Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Qunqing Wang, Shandong Agricultural University, China

REVIEWED BY Cong Jiang, Northwest A&F University, China Xiao-Lin Chen, Huazhong Agricultural University, China Haifeng Zhang, Nanjing Agricultural University, China Yuemin Pan, Anhui Agricultural University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Wei Tang tangw@fafu.edu.cn Zonghua Wang wangzh@fafu.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Microbe and Virus Interactions with Plants, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 29 March 2022 ACCEPTED 11 July 2022 PUBLISHED 04 August 2022

CITATION

Zhang J, Chen X, Yang Z, Xu H, Weng S, Wang Z and Tang W (2022) Endoplasmic reticulum membrane protein MoScs2 is important for asexual development and pathogenesis of *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:906784. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.906784

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zhang, Chen, Yang, Xu, Weng, Wang and Tang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Endoplasmic reticulum membrane protein MoScs2 is important for asexual development and pathogenesis of *Magnaporthe oryzae*

Jun Zhang^{1†}, Xuehang Chen^{1†}, Zifeng Yang¹, Huxiao Xu¹, Shuning Weng¹, Zonghua Wang^{1,2*} and Wei Tang^{1,2,3*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Ecological Pest Control for Fujian and Taiwan Crops, Ministerial and Provincial Joint Innovation Centre for Safety Production of Cross-Strait Crops, College of Plant Protection, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China, ²Institute of Oceanography, Minjiang University, Fuzhou, China, ³Fujian Key Laboratory for Monitoring and Integrated Management of Crop Pests, Fuzhou, China

Most secretory proteins are folded and modified in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). In Saccharomyces cerevisiae, the absence of Scs2 protein will lead to the separation of the endoplasmic reticulum and plasma membrane, resulting in endoplasmic reticulum dysfunction, but its function is not clear in rice blast fungus or even filamentous fungus. In this study, we report the identification and characterization of MoSCS2 in the pathogenesis of the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae. Protein subcellular localization showed that MoSCS2 is mainly localized in the endoplasmic reticulum. Compared to the wild-type strain Guy11, the deletion mutant $\Delta Moscs2$ showed a significant reduction in growth and conidiation. MoSCS2 deficiency also resulted in abnormal conidial morphology and septum formation. The $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant shows delayed appressorium formation, and the appressorium of $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant could not form huge turgor pressure to penetrate the host epidermal cell wall. Pathogenicity and plant leave infection assays showed that knockout of MoSCS2 significantly inhibited the expansion of the invasive hyphae in host cells, ultimately leading to the decline of pathogenicity. Moreover, MoSCS2 gene is also involved in the regulation of cell wall and endoplasmic reticulum stress response. In conclusion, MoSCS2 plays an important role in the growth, asexual production, conidia morphogenesis, infection-related morphogenesis and pathogenicity of M. oryzae.

KEYWORDS

Magnaporthe oryzae, ER-PM, tail-anchored protein, pathogenesis, asexual development

Introduction

Magnaporthe oryzae, an intriguing ascomycete fungal pathogen causes the most devastating rice blast disease (Talbot, 2003). It spreads rapidly between hosts by wind and rain and impacts rice production worldwide, especially in the changing climate (Pringle and Taylor, 2002). It has become a model organism for studying the interaction between

pathogens on plants and the plants they infect (Ebbole, 2007; Dean et al., 2012). The fungus produces pyriform conidia, which is important for fungal pathogenesis, partially because conidia are the primary inoculums and facilitate disease dissemination in the host (Howard et al., 1991). Conidia are produced by the apex of the conidiophores where two round mitotic divisions and the formation of two septa. Finally, the conidia form a three-celled structure by nuclear migration and positioning. During the appropriate environment condition, germination and differentiation of the conidium result in a specialized infection structure on the hydrophobic surface, appressorium (Dean, 1997). Interestingly, the appressorium can generate an enormous turgor pressure to mechanically penetrate plant tissues (Oses-Ruiz et al., 2017). Subsequently, invasive hyphae (IH) are produced by the penetration peg that can rapidly penetrate the cuticle and underlying plant cells (Yi and Valent, 2013). After colonization for 1 week, a large number of infection diseases appear on the leaf surface, where conidia are produced and enter new invasive breeding (Howard and Valent, 1996). To promote infection, M. oryzae secretes a large number of effector proteins during ricepathogen interaction to inhibit plant defense response (Fernandez and Orth, 2018). There are two different secretory pathways of effector proteins during infection. Secretion of apoplastic effectors takes place within the traditional ER-Golgi secretory pathway, in contrast, the exocyst complex is responsible for delivering cytoplasmic effectors (Giraldo et al., 2013). In eukaryotic cells, proteins secreted by ribosomes are processed and modified within the ER (Liu et al., 2014). Upon reaching the Golgi apparatus, mature proteins are packed into secretory vesicles, then they can release their contents into the extracellular space by fusion with the cytoplasmic membrane (Cui et al., 2015). As is known to us the ER contacts numerous organelles. There is a special connection between the ER and the plasma membrane (PM), which is called ER-PM (Stefan et al., 2013). The ER-PM junction plays an important role in many physiological processes, such as lipid metabolism and control of calcium (Ca2+) dynamics (Spang, 2018). In yeast cells, the cortical ER (cER) is extensively associated with PM, and this association requires several tethering proteins that staple them together (West et al., 2011).

There are at least six proteins that act as tethers contribute to ER–PM contact sites: two VAMP-associated proteins (Ssc2/22), three extended synaptotagmins (*Tcb1/2/3*), and the putative ion channel (*Ist2*; Loewen and Levine, 2005; Stefan et al., 2011; Manford et al., 2012). Ist2 is related to the TMEM16anoctamin family of ion channels and phospholipid scramblases, the deletion of *Ist2* results in a significantly lower proportion of cER structures, while the overexpression of *Ist2* results in the increase of ER-PM contact sites (Kunzelmann et al., 2016). Scs2 and Scs22 are homologs of the yeast vesicleassociated membrane protein (VAMP)-associated protein (VAP; Kato et al., 2017). They were anchored in the ER through the C-terminal (transmembrane domain) and contained the MSP (Major SPERM Protein) domain at the N-terminal (Quon et al., 2018). The MSP domain of Scs2/22 can bind to plasma membrane proteins containing FFAT (two phenylalanines in an acidic tract) or FFAT-like motifs (Loewen and Levine, 2005; Manford et al., 2012), In yeast cells, Scs2/22 are associated with cER inheritance, deletion of Scs2/22 will cause a loss of cER associated with the PM (Loewen et al., 2007). Scs2/22 also has a PH domain that interacts with phosphoinositides at the PM (Kaiser et al., 2005). At the ER-PM contact sites, Scs2 interacts with the oxysterol-binding homology protein Osh3 to activate Sac1 which is located in ER. The interaction between Scs2 and Septin Shs1 can form an ER diffusion barrier to prevent intact ER proteins from diffusing between the ERs of the mother and the daughter cells (Luedeke et al., 2005; Chao et al., 2014). Tcb1/2/3 bind to the PM by means of lipid-binding C2 domains, while TCB1/2/3 also has an SMP domain, which participates in the exchange of phospholipids and diglycerol esters between the PM and ER (Giordano et al., 2013). Tcb1/2/3 was homologous to the extended synaptotagmin-like proteins E-syt1/2/3 (Min et al., 2007). The absence of all six proteins results in a massive reduction in ER-PM contacts and morphological changes in ER (Manford et al., 2012). Meanwhile, misregulation of phosphoinositol signaling at PM occurs in cells lacking the ER-PM adaptor protein (Zhang et al., 2012), and the activation of unfolded protein response is constitutive in the ER (Luedeke et al., 2005). In conclusion, ER-PM contact sites play an important role in cell signal transduction organelle morphology and endoplasmic reticulum function.

Previous studies have demonstrated that MCS (Membrane Contact Sites) between ER and PM play an important role in eukaryotic cells, and this connection is critical for the transport of substances such as protein lipids and ions between ER and PM (Mentlak et al., 2012), it can provide a platform for material exchange and signal transduction between organelles (Stefan et al., 2013). The cER and PM make extensive contacts, and the ER-localized PI phosphatase Sac1 reversely regulated the level of phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate (PI4P; Stefan et al., 2011). It is observed in yeast cells that Scs2/ Scs22 contribute to the ER-PM junction and Sac1-mediated PI4P turnover (Loewen et al., 2007; Stefan et al., 2011).

In this study, we identified Scs2 orthologous protein in *M. oryzae*. To investigate the importance of Scs2 in *M. oryzae*, we analyzed the functions of Scs2 in the different developmental stages. Based on our studies, we demonstrate that Scs2 is located in the ER and plays a crucial role in vegetative growth, asexual reproduction infection-related morphogenesis and pathogenicity of *M. oryzae*.

Materials and methods

Strains and culture conditions

The *M. oryzae* strain Guy11 was used as WT for transformation in this study. All strains were cultured on CM agar plates (CM: 10 g D-glucose, 2 g peptone, 1 g yeast extract, 1 g casamino acids, 50 ml $20 \times$ nitrate salts, 1 ml trace elements, 1 ml vitamin solution, 15 g agar, add distilled water to 1 l) at 28°C (Talbot et al., 1993). Liquid CM medium was used to harvest the mycelia for protoplast preparation, genomic DNA, RNA and protein extraction.

The protoplast-mediated transformation of *M. oryzae* was performed for gene deletion and complementation assays by using hygromycin B and bleomycin as a selective marker as described (Sweigard et al., 1992). Hygromycin B (250 mg/ml, Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA) or bleomycin (200 mg/ml, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was used for transformant selection on TB3 medium (3 g of yeast extract, 3 g of casamino acids, 200 g of sucrose, 7.5 g of agar in 11 of distilled water).

Targeted gene deletion and complementation

The gene-deletion mutants were generated using the standard one-step gene replacement strategy as described (Tang et al., 2015; Pan et al., 2019). Two 1.0kb of sequences flanking the targeted gene was PCR amplified from M. oryzae genomic DNA using the primer pairs (Supplementary Table S1) respectively; the hygromycin phosphotransferase (hph) cassette were PCR amplified from pCX62 using the primer pair HYG F(F)/HYG R(R; Supplementary Table S1). The double-joint PCR approach was used to generate the gene replacement construct for the MoSCS2 gene which contained the flanking sequences and hph cassette for each gene were transformed into protoplasts of the WT Guy11 (Yu et al., 2004). Putative mutants were firstly screened by PCR and further confirmed by Southern blotting analysis (Supplementary Figure S1; Supplementary Table S1). One mutant strain for either gene disruption ($\Delta Moscs2#3$) was randomly selected for further analysis (Supplementary Figures S1C,D). The complement fragments, which contain the entire MoSCS2 gene and the native promoter regions, were amplified by PCR with primers (Supplementary Table S1) and inserted into pYF11 (Bleomycin resistance) to generate MoSCS2-GFP or MoSCS2^{ΔTMD}-GFP fusion constructs which were used to complement the respective mutant strains. Transformants expressing the constructs were identified by PCR and confirmed by fluorescent microscopy.

Assays for vegetative growth, conidiation, and appressorium formation

Small agar blocks were cut from the edge of 4-day-old cultures and placed onto fresh media (CM and SDC) for culturing in the dark at 28°C for 7 days, and colony diameter was measured by ruler before photographing. To assay for defects in ER stress responses, the growth rate was measured with cultures grown on CM with 2 mM DTT or $0.2 \mu g/ml$ TM. For conidiation, strain blocks were maintained on straw decoction and corn (SDC: 100 g of straw, 40 g of corn powder, 15 g of agar in 11 of distilled water) agar media at 28°C for 7 days in the dark followed by 3 days continuous illumination

under fluorescent light. Calcofluor White (CFW) staining was performed by using fluorescent brightener 28 (10 µg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich) for the microscopy of conidia and viewed under the fluorescence microscope. Appressorium formation on artificial hydrophobic surface and infection on barley epidermal cells were measured as described previously (Tang et al., 2019). For appressorium induction on rice leaves, conidial suspension (5×10^4 spores per milliliter) was dropped on rice leaves and directly observed under microscopy.

Pathogenicity and plant leave infection assays

Plant infection and injection assays were performed as described (Zhang and Xu, 2014). Conidia were resuspended to a concentration of 5×10^4 spores per milliliter in 5 ml of 0.2% (w/v) gelatine solution. For detached barley leaves inoculation, 5-dayold barley (Hordeum vulgare cv. Golden Promise) leaves were cut and laid into 15 cm dishes under humid conditions, and 20 µl of the conidial suspension was placed onto each inoculation site of barley leaves followed by incubation at 28°C for 5 days and photographed. For spray inoculation on rice, a conidial suspension was sprayed onto 2-week-old seedlings of rice (O. sativa cv. CO39). For injected inoculation, conidial suspension was injected into the rice sheath by using 1 ml syringe. Inoculated plants were kept in a growth chamber at 25°C with 90% humidity and in the dark for the first 24h, followed by a 12-h/12-h light/dark cycle for 7 days to examine the lesion formation. Host-derived ROS was detected by staining with DAB (3,3-diaminobenzidine, D-8001, Sigma-Aldrich, United States) as described (Wang et al., 2019). For microscopic observation of hyphal expansion in plant cells, the same concentration of conidial suspension was injected into the detached rice sheaths followed by incubation at 28°C for 36 h, and rice sheaths were observed and photographed under a light microscope (Tang et al., 2019).

Protein subcellular localization

A Nikon A1 plus confocal microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) was used to observe fluorescent light of transformants expressing MoScs2-GFP or MoScs2^{Δ TMD}-GFP. The emission wavelength and excitation wavelength is 525.0 nm and 488.0 nm for GFP fluorescence.

Stress response

Mycelia plugs were placed onto CM agar plates with 2 mM DTT, $0.2 \mu \text{g/ml}$ TM, $400 \mu \text{g/ml}$ CFW, $400 \mu \text{g/ml}$ CR, 0.005% SDS and cultured in the dark at 28° C for 7 days to determine their effects on fungal growth. The inhibition rate was determined by

the percent decrease in the colony diameter. The experiment was repeated three times with three replicates each time.

Results

Identification and characterization of MoSCS2 in *Magnaporthe oryzae*

Scs2 orthologs are well conserved in fungi. We performed a BLASTP search of the *M. oryzae* genome¹ using the sequence of Scs2/Scs22 from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as a query and named *MoSCS2* (MGG_06183). *MoSCS2* is predicted to encode a 285-amino-acid (aa) protein, respectively. Domain prediction reveals that MoSCS2 possesses a motile sperm domain (108 aa) at the N-terminus and a transmembrane domain (TMD; 20 aa) at the C-terminus (Supplementary Figure S1A).

Targeted gene deletion and complementation of MoSCS2

To test the function of *MoSCS2*, we generated a construct for gene replacement and transformed into the wild-type (WT) Guy11. At least five independent *MoSCS2* deletion mutants with identical phenotypes were verified by PCR and confirmed by Southern blot analysis using gene-specific primers and probes (Supplementary Figure S1; Supplementary Table S1). Therefore, one mutant strain ($\Delta Moscs2#3$) was randomly selected for further analysis (Supplementary Figures S1C,D). To ascertain that the observed phenotypes of the gene disruption mutants were due to the deletion of the *MoSCS2* gene, the complementation of transformants was generated by transforming the native promoterdriven MoScs2-GFP fusion constructs into the corresponding mutant. The complemented strains with GFP signals were achieved and recovered all the phenotypic defects. One of which was randomly selected for further study.

MoScs2 is an ER-localized protein in *Magnaporthe oryzae*

To further clarify the localization characteristics of *MoSCS2* in different growth and development stages of *M. oryzae*, we constructed the GFP fusion protein expression vector of *MoSCS2* (pYF11::*MoSCS2*). We then co-expressed the ER-targeted MoLhs1-RFP in the fluorescent strain expressing MoScs2-GFP strain. Co-localization between MoScs2-GFP and MoLhs1-RFP supported our assumption that *MoSCS2* can be expressed in vegetative mycelia, conidia, appressorium, and infection mycelia (Figures 1A,B). These results suggested that MoScs2 was localized in the ER during each growth and development stage of *M. oryzae*.

MoSCS2 is important for hyphae growth, conidiation and conidia morphology

To investigate the role of MoScs2 in vegetative growth, analyses were carried out in *M. oryzae* to determine the effects of disrupting *MoSCS2* on growth and morphology, and the growth phenotype was compared with WT and its corresponding complemented strains. After incubation at 28°C for 7 days, a recognizable change in colony morphology was observed in $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant colonies. As compared to the WT, the $\Delta Moscs2$ developed significantly slower, and a reduced number of aerial hyphae was evident (Figure 2). These results indicated that *MoSCS2* has an important role in vegetative hyphal growth.

To investigate the role of *MoSCS2* in asexual development, quantifying conidium production of the corresponding strains on SDC media was carried out as well. Compared with the WT and $\Delta Moscs2/MoSCS2$, the $\Delta Moscs2#3$ produced considerably fewer conidia and reduced approximately 60% in conidiation (Figures 3A,B).

In addition, part of the conidia produced by the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant showed aberrant morphology (Figure 3C). The conidial length of the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant was significantly shorter (Figure 3D), further examination by CFW staining showed that over 90% of conidia of the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant showed abnormal morphology which had only one or no septum, while the conidia of the WT were pyriform and 85% possessed two septa (Figures 3C,D). These results indicated that the loss of MosCS2affects conidiogenesis and conidial morphology.



FIGURE 1

Subcellular localization of MoScs2 at different developmental stages of *M. oryzae*. (A) Hyphae (HY) co-localization of MoScs2-GFP together with the MoLhs1-RFP endoplasmic reticulum marker. (B) Conidia (CO), appressorium (AP) and invasive hyphae (IH) co-localization of MoScs2-GFP together with the MoLhs1-RFP endoplasmic reticulum marker. Localization of MoScs2-GFP were examined by Nikon laser confocal. Scale bar=10 µm. DIC, Differential interference contrast.

¹ http://fungidb.org/fungidb/

Deletion of *MoSCS2* impaired the pathogenicity of *Magnaporthe oryzae*

To further test the role of *MoSCS2* in pathogenesis, conidial suspensions $(5 \times 10^4 \text{ spores/ml})$ from the wild-type strain Guy11, the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant and complemented strain were sprayed onto susceptible rice seedlings of CO-39. It was found that the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant produced significantly fewer lesions than wild-type strains after 7 days of inoculation. Additionally, the lesions produced by $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant were also smaller and less expansive, in contrast to the fully expanded necrotic lesions produced by wild-type strain Guy11 and the complemented strain (Figure 4A). We obtained similar results from infection assays with seedlings of barley or by using the injection inoculation on rice (Figures 4B,C). The results demonstrate that MosCS2 plays a vital role in pathogenicity.



diameter of WT, $\Delta Moscs2$, and the complemented strain cultured on CM, SDC, and MM. Photographs were taken after 7days of incubation. **(B)** Statistical representation of average growth characteristics of the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant compared to Guy11 and the complemented strain. Data used for statistical computation were obtained from three independent biological experiments with each time four replicates each time. Experiments were repeated with similar results. Asterisks represent a significant difference between Guy11 and the $\Delta Moscs2$ strain (Duncan's new multiple range test, *p*<0.01).

A reduction in pathogenicity in $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant was attributed to defects in penetration and invasive hyphae growth

We inoculated rice leaf sheath and barley epidermal cells with the conidial suspensions. Following 36h of incubation with rice leaf sheaths containing spore suspensions, invasive hyphae formed freely and expanded into neighboring cells in the wild type and the complementation strains. By contrast, the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant showed restricted invasive hyphal growth, which was confined to the first plant cells (Figure 4D).

Furthermore, a similar result was observed when inoculations were done on barley epidermal cells. After incubation with spore suspensions for 36 h, compared with the WT and complemented strains, fewer invasive hyphae were observed in the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant, and the branches are significantly reduced. We classify the invasive hyphae into 4 types (type 1, no penetration; type 2, with penetration peg; type 3, with a single invasive hypha; type 4, with extensive hyphal growth). In WT and the complemented strains, approximately 80% of cells displayed type 4, 15% showed type 3 and 5% showed type 2. Nonetheless, less than 20% of cells showed type 4 and type 3, and 80% showed type 1 and type 2 in the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant (Figure 4E). Taken together, these results suggest that MoSCS2 is required for appressorial penetration and invasive hyphal growth. Moreso, these data suggest that the limited invasive hyphal extension of the mutant may be the cause of a significant reduction in pathogenicity.

MoSCS2 is involved in the regulation of appressorium development, turgor pressure and host-produced reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulation

To further investigate whether the compromised pathogenicity of the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant was related to the defects in appressorium development, spores of WT, the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant and the complemented strains were inoculated onto artificial hydrophobic surfaces. After inoculation for 8 h, compared with the WT and complemented strains, the majority of the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant produced unmelanized appressoria. The appressorium formation of the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant appeared to be delayed, and the conidia germination rate was lower than that of the WT. However, the appressorium formation and maturation were similar in all the strains by 24 h (Figure 5A). These results indicated that deletion of MosCS2 gene delayed appressorium development, but did not affect the appressorium formation.

The huge appressorium turgor pressure is essential for M. oryzae to penetrate the plant epidermal cell and causes disease. To further clarify whether the deletion of MoSCS2 gene affects the turgor pressure in appressorium and leads to attenuation of pathogenicity, we tested the appressorium turgor pressure by cytolysis assays. We treated appressoria with 1 M, 2 M, 3 M, and 4 M glycerol, respectively. Our results



showed that the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant displayed a higher collapsed rate than the WT and complemented strains. Moreover, the collapse rate of appressorium in the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant was twice that of the others at 1 M and 2 M glycerol (Figure 5B), indicating MoScs2 is important for normal turgor of appressorium. Moreover, 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining assays of the penetrated plant cells showed the accumulation of ROS at the infection site of the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant, but not the wild type and complemented strains (Figure 5C). These results indicated that deletion of MoSCS2affects appressorium development, turgor pressure and hostproduced ROS accumulation.

MoScs2 contribute to ER morphology

We further determined whether the deletion of *MoSCS2* contributes to ER morphology by using Lhs1-RFP transgenic

strains. Lhs1 is an endoplasmic reticulum protein, a RFP-labeled LHS1 was expressed in both WT and the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant to monitor ER morphology. Compared with the WT, the ER morphology of about 56±3.9% vegetative mycelium and 63±3.6% conidia of the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant was abnormal (Figures 6A,B), with a majority of the ER appearing to be invaginated or diffuse. This result indicated that the deletion of *MoSCS2* gene would affect the ER morphology.

MoScs2 is involved in the regulation of ER stress response

Dithiothreitol (DTT) and tunicamycin (TM) are typical inducers that disturb ER homeostasis and induce ER stress. To investigate whether MoScs2 is important for the ER stress response, we test the sensitivity of the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant strains towards DTT and TM. When exposed to 2.0 mM DTT, the



 $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant exhibited remarkably heightened sensitivity to DTT (Figure 7A). The deletion of both *MoSCS2* caused severe inhibitory hyphal growth (42% inhibition rate). Similarly, when exposed to 0.2 µg/ml TM, the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant heightened significantly sensitivity compared with the WT and the complemented strain with a 50% inhibition rate (Figure 7B). These data suggest that *MoSCS2* is involved in the regulation of ER stress response.

MoScs2 is involved in the regulation of cell wall stress responses

To investigate the contribution of *MoSCS2* genes in cell wall stress responses, the vegetative growth of all strains was monitored and measured on CM medium with the addition of cell wall stressors Calcofluor white (CFW), Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate (SDS), and Congo Red (CR). Following 7 days post-inoculation, our results indicated that the inhibition rate of the three cell wall stressors against the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant was lower than that of the



FIGURE 5

Effects of MoScs2 on appressorium development and turgor pressure of *M. oryzae.* (A) Appressorium formation were tested on artificial hydrophobic surface of the indicated strains at different time-points. (B) Collapsed appressoria were observed in mutant strain. For each glycerol concentration, at least 60 appressoria were observed and the numbers of collapsed appressoria were counted. Error bars represent SD of three replicates and asterisks represent a significant difference between Guy11 and the $\Delta Moscs2$ strain (Duncan's new multiple range test, p<0.01). (C) DAB-staining of the indicated strains-infected barley epidermis cells. Percentage of DAB-stained barley epidermis cells infected by the strains were shown at the bottom of the micrograph. Scale bar=10 μ m.



FIGURE 6

MoScs2 is involved in the regulation of ER morphology. ER marker protein MoLhs1-RFP was introduced into the indicated strain and hyphae (A) and conidia (B) of the resulting transformants were observed under an epifluorescence microscope. Scale bar=10 μ m.

WT and the complemented strain (Figures 8A,B). The above results indicated that MoScs2 is involved in the regulation of cell wall stress responses of *M. oryzae*.

Functional characterization of MoScs2 transmembrane domain

To clarify the function of a transmembrane domain (TMD) of *MoSCS2* during vegetative growth, domain deletion constructs were fused with GFP to generate the MoScs2^{Δ TMD}-GFP (loss of the transmembrane domain) which were transformed into the Δ *Moscs2* mutant. The resulting transformants (Δ *Moscs2*/*MoSCS2*^{Δ TMD}) were evaluated for GFP signals and analyzed. The wild-type (Guy11), Δ *Moscs2* mutant, and Δ *Moscs2*/*MoSCS2*^{Δ TMD} strains were inoculated on CM, MM, and



SDC media. After 7 days, compared with the wild-type strain, the $\Delta Moscs2/MoSCS2^{\Delta TMD}$ showed a reduced hyphal growth rate similar to that of the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant (Figures 9A,B). We also observed the localization of the transmembrane domain deletion transformant. Compared with the MoScs2-GFP strain, the GFP signal of the $\Delta Moscs2/MoSCS2^{\Delta TMD}$ mutant was diffused in the conidia. We further test the pathogenicity of these mutants on rice and found that the $\Delta Moscs2/MoSCS2^{\Delta TMD}$ mutants displayed pathogenic defects similar to that of the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant (Figure 9D). The above results indicate that the TMD of MoSCS2 is pivotal for the growth, pathogenicity and subcellular localization in *M. oryzae* (Figure 9C).

Discussion

In *S. cerevisiae*, the absence of Scs2 protein will lead to the separation of ER and plasma membrane, resulting in the ER dysfunction, but its function remains unkonwn in rice blast fungus or even filamentous fungus. In this study, we focused on the characteristics of *MoSCS2* in *M. oryzae* and its effect on the vegetative growth, asexual reproduction, and pathogenicity of *M. oryzae*. To elucidate the function of *MoSCS2* in *M. oryzae*, *MoSCS2* gene was deleted by homologous recombination, and the morphological characterization and pathogenicity of wild-type strain Guy11, *MoSCS2* deletion mutant and its complementary transformant were analyzed.

In yeast cells, Scs2/Scs22 localizes to both the nuclear and cytoplasmic ER structures in addition to the cER (Loewen et al., 2007). After the deletion of Scs2/Scs22, the cER was significantly reduced and PI4P accumulation level was higher (Manford et al., 2012). What we found in *M. oryzae* is that MoScs2 was localized in the ER. And compared to wild-type strain Guy11, the growth rate of $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant is significantly slower, and the asexual



(A)Effects of MoScs2 on cell wall integrity of *M. oryzae*. Growth of Guy11, the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant and complemented strains in CM media supplemented with CFW, CR or SDS. (B) Colony diameters were determined in each independent biological experiment after 7days. Measurements of growth inhibition rate are relative to the growth rate of each untreated control. Error bars represent SD of three replicates and asterisks represent a significant difference between Guy11 and the $\Delta Moscs2$ strain (Duncan's new multiple range test, *p*<0.01).



strains. Diseased leaves were photographed 7days after inoculation.

reproduction ability of the mutant is significantly reduced. Further experiments showed that the deletion of *MoSCS2* also affected the septum formation of the conidia, and delayed the appressorium development. Plant leaves infection assays showed that knockout of *MoSCS2* significantly inhibited the expansion of the invasive hyphae in cells. This result may reflect the important role of MoScs2 during invasive growth. Moreover, the appressorium of $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant could not form huge turgor pressure to penetrate the host epidermal cell wall, and invasive hyphal growth was limited in host cells, probably ultimately leading to the pathogenic defects.

ER is known to be the main intracellular organelle responsible for protein synthesis and secretion. Based on the phenotype of

 $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant, we speculated that the impaired ER morphology of the mutant may lead to ER dysfunction. To test this hypothesis, we treated $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant with DTT and TM which could disturb ER homeostasis and induce ER stress, respectively. Then we found that the mutant was more sensitive to the ER pressure inducers. The above results all confirmed that the ER homeostasis was compromised in the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant. In addition, there is evidence that ROS are critical components during the hostpathogen interaction, and play key roles in rice against *M. oryzae* (Kou et al., 2019). According to our research, DAB staining assays of the penetrated plant cells showed the accumulation of ROS at the infection site of the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant. This result suggests that *MOSCS2* is involved in regulating host-driven ROS scavenging

10.3389/fmicb.2022.906784

during infection. The rice blast fungus secretes a large number of effectors into rice cells to suppress plant immunity. We speculate that the ER dysfunction in the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant may lead to a defective secretory efficiency and then disturb the effector secretion to suppress immunity. It would be interesting to determine the secretion of known effector proteins in the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant in future research.

Scs2 contains a single transmembrane domain (TMD) and a cytoplasmic MSP domain (Manford et al., 2012). Moreover, the mutant Scs2 protein lacking its TMD localized in the nucleus rather than the ER (Manford et al., 2012). According to our results, the pathogenicity of the $\Delta Moscs2$ mutant reduced after the function of the ER was impaired. To clarify the cause, we knock out the TMD of MoSCS2 in *M. oryzae*. Based on the phenotype analysis we found that the $\Delta Moscs2/MoSCS2^{\Delta TMD}$ mutant was unable to restore the defective phenotype and the protein localization. These results suggest that the TMD domain plays an important role in the function of MoSCS2.

In conclusion, our study illustrates that MoScs2 plays an important role in the growth, asexual development, conidia morphogenesis, appressorium formation and pathogenicity of *M. oryzae*, but its specific pathogenic mechanism needs further study.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

ZW and WT designed this study. JZ, XC, ZY, HX, and SW performed experiments and analyzed all data. JZ, WT, and ZW

References

Chao, J. T., Wong, A. K., Tavassoli, S., Young, B. P., Chruscicki, A., Fang, N. N., et al. (2014). Polarization of the endoplasmic reticulum by ER-septin tethering. *Cell* 158, 620–632. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.06.033

Cui, H. T., Tsuda, K., and Parker, J. E. (2015). Effector-triggered immunity: From pathogen perception to robust defense. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 66, 487–511. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-050213-040012

Dean, R. A. (1997). Signal pathways and appressorium morphogenesis. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 35, 211–234. doi: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.35.1.211

Dean, R., Van Kan, J. A. L., Pretorius, Z. A., Hammond-Kosack, K. E., Di Pietro, A., Spanu, P. D., et al. (2012). The top 10 fungal pathogens in molecular plant pathology. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 13:804. doi: 10.1111/j.1364-3703.2012.00822.x

Ebbole, D. J. (2007). *Magnaporthe* as a model for understanding host-pathogen interactions. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 45, 437–456. doi: 10.1146/annurev. phyto.45.062806.094346

Fernandez, J., and Orth, K. (2018). Rise of a cereal killer: The biology of *Magnaporthe oryzae* biotrophic growth. *Trends Microbiol.* 26, 582–597. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2017.12.007

Giordano, F., Saheki, Y., Idevall-Hagren, O., Colombo, S. F., Pirruccello, M., Milosevic, I., et al. (2013). PI(4,5)P(2)-dependent and Ca(2+)-regulated ER-PM

wrote the initial manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. U1805232), the Special Fund Project for Science and Technology Innovation of Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University (No. CXZX2020014A), and the Program of Fujian Key Laboratory for Monitoring and Integrated Management of Crop Pests, Fuzhou 350013, China (No. MIMCP-202101).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.906784/ full#supplementary-material

interactions mediated by the extended synaptotagmins. *Cell* 153, 1494–1509. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2013.05.026

Giraldo, M. C., Dagdas, Y. F., Gupta, Y. K., Mentlak, T. A., Yi, M., Martinez-Rocha, A. L., et al. (2013). Two distinct secretion systems facilitate tissue invasion by the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Nat. Commun.* 4:1996. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2996

Howard, R. J., Ferrari, M. A., Roach, D. H., and Money, N. P. (1991). Penetration of hard substrates by a fungus employing enormous turgor pressures. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 88, 11281–11284. doi: 10.1073/pnas.88.24.11281

Howard, R. J., and Valent, B. (1996). Breaking and entering: host penetration by the fungal rice blast pathogen *Magnaporthe grisea*. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 50, 491–512. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.50.1.491

Kaiser, S. E., Brickner, J. H., Reilein, A. R., Fenn, T. D., Walter, P., and Brunger, A. T. (2005). Structural basis of FFAT motif-mediated ER targeting. *Structure* 13, 1035–1045. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2005.04.010

Kato, T., Kubo, A., Nagayama, T., Kume, S., Tanaka, C., Nakayama, Y., et al. (2017). Genetic analysis of the regulation of the voltage-gated calcium channel homolog Cch1 by the gamma subunit homolog Ecm7 and cortical ER protein Scs2 in yeast. *PLoS One* 12:e0181436. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0181436 Kou, Y., Qiu, J., and Tao, Z. (2019). Every coin has two sides: reactive oxygen species during Rice(–) *Magnaporthe oryzae* interaction. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20:1191. doi: 10.3390/ijms20051191

Kunzelmann, K., Cabrita, I., Wanitchakool, P., Ousingsawat, J., Sirianant, L., Benedetto, R., et al. (2016). Modulating Ca(2)(+) signals: a common theme for TMEM16, Ist2, and TMC. *Pflugers Arch.* 468, 475–490. doi: 10.1007/s00424-015-1767-4

Liu, Y., Soetandyo, N., Lee, J. G., Liu, L., Xu, Y., Clemons, W. M. Jr., et al. (2014). USP13 antagonizes gp78 to maintain functionality of a chaperone in ER-associated degradation. *elife* 3:e01369. doi: 10.7554/eLife.01369

Loewen, C. J. R., and Levine, T. P. (2005). A highly conserved binding site in vesicle-associated membrane protein-associated protein (VAP) for the FFAT motif of lipid-binding proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 14097–14104. doi: 10.1074/jbc. M500147200

Loewen, C. J. R., Young, B. P., Tavassoli, S., and Levine, T. P. (2007). Inheritance of cortical ER in yeast is required for normal septin organization. *J. Cell Biol.* 179, 467–483. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200708205

Luedeke, C., Frei, S. B., Sbalzarini, I., Schwarz, H., Spang, A., and Barral, Y. (2005). Septin-dependent compartmentalization of the endoplasmic reticulum during yeast polarized growth. *J. Cell Biol.* 169, 897–908. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200412143

Manford, A. G., Stefan, C. J., Yuan, H. L., Macgurn, J. A., and Emr, S. D. (2012). ER-to-plasma membrane tethering proteins regulate cell signaling and ER morphology. *Dev. Cell* 23, 1129–1140. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2012.11.004

Mentlak, T. A., Kombrink, A., Shinya, T., Ryder, L. S., Otomo, I., Saitoh, H., et al. (2012). Effector-mediated suppression of chitin-triggered immunity by *magnaporthe oryzae* is necessary for rice blast disease. *Plant Cell* 24, 322–335. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.092957

Min, S. W., Chang, W. P., and Sudhof, T. C. (2007). E-Syts, a family of membranous Ca2+-sensor proteins with multiple C2 domains. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 3823–3828. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0611725104

Oses-Ruiz, M., Sakulkoo, W., Littlejohn, G. R., Martin-Urdiroz, M., and Talbot, N. J. (2017). Two independent S-phase checkpoints regulate appressoriummediated plant infection by the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Proceed*. *National Acad. Sci.* 114.2 114, E237–e244. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1611307114

Pan, Y., Pan, R., Tan, L., Zhang, Z., and Guo, M. (2019). Pleiotropic roles of O-mannosyltransferase MoPmt4 in development and pathogenicity of *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Curr. Genet.* 65, 223–239. doi: 10.1007/s00294-018-0864-2

Pringle, A., and Taylor, J. (2002). The fitness of filamentous fungi. Trends Microbiol. 10, 474-481. doi: 10.1016/S0966-842X(02)02447-2

Quon, E., Sere, Y. Y., Chauhan, N., Johansen, J., Sullivan, D. P., Dittman, J. S., et al. (2018). Endoplasmic reticulum-plasma membrane contact sites integrate sterol and phospholipid regulation. *PLoS Biol.* 16:e2003864. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.2003864

Spang, A. (2018). The endoplasmic reticulum-the caring mother of the cell. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 53, 92–96. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2018.06.004

Stefan, C. J., Manford, A. G., Baird, D., Yamada-Hanff, J., Mao, Y. X., and Emr, S. D. (2011). Osh proteins regulate Phosphoinositide metabolism at ERplasma membrane contact sites. *Cell* 144, 389–401. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2010.12.034

Stefan, C. J., Manford, A. G., and Emr, S. D. (2013). ER-PM connections: sites of information transfer and inter-organelle communication. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 25, 434–442. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2013.02.020

Sweigard, J. A., Chumley, F. G., and Valent, B. (1992). Disruption of a *Magnaporthe* grisea cutinase gene. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 232, 183–190. doi: 10.1007/BF00279995

Talbot, N. J. (2003). On the trail of a cereal killer: exploring the biology of Magnaporthe grisea. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 57, 177–202. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.57.030502.090957

Talbot, N. J., Ebbole, D. J., and Hamer, J. E. (1993). Identification and characterization of MPG1, a gene involved in pathogenicity from the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe grisea*. *Plant Cell* 5, 1575–1590.

Tang, W., Jiang, H., Zheng, Q., Chen, X., Wang, R., Yang, S., et al. (2019). Isopropylmalate isomerase MoLeu1 orchestrates leucine biosynthesis, fungal development, and pathogenicity in *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 103, 327–337. doi: 10.1007/s00253-018-9456-9

Tang, W., Ru, Y., Hong, L., Zhu, Q., Zuo, R., Guo, X., et al. (2015). System-wide characterization of bZIP transcription factor proteins involved in infection-related morphogenesis of *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Environ*. *Microbiol*. 17, 1377–1396. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12618

Wang, L., Cai, X., Xing, J., Liu, C., Hendy, A., and Chen, X. L. (2019). URM1-mediated ubiquitin-Like modification is required for oxidative stress adaptation During infection of the Rice blast fungus. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:2039. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02039

West, M., Zurek, N., Hoenger, A., and Voeltz, G. K. (2011). A 3D analysis of yeast ER structure reveals how ER domains are organized by membrane curvature. *J. Cell Biol.* 193, 333–346. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201011039

Yi, M., and Valent, B. (2013). Communication between filamentous pathogens and plants at the biotrophic interface. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 51, 587–611. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-081211-172916

Yu, J. H., Hamari, Z., Han, K. H., Seo, J. A., Reyes-Dominguez, Y., and Scazzocchio, C. (2004). Double-joint PCR: a PCR-based molecular tool for gene manipulations in filamentous fungi. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 41, 973–981. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2004.08.001

Zhang, D., Vjestica, A., and Oliferenko, S. (2012). Plasma membrane tethering of the cortical ER necessitates its finely reticulated architecture. *Curr. Biol.* 22, 2048–2052. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.08.047

Zhang, S., and Xu, J. R. (2014). Effectors and effector delivery in *Magnaporthe* oryzae. *PLoS Pathog.* 10:e1003826. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003826