	1.01	0.99–1.01	0.10
FSH—log continuous	1.23	1.11–1.37	<0.001	1.04	0.93–1.16	0.47
FSH-categorical						
Low/normal (≤8 UI/mL)	Ref.	-	-	Ref.	-	-
High (>8 UI/mL)	1.22	1.05–1.41	0.008	1.03	0.88–1.20	0.70

\*, adjusted for age, year, race, BMI, Charlson Comorbidity Index, primary treatment, biopsy grade group, PSA, time from FSH to ADT, and chemotherapy (time-dependent). FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; ADT, androgen deprivation therapy; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; Ref., reference; BMI, body mass index; PSA, prostate-specific antigen.

#### 1548

is possible that the links we found may be driven by these factors rather than FSH itself. Indeed, given that FSH is not a commonly ordered test, even the exact reason FSH was ordered was unknown. Finally, our analyses only included FSH levels prior to ADT. Given these limitations, future studies including a prospective evaluation with serial FSH levels, including post-treatment FSH levels may provide further granularity regarding associations between FSH levels and the development of MACE, CRPC, or death.

# Conclusions

To our knowledge, the current study is the first study analyzing a cohort of men all undergoing ADT assessing pre-treatment FSH levels as risk factors for cardiovascular outcomes. We found no association between pre-ADT FSH levels with cardiovascular or oncological outcomes. As such, these data do not support the clinical utility of pretreatment FSH levels in these men and do not support the hypothesis that FSH is linked with cardiovascular outcomes. Further studies assessing post-treatment FSH levels are needed.

# **Acknowledgments**

*Funding:* This research received funding from Ferring Pharmaceuticals Inc. This work was funded by NIH (No. T32CA240172-04, to Nadine A. Friedrich, MD).

#### Footnote

*Reporting Checklist:* The authors have completed the STROBE reporting checklist. Available at https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-23-114/rc

*Data Sharing Statement:* Available at https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-23-114/dss

*Peer Review File*: Available at https://tau.amegroups.com/ article/view/10.21037/tau-23-114/prf

*Conflicts of Interest:* All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at https://tau.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tau-23-114/coif). SJF serves as an unpaid editorial board member of *Translational Andrology and Urology* from August 2023 to July 2025 and is a consultant for Myovant, Pfizer, Astellas, Bayer, Janssen, Sanori, Merck, and Astra Zeneca. NAF reports the funding

from NIH (No. T32CA240172-04). This study was funded by Ferring Pharmaceuticals Inc. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). This study was approved by the Durham VA Central Institutional Review Board (No. 1827) and individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived. Additionally, the study ensures that all data collected will be maintained with strict confidentiality.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

# References

- Huggins C, Hodges CV. Studies on prostatic cancer: I. The effect of castration, of estrogen and of androgen injection on serum phosphatases in metastatic carcinoma of the prostate. 1941. J Urol 2002;168:9-12.
- Stamey TA, Yang N, Hay AR, et al. Prostate-specific antigen as a serum marker for adenocarcinoma of the prostate. N Engl J Med 1987;317:909-16.
- Stenman UH, Abrahamsson PA, Aus G, et al. Prognostic value of serum markers for prostate cancer. Scand J Urol Nephrol Suppl 2005;(216):64-81.
- Bagatell CJ, Dahl KD, Bremner WJ. The direct pituitary effect of testosterone to inhibit gonadotropin secretion in men is partially mediated by aromatization to estradiol. J Androl 1994;15:15-21.
- Plant TM, Marshall GR. The functional significance of FSH in spermatogenesis and the control of its secretion in male primates. Endocr Rev 2001;22:764-86.
- Porter AT, F A C R O, Ben-Josef E. Humoral mechanisms in prostate cancer: A role for FSH. Urol Oncol 2001;6:131-8.

- Garde SV, Sheth AR, Shah MG, et al. Prostate--an extrapituitary source of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH): occurrence, localization, and de novo biosynthesis and its hormonal modulation in primates and rodents. Prostate 1991;18:271-87.
- Mariani S, Salvatori L, Basciani S, et al. Expression and cellular localization of follicle-stimulating hormone receptor in normal human prostate, benign prostatic hyperplasia and prostate cancer. J Urol 2006;175:2072-7; discussion 2077.
- Dizeyi N, Trzybulska D, Al-Jebari Y, et al. Cell-based evidence regarding the role of FSH in prostate cancer. Urol Oncol 2019;37:290.e1-8.
- Hellerstedt BA, Pienta KJ. The current state of hormonal therapy for prostate cancer. CA Cancer J Clin 2002;52:154-79.
- Crawford ED, Rove KO, Schally AV, et al. The role of the FSH system in the development and progression of prostate cancer. American Journal of Hematology/ Oncology 2014;10:5-13.
- Crawford ED, Schally AV, Pinthus JH, et al. The potential role of follicle-stimulating hormone in the cardiovascular, metabolic, skeletal, and cognitive effects associated with androgen deprivation therapy. Urol Oncol 2017;35:183-91.
- Keating NL, O'Malley AJ, Smith MR. Diabetes and cardiovascular disease during androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol 2006;24:4448-56.
- 14. Keating NL, O'Malley AJ, Freedland SJ, et al. Diabetes and cardiovascular disease during androgen deprivation therapy: observational study of veterans with prostate cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2010;102:39-46.
- Crawford ED, Heidenreich A, Lawrentschuk N, et al. Androgen-targeted therapy in men with prostate cancer: evolving practice and future considerations. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis 2019;22:24-38.
- 16. Hoare D, Skinner TA, Black A, et al. Serum folliclestimulating hormone levels predict time to development

**Cite this article as:** Dymanus K, Friedrich NA, Howard LE, Oyekunle T, De Hoedt AM, Labadzhyan A, Polascik T, Klaassen Z, Freedland SJ. Are higher follicle-stimulating hormone levels before androgen deprivation therapy for prostate cancer associated with oncological and cardiac outcomes and overall survival?—a population-level analysis. Transl Androl Urol 2023;12(10):1540-1549. doi: 10.21037/tau-23-114

of castration-resistant prostate cancer. Can Urol Assoc J 2015;9:122-7.

- 17. Ide H, Terado Y, Sakamaki K, et al. Serum level of folliclestimulating hormone is associated with extraprostatic extension of prostate cancer. Prostate Int 2013;1:109-12.
- Porcaro AB, Siracusano S, de Luyk N, et al. Simultaneous Measurements of Follicle Stimulating Hormone and Total Testosterone and Associations in Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer. Curr Urol 2017;10:174-81.
- Dymanus K, Howard LE, Oyekunle T, et al. Are higher pre-diagnosis follicle stimulating hormone levels associated with long-term prostate cancer risk? Prostate 2022;82:1558-63.
- Kessel A, Kohli M, Swami U. Current management of metastatic castration-sensitive prostate cancer. Cancer Treat Res Commun 2021;28:100384.
- Kim HS, Freedland SJ. Androgen deprivation therapy in prostate cancer: anticipated side-effects and their management. Curr Opin Support Palliat Care 2010;4:147-52.
- 22. Kourbanhoussen K, Joncas FH, D Wallis CJ, et al. Follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) levels prior to prostatectomy are not related to long-term oncologic or cardiovascular outcomes for men with prostate cancer. Asian J Androl 2022;24:21-5.
- Pinthus JH. Follicle-stimulating hormone: A potential surrogate marker for androgen deprivation therapy oncological and systemic effects. Can Urol Assoc J 2015;9:E226-E227.
- Leong DP, Fradet V, Shayegan B, et al. Cardiovascular Risk in Men with Prostate Cancer: Insights from the RADICAL PC Study. J Urol 2020;203:1109-16.
- 25. Atchia K, Joncas FH, Trasiewicz LS, et al. Follicle Stimulating Hormone Levels During Androgen Deprivation Therapy Are Not Associated With Survival or Development of Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer. Société Internationale d'Urologie Journal 2022;3:56-61.