# metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Poly[diagua[ $\mu_6$ -4,4'-(1,4-phenylene)bis(2,6-dimethylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxylato)]dilead(II)]

#### Yi Zhu, Ming-Xing Zhang, Shan-Shan Yang, Feng Xiao, Xiao-Ping Zhang, Yuan-Yuan Gao, Bing-Jie Li and Kun-Lin Huang\*

College of Life Science, and College of Chemistry, Chongqing Normal University, Chongging 400047, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: kunlin@jlu.edu.cn

Received 18 February 2013; accepted 20 March 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 298 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.007 Å; R factor = 0.022; wR factor = 0.055; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.2.

The asymmetric unit of the title Pb-based coordination polymer,  $[Pb_2(C_{24}H_{16}N_2O_8)(H_2O)_2]_n$ , consists of one Pb<sup>II</sup> cation, half of a 4,4'-(1,4-phenylene)bis(2,6-dimethylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxylate  $(L^{4-})$  ligand and one coordinating water molecule. The centers of the benzene ring of the ligand and the four-membered Pb/O/Pb/O ring are located on centers of inversion. The Pb<sup>II</sup> ion is coordinated in form of a distorted polyhedron by seven O atoms from four separate  $L^{4-}$  ligands and by one water O atom. The PbO<sub>7</sub> polyhedra share O atoms, forming infinite zigzag  $[PbO_4(H_2O)]_n$  chains along [100] that are bridged by  $L^{4-}$  ligands, forming a two-dimensional coordination network parallel to (001). O−H···O hydrogen bonds involving the water molecule are observed.

#### **Related literature**

For background to metal-organic frameworks, see: Long & Yaghi (2009); Zhao et al. (2003). For related structures, see: Liu et al. (2002); O'Keeffe et al. (2008); Zhang et al. (2011). For lead complexes, see: Harrowfield et al. (2004); Yang et al. (2007). For typical Pb-O distances, see: Chen et al. (2012); Wei et al. (2005). For the photoluminescent mechanism of ligand-metal charge transfer, see: Hu et al. (2010); Zhang et al. (2012).



 $\gamma = 85.494 \ (3)^{\circ}$ 

Z = 1

V = 607.43 (17) Å<sup>3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $0.25 \times 0.23 \times 0.23$  mm

3168 measured reflections

2119 independent reflections

1932 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $\mu = 13.90 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 298 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.015$ 

#### **Experimental**

Crystal data  $[Pb_2(C_{24}H_{16}N_2O_8)(H_2O)_2]$  $M_r = 910.80$ Triclinic. P1 a = 7.2182 (12) Åb = 9.0635 (14) Å c = 9.9589 (15) Å $\alpha = 79.202 (2)^{\circ}$  $\beta = 71.683(2)^{\circ}$ 

#### Data collection

```
Bruker SMART APEXII CCD
  diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
  (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2003)
  T_{\min} = 0.129, \ T_{\max} = 0.142
```

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.022$	174 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.055$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.01	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
2119 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.16 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$

#### Table 1

Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$\overrightarrow{O5-H2\cdots O4^{i}}$	0.85	2.04	2.834 (6)	155
$O5-H1\cdots O3^{ii}$	0.85	2.05	2.879 (5)	165

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2010); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 2008); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: PLATON (Spek, 2009).

This work was supported by the Science and Technology Projects of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (grant No. KJ120632) and Chongqing Normal University Scientific Research Foundation Project (grant No. 2011XLS30).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IM2422).

#### References

- Bruker (2008). SAINT-Plus. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2010). APEX2. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chen, X., Zhang, M. X. & Huang, K. L. (2012). Chin. J. Struct. Chem. 31, 1601– 1607.
- Harrowfield, J. M., Maghaminia, S. & Soudi, A. A. (2004). *Inorg. Chem.* 43, 1810–1812.
- Hu, J. S., Shang, Y. J., Yao, X. Q., Qin, L., Li, Y. Z., Guo, Z. J., Zheng, H. G. & Xue, Z. L. (2010). Cryst. Growth Des. 10, 4135–4142.
- Liu, Y. H., Lu, Y. L., Wu, H. C., Wang, J. C. & Lu, K. L. (2002). Inorg. Chem. 41, 2592–2597.
- Long, J. R. & Yaghi, O. M. (2009). Chem. Soc. Rev. 38, 1213-1214.
- O'Keeffe, M., Peskov, M. A., Ramsden, S. J. & Yaghi, O. M. (2008). Acc. Chem. Res. 41, 1782–1789.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Wei, Y. L., Hou, H. W., Li, L. K., Fan, Y. T. & Zhu, Y. (2005). Cryst. Growth Des. 5, 1405–1413.
- Yang, J., Li, G. D., Cao, J. J., Yue, Q., Li, G. H. & Chen, J. S. (2007). *Chem. Eur. J.* **13**, 3248–3261.
- Zhang, M.-X., Chen, X., Huang, K.-L., Zhu, Y. & Yang, S.-S. (2012). Acta Cryst. C68, m90–m93.
- Zhang, M.-X., Jiao, X.-Y., Chen, X. & Huang, K.-L. (2011). Acta Cryst. C67, m324–m326.
- Zhao, B., Cheng, P., Dai, Y., Cheng, C., Liao, D. Z., Yan, S. P., Jiang, Z. H. & Wang, G. L. (2003). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 42, 934–936.

# supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, m232-m233 [doi:10.1107/S1600536813007733]

# Poly[diaqua[ $\mu_6$ -4,4'-(1,4-phenylene)bis(2,6-dimethylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxyl-ato)]dilead(II)]

# Yi Zhu, Ming-Xing Zhang, Shan-Shan Yang, Feng Xiao, Xiao-Ping Zhang, Yuan-Yuan Gao, Bing-Jie Li and Kun-Lin Huang

#### Comment

In recent years, the chemistry of novel metal-organic hybrid coordination polymers has been the subject of intensive research, due to their interesting molecular structures and their potential as a new class of solid-state materials applied in catalysis, molecular recognition, gas storage, drug delivery, and so on (Liu *et al.*, 2002; O'Keeffe *et al.*, 2008). Generally speaking, the diversity of potential applications in the framework structures of such materials greatly depends on the selection of the metal centers and organic spacers. Recently, carboxylate groups are frequently exploited in the design, syntheses, and crystallization of coordination frameworks, because they exhibit diverse coordination modes, which can enhance the robustness of the architectures. Furthermore, the flexibility of carboxylate groups is always efficient to form fascinating structures. In this paper, we choose a new flexible and multidentate carboxylate ligand, 4,4'-(1,4-phenyl-ene)bis(2,6-dimethylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxylic acid) (H<sub>4</sub>L).

Up to date, research on coordination polymers has focused on transition metal ions as coordination centers, while less concentration has been given to heavy *p*-block metal ion, e.g. lead(II). In contrast to transiton metal ions, lead(II), with its large radius, flexible coordination environment, and variable stereochemical activity, provides unique opportunities for the formation of unusual structures with interesting properties (Harrowfield *et al.*, 2004; Yang *et al.*, 2007). In addition, the intrinsic features of lead(II), the presence of a 6 s<sup>2</sup> outer electron configuration, inspire chemists extensive interest in coordination chemistry, photophysics, and photochemistry. Herein, we report a new photoluminescent complex [Pb(*L*) (H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sub>n</sub>(1) from the flexible 4,4'-(1,4-phenylene)bis(2,6-dimethylpyridine-3,5- dicarboxylic acid) (H<sub>4</sub>L) and lead salt.

X-ray diffraction analyses reveal that each asymmetric unit of **1** contains half deprotonated  $L^{4-}$  ligand, one H<sub>2</sub>O molecule and one crystallographically independent Pb<sup>II</sup> center(Fig 1). Pb1 center is coordinated with seven O atoms: six (O1<sup>#1</sup>, O1<sup>#2</sup>, O2<sup>#2</sup>,O2<sup>#3</sup>, O3, O4) from four H<sub>4</sub>L ligands and one (O5) from the H<sub>2</sub>O molecule. Of particular interest is the weak coordinative bond that exists between Pb1 and O2<sup>#3</sup>. Pb1,O1<sup>#1</sup>, O1<sup>#2</sup>, O2<sup>#2</sup>,O2<sup>#3</sup>, O3, O4, O5 furnish a polyhydral coordination environment (PbO<sub>7</sub>) with the Pb—O bond lengths are in agreement with those reported in other Pb(II) complexes of O-chelating ligands (Wei *et al.*, 2005; Chen *et al.*, 2012).

As shown in Fig.1, each H<sub>4</sub>L ligand connects six crystallographically equivalent Pb atoms. The carboxylato group with O1 and O2 coordinates three lead atoms producing two Pb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> rings that share one common lead atom. The other carboxylate moiety with donor atoms O3 and O4 coordinates one lead atom in a chelating mode. Notably, the resulting PbO<sub>7</sub> polyhedra share the O1<sup>#4</sup>, O1<sup>#5</sup>, O2<sup>#2</sup>, O2<sup>#3</sup> atoms to form infinite zigzag chains composed of [PbO<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sub>n</sub> in which adjacent Pb atoms are coplanar and Pb<sup>...</sup>Pb distances are 4.077 Å and 4.161 Å respectively (Fig. 2). Another interesting structural feature of complex **1** is that the zigzag [PbO<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sub>n</sub> chains are bridged by H<sub>4</sub>L ligands to form a two-dimensional (2-D) coordination network (Fig. 3).

The photoluminescence spectrum of compound **1** was measured in the solid state at room temperature, as shown in Fig. 4. At room temperature the photoluminescent emission maximum of free H<sub>4</sub>L was observed at 426 nm (upon  $\lambda_{Ex, max} = 208$  nm). For compound **1**, excitation at 380 nm leads to strong photoluminescence with an emission maximum at  $\lambda = 465$  nm. The emission peak of complex **1** is red-shifted by about 40 nm compared to that of the pure H<sub>4</sub>L ligand, which can be assigned to the ligand-metal charge transfer (LMCT) (Hu *et al.*, 2010; Zhang *et al.*, 2011; Zhang *et al.*, 2012).

#### Experimental

A mixture of  $Pb(NO_3)_2 \times 6 H_2O$  (66 mg),  $H_4L$  (40 mg) and DMF (6 ml) was sealed in a 25 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel reactor. The mixture was heated to 373 K for 3 days and then cooled to room temperature. The crystal samples were washed with methanol to yield 18 mg of compound **1**.

#### Refinement

Methyl H atoms were constrained to an ideal geometry (C—H = 0.96 Å), with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ , but were allowed to rotate freely. Other H atoms attached to C atoms were refined using a riding model [C—H = 0.93 Å (CH) and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}$  (parent atom)].

#### **Computing details**

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2010); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2008); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2008); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).



### Figure 1

Part of crystal structure of the  $L^4$  ligand and Pb<sup>II</sup> centres in **1**. All H atoms have been omitted for clarity. [symmetry code: (#1) -*x*, *y*+1, -*z*+1; (#2) *x*, *y* - 1,*z*; (#3) -*x* + 1, -*y* + 1, -*z* + 1; (#4) *x* + 1, *y*-1, *z*; (#5) -*x* + 1, -*y* + 1, -*z* + 1.]



#### Figure 2

Sectional crystal structure of zigzag chain [PbO<sub>4</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sub>n</sub>. Pb, green; O, red; H, white



#### Figure 3

View along c axis of two-dimensional coordination polymer from Pb ions and  $L^4$  ligands. PbO<sub>7</sub>, polyhedron: green; O: red; N: blue; C: grey.



## Figure 4

Photoluminescent spectra of 1 ( $\lambda_{em}$  at 465 nm, upon  $\lambda_{ex}$  at 380 nm). I = relative intensity, em = emission, and ex = excitation.

#### Poly[diaqua[ $\mu_6$ -4,4'-(1,4-phenylene)bis(2,6-dimethylpyridine-3,5-dicarboxylato)]dilead(II)]

Z = 1

F(000) = 422

 $\theta = 2.7 - 23.1^{\circ}$ 

T = 298 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.015$ 

 $h = -8 \rightarrow 8$ 

 $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ 

 $l = -10 \rightarrow 11$ 

 $\mu = 13.90 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

Block, colorless

 $0.25 \times 0.23 \times 0.23$  mm

3168 measured reflections

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}, \, \theta_{\rm min} = 2.2^{\circ}$ 

2119 independent reflections

1932 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $D_{\rm x} = 2.490 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ 

Mo *K* $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 1580 reflections

#### Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\text{Pb}_2(\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_2\text{O}_8)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]\\ & M_r = 910.80\\ & \text{Triclinic, } P\overline{1}\\ & \text{Hall symbol: -P 1}\\ & a = 7.2182 \ (12) \ \text{\AA}\\ & b = 9.0635 \ (14) \ \text{\AA}\\ & c = 9.9589 \ (15) \ \text{\AA}\\ & \alpha = 79.202 \ (2)^{\circ}\\ & \beta = 71.683 \ (2)^{\circ}\\ & \gamma = 85.494 \ (3)^{\circ}\\ & V = 607.43 \ (17) \ \text{\AA}^3 \end{split}$$

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator phi and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2003)  $T_{\min} = 0.129, T_{\max} = 0.142$ 

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.022$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.055$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.01	H-atom parameters constrained
2119 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0347P)^2]$
174 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.15 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.16 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$ 

are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A	ers (Å <sup>2</sup> )	)
--	-----------------------	---

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Pb1	0.24557 (3)	0.11141 (2)	0.47717 (2)	0.02892 (9)	
01	0.0452 (5)	0.9117 (4)	0.6086 (4)	0.0281 (8)	
02	0.3224 (5)	0.8538 (4)	0.6568 (5)	0.0365 (9)	
O3	0.2253 (5)	0.1995 (4)	0.7194 (4)	0.0343 (9)	

O4	-0.0248 (6)	0.2448 (5)	0.6332 (5)	0.0382 (10)
O5	0.3782 (6)	-0.0957 (5)	0.3132 (5)	0.0441 (11)
H1	0.4881	-0.1412	0.3019	0.066*
H2	0.2924	-0.1629	0.3379	0.066*
N1	-0.2153 (6)	0.5547 (5)	0.9379 (5)	0.0275 (10)
C1	-0.1131 (7)	0.6798 (6)	0.8704 (6)	0.0245 (11)
C2	0.0591 (7)	0.6774 (5)	0.7553 (5)	0.0220 (11)
C3	0.1282 (7)	0.5404 (6)	0.7125 (5)	0.0213 (10)
C4	0.0148 (7)	0.4140 (6)	0.7758 (5)	0.0233 (11)
C5	-0.1579 (7)	0.4255 (6)	0.8888 (6)	0.0271 (12)
C6	-0.1922 (8)	0.8214 (6)	0.9291 (6)	0.0341 (13)
H6A	-0.2907	0.8662	0.8872	0.051*
H6B	-0.0882	0.8906	0.9060	0.051*
H6C	-0.2481	0.7975	1.0315	0.051*
C7	0.1542 (7)	0.8222 (6)	0.6705 (6)	0.0242 (11)
C8	0.0752 (7)	0.2736 (6)	0.7103 (6)	0.0243 (11)
C9	-0.2867 (8)	0.2946 (7)	0.9630 (7)	0.0379 (14)
H9A	-0.2869	0.2692	1.0611	0.057*
H9B	-0.2388	0.2102	0.9154	0.057*
H9C	-0.4172	0.3203	0.9603	0.057*
C10	0.3206 (7)	0.5253 (5)	0.5999 (5)	0.0192 (10)
C11	0.3313 (7)	0.5098 (6)	0.4608 (5)	0.0249 (11)
H11	0.2177	0.5160	0.4347	0.030*
C12	0.5090 (7)	0.4854 (6)	0.3615 (5)	0.0241 (11)
H12	0.5146	0.4761	0.2689	0.029*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	<i>U</i> <sup>23</sup>
Pb1	0.02297 (13)	0.02526 (13)	0.03649 (14)	-0.00185 (8)	-0.00454 (9)	-0.00765 (9)
O1	0.0269 (19)	0.0225 (19)	0.037 (2)	-0.0014 (15)	-0.0143 (17)	-0.0010 (16)
O2	0.024 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.054 (3)	-0.0052 (16)	-0.0106 (19)	-0.0020 (18)
O3	0.034 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.044 (2)	0.0113 (17)	-0.0165 (19)	-0.0119 (18)
O4	0.030 (2)	0.036 (2)	0.056 (3)	0.0017 (17)	-0.017 (2)	-0.022 (2)
O5	0.029 (2)	0.048 (3)	0.059 (3)	0.0011 (19)	-0.013 (2)	-0.021 (2)
N1	0.021 (2)	0.031 (3)	0.026 (2)	0.0005 (19)	0.0000 (19)	-0.008 (2)
C1	0.020 (3)	0.027 (3)	0.026 (3)	0.002 (2)	-0.006 (2)	-0.008 (2)
C2	0.021 (2)	0.018 (3)	0.027 (3)	0.002 (2)	-0.009 (2)	-0.004 (2)
C3	0.020 (2)	0.026 (3)	0.018 (2)	0.002 (2)	-0.006 (2)	-0.005 (2)
C4	0.023 (3)	0.022 (3)	0.024 (3)	0.001 (2)	-0.007 (2)	-0.005 (2)
C5	0.021 (3)	0.033 (3)	0.027 (3)	-0.001 (2)	-0.009 (2)	-0.002 (2)
C6	0.031 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.037 (3)	0.006 (2)	0.000 (3)	-0.014 (2)
C7	0.024 (3)	0.019 (3)	0.027 (3)	0.001 (2)	-0.001 (2)	-0.007 (2)
C8	0.020 (3)	0.021 (3)	0.027 (3)	0.002 (2)	-0.002 (2)	-0.003 (2)
C9	0.030 (3)	0.034 (3)	0.041 (4)	-0.006 (3)	0.001 (3)	-0.003 (3)
C10	0.018 (2)	0.017 (2)	0.020 (2)	0.0026 (19)	-0.003 (2)	-0.0028 (19)
C11	0.020 (2)	0.028 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.001 (2)	-0.011 (2)	-0.005 (2)
C12	0.023 (3)	0.030 (3)	0.019 (3)	0.001 (2)	-0.005 (2)	-0.004 (2)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Pb1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.327 (4)	C2—C3	1.393 (7)
Pb1—O4	2.472 (4)	C2—C7	1.507 (7)
Pb1—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.538 (3)	C3—C4	1.390 (7)
Pb1—O3	2.638 (4)	C3—C10	1.501 (7)
Pb1—O5	2.644 (4)	C4—C5	1.405 (7)
О3—С8	1.248 (6)	С5—С9	1.494 (8)
O4—C8	1.277 (7)	С6—Н6А	0.9600
C8—C4	1.510 (7)	C6—H6B	0.9600
01—C7	1.294 (6)	C6—H6C	0.9600
O1—Pb1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.327 (4)	С9—Н9А	0.9600
O1—Pb1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.538 (3)	C9—H9B	0.9600
N1—C5	1.338 (7)	С9—Н9С	0.9600
N1—C1	1.348 (7)	C10-C12 <sup>iv</sup>	1.391 (7)
O2—C7	1.230 (6)	C10-C11	1.395 (7)
O5—H1	0.8500	C11—C12	1.383 (7)
O5—H2	0.8500	C11—H11	0.9300
C1—C2	1.403 (7)	C12-C10 <sup>iv</sup>	1.391 (7)
C1—C6	1.507 (7)	C12—H12	0.9300
01 <sup>i</sup> —Pb1—O4	79.28 (13)	C3—C4—C5	119.1 (5)
01 <sup>i</sup> —Pb1—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	66.21 (14)	C3—C4—C8	117.7 (4)
O4—Pb1—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	75.30 (12)	C5—C4—C8	122.9 (5)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Pb1—O3	89.30 (13)	N1—C5—C4	121.7 (5)
O4—Pb1—O3	51.16 (12)	N1—C5—C9	116.0 (5)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Pb1—O3	124.84 (11)	C4—C5—C9	122.3 (5)
01 <sup>i</sup> —Pb1—O5	78.92 (13)	C1—C6—H6A	109.5
O4—Pb1—O5	151.48 (13)	C1—C6—H6B	109.5
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Pb1—O5	79.19 (12)	H6A—C6—H6B	109.5
O3—Pb1—O5	146.08 (13)	C1—C6—H6C	109.5
C8—O3—Pb1	87.8 (3)	H6A—C6—H6C	109.5
C8—O4—Pb1	94.7 (3)	H6B—C6—H6C	109.5
03—C8—O4	122.3 (5)	O2—C7—O1	121.6 (5)
O3—C8—C4	121.6 (5)	O2—C7—C2	124.0 (5)
O4—C8—C4	115.8 (4)	01	114.4 (4)
C7—O1—Pb1 <sup>iii</sup>	104.5 (3)	С5—С9—Н9А	109.5
C7—O1—Pb1 <sup>ii</sup>	136.9 (3)	C5—C9—H9B	109.5
$Pb1^{iii}$ — $O1$ — $Pb1^{ii}$	113.79 (14)	H9A—C9—H9B	109.5
C5—N1—C1	119.3 (4)	С5—С9—Н9С	109.5
Pb1-05-H1	125.1	H9A—C9—H9C	109.5
Pb1-05-H2	107.8	H9B - C9 - H9C	109.5
H1-05-H2	106.8	$C12^{iv}$ — $C10$ — $C11$	119.3 (4)
N1-C1-C2	122.0 (5)	$C12^{iv}$ $C10$ $C10$	118 9 (4)
N1-C1-C6	115.8 (5)	C11 - C10 - C3	121.6 (4)
C2-C1-C6	122.2 (5)	C12-C11-C10	120.7 (5)
$C_{3}$ $C_{2}$ $C_{1}$ $C_{2}$ $C_{1}$	118.6 (5)	C12— $C11$ — $H11$	119.7
$C_{3}-C_{2}-C_{7}$	120.8 (5)	C10-C11-H11	119.7
C1 - C2 - C7	120.0 (0)	$C_{11}$ $C_{12}$ $C_{10^{iv}}$	120.0 (5)
C4-C3-C2	118.8 (5)	C11—C12—H12	120.0
	110.0 (0)	C CIE 1112	

# supplementary materials

C4—C3—C10	119.1 (4)	C10 <sup>iv</sup> —C12—H12	120.0
C2—C3—C10	122.1 (5)		

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, *y*-1, *z*; (ii) -*x*, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (iii) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (iv) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1.

#### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
O5—H2…O4 <sup>v</sup>	0.85	2.04	2.834 (6)	155
O5—H1···O3 <sup>vi</sup>	0.85	2.05	2.879 (5)	165

Symmetry codes: (v) -x, -y, -z+1; (vi) -x+1, -y, -z+1.