Tumor PHD2 Expression Is Correlated With Clinical Features and Prognosis of Patients With HCC Receiving Liver Resection

Li Zhen, MD, Ning Shijie, PhD, and Zhang Shuijun, MD

Abstract: The role of prolyl hydroxylase domain protein 2 (PHD2) in carcinogenesis has been studied in a variety of cancer types. However, the association between PHD2 and human hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) has not been documented.

A total of 220 patients with primary HCC who underwent a curative liver resection were enrolled in this study. The tumor samples were obtained during the surgical procedure from each patient for PHD2 immunohistological staining. All the patients were followed up and the disease-free survival (DFS) and overall survival (OS) were evaluated.

We found that that high PHD2 expression was significantly associated with higher stage (stages III + IV) (odds ratio [OR] = 5.576, P < 0.001), larger tumor size (>5 cm) (OR = 6.176, P < 0.001), poorer tumor differentiation (OR = 1.424, P = 0.003), and higher serum alpha fetoprotein (AFP) level (OR = 6.861, P < 0.001). Compared to those with high PHD2 expressions, patients with low PHD2 expression had significantly longer DFS and OS periods (both P < 0.001). Cox regression analyses revealed that higher levels of PHD2, tumor size, tumor stage, as well as serum AFP level were predictors for a worse prognosis in patients with HCC.

PHD2 expression in the tumors is associated with the clinical features and prognosis of patients with HCC; it may be used as a histological marker for HCC.

(Medicine 93(29):e179)

Abbreviations: AFP = alpha fetoprotein, DFS = disease-free survival, FBS = fetal bovine serum, HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma, HIF-1 = hypoxia inducible factor-1, OS = overall survival, PHD2 = prolyl hydroxylase domain protein, TUNEL = terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling.

INTRODUCTION

epatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the most common cancers worldwide with a low 5-year postoperative survival rates.^{1,2} To date, the molecular mechanism of development and progression of HCC remains largely unknown. Early diagnosis is critical to improve the prognosis of HCC. Serum alpha fetoprotein (AFP) has been the most widely used tumor

DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000000179

marker for HCC. However, its sensitivity and specificity remain controversial.

Hypoxia is a feature of many tumors including HCC, and the ability of tumor cells to adapt to reduced oxygen and nutrient supply is vital for their survival.³ Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) is a key regulator of cellular response to hypoxia and has been suggested as playing an important role in the regulation of tumor cell proliferation, survival, migration, and metastasis. Stability of the HIF-1 α subunits is regulated by HIF prolyl 4-hydroxylases (PHD1-3). The associations between PHD family members (PHD1-3) have been reported. PHD1 overexpression inhibits tumor growth and neovascularization in colon carcinoma cells via suppressing HIF-1a accumulation.⁴ PHD1 also blocks nuclear factor-kB-mediated cyclin D1 expression and proliferation in lung carcinoma cells. PHD3 methylation level increases in breast cancer and leukaemic cell lines.⁵ High PHD3 expression is significantly correlated with poor overall survival in pancreatobiliary ampullary adenocarcinoma.⁶ In nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC), the high expression of PHD3 was correlated with an early tumor stage and better differentiation.' Another study showed that overexpression of the PHD1-3 are individually and collectively unfavorable prognosticators for NSCLC survival.8

PHD2 is a major oxygen/redox-sensitive enzyme that induces cellular adaptation to stress conditions via regulating the activation of HIF1 in cells.⁹ Knockdown of PHD2 inhibits tumor growth of human breast cancer cells by affecting transforming growth factor- β .¹⁰ PHD2 inhibition diminishes tumor growth through matrix metalloproteinase-induced transforming growth factor- β activation.¹¹ PHD2 expression is associated with the tumor grade and poor prognosis in patients with colorectal cancer¹² and pancreatic endocrine tumors.¹³ Loss of PHD2 in myeloid cells and T-lymphocytes impairs tumor development.¹⁴ PHD2 is also indicated as a strong prognostic marker in human gastric cancer.15

A recent study in mice showed that inhibition of PHD2 increases the HCC occurrence and stimulates the development of cholangiocarcinoma.¹⁶ However, little is known about the association between PHD2 and HCC in a clinical setting. In addition, the effect of PHD2 on the biological behaviors of HCC cells remains largely unknown.

METHODS

Subjects and Specimen Collection

A total of 220 patients with primary HCC who underwent a curative liver resection were included in our hospital between January 2006 and December 2010. HCC samples were obtained during the surgical procedure from each patient. None of these patients underwent the preoperative chemotherapy/radiotherapy or postoperative adjuvant therapy. Twenty pairs of HCC biopsies with matched adjacent noncancerous normal liver

Editor: Shizhang Ling.

Received: July 2, 2014; revised and accepted: September 21, 2014. From the Department of Colorectal and Anal Surgery (LZ); Department of Vascular Surgery (NS); and Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery (ZS), The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China. Correspondence: Shuijun Zhang, Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou

^{450052,} China (e-mail: drzhangshuijun1@sohu.com).

The authors have no funding and conflicts of interest to disclose. Copyright © 2014 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. ISSN: 0025-7974

tissues were frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen until further use. HCC diagnosis was based on the World Health Organization criteria.¹⁷ Tumor differentiation was defined according to the Edmondson grading system. Tumor staging was determined according to the sixth edition of the tumor-node-metastasis classification of the International Union against Cancer. The median follow-up time was 36.4 months (1–60 months). Disease-free survival (DFS) was calculated from the day of surgery to either relapse or death without relapse, and it was censored only for patients who were alive and recurrence free at the last follow-up. Overall survival (OS) was measured from the date of hepatectomy to the time of death or the last follow-up. The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Zhengzhou University. Written informed consent was obtained from each participant before data collection.

PHD2 Immunohistological Staining

Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded 5-µm-thick tumor sections were deparaffinized, placed in a solution of absolute methanol and 0.3% hydrogen peroxide for 30 minutes, and treated with blocking serum for 20 minutes. The slides were incubated overnight with PHD2 antibody (Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA) at a 1:150 dilution at 4°. The immune reaction was revealed with 0.06 mmol/L diaminobenzidine (DAB-Dako, DakoCytomation, Carpinteria, CA) and 2 mmol/L hydrogen peroxide. Sample scoring was performed by semiquantitative microscopic analysis, measuring the number of stained cells and signal intensity. Three spots were evaluated for each sample and a mean score was calculated. Based on the percentage of anti-PHD2 immune-positive tumor cells, a score of 1 was given when $\leq 10\%$ of cells were positive; 2 when 10% to 50% of cells were positive; and 3 when \geq 50% of cells were positive. Signal intensity was scored as negative (0), weak (1), moderate (2),¹⁸ and strong (3). Both scores were multiplied and the resulting score was used to categorize PHD2 expression as low (0-6) and high (>6) expressions.¹⁹

Cell Culture

Three HCC cell lines (QGY7703, Bel7404, and Hep3B)²⁰ and 1 normal hepatic cell line (Lo2) were cultured in Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone, Logan, UT) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

Silencing of PHD2 by Short-Interfering RNA

The QGY7703, Bel7404, and Hep3B cell lines were selected to conduct short-interfering RNA inhibition assay. Cells were 75% confluent and transfected with 5.0 nM PHD2 short-interfering RNA (siRNA) for 48 hours using Oligofectamine (Invitrogen GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The negative control siRNA (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) was used as negative control for all experiments. Sequences of PHD2 siRNA (Genbank accession number NM_022051) are corresponded to nucleotides 3901 through 3921 (aaggacatccgaggcgataag) and nucleotides 4077 through 4097 (aacggttatgtacgtcatgt).¹⁹

Western Blot

The cells were lysed for Western blot assay to determine PHD2 expression. Cell extracts were resolved on sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gels followed by transfer to nitrocellulose membranes. Proteins were resolved by electrophoresis on 8% to 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gels and transferred by electroblotting to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes in transfer buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6), 192 mM glycine, 20% methanol, 0.03% SDS). After immunoblot analysis, membranes were immunoblotted with anti-PHD2 antibody (1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). The membrane was incubated with the secondary antibody against rabbit immunoglobulin G. It was then examined with the enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (Amersham Bioscience Europe, Freiberg, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Cell Proliferation Assay

To evaluate proliferation rate, cells were seeded at 1.0×10^3 per well in a 96-well plate in complete medium. After 3 days, MTT assay was performed according to manufacturer instructions (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Briefly, cells were washed with phosphate buffer saline. MTT reagent (3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide, M 2128, Sigma Aldrich) was added and incubated at 37°C for 3 hours. After MTT reagent removal, MTT solvent (10 % Triton × 100 and 0.1 N HCl in anhydrous isopropanol) was added. Absorbance was determined in a Microwell plate reader (Model 680; Biorad, Marnes-La-Coquette, France). We used 10 to 20 replicates for each cell line, and the experiment was repeated 3 times independently.

Migration and Invasion Ability

Cell migration was measured using Corning transwell inserts (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) with 8.0 μ m pore polycarbonate membrane. We used 1% FBS in the lower wells as chemoattractant. Cells were isolated with 0.25% trypsin digestion; 2×10^5 cells were added to the top transwell chamber and cells were allowed to migrate for 24 hours. The nonmigrated cells were then removed from the upper chamber by using a cotton swab. Migrated cells washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and labeled with Calcein-AM (Abcam, Cambridge, MA). The nucleus was counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Migrated cells were quantified in 3 to 5 fields/well with 2–3 wells/condition.

For invasion assays, the 8 μ m pore HTS Fluoroblok filters (Becton Dickenson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) were coated with 300 μ L Matrigel (100 μ g/mL) overnight at 4°C. The matrix was rehydrated for 2 hours with serum-free media prior to use, then washed once with PBS; 2×10^5 cells were seeded in the chambers at 37°C. After 48 hours, the cells invading to the bottom of the membrane were labeled with Calcein-AM and counted as described in migration assay.

TERMINAL DEOXYNUCLEOTIDYL TRANSFERASE DUTP NICK END LABELING (TUNEL) ASSAY

The apoptosis in the cultured cell lines were detected by in situ DeadEndTM Colorimetric Apoptosis Detection System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells were incubated with terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase enzyme in a humidified chamber at 37° C for 60 minutes. The reaction was terminated by transferring the slides to 2× sodium citrate saline solution. The sections were counterstained with DAPI. For quantitative analyses, 5 fields per section were selected. Apoptosis was indexed by counting TUNEL-positive cells per 100 nuclei per section.

Statistical Analyses

The χ^2 test was used to analyze the relationship between the PHD2 expression and clinicopathologic features of patients with HCC. The odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. Survival curves were obtained by the Kaplan–Meier method, and the statistical significance of the differences in survival among subgroups was evaluated with the log-rank test. The Cox proportional hazards model was employed to assess the independent prognostic values of PHD2 expression pattern. The hazard ratio and its 95% CI were calculated. Statistical analyses were all performed with SPSS software package (version 16.0; SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL). All statistical tests were 2 sided, and P < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

To compare the PHD2 expressions in normal liver tissues and HCC samples, we collected 20 pairs of HCC biopsy samples with matched adjacent noncancerous normal liver tissues (Figure 1). Compared to the normal liver samples, the PHD2 high expression was significantly prevalent in HCC samples (Table 1).

We next analyzed the association between the PHD2 expression in tumor pattern and the clinicopathological features of the HCC subjects. Table 2 shows that the high PHD2 expression was significantly associated with higher stage (stages III + IV) (OR = 5.576, P < 0.001), bigger tumor size

(>5 cm) (OR = 6.176, P < 0.001), poorer differentiation (OR = 1.424, P = 0.003), and higher serum AFP level (OR = 6.861, P < 0.001). In contrast, the tumor PHD2 expression status was not associated with age, sex, cirrhosis, or lymph node metastasis in patients with HCC (all P > 0.05).

High PHD2 Expression Is Associated With Poor Prognosis of Patients With HCC

The log-rank test shows that the DFS and OS periods were significantly different between patients with low and high PHD2 expressions (Figure 2). Compared with patients with HCC having high PHD2 expression, those with low PHD2 expression had a longer DFS period $(25.5 \pm 3.6 \text{ vs } 16.7 \pm 3.4 \text{ months}$, log-rank, P < 0.001, Figure 2A). Patients with low PHD2 expression had longer OS times, whereas those with high PHD2 expression had shorter survival times $(37.5 \pm 3.6 \text{ vs } 29.5 \pm 4.7 \text{ months}$, log-rank, P < 0.001, Figure 2B). Univariate Cox regression analyses revealed that higher levels of PHD2, tumor size, tumor stage, as well as serum AFP levels were all worse predictors for HCC prognosis. The multivariate Cox regression analysis further confirmed that the abovementioned variables were independent prognostic markers for DFS and OS of patients with HCC (Table 3).

PHD2 Regulates the Proliferation, Migration, Invasion and apoptosis of HCC Cell Lines

Western blot results showed that the PHD2 protein expressions were significantly higher in HCC cell lines (QGY7703, Bel7404, and Hep3B) than normal hepatic cell line (Lo2) (Figure 3A). Western blot results showed that the PHD2



Negative control

Negative control

Negative control



FIGURE 1. (A) PHD2 expressions in normal liver tissues and HCC samples by immunohistological staining assays. (B and C) Normal liver tissues show a weak PHD2 expression, while the PHD2 expression is higher in tumor samples. PHD2 = prolyl hydroxylase domain protein.

Variables	High PHD2 Expres- sion, %		Low PHD2 Expres- sion, %		P Value	
Non-HCC samples	1	5	19	95	< 0.001	
HCC samples	11	55	9	45		

 TABLE 1. PHD2 Expressions in Noncancerous Normal Liver

 Tissues and HCC Sample

 $\mathrm{HCC}=\mathrm{hepatocellular}$ carcinoma, $\mathrm{PHD2}=\mathrm{prolyl}$ hydroxylase domain protein.

protein expressions in HCC cell lines were significantly inhibited by the PHD2 siRNA silencing technique (Figure 3B). The cell proliferation assays revealed the cell growth rate was significantly inhibited in abovementioned HCC cell lines after PHD2 siRNA transfection compared with cells treated with the control siRNA (Figure 4A). Cell migration assay showed that PHD2 knockdown significantly decreased the numbers of migrated cells (Figure 4B). Furthermore, silencing of the PHD2 gene dramatically inhibited the invasion of the QGY7703, Bel7404, and Hep3B cells by number (Figure 4C). Last, PHD2 knockdown induced a significantly increased cell apoptosis number in cultured HCC cell lines compared to control siRNA transfection (Figure 4D). The representative images of migration assay and invasive assay are shown in Figures 5 and 6.

DISCUSSION

This study intends to unveil the association between PHD2 and outcomes of patients with HCC, and provide a potential new therapeutic target in treating HCC. Our data show that higher PHD2 expression in tumor is correlated to tumor stage, tumor diameter, and serum AFP levels in patients with HCC. More importantly, higher PHD2 expression is closely associated with a poorer prognosis of the patients with HCC who received surgical treatment.

HCC ranks fifth among the solid tumors worldwide and is most prevalent in Asia because of hepatitis B or C.²¹ Effective treatment for HCC, however, is currently limited, especially when patients present with symptoms. The 5-year survival for patients with HCC having cirrhosis ranges from 30% to 50%.²² Currently, systemic chemotherapy to patients with HCC who frequently have the comorbidity of cirrhosis is not effective because of relative poor chemosensitivity of HCC and the impairment of patients' liver function resulting in the significant increases of the toxicity following chemotherapy.²³ Identifying an alternative treatment method that is more effective but less

TABLE 2. Association Between the PHD2 Expression and the Clinicopathological Features of the HCC Subjects

		High PHD2 Expression		Low PHD2 Expression				
Variables	Total Number	Number	Percentage	Number	Percentage	Odds ratio	95% CI	P Value
Age, y								
≤ 50	130	61	57.55%	69	60.53%	1.000		
$^{-}_{>50}$	90	45	42.45%	45	39.47%	1.131	0.661-1.937	0.653
Gender								
Male	162	78	72.22%	84	75.00%	1.000		
Female	58	30	27.78%	28	25.00%	1.154	0.633-2.103	0.640
Serum AFP, n	ng/mL							
<20	72	19	17.27%	53	58.89%	1.000		
	148	91	82.73%	37	41.11%	6.861	3.586-13.124	0.000
Tumor stage								
T1 + T2	88	20	19.61%	68	57.63%	1.000		
T3 + T4	132	82	80.39%	50	42.37%	5.576	3.029-10.264	0.000
Cirrhosis								
Yes	106	61	47.29%	45	49.45%	1.000		
No	114	68	52.71%	46	50.55%	1.091	0.637-1.866	0.752
Tumor numbe	er							
=1	105	57	48.72%	48	46.60%	1.000		
>1	115	60	51.28%	55	53.40%	0.919	0.541-1.561	0.754
Tumor size, c	m							
<5	121	34	32.69%	87	75.00%	1.000		
	99	70	67.31%	29	25.00%	6.176	3.434-11.108	0.000
Cell differenti	ation							
Well	81	42	32.06%	39	43.82%	0.438		
Moderate	83	39	29.77%	34	38.20%	0.382	0.565 - 2.007	0.845
Poor	56	50	38.17%	16	17.98%	0.180	1.424-5.914	0.003
Lymph node r	metastasis							
No	121	67	55.37%	54	54.55%	1.000		
Yes	99	54	44.63%	45	45.45%	0.967	0.567-1.649	0.902



FIGURE 2. Kaplan-Meier curves in patients with HCC according to their PHD2 expression status. Compared with patients with HCC having high PHD2 expression, those with low PHD2 expression had a longer (A) DFS period (25.5 ± 3.6 vs 16.7 ± 3.4 months, log-rank, P<0.001). (B) Patients with low PHD2 expression had longer OS times, whereas those with high PHD2 expression had shorter survival times (37.5 \pm 3.6 vs 29.5 \pm 4.7 months, log-rank, P < 0.001). DFS = disease-free survival, HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma, OS = overall survival, PHD2 = prolyl hydroxylase domain protein.

toxic, thereby, is critical to improve the survival for patients with HCC. $^{\rm 24-26}$

The development of HCC is multifactorial and may be involved in both genetic and epigenetic changes.¹ From a pathophysiological point of view, oxidative stress from chronic liver diseases may be one of the most critical elements in the development of HCC.^{1,23,27} The PHD isoforms (PHD1–3) are oxygen-sensing molecules that are strictly regulated by the levels of oxygen tension (pO_2) .²⁸ PHDs hydroxylate the α subunit of HIF-1 and HIF-2 in the oxygen-dependent degradation domain.^{29,30} Of 3 PHD isoforms in human, PHD2 is the key limiting enzyme that hydroxylates HIF-1 α under normoxic conditions. 24,29,31

PHD2 is a promising target for the treatment of HCC on several levels. First, when pO2 decreases, PHDs become less active resulting in HIF stabilization and, in turn, the initiation of adaptive responses, which include scavenging of reactive oxygen species, angiogenesis, erythropoiesis, and metabolic reprogramming,^{24,32} and, therefore, improve host's ability to protect against tumor cells. Second, reduced activity of PHD2 in healthy organ amplifies the antioxidative response and reduces the tissue damage from oxidative burst, which is considered as a

Variable		DFS		OS			
	HR	95% CI	P Value	HR	95% CI	P Value	
PHD2 expression							
Low expression	1			1			
High expression	3.432	2.354-5.123	< 0.001	3.245	2.287-5.729	< 0.001	
Tumor stage							
T1 + T2	1			1			
T3 + T4	2.876	2.163-5.058	< 0.001	2.855	2.115-3.864	< 0.001	
Tumor size, cm							
<5	1			1			
>5	2.675	1.889-3.467	< 0.001	2.278	1.862-3.663	< 0.001	
AFP, ng/mL							
$\leq 20^{-1}$	1			1			
>20	2.053	1.765-4.775	< 0.001	2.532	1.964-3.831	< 0.001	

AFP = alpha fetoprotein, CI = confidence interval, DFS = disease-free survival, HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma, HR = hazard ratio, OS = overall survival, PHD2 = prolyl hydroxylase domain protein.



FIGURE 3. PHD2 protein expressions in HCC cell lines (QGY7703, Bel7404, and Hep3B) by Western blot assays. (A) Our data show that the HCC cell lines had significantly higher PHD2 expressions than normal hepatic cell line (Lo2). (B) After si-RNA transfection, the PHD2 protein in HCC cell lines was significantly inhibited. HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma, PHD2 = prolyl hydroxylase domain protein.

key component in carcinogenesis of HCC, especially with recurrent liver cell necrosis and regeneration following damage due to virus and toxic agents.^{1,24,27,33} In addition, a recent study by Leite de Oliveira et al²⁴ has showed that heterozygous deletion of PHD2 in endothelial cells does not affect the vessel density or lumen size but, rather, normalizes the endothelial lining, barrier, stability, and pericyte coverage, restores tumor oxygenation, and, therefore, inhibits metastasis of the tumor cells.^{24,31,34} Last, deletion of 1 or 2 PHD2 alleles in stromal cells improves tumor vessel perfusion and provides a better access for chemotherapy agents, such as doxorubicin, to the tumor site, without requiring direct deletion of PHD2 in the tumor cells.³⁵

In consistent with those previous findings, we found that the stages, tumor sizes, and cancer-related survival are all inversely associated with the expression level of PHD2 in this cohort of patients with HCC. From this study, we also observed that the patients who were positively detected for the AFP intend to have a significantly higher level of PHD2, although it is unclear if there is a linear association and the involved mechanism. One may assume that the high expression of PHD2 is related to the advancement of the HCC, which results in the high production of AFP.

Interestingly, both tumor-promoting and suppressive effects of PHD2 have been previously described, although its unambiguous roles in tumorigenesis have been supported by numerous studies in pancreatic endocrine tumor, pheochromo-cytomas, and colorectal cancers.^{24,25,26,36} For example, overexpression of PHD2 was reported in the more aggressive phenotypes of squamous cell carcinoma in the head and neck.²⁹ This was also correlated to the higher aggressiveness and recurrence rates in pancreatic endocrine tumors.³⁷ On the other hand, increased PHD2 expression was associated with a better prognosis for patients with pancreatic cancer due to suppression of angiogenic cytokines, vascular endothelial growth factor, angiopoietin-1, and interleukin 8 under hypoxic conditions.⁴ One study, however, indicated a simultaneously increased level of HIF-1 and PHD2 in the head and neck squamous cell carcinomas, given PHDs hydroxylate HIFs at the prolyl residues.²⁹ This paradoxical increased expression of PHD2 may be induced by the hypoxic condition during tumor aggression and forms a part of a feedback loop to limit hypoxic signal.²⁸ From others, elevated PHD2 may not be sufficient to downregulate the expression level of HIF-1 α in cancer frequently presenting with abnormal hypoxic signals.^{34,38–40} Etiology of HCC involved in the PHD2 pathway, therefore, is highly complicated. Among all, studying crosstalk between the PHD isoforms and the HIF members may be exceptionally important to understand the correlations between PHD2 and the development of HCC.

In our in vitro study, we observed that knockdown of PHD2 inhibits cell migration and invasion. However, the PHD2



FIGURE 4. Biological behaviors of HCC cells after PHD2 inhibition. (A) Cell growth rate significantly inhibited in HCC cell lines after PHD2 si-RNA transfection by MTT assay. (B) Cell migration abilities significantly decreased after PHD2 silencing. (C) PHD2 gene silencing dramatically inhibited the invasion abilities of these HCC cells. (D) PHD2 inhibition markedly increased cell apoptosis in cultured HCC cell lines compared to control si-RNA transfection. HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma, PHD2 = prolyl hydroxylase domain protein.



FIGURE 5. Representative images of migration assay using transwell (magnification: $\times 100$). Cells were added to the top transwell chamber and allowed to migrate for 24 hours. Our data show that the PHD2 inhibition in (A) QGY7703, (B) Bel7404, and (C) Hep3B considerably reduced their migration abilities. Migrated cells were labeled with Calcein-AM. The nucleus was counterstained with DAPI. Migrated cells were quantified in 3–5 fields/well with 2–3 wells/condition. DAPI = 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, PHD2 = prolyl hydroxylase domain protein.



Invasion assay

FIGURE 6. Representative images of stained cells on the underside of the insert in invasion assay (magnification: $\times 100$). Our data show that the PHD2 inhibition in (A) QGY7703, (B) Bel7404, and (c) Hep3B considerably reduced their invasive abilities. Invading cells were labeled with Calcein-AM and quantified in 3 to 5 fields/well with 2 to 3 wells/condition. PHD2 = prolyl hydroxylase domain protein.

does not significantly associate with tumor metastasis in our clinical study. The HCC metastasis is a very complex process in vivo. The PHD2 may be one factor associated with metastasis, but there are still many other regulating factors. To better clarify this discrepancy between the results in vitro and in vivo, an in vivo study of PHD2 knockdown or overexpression may be needed.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to outline the association between PHD2 and HCC in a clinical stetting. Studying the roles of PHD2 in the treatment of HCC is promising because of well-characterized hypervascular arterial feeding to HCC tumor cells.²³ Our study provides evidence to suppress the expression of PHD2 in improving the survival of the patients with HCC. A repeat study with a larger number of patients is currently underway to validate this finding.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Dr Xuwei Hou at Tulane University, New Orleans, LA, for his help in statistic analyses and language revision.

REFERENCES

- Severi T, van Malenstein H, Verslype C, et al. Tumor initiation and progression in hepatocellular carcinoma: risk factors, classification, and therapeutic targets. *Acta Pharmacol Sin.* 2010;31:1409–1420.
- Floreani A, Farinati F. Risk factors associated with hepatocellular carcinoma in primary biliary cirrhosis. *Hepatology*. 2013;58: 1520–1521.
- Xie X, Xiao H, Ding F, et al. Over-expression of prolyl hydroxylase-1 blocks NF-kappaB-mediated cyclin D1 expression and proliferation in lung carcinoma cells. *Cancer Genet.* 2014;207: 188–194.
- Erez N, Milyavsky M, Eilam R, et al. Expression of prolylhydroxylase-1 (PHD1/EGLN2) suppresses hypoxia inducible factorlalpha activation and inhibits tumor growth. *Cancer Res.* 2003;63:8777–8783.
- Huang KT, Mikeska T, Dobrovic A, et al. DNA methylation analysis of the HIF-1alpha prolyl hydroxylase domain genes PHD1, PHD2, PHD3 and the factor inhibiting HIF gene FIH in invasive breast carcinomas. *Histopathology*. 2010;57:451–460.
- Gossage L, Zaitoun A, Fareed KR, et al. Expression of key hypoxia sensing prolyl-hydroxylases PHD1, -2 and -3 in pancreaticobiliary cancer. *Histopathology*. 2010;56:908–920.
- Chen S, Zhang J, Li X, et al. The expression of prolyl hydroxylase domain enzymes are up-regulated and negatively correlated with Bcl-2 in non-small cell lung cancer. *Mol Cell Biochem*. 2011;358:257–263.
- Andersen S, Donnem T, Stenvold H, et al. Overexpression of the HIF hydroxylases PHD1, PHD2, PHD3 and FIH are individually and collectively unfavorable prognosticators for NSCLC survival. *PLoS One.* 2011;6:e23847.
- Pientka FK, Hu J, Schindler SG, et al. Oxygen sensing by the prolyl-4-hydroxylase PHD2 within the nuclear compartment and the influence of compartmentalisation on HIF-1 signalling. *J Cell Sci.* 2012;125:5168–5176.
- Wottawa M, Leisering P, Ahlen M, et al. Knockdown of prolyl-4hydroxylase domain 2 inhibits tumor growth of human breast cancer MDA-MB-231 cells by affecting TGF-beta1 processing. *Int J Cancer.* 2013;132:2787–2798.
- Klotzsche-von Ameln A, Muschter A, Heimesaat MM, et al. HIF prolyl hydroxylase-2 inhibition diminishes tumor growth through
- 8 www.md-journal.com

matrix metalloproteinase-induced TGFbeta activation. *Cancer Biol Ther.* 2012;13:216–223.

- Xie G, Zheng L, Ou J, et al. Low expression of prolyl hydroxylase 2 is associated with tumor grade and poor prognosis in patients with colorectal cancer. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)*. 2012;237:860–866.
- Couvelard A, Deschamps L, Rebours V, et al. Overexpression of the oxygen sensors PHD-1, PHD-2, PHD-3, and FIH Is associated with tumor aggressiveness in pancreatic endocrine tumors. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008;14:6634–6639.
- Mamlouk S, Kalucka J, Singh RP, et al. Loss of prolyl hydroxylase-2 in myeloid cells and T-lymphocytes impairs tumor development. *Int J Cancer.* 2014;134:849–858.
- Kamphues C, Wittschieber D, Klauschen F, et al. Prolyl hydroxylase domain 2 protein is a strong prognostic marker in human gastric cancer. *Pathobiology*. 2012;79:11–17.
- Heindryckx F, Kuchnio A, Casteleyn C, et al. Effect of prolyl hydroxylase domain-2 haplodeficiency on the hepatocarcinogenesis in mice. *J Hepatol.* 2012;57:61–68.
- Prather AA, Epel ES, Cohen BE, et al. Gender differences in the prospective associations of self-reported sleep quality with biomarkers of systemic inflammation and coagulation, findings from the Heart and Soul Study. *J Psychiatr Res.* 2013;47:1228–1235.
- Asnis GM, De La Garza R 2nd. Interferon-induced depression in chronic hepatitis C, a review of its prevalence, risk factors, biology, and treatment approaches. J Clin Gastroenterol. 2006;40:322–335.
- Su Y, Loos M, Giese N, et al. Prolyl hydroxylase-2 (PHD2) exerts tumor-suppressive activity in pancreatic cancer. *Cancer*. 2012;118:960–972.
- Chen B, Ding Y, Liu F, et al. Tiam1, overexpressed in most malignancies, is a novel tumor biomarker. *Mol Med Rep.* 2012;5: 48–53.
- Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, et al. Global cancer statistics. *Cancer J Clin.* 2011;61:69–90.
- McCormack L, Petrowsky H, Clavien P-A. Surgical therapy of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2005;17:497–503.
- Vivarelli M, Montalti R, Risaliti A. Multimodal treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma on cirrhosis: an update. World J Gastroenterol. 2013;19:7316–7326.
- Leite de Oliveira R, Deschoemaeker S, Henze A-T, et al. Genetargeting of Phd2 improves tumor response to chemotherapy and prevents side-toxicity. *Cancer Cell*. 2012;22:263–277.
- Bordoli MR, Stiehl DP, Borsig L, et al. Prolyl-4-hydroxylase PHD2and hypoxia-inducible factor 2-dependent regulation of amphiregulin contributes to breast tumorigenesis. *Oncogene*. 2011;30:548–560.
- Xue J, Li X, Jiao S, et al. Prolyl hydroxylase-3 is down-regulated in colorectal cancer cells and inhibits IKKbeta independent of hydroxylase activity. *Gastroenterology*. 2010;138:606–615.
- Li L, Zeng H, Hou X, et al. Myocardial injection of apelinoverexpressing bone marrow cells improves cardiac repair via upregulation of Sirt3 after myocardial infarction. *PLoS One*. 2013;8:e71041.
- Su Y, Loos M, Giese N, et al. Prolyl hydroxylase-2 (PHD2) exerts tumor-suppressive activity in pancreatic cancer. *Cancer*. 2012;118:960–972.
- 29. Jokilehto T, Rantanen K, Luukkaa M, et al. Overexpression and nuclear translocation of hypoxia-inducible factor prolyl hydroxylase PHD2 in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma is associated with tumor aggressiveness. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006;12:1080–1087.
- Yi L, Hou X, Zhou J, et al. HIF-1alpha genetic variants and protein expression confer the susceptibility and prognosis of gliomas. *Neuromol Med.* 2014;16:578–586.

Copyright © 2014 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

- Mazzone M, Dettori D, Leite de Oliveira R, et al. Heterozygous deficiency of PHD2 restores tumor oxygenation and inhibits metastasis via endothelial normalization. *Cell*. 2009;136:839–851.
- Hou X, Hu Z, Xu H, et al. Advanced glycation endproducts trigger autophagy in cadiomyocyte via RAGE/PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2014;13:78.
- Hou X, Wang S, Zhou Y, et al. Cyclin D1 gene polymorphism and susceptibility to childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia in a Chinese population. *Int J Hematol.* 2005;82:206–209.
- Hou XW, Son J, Wang Y, et al. Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor reduces cardiomyocyte apoptosis and improves cardiac function in adriamycin-induced cardiomyopathy in rats. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther.* 2006;20:85–91.
- Leite de Oliveira R, Deschoemaeker S, Henze A-T, et al. Genetargeting of Phd2 improves tumor response to chemotherapy and prevents side-toxicity. *Cancer Cell.* 2012;22:263–277.

- Xu HY, Hou XW, Wang LF, et al. Association between transforming growth factor beta1 polymorphisms and left ventricle hypertrophy in essential hypertensive subjects. *Mol Cell Biochem.* 2010;335:13–17.
- Büchler P, Reber HA, Büchler M, et al. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 regulates vascular endothelial growth factor expression in human pancreatic cancer. *Pancreas.* 2003;26:56–64.
- Couvelard A, Deschamps L, Rebours V, et al. Overexpression of the oxygen sensors PHD-1, PHD-2, PHD-3, and FIH Is associated with tumor aggressiveness in pancreatic endocrine tumors. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008;14:6634–6639.
- Xu H, Hou X, Wang N, et al. Gender-specific effect of estrogen receptor-1 gene polymorphisms in coronary artery disease and its angiographic severity in Chinese population. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2008;395:130–133.
- Zhang SB, Huang J, Zhao H, et al. The in vitro reconstitution of nucleosome and its binding patterns with HMG1/2 and HMG14/17 proteins. *Cell Res.* 2003;13:351–359.