OPEN

Risk Factors and Microbiological Features of Patients Hospitalized for Microbial Keratitis

A 10-Year Study in a Referral Center in Taiwan

Tzu-Yu Lin, MD, Lung-Kun Yeh, MD, PhD, David HK Ma, MD, PhD, Phil YF Chen, MD, Hsin-Chiung Lin, MD, Chi-Chin Sun, MD, PhD, Hsin-Yuan Tan, MD, Hung-Chi Chen, MD, PhD, Shin-Yi Chen, MD, and Ching-Hsi Hsiao, MD

Abstract: We conducted a retrospective, cross-sectional study to analyze predisposing factors, clinical features, and microbiological characteristics of patients with microbial keratitis hospitalized over 10 years.

The medical records of 558 patients who were diagnosed with microbial keratitis and admitted to Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (CGMH), a referral center in Taiwan, from January 1, 2003 to December 31, 2012 were reviewed. Demographics, predisposing factors, isolated organisms, treatment, and hospital stay were recorded. Yearly trends were tested using a linear-by-linear association.

Contact lens wear was the most common predisposing factor (31.4%), followed by ocular and systemic diseases (26.3%) and trauma (23.5%). Contact lens-related infectious keratitis increased year by year (P = 0.011). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was the most commonly isolated organism (28%), followed by fungi (17.6%) and coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (5.4%). Except for *Serratia marcescens*, the identified organisms did not change over 10 years. Most bacterial infections were controlled using antimicrobial treatment, but more than half of patients with fungal keratitis required surgical interventions. The mean hospital stay was 13.7 \pm 11.5 days. Previous ocular surgery, large ulcer size, nontuberculous *myycobacteris* infection, and surgery during admission were related to prolonged hospital stay.

In Taiwan, contact lens-related pseudomonal keratitis remained the most common cause of microbial keratitis in patients hospitalized from 2003 to 2012.

(Medicine 94(43):e1905)

Abbreviations: ANOVA = analysis of variance, CGMH = Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, CNS = coagulase-negative *stalylococcus*, NTM = nontuberculous *myycobacteris*.

ISSN: 0025-7974

DOI: 10.1097/MD.000000000001905

INTRODUCTION

icrobial keratitis is a major cause of ocular morbidity and visual disability worldwide. Several risk factors such as contact lens wear, trauma, ocular surface disease, ocular surgery, and systemic disease have been reported to predispose patients to corneal infections. Management of microbial keratitis commonly involves obtaining corneal scrapings for microbiological studies, and then, empiric broad-spectrum treatment is typically initiated before culture results are available. Appropriate empiric therapy is selected by practitioners on the basis of epidemiological information such as predisposing factors and the spectrum of causative organisms. However, such information may vary by geographic region. For example, trauma is a common risk factor for fungal keratitis in developing agricultural countries,¹ whereas contact lens wear is the main risk factor for bacterial keratitis in developed countries.²⁻⁶ Thus, it is essential to establish related information on microbial keratitis, including patient-specific risk factors and the most likely causative organisms, which would facilitate the development of effective strategies for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of microbial keratitis.

In Taiwan, a study from a university hospital reported that contact lens-related pseudomonas keratitis was the most common form of microbial keratitis from 1992 to 2001.⁷ Conducting periodic surveys of infectious keratitis is crucial for updating the local information for reference by clinicians because epidemiologic patterns may change over time. Therefore, the present study collected data on the predisposing factors, clinical manifestations, spectrum of microorganisms, and treatments for patients with microbial keratitis who were admitted to and treated at Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (CGMH), a major teaching hospital in Northern Taiwan, from 2003 to 2012.

METHODS

This study was conducted as a retrospective, cross sectional design, and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics Board of CGMH, Taiwan (IRB102-4073B). Consent was waived because of the retrospective design of the project and the anonymous analysis of the data.

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of 558 patients with infectious keratitis who were admitted to CGMH from January 1, 2003 to December 31, 2012. We identified patients by using a computerized diagnostic code search. We included patients with negative culture results if they presented with an epithelial defect and stromal infiltrate and responded favorably to antimicrobial treatment. Admission criteria were

Editor: Stela Vujosevic.

Received: August 6, 2015; revised: September 21, 2015; accepted: October 2, 2015.

Ly 2010. From the Department of Ophthalmology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Linkou (T-YL, L-KY, DHM, PYC, H-CL, H-YT, H-CC, S-YC, C-HH); Department of Ophthalmology, Taipei Medical University-Shuang Ho Hospital, New Taipei City (T-YL); College of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan (L-KY, D HM, PYC, H-CL, C-C S, H-YT, H-CC, S-YC, C-HH); and Department of Ophthalmology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Keelung, Taiwan (C-CS).

Correspondence: Ching-Hsi Hsiao, Department of Ophthalmology, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, 5 Fu-Hsin Rd, Kweishan 333, Taoyuan, Taiwan (e-mail: hsiao.chinghsi@gmail.com).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Copyright © 2015 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0, where it is permissible to download, share and reproduce the work in any medium, provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially.

primarily severe (ie, potentially sight-threatening) keratitis and the need for intensive topical antimicrobials.

Information on age, sex, predisposing factors, clinical features, microbiological results, treatments, and visual acuity (if recorded) was collected. We defined an ulcer as being central if it encroached within 2 mm of fixation, peripheral if it involved a zone within 2 mm from the limbus, and paracentral if it was between the central and peripheral zone. Corneal ulcers were defined as being small (<2 mm), medium (2–6 mm), or large (>6 mm). Corneal scrapings were obtained using a surgical blade and directly inoculated into blood agar, chocolate agar, modified Sabouraud agar, Lowenstein-Jensen agar slant, and thioglycolate broth. Corneal scrapings of patients with clinical characteristics suggestive of Acanthamoeba keratitis were inoculated into nonnutrient agar seeded with Escherichia coli. The various media were routinely incubated for a week or longer depending on the media before the final culture result was obtained. A positive culture was defined as growth of at least 3 colonies along the line of inoculation on one solid medium on the basis of the criteria in a previous study.⁸

Before the result of corneal ulcer culture could be obtained, levofloxacin (0.5%) alone or a combination of 2 fortified antibiotics (25 mg/mL of cefazolin and 25 mg/mL of amikacin) was administered topically once per hour. Antibiotics were modified on the basis of the culture results and the clinical response. Topical natamycin and amphotericin B (0.1%) were applied hourly for mold and yeast infection, respectively. Topical polyhexamethylene biguanide (0.02%) was applied for Acanthamoeba keratitis.

For data presentation, we arbitrarily divided the study years into 2 periods; the first half was from 2003 to 2007, and the second half was from 2008 to 2012. Mantel-Haenszel linear-by-linear association χ^2 test was used to detect the trends over the 10-year period. Categorical variables were analyzed using a χ test; continuous variables were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA). Simple linear regression for univariate analysis was used to identify the factors associated with hospital stay. Multiple linear stepwise regression was performed after univariate analysis. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software, Version 22 (IBM, Armonk, NY).

RESULTS

Demographics and Clinical Features

During the 10-year study period, 558 patients were included in this study, of which 285 (51.1%) and 273 (48.9%) were male and female, respectively (Table 1). The mean age of patients was 50.3 ± 22.7 years (range, 2–100 yr). The right eye was involved in 287 patients and the left eye was involved in 271 patients. The corneal ulcer was small in 192 eyes (37.7%), medium in 267 eyes (52.5%), and large in 50 eyes (9.8%). The location of the corneal ulcer was central in 238 eyes (47.1%), paracentral in 206 eyes (40.8%), and peripheral in 61 eyes (12.1%). The presence of hypopyon was noted in 153 eyes (33.8%). Except for laterality, trends for demographics and clinical features were not statistically significant.

Predisposing Factors

Risk factors for microbial keratitis were identified in 426 patients (76.3%). The most common risk factor was contact lens wear (31.4%), followed by systemic and ocular diseases (26.3%), trauma (23.5%), and previous ocular surgery (12.7%) (Table 2). A total of 54 patients (12.7%) had at least 2 predisposing factors for corneal ulcers. Materials causing ocular trauma included plant (n=24), iron (n=16), mud (n=5), chemical (n=4), wood (n=3), and unidentified sources (n=48). Lagophthalmos (n=16) and dry eye (n=14) were the most common ocular surface diseases. The trend test showed that during the 10-year study period, the proportion of patients wearing contact lenses and with trauma increased (P = 0.011 and P = 0.035, respectively), and the rate of previous ocular surgery decreased (P = 0.027).

	2003–2007 n (%)	2008–2012 n (%)	2003–2012 n (%)	P Value for Trend Test
Age, mean, y	51.3 ± 22.8	48.9 ± 22.6	50.3 ± 22.7	0.695
Sex				0.182
Male	178 (53.3)	107 (47.8)	285 (51.1)	
Female	156 (46.7)	117 (52.2)	273 (48.9)	
Eye				0.040
Right	186 (55.7)	101 (45.1)	287 (51.4)	
Left	148 (44.3)	123 (54.9)	271 (48.6)	
Size				0.578
Small	105 (36.9)	87 (38.8)	192 (37.7)	
Medium	160 (56.1)	107 (47.8)	267 (52.5)	
Large	20 (7.0)	30 (13.4)	50 (9.8)	
Location				0.084
Central	120 (42.4)	118 (53.2)	238 (47.1)	
Paracentral	127 (44.9)	79 (35.6)	206 (40.8)	
Peripheral	36 (12.7)	25 (11.2)	61 (12.1)	
AC reaction				0.092
Hypopyon	74 (30.1)	79 (38.2)	153 (33.8)	

AC = anterior chamber.

Predisposing Factors	2003–2007 n (%)	2008–2012 n (%)	2003–2012 n (%)	P Value for Trend Test
Contact lens	70 (28.6)	64 (35.4)	134 (31.4)	0.011
Soft contact lens	64 (26.1)	60 (33.2)	124 (29.1)	
Orthokeratology	6 (2.5)	4 (2.2)	10 (2.3)	
Trauma	52 (21.2)	48 (26.5)	100 (23.5)	0.035
Iron	7 (2.9)	9 (5.0)	16 (3.8)	
Plant	11 (4.5)	13 (7.2)	24 (5.6)	
Wood	0	3 (1.6)	3 (0.7)	
Sand/mud	2 (0.8)	3 (1.6)	5 (1.2)	
Chemical	1 (0.4)	3 (1.6)	4 (0.9)	
Unidentified source	31 (12.6)	17 (9.4)	48 (11.3)	
Ocular and systemic disease	80 (32.7)	32 (17.7)	112 (26.3)	0.256
Recurrent corneal erosion	11 (4.5)	5 (2.8)	16 (1.4)	
Glaucoma	7 (2.9)	4 (2.2)	11 (2.6)	
Trichiasis	7 (2.9)	4 (2.2)	11 (2.1)	
Chemical burn	2 (0.8)	2 (1.1)	4 (0.9)	
Dry eye	16 (6.5)	4 (2.2)	20 (3.3)	
Lagophthalmos	12 (4.9)	4 (2.2)	16 (3.7)	
History of herpes keratitis	8 (3.2)	3 (1.7)	11 (2.6)	
Bullous keratopathy	0	1 (0.5)	1 (0.2)	
Diabetes mellitus	10 (4.1)	2 (1.1)	12 (2.8)	
Other	7 (2.9)	3 (1.7)	10 (2.3)	
Previous ocular surgery	35 (14.3)	19 (10.5)	54 (12.7)	0.027
Multiple factors	8 (3.2)	18 (9.9)	26 (6.1)	

TABLE 2. Predisposing Factors for Microbial Keratitis

Microbiological Analysis

Positive culture results were obtained in 353 patients (63.3%). Two hundred thirty-eight (42.7%) patients were administered topical antibiotics before referral, and 88 patients had negative culture results. Regarding isolates, 210 bacterial isolates (59.9%), 62 fungal isolates (17.6%), 8 nontuberculous *mycobacteria* (NTM) isolates (2.3%), and 2 *Acanthamoeba* isolates (0.6%) were identified (Table 3). Seventy-one patients had polymicrobial infections (20.1%). Among bacterial isolates, gram-negative bacteria (37.4%) were more common than gram-positive bacteria (22.1%). The most commonly isolated bacterium was *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (28%), followed by coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (CNS, 5.4%) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (4.5%). The percentage of *Serratia marcescens*

decreased significantly (P=0.033); the identified organisms did not change over 10 years.

Table 4 lists isolated organisms from patients with different risk factors for microbial keratitis. Gram-negative bacteria, particularly *P. aeruginosa*, mainly accounted for contact lensrelated keratitis (52.9%). Fungi were dominant in trauma-related keratitis (41.9%). Keratitis associated with ocular and systemic diseases was mainly caused by bacteria (33.8% and 29.4% for gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria, respectively).

TREATMENT

All patients were initially treated with empiric antimicrobials, which were adjusted on the basis of the clinical response

TABLE 3. Isolated Organisms in Microbial Keratitis

Organism	2003–2007 n (%)	2008–2012 n (%)	2003–2012 n (%)	P Value for Trend Test	
Gram-positive	45 (22.7)	33 (21.3)	78 (22.1)	0.843	
Staphylococcus aureus	12 (6.1)	4 (2.6)	16 (4.5)	0.564	
Coagulase-negative <i>Staphylococcus</i>	13 (6.6)	6 (3.9)	19 (5.4)	0.918	
Bacilli	7 (3.5)	6 (3.9)	13 (3.7)	0.784	
Other	13 (6.6)	17 (11)	30 (8.5)	0.517	
Gram-negative	81 (40.9)	51 (32.9)	132 (37.4)	0.781	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	57 (28.8)	42 (27.1)	99 (28.0)	0.883	
Bacilli-glucose nonfermenting group	6 (3.0)	4 (2.6)	10 (2.8)	0.254	
Serratia marcescens	8 (4.0)	2 (1.3)	10 (2.8)	0.033	
Other	10 (2.1)	3 (1.9)	13 (3.7)	0.905	
Fungi	33 (16.7)	29 (18.7)	62 (17.6)	0.100	
Acanthoamoeba	1 (0.5)	1 (0.6)	2 (0.6)	0.607	
Nontuberculous mycobacterium	6 (3.0)	2 (1.3)	8 (2.3)	0.766	
Polymicrobial infection	32 (16.2)	39 (25.2)	71 (20.1)	0.263	

Risk Factors Organisms	Contact Lens n (%)	Trauma n (%)	Ocular and Systemic Disease n (%)	Previous Ocular Surgery n (%)	Multiple Factors n (%)
Gram positive	10 (11.5)	9 (14.5)	23 (33.8)	11 (28.9)	5 (25.0)
Staphylococcus aureus	1 (1.2)	2 (3.3)	6 (8.8)	3 (7.9)	2 (10.0)
Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus	4 (4.6)	3 (4.8)	4 (5.9)	3 (7.9)	1 (5.0)
Bacilli	2 (2.3)	1 (1.6)	5 (7.3)	1 (2.6)	1 (5.0)
Other	5 (3.4)	3 (4.8)	8 (11.8)	4 (10.5)	1 (5.0)
Gram-negative	54 (62.1)	15 (24.2)	20 (29.4)	10 (26.3)	5 (25.0)
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	46 (52.9)	12 (19.4)	16 (23.5)	6 (15.8)	2 (10.0)
Bacilli-glucose nonfermenting group	1 (1.2)	1 (1.6)	1 (1.5)	1 (2.6)	1 (5.0)
Serratia marcescens	5 (5.7)	0	1 (1.5)	1 (2.6)	0
Other	2 (2.3)	2 (3.2)	2 (2.9)	2 (5.3)	2 (10.0)
Fungi	5 (5.7)	26 (41.9)	5 (7.3)	8 (21.1)	5 (25.0)
Acanthoamoeba	2 (2.3)	0	0	0	0
Nontuberculous mycobacterium	0	3 (4.8)	0	1 (2.6)	0
Polymicrobial infection	16 (18.4)	9 (14.6)	20 (29.4)	8 (21.1)	5 (25.0)

TABLE 4. Risk Factors Versus Isolated Organisms in Microbial Keratitis^{*}

and the results of the drug susceptibility test. Overall, 69.5% of the patients were cured by antimicrobials solely. Medical treatment was successful for patients with gram-positive bacterial infections (62.8%), gram-negative bacterial infections (78%), NTM infections (75%), *Acanthamoeba* infections (100%), and polymicrobial infections (74.6%) (Table 5). However, 51.6% of patients with fungal keratitis required additional surgical interventions. Surgical procedures included amniotic

TABLE 5. Treatment for Microbial Keratitis*				
Treatment Organisms	Medical n (%)	Surgical n (%)		
Gram-positive	49 (62.8)	29 (37.2)		
Staphylococcus aureus	8 (50)	8 (50.0)		
Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus	14 (73.7)	5 (26.3)		
Bacilli	8 (61.5)	5 (38.5)		
Other	19 (63.3)	11 (36.7)		
Gram-negative	103 (78.0)	29 (22.0)		
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	81 (81.8)	$18(18.2)^{\dagger}$		
Bacilli-glucose nonfermenting group	7 (70.0)	3 (30.0)		
Serratia marcescens	7 (70.0)	3 (30.0)		
Other	8 (61.5)	5 (38.5)		
Fungi	30 (48.4)	32 (51.6) [†]		
Acanthoamoeba	2 (100.0)	0		
Nontuberculous mycobacterium	6 (75.0)	2 (25.0)		
Polymicrobial infection	53 (74.6)	18 (25.4) [†]		

* Culture-negative cases were not included.

[†]Evisceration was performed in 1 patient with fungal keratitis, 2 patients with pseudomonals keratitis, and 3 patients with polymicrobial infections.

membrane transplantation, patch graft, lamellar keratectomy, penetrating keratoplasty, and evisceration. One patient with fungal keratitis, 2 patients with pseudomonal keratitis, and 3 patients with polymicrobial infections underwent evisceration to eradicate the infections.

The mean hospital stay was 13.7 ± 11.5 days. Longer hospital stay was correlated with previous steroid use, ocular and systemic diseases, longer interval between symptom presentation and admission, previous ocular surgery, large ulcer size, fungal infection, NTM infection, poor visual acuity at presentation, old age, and surgery during admission (all P < 0.05 by simple linear regression). In multiple linear stepwise regression analysis, 4 factors including previous ocular surgery, large ulcer size, NTM infection, and surgery during admission were associated with longer hospital stays (Table 6).

TABLE 6. Factors Affecting Hospital Bed Days: Multiple Linear

 Regression

			95% CI	
Factors*	Coefficient	P Value	Lower	Upper
Previous ocular surgery	4.969	0.003	1.665	8.273
Ulcer size	2.413	0.005	0.742	4.085
Nontuberculous Mycobacterium	17.193	< 0.001	10.752	23.634
Surgery during admission	9.405	< 0.001	7.12	11.69

* Other tested factors included previous steroid use, ocular and systemic diseases, longer interval between symptom presentation and admission, fungal infection, poor visual acuity at presentation, and old age were significant by simple linear regression. Only significant factors by multiple linear regression were shown.

DISCUSSION

Severe infectious keratitis is a leading cause of corneal blindness. Optimal clinical practice for the prevention and treatment of microbial keratitis should account for patient-specific risk factors and possible causative organisms in different regions. In this study, we focused on patients admitted with microbial keratitis; first, we ensured that only patients with severe infection leading to admission were included in this study, and second, we used the same (or as similar as possible) criteria as used in a previous report conducted at another university hospital in Northern Taiwan between 1992 and 2001⁷ to provide updated regional epidemiological information. Our findings showed that contact lens wear remained the leading risk factor for inpatient microbial keratitis, and the trend increased significantly over the 10-year study period; *P. aeruginosa* was the most common causative organism.

In the current study, risk factors for microbial keratitis from 2003 to 2012 were contact lens wear, ocular and systemic diseases, trauma, and previous ocular surgery, in descending order, which was similar to the results of a previous Taiwanese report.⁷ In Taiwan, the leading risk factor for microbial keratitis was still contact lens wear, which was also reported in the United States, Western Europe Australia, and Hong Kong.^{2,8–11} Rattanatam et al¹² found that the number of patients with contact lens-related microbial keratitis decreased in their hospital, suggesting that a higher number of such patients were treated in the community after the introduction of fluoroquinolones. By contrast, our study demonstrated an increasing trend in the rate of contact lens-related microbial keratitis in our hospital over the 10-year study period (P = 0.011). In a prospective, population-based study of contact lens-related microbial keratitis in Australia,¹³ risk factors for infections included overnight use, poor storage case hygiene, smoking, Internet purchase of contact lenses, less than 6 months wear experience, and higher socioeconomic class; however, new lens types did not reduce the incidence of infection. Because of the retrospective design of this study, it was difficult to correlate contact lens wearing modalities, hygiene, and other factors with infections; however, approximately 35.8% of patients had overnight use in our study. Wearing contact lenses is popular in Taiwan, which is a country with high prevalence of refractive errors, and contact lens-related microbial keratitis has become a public health concern. In 2012, the Ophthalmological Society of Taiwan launched the "corneal health for care network" to advocate the 3 C's for contact lens wearers: consulting a physician on how to fit contact lenses correctly (correct), cleaning and maintaining contact lens (care), and receiving regular health check-ups (check). This campaign is anticipated to facilitate decreases in the rate of contact lens-related microbial keratitis in Taiwan.

In this study, the most common causative organisms were bacteria, followed by fungi; gram-negative bacteria were more common than gram-positive bacteria, and *P. aeruginosa* was the most commonly identified isolate. Our findings are consistent with those of a previous Taiwanese report.⁷ The spectrum of microorganisms accounting for microbial keratitis differ depending on geographic location, climate, and etiology.⁵ For example, gram-positive bacteria are predominant in temperate climate regions,^{2,14,15} whereas gram-negative bacteria and fungi are prevalent in tropical regions;¹ *pseudomonas* species are associated with contact lens-related infections,^{4,16} whereas fungi are related to trauma caused by plants.^{1,17} Taiwan is located in a subtropical area. The predominance of *P. aeruginosa* infection in

Taiwanese studies may reflect both the geographic prevalence of the microorganism and contact lens-related keratitis. Fungi are also crucial causative organisms of microbial keratitis in Taiwan; similarly, this might be due to the geography and climate, and injury caused by plant materials.

Notably, 4 of 5 previous studies in Europe and Taiwan investigating microbiological findings of hospitalized patients with microbial keratitis have reported that gram-negative bacteria are the most common causative organism.^{2,4,7,8,16} This finding might suggest that microbial keratitis caused by gram-negative bacteria, particularly *pseudomonas* species, tends to be severe and progresses rapidly, thus requiring admission.

In the current study, medical treatment was successful in 69.5% of patients; more than half of the patients with fungal keratitis required additional surgical interventions to control infections. Medical treatment of fungal keratitis, particularly deep-seated infections, is often unsatisfactory because of delayed diagnosis, inadequate drug penetration, and slow response to therapy.¹⁸ Surgical intervention to remove infectious elements and necrotic tissue may increase drug penetration and shorten the clinical course.¹⁸ However, therapeutic corneal transplantation and even destructive surgery may be indicated for severe keratitis with a poor response to medical therapy or when severe complications supervene.

Long hospital stay has a potential impact on patients of working age. Long hospital stay also has effects on financial resources, staffing, and turnover rate of beds in the public health service. In this study, the mean hospital stay was 13.7 days, and longer hospital stays were associated with previous ocular surgery, large ulcer size, NTM infection, and surgery during admission. A study of hospitalized patients with infectious keratitis in New Zealand reported that longer hospital stay was associated with the presence of hypopyon, larger ulcers, previous ocular surgery, and poor visual acuity.¹⁹ As expected, large ulcers or surgery during admission prolonged hospital stay. Older age and longer duration from symptom onset to diagnosis were noted in patients with previous ocular surgery (data not shown), which might explain the relationship between longer hospital stay and previous ocular surgery. NTM has a relatively slow growth rate,²⁰ and the infection can mimic that caused by other pathogens.²¹ Thus, the delay in diagnosis and treatment of NTM infections might prolong hospital stay. Morbidities caused by microbial keratitis can be assessed on the basis of surgical intervention, hospital stay, and visual loss. In this study, we did not analyze the predictors for poor visual outcome in microbial keratitis because of visual assessments with variable follow-up intervals. However, the study in New Zealand¹⁹ reported that longer hospital stays were associated with poor visual acuity both at presentation and final assessment.

Our study had the following limitations. Because of its retrospective design, data such as risk factors were incomplete. We included cases with both positive and negative culture results, and we could not exclude the possibility that cultured isolates were contaminated. Moreover, physicians have used diverse protocols for treating patients. Finally, because our patients were admitted to a tertiary referral hospital in Taiwan, the results cannot be generalized.

In conclusion, P. *aeruginosa* was the most common causative organism, and contact lens wear was the most common risk factor for microbial keratitis; there was a significant increase in the percentage of contact lens-related keratitis during the 10-year study period in Taiwan. The majority of patients with microbial keratitis were cured through medical treatment, but a high proportion of patients with fungal keratitis required surgical interventions. Microbial keratitis has the potential to cause devastating visual impairment and major costs to the public health system; our findings provide updated information and facilitate future prevention and treatment of microbial keratitis in Taiwan.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Tao-Chun Peng, Department of Family and Community Medicine, Tri-Service General Hospital for assistance in statistical analyses.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gopinathan U, Garg P, Fernandes M, et al. The epidemiological features and laboratory results of fungal keratitis: a 10-year review at a referral eye care center in South India. *Cornea.* 2002;21:555–559.
- Bourcier T, Thomas F, Borderie V, et al. Bacterial keratitis: predisposing factors, clinical and microbiological review of 300 cases. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2003;87:834–838.
- Keay L, Edwards K, Naduvilath T, et al. Microbial keratitis predisposing factors and morbidity. *Ophthalmology*. 2006;113: 109–116.
- Saeed A, D'Arcy F, Stack J, et al. Risk factors, microbiological findings, and clinical outcomes in cases of microbial keratitis admitted to a tertiary referral center in Ireland. *Cornea*. 2009;28:285–292.
- Shah A, Sachdev A, Coggon D, et al. Geographic variations in microbial keratitis: an analysis of the peer-reviewed literature. Br J Ophthalmol. 2011;95:762–767.
- Ni N, Nam EM, Hammersmith KM, et al. Seasonal, geographic, and antimicrobial resistance patterns in microbial keratitis: 4-year experience in eastern Pennsylvania. *Cornea*. 2015;34:296–302.
- Fong CF, Tseng CH, Hu FR, et al. Clinical characteristics of microbial keratitis in a university hospital in Taiwan. Am J Ophthalmol. 2004;137:329–336.
- Green M, Apel A, Stapleton F. Risk factors and causative organisms in microbial keratitis. *Cornea*. 2008;27:22–27.

- Schaefer F, Bruttin O, Zografos L, et al. Bacterial keratitis: a prospective clinical and microbiological study. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2001;85:842–847.
- Yildiz EH, Airiani S, Hammersmith KM, et al. Trends in contact lens-related corneal ulcers at a tertiary referral center. *Cornea*. 2012;31:1097–1102.
- Ng AL-K, To KK-W, Yuen LH, et al. Predisposing factors, microbial characteristics, and clinical outcome of Microbial Keratitis in a tertiary centre in Hong Kong: a 10-year experience. J Ophthalmol. 2015;2015:Article ID 769436, 9 pages.
- Rattanatam T, Heng WJ, Rapuano CJ, et al. Trends in contact lensrelated corneal ulcers. *Cornea*. 2001;20:290–294.
- Stapleton F, Keay L, Edwards K, et al. The incidence of contact lens-related microbial keratitis in Australia. *Ophthalmology*. 2008;115:1655–1662.
- Orlans HO, Hornby SJ, Bowler IC. In vitro antibiotic susceptibility patterns of bacterial keratitis isolates in Oxford, UK: a 10-year review. *Eye (Lond)*. 2011;25:489–493.
- Lichtinger A, Yeung SN, Kim P, et al. Shifting trends in bacterial keratitis in Toronto: an 11-year review. *Ophthalmology*. 2012;119:1785–1790.
- Dart JK. Predisposing factors in microbial keratitis: the significance of contact lens wear. Br J Ophthalmol. 1988;72:926–930.
- Panda A, Satpathy G, Nayak N, et al. Demographic pattern, predisposing factors and management of ulcerative keratitis: evaluation of one thousand unilateral cases at a tertiary care centre. *Clin Experiment Ophthalmol.* 2007;35:44–50.
- Thomas PA, Kaliamurthy J. Mycotic keratitis: epidemiology, diagnosis and management. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2013;19:210–220.
- Wong T, Ormonde S, Gamble G, et al. Severe infective keratitis leading to hospital admission in New Zealand. *Br J Ophthalmol.* 2003;87:1103–1108.
- Bullington RH Jr, Lanier JD, Font RL. Nontuberculous mycobacterial keratitis. Report of two cases and review of the literature. Arch Ophthalmol. 1992;110:519–524.
- Moorthy RS, Valluri S, Rao NA. Nontuberculous mycobacterial ocular and adnexal infections. Surv Ophthalmol. 2012;57:202–235.