

Haptoglobin Genotype and Renal Function Decline in Type 1 Diabetes

Tina Costacou,¹ Robert E. Ferrell,² Demetrius Ellis,³ and Trevor J. Orchard¹

OBJECTIVE—Haptoglobin (Hp) binds free Hb, inhibiting Hb-induced oxidative damage. As oxidative stress has been associated with microvascular complications, we evaluated the relationship between Hp genotype and microalbuminuria, macroalbuminuria, end-stage renal disease (ESRD), and early renal function decline in type 1 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—Participants from the Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study with DNA available were studied for the incidence of microalbuminuria (albumin excretion rate [AER] 20–200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{min}$), macroalbuminuria (AER >200 $\mu\text{g}/\text{min}$), ESRD (renal dialysis or transplantation), and renal function decline (a decline ≥ 30 ml/min per 1.73 m^2 from baseline estimated [by the Cockcroft-Gault equation] glomerular filtration rate [eGFR] in those with baseline eGFR >60 ml/min per 1.73 m^2).

RESULTS—The proportions with the Hp 2/2, 2/1, and 1/1 genotype were 43.4, 44.4, and 12.1%, respectively. During 18 years of follow-up, the incidence of eGFR decline, microalbuminuria, macroalbuminuria, and ESRD was 42.0, 40.5, 16.7, and 12.2%, respectively. No significant univariate differences were observed by Hp genotype. However, in multivariable Cox models, an \sim twofold increased risk was observed for the Hp 2/2 compared with the Hp 1/1 genotype for eGFR decline (hazard ratio 1.79 [95% CI 1.06–3.00]) and ESRD (2.74 [1.17–6.45]); no significant associations were observed for microalbuminuria or macroalbuminuria.

CONCLUSIONS—These data suggest that although Hp genotype is not associated with albuminuria per se, it may be an independent determinant of early renal function decline and progression to ESRD. Understanding these apparent contradictory findings may provide further insight into the pathogenesis of renal disease in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes* 58:2904–2909, 2009

Reactive oxygen species have been implicated in both the etiology and progression of diabetes complications, including nephropathy (1). However, clinical trials assessing the impact of antioxidant supplementation on micro- and macrovascular disease development have generally yielded null results (2).

From the ¹Department of Epidemiology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; the ²Department of Human Genetics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; and the ³Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

Corresponding author: Tina Costacou, costacout@edc.pitt.edu.

Received 11 June 2009 and accepted 17 August 2009. Published ahead of print at <http://diabetes.diabetesjournals.org> on 31 August 2009. DOI: 10.2337/db09-0874.

© 2009 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/> for details.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

It has recently been proposed that the effectiveness of an antioxidant regimen may be limited to susceptible subgroups, such as individuals with the haptoglobin (Hp) 2/2 genotype (3). Hp is an acute-phase plasma α_2 -glycoprotein that, by binding to free Hb, inhibits Hb-induced oxidative tissue damage (4). Once bound to Hp, the Hp-Hb complex is cleared from circulation either at the liver hepatocyte or through the scavenger receptor CD163 present on monocytes and macrophages (5). In humans, two common allele classes (Hp¹ and Hp²) at the Hp locus on chromosome 16q22 form three major genotypes: Hp 1/1, Hp 2/1, and Hp 2/2 (4). Substantial evidence supports a pathogenetic role of this polymorphism (6), with the Hp 1 protein allele being more efficient in preventing heme release from Hp-Hb complexes and promoting uptake by the CD163 macrophage receptor (7–9) as well as the antioxidant capacity of Hp 2 allele protein product being restricted by its greater molecular mass (5) and also associated with impaired reverse cholesterol transport (7,10). Moreover, although Hp allele distribution does not differ by diabetes status (6), the Hp 2 allele protein product increases susceptibility to vascular complications only in diabetes (11,12). Finally, daily vitamin E supplementation in type 2 diabetes with the Hp 2/2 genotype significantly reduced cardiovascular event risk (13,14).

We have previously shown that the Hp 2/2 genotype is a determinant of the risk of cardiovascular disease also in type 1 diabetes (15). In this article, we evaluated the relationship between Hp genotype and both renal damage (microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria) and renal function (end-stage renal disease [ESRD] and early renal function decline) in type 1 diabetes ($n = 486$).

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

The Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study was based on a historical cohort of incident cases of childhood-onset (<17 years) type 1 diabetes, diagnosed or seen within 1 year of diagnosis (1950–1980) at Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh (16). The cohort has been shown to be representative of the Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, type 1 diabetes population (17). Subsequent to a first clinical assessment (1986–1988, when average participant age and diabetes duration were 28 and 19 years, respectively), biennial examinations were conducted for 10 years, with a further examination at 18 years. The University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol.

Prior to each clinic visit, participants were sent questionnaires concerning demographic, health care, self-care, and medical history information. Blood pressure was measured with a random zero sphygmomanometer after a 5-min rest (18). Hypertension was defined as $\geq 140/90$ mmHg or use of anti-hypertensive medication. Stable HbA_{1c} was measured by ion exchange chromatography (Isolab, Akron, OH) and subsequently by automated high-performance liquid chromatography (Diamat; BioRad, Hercules, CA). The two assays were highly correlated ($r = 0.95$). HDL cholesterol was determined by a precipitation technique with a modification (19) of the Lipid Research Clinics method (20). Cholesterol and triglycerides were enzymatically measured (21,22). Non-HDL cholesterol was calculated as total minus HDL cholesterol. White blood cell count was obtained using a counter S-plus IV and fibrinogen using a biuret colorimetric procedure and a clotting method.

TABLE 1
Participant characteristics at study entry by subsequent microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria status

	Microalbuminuria			Macroalbuminuria		
	No	Yes	<i>P</i>	No	Yes	<i>P</i>
<i>n</i>	163	111		309	62	
Age (years)	25.0 ± 7.7	25.8 ± 8.3	0.37	26.2 ± 8.1	27.1 ± 7.4	0.43
Age at onset (years)	8.5 ± 4.2	8.3 ± 4.1	0.64	8.2 ± 4.2	9.3 ± 3.3	0.02
Diabetes duration (years)	16.3 ± 6.9	17.6 ± 7.6	0.21	18.0 ± 7.6	17.8 ± 7.5	0.83
Follow-up time (years)	15.5 ± 5.0	7.3 ± 4.8	<0.0001	15.2 ± 5.0	8.0 ± 5.3	<0.0001
Female subjects	50.9 (83)	55.9 (62)	0.42	52.4 (162)	40.3 (25)	0.08
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.1 ± 3.2	23.2 ± 3.3	0.70	23.5 ± 3.4	23.6 ± 3.1	0.74
Waist-to-hip ratio	0.81 ± 0.06	0.82 ± 0.06	0.27	0.81 ± 0.07	0.84 ± 0.07	0.002
Ever smokers	28.8 (47)	31.5 (35)	0.63	32.0 (99)	38.7 (24)	0.31
HbA _{1c} (%)	9.7 (1.4)	10.8 (1.8)	<0.0001	10.0 (1.6)	11.1 (2.0)	0.0002
Insulin dose per weight*	0.81 (0.65–0.95)	0.80 (0.66–0.95)	0.77	0.80 (0.63–0.94)	0.80 (0.60–0.98)	0.97
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	106.9 ± 10.7	109.1 ± 10.3	0.10	108.8 ± 11.4	110.7 ± 12.9	0.24
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	67.9 ± 8.4	69.8 ± 7.8	0.05	69.5 ± 9.1	70.8 ± 9.1	0.31
Hypertension	3.7 (6)	3.6 (4)	1.00†	5.5 (17)	9.7 (6)	0.21
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	55.2 ± 12.1	54.0 ± 9.8	0.37	54.5 ± 11.4	56.1 ± 11.9	0.38
Non-HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	116.0 ± 25.5	128.1 ± 34.3	0.002	122.9 ± 30.2	141.1 ± 43.2	0.002
ACE/ARB use	1.3 (2)	0.9 (1)	1.00†	1.0 (3)	1.7 (1)	0.52†
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)*	0.80 (0.70–1.0)	0.80 (0.60–0.90)	0.16	0.80 (0.70–1.0)	0.90 (0.70–1.0)	0.39
eGFR by Cockcroft-Gault (ml/min per 1.73 m ²)	121.1 ± 37.8	124.6 ± 38.7	0.46	120.9 ± 37.1	125.0 ± 43.5	0.44
AER (μg/min)*	7.2 (5.1–10.1)	9.0 (6.2–11.6)	0.004	19.2 (5.9–16.1)	23.5 (9.5–71.3)	<0.0001
White blood cell count × 10 ³ /mm ³ *	5.6 (4.8–6.6)	6.1 (5.2–7.2)	0.02	5.8 (5.1–7.0)	6.7 (5.2–8.0)	0.005
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)*	250.0 (200.0–300.0)	265.0 (220.0–300.0)	0.12	250.0 (210.0–305.0)	270.0 (240.0–310.0)	0.06
Hp genotype						
1/1	77.4 (24)	22.6 (7)		81.4 (35)	18.6 (8)	
2/1	55.5 (71)	44.5 (57)		84.8 (145)	15.2 (26)	
2/2	59.1 (68)	40.9 (47)	0.08	82.2 (129)	17.8 (28)	0.77

Data are percent (*n*) or means ± SD unless otherwise indicated. The sample size for ACE/angiotensin receptor blocker medications was 270 for the outcome of microalbuminuria (159 noncases and 111 incident cases) and 362 for the outcome of macroalbuminuria (302 noncases and 60 incident cases). *The Wilcoxon two-sample test was used for nonnormally distributed variables; data are median (interquartile range). †Fisher exact test. ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker.

Urinary albumin was measured by immunonephelometry (23), and creatinine was assayed by an Ectachem 400 Analyzer (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY). Microalbuminuria was defined as albumin excretion rate (AER) of 20–200 μg/min (30–300 mg per 24 h) and macroalbuminuria as AER >200 μg/min (>300 mg per 24 h) in at least 2 of 3 validated timed urine collections. In 10% of the samples, urine collections were deemed inadequate based on creatinine excretion and albumin-to-creatinine ratio was used (microalbuminuria, 0.03–0.3 mg/mg; macroalbuminuria, >0.3 mg/mg) (24). ESRD onset was defined as starting dialysis or undergoing renal transplantation. Early renal function decline was defined as the incidence of a decline of ≥30 ml/min per 1.73 m² from baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) based on the Cockcroft-Gault equation (25) among participants with normal or mildly reduced renal function at study entry (stages I and II).

High molecular weight genomic DNA was isolated using the PureGene kit (Gentra Systems, Minneapolis, MN), and Hp was genotyped by an amplification method (26). Genotypes were assigned visually by comparison with controls of known genotype and in a random sample showed excellent agreement (97%) with an Eliza method (27).

Statistical analysis. Nonnormally distributed variables were logarithmically transformed. Univariate associations were determined using the Student *t* test and χ² or Fisher exact test, as appropriate. Cox proportional hazards models with backward elimination were constructed to assess the multivariable association between Hp genotype and the incidence of each outcome of interest adjusting for traditional risk factors (including eGFR and AER levels at study entry) and univariately significant variables. Survival time was defined as the time in years from study entry to either an incident event or censorship during the 18-year follow-up. Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS (version 9.1; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Of 658 study participants, DNA for Hp genotyping was available for 486 (73.9%). Compared with those without

DNA available, individuals with DNA data had a shorter diabetes duration and higher HbA_{1c}, blood pressure, non-HDL cholesterol, serum creatinine, eGFR, and AER. The distribution of the Hp genotype was 12.1% Hp 1/1, 44.4% Hp 2/1, and 43.4% Hp 2/2. Generally, no differences were observed in participant characteristics by Hp genotype at study entry with the exception of younger age and higher non-HDL cholesterol in those with the Hp 2/2 compared with the 2/1 genotype and lower insulin dose per weight in those with the Hp 2/1 compared with the 1/1 genotype.

During 18 years of follow-up, 40.5% (*n* = 111) developed incident microalbuminuria, 16.7% (*n* = 62) macroalbuminuria, and 12.2% (*n* = 58) ESRD. Moreover, 188 (42.0%) exhibited an early decline in renal function (≥30 ml/min per 1.73 m² from baseline eGFR). Descriptive participant characteristics by incidence of microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria are shown in Table 1 and by renal function decline ≥30 ml/min per 1.73 m² and ESRD incidence in Table 2. Generally, incident case subjects with both microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria were more likely to have higher levels of HbA_{1c}, non-HDL cholesterol, AER, and inflammatory markers compared with those who remained disease free. Incident case subjects with macroalbuminuria were also older at the time of diabetes onset compared with noncase subjects and had a greater waist-to-hip ratio.

Compared with noncase subjects, incident case subjects with early renal function decline and ESRD were older,

TABLE 2
Participant characteristics at study entry by a subsequent decline ≥ 30 ml/min per 1.73 m^2 from baseline eGFR and ESRD

	A decline ≥ 30 ml/min per 1.73 m^2 from baseline eGFR			ESRD		
	No	Yes	<i>P</i>	No	Yes	<i>P</i>
<i>n</i>	260	188		416	58	
Age (years)	26.2 \pm 8.0	27.8 \pm 7.3	0.04	26.6 \pm 7.8	31.2 \pm 6.3	<0.0001
Age at onset (years)	7.9 \pm 4.2	9.0 \pm 3.8	0.005	8.4 \pm 4.1	7.8 \pm 3.9	0.29
Diabetes duration (years)	18.3 \pm 7.3	18.8 \pm 7.6	0.53	18.2 \pm 7.4	23.4 \pm 7.1	<0.0001
Follow-up time (years)	14.0 \pm 5.3	6.5 \pm 4.8	<0.0001	15.2 \pm 3.9	9.1 \pm 4.6	<0.0001
Female subjects	45.8 (119)	54.3 (102)	0.08	49.5 (206)	48.3 (28)	0.86
BMI (kg/m^2)	23.3 \pm 3.5	24.3 \pm 3.0	0.0008	23.6 \pm 3.3	23.9 \pm 3.0	0.48
Waist-to-hip ratio	0.82 \pm 0.07	0.83 \pm 0.07	0.47	0.82 \pm 0.07	0.84 \pm 0.08	0.09
Ever smokers	33.5 (87)	38.8 (73)	0.24	34.6 (144)	41.4 (24)	0.31
HbA _{1c} (%)	8.5 (1.4)	9.0 (1.4)	0.0004	10.2 (1.7)	10.6 (1.9)	0.11
Insulin dose per weight*	0.80 (0.62–0.94)	0.77 (0.64–0.94)	0.75	0.80 (0.64–0.94)	0.67 (0.57–0.80)	0.002
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	110.6 \pm 12.6	113.4 \pm 14.9	0.04	110.7 \pm 12.8	123.5 \pm 16.5	<0.0001
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	71.1 \pm 10.5	72.7 \pm 10.8	0.10	71.1 \pm 10.2	77.9 \pm 12.4	0.0001
Hypertension	9.6 (25)	13.8 (26)	0.17	9.1 (38)	50.0 (29)	<0.0001
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	55.2 \pm 12.4	52.9 \pm 11.4	0.05	54.6 \pm 12.1	50.2 \pm 10.4	0.009
Non-HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)	127.5 \pm 34.2	138.9 \pm 44.2	0.003	128.8 \pm 34.9	166.5 \pm 57.6	<0.0001
ACE/ARB use	1.6 (4)	2.2 (4)	0.47†	1.5 (6)	13.8 (8)	<0.0001
Serum creatinine (mg/dl)*	0.90 (0.80–1.10)	0.70 (0.60–0.90)	<0.0001	0.80 (0.70–1.0)	1.3 (0.90–1.80)	<0.0001
eGFR by Cockcroft-Gault (ml/min per 1.73 m^2)	105.3 \pm 23.4	140.6 \pm 42.9	<0.0001	121.3 \pm 38.0	77.7 \pm 40.8	<0.0001
AER ($\mu\text{g}/\text{min}$)*	10.6 (6.2–31.0)	19.6 (8.8–363.1)	<0.0001	11.3 (6.8–46.7)	1,030.2 (281.5–1,877.8)	<0.0001
White blood cell count $\times 10^3/\text{mm}^3$ *	6.1 (5.2–7.1)	6.2 (5.4–7.6)	0.10	6.1 (5.2–7.2)	7.1 (5.9–8.9)	<0.0001
Fibrinogen (mg/dl)*	250.0 (210.0–310.0)	270.0 (240.0–350.0)	0.0007	270.0 (220.0–310.0)	310.0 (270.0–390.0)	<0.0001
Hp genotype						
1/1	64.8 (35)	35.2 (19)		87.9 (51)	12.1 (7)	
2/1	62.1 (128)	37.9 (78)		90.2 (193)	9.8 (21)	
2/2	51.6 (97)	48.4 (91)	0.06	85.2 (172)	14.9 (30)	0.29

Data are percent (*n*) or means \pm SD unless otherwise indicated. The sample size for ACE/angiotensin receptor blocker medications was 437 for a decline ≥ 30 ml/min per 1.73 m^2 from baseline eGFR (251 noncases and 186 incident cases) and 463 for the outcome of ESRD (405 noncases and 58 incident cases). *The Wilcoxon two-sample test was used for nonnormally distributed variables; data are median (interquartile range). †Fisher exact test. ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker.

with higher systolic blood pressure, non-HDL cholesterol, AER, and inflammatory marker levels and lower HDL cholesterol. Incident case subjects with early renal function decline had an older age of diabetes onset and higher BMI, HbA_{1c}, and eGFR and lower serum creatinine. Conversely, greater diabetes duration and higher levels of diastolic blood pressure and serum creatinine but lower insulin dose per kilogram body weight and eGFR were observed in incident case subjects with ESRD compared with noncase subjects. No univariate association, however, was observed between Hp and the incidence of other renal outcomes at the 0.05 significance level. Hp genotype was also not associated with all-cause mortality (*P* = 0.80) based on 82 (16.9%) deceased individuals.

Multivariable Cox proportional hazards models (Table 3) showed no association between the Hp genotype and microalbuminuria or macroalbuminuria incidence. Conversely, adjusting for univariately significant risk factors, an increased risk of an early renal function decline was observed for individuals carrying the Hp 2/2 compared with the Hp 1/1 genotype (hazard ratio 1.79 [95% CI = 1.06–3.00]). Similarly, the Hp 2/2 conferred over a twofold increased risk of ESRD compared with the Hp 1/1 genotype (2.45 [1.05–5.73]). The risk associated with the Hp 2/1

reached statistical significance for neither early renal function decline nor ESRD incidence.

To examine the possibility of survival bias, we stratified the cohort by diabetes diagnosis year (prior to or after 1965, wherein mortality was 40 vs. 13%, respectively). With the exception of macroalbuminuria, a trend toward higher incidence rates among the Hp 2/2 compared with the Hp 1/1 genotype was generally observed in those diagnosed after 1965 (less subject to survival bias); however, none of the stratified results were statistically significant (Table 4). Similarly, when conducting cumulative incidence analyses (including prevalent cases in outcomes), results demonstrated nonsignificantly higher rates in those carrying the Hp 2/2 compared with the Hp 1/1 genotype with the exception of macroalbuminuria (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

In this cohort of subjects with type 1 diabetes, we failed to show an association between the Hp genotype and either microalbuminuria or macroalbuminuria incidence. However, although not univariately significant, approximately a twofold increased risk emerged for outcomes assessing

TABLE 3

Hazard ratios (95% CIs) from Cox proportional hazard models for the incidence of microalbuminuria, macroalbuminuria, eGFR decline (decline ≥ 30 ml/min per 1.73 m^2 from baseline eGFR), and ESRD

Outcome	Crude	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Microalbuminuria ($n = 270$, 111 incident events)				
Hp genotype				
1/1	Referent	Referent	Referent	Referent
2/1	2.09 (0.95–4.59)	2.19 (0.996–4.80)	2.08 (0.95–4.56)	1.77 (0.80–3.92)
2/2	1.84 (0.83–4.07)	1.95 (0.88–4.32)	1.67 (0.75–3.71)	1.34 (0.59–3.05)
A1C	1,147.803	1,140.392	1,106.418	1,100.600
Model 1 allowed for diabetes duration, sex, log AER, and eGFR				
Model 2 allowed for variables in model 1 in addition to HbA _{1c} , systolic blood pressure, and HDL and non-HDL cholesterol				
Model 3 allowed for variables in model 2 in addition to white blood cell count				
Macroalbuminuria ($n = 364$, 61 incident events)				
Hp genotype				
1/1	Referent	Referent	Referent	Referent
2/1	0.77 (0.35–1.70)	0.78 (0.35–1.72)	0.77 (0.35–1.72)	0.72 (0.33–1.60)
2/2	0.84 (0.38–1.86)	0.78 (0.35–1.72)	0.78 (0.35–1.73)	0.73 (0.33–1.62)
A1C	686.874	656.057	640.020	636.806
Model 1 allowed for diabetes duration, sex, smoking status, waist-to-hip ratio, log AER, and eGFR				
Model 2 allowed for variables in model 1 in addition to HbA _{1c} , systolic blood pressure, and HDL and non-HDL cholesterol				
Model 3 allowed for variables in model 2 in addition to white blood cell count				
A decline ≥ 30 ml/min per 1.73 m^2 from baseline eGFR ($n = 441$, 187 incident events)				
Hp genotype				
1/1	Referent	Referent	Referent	Referent
2/2	1.59 (0.97–2.60)	1.30 (0.78–2.18)	1.38 (0.82–2.31)	1.38 (0.82–2.31)
2/2	1.59 (0.97–2.60)	1.64 (0.99–2.73)	1.79 (1.06–3.00)	1.79 (1.06–3.00)
A1C	2,102.198	1,897.376	1,896.567	1,896.567
Model 1 allowed for diabetes duration, sex, BMI, log AER, and eGFR				
Model 2 allowed for variables in model 1 in addition to HbA _{1c} , systolic blood pressure, and HDL and non-HDL cholesterol				
Model 3 allowed for variables in model 2 in addition to fibrinogen				
ESRD ($n = 467$, 57 incident events)				
Hp genotype				
1/1	Referent	Referent	Referent	Referent
2/1	0.72 (0.30–1.69)	1.14 (0.48–2.74)	1.24 (0.51–2.98)	1.32 (0.55–3.16)
2/2	1.15 (0.50–2.61)	2.17 (0.93–5.04)	2.74 (1.17–6.45)	2.45 (1.05–5.73)
A1C	672.450	522.184	509.657	508.736
Model 1 allowed for diabetes duration, sex, smoking status, log AER, and eGFR				
Model 2 allowed for variables in model 1 in addition to HbA _{1c} , hypertension, and HDL and non-HDL cholesterol				
Model 3 allowed for variables in model 2 in addition to white blood cell count and fibrinogen				

renal function decline and ESRD incidence after multivariable adjustments.

Previous studies assessing the association between the Hp phenotype and the presence or incidence of renal disease have produced discrepant findings. In a small, cross-sectional study of normotensive subjects with type 1 or 2 diabetes, none of those with the Hp 1/1 phenotype exhibited signs of nephropathy (0/18) compared with 27% (10/37) of those with Hp 2/1 and 34% (19/55) of those with Hp 2/2 ($P < 0.02$) (6,28). Similar results were reported from an Irish type 1 diabetes case-control study (29). Conversely, a Japanese study of individuals with a long duration (>10 years) of type 2 diabetes did not observe an increased risk associated with the common Hp phenotype ($P = 0.43$) (30). Similarly, we were also not able to detect an association for either microalbuminuria or macroalbuminuria incidence in our cohort of individuals with a long duration of type 1 diabetes, perhaps suggesting that at a more advanced stage of diabetes, early Hp-susceptible cases of microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria may have been excluded. Indeed, the cumulative incidence of microalbuminuria (including both prevalent cases at study entry and incident cases) appeared higher in our study among participants carrying the Hp 2 allele, although results did not reach statistical significance. However,

analogous findings were not observed for the cumulative incidence of macroalbuminuria, suggesting that the Hp 2/2 genotype is not a strong determining factor for progression to macroalbuminuria.

Despite the null associations for the incidence of proteinuria, a strong relationship was noted between the Hp 2/2 genotype and the incidence of both an early decline in renal function and ESRD. Unfortunately, we are not aware of any published reports on the association between the Hp genotype and renal function decline among individuals with diabetes and thus cannot, at present, confirm these findings. However, the possibility of a factor affecting the incidence of renal dysfunction but not that of renal disease per se raises the hypothesis that these are two different disease entities, and thus factors contributing to their development may be distinct. Indeed, almost a decade ago, we suggested that in certain cases tubulopathy may precede glomerulopathy in type 1 diabetes and that even microalbuminuria may be secondary to impaired tubular reabsorption (31). More recently, research studies have shown that reductions in eGFR do occur without preceding microalbuminuria in those with diabetes (32–34). Importantly, a pathophysiological mechanism has been proposed that could account for the increased rate of renal function decline among individuals with diabetes and the

TABLE 4
Incidence of renal outcomes by Hp genotype

Outcome incidence	n	Hp genotype			P
		1/1	2/1	2/2	
Microalbuminuria					
Diabetes diagnosis ≤1965	73	11.1 (1)	46.3 (19)	47.8 (11)	0.14*
Diabetes diagnosis >1965	201	27.3 (6)	43.7 (38)	39.1 (36)	0.37
Total cohort	274	22.6 (7)	44.5 (57)	40.9 (47)	0.08
Cumulative incidence	483	59.3 (35)	67.1 (145)	67.3 (140)	0.49
Macroalbuminuria					
Diabetes diagnosis ≤1965	112	0.0 (0)	17.5 (11)	24.3 (9)	0.16*
Diabetes diagnosis >1965	259	25.8 (8)	13.9 (15)	15.8 (19)	0.28
Total cohort	371	18.6 (8)	15.2 (26)	17.8 (28)	0.77
Cumulative incidence	483	40.7 (24)	32.9 (71)	38.0 (79)	0.40
Early renal function decline					
Diabetes diagnosis ≤1965	145	47.1 (8)	34.3 (25)	50.9 (28)	0.15
Diabetes diagnosis >1965	303	29.7 (11)	39.9 (53)	47.4 (63)	0.13
Total cohort	448	35.2 (19)	37.9 (78)	48.4 (91)	0.06
ESRD					
Diabetes diagnosis ≤1965	162	22.2 (4)	17.7 (14)	27.7 (18)	0.33*
Diabetes diagnosis >1965	312	7.5 (3)	5.2 (7)	8.8 (12)	0.50*
Total cohort	474	12.1 (7)	9.8 (21)	14.9 (30)	0.29
Cumulative incidence	483	13.6 (8)	10.7 (23)	17.3 (36)	0.14

Data are percent (n) unless otherwise indicated. *Fisher exact test.

Hp 2/2 genotype (7), based on the recognition that renal proximal tubule cells serve as a (secondary to CD163) default mechanism for clearance of the Hp-Hb complex. Because CD163-mediated clearance of the Hp-Hb complex is impaired in subjects with diabetes and the Hp 2/2 genotype, renal proximal tubule cells are used to a greater extent, resulting in a dramatic increase in iron deposition, oxidative stress, and hypertrophy. In fact, Hp 2/2 diabetic mice have been shown to display significantly increased glomerular and proximal tubular hypertrophy and greater deposition of collagen type IV, smooth muscle actin, and increased renal iron (35). Intriguingly, vitamin E administration was shown to slow diabetic renal disease progression among the Hp 2/2 but not the Hp 1/1 mice.

In conclusion, we observed an association between the Hp genotype and renal function decline in individuals with long-standing type 1 diabetes. A caveat of this study is the lack of independent replication of findings in another cohort. Nevertheless, these results raise the possibility that pharmacological administration of vitamin E, shown to reduce cardiovascular disease outcomes in those with type 2 diabetes with the Hp 2/2 genotype (13–14), may also lead to reduced renal disease risk.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health Grant DK34818.

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

We thank all study participants for their invaluable contributions as well as the Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study staff.

REFERENCES

1. Baynes JW, Thorpe SR. Role of oxidative stress in diabetic complications: a new perspective on an old paradigm. *Diabetes* 1999;48:1–9
2. Lonn E, Yusuf S, Hoogwerf B, Pogue J, Yi Q, Zinman B, Bosch J, Dagenais G, Mann JF, Gerstein HC, HOPE Study, MICRO-HOPE Study. Effects of vitamin E on cardiovascular and microvascular outcomes in high-risk patients with diabetes: results of the HOPE study and MICRO-HOPE substudy. *Diabetes Care* 2002;25:1919–1927

3. Levy AP. Application of pharmacogenomics in the prevention of diabetic cardiovascular disease: mechanistic basis and clinical evidence for utilization of the haptoglobin genotype in determining benefit from antioxidant therapy. *Pharmacol Ther* 2006;112:501–512
4. Langlois MR, Delanghe JR. Biological and clinical significance of haptoglobin polymorphism in humans. *Clin Chem* 1996;42:1589–1600
5. Kristiansen M, Graversen JH, Jacobsen C, Sonne O, Hoffman HJ, Law SK, Moestrup SK. Identification of the haemoglobin scavenger receptor. *Nature* 2001;409:198–201
6. Asleh R, Levy AP. In vivo and in vitro studies establishing haptoglobin as a major susceptibility gene for diabetic vascular disease. *Vasc Health Risk Manag* 2005;1:19–28
7. Asleh R, Marsh S, Shilkrut M, Binah O, Guetta J, Lejbkowitz F, Enav B, Shehadeh N, Kanter Y, Lache O, Cohen O, Levy NS, Levy AP. Genetically determined heterogeneity in Hb scavenging and susceptibility to diabetic cardiovascular disease. *Circ Res* 2003;92:1193–1200
8. Asleh R, Guetta J, Kalet-Litman S, Miller-Lotan R, Levy AP. Haptoglobin genotype-and diabetes-dependent differences in iron-mediated oxidative stress in vitro and in vivo. *Circ Res* 2005;96:435–441
9. Levy AP, Purushothaman KR, Levy NS, Purushothaman M, Strauss M, Asleh R, Marsh S, Cohen O, Moestrup SK, Moller HJ, Zias EA, Benhayon D, Fuster V, Moreno PR. Downregulation of the Hb scavenger receptor in individuals with diabetes and the Hp 2–2 genotype: implications for the response to intraplaque hemorrhage and plaque vulnerability. *Circ Res* 2007;101:106–110
10. Asleh R, Miller-Lotan R, Aviram M, Hayek T, Yulish M, Levy JE, Miller B, Blum S, Milman U, Shapira C, Levy AP. Haptoglobin genotype is a regulator of reverse cholesterol transport in diabetes in vitro and in vivo. *Circ Res* 2006;99:1419–1425
11. Levy AP, Hochberg I, Jablonski K, Resnick HE, Lee ET, Best L, Howard BV, Strong Heart Study. Haptoglobin phenotype is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease in individuals with diabetes: the Strong Heart Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2002;40:1984–1990
12. Suleiman M, Aronson D, Asleh R, Kapeliovich MR, Roguin A, Meisel SR, Shochat M, Suleiman A, Reisner SA, Markiewicz W, Hammerman H, Lotan R, Levy NS, Levy AP. Haptoglobin polymorphism predicts 30-day mortality and heart failure in patients with diabetes and acute myocardial infarction. *Diabetes* 2005;54:2802–2806
13. Levy AP, Gerstein H, Lotan R, Ratner R, McQueen M, Lonn E, Pogue J. The effect of vitamin E supplementation on cardiovascular risk in diabetic individuals with different haptoglobin phenotypes. *Diabetes Care* 2004;27:2767
14. Milman U, Blum S, Shapira C, Aronson D, Miller-Lotan R, Anbinder Y, Alshiek J, Bennett L, Kostenko M, Landau M, Keidar S, Levy Y, Khemlin A, Radan A, Levy AP. Vitamin E supplementation reduces cardiovascular events in a subgroup of middle-aged individuals with both type 2 diabetes

- mellitus and the haptoglobin 2-2 genotype: a prospective double-blinded clinical trial. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2008;28:341-347
15. Costacou T, Ferrell RE, Orchard TJ. Haptoglobin genotype: a determinant of cardiovascular complication risk in type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2008;57:1702-1706
 16. Orchard TJ, Dorman JS, Maser RE, Becker DJ, Drash AL, Ellis D, LaPorte RE, Kuller LH. Prevalence of complications of IDDM by sex and duration: Pittsburgh Epidemiology of Diabetes Complications Study II. *Diabetes* 1990;39:1116-1124
 17. Wagener DK, Sacks JM, LaPorte RE, Macgregor JM. The Pittsburgh Study of insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: risk for diabetes among relatives of IDDM. *Diabetes* 1982;31:136-144
 18. Borhani NO, Kass EH, Langford HG, Payne GH, Remington RD, Stamler J, HDFP Cooperative Group. The hypertension detection and follow-up program. *Prev Med* 1976;5:207-215
 19. Warnick GR, Albers JJ. Heparin-Mn²⁺ quantitation of high-density-lipoprotein cholesterol: an ultrafiltration procedure for lipemic samples. *Clin Chem* 1978;24:900-904
 20. National Institutes of Health and Department of Health. Lipid Research Clinics Program. Washington, DC, US Government Printing Office, 1975
 21. Allain CC, Poon LS, Chan CS, Richmond W, Fu PC. Enzymatic determination of total serum cholesterol. *Clin Chem* 1974;20:470-475
 22. Bucolo G, David H. Quantitative determination of serum triglycerides by the use of enzymes. *Clin Chem* 1973;19:476-482
 23. Ellis D, Buffone GJ. A new approach to the evaluation of proteinuric states. *Clin Chem* 1977;23:666-670
 24. Ellis D, Coonrod BA, Dorman JS, Kelsey SF, Becker DJ, Avner ED, Orchard TJ. Choice of urine sample predictive of microalbuminuria in patients with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1989;13:321-328
 25. Cockcroft DW, Gault MH. Prediction of creatinine clearance from serum creatinine. *Nephron* 1976;16:31-41
 26. Koch W, Latz W, Eichinger M, Roguin A, Levy AP, Schömig A, Kastrati A. Genotyping of the common haptoglobin Hp 1/2 polymorphism based on PCR. *Clin Chem* 2002;48:1377-1382
 27. Victor J, Cheong W, Chen JJS, Levy N, Miller-Lotan R, Levy AP, Blum S, Orchard TJ, Evans RW, Costacou T, Hauth BA. Clinical results of a rapid screening assay for haptoglobin 2-2: a cardiovascular disease risk marker in diabetes (Abstract). *Diabetes* 2009;58(Suppl. 1):A176
 28. Levy AP, Roguin A, Hochberg I, Herer P, Marsh S, Nakhoul FM, Skorecki K. Haptoglobin phenotype and vascular complications in patients with diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343:969-970
 29. Conway BR, Savage DA, Brady HR, Maxwell AP. Association between haptoglobin gene variants and diabetic nephropathy: haptoglobin polymorphism in nephropathy susceptibility. *Nephron Exp Nephrol* 2007;105:e75-e79
 30. Koda Y, Soejima M, Yamagishi S, Amano S, Okamoto T, Inagaki Y, Yamada K, Kimura H. Haptoglobin genotype and diabetic microangiopathies in Japanese diabetic patients. *Diabetologia* 2002;45:1039-1040
 31. Ellis D, Forrest KY, Erbey J, Orchard TJ. Urinary measurement of transforming growth factor- β and type IV collagen as new markers of renal injury: application in diabetic nephropathy. *Clin Chem* 1998;44:950-956
 32. Kramer HJ, Nguyen QD, Curhan G, Hsu CY. Renal insufficiency in the absence of albuminuria and retinopathy among adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *JAMA* 2003;289:3273-3277
 33. Retnakaran R, Cull CA, Thorne KI, Adler AI, Holman RR, UKPDS Study Group. Risk factors for renal dysfunction in type 2 diabetes: U.K. Prospective Diabetes Study 74. *Diabetes* 2006;55:1832-1839
 34. Costacou T, Ellis D, Fried L, Orchard TJ. Sequence of progression of albuminuria and decreased GFR in persons with type 1 diabetes: a cohort study. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2007;50:721-732
 35. Nakhoul FM, Miller-Lotan R, Awad H, Asleh R, Jad K, Nakhoul N, Asaf R, Abu-Saleh N, Levy AP. Pharmacogenomic effect of vitamin E on kidney structure and function in transgenic mice with the haptoglobin 2-2 genotype and diabetes mellitus. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 2009;296:F830-F838