

CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC  
COMMUNICATIONS

ISSN 2056-9890

# Crystal structure of seleno-L-cystine dihydrochloride

Carl Henrik Görbitz,\* Vladimir Levchenko, Jevgenijs Semjonovs and Mohamed Yusuf Sharif

Received 28 April 2015

Accepted 27 May 2015

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

**Keywords:** crystal structure; L-cystine analogue; Se—Se bridge; cancer therapy; isotypism

**CCDC reference:** 1403356

**Supporting information:** this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Department of Chemistry, University of Oslo, PO Box 1033 Blindern, N-0315 Oslo, Norway. \*Correspondence e-mail: c.h.gorbitz@kjemi.uio.no

Numerous crystal structures are available for the dimeric amino acid cystine. In proteins it is formed by oxidation of the —SH thiol groups of two closely spaced cysteine residues, resulting in the formation of a familiar disulfide bridge. The title compound [systematic name: (*R,R*)-1,1'-dicarboxy-2,2'-(diselanediy)diethanaminium dichloride],  $C_6H_{14}N_2O_4Se_2^{2+} \cdot 2Cl^-$ , is the first example of a small molecule structure of the biologically important analogue with a —CH<sub>2</sub>—Se—Se—CH<sub>2</sub>— bridging unit. Bond lengths and angles of seleno-L-cystine dihydrochloride and its isotypic sulfur analogue L-cystine dihydrochloride are compared.


## 1. Chemical context

In addition to the 20 amino acids directly encoded by the genetic code, three more are incorporated into proteins during translation. These three, selenocystine, pyrrolysine and *N*-formylmethionine, are considered to belong to a group of 23 proteinogenic amino acids. The UGA codon, normally a stop codon, is made to encode selenocysteine by the presence of a selenocysteine insertion sequence (SECIS) in the mRNA (Kryukov *et al.*, 2003).

Analogous to the common sulfur analogue cysteine, selenocysteine dimerizes through the formation of an Se—Se bridge to selenocystin, a substance that has received considerable attention recently for its anticancer efficacy (Yu *et al.*, 2015) as well as its potential in the prevention of cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases (Weekley & Harris, 2013).



In the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, version 5.36; Groom & Allen, 2014) there are about 80 distinct structures of cystine deposited, either as an amino acid, a modified amino




**Figure 1**

(a) The molecular structure of seleno-L-cystine dihydrochloride. The right-hand part, coloured in a light tone, is generated by application of twofold rotation symmetry in space group  $C2$ ; Se1\*, C3\* etc are generated by the symmetry code  $-x + 1, y, -z$ . Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. (b) Best overlap between the structures of (I) (dark grey; O, N and C atoms) and (II) (light grey; Leela & Ramamurthi, 2007) with a root-mean-square deviation of 0.133 Å. The view is along the twofold rotation axis (lens-shaped symbol), the dashed line gives the direction of the  $z$  axis.

acid or as an integrate part of a peptide or another large organic molecule. In contrast, there are no entries for selenocystine (and also none for sulfur–selenium hybrids with a  $-\text{CH}_2-\text{S}-\text{Se}-\text{CH}_2-$  bridge). To provide detailed structural information for this biologically important link, an investigation of its structure, in the dihydrochloride salt  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4\text{Se}_2^{2+} \cdot 2\text{Cl}^-$ , (I), has been undertaken.

## 2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1*a*. A twofold rotation axis relates the two parts of the molecule. The crystal packing is depicted in Fig. 2, with molecules stacked on top of each other along the 5.2529 (4) Å monoclinic axis. Compound (I) is isotypic with the structure of L-cystine dihydrochloride, (II) (Gupta *et al.*, 1974; Jones *et al.*, 1974; Leela & Rama-



**Figure 2**

The crystal packing of seleno-L-cystine dihydrochloride viewed approximately along the  $b$  axis.

murthi, 2007), but not with the structure of L-cystine dihydrobromide (Anbuechziyan *et al.*, 2010), which forms a related packing arrangement but crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group  $P2_12_12$ . The disulfide/diselenide bridges adopt helical conformations in all three structures, characterized by having *gauche*  $-\text{C}-\text{C}-\text{X}-\text{X}-$ ,  $-\text{C}-\text{X}-\text{X}-\text{C}-$  and  $-\text{X}-\text{X}-\text{C}-\text{C}-$  torsion angles ( $\text{X} = \text{S}$  or  $\text{Se}$ ) of the same sign, in this case between  $-81$  and  $-89^\circ$  [Table 1;  $-\text{C}-\text{C}-\text{X}-\text{X}- = -\text{X}-\text{X}-\text{C}-\text{C}-$  by symmetry]. Geometric parameters for (I) and (II) are furthermore compared in Table 1 with average values from 16 acyclic  $-\text{CH}_2-\text{Se}-\text{Se}-\text{CH}_2-$  links in non-amino acid structures retrieved from the CSD (Groom & Allen, 2014). The bond lengths and bond angles of (I) are similar to those in the previous seleno structures. The most important differences with respect to (II) [X-ray data at 173 K:  $a = 18.4405$  (15),  $b = 5.2116$  (6),  $c = 7.2191$  (6) Å,  $\beta = 103.856$  (6) $^\circ$ ; Leela & Ramamurthi, 2007] are (obviously) the two Se–Se and S–S bond lengths, with modest changes for bond angles and torsion angles. Concerning the dimensions of the unit cell, there is above all an increase in the length of the cell edge  $a$  (+0.364 Å, 2%) due to longer C–Se than C–S bonds. An equivalent, anticipated effect on  $c$  as a result of the increased length of the Se–Se bond, which runs parallel to the  $z$  axis, is effectively counteracted by a  $2.52^\circ$  decrease for the two C–Se–Se angles along the bridge compared to the C–S–S angles, see: Fig. 1*b* and Table 1. The length of the short monoclinic axis  $b$  is determined by direct stacking of amino acid molecules, for which the S-to-Se substitution has less impact since neither is involved in any close intermolecular contacts.

**Table 1**

Geometric parameters (Å,  $^\circ$ ) of diselenide and disulfide bridges.

Compound	C–Se/C–S	Se–Se/S–S	C–C–Se/S	C–Se/S–Se/S	C–C–Se/S–Se/S	C–Se/S–Se/S–C
(I)	1.9671 (18)	2.3213 (4)	113.96 (12)	100.88 (5)	–88.72 (12)	–83.05 (10)
Average <sup>a</sup>	1.967	2.310	114.17	101.29		
(II) <sup>b</sup>	1.817	2.040	114.48	103.40	–89.04	–81.04

Notes: (a) average of 16  $-\text{CH}_2-\text{Se}-\text{Se}-\text{CH}_2-$  bridges in acyclic non-amino acid structures; (b) Leela & Ramamurthi (2007).

**Table 2**  
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$N1-H1\cdots Cl1^i$	0.91	2.25	3.1425 (16)	167
$N1-H2\cdots Cl1^{ii}$	0.91	2.40	3.2110 (18)	149
$N1-H3\cdots Cl1^{iii}$	0.91	2.32	3.1794 (15)	157
$O2-H4\cdots Cl1$	0.79 (6)	2.22 (6)	3.0080 (19)	172 (4)
$C2-H21\cdots O1^{iv}$	1.00	2.39	3.292 (2)	150
$C2-H21\cdots O1^{iii}$	1.00	2.55	3.216 (2)	124

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, y+1, z-1$ ; (ii)  $x, y, z-1$ ; (iii)  $-x+\frac{3}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2}, -z+1$ ; (iv)  $x, y+1, z$ .

### 3. Supramolecular features

The four strong hydrogen bonds with N—H and O—H donors all have  $Cl^-$  as the acceptor atom (Fig. 3a). The geometric parameters of the hydrogen bonds listed in Table 2 are almost identical to those of (II). There is also a three-centre interaction with a  $C^\alpha-H$  donor and two carbonyl oxygen atoms as acceptors, Fig. 3b.

### 4. Synthesis and crystallization

Selenocystine has very low solubility in water as well as in organic solvents, including trifluoroethanol and 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoropropan-2-ol, so a saturated solution was prepared in 0.1 M NaOH solution. 100 µl of this solution was pipetted into a small test tube (5 × 50 mm) to which a small amount of BTB pH indicator was added. The tube was sealed with parafilm punctured with a needle (one small hole) and placed inside a larger tube with concentrated hydrochloric acid. After 15 h the colour had shifted from blue to green, and small crystals of the hydrochloride could be harvested.

### 5. Refinement


Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. The position of the carboxyl H

**Table 3**  
Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_6H_{14}N_2O_4Se_2^{2+} \cdot 2(Cl^-)$
$M_r$	407.02
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $C2$
Temperature (K)	100
$a, b, c$ (Å)	18.8045 (16), 5.2529 (4), 7.2719 (6)
$\beta$ (°)	102.219 (1)
$V$ (Å <sup>3</sup> )	702.03 (10)
$Z$	2
Radiation type	Mo $K\alpha$
$\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	5.65
Crystal size (mm)	0.85 × 0.08 × 0.07
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 Advance single-crystal CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2014)
$T_{min}, T_{max}$	0.514, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and observed [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] reflections	11089, 4209, 4080
$R_{int}$	0.024
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{max}$ (Å <sup>-1</sup> )	0.908
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.023, 0.062, 1.10
No. of reflections	4209
No. of parameters	78
No. of restraints	3
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta\rho_{max}, \Delta\rho_{min}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	4.55, -0.87
Absolute structure	Flack $x$ determined using 1708 quotients (Parsons <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
Absolute structure parameter	0.044 (4)

Computer programs: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2014), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015b) and Mercury (Macrae *et al.*, 2008).

atom was restrained to the plane defined by O1, O2, C1 and C2; other H atoms were positioned with idealized geometry with fixed C/N—H distances for NH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub> (methylene) and CH (methine) groups of 0.91, 0.99 and 1.00 Å, respectively.



**Figure 3**  
(a) Stereodrawing showing the coordination of hydrogen-bond donors around a  $Cl^-$  anion (see Table 2 for symmetry operators). (b) Tape motif along the  $b$  axis generated from  $C^\alpha-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds. O1\* is at  $(x, y+1, z)$ , O1' at  $(-x+\frac{3}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2}, -z+1)$ . Side chains have been truncated beyond  $C^\beta$ .

Free rotation was permitted for the ammonium group.  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H})$  values were set to  $1.2U_{\text{eq}}$  of the carrier atom, or  $1.5U_{\text{eq}}$  for the ammonium group.

A rather large residual peak in the electron density map, with  $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 4.55 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ , remained after completion of the refinement. This peak is located on the twofold rotation axis at the center of the Se—Se bond, and evidently reflects bonding electrons. As a test, an extra isotropic C atom was introduced close to the axis. Its occupancy was subsequently refined to 0.17 (equivalent to one electron), and the *R*-factor fell from 0.0233 to 0.0180.

## References

- Anbuechziyan, M., Ponnusamy, S. & Muthamizhchelvan, C. (2010). *Phys. B*, **405**, 1119–1124.
- Bruker (2014). *APEX2*, *SAINT* and *SADABS*. Bruker AXS, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Groom, C. R. & Allen, F. H. (2014). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **53**, 662–671.
- Gupta, S. C., Sequeira, A. & Chidambaram, R. (1974). *Acta Cryst. B30*, 562–567.
- Jones, D. D., Bernal, I., Frey, M. N. & Koetzle, T. F. (1974). *Acta Cryst. B30*, 1220–1227.
- Kryukov, G. V., Castellano, S., Novoselov, S. V., Lobanov, A. V., Zehtab, O., Guigó, R. & Gladyshev, V. N. (2003). *Science*, **300**, 1439–1443.
- Leela, S. & Ramamurthi, K. (2007). Private communication (refcode CYSTCL03). CCDC, Cambridge, England.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **41**, 466–470.
- Parsons, S., Flack, H. D. & Wagner, T. (2013). *Acta Cryst. B69*, 249–259.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). *Acta Cryst. A71*, 3–8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). *Acta Cryst. C71*, 3–8.
- Weekley, C. M. & Harris, H. H. (2013). *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **42**, 8870–8894.
- Yu, B., Li, H., Zhang, J., Zheng, W. & Chen, T. (2015). *J. Mater. Chem. B3*, 2497–2504.

## supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2015). E71, 726–729 [doi:10.1107/S205698901501021X]

## Crystal structure of seleno-L-cystine dihydrochloride

Carl Henrik Görbitz, Vladimir Levchenko, Jevgenijs Semjonovs and Mohamed Yusuf Sharif

### Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2014); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2014); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2014); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015b).

### (*R,R*)-1,1'-Dicarboxy-2,2'-(diselanediy)diethanaminium dichloride

#### Crystal data

$\text{C}_6\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_2\text{O}_4\text{Se}_2^{2+} \cdot 2(\text{Cl}^-)$

$M_r = 407.02$

Monoclinic, *C*2

$a = 18.8045$  (16) Å

$b = 5.2529$  (4) Å

$c = 7.2719$  (6) Å

$\beta = 102.219$  (1)°

$V = 702.03$  (10) Å<sup>3</sup>

$Z = 2$

$F(000) = 396$

$D_x = 1.925$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 9938 reflections

$\theta = 2.2\text{--}40.2^\circ$

$\mu = 5.65$  mm<sup>-1</sup>

$T = 100$  K

Needle, colourless

$0.85 \times 0.08 \times 0.07$  mm

#### Data collection

Bruker D8 Advance single-crystal CCD diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

Detector resolution: 8.3 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup>

Sets of exposures each taken over  $0.5^\circ$   $\omega$  rotation scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2014)

$T_{\min} = 0.514$ ,  $T_{\max} = 1.000$

11089 measured reflections

4209 independent reflections

4080 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.024$

$\theta_{\max} = 40.2^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{\min} = 2.2^\circ$

$h = -34 \rightarrow 34$

$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$

$l = -13 \rightarrow 13$

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.023$

$wR(F^2) = 0.062$

$S = 1.10$

4209 reflections

78 parameters

3 restraints

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0169P)^2 + 0.004P]$

where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$

$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 4.55$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.87$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Absolute structure: Flack  $x$  determined using 1708 quotients (Parsons *et al.*, 2013)

Absolute structure parameter: 0.044 (4)

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Se1	0.50147 (2)	0.56610 (5)	0.16037 (2)	0.01306 (4)
Cl1	0.65288 (2)	0.16979 (8)	0.87546 (6)	0.01345 (7)
O1	0.69775 (8)	0.3451 (3)	0.4104 (2)	0.0158 (2)
O2	0.62968 (9)	0.5697 (5)	0.57259 (19)	0.0225 (3)
H4	0.6356 (18)	0.454 (12)	0.644 (6)	0.058 (15)*
N1	0.67823 (8)	0.6777 (3)	0.1266 (2)	0.0125 (2)
H1	0.6681	0.8028	0.0384	0.019*
H2	0.6563	0.5305	0.0787	0.019*
H3	0.7272	0.6536	0.1591	0.019*
C1	0.66257 (8)	0.5306 (3)	0.4320 (2)	0.0125 (3)
C2	0.65058 (9)	0.7531 (3)	0.2961 (2)	0.0114 (2)
H21	0.6808	0.8988	0.3574	0.014*
C3	0.57160 (9)	0.8414 (3)	0.2457 (3)	0.0123 (2)
H31	0.5667	0.9713	0.1451	0.015*
H32	0.5592	0.9238	0.3573	0.015*

*Atomic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Se1	0.00843 (6)	0.01357 (7)	0.01686 (7)	−0.00112 (6)	0.00199 (4)	0.00422 (7)
Cl1	0.01334 (15)	0.01271 (15)	0.01538 (15)	0.00216 (12)	0.00550 (12)	0.00565 (12)
O1	0.0175 (6)	0.0106 (5)	0.0193 (6)	0.0028 (4)	0.0037 (5)	0.0040 (4)
O2	0.0349 (7)	0.0201 (6)	0.0146 (5)	0.0104 (8)	0.0100 (5)	0.0067 (7)
N1	0.0104 (5)	0.0118 (5)	0.0159 (5)	0.0005 (4)	0.0045 (4)	0.0045 (5)
C1	0.0125 (5)	0.0113 (7)	0.0126 (6)	0.0005 (4)	0.0000 (4)	0.0034 (4)
C2	0.0114 (6)	0.0083 (5)	0.0138 (6)	0.0003 (5)	0.0011 (5)	0.0021 (5)
C3	0.0125 (6)	0.0096 (5)	0.0147 (6)	0.0022 (5)	0.0029 (5)	0.0019 (5)

*Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )*

Se1—C3	1.9671 (18)	N1—H2	0.9100
Se1—Se1 <sup>i</sup>	2.3213 (4)	N1—H3	0.9100
O1—C1	1.206 (2)	C1—C2	1.516 (2)
O2—C1	1.319 (2)	C2—C3	1.525 (2)
O2—H4	0.79 (6)	C2—H21	1.0000
N1—C2	1.490 (2)	C3—H31	0.9900
N1—H1	0.9100	C3—H32	0.9900
C3—Se1—Se1 <sup>i</sup>	100.88 (5)	N1—C2—C3	112.00 (14)

C1—O2—H4	112 (4)	C1—C2—C3	113.22 (14)
C2—N1—H1	109.5	N1—C2—H21	107.9
C2—N1—H2	109.5	C1—C2—H21	107.9
H1—N1—H2	109.5	C3—C2—H21	107.9
C2—N1—H3	109.5	C2—C3—Se1	113.96 (12)
H1—N1—H3	109.5	C2—C3—H31	108.8
H2—N1—H3	109.5	Se1—C3—H31	108.8
O1—C1—O2	125.92 (18)	C2—C3—H32	108.8
O1—C1—C2	123.24 (17)	Se1—C3—H32	108.8
O2—C1—C2	110.84 (16)	H31—C3—H32	107.7
N1—C2—C1	107.72 (14)		
O1—C1—C2—N1	9.3 (2)	N1—C2—C3—Se1	70.66 (16)
O2—C1—C2—N1	−170.64 (15)	C1—C2—C3—Se1	−51.39 (17)
O1—C1—C2—C3	133.72 (17)	C2—C3—Se1—Se1 <sup>i</sup>	−88.72 (12)
O2—C1—C2—C3	−46.24 (19)	C3—Se1—Se1 <sup>i</sup> —C3 <sup>i</sup>	−83.05 (10)

Symmetry code: (i)  $-x+1, y, -z$ .

#### Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\text{\AA}$ , $^\circ$ )

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
N1—H1 $\cdots$ Cl1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.91	2.25	3.1425 (16)	167
N1—H2 $\cdots$ Cl1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.91	2.40	3.2110 (18)	149
N1—H3 $\cdots$ Cl1 <sup>iv</sup>	0.91	2.32	3.1794 (15)	157
O2—H4 $\cdots$ Cl1	0.79 (6)	2.22 (6)	3.0080 (19)	172 (4)
C2—H21 $\cdots$ O1 <sup>v</sup>	1.00	2.39	3.292 (2)	150
C2—H21 $\cdots$ O1 <sup>iv</sup>	1.00	2.55	3.216 (2)	124

Symmetry codes: (ii)  $x, y+1, z-1$ ; (iii)  $x, y, z-1$ ; (iv)  $-x+3/2, y+1/2, -z+1$ ; (v)  $x, y+1, z$ .