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#### Abstract

Background: The outcome of patients with metastatic colorectal carcinoma ( mCRC ) following first line therapy is poor, with median survival of less than one year. The purpose of this study was to identify candidate therapeutically targetable somatic events in mCRC patient samples by whole genome sequencing (WGS), so as to obtain targeted treatment strategies for individual patients. Methods: Four patients were recruited, all of whom had received $>2$ prior therapy regimens. Percutaneous needle biopsies of metastases were performed with whole blood collection for the extraction of constitutional DNA. One tumor was not included in this study as the quality of tumor tissue was not sufficient for further analysis. WGS was performed using Illumina paired end chemistry on HiSeq2000 sequencing systems, which yielded coverage of greater than 30X for all samples. NGS data were processed and analyzed to detect somatic genomic alterations including point mutations, indels, copy number alterations, translocations and rearrangements. Results: All 3 tumor samples had KRAS mutations, while 2 tumors contained mutations in the APC gene and the PIK3CA gene. Although we did not identify a TCF7L2-VTIIA translocation, we did detect a TCF7L2 mutation in one tumor. Among the other interesting mutated genes was INPPL1, an important gene involved in PI3 kinase signaling. Functional studies demonstrated that inhibition of INPPL1 reduced growth of CRC cells, suggesting that INPPL1 may promote growth in CRC. Conclusions: Our study further supports potential molecularly defined therapeutic contexts that might provide insights into treatment strategies for refractory mCRC. New insights into the role of INPPL1 in colon tumor cell growth have also been identified. Continued development of appropriate targeted agents towards specific events may be warranted to help improve outcomes in CRC.
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## Background

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common cancers in the United States with an estimated 150,000 new cases and 50,000 deaths each year [1]. While early stage CRC (stage I and II) has a high cure rate after surgery, the recurrence rate is about $50 \%$ for stage III CRC after surgery alone and most patients with metastatic

[^0]disease will ultimately succumb to their cancer [2]. Chemotherapy is the primary treatment for metastatic disease. Currently, there are roughly 5 classes of approved drugs for treating mCRC [3]. These agents include: (1) Fluoropyrimidines: 5-FU and capecitabine (2) Platinum derivative: oxaliplatin (3) Camptothecin derivative: irinotecan (4) EGFR inhibitors: cetuximab and panitumumab and (5) VEGF inhibitors: bevacizumab, afibercept and regorafenib. $E G F R$ inhibitors represent "targeted agents" and their use is limited to about $60 \%$ of tumors, which have wild type $K R A S$ genotype. These agents are given in combination,
and ultimately patients with $K R A S$ mutations run out of treatment options after $2-3$ lines of therapy, with a commonly used sequence being a combination of $5-\mathrm{FU}$, oxaliplatin and bevacizumab (FOLFOX + bevacizumab) followed by 5-FU/irinotecan (FOLFIRI) with the addition of bevacizumab or afibercept, and the recently approved agent, regorafenib as a third line option in some patients [4].
A number of molecular targets and pathways have been described in CRC. Aberrations in chromosome instability and mismatch repair have been widely identified in a number of cases (85\%) [5] with mutations in APC and MutL-homolog (MLH) genes. Mutations in TP53 have been found in about $50 \%$ of colorectal cancers globally [6] as p53 plays key roles in cell regulation, apoptosis, DNA repair and differentiation. $K R A S$ mutations are also common in CRC, and occur at a frequency of $\sim 40 \%$ [7]. Several other pathways which also trigger the malignant phenotype include the $T G F \beta$ signaling pathway mediated through downstream targets such as SMAD2 and SMAD4, and components of RAS/MAPK, JNK and PI3K/AKT pathways [8]. Studies of protein coding genomic sequences of colorectal cancers revealed that only a subset of these genes actually contribute to the process of carcinogenesis whereas a vast majority of them actually affect other cellular processes such as transcription, adhesion and invasion [9]. Sequencing studies of the mutational landscape of colorectal cancer revealed that the mutational spectrum is comprised of a limited number of frequently mutated genes and a large number of infrequently mutated genes [10]. Furthermore, a previous sequencing study of 9 colorectal cancers and matched normal tissues reported additional recurrent events, notably a VTIIA-TCF7L2 fusion gene present in $\sim 3 \%$ of the patients [11].

Personalized CRC patient treatment based on characterizing the individual tumors by high throughput sequencing strategies has been attempted [12]. Most studies reporting sequencing data have been with panels of select genes, or with cell lines, patient derived xenografts, or primary tumors removed at surgery [13]. Our group has instituted a pilot program to sequence various solid tumors in patients with refractory solid tumors [14,15]. In obtaining clinically relevant information that can be of use by the treating physician, tumor biopsies are obtained in patients with advanced solid tumors refractory to approved therapies $[16,17]$. In our current study, we utilized next generation sequencing technologies (NGS) to identify potential biomarkers so as to identify treatment options for patients with mCRC .

## Methods

## Participants \& samples

All patients signed an IRB approved consent form prior to participation at the Virginia G. Piper Cancer Center, Scottsdale Healthcare, Scottsdale, AZ. Fresh frozen
tumor biopsy specimens were collected and quality assessed for tumor cellularity, necrosis, crush artifact, etc. A blood sample was also provided for the collection of constitutional genomic DNA. RNA and DNA were extracted from tumor biopsy specimens using the Qiagen All Prep kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD). Germline DNA (Table 1) provides information regarding patients and samples.

## Genomic DNA isolation

## Fresh frozen tissue

Tissue from the needle biopsy was disrupted and homogenized in Buffer RLT plus, Qiagen AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit, using the Bullet Blender ${ }^{\mathrm{mox}}$, Next Advance. Specifically, tissue was transferred to a microcentrifuge tube containing $600 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Buffer RLT plus, and stainless steel beads. The tissue was homogenized in the Bullet Blender at room temperature. The sample was centrifuged at full speed and the lysate was transferred to the Qiagen AllPrep DNA spin column. Genomic DNA purification was conducted as directed by the AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Handbook, Qiagen. DNA was quantified using the Nanodrop spectrophotometer and quality was accessed from 260/280 and 260/230 absorbance ratios.

## Blood

The QIAamp DNA Blood Maxi Kit, Qiagen, was used to isolate DNA from $8-10 \mathrm{ml}$ of whole blood. The protocol was conducted as written. Specifically, the buffy coat layer was isolated from whole blood by centrifugation. The volume of buffy coat was brought up to $5-10 \mathrm{ml}$ with PBS and treated with Qiagen protease at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .100 \%$ ethanol was added and the sample was applied to a QIAamp Maxi column and centrifuged. Samples were then washed with buffers AW1 and AW2 and eluted in $1000 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of Buffer AE. The Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer, Invitrogen, and the Nanodrop spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific, were used to assess DNA quality and concentration.

## Sequencing data analysis

## Illumina whole genome sequencing

DNA libraries were prepared using the NEBNext DNA Sample Prep Master Mix Set (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). For each sample library preparation, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of high molecular weight genomic DNA was fragmented using the Covaris S2 system. Fragmented samples were end repaired using T4 DNA polymerase, DNA polymerase I Klenow fragment, and T4 polynucleotide kinase. Samples were next adenylated using Klenow fragment $3^{\prime}-5$ ' exo minus enzyme, ligated with Illumina adapters, size selected at 350-450 bp, and PCR amplified using Phusion High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix w/HF buffer (New England Biolabs). The DNA libraries were clustered onto flowcells using Illumina's cBot and HiSeq Paired End Cluster Generation kits as per manufacturer protocol

Table 1 Patient clinical information

|  | CLN2 | CLN3 | CLN4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age at diagnosis | 73 | 45 | 50 |
| Gender | male | male | male |
| Ethnicity | Caucasian | Caucasian | Hispanic |
| Diagnosis | Colon | Rectal | Colon |
|  | adenocarcinoma | adenocarcinoma | adenocarcinoma |
| Tumor cellularity | $60 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Sequenced biopsy | Liver metastasis | Right gluteal | Right abdominal |
|  |  | mass | mass |

(Illumina, San Diego, CA). NGS of CLN2 and CLN3 samples were carried out using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform using the v 1.5 chemistry reagents and flowcells. The CLN4 sample was sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform using the v3 chemistry. The total length of each paired end sequencing run was 200 cycles.

## Single nucleotide variant detection

Somatic single nucleotide variant calling was performed using SolSNP [18] and Mutation Walker. SolSNP, an individual sample variant detector (classifier) implemented in Java does the variant calling based on a modified Kolmogorov-Smirnov like statistic, which incorporates base quality scores. The algorithm is non-parametric and makes no assumptions on the nature of the data. It compares the discrete sampled distribution, the pileup on each strand, to the expected distributions (according to ploidy). In case of diploid genome, both strands need to provide evidence for the variation. Zero quality bases are trimmed off the pileup before the comparisons. An important aspect of SolSNP that reduces overcalling inherent to the K-S statistic algorithm is that filters are included to reduce false positive rates, of which both strands must provide evidence for the variation.
While making somatic calls, SolSNP's high quality genotype call is made for all callable loci of the normal sample. To reduce false negatives, variant loci in tumor samples are called with the Variant Consensus mode. Variant loci in tumor samples that exhibit a high quality homozygous reference genotype in the normal sample are considered as somatic. To call somatic variants, SolSNP is augmented by a Python script.
Mutation Walker, an in house tool developed in Java, utilizes the variant discovery tools from Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) [19] as a framework. SNPs that were called using both tools were compiled and further examined. Two sets of thresholds, strict and lenient, were enabled to reduce the false negative rate. Data from each of these two sets were visually examined for false positives to generate a final filtered list of true SNVs, which were annotated with GENCODE using an internal annotation script.

## Copy number analysis

For copy number analysis, a custom tool was developed based on a sliding window comparison of coverage for tumor/normal. This method has been adopted by Liang et al. for their analysis [16]. Copy number gains and losses were calculated from log2 difference in normalized physical coverage between germline and tumor samples across a sliding window of 2 kb , where physical coverage was incremented for the length of the insert between the read pairs for insert sizes less than 3 standard deviations of the mean. High repeat regions such as centromeres were defined as regions where the $\log 2$ normalized coverage exceeded 3 in the germline sample and were thus excluded. Regions where the coverage was zero were replaced by 1 so that homozygous deletions avoid infinite values and are generally capped at approximately -3 .

## Indel (Insertion/deletion) detection

For detecting somatic indels we employed a two-step strategy. In the first step we removed from the tumor sample bam, reads whose insert size lay outside a $50 \mathrm{bp}-500 \mathrm{bp}$ interval from the tumor bam files. GATK [19] is then used to generate a list of potential small indels from this bam. A customized perl script, which uses the Bio-SamTools library from BioPerl [20], takes these indel positions and for each of the indels looks at the region in the normal sample consisting of 5 bp upstream from the start and 5 bp downstream from the end of the indel. An indel is determined to be somatic only if there was no indel detected in the region under consideration in the normal DNA.

## Translocation detection

A series of customized perl scripts are employed in the detection of translocation. These scripts use SAMtools [21] internally to access the bam files. The algorithm consists of two steps. The first is to detect potential translocation in both tumor and normal samples. The second is a comparison of potential translocations in tumor to those detected in the normal sample to weed out potential false positives. The detection of a potential translocation is an exercise in outlier detection. We take a sliding window of 2 kbp and count the discordant reads, whose mates align on a different chromosome. We use 2 kbp , as it is close to the mean of the estimated insert size distribution, and gives the best resolution for the detection of an interchromosomal translocation. For each window we choose the highest hit to be the chromosome to which mates of most of the discordant reads mapped. For purposes of brevity, we call the subset of discordant reads whose mate maps to the highest hit in the window as the hit discordant reads. We compare the ratios of the hit discordant reads, to the total aligned reads, across all the windows to detect potential outliers. Outlier detection is performed under the assumption that the distribution, of the proportion of hit discordant reads
in a 2 kb window aggregates across the chromosome, and will follow a normal distribution. We then compute the mean of this distribution and choose a cutoff of 3 standard deviations. The window with a proportion of hit discordant reads, higher than this cutoff contains the region of potential translocation. The actual region of translocation is then determined by the span of the hit discordant reads in the window. For somatic translocations, the normal and the tumor sample are called separately and regions of overlap are eliminated. These regions were further inspected visually to reduce false positives to arrive at the most confident list.

## SIFT analysis

Single nucleotide variations identified from paired tumor normal analysis were analyzed using the SIFT algorithm. Non-synonymous SNPs in the coding region were checked to see if an amino acid substitution in the protein could actually be damaging by altering the function of the protein [22].

## Cell culture conditions and treatments

X-MAN HCT116 cell line was purchased from Horizon Discovery Ltd. (Cambridge, UK) and cultured in McCoy's 5A modified media with 10\% FBS (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). HEK293 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and passaged in RPMI with $10 \%$ FBS and 100 units insulin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Inhibition of INPPL1 expression was achieved through the use of siRNA sequences (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). siRNA transfections were performed with Lipofectamine 2000 (Life Technologies) and pooled INPPL1 (FlexiTube GeneSolution GS3636) siRNA sequences [Qiagen]. Non-silencing negative control and GFP siRNA sequences were utilized as negative controls (Qiagen). AllStars Hs Cell Death and UBBs1 sequences served as positive controls to assess transfection efficiency (Qiagen). Cells were seeded in 384-well pates containing siRNA sequences (i.e., reverse transfection) and proliferation was measured via CyQUANT Direct Cell Proliferation Assay (Life Technologies). Changes in proliferation were assessed based on comparison of nonsilencing siRNA controls to target siRNA. Data shown for siRNA experiments was generated using Excel version 14.3.5 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA). For siRNA data, differences in proliferation were evaluated by T Test and $p$ values $\leq 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

## Cell lysates and immunoblotting

Untreated as well as transfected whole cell lysates were prepared using the cOmplete Lysis-M kit (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN). Protein samples were prepared by combining lysates with NuPAGE Sample Reducing Agent, NuPAGE LDS Sample Buffer, and nuclease-free
water (Life Technologies) and then boiling for 5 minutes at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Samples were loaded onto NuPAGE 4-12\% Bis Tris Gels (Life Technologies), separated by SDS-PAGE, and then transferred to PVDF membranes. Proteins were blocked in $5 \%$ non-fat milk and incubated with the appropriate antibody in 5\% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich). The following antibodies were used for Western blot analyses: AKT (\#9272), pAKT Thr308 (\#4056), pAKT Ser473 (\#4058), CASP7 (\#9492), Fox01 (\#2880), pFox01 Ser256 (\#9461), GSK-3ß (\#9315), pGSK-3 3 Ser9 (\#9336), INNPL1 (\#2839), p70 S6 Kinase (\#2708), PDK1 (\#5662), pPDK1 Ser241 (\#3438), PI3K p85 (\#4292), and GAPDH (\#2118) [Cell Signaling, Danvers MA]; and pGSK-3ß Tyr216 (\#75745) [Abcam Inc., Cambridge, MA]. Protein bands were visualized using Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rockford, IL).

## Results

## Clinical history

Four patients were recruited for this study. In patient CLN1, the tumor sample was not considered adequate for NGS and results are not presented. Clinical information is provided in Table 1.
Patient CLN2 is a 73 year old Caucasian male first diagnosed with a T3N2 moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma of the ascending colon. He received adjuvant therapy with FOLFOX but had rapid recurrence of disease 5 months after initial surgery. The patient had subsequent therapy with irinotecan/bevacizumab and then gemcitabine, mitomycin and insulin potentiating therapy. At time of referral, there was metastatic disease in the pelvis and multiple omental lesions. A CT guided biopsy of a nodule in the right side of the abdomen was performed. The biopsy from this mass ( $60 \%$ tumor cellularity) was consistent with the colon primary.
Patient CLN3 is a 45 year old Caucasian male first diagnosed with a T2NO rectal cancer for which primary surgery was performed. The patient was found to have recurrent lung metastasis and a pelvic pre sacral mass 18 months after surgery. He also had a chronic draining fistula in the right buttock which developed soon after surgery. A hard mass developed in the right buttock and on biopsy was found to be another site of metastasis. Treatment for metastatic disease had included FOLFOX /bevacizumab, lung radiation and isolated pelvic chemotherapy perfusion. A biopsy of the right buttock mass was performed with pathology consistent with the rectal primary ( $50 \%$ tumor cellularity).
Patient CLN4 is a 50 year old Hispanic male diagnosed with hemicolectomy for a T3N1 right sided colon cancer. The patient was found to have synchronous liver metastasis at the same time. He had undergone prior therapy with FOLFOX, FOLFIRI/bevacizumab and yittum-90 therapy to the liver. The patient entered the study 3 years after
first diagnosis of metastatic disease and had tumors in the liver and a soft tissue mass in the epigastric area of the abdomen. A biopsy from the abdominal mass was consistent with the colon primary ( $30 \%$ tumor cellularity).

## Whole genome sequencing

For each patient tumor and germline DNA were sequenced to identify somatic alterations. WGS summary statistics are shown in Table 2. Aligned reads from both tumor and normal samples were evaluated for somatic events including non-synonymous single nucleotide variants (nsSNVs), indels, copy number variants (CNVs) and translocations. Circos plots in Additional files 1, 2 and 3 illustrate somatic events occurring in CLN2, CLN3 and CLN4 respectively [23].
The complete lists of somatic SNVs detected in the three evaluable specimens are provided in Additional file 4: SNVs and Indels. All three tumors contained KRAS mutations. Among the cancer genes mutated in patient CLN2 were APC, KRAS, PIK3CA, SMAD4, MYST4, HUNK, INPPL1, TGFß3, and TCF7L2. Cancer genes mutated in CLN3 included KRAS, INPP4B, PTPRE, CARD16 and LRP2. Cancer gene mutations in CLN4 were detected in APC, KRAS, PIK3CA, KDR and AURKC (Table 3). Some of the genes which were known to have non-synonymous mutations in colon cancer genes in prior studies were also seen in these samples. They include APC, KCNQ5, KIAA1409, KRAS, LRP2, PIK3CA, SMAD4, TCF7L2 and UHRF2 [9].
Non-synonymous SNVs found in the coding regions of genes were analyzed using the SIFT (Sorting Tolerant From Intolerant) algorithm to determine if such mutations may affect protein function [22]. Genes identified to have damaging effects on the protein product are indicated in Additional file 5: SIFT Predictions for SNVs and Indels. Of the 115 coding variants in CLN2, 61 were predicted to be damaging (53\%); CLN3 had 90 coding variants of which 38 were damaging (42\%); and CLN4 had 44 coding variants of which 20 were damaging (47\%).

## Copy number analysis

Copy number analysis was performed using a sliding window comparison of coverage between tumor and normal using our in-house custom tool. Regions of gain
or loss in the tumor samples are outputted as $\log 2$ ratios in Additional file 6: CNVs. CLN2 had whole chromosome copy number gain of chromosome 13, and chromosome 8q. Significant genes deleted in CLN2 include TP53, BCL2, PIK3CA, SMAD2, SMAD3, SMAD4, APC2, DCC, TGFß1, TCF3, TCF4 and TCF12. CLN3 exhibited whole chromosome copy number gain of chromosomes $1-5$. A significant amplification occurred at 1pter and encompassed NOCL2, PLEKHN1, SDF4, UBE2J2, CENTB5, CPSF3L, MXRA8, ATAD3B, ATAD3A, SSU7A, SLC35E2, NADK, GNB1, GABRD, and PRKCZ. A focal amplicon at 12p contains $K R A S$, which is also mutated in this patient's tumor. An interstitial deletion of about 16 Mb was seen in chromosome 13, which encompasses the RB1 locus. Somatic copy number analysis in CLN4 was confounded by low tumor cellularity ( $30 \%$ ); however we were able to detect several events including whole chromosome gain of chromosome 13.
Furthermore, several genes containing SNVs also mapped within regions of copy number change. A list of these genes has been included in Table 4. Notably in CLN2, SMAD4 was deleted and harbored an L540R somatic mutation. Additionally, PTPRM was deleted and contained a V1415M nsSNV.

## Impact of INPPL1 silencing on cell proliferation in HCT116 cells

The PI3 kinase pathway has been previously associated with colorectal cancer, where PIK3CA mutations occur in approximately $15 \%$ of colorectal tumors $[10,24]$. Phosphatidyl-3,4,5-triphosphate ( $\mathrm{PI} 3,4,5 \mathrm{P}_{3}$ ), is a key phosphoinositide generated from PI3 kinase, which regulates $\mathrm{PKB} / \mathrm{AKT}$ mediated cell survival and proliferation [25]. In our analysis, we identified a mutation in INPPL1 (inositol polyphosphate phosphatase-like 1), which encodes SHIP2, the phosphatase that plays an important role in the conversion of PI3,4,5P $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ to PI3, $4 \mathrm{P}_{2}$. The E567G mutation identified in the INPPL1gene was predicted to be damaging by SIFT, and validated by Sanger sequencing. Thus we investigated the impact of silencing INPPL1 by RNA inhibition on cell proliferation. For this study, we used small interfering RNA (siRNA). HCT116 cells were seeded into 384-well plates containing siRNA buffer (no transfection), control siRNA sequences, or INPPL1 siRNA sequences. At

Table 2 Whole genome sequencing

| Participant code | Total reads sequenced | Total bases sequenced | Coverage | Number of germline variants | Percent dbSNP | Transition/ transversion ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLN2 Normal | 1.9 billion | 200 Gb | 50X | 2.3 million | 88\% | 2.05 |
| CLN2 Tumor | 1.4 billion | 146 Gb | 42X | - |  |  |
| CLN3 Normal | 2.0 billion | 210 Gb | 62 X | 3.4 million | 88\% | 2.06 |
| CLN3 Tumor | 1.96 billion | 204 Gb | 40X | - |  |  |
| CLN4 Normal | 0.97 billion | 100 Gb | 28X | 3.3 million | 88\% | 2.06 |
| CLN4 Tumor | 1.03 billion | 107 Gb | 31X | - |  |  |

Table 3 SNVs and indels in relevance to cancer

| Sample | Chr | Gene name | Position | Coding event | Sequence change | Substitution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLN2 | 3 | CPB1 | 150045158 | SNV | G/A | R231Q |
|  | 6 | ESR1 | 152423875 | SNV | C/T | T4311 |
|  | 10 | TCF7L2 | 114907771 | SNV | G/A | G424E |
|  | 11 | INPPL1 | 71621016 | SNV | A/G | E567G |
|  | 12 | KRAS | 25289548 | SNV | C/T | G13D |
|  | 14 | TGF33 | 75495381 | SNV | G/T | Q381K |
|  | 18 | BCL2 | 59136317 | SNV | C/A | W188L |
|  | 18 | PTPRM | 8384547 | SNV | G/A | V1415M |
|  | 10 | MYST4 | 76460457 | SNV | C/T | R1957W |
|  | 18 | SMAD4 | 46858795 | SNV | T/G | L540R |
|  | 19 | SHANK1 | 55864301 | SNV | G/A | R910C |
|  | 21 | HUNK | 32293208 | SNV | G/A | R662Q |
|  | 3 | PIK3CA | 180399422 | SNV | G/A | NA |
|  | 1 | PTPRC | 196985273 | SNV | G/A | S852N |
|  | 13 | MLNR | 48693327 | Indel | cccgg-/-ccgcc | Insertion |
|  | 2 | SLC4A10 | 162427730 | Indel | atcag-/-aaaa | Insertion |
|  | 6 | UTRN | 145111149 | Indel | AAAT-g-GGAAA | Frameshift |
|  | 7 | HNRNPA2B1 | 26202550 | Indel | CAGAT-cctcc-TCTAA | Frameshift |
| CLN3 | 1 | ARID1A | 26973896 | SNV | G/T | E1531 |
|  | 2 | LRP2 | 169845248 | SNV | T/C | N400S |
|  | 3 | MITF | 70011193 | SNV | C/G | S92C |
|  | 4 | INPP4B | 143222686 | SNV | C/A | E864 |
|  | 5 | GPR98 | 89974441 | SNV | G/T | V787L |
|  | 7 | CYLN2 | 73409559 | SNV | C/A | S344Y |
|  | 10 | PTPRE | 129758044 | SNV | G/A | R3690 |
|  | 12 | KRAS | 25289551 | SNV | C/T | G12D |
|  | 4 | MAML3 | 26202550 | Indel | AAAT-ctg-CTGCT | AA_Deletion |
|  | 6 | PGC | 140871034 | Indel | CCTGC-aga-AGAGC | AA_Deletion |
| CLN4 | 1 | ARID4B | 233412380 | SNV | C/A | R826M |
|  | 3 | PIK3CA | 180434779 | SNV | A/G | H1048R |
|  | 4 | KDR | 55655861 | SNV | G/A | R946C |
|  | 5 | APC | 112129944 | SNV | G/T | G53V |
|  | 5 | APC | 112201150 | SNV | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{T}$ | Q654 |
|  | 5 | APC | 112203580 | SNV | G/T | E1464 |
|  | 5 | APC | 112205360 | SNV | A/G | K2057R |
|  | 6 | PTCRA | 42998820 | SNV | G/T | V46F |
|  | 12 | KRAS | 25289552 | SNV | C/A | G12C |
|  | 2 | HOXD9 | 176696536 | Indel | cagcc-/gcage | Insertion |

72 hours post-transfection, cell proliferation was measured. Changes were measured based on differences between non-silencing control siRNA and INPPL1 siRNA sequences (Figure 1). At 72 hours post-transfection, we detected a $65 \%$ decrease in HCT116 cell proliferation, suggesting that INPPL1 may be required for CRC cell growth.

Impact of INPPL1 siRNA on protein expression of downstream signaling targets of PI3K -Western analysis Since SHIP2 plays a role in PI3K/AKT signaling, INPPL1 siRNA transfected and untransfected HCT116 cell lysates were used for Western blots and probed with antibodies to several downstream PI3K/AKT pathway targets

Table 4 Correlation of genes with SNVs and amplifications and deletions

| Sample | Chr | Gene name | SNV location | CNV variant type | Change associated with variant | Start | End | Correlation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CLN2 | 8 | ADAMDEC1 | 24312932 | CNV-Loss | -1.098323504 | 24298000 | 24318700 | - |
|  | 18 | BCL2 | 59136317 | CNV-Loss | -1.142019005 | 58946900 | 59136800 | - |
|  | 17 | C17orf39 | 17905985 | CNV-Loss | -1.086327397 | 17886900 | 17909200 | - |
|  | 13 | DNAJC3 | 95127539 | CNV-Gain | 1.034261883 | 95159600 | 95201700 | + |
|  | 18 | DOK6 | 65495961 | CNV-Loss | -1.080170349 | 65220300 | 65659400 | - |
|  | 19 | EEF2 | 3929160 | CNV-Loss | -1.10433666 | 3927700 | 3935600 | - |
|  | 13 | MED4 | 47567121 | CNV-gain | 1.011225847 | 47549300 | 47562800 | + |
|  | 15 | MORF4L1 | 76965549 | CNV-Loss | -1.25642835 | 76953100 | 76976400 | - |
|  | 19 | OR1M1 | 9065467 | CNV-Loss | -1.185833041 | 9065000 | 9065800 | - |
|  | 13 | PCDH17 | 57105203 | CNV-Gain | 1.158413612 | 57105000 | 57140500 | + |
|  | 8 | PI15 | 75900191 | CNV-Gain | 1.077222314 | 75901700 | 75924000 | + |
|  | 15 | PML | 72124335 | CNV-Loss | -1.130706684 | 72074500 | 72122000 | - |
|  | 18 | PTPRM | 8384547 | CNV-Loss | -1.028666588 | 7637600 | 8387100 | - |
|  | 19 | PVRL2 | 50083243 | CNV-Loss | -1.070323897 | 50042300 | 50073700 | - |
|  | 19 | SHANK1 | 55864301 | CNV-Loss | -1.135111902 | 55859100 | 55907600 | - |
|  | 18 | SMAD4 | 46858795 | CNV-Loss | -1.077097357 | 46827500 | 46855600 | - |
|  | 15 | THSD4 | 69844560 | CNV-Loss | -1.12539172 | 69808500 | 69856700 | - |
|  | 8 | VPS13B | 100723477 | CNV-Gain | 1.06690452 | 100098000 | 100957000 | + |
|  | 19 | XAB2 | 7594144 | CNV-Loss | -1.039001256 | 7596500 | 7599800 | - |
|  | 19 | ZNF235 | 49483380 | CNV-Loss | -1.210566986 | 49486200 | 49499300 | - |
|  | 19 | ZNF480 | 57510937 | CNV-Loss | -1.12807401 | 57496100 | 57517900 | - |
|  | 19 | ZNF83 | 57808787 | CNV-Loss | -1.330073623 | 57808100 | 57809600 | - |
| CLN3 | 4 | ANKRD17 | 74175875 | CNV-Gain | 1.050578956 | 74176700 | 74257700 | + |
|  | 2 | APOB | 21086873 | CNV-Gain | 1.381146277 | 21078200 | 21119700 | + |
|  | 1 | ARID1A | 26973896 | CNV-Gain | 1.088551435 | 26707600 | 26977900 | + |
|  | 3 | ATP13A5 | 194544518 | CNV-Gain | 1.074832063 | 194495900 | 194576400 | + |
|  | 2 | CLEC4F | 70897420 | CNV-Gain | 1.474467603 | 70890000 | 70900800 | + |
|  | 1 | FNDC7 | 109063011 | CNV-Gain | 1.060116535 | 109071800 | 109085900 | + |
|  | 3 | GADL1 | 30871204 | CNV-Gain | 1.083837201 | 30750200 | 30822400 | + |
|  | 4 | GUCY1A3 | 156862650 | CNV-Gain | 1.051035883 | 156845700 | 156866700 | + |
|  | 4 | INPP4B | 143222686 | CNV-Gain | 1.071823665 | 143169400 | 143560600 | + |
|  | 2 | IWS1 | 127960697 | CNV-Gain | 1.157172502 | 127955100 | 127999800 | $+$ |
|  | 3 | KCNMB2 | 180028679 | CNV-Gain | 1.036705549 | 180021600 | 180027700 | $+$ |
|  | 12 | KRAS | 25289551 | CNV-Gain | 1.035184393 | 25274800 | 25275900 | + |
|  | 2 | LRP2 | 169845248 | CNV-Gain | 1.274350136 | 169693500 | 169926300 | + |
|  | 3 | LSG1 | 195872054 | CNV-Gain | 1.046959963 | 195844100 | 195855800 | + |
|  | 1 | MACF1 | 39573148 | CNV-Gain | 1.075279401 | 39322700 | 39722600 | + |
|  | 3 | MITF | 70011193 | CNV-Gain | 1.055910933 | 70070200 | 70096500 | + |
|  | 5 | PAPD4 | 78951267 | CNV-Gain | 1.023426699 | 78969500 | 78969700 | + |
|  | 2 | PCBP1 | 70168678 | CNV-Gain | 1.147337373 | 70169200 | 70169400 | + |
|  | 4 | PDE5A | 120666238 | CNV-Gain | 1.066043219 | 120655300 | 120742100 | + |
|  | 1 | PHTF1 | 114082912 | CNV-Gain | 1.075512922 | 114060600 | 114098600 | + |
|  | 3 | RPSA | 39428561 | CNV-Gain | 1.099952073 | 39424200 | 39428600 | + |
|  | 4 | SH3BP2 | 2805228 | CNV-Gain | 1.208480128 | 2792500 | 2804000 | + |

Table 4 Correlation of genes with SNVs and amplifications and deletions (Continued)

|  | 5 | SLC6A19 | 1265596 | CNV-Gain | 1.142273516 | 1257300 | 1274700 | + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3 | SMC4 | 161633513 | CNV-Gain | 1.02766824 | 161602500 | 161603100 | + |
|  | 2 | SMYD5 | 73305580 | CNV-Gain | 1.59092836 | 73301300 | 73306500 | + |
|  | 1 | SPOCD1 | 32038250 | CNV-Gain | 1.187067318 | 32029900 | 32040400 | + |
|  | 1 | ST6GALNAC3 | 76650550 | CNV-Gain | 1.08116566 | 76355200 | 76864700 | + |
|  | 1 | TMEM39B | 32340671 | CNV-Gain | 1.071050645 | 32318800 | 32339600 | + |
|  | 2 | VPS54 | 63993297 | CNV-Gain | 1.158423232 | 63974100 | 64064600 | $+$ |
|  | 2 | WDR35 | 20045755 | CNV-Gain | 1.180181947 | 19976800 | 20052800 | $+$ |
|  | 5 | WWC1 | 167824543 | CNV-Gain | 1.083413977 | 167656200 | 167825900 | + |
| CLN4 | 16 | ABCC12 | 46688204 | CNV-Gain | 0.757287 | 46702800 | 46702800 | $+$ |
|  | 13 | SPATA13 | 23761296 | CNV-Gain | 0.894588 | 23774800 | 23761296 | $+$ |



Figure 1 Effect of INPPL1 siRNA on cell proliferation in HCT116 cells. Changes in proliferation in HCT116 cells 72 hours post-transfection with pooled control or pooled INPPL1 sequences. Fluorescence data is shown for cells only (no siRNA sequences), negative controls (non-silencing siRNA sequences), INPPL1 siRNA, and positive controls (siRNA sequences targeting essential genes). The effects of INPPL1 siRNA are based on differences between average fluorescence signal generated by negative controls and INPPL1 siRNA sequences. Asterisks denotes statistical significance.
as illustrated in Figure 2. HEK293 cell lysates were used as a positive control in our western blots, due to the ease of maintenance, abundance of proteins, and known expression of multiple proteins. As expected, a reduction in INPPL1 protein levels was observed at 24, 48 and 72 hours post transfection. INPPL1 siRNA treated HCT116 cells showed no expression of PI3K as early as 24 hours post transfection. Hence we looked at the protein levels of a series of downstream signaling targets of PI3K. For PDK1, both pPDK1 (Ser 241) and PDK1 antibodies were used. In the untransfected cell lines, pPDK and PDK1 were expressed. Similar to PI3K, INPPL1 siRNA treated cells showed no expression of either the pPDK1 or PDK1 at 24 and 48 hours. AKT phosphorylation was detected at T308 and S473 in HEK293 cells, however, only phosphorT308 was detected in HCT116 cells. Total AKT was detected at high levels in HCT116 cells. INPPL1 siRNA transfected cells showed a marked reduction in the phosphorylation of AKT as seen in 24 and 48 hours post transfection. Moreover, INPPL1 siRNA inhibited total AKT protein expression at 48 hours in HCT116 cells, with expression returning at 72 hours post transfection. INPPL1 siRNA transfection also led to reduced p70 S6 kinase in the HCT116 cells within 24 hours post transfection. Phosphorylated FOX01 (Ser256) was detected in untransfected cells, but was significantly diminished in HCT116 cells transfected with INPPL1 siRNA. Another downstream effector
of AKT is GSK-3 $\beta$, which upon phosphorylation by AKT at Ser9 becomes inactivated leading to increased cell cycle and $\beta$-catenin signaling. INPPL1 siRNA led to decreased total GSK-3 3 at 24 hours in HCT116 parental cell lines. Interestingly, INPPL1 knockdown in HCT116 cells led to increased phospho-(Ser9) GSK-3 $\beta$ in HCT116 cells at 48 hours. Finally, Caspase 7 activation, which is an indicator of apoptosis, also increased as indicated by the cleaved 35 kDa band in INPPL1 siRNA transfected HCT116 cells. These results support inactivation of the PI3K/AKT pathway upon INPPL1 knockdown, suggesting a growth promoting role for INPPL1 in colon cancer.

## Discussion

It is now established that the key mediators of the cancer cell phenotype are single base mutations, copy number alterations, translocations/rearrangements and epigenetic modifications of genomic DNA. Only now with the advent of NGS and bioinformatics capabilities can the entire human genome be interrogated for these changes. Importantly, with the development of targeted therapies, somatic genome analysis of tumors can shed light on possible therapeutically relevant events that might help inform treatment recommendations for advanced cancers.

In our small sample size, all three tumors had mutations in KRAS. KRAS mutations have been observed in 33\% of the CRCs and are crucial for the early progression of


Figure 2 Western blots. Basal protein expression of HEK293 and HCT116 cells as well as changes in expression in HCT116 cells 24, 48, and 72 hours post-INPPL1 siRNA transfection. For post-transfection samples, cell lysates were treated with pooled INPPL1 siRNA sequences and harvested at each time point.
adenoma to carcinoma in these tumors. Activating $K R A S$ mutations in CRC tumor samples are an early event in the progression of colon carcinoma and the only predictive molecular marker useful for treatment decision as $E G F R$ directed therapy is ineffective in the context of concomitant KRAS mutation [26]. However, even in the presence of $K R A S$ wild type, therapy with either cetuximab or panitumumab is only effective in about $30 \%$ of cases suggesting that there are other molecular determinants of resistance. Additional genes harboring somatic mutations that have been characterized as cancer genes in our study include, $A P C, T G F \beta 3$, SMAD4, BCL2, INPPL1, INPP4B, PIK3CA, PTPRE and $K D R$. Mutations in the $A P C$ gene have been identified in sporadic cancers and play a role in the $W N T / \beta$-catenin signaling pathway. Loss of APC function leads to $\beta$-catenin accumulation and binding to TCF/LEF transcription factors thereby activating MYC and cyclin D1 leading to the transformation of the colon epithelium [27]. Thus APC mutations generally play a role in the initiation of colorectal cancers. APC also regulates cell proliferation of RAS induced $E R K$ activation playing an important role in colorectal tumor suppression [28]. Colorectal tumors are known to have mismatch repair mutations that can increase the mutation rate in these tumors. However, one cannot also rule out the possibility that some of the mutations identified in these advanced cancers are not the result of previously administered chemo or radiation therapies.
Some notable CNVs were seen in these patients. CLN2 had amplification in the $M Y C$ oncogene. A number of known tumor suppressor genes were deleted in CLN2 such as TP53, SMAD2, SMAD3, SMAD4, BCL2 and TCF4. SMAD4 alterations occur in over $50 \%$ of CRC and are believed to occur later in the course of disease [29]. SMAD4 acts as a tumor suppressor to inhibit $\beta$-catenin [30] and targets the $T G F \beta$ signaling pathway to control epithelial cell growth [31]. Tumor CLN2 contained SMAD4 deletion and a concomitant SMAD4 mutation. Deletion of $B C L 2$ leads to an increase in the relapse of stage II colon cancers and could be a likely biomarker for therapeutic decisions [32]. Importantly, CLN2 also contained a somatic mutation in the BCL2 gene. TCF4 has been found to be mutated in variety of tumor types such as renal cell carcinoma, gastric carcinoma and breast cancer [33,34]. TCF4 mutations have been reported in primary CRCs and its loss induces cell proliferation suggesting a possible role as a tumor suppressor [35-37]. CLN3 has a copy number gain encompassing the VEGFA locus, found in $3 \%$ of the cases and linked to higher tumor grade and vascular invasion and being an aggressive subgroup [38]. Copy number gain was also noted in $K D R$ (VEGFR-2), a mediator of angiogenesis and its expression correlated with poor outcome in non-small cell lung carcinoma [39-42]. CLN4 had amplification of EGFR, CDK8, and

MIRH1. EGFR mutations in the extracellular domain with gene amplifications are common in glioblastomas [43] and mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain with increased copy numbers are seen in lung carcinomas. However, amplification of $E G F R$ seems to be an uncommon event in colorectal cancer $[44,45]$. CDK8, a cyclin dependent kinase amplified in CLN4, plays a major role in cell proliferation and PI3K inhibitors can be used in clinical trials for $C D K 8$ [46]. With regards to structural events in colon cancer, gene fusions of TCF7L2 with VTI1A have previously been observed in $3 \%$ of the colon cancers [11]. We did not detect this translocation event in any of our tumors due to our sample size, however we did detected a novel TCF7L2 (G424E) mutation in one of our tumors, suggesting that this gene can be perturbed by multiple mechanisms.
In our three CRC cases that underwent successful NGS, mutations were detected in genes and pathways that could possibly be therapeutically targetable. The PI3K pathway is recognized to be critical in cellular transformation, cell proliferation, adhesion, survival, and motility of cancer cells. In support of our observations, studies have shown that PIK3CA is mutated in up to $30 \%$ of CRC as well as other tumors such as breast, ovarian, and liver cancer [47] typically leading to activation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway. Activated $P I 3 K$ leads to an increase in the phosphoinositides $\mathrm{PI} 3,4,5 \mathrm{P}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{PI} 3,4 \mathrm{P}_{2}$ which in turn leads to phosphorylation of $A K T$. $\mathrm{PI} 3,4,5 \mathrm{P}_{3}$ serves as a substrate for SHIP2 where it is converted to PI3,4 $4 \mathrm{P}_{2}$. Both PI3,4,5P 3 and PI3, $4 \mathrm{P}_{2}$ have been shown to activate AKT phosphorylation [48]. However studies have shown that $\mathrm{PI} 3,4 \mathrm{P}_{2}$ is more efficient than $\mathrm{PI} 3,4,5 \mathrm{P}_{3}$ in binding to the PH domain of $A K T$, phosphorylating S473 and leading to membrane activation [25,49]. In vitro studies using phospholipid vesicles with $\mathrm{PI} 3,4 \mathrm{P}_{2}$ alone were sufficient to activate $A K T$ [50]. INPPL1, encodes SHIP2, the inositol phosphatase that converts $\mathrm{PI} 3,4,5 \mathrm{P}_{3}$ to $\mathrm{PI} 3,4 \mathrm{P}_{2}$. SHIP2 is also a negative regulator of insulin signaling, plays an important role in EGF receptor turnover, and also has been reported to negatively regulate the PI3K pathway [51]. INPPL1 has been shown to act as either a tumor suppressor or an oncogene in different tumor types. Furthermore, SHIP2 expression has been associated with metastasis in breast cancer [52]. INPPL1 mutations have been previously reported and occur in $\sim 4 \%$ of colon tumors [53]. The INPPL1 E567G mutation discovered in tumor CLN2 resides within the catalytic inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase domain that is critical to inositol phosphatase activity, and is predicted to be damaging by SIFT. Thus we performed additional functional genomic and mechanistic studies using RNAi to better understand the role of INPPL1 in colon cancer cell growth and signaling. We used the HCT116 cell line model, which also harbors PIK3CA and KRAS mutations, similar to the patient containing the INPPL1 mutation. As knockdown of INPPL1 led to growth suppression, we hypothesize
that this mutation may lead to a gain of function of INPPL1 thereby playing an oncogenic role in colon cancer as indicated by our in vitro studies. Upon INPPL1 knockdown, we observed significant negative changes in phopho-signaling of key effectors of the PI3K/AKT pathway that suggest INPPL1 might promote growth in colon cancer. This is an important insight as SHIP2 converts $\mathrm{PI} 3,4,5 \mathrm{P}_{3}$ to $\mathrm{PI} 3,4 \mathrm{P}_{2}$, which has been shown to directly activate $A K T$ independent of PI3,4,5P ${ }_{3}$. Studies by Fuhler et al. also show that treatment of multiple myeloma cells with SHIP1/2 inhibitors causes cell arrest in the G2/M phase and induction of apoptosis via Caspase activation [54]. Therefore, additional studies of the role of SHIP2 in CRC are warranted, as this could provide alternative ways to approach inhibition of the PI3K/AKT axis as a means of treatment for a subset of colon cancer tumors.

## Conclusion

This study provides insights into the mutational landscape of metastatic recurrent colorectal cancer. KRAS being the most frequently mutated in human cancers with $\sim 30 \%$ in colorectal cancers are the hallmarks in all these tumor samples. Several inhibitors for the downstream signaling targets of $R A S$ such as $R A F$ and $M E K$ have not been very successful. PI3K inhibitors have been used in phase II clinical trials but have also not been very promising. There remains an urgent need to develop KRAS inhibitors to enhance treatment options in mCRC patients with KRAS mutations. Using an in vitro model with a colon cancer cell line, we have identified that an effective way of activating $A K T$ signaling could be through $\mathrm{PI} 3,4 \mathrm{P}_{2}$ and INPPL1. The inhibition of INPPL1 may have a very significant role in cell proliferation and survival in colon cancer. And although specific recurrent mutations exist, our study further highlights therapeutically relevant contexts within metastatic colon tumors that might lead to new and improved ways to manage these difficult to treat tumors.
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