

Citation: Abou-Arab O, Braik R, Huette P, Bouhemad B, Lorne E, Guinot P-G (2018) The ratios of central venous to arterial carbon dioxide content and tension to arteriovenous oxygen content are not associated with overall anaerobic metabolism in postoperative cardiac surgery patients. PLoS ONE 13(10): e0205950. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205950

Editor: Markus M. Bachschmid, Boston University, UNITED STATES

Received: May 4, 2018

Accepted: October 4, 2018

Published: October 26, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Abou-Arab et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper. Raw data are available after notification and authorization of the competent authorities. In France, all computer data (including databases, in Cover Letter particular patient data) are protected by the National Commission on Informatics and Liberty (CNIL), the national data protection authority for France. CNIL is an independent French administrative regulatory body whose mission is to ensure that data privacy law is RESEARCH ARTICLE

The ratios of central venous to arterial carbon dioxide content and tension to arteriovenous oxygen content are not associated with overall anaerobic metabolism in postoperative cardiac surgery patients

Osama Abou-Arab¹, Rayan Braik¹, Pierre Huette¹, Belaid Bouhemad², Emmanuel Lorne¹, Pierre-Grégoire Guinot²*

1 Anaesthesiology and Critical Care Department, Amiens University Hospital, Rond-point Fernand Leger, Amiens, France, 2 Anaesthesiology and Critical Care Department, Dijon University Hospital, 2 Bd Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, Dijon, France

* guinotpierregregoire@gmail.com

Abstract

Background

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the ability of the ratios of central venous to arterial carbon dioxide content and tension to arteriovenous oxygen content to predict an increase in oxygen consumption (VO_2) upon fluid challenge (FC).

Methods and results

110 patients admitted to cardiothoracic ICU and in whom the physician had decided to perform an FC (with 500 ml of Ringer's lactate solution) were included. The arterial pressure, cardiac index (Ci), and arterial and venous blood gas levels were measured before and after FC. VO₂ and CO₂-O₂ derived variables were calculated. VO₂ responders were defined as patients showing more than a 15% increase in VO₂. Of the 92 FC responders, 43 (46%) were VO₂ responders. At baseline, pCO₂ gap, C(a-v)O₂ were lower in VO₂ responders than in VO₂ non-responders, and central venous oxygen saturation (ScvO₂) was higher in VO₂ responders. FC was associated with an increase in MAP, SV, and CI in both groups. With regard to ScvO2. FC was associated with an increase in VO2 non-responders and a decrease in VO2 responders. FC was associated with a decrease in pvCO2 and pCO2 gap in VO₂ non-responders only. The pCO₂ gap/C(a-v)O₂ ratio and C(a-v)CO₂ content /C(a-v) O₂ content ratio did not change with FC. The CO₂ gap content/C(a-v)O₂ content ratio and the C(a-v)CO₂ content /C(a-v)O₂ content ratio did not predict fluid-induced VO₂ changes (area under the curve (AUC) [95% confidence interval (CI)] = 0.52 [0.39-0.64] and 0.53 [0.4-0.65], respectively; p = 0.757 and 0.71, respectively). ScvO₂ predicted an increase of more than 15% in the VO₂ (AUC [95%CI] = 0.67 [0.55–0.78]; p<0.0001).



applied to the collection, storage, and use of personal data. As the database of this study was authorized by the CNIL, we cannot make available data without prior agreement of the CNIL. Requests may be sent to: elisabeth.laillet@chudijon.fr.

Funding: The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Conclusions

Our results showed that the ratios of central venous to arterial carbon dioxide content and tension to arteriovenous oxygen content were not predictive of VO₂ changes following fluid challenge in postoperative cardiac surgery patients.

Introduction

Fluid challenge (FC) is the most frequently performed bedside haemodynamic intervention in perioperative care. This procedure is usually used to increase cardiac output (CO) so that oxygen delivery (DO₂) matches oxygen consumption (VO₂) [1, 2]. After FC, VO₂ can either increase (if there is an oxygen debt) or remain unchanged [2]. In recent years, several studies have focused on parameters that are able to accurately track VO₂/DO₂ dependency [3–7]. Although the blood lactate concentration was initially described as a surrogate marker of VO₂/DO₂ dependency, an elevated lactate value may not necessarily reflect anaerobic metabolism [8]. Although ScvO₂ might be indicative of DO₂, its significance may be diminished during distributive shock with alteration of the oxygen extraction ratio (O₂ER)—even after cardiac surgery [5, 9, 10]. It was recently suggested that the veno-arterial carbon dioxide tension gradient (pCO₂ gap) and the pCO₂ gap/C(a-v)O₂ ratio are more sensitive indices of anaerobic metabolism and the VO₂ increase upon FC [5, 11–14]. These parameters were developed and validated in ICU patients with sepsis, in whom they accurately predict an increase in VO₂ with FC.

In clinical practice, the difficulty is to identify hemodynamic and/or oxygenation parameters that are clinically relevant to become endpoints for titration of interventions. Increasing DO_2 is an accepted goal for optimization following cardiac surgery [15, 16] which is considered as a major surgery associated with high incidence of postoperative complications. Thus, predicting VO₂ responsiveness can identify the patients for which DO₂ increase is most beneficial [15, 16]. To date, these parameters have not been extensively studied in non-septic or postoperative patients. A few studies of postoperative cardiac surgery patients have shown that in contrary to the situation in patients with sepsis, pCO₂ gap is poorly correlated with perfusion variables [17, 18].

The present study aims at investigating the ability of the pCO_2 gap/C(a-v)O₂ ratio and the C(a-v)CO₂ content/C(a-v)O₂ content ratio to predict a VO₂ increase upon FC in postoperative cardiac surgery patients.

Material and methods

Ethics

The study was approved by the independent ethics committee at Amiens University Hospital (Amiens, France). Because the protocol study is considered as observational and part of routine clinical practice, the French law did not require written consent. According to ethics committee, all patients received written information on the study. Oral consent was obtained from patient or subject's next of kin. The capacity to consent was checked by excluding confusion in awake patient who were not sedated. Confusion was assessed by clinical examination based on confusion assessment method for the intensive care unit. In case of confusion, the consent was obtained from subject's of kin. The consent was noted on study observation book. The present manuscript was drafted in compliance with the STROBE checklist for cohort studies [19].

Patients

This observational study was performed in the cardiothoracic ICU at Amiens University Hospital (Amiens, France) between 2014 and 2017. Some of the patients were previously included in a study that evaluate association between end tidal carbon dioxide pressure and oxygen extraction [7]. The main inclusion criteria were as follows: age 18 or over, controlled positive ventilation, and a clinical decision to perform FC for volume expansion. The indications for FC were arterial hypotension (a systolic arterial pressure (SAP) below 90 mmHg and/or a mean arterial pressure (MAP) below 65 mmHg), a stroke volume (SV) variation of more than 10% during a passive leg raising manoeuver and/or clinical signs of hypoperfusion (skin mottling, and a capillary refill time of more than 3 sec). The non-inclusion criteria were permanent arrhythmia, heart conduction block, a pacemaker, poor echogenicity, aortic regurgitation, spontaneous ventilation, ongoing haemorrhage, and right heart dysfunction.

Haemodynamic parameters

Transthoracic echocardiography (with the CX50 ultrasound system and an S5-1 Sector Array Transducer, Philips Medical System, Suresnes, France) was performed by a physician who was blinded to the study outcomes. The left ventricular ejection fraction was measured using Simpson's biplane method with a four-chamber view. The aortic surface area (SAo, in cm²) was calculated as $\pi \times$ (diameter of the left ventricular outflow tract)²/4. The aortic velocity-time integral (VTIAo), was measured with pulsed Doppler at the LVOT on a five-chamber view. The SV (mL) was calculated as VTIAo×SAo. Cardiac output (CO) was calculated as SV×heart rate (HR) (ml min⁻¹) and was expressed as an indexed CI, i.e. CO/body surface area (ml min⁻¹ m²). Mean echocardiographic parameters were calculated from five measurements (regardless of the respiratory cycle) and analysed off lines.

Oxygenation parameters

We recorded the ventilator settings (tidal volume, plateau pressure and end-expiratory pressure) at baseline. All blood gas parameters were measured with arterial and central venous catheters. Arterial and venous blood gas levels, the blood lactate level, the blood haemoglobin (Hb) concentration and oxyhaemoglobin saturation were measured using an automated analyser (ABL800 FLEX, Radiometer, Bronshoj, Denmark). Arterial oxygen content (CaO₂) and venous oxygen content (CvO₂) were calculated as follows: $CaO_2 = 1.34 \times Hb \times SaO_2 + 0.003 \times CaO_2 = 0.003 \times CaO_2 + 0.003 \times CaO_2 = 0.003 \times CaO_2 + 0.003 \times CaO_2 = 0.003 \times CaO_2 \times CaO_2 = 0.003 \times CaO_2$ PaO_2 ; $CvO_2 = 1.34 \text{ x Hb x } ScvO_2 + 0.003 \text{ x } PvO_2$, where Hb is the haemoglobin concentration $(g.dl^{-1})$, PaO₂ is the arterial oxygen pressure (mmHg), SaO₂ is the arterial oxygen saturation (%), PvO₂ is the venous oxygen pressure (mmHg), ScvO₂ is the central venous oxygen saturation (in%), and 0.003 is the solubility coefficient of oxygen [14]. pCO_2 gap was calculated as follows: pCO₂ gap = PcvCO₂ –PaCO₂ (mmHg). C(a-v)O₂ was calculated as CaO₂ minus CvO₂ (ml) [14]. DO₂ and VO₂ were calculated from arterial and central venous blood gas measurements as follows: DO₂ (ml min⁻¹ m⁻²) = (CaO₂ x 10 x CO)/body surface area; VO₂ (ml min⁻¹ m^{-2} = the arteriovenous difference in oxygen content (C(a-v)O₂ x CO x 10)/body surface area. Arterial and venous CO2 contents (CaCO2, CvCO2) were calculated according to the Douglas formula [14, 20]. The $C(a-v)CO_2$ content was calculated as $CvCO_2$ minus $CaCO_2$ (ml).

Protocol

During the study period, the patients were mechanically ventilated in volume-controlled mode, with a tidal volume set to 7–9 ml kg⁻¹ ideal body weight, and a positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) of 5–8 cmH₂O. The patients were sedated with propolo, with a target Ramsay

score >5. The ventilator settings (oxygen inspired fraction, tidal volume, respiratory rate, and end positive pressure) were not modified during the study period.

The following clinical parameters were recorded: age, gender, weight, ventilation parameters, and primary diagnosis. After an equilibration period, HR, SAP, MAP, diastolic arterial pressure, central venous pressure (CVP), SV, CO, and arterial/venous blood gas levels were measured at baseline. In the present study, FC always consisted of a 10-minute infusion of 500 ml of Ringer's lactate solution. Immediately after FC, a second set of measurements was made.

Statistical analysis

The variables' distribution was assessed using a Shapiro-Wilk test. Data were expressed as the number, proportion (in percent), mean ± standard deviation (SD) or the median [interquartile range (IQR)], as appropriate. Patients were classified as fluid responders or non-responders as a function of the effect of FC on the SV. An FC response was defined as an increase of more than 15% in the SV after FC [21]. Patients were classified as VO₂ responders or non-responders as a function of the effect of FC on VO₂. A VO₂ response was defined as an increase of more than 15% in the VO_2 after FC [7]. The non-parametric Wilcoxon rank sum test, Student's paired t test, Student's t test, and the Mann-Whitney test were used to assess statistical significance, as appropriate. Linear correlations were tested using Pearson's or Spearman's rank method. A receiver-operating characteristic curve was used to establish the ability of ScvO₂, pCO₂ gap/C(a-v)O₂ ratio or the C(a-v)CO₂ content/C(a-v)O₂ content ratio to predict an increase of more than 15% in VO₂ [7, 14]. Assuming that 60% of patients would be fluid responders and that 20 to 30% of fluid responders would be VO₂ responders, we calculated that a sample of 105 patients was sufficient to demonstrate that the pCO₂ gap/ $C(a-v)O_2$ ratio predict an increase in VO₂ upon FC with an area under the curve (AUC) greater than 0.80, a power of 80%, and an alpha risk of 0.05. Taking the exclusion criteria and incomplete data in account, the sample size was set to 115 participants. The threshold for statistical significance was set to p < 0.05. SPSS software (version 24, IBM, New York, NY, USA) was used for all statistical analyses.

Results

Patients

All patients had undergone cardiovascular surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass Table 1, Fig 1. Of the 115 included patients, five were excluded (Fig 1), and so the final analysis covered 110 patients. Of these, 92 (84%) were classified as FC responders, and 43 (47%) were classified as VO_2 responders.

Effect of FC on haemodynamic and oxygenation parameters in the population as a whole

FC was associated with increases in MAP, CVP, SV, CO, DO₂, and VO₂, and decreases in HR, and pCO₂ gap <u>Table 2</u>. At baseline, the arterial lactate concentration was not correlated with ScvO₂ (r = -0.044, p = 0.650), pCO₂ gap/C(a-v)O₂ ratio (r = 0.052, p = 0.587), or C(a-v)CO₂ content /C(a-v)O₂ content ratio (r = 0.019, p = 0.841).

Differences between VO₂ responders and VO₂ non-responders among fluid responders

Of the 92 FC responders, 43 (46%) were VO₂ responders (Fig 1). All VO₂ responders were FC responders Table 2. FC increased MAP, SV, and CI in the two groups Table 2.

Variables	Overall population (n = 110)	
Age (mean (SD), years)	69 (11)	
Gender (F/M)	32 /78	
Surgery, n (%)		
Valvular	55 (50)	
CABG	30 (27)	
Combined surgery	15 (14)	
Other	6 (9)	
SAPS 2	40 (13)	
Respiratory parameters		
Tidal volume (ml kg ⁻¹ of predicted body weight, mean (SD),	7.8 (0.6)	
Total PEEP (cmH ₂ O, mean (SD))	6 (1)	
Number of patients treated with norepinephrine (n, %)	25 (25)	
Median dose (gamma Kg ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	0.7 (0.5 to 1.4)	
Number of patients treated with dobutamine (n, %)	4 (5)	
Median dose (gamma Kg ⁻¹ min ⁻¹)	5 (5 to 7)	
LVEF (%, mean (SD))	49 (11)	

Table 1. Characteristics of the study participants on inclusion.

Values are expressed as the mean ± SD or the number (%). CABG: coronary artery bypass graft.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205950.t001

At baseline, pCO_2 gap and $C(a-v)O_2$ were lower in VO_2 responders than in VO_2 nonresponders, and $ScvO_2$ was higher Table 3. The arterial lactate concentration did not differ when comparing the two groups, and did not change upon FC. Furthermore, FC increased $ScvO_2$ in VO_2 non-responders and decreased $ScvO_2$ in VO_2 responders. FC decreased $pvCO_2$ and pCO_2 gap in VO_2 non-responders only Table 3. The pCO_2 gap/ $C(a-v)O_2$ ratio and the C $(a-v)CO_2$ content/ $C(a-v)O_2$ content ratio did not change upon FC.

The FC-induced changes in the C(a-v)CO₂ content/C(a-v)O₂ content ratio and the pCO₂ gap/C(a-v)O₂ ratio were associated (r = 0.499, p<0.0001), but neither was correlated with



Fig 1. Flow chart diagram of the study.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205950.g001

Hemodynamic variables	VO_2 responders (n = 43)	VO ₂ non responders (n = 49)	p value
Respiratory minute ventilation (l min ⁻¹)	8.2 (1.3)	8 (1)	0.290
Body temperature (°C)	36.3 (1.7)	36.6 (0.4)	0.273
Capillary refill time (sec)			
Pre-FC	3.6 (1.5)	3.6 (1.3)	0.908
Post-FC	3.2 (1.2) ^a	2.9 (1.4) ^a	0.289
Haemoglobin (g dl ⁻¹)			
Pre-FC	11.4 (1.6)	11.2 (1.4)	0.518
Post-FC	11.2 (1.7) ^a	10.8 (1.4) ^a	0.210
HR (bpm)			
Pre-FC	82 (22)	85 (19)	0.574
Post-FC	78 (21) ^a	81 (16) ^a	0.404
MAP (mmHg)			
Pre-FC	74 (14)	70 (12)	0.140
Post-FC	84 (16) ^a	82 (12) ^a	0.472
SV (ml)			
Pre-FC	44 (15)	42 (15)	0.652
Post-FC	60 (18) ^a	55 (21) ^a	0.263
$CI (ml min^{-1} m^{-2})$			
Pre-FC	1.7 (0.6)	1.8 (0.7)	0.524
Post-FC	2.3 (0.7) ^a	2.2 (0.9) ^a	0.921
$DO_2 (ml min^{-1} m^{-2})$			
Pre-FC	269 (103)	274 (95)	0.811
Post-FC	339 (124) ^a	319 (119) ^a	0.428
$VO_2 (ml min^{-1} m^{-2})$			
Pre-FC	75 (34)	100 (39)	0.002
Post-FC	115 (37) ^a	93 (31)	0.007

Table 2. Comparison of haemodynamic parameters according to response of VO₂.

Values are expressed as the mean (SD) or the median [interquartile range]. CI, indexed cardiac output; *DO*₂, oxygen delivery; FC, fluid challenge; HR, heart rate; MAP, mean arterial pressure; SV, stroke volume; *VO*₂, oxygen consumption

^a: *p*<0.05 within groups (pre-/post-FC).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205950.t002

changes in VO₂ (r = -0.092, p = 0.337 and r = -0.05, p = 0.957) or arterial lactates (r = 0.129, p = 0.18 and r = -0.10, p = 0.916). The FC-induced changes in VO₂ and ScvO₂ were associated (r = 0.61, p = 0.0001).

Ability of overall perfusion parameters to predict an increase in VO₂

With an AUC [95% confidence interval (CI)] of 0.52 [0.39–0.64] and 0.53 [0.4–0.65], respectively; p = 0.757 and 0.71, respectively, the C(a-v)CO₂ content /C(a-v)O₂ content ratio and the pCO₂ gap/C(a-v)O₂ ratio did not predict FC-associated changes in VO₂. Baseline ScvO₂ was poorly predictive of an increase of more than 15% in the VO₂, with an AUC [95%CI] of 0.67 [0.55–0.78] (p<0.0001).

Discussion

Our study produced several relevant results. The pCO_2 gap/ $C(a-v)O_2$ ratio and the $C(a-v)CO_2$ content / $C(a-v)O_2$ content ratio did not predict increase in VO₂ in postoperative cardiac surgery patients. ScvO₂ was poorly predictive of an FC-associated increase in VO₂. The arterial

Arterial pH Image: market of the second secon	Variables	VO ₂ responders (n = 43)	VO ₂ non responders (n = 49)	p value
Pre-FC 7.35 (0.07) 7.38 (0.2) 0.447 Post-FC 7.38 (0.05)* 7.39 (0.2) 0.667 Venous pH Pre-FC 7.32 (0.05) 7.33 (0.2) 0.751 Post-FC 7.32 (0.06) 7.33 (0.2) 0.728 Oxygen arterial saturation (%) Pre-FC 97.6 (1.2) 97.7 (1.7) 0.679 Post-FC 97.4 (1.7) 97.6 (1.4) 0.628 ScV0 ₂ (%) 0.003 Post-FC 62.8 (9)* 68.4 (10)* 0.003 Post-FC 38.4 (5) 36.4 (5) 0.668 Post-FC 38.4 (5) 36.4 (5) 0.608 Post-FC 37.3 (4) 36.7 (5) 0.668 Post-FC 38.4 (5) 36.4 (5) 0.942 Post-FC 8.3 (3.7) 10 (3.3) 0.200 Post-FC 9.2 (3.8) 8 (3.6)* 0.141 PCO ₂ (mmHg) 0.575	Arterial pH			
Post-FC7.38 (0.05)*7.39 (0.2)0.667Venous pHPre-FC7.32 (0.05)7.33 (0.2)0.751Post-FC7.32 (0.06)7.33 (0.2)0.751Post-FC7.32 (0.06)7.33 (0.2)0.728Oxygen arterial saturation (%)Pre-FC97.6 (1.2)97.7 (1.7)0.679Post-FC97.4 (1.7)97.6 (1.4)0.628SoVO ₂ (%) </td <td>Pre-FC</td> <td>7.35 (0.07)</td> <td>7.38 (0.2)</td> <td>0.447</td>	Pre-FC	7.35 (0.07)	7.38 (0.2)	0.447
Venous pH ///> Pre-FC 7.32 (0.05) 7.33 (0.2) 0.751 Post-FC 7.32 (0.06) 7.33 (0.2) 0.751 Post-FC 7.32 (0.06) 7.33 (0.2) 0.728 Pre-FC 97.6 (1.2) 97.7 (1.7) 0.679 Post-FC 97.4 (1.7) 97.6 (1.4) 0.628 ScvO ₂ (%) - - - Pre-FC 67.7 (12) 60.8 (10) 0.003 Post-FC 62.8 (9) a 68.4 (10) a 0.005 PaCO ₂ (mmHg) - - - Pre-FC 38.4 (5) 36.4 (5) 0.506 Post-FC 37.3 (4) 36.7 (5) 0.510 Pre-FC 46.7 (6.1) 46.6 (5.4) 0.942 Post-FC 9.2 (3.8) 8 (3.6) a 0.104 Pre-FC 46.5 (5.4) 44.7 (5.3) a 0.120 Post-FC 9.2 (3.8) 8 (3.6) a 0.143 CaO ₂ (mHg) - - - Pre-FC 15.4 (2.2) <td< td=""><td>Post-FC</td><td>7.38 (0.05) ^a</td><td>7.39 (0.2)</td><td>0.667</td></td<>	Post-FC	7.38 (0.05) ^a	7.39 (0.2)	0.667
Pre-FC 7.32 (0.05) 7.33 (0.2) 0.751 Post-FC 7.32 (0.06) 7.33 (0.2) 0.728 Oxygen arterial saturation (%) 7.32 (0.06) 7.33 (0.2) 0.728 Pre-FC 97.6 (1.2) 97.7 (1.7) 0.679 Post-FC 97.4 (1.7) 97.6 (1.4) 0.628 ScvO ₂ (%) 68.4 (10) 0.003 Pre-FC 62.8 (9) ⁴ 68.4 (10) 0.005 PacCo_ (mmHg) 7.6 0.064 0.005 Post-FC 38.4 (5) 36.4 (5) 0.068 Post-FC 37.3 (4) 36.7 (5) 0.510 Pre-FC 46.5 (5.4) 44.7 (5.3) 0.004 PocO ₂ (mmHg) 7.7 7.10 (3.3) 0.020 Post-FC 8.3 (3.7) 10 (3.3) 0.020 Post-FC 9.2 (3.8) 8 (3.6) ^a 0.1143 CaO ₂ (ml) 7.51 (1.2) 0.555 0.555 Post-FC 15.4 (2.2) 15.1 (2) 0.555 Post-FC 9.7 (2.2) ^a 10.2 (2.1) ^a 0.245 Cavo ₂ (ml) 7.52 (1) 0.000 0.	Venous pH			
Post-FC 7.32 (0.06) 7.33 (0.2) 0.728 Oxygen arterial saturation (%) $$	Pre-FC	7.32 (0.05)	7.33 (0.2)	0.751
Oxygen arterial saturation (%) Image: mathematical saturation (%) Image: mathematical saturation (%) Pre-FC 97.6 (1.2) 97.7 (1.7) 0.679 Post-FC 97.4 (1.7) 97.6 (1.4) 0.628 Pre-FC 60.8 (10) 0.003 Post-FC 62.8 (9) * 68.4 (10) * 0.005 PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	Post-FC	7.32 (0.06)	7.33 (0.2)	0.728
Pre-FC 97.6 (1.2) 97.7 (1.7) 0.679 Post-FC 97.4 (1.7) 97.6 (1.4) 0.628 ScvO2 (%) - - - Pre-FC 60.8 (10) 0.003 0.005 PacC0_ (mmHg) - - - Pre-FC 38.4 (5) 36.4 (10) * 0.005 PacO2_ (mmHg) - - - Pre-FC 38.4 (5) 36.4 (5) 0.668 Post-FC 38.4 (5) 36.4 (5) 0.068 Post-FC 46.5 (5.4) 44.7 (5.3) * 0.104 pCO2 gap (mmHg) - - - Pre-FC 8.3 (3.7) 10 (3.3) 0.020 Post-FC 9.2 (3.8) 8 (3.6) * 0.143 CaO2 (ml) - - - Pre-FC 15.4 (2.2) 15.1 (2) 0.555 Post-FC 10.8 (2.7) 9.5 (2.1) 0.009 Post-FC 9.7 (2.2) * 10.2 (2.2) * 0.283 C(a-v)O2 (ml) -	Oxygen arterial saturation (%)			
Post-FC 97.4 (1.7) 97.6 (1.4) 0.628 ScvO ₂ (%)	Pre-FC	97.6 (1.2)	97.7 (1.7)	0.679
SevO2 (%) Image: marked state s	Post-FC	97.4 (1.7)	97.6 (1.4)	0.628
Pre-FC $67.7 (12)$ $60.8 (10)$ 0.003 Post-FC $62.8 (9)^{a}$ $68.4 (10)^{a}$ 0.005 PaCQ_ (nmHg)	ScvO ₂ (%)			
Post-FC 662.8 (9) ^a 668.4 (10) ^a 0.005 PaCO ₁ (mmHg) Pre-FC 38.4 (5) 36.4 (5) 0.068 Post-FC 37.3 (4) 36.7 (5) 0.510 Pre-FC 46.6 7 (6.1) 46.6 (5.4) 0.942 Post-FC 46.5 (5.4) 44.7 (5.3) ^a 0.104 pCO ₂ gap (mmHg) Pre-FC 8.3 (3.7) 10 (3.3) 0.020 Post-FC 9.2 (3.8) 8 (3.6) ^a 0.133 CaO ₂ (ml) Pre-FC 15.4 (2.2) 15.1 (2) 0.555 Post-FC 15.4 (2.2) ^a 14.4 (1.9) ^a 0.171 CvO ₂ (ml) Pre-FC 10.8 (2.7) 9.5 (2.1) 0.002 C(a-v)O ₂ (ml) Pre-FC 5.3 (1.2) ^a 4.2 (1.9) ^a 0.002 CaCO ₂ (ml) </td <td>Pre-FC</td> <td>67.7 (12)</td> <td>60.8 (10)</td> <td>0.003</td>	Pre-FC	67.7 (12)	60.8 (10)	0.003
PaCO2 (mmHg) Image: margin marg	Post-FC	62.8 (9) ^a	68.4 (10) ^a	0.005
Pre-FC 38.4 (5) 36.4 (5) 0.068 Post-FC 37.3 (4) 36.7 (5) 0.510 PrCO2 (mMHg)	PaCO ₂ (mmHg)			
Post-FC $37.3 (4)$ $36.7 (5)$ 0.510 PvCO2 (mmHg)	Pre-FC	38.4 (5)	36.4 (5)	0.068
PvCO2 (mmHg) Image: membra in the second seco	Post-FC	37.3 (4)	36.7 (5)	0.510
Pre-FC 46.7 (6.1) 46.6 (5.4) 0.942 Post-FC 46.5 (5.4) 44.7 (5.3) a 0.104 pCO ₂ gap (mmHg) Pre-FC 8.3 (3.7) 10 (3.3) 0.020 Post-FC 9.2 (3.8) 8 (3.6) a 0.143 CaO ₂ (ml) Pre-FC 15.4 (2.2) 15.1 (2) 0.555 Post-FC 15.4 (2.2) 15.1 (2) 0.555 Post-FC 10.8 (2.7) 9.5 (2.1) 0.009 Post-FC 9.7 (2.2) a 10.2 (2.2) a 0.285 C(a-v)O ₂ (ml) Pre-FC 4.5 (1.8) 5.6 (1.6) 0.003 Post-FC 5.3 (1.2) a 4.2 (1.9) a 0.002 CaCO ₂ (ml) Pre-FC 5.3 (1.2) a 4.2 (1.9) a 0.002 CaCO ₂ (ml) Pre-FC 5.3 (1.2) a 4.3 (7.9) 0.034	PvCO ₂ (mmHg)			
Post-FC 46.5 (5.4) 44.7 (5.3) a 0.104 pCO2 gap (mmHg) Pre-FC 8.3 (3.7) 10 (3.3) 0.020 Post-FC 9.2 (3.8) 8 (3.6) a 0.143 CaO2 (ml) Pre-FC 15.4 (2.2) 15.1 (2) 0.555 Post-FC 15 (2.2) a 14.4 (1.9) a 0.171 CvO2 (ml) Pre-FC 10.8 (2.7) 9.5 (2.1) 0.009 Post-FC 9.7 (2.2) a 10.2 (2.2) a 0.285 C(a-v)O2 (ml) Pre-FC 9.7 (2.2) a 10.2 (2.2) a 0.002 CaCO2 (ml) Pre-FC 5.3 (1.2) a 4.2 (1.9) a 0.002 CaCO2 (ml) Pre-FC 51.2 (7) 48.3 (7.9) 0.034 Post-FC 52.1 (5.9) 49.8 (5.1) a 0.052 <t< td=""><td>Pre-FC</td><td>46.7 (6.1)</td><td>46.6 (5.4)</td><td>0.942</td></t<>	Pre-FC	46.7 (6.1)	46.6 (5.4)	0.942
pCO2 gap (mmHg)	Post-FC	46.5 (5.4)	44.7 (5.3) ^a	0.104
Pre-FC 8.3 (3.7) 10 (3.3) 0.020 Post-FC 9.2 (3.8) 8 (3.6) a 0.143 CaO ₂ (ml) 15.4 (2.2) 15.1 (2) 0.555 Post-FC 15.4 (2.2) 15.1 (2) 0.555 Post-FC 15 (2.2) a 14.4 (1.9) a 0.171 CvO ₂ (ml)	pCO ₂ gap (mmHg)			
Post-FC 9.2 (3.8) 8 (3.6) a 0.143 CaO ₂ (ml)	Pre-FC	8.3 (3.7)	10 (3.3)	0.020
CaO ₂ (ml) Image: constraint of the second s	Post-FC	9.2 (3.8)	8 (3.6) ^a	0.143
Pre-FC 15.4 (2.2) 15.1 (2) 0.555 Post-FC 15 (2.2) a 14.4 (1.9) a 0.171 CvO ₂ (ml) Pre-FC 10.8 (2.7) 9.5 (2.1) 0.009 Post-FC 9.7 (2.2) a 10.2 (2.2) a 0.285 C(a-v)O ₂ (ml) Pre-FC 4.5 (1.8) 5.6 (1.6) 0.003 Post-FC 5.3 (1.2) a 4.2 (1.9) a 0.002 CaCO ₂ (ml) Pre-FC 51.2 (7) 48.3 (7.9) 0.034 Post-FC 52.1 (5.9) 49.8 (5.1) a 0.052 CvCO ₂ (ml) Pre-FC 57.3 (5.8) 55.6 (5.4) 0.052 Post-FC 58.9 (6.3) 53.2 (5.9) a 0.004 C(a-v)CO ₂ content (ml) Pre-FC 5.8 (2.9-7.4) 6.8 (4.5-7.4) 0.239 Post-FC 5.3 (3.5-7.3) 2.9 (1.6-6.1) a 0.023	$\overline{\text{CaO}_2(\text{ml})}$			
Post-FC 15 (2.2) a 14.4 (1.9) a 0.171 CvO ₂ (ml)	Pre-FC	15.4 (2.2)	15.1 (2)	0.555
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Post-FC	15 (2.2) ^a	14.4 (1.9) ^a	0.171
Pre-FC $10.8 (2.7)$ $9.5 (2.1)$ 0.009 Post-FC $9.7 (2.2)^{a}$ $10.2 (2.2)^{a}$ 0.285 C(a-v)O ₂ (ml) $$	$\overline{\text{CvO}_2(\text{ml})}$			
Post-FC $9.7 (2.2)^{a}$ $10.2 (2.2)^{a}$ 0.285 C(a-v)O ₂ (ml)	Pre-FC	10.8 (2.7)	9.5 (2.1)	0.009
C(a-v)O ₂ (ml) 4.5 (1.8) 5.6 (1.6) 0.003 Pre-FC $4.5 (1.8)$ $5.6 (1.6)$ 0.003 Post-FC $5.3 (1.2)^a$ $4.2 (1.9)^a$ 0.002 CaCO ₂ (ml) Pre-FC $51.2 (7)$ $48.3 (7.9)$ 0.034 Post-FC $51.2 (7)$ $48.3 (7.9)$ 0.034 Post-FC $52.1 (5.9)$ $49.8 (5.1)^a$ 0.052 CvCO ₂ (ml) Pre-FC $57.3 (5.8)$ $55.6 (5.4)$ 0.052 Post-FC $56.9 (6.3)$ $53.2 (5.9)^a$ 0.004 C(a-v)CO ₂ content (ml) Pre-FC $5.8 (2.9-7.4)$ $6.8 (4.5-7.4)$ 0.239 Post-FC $5.3 (3.5-7.3)$ $2.9 (1.6-6.1)^a$ 0.023 PCO ₂ gap/C(a-v)O ₂ (mmHg ml ⁻¹) Pre-FC $1.93 (1.36-2.29)$ $1.89 (1.42-2.)$ 0.710 Post-FC $1.93 (1.36-2.29)$ $1.89 (1.42-2.)$ 0.710	Post-FC	9.7 (2.2) ^a	10.2 (2.2) ^a	0.285
Pre-FC4.5 (1.8)5.6 (1.6)0.003Post-FC $5.3 (1.2)^{a}$ $4.2 (1.9)^{a}$ 0.002 CaCO2 (ml) $$	$\overline{C(a-v)O_2(ml)}$			
Post-FC $5.3 (1.2)^{a}$ $4.2 (1.9)^{a}$ 0.002 CaCO ₂ (ml) <td>Pre-FC</td> <td>4.5 (1.8)</td> <td>5.6 (1.6)</td> <td>0.003</td>	Pre-FC	4.5 (1.8)	5.6 (1.6)	0.003
CaCO ₂ (ml) Image: marked state stat	Post-FC	5.3 (1.2) ^a	4.2 (1.9) ^a	0.002
Pre-FC $51.2 (7)$ $48.3 (7.9)$ 0.034 Post-FC $52.1 (5.9)$ $49.8 (5.1)^{a}$ 0.052 CvCO2 (ml) $$	CaCO ₂ (ml)			
Post-FC 52.1 (5.9) 49.8 (5.1) a 0.052 CvCO ₂ (ml) Pre-FC 57.3 (5.8) 55.6 (5.4) 0.052 Post-FC 56.9 (6.3) 53.2 (5.9) a 0.004 C(a-v)CO ₂ content (ml) Pre-FC 5.8 (2.9-7.4) 6.8 (4.5-7.4) 0.239 Post-FC 5.3 (3.5-7.3) 2.9 (1.6-6.1) a 0.023 pCO ₂ gap/C(a-v)O ₂ (mmHg ml ⁻¹) Pre-FC 1.93 (1.36-2.29) 1.89 (1.42-2.) 0.710 Post-FC 1.82 (1.39-2.21) 1.86 (1.36-2.29) a 0.863 C(a-v)CO ₂ content /C(a-v)O ₂ content ratio Pre-FC 0.98 (0.43-2.06) 1.1 (0.86-1.85) 0.625	Pre-FC	51.2 (7)	48.3 (7.9)	0.034
CvCO2 (ml) Pre-FC 57.3 (5.8) 55.6 (5.4) 0.052 Post-FC 56.9 (6.3) 53.2 (5.9) a 0.004 C(a-v)CO2 content (ml) Pre-FC 5.8 (2.9-7.4) 6.8 (4.5-7.4) 0.239 Post-FC 5.3 (3.5-7.3) 2.9 (1.6-6.1) a 0.023 pCO2 gap/C(a-v)O2 (mmHg ml ⁻¹) Pre-FC 1.93 (1.36-2.29) 1.89 (1.42-2.) 0.710 Post-FC 1.82 (1.39-2.21) 1.86 (1.36-2.29) a 0.863 C(a-v)CO2 content /C(a-v)O2 content ratio Pre-FC 0.98 (0.43-2.06) 1.1 (0.86-1.85) 0.625	Post-FC	52.1 (5.9)	49.8 (5.1) ^a	0.052
Pre-FC 57.3 (5.8) 55.6 (5.4) 0.052 Post-FC 56.9 (6.3) 53.2 (5.9) a 0.004 C(a-v)CO ₂ content (ml) Pre-FC 5.8 (2.9–7.4) 6.8 (4.5–7.4) 0.239 Post-FC 5.3 (3.5–7.3) 2.9 (1.6–6.1) a 0.023 pCO ₂ gap/C(a-v)O ₂ (mmHg ml ⁻¹) Pre-FC 1.93 (1.36–2.29) 1.89 (1.42–2.) 0.710 Post-FC 1.82 (1.39–2.21) 1.86 (1.36–2.29) a 0.863 C(a-v)CO ₂ content /C(a-v)O ₂ content ratio Pre-FC 0.98 (0.43–2.06) 1.1 (0.86–1.85) 0.625	CvCO ₂ (ml)			
Post-FC 56.9 (6.3) 53.2 (5.9) a 0.004 C(a-v)CO ₂ content (ml) Pre-FC 5.8 (2.9–7.4) 6.8 (4.5–7.4) 0.239 Post-FC 5.3 (3.5–7.3) 2.9 (1.6–6.1) a 0.0023 pCO ₂ gap/C(a-v)O ₂ (mmHg ml ⁻¹) Pre-FC 1.93 (1.36–2.29) 1.89 (1.42–2.) 0.710 Post-FC 1.82 (1.39–2.21) 1.86 (1.36–2.29) a 0.863 C(a-v)CO ₂ content /C(a-v)O ₂ content ratio Pre-FC 0.98 (0.43–2.06) 1.1 (0.86–1.85) 0.625	Pre-FC	57.3 (5.8)	55.6 (5.4)	0.052
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Post-FC	56.9 (6.3)	53.2 (5.9) ^a	0.004
Pre-FC 5.8 (2.9-7.4) 6.8 (4.5-7.4) 0.239 Post-FC 5.3 (3.5-7.3) 2.9 (1.6-6.1) a 0.023 pCO ₂ gap/C(a-v)O ₂ (mmHg ml ⁻¹)	C(a-v)CO ₂ content (ml)			
Post-FC 5.3 (3.5-7.3) 2.9 (1.6-6.1) a 0.023 pCO ₂ gap/C(a-v)O ₂ (mmHg ml ⁻¹) Pre-FC 1.93 (1.36-2.29) 1.89 (1.42-2.) 0.710 Post-FC 1.82 (1.39-2.21) 1.86 (1.36-2.29) a 0.863 C(a-v)CO ₂ content /C(a-v)O ₂ content ratio Pre-FC 0.98 (0.43-2.06) 1.1 (0.86-1.85) 0.625	Pre-FC	5.8 (2.9-7.4)	6.8 (4.5-7.4)	0.239
pCO2 gap/C(a-v)O2 (mmHg ml ⁻¹) Image: constraint of the second sec	Post-FC	5.3 (3.5-7.3)	2.9 (1.6–6.1) ^a	0.023
Pre-FC 1.93 (1.36-2.29) 1.89 (1.42-2.) 0.710 Post-FC 1.82 (1.39-2.21) 1.86 (1.36-2.29) a 0.863 C(a-v)CO ₂ content /C(a-v)O ₂ content ratio Pre-FC 0.98 (0.43-2.06) 1.1 (0.86-1.85) 0.625	pCO ₂ gap/C(a-v)O ₂ (mmHg ml ⁻¹)			
Post-FC 1.82 (1.39-2.21) 1.86 (1.36-2.29) a 0.863 C(a-v)CO ₂ content /C(a-v)O ₂ content ratio	Pre-FC	1.93 (1.36-2.29)	1.89 (1.42-2.)	0.710
C(a-v)CO2 content /C(a-v)O2 content ratio 0.98 (0.43-2.06) 1.1 (0.86-1.85) 0.625 Pre-FC 0.98 (0.43-2.06) 1.1 (0.86-1.85) 0.625	Post-FC	1.82 (1.39-2.21)	1.86 (1.36–2.29) ^a	0.863
Pre-FC 0.98 (0.43-2.06) 1.1 (0.86-1.85) 0.625	C(a-v)CO ₂ content /C(a-v)O ₂ content ratio			
	Pre-FC	0.98 (0.43-2.06)	1.1 (0.86–1.85)	0.625
Post-FC 0.96 (0.59–1.39) 0.81 (0.46–1.15) ^a 0.109	Post-FC	0.96 (0.59–1.39)	0.81 (0.46–1.15) ^a	0.109
Arterial lactates (mmol l ⁻¹)	Arterial lactates (mmol l ⁻¹)			

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

Variables	VO ₂ responders (n = 43)	VO_2 non responders (n = 49)	p value
Pre-FC	1.8 (0.9)	1.9 (0.7)	0.590
Post-FC	1.8 (0.9)	2 (0.8)	0.251

Values are expressed as the mean (SD) or the median [interquartile range]. FC, fluid challenge; VO₂, oxygen consumption

^a: *p*<0.05 within groups (pre-/post-FC).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205950.t003

lactate level was not associated with VO_2 changes. These results suggest that physician should take in account the population studied before analysing oxygen derivate parameters and predicting VO_2 dependency.

The pCO₂ gap/C(a-v)O₂ ratio and the C(a-v)CO₂ content/C(a-v)O₂ content ratio are known to be associated with anaerobic metabolism, lactate clearance, and mortality in ICU patients with sepsis [11, 12, 14]. The present study is the first to have specifically focused on postoperative patients. Our present results did not suggest that the above-mentioned ratios are of value in non-septic patients. There are several possible explanations for our findings. Most of these are probably related to the difference between the various study populations (i.e. sepsis vs cardiac surgery), which may alter the significance of and relationships between systemic parameters related to oxygen and carbon dioxide [9, 22].

In the present study, the relationship between FC and changes in arterial and venous carbon dioxide content/tension differed to that observed in patients with sepsis [6, 12, 14]. Baseline pCO₂ gap was higher after cardiac surgery in VO₂ non-responders, and decreased only in VO₂ non-responders. In the context of sepsis, pCO₂ gap is higher in VO₂ responder patients, and decreases only in VO₂ responder patients. We did not demonstrate differences in FCinduced changes in O₂-derived parameters, relative to those observed in patients with sepsis. C (a-v)O₂ decreased in VO₂ non-responders (due to an increase in CvO₂) and increased in VO₂ responders (due to a decrease in CvO₂). The physiological relationships that allow the pCO₂ gap/C(a-v)O₂ ratio and the C(a-v)CO₂ content/C(a-v)O₂ content ratio to be used as indicators of anaerobic metabolism are probably altered by the inability of pCO₂ gap to adequately reflect tissue CO₂ production and elimination [17]. Our group has already studied pCO₂ gap as a prognostic factor for the postoperative course in cardiac surgery [17]. Even though pCO₂ gap was poorly correlated with tissue perfusion parameters, we did not demonstrate an association between pCO₂ gap and outcomes.

The divergence between sepsis and post-operative situations might be due to several factors. The extent of microcirculation alterations caused by sepsis or surgery/cardiopulmonary bypass may differ [23, 24]. It has been demonstrated that sepsis is systematically associated with the disruption of microcirculatory regulation, i.e. a decrease in the functional capillary index, absent/intermittent capillary flow, increased heterogeneity in the perfusion index, arteriove-nous shunting, and cellular hypoxia [25]. Cardiac surgery with cardiopulmonary bypass is associated with a wide range of microcirculatory alterations, including a decrease in microvas-cular perfusion, increased heterogeneity in the perfusion index and red blood cell velocity, and arteriovenous shunting [23, 26]. These changes are associated with alterations in the arteriovenous oxygen difference, systemic oxygen consumption, and CO₂ and O₂ diffusion [27]. Moreover, cardiac surgery microcirculatory alterations may be induced by (amongst other factors) cardiopulmonary bypass haemodilution and temperature changes during the operative period. Haemodilution was demonstrated to alter the relationship between CO₂ pressures and CO₂

contents, which do not alter pCO_2 gap in the same way as haemorrhage [28]. It was also demonstrated that anaesthetic agents alter regional critical DO_2 and microcirculation by changing the peripheral vascular resistance [29]. When considering the above-mentioned arguments and data as a whole, the pCO_2 gap/C(a-v)O₂ ratio and the C(a-v)CO₂ content/C(a-v) O₂ content ratio do not reflect complex, inconsistent alterations in regional VO₂, DO_2 and the latter's interrelationships after cardiac surgery.

Our results confirmed those report by Fischer et al., who demonstrated that only ScvO₂ was associated with VO₂ dependency in postoperative patients after maximization of the SV by FC [30]. Nevertheless, ScvO₂ remains poorly predictive of VO₂ changes [10]. Our results and those of Fischer et al. confirm previous demonstrations of ScvO₂'s poor ability to track VO₂ changes [10]. Likewise, arterial lactate was not associated with VO₂ changes in Fischer et al.'s study and in the present study. Arterial lactate is known to be a complex variable that may be not always be associated with tissue hypoxia/hypoperfusion and anaerobic metabolism [8]. At present, no clinical parameter has demonstrated its superiority to predict VO₂ dependency. Only goal directed hemodynamic optimisation protocols have demonstrated a decrease of post-operative complications due to a maximisation of DO₂. Further research is needed to identify and describe new indicators of VO₂ dependency in non-septic patients. In this way, ventriculo-arterial coupling and mitochondrial PO₂ may be of interest [31, 32].

The present studies had several limitations. The fact that pCO_2 gap was measured in central venous blood (rather than mixed venous blood) might have underestimated CO_2 exchange from splanchnic territories. However, other studies have used central venous blood to calculate VO_2 - and CO_2 -derived parameters [14]. The observed changes in O_2 - and CO_2 -derived parameter were small and reproducible [33]. We assessed VO_2 using the Fick method, which may not be reliable in ICU patients. Nevertheless, previous studies have used the Fick method to calculate VO_2 [6, 14]. The latter results were similar to those previously demonstrated to be predictive of VO_2 changes. Lastly, we performed a single-centre study; however, our results are in line with those reported in Fischer et al.'s study [28].

Conclusions

Our present results did not demonstrate the ability of the $pCO_2 gap/C(a-v)O_2$ ratio and $C(a-v)C_2$ content/ $C(a-v)O_2$ content ratio to predict VO_2 dependency in postoperative cardiac surgery patients. The present finding demonstrated that the population studied should be consider at bedside when assessing VO_2 dependency with oxygen derivate parameters. The effect of cardiac surgery and/or cardiopulmonary bypass on the relationship between CO_2 content and CO_2 partial pressure may explain in part this finding.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Pierre-Grégoire Guinot.

Data curation: Osama Abou-Arab, Pierre Huette, Pierre-Grégoire Guinot.

Formal analysis: Emmanuel Lorne, Pierre-Grégoire Guinot.

Investigation: Osama Abou-Arab, Rayan Braik, Pierre Huette, Pierre-Grégoire Guinot.

Methodology: Pierre-Grégoire Guinot.

Project administration: Pierre-Grégoire Guinot.

Software: Pierre-Grégoire Guinot.

Supervision: Pierre-Grégoire Guinot.

Validation: Belaid Bouhemad, Pierre-Grégoire Guinot.

Visualization: Belaid Bouhemad, Pierre-Grégoire Guinot.

Writing – original draft: Osama Abou-Arab, Rayan Braik, Belaid Bouhemad, Pierre-Grégoire Guinot.

Writing - review & editing: Osama Abou-Arab, Emmanuel Lorne.

References

- Schumacker PT, Cain SM. The concept of a critical oxygen delivery. Intensive Care Med 1987; 13:223– 229 PMID: 3301969
- Vincent JL, De Backer D. Oxygen transport—the oxygen delivery controversy. Intensive Care Med 2004; 30:1990–1996 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-004-2384-4 PMID: 15258731
- Ronco JJ, Fenwick JC, Tweeddale MG, Wiggs BR, Phang PT, Cooper DJ, et al. Identification of the critical oxygen delivery for anaerobic metabolism in critically ill septic and non septic humans. JAMA 1993; 270:1724–1730 PMID: 8411504
- 4. Bakker J, Coffernils M, Leon M, Gris P, Vincent JL. Blood lactate levels are superior to oxygen derived variables in predicting outcome in human septick shock. Chest 1991; 99:856–962
- Vincent JL, De Backer D. Oxygen uptake/oxygen supply dependency: fact or fiction? Acta Anaesthesiol Scand Suppl 1995; 10:229–37
- Monnet X, Julien F, Ait-Hamou, Leguoy, Gosset C, Jozwiak M, et al. Lactate and venoarterial carbon dioxyde difference/arterial-venous oxygen difference ratio but not central venous oxygen saturation predict increase in oxygen consumption in fluid responders. Crit care med 2013; 41:1412–1420 https://doi. org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e318275cece PMID: 23442986
- 7. Guinot PG, Guilbart M, Hchikat AH, Trujillo M, Huette P, Bar S, et al. Association Between End-Tidal Carbon Dioxide Pressure and Cardiac Output During Fluid Expansion in Operative Patients Depend on the Change of Oxygen Extraction. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016; 95:e3287
- Andersen LW. Lactate Elevation During and After Major Cardiac Surgery in Adults: A Review of Etiology, Prognostic Value, and Management. Anesth Analg 2017; 125:743–752 https://doi.org/10.1213/ ANE.000000000001928 PMID: 28277327
- Komatsu T, Shibutani K, Okamoto K, Kumar V, Kubal K, Sanchala V, et al. Critical level of oxygen delivery after cardiopulmonary bypass. Crit Care Med 1987; 15:194–7 PMID: 3816250
- 10. Squara P. Central venous oxygenation: when physiology explains apparent discrepancies Critical Care 2014, 18:579
- Mesquida J, Saludes P, Gruartmoner G, Espinal C, Torrents E, Baigorri F, et al. Central venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide difference combined with arterial-to-venous oxygen content difference is associated with lactate evolution in the hemodynamic resuscitation process in early septic shock. Crit Care 2015 Mar 28; 19:126. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-015-0858-0 PMID: 25888382
- Mallat J, Pepy F, Lemyze M, Gasan G, Vangrunderbeeck N, Tronchon L, et al. Central venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide partial pressure difference in early resuscitation from septic shock: a prospective observational study. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2014; 31:371–80 <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.</u> 00000000000064 PMID: 24625464
- Ospina-Tascón GA, Umaña M, Bermúdez W, Bautista-Rincón DF, Hernandez G, Bruhn A, et al. Combination of arterial lactate levels and venous-arterial CO₂ to arterial-venous O₂ content difference ratio as markers of resuscitation in patients with septic shock. Intensive Care Med 2015; 41:796–805 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-015-3720-6</u> PMID: 25792204
- Mallat J, Lemyze M, Meddour M, Pepy F, Gasan G, Barrailler S, et al. Ratios of central venous-to-arterial carbon dioxide content or tension to arteriovenous oxygen content are better markers of global anaerobic metabolism than lactate in septic shock patients. Ann Intensive Care 2016; 6:10 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-016-0110-3 PMID: 26842697
- Osawa EA, Rhodes A, Landoni G, Galas FR, Fukushima JT, Park CH, et al. Effect of Perioperative GoalDirected Hemodynamic Resuscitation Therapy on OutcomesFollowing Cardiac Surgery: A Randomized Clinical Trial and Systematic Review. Crit Care Med 2016; 44:724–33 https://doi.org/10.1097/ CCM.000000000001479 PMID: 26646462
- De Backer D. Detailing the cardiovascular profile in shock patients. Critical care 2017; 21:311 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-017-1908-6 PMID: 29297372
- 17. Guinot PG, Badoux L, Bernard E, Abou-Arab O, Lorne E, Dupont H. Central Venous-to-Arterial Carbon Dioxide Partial Pressure Difference in Patients Undergoing Cardiac Surgery is Not Related to

Postoperative Outcomes. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2017; 31:1190–1196 https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jvca.2017.02.015 PMID: 28457779

- Morel J, Grand N, Axiotis G, Bouchet JB, Faure M, Auboyer C, et al. High veno-arterial carbon dioxide gradient is not predictive of worst outcome after an elective cardiac surgery: a retrospective cohort study. J Clin Monit Comput 2016; 30:783–789 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10877-016-9855-3</u> PMID: 26939694
- Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. Ann Intern Med 2007; 147:573–577 PMID: 17938396
- Douglas AR, Jones NL, Reed JW. Calculation of whole blood CO₂ content. J Appl Physiol 1985; 65:473–477.
- Guinot P-G, Urbina B, de Broca B, Bernard E, Dupont H, Lorne E. Predictability of the respiratory variation of stroke volume varies according to the definition of fluid responsiveness. Br J Anaesth 2014; 112:580–581 https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aeu031 PMID: 24535513
- 22. Wolf YG, Cotev S, Perel A, Manny J. Dependence of oxygen consumption on cardiac output in sepsis. Crit Care Med 1987 Mar; 15(3):198–203 PMID: <u>3816251</u>
- Kara A, Akin S, Ince C. The response of the microcirculation to cardiac surgery. Curr Opin Anesthesiol 2016, 29:85–93
- De Backer D, Cortes DO, Donadello K, Vincent JL. Pathophysiology of microcirculatory dysfunction and the pathogenesis of septic shock. Virulence 2014; 5: 73–79 https://doi.org/10.4161/viru.26482 PMID: 24067428
- 25. Edul VS, Enrico C, Laviolle B, Vazquez AR, Ince C, Dubin A. Quantitative assessment of the microcirculation in healthy volunteers and in patients with septic shock. Crit Care Med 2012; 40:1443–8 https://doi. org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31823dae59 PMID: 22430243
- Atasever B, Boer C, Goedhart P, Biervliet J, Seyffert J, Speekenbrink R, et al. Distinct alterations in sublingual microcirculatory blood flow and hemoglobin oxygenation in on-pump and off-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2011; 25:784–90 <u>https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jvca.2010.09.002</u> PMID: 21115363
- Koning NJ, Simon LE, Asfar P, Baufreton C, Boer C. Systemic microvascular shunting through hyperdynamic capillaries after acute physiological disturbances following cardiopulmonary bypass. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2014; 307:H967–75 https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00397.2014 PMID: 25063797
- Dubin A, Ferrara G, Kanoore Edul VS, Martins E, Canales HS, Canullán C, et al. Venoarterial PCO2-toarteriovenous oxygen content difference ratio is a poor surrogate for anaerobic metabolism in hemodilution: an experimental study. Ann Intensive Care 2017; 7:65 <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-017-0288-z</u> PMID: 28608134
- Van der Linden P, Gilbart E, Engelman E, Schmartz D, Vincent JL. Effects of anesthetic agents on systemic critical O₂ delivery. Journal of Applied Physiology 1991; 71:83–9 https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl. 1991.71.1.83 PMID: 1917768
- Fischer MO, Bonnet V, Lorne E, Lefrant JY, Rebet O, Courteille B, et al; French Hemodynamic Team. Assessment of macro- and micro-oxygenation parameters during fractional fluid infusion: A pilot study. J Crit Care 2017; 40:91–98 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2017.03.021 PMID: 28364680
- **31.** Harms FA, Bodmer SI, Raat NJ, Mik EG. Non-invasive monitoring of mitochondrial oxygenation and respiration in critical illness using a novel technique. Crit Care 2015 22;19:343
- Guinot PG, Longrois D, Kamel S, Lorne E, Dupont H. Ventriculo-arterial coupling analysis predicts the hemodynamic response to norepinephrine in hypotensive postoperative patients: a prospective observationnal study. Crit care med 2018; 46:e17–e25 <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.00000000002772</u> PMID: 29019850
- Mallat J, Lazkani A, Lemyze M, Pepy F, Meddour M, Gasan G, et al. Repeatability of blood gas parameters, PCO₂ gap, and PCO₂ gap to arterial-to-venous oxygen content difference in critically ill adult patients. Medicine (Baltimore) 2015; 94:e415