Original Article

Clinical Significance of Major Angiogenesis-Related Effectors in Patients with Metastatic Breast Cancer Treated with Trastuzumab-Based Regimens

Helen P. Kourea^[D], Foteinos-Ioannis Dimitrakopoulos^[D], Georgia-Angeliki Koliou³, Anna Batistatou⁴, Kyriaki Papadopoulou⁵, Mattheos Bobos^{5,6}, Anthoula Asimaki-Vlachopoulou⁷, Sofia Chrisafi⁵, Kitty Pavlakis⁸, Kyriakos Chatzopoulos⁵, Eleni Galani⁹, George Pentheroudakis^{10,11}, Dimitrios Pectasides¹², Dimitrios Bafaloukos¹³, Eleni Res¹⁴, Pavlos Papakostas¹⁵, Angelos Koutras², Vassiliki Kotoula^{5,16}, George Fountzilas^{5,17,18}

¹Department of Pathology, University Hospital of Patras, Rion, ²Division of Oncology, Department of Medicine, University Hospital, Medical School, University of Patras, Patras, ³Section of Biostatistics, Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group, Data Office, Athens, ⁴Department of Pathology, Ioannina University Hospital, Ioannina, ⁵Laboratory of Molecular Oncology, Hellenic Foundation for Cancer Research/Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, ⁶Department of Biomedical Sciences, School of Health Sciences, International Hellenic University, Thessaloniki, ⁷Histopathology and Cytology Laboratory, Thessaloniki, ⁸Pathology Department, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens School of Medicine, Athens, ⁹Second Department of Medical Oncology, Metropolitan Hospital, Piraeus, ¹⁰Department of Medical Oncology, Medical School, University of Ioannina, Ioannina, ¹¹Society for Study of Clonal Heterogeneity of Neoplasia (EMEKEN), Ioannina, ¹²Oncology Section, Second Department of Internal Medicine, Hippokration Hospital, Athens, ¹³First Department of Medical Oncology, Metropolitan Hospital, Piraeus, ¹⁶Department of Pathology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, School of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Thessaloniki, ¹⁷Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece, ¹⁸Department of Medical Oncology Center, Limassol, Cyprus

Purpose Angiogenesis is a crucial phenomenon in the development and progression of breast cancer (BC), but the clinical significance of angiogenesis-related proteins in metastatic BC remains unknown. This study investigates the prognostic value of vascular endothelial growth factor receptors 1, 2, 3 (VEGFR1, VEGFR2, VEGFR3) as well as vascular endothelial growth factors A and C (VEGFA and VEGFC) in metastatic BC patients treated with trastuzumab-based regimens.

Materials and Methods Two hundred female patients were included. Protein and mRNA expression of the studied angiogenesisrelated factors were evaluated by immunohistochemistry and quantitative polymerase chain reaction, respectively.

Results High expression of VEGFA, VEGFC, VEGFR1, VEGFR2, and VEGFR3 in the tumor cells was observed in 43.5%, 24.2%, 36%, 29.5%, and 43%, respectively. Stromal elements expressed high levels of VEGFA, VEGFC, VEGFR1, VEGFR2, and VEGFR3 in 78.9%, 93.3%, 90.7%, 90.2%, and 74.8% of tumors with available data. High tumor cell expression of VEGFR1 was a favorable prognosticator for survival among patients with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2)–positive tumors (hazard ratio [HR], 0.55; p=0.013). A trend towards longer progression-free survival was detected univariately for patients with HER2-negative tumors and high expression of VEGFR2 (HR, 0.60; p=0.059).

Conclusion VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 seem to have significant prognostic value in BC patients with metastatic disease treated with trastuzumab-based regimens.

Key words Breast neoplasms, HER2, Vascular endothelial growth factor A, VEGFR, Angiogenesis, Prognosis

Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) remains the most frequent cancer type worldwide and one of the leading cancer-related causes of death in women [1]. Locally limited disease is curable in 70%-80% of patients, while distant metastases result in poor prognosis despite the vast variety of recent emerging therapeutic options [2].

Human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER2) targeting, one of the most successful achievements in the treatment of BC during the last 30 years, originated from the identification of HER2, a surface tyrosine kinase receptor with pivotal role in the initiation and development of HER2-enriched BC [3]. Slamon et al. [4] showed that the combination of the anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody trastuzumab with chemotherapy in metastatic BC patients, yields significant improvements in objective responses and duration of responses, and prolongs time to disease progression and overall survival (OS), reforming the treatment landscape of HER2-positive disease.

Correspondence: Helen P. Kourea

Department of Pathology, University Hospital of Patras, Rion 26504, Greece

Tel: 30-6977-276407 Fax: 30-2613-603000 E-mail: hkourea@yahoo.com

Received June 23, 2021 Accepted November 15, 2021 Published Online November 17, 2021

*Helen P. Kourea and Foteinos-Ioannis Dimitrakopoulos contributed equally to this work.

Copyright © 2022 by the Korean Cancer Association 1053 This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/] which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Although the mechanism of function and clinical aspects of trastuzumab in the treatment of BC have been extensively studied, the role of angiogenesis in the management of HER2-positive BC is still unclear. Angiogenesis is a crucial factor in the initiation and development of BC and has been recently reviewed elsewhere [5].

Preclinical studies have outlined the cross-talk pathways between HER2 signaling and angiogenesis in animal and human cell lines, linking HER2 activation with vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) mRNA expression, hypoxia-inducible factor- α (HIF- α) synthesis and microvessel counts (reviewed by Alameddine et al. [6]). In clinical studies, BC tissue samples were found to display HER2 expression in significant association with VEGF and the proangiogenic cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) (reviewed by Alameddine et al. [6]). The mechanisms linking HER2 signaling with angiogenesis involve primarily direct induction of COX-2 transcription, activation of mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs) and resultant VEGF transcription and activation of phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-Akt-mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and MEK-ERK-mTOR with subsequent HIF- α expression (reviewed by Alameddine et al. [6]). Clinical studies of HER2-positive BC, at various phases (0-III), have investigated the use of anti-angiogenic agents along with HER2 targeting, namely employing the anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody bevacizumab, in the neoadjuvant or adjuvant setting, anti-vascular endothelial growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors, mTOR inhibitors, COX-2 inhibitors or the antiangiogenic effect of metronomic administration of chemotherapeutic regimens. Frequent obstacles in the establishment of such regimens involve toxicity or modest results (reviewed by Alameddine et al. [6]), both accentuated by the still elusive reliable predictive factors.

In the present study, in view of the link between HER2 signaling and angiogenesis, we hypothesized that the expression of VEGFA and VEGFC and VEGF receptors 1, 2, 3 (VEGFR1, VEGFR2, VEGFR3), the pivotal angiogenesis effectors, may have clinical prognostic significance for female BC patients with metastatic disease treated with trastuzumab.

Materials and Methods

1. Study design, population, and data collection

The study was conducted following the Helsinki Declaration on ethical guidelines (2013) [7] was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Medicine and was performed by the Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group (HeCOG).

It is a retrospective translational research study of patients with histologically confirmed, metastatic BC, treated with trastuzumab-based regimens. The medical records of all patients were retrospectively reviewed. Adequacy of clinical, pathological and treatment data on patients' medical records and availability of adequate tumor tissue were also included in the eligibility criteria. Initial HER2 expression was centrally reassessed due to known issues with inter-laboratory discordances. All experiments were designed and performed in the Laboratory of Molecular Oncology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki.

2. Immunohistochemical analysis

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue samples were retrospectively collected from the enrolled patients. Representative hematoxylin-eosin-stained tumor sections were reviewed by a pathologist (M.B.) and representative tumor areas were selected for the construction of the tissue microarray (TMA) blocks, as previously described [8]. Each case was represented by two tissue cores, 1.5 mm in diameter. Various neoplastic, non-neoplastic, and reactive tissues were also included in each TMA block to assist in the orientation and provide internal assay controls. Cases inadequately represented on the TMA sections were re-cut from the original blocks and whole tissue sections were used for immunohistochemical analysis.

Serial 2.5-µm-thick thick tissue sections from the TMA or the original blocks were used for the immunohistochemical staining, performed in the Laboratory of Molecular Oncology of the Hellenic Foundation of Cancer Research/Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, using a Bond MaxTM autostainer machine (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). The primary antibodies employed, retrieval conditions, dilution, and incubation time are presented in Table 1, as previously described [9].

3. Evaluation of immunohistochemistry

All immunohistochemical stains were assessed by one pathologist (E.K.) blinded to the case and patient characteristics. The angiogenesis-related proteins VEGFA, VEGFC VEGFR1, VEGFR2, and VEGFR3 were variably expressed and evaluated in the neoplastic cells, stromal lymphocytes, plasma cells, and the vascular endothelium. The non-neoplastic elements served as internal positive control in each tissue core. Scoring of immunostaining was performed based on the Allred score (values 0-8), calculated as the sum of points for the percentage of stained neoplastic cells (points 0-5) and the staining intensity (points 0-3), as follows: points 0-5 correspond to the following percentages of positive tumor cells 0%, < 1%, 1%-10%, 11%-33%, 34%-66%, and 67%-100%, respectively; points 0-3 for intensity were assigned for negative, weak, moderate or intense staining intensity, respectively.

Antibody	Clone/Source	Dilution	Antigen retrieval	Incubation time
VEGF-A (m)	VG1/Dako, Glostrup, Denmark	1:75	20/EDTA	60 min
VEGF-C (r, PL)	Z-CVC7/Zymed, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA	1:250	20/CA	Overnight
VEGFR1 (r)	RB-1527/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Fremont, CA	1:450	15/CA	Overnight
VEGFR2 (r)	55B11/Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA	1:450	20/EDTA	Overnight
VEGFR3 (m)	KLT9/Novocastra, Leica Biosystems,	1:50	15/CA	Overnight
	Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK			

Table 1. Primary antibodies and staining conditions

CA, citric acid, pH 6.0; m, mouse; PL, polyclonal; r, rabbit.

4. Gene expression analysis

The protein expression and mRNA levels of VEGFA, VEGFC, VEGFR1, VEGFR2, and VEGFR3, were evaluated in FFPE tumor tissues. FFPE tissue blocks were processed for RNA extraction, following histological examination to estimate tumor tissue abundance and mark areas with highest tumor cell density. Manual macrodissection was performed in cases with < 50% tumor cell content. RNA extraction from whole or macrodissected 10-µm paraffin sections was carried out with a fully automated nucleic acid isolation method, based on silica-coated magnetic beads (Versant Tissue Preparation Reagents, Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Tarrytown, NY) in combination with a liquid handling robot, as before [10,11]. Finally, to ensure the presence of pure RNA, DNase I was added to each nucleic acid extract to remove DNA.

Subsequent cDNA synthesis was performed with random primers and SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (cat. No. 48190011 and 18080044, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), according to standard procedures. cDNAs were assessed in duplicate 10 µL reactions with quantitative polymerase chain reaction in an ABI7900HT system for 45 cycles of amplification using premade exon-spanning Taqman-MGB assays (Applied Biosystems/Life Technologies, Fisher Scientific, Foster City, CA) for the following transcripts (data in parentheses refer to assay ID and amplicon size): FLT1 (VEGFR1) exons 17-18 (Hs00176573_m1; 55 bp), KDR (VEGFR2) exons 15-16 (Hs00176676_m1; 84 bp), VEGFC exons 4-5 (Hs00153458_ m1; 126 bp), VEGFA exons 1-2 (Hs00173626_m1; 77 bp), HIF1A exons 4-5 (Hs00153153_m1; 76 bp), NRP1 exons 7-8 (Hs00826128_m1; 90 bp), TEK exons 11-12 (Hs00176096_m1; 82 bp), VCAM1 exons 7-8 & 8-9, (Hs00365486_m1; 122 bp), VHL (Von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor) exons 2-3 (Hs00184451 m1; 72 bp).

For the detection of VEGF xa and xb transcript variants, custom assays were designed spanning exons 7b-8a for VEG-FA165a, 189a, 206a, and exons 7b-8b for VEGF165b, 189b, 206b (sense primer, antisense primer, and, Taqman MGB probe, all sequences 5'-3'): for xa, AAACACAGACTCGCGTTGCA, AGAGATCTGGTTCCCGAAACC, and CGAGGCAGCTT-

GAG; for xb, AGGCGAGGCAGCTTGAGTTA, ACGTTCT-GTCGATGGTGATGGT, and CGAACGTACTTGCAGATC. The size of xa transcripts was 129 bp and for xb 122 bp, respectively.

A Taqman-MGB expression assay targeting β -glucuronidase (*GUSB*) exons 8-9 (Hs00939627_m1; 96 bp) was used as the endogenous reference for relative quantification. The commercially available TaqMan Control Total RNA (cat. No. 4307281, Applied Biosystems) was applied as a positive control for inter-run evaluation of polymerase chain reaction assay efficiency, alongside no-template controls. Finally, to obtain linear relative quantification values, relative expression was assessed as (40-dCT), as described before [10]. Samples were considered eligible for GUSB CT < 36 and deltaRQ for each duplicate pair (intra-run variation) of < 1. Based on the above criteria, RNA samples for 60 patients yielded informative results for all aforementioned targets and were considered eligible for relative mRNA expression analysis.

The biomarkers were associated with patient characteristics and other markers of interest, related to HER2 resistance and available in the HeCOG database, including PTEN (phosphatase and tensin homolog), phosphorylated forms of HER2 (*pHER2^{Tyr B77} pHER2^{Tyr 1221/1222}*) and HER3 protein expression, *PIK3CA* (phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha) status as well as mRNA expression of *HER2*, *HER3*, *HER4*, *Src* (SRC proto-oncogene, non-receptor tyrosine kinase), *CDKN1B* (cyclin dependent kinase inhibitor 1B), and *JAK2* (Janus kinase 2).

5. Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics including counts with the corresponding percentages (for categorical variables) and medians with range (for continuous variables) were used to summarize patient characteristics and the distribution of examined markers for the entire cohort and by central HER2 status (according to central assessment of HER2). The median value of the Allred scores was used as a cut-off point for VEG-FR1, VEGFR2, VEGFR3, VEGFA, and VEGFC to categorize tumors into low or high-expressing. The associations of the

expression of examined markers with patient characteristics and other markers of interest were assessed in the entire cohort using the chi-square or the Fisher exact test if appropriate (for categorical variables) and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test (for continuous variables). Spearman correlations were used to evaluate the associations between the mRNA levels of the markers of interest.

Progression-free survival (PFS) was defined as the time from the initiation of trastuzumab treatment for metastatic BC (with or without concurrent chemotherapy/hormonal therapy) to the date of the first documented disease progression, death from any cause or last contact (whichever occurred first). Survival was measured from the initiation of trastuzumab treatment to the date of death (from any cause), with patients alive and those lost to follow-up being censored at the date last known to be alive. Survival curves were estimated with the Kaplan-Meier method and compared between groups with the log-rank test.

The prognostic value of the tumoral and stromal expression of the examined markers was evaluated with respect to PFS and survival separately in patients with HER2-positive and HER2-negative tumors, only for those markers with adequate number of patients and events of interest (PFS or survival events) in each group. The prognostic value of the mRNA expression of examined markers was not assessed due to the small number of patients with available data. All parameters were tested for proportionality using timedependent covariates. The associations between the stromal and tumoral expression of the examined markers and progression/mortality rates were assessed with hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) estimated by univariate Cox proportional hazard regression models. Multivariate Cox regression analyses with a backwards selection criterion of p < 0.10 were also performed, including: menopausal status (premenopausal, postmenopausal), performance status (0, 1-2), estrogen receptor (ER)/progesterone receptor (PR) status (negative, positive), number of metastatic sites (1-2, 3), as well as each marker that was found to be a significant prognosticator or revealed a trend towards significance in the univariate analyses (p < 0.10).

Follow-up information for all patients was updated in October 2019. All tests were two-sided at an alpha 5% level of significance. Analyses were conducted using the SAS ver. 9.3 software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Results

1. Patient population

A total of 200 female patients, with available data for at least one of the markers of interest, were included in the cur-

rent study. Trastuzumab was administered for HER2-positive disease according to the original HER2 assessment in the local institution. Nevertheless, upon central re-evaluation of HER2 status, 78 patients (39%) were found to have in fact HER2-negative disease. Selected patient and tumor characteristics according to central assessment of HER2 status are depicted in Table 2. The median age at the time of trastuzumab initiation was 57 years (range, 28 to 95 years), with patients carrying HER2-negative tumors being older (Wilcoxon rank-sum p=0.041). Most patients had relapsed metastatic BC (R-MBC), while 31.5% had *de novo* metastatic disease.

2. Trastuzumab exposure

In total, 86% of the study cohort received trastuzumab as first-line treatment (59 HER2-negative and 113 HER2-positive), while 12.5% of patients were treated with second line trastuzumab (16 HER2-negative, 9 HER2-positive). Additionally, in three patients with HER2-negative tumors, trastuzumab was administered as a third-, fourth-, and seventh-line treatment, respectively. In 90% of patients, trastuzumab was given with concurrent chemotherapy (66 HER2-negative and 114 HER2-positve), while 16 patients (8%) received trastuzumab in combination with hormone therapy and in four patients (2%) the drug was administered as monotherapy.

3. Distribution of examined markers

The expression of VEGFA, VEGFC, VEGFR1, VEGFR2, and VEGFR3 in the neoplastic cells was cytoplasmic. No membranous staining was observed. Nuclear expression was observed in 42.6% (20 of 47 informative cases) for VEGFA, 35% (62 of 177 informative cases) for VEGFC, 42.5% (82 of 193 informative cases) for VEGFR1, 64.6% (122 of 189 informative cases) for VEGFR2, and 17.9% (19 of 106 informative cases) for VEGFR3. For all immunohistochemically examined parameters, except VEGFC, the Allred score values ranged from 0-8, the median Allred score value was 6, and the mean ranged from 5.75-6.02. For VEGFC the Allred score values ranged from 3-8, the median value was 7 and the mean 6.84. Using the median value as a cutoff, high expression (greater than the median) of VEGFA, VEGFC, VEGFR1, VEGFR2, and VEGFR3 in tumor cells was observed in 43.5%, 24.2%, 36%, 29.5%, and 43%, respectively. Stromal elements, namely lymphocytes, plasma cells and endothelial cells, displayed expression of VEGFA, VEGFC, VEGFR1, VEGFR2, and VEGFR3 in 78.9%, 93.3%, 90.7%, 90.2%, and 74.8% of patients with available data, respectively. The frequency distribution of tumor and stromal expression was similar in patients with HER2-positive and HER2-negative disease (S1 Table). mRNA expression of the examined markers was available for tumors of 60 patients (30%) and did not differ between patients with HER2-positive and HER2-negative disease.

	Total (n=200)	HER2-negative (n=78)	HER2-positive (n=122)
Age (yr) ^{a)}			
Median (min-max)	57.2 (28.4-95.0)	59.3 (31.8-78.8)	55.1 (28.4-95.0)
Menopausal status ^{a)}			
Postmenopausal	152 (76.0)	62 (79.5)	90 (73.8)
Premenopausal	47 (23.5)	16 (20.5)	31 (25.4)
Unknown	1 (0.5)	0	1 (0.8)
PS ^{a)}			
0	142 (71.0)	56 (71.8)	86 (70.5)
1	48 (24.0)	18 (23.1)	30 (24.6)
2	9 (4.5)	3 (3.8)	6 (4.9)
Unknown	1 (0.5)	1 (1.3)	0
Histological grade			
Ι	7 (3.5)	3 (3.8)	4 (3.3)
II	77 (38.5)	31 (39.7)	46 (37.7)
III	103 (51.5)	39 (50.0)	64 (52.5)
Unknown	13 (6.5)	5 (6.4)	8 (6.6)
ER/PR status			
Negative	50 (25.0)	11 (14.1)	39 (32.0)
Positive	150 (75.0)	67 (85.9)	83 (68.0)
Subtypes			
HER2-enriched	39 (19.5)	0	39 (32.0)
Luminal A	15 (7.5)	15 (19.2)	0
Luminal B	50 (25.0)	50 (64.1)	0
Luminal HER2	83 (41.5)	0	83 (68.0)
TNBC	11 (5.5)	11 (14.1)	0
Unknown	2 (1.0)	2 (2.6)	0
No. of metastatic sites ^{a)}			
1-2	184 (92.0)	71 (91.0)	113 (92.6)
≥3	15 (7.5)	6 (7.7)	9 (7.4)
Unknown	1 (0.5)	1 (1.3)	0
Visceral metastasis ^{a)}			
No	65 (32.5)	28 (35.9)	37 (30.3)
Yes	133 (66.5)	48 (61.5)	85 (69.7)
Unknown	2 (1.0)	2 (2.6)	0
R-MBC	137 (68.5)	53 (67.9)	84 (68.9)
History of adjuvant CT ^{b)}	113 (82.5)	45 (84.9)	68 (81.0)
History of adjuvant RT ^{b)}	72 (52.6)	28 (52.8)	44 (52.4)
History of adjuvant HT ^{b)}	98 (71.5)	39 (73.6)	59 (70.2)
De novo MBC	63 (31.5)	25 (32.1)	38 (31.1)

Table 2. Selected patient and tumor characteristics

Values are presented as number (%) unless otherwise indicated. CT, chemotherapy; ER, estrogen receptor; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor; HT, hormonal therapy; MBC, metastatic breast cancer; PR, progesterone receptor; PS, performance status; R-MBC, relapsed metastatic breast cancer; RT, radiotherapy; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer. ^aAt the time of trastuzumab initiation, ^bOnly for patients with R-MBC.

Tumors from patients with *de novo* metastatic BC had higher VEGFxa mRNA expression (Wilcoxon rank-sum p=0.008), as compared to those with R-MBC, and expressed less frequently tumor VEGFC and stromal VEGFR1 (chi-square p < 0.001 and p=0.019, respectively) (S2 Table). No differences

in the distribution of the examined markers were detected between patients with ER/PR-positive and negative tumors (data not shown).

Table 3. Significant associations of examined markers with	patient characteristics and other markers of interest
--	---

	Total	Low	High	p-value
Tumor VEGFA				
pHER2 ^{Tyr 1221/1222} protein expression	155			0.019 ^{a)}
Negative	105 (67.7)	61 (76.3)	44 (58.7)	
Positive	50 (32.3)	19 (23.8)	31 (41.3)	
HER3 protein expression	135			0.004 ^{a)}
Negative	40 (29.6)	28 (40.6)	12 (18.2)	
Positive	95 (70.4)	41 (59.4)	54 (81.8)	
PTEN status	161			0.027 ^{a)}
Loss	91 (56.5)	55 (64.7)	36 (47.4)	
No loss	70 (43.5)	30 (35.3)	40 (52.6)	
Tumor VEGFC				
Menopausal status	185			0.001 ^{a)}
Postmenopausal	140 (75.7)	114 (81.4)	26 (57.8)	
Premenopausal	45 (24.3)	26 (18.6)	19 (42.2)	
Age	186			0.031 ^{b)}
Median (min-max)	57.1 (28.4-95.0)	57.7 (32.1-95.0)	53.6 (28.4-85.9)	
Tumor VEGFR1				
Visceral metastases	185			0.008 ^{a)}
No	58 (31.4)	45 (38.1)	13 (19.4)	
Yes	127 (68.6)	73 (61.9)	54 (80.6)	
Tumor VEGFR2				
Histological grade	168			0.033 ^{a)}
I-II	73 (43.5)	45 (38.1)	28 (56.0)	
III	95 (56.5)	73 (61.9)	22 (44.0)	
JAK2 mRNA expression	117			0.016 ^{b)}
Median (min-max)	36.9 (27.9-41.0)	37.3 (30.5-41.0)	36.6 (27.9-38.9)	
PTEN status	155			0.033 ^{a)}
Loss	88 (56.8)	70 (61.9)	18 (42.9)	
No loss	67 (43.2)	43 (38.1)	24 (57.1)	
Tumor VEGFR3				
pHER2 ^{Tyr 877} protein expression	153			0.029 ^{a)}
Negative	125 (81.7)	73 (88.0)	52 (74.3)	
Positive	28 (18.3)	10 (12.0)	18 (25.7)	
PTEN status	160			0.004 ^{a)}
Loss	92 (57.5)	59 (67.8)	33 (45.2)	
No loss	68 (42.5)	28 (32.2)	40 (54.8)	
Stromal VEGFR3				
JAK2 mRNA expression	86			0.007 ^b)
Median (min-max)	37.1 (28.7-41.0)	37.8 (30.2-39.4)	36.7 (28.7-41.0)	
HER2 mRNA expression	69			0.005 ^{b)}
Median (min-max)	39.3 (27.0-42.9)	37.8 (27.0-42.5)	39.9 (28.7-42.9)	

Values presented as median (min-max) or number (%). HER, human epidermal growth factor receptor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; VEGFR, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor. ^a)Pearson's chi-square/Fisher's exact test, ^b)Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

4. Association with clinicopathological characteristics and other markers of interest

Patients with VEGFR1-high tumors had more frequently visceral metastases in comparison to the VEGFR1-low BCs (p=0.008). VEGFR2-low tumors were more frequently of

higher grade, with loss of PTEN and higher *JAK2* mRNA expression compared to VEGFR2-high tumors (p=0.033, p=0.033, and p=0.016, respectively). VEGFR3-high expression was associated with pHER2^{Tyr877} protein expression and preservation of PTEN (p=0.029 and p=0.004, respectively).

Patients carrying tumors with high tumor VEGFC expression compared to those with low VEGFC expression were of younger age at the time of trastuzumab administration and less frequently of postmenopausal status (p=0.031 and p=0.001, respectively). Tumors with high tumor VEGFA expression had more frequently positive pHER2Tyr1221/1222 (p=0.019) and HER3 protein expression (p=0.004) and PTEN preservation (p=0.027). Tumors with stromal VEGFR3 high expression had lower mRNA levels of JAK2 and higher levels of HER2 (p=0.007 and p=0.005, respectively) (Table 3). In addition, VEGFA mRNA expression was positively, marginally correlated with Src (rho=0.27, p=0.045) and VEGFR1 mRNA expression was positively correlated with HER2 mRNA expression (rho=0.33, p=0.015). Positive correlations were also observed between VHL mRNA expression and Src (rho=0.42, p=0.012), CDKN1B (rho=0.37, p=0.006), JAK2 (rho=0.28, p=0.044), HER2 (rho=0.38, p=0.005), HER3 (rho=0.44, p < 0.001), and *HER4* mRNA (rho=0.33, p=0.016). However, none of these correlations were strong. No further significant associations were detected between the expressions of examined markers and patient characteristics or other markers of interest.

We further examined potential associations between expression of the examined markers in the neoplastic cells. Tumors with high VEGFR1 expression had less frequently low tumor expression of VEGFR2 (p=0.014), VEGFR3 (p=0.003), and VEGFA (p=0.043) as compared to tumors with low VEGFR1 expression. In addition, tumors with low expression of VEGFR3, as compared to those with high expression, had more frequently low expression of VEGFR1 (p=0.003), VEGFR2 (p=0.012), VEGFC (p=0.006), and VEGFA (p < 0.001) (Table 4). Co-expression of tumor VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 was noticed in 26 tumors (15.1%), VEGFR1 and VEGFA in 35 tumors (19.3%), VEGFR2 and VEGFA in 26 tumors (15.3%), and VEGFR3 and VEGFA in 45 tumors (25.1%).

5. Association of markers with clinical outcome

Within a median follow-up of 13.3 years (95% CI, 12.8 to 14.4), a total of 180 events of progression or death (PFS events) were reported and 168 patients (84%) died. The median PFS was 11.5 months (95% CI, 9.6 to 14.0). Patients with HER2-positive tumors had longer PFS compared to those with HER2-negative disease (median PFS, 14.0 months [95% CI, 11.0 to 19.6] vs. 8.9 months [95% CI, 7.8 to 10.7]; log-rank p=0.018). The median survival was 3.4 years (95% CI, 2.9 to 3.9) and was significantly longer for patients with HER2-positive compared to those with HER2-negative tumors (median survival, 4.0 years [95% CI, 3.1 to 5.0] vs. 2.9 years [95% CI, 2.3 to 3.4]; log-rank p=0.010).

Among patients with HER2-positive BC, none of the exa-

1		VEGFRI			VEGFR2			VEGFR3			VEGFA	
	Low	High	p-value									
FR1												
M	ı			83 (68.0)	24(48.0)	0.014	76 (73.1)	40 (51.9)	0.003	72 (69.2)	42 (54.5)	0.043
gh	ı	ı		39 (32.0)	26 (52.0)		28 (26.9)	37 (48.1)		32 (30.8)	35 (45.5)	
FR2												
w 8	33 (77.6)	39 (60.0)	0.014	ı	ı	ı	76 (78.4)	45 (60.8)	0.012	70 (73.7)	49 (65.3)	0.24
gh 2	24 (22.4)	26 (40.0)		ı			21 (21.6)	29 (39.2)		25 (26.3)	26 (34.7)	
FR3												
M	76 (65.5)	28 (43.1)	0.003	76 (62.8)	21 (42.0)	0.012		•	•	70 (68.0)	31 (40.8)	< 0.001
gh 4	10 (34.5)	37 (56.9)		45 (37.2)	29 (58.0)		•	ı		33 (32.0)	45 (59.2)	
FC												
w 9)0 (78.9)	45 (69.2)	0.15	90 (74.4)	39 (76.5)	0.77	84 (83.2)	51 (65.4)	0.006	77 (77.0)	57 (72.2)	0.46
zh 2	24 (21.1)	20 (30.8)		31 (25.6)	12 (23.5)		17 (16.8)	27 (34.6)		23 (23.0)	22 (27.8)	
FA												
M	72 (63.2)	32 (47.8)	0.043	70 (58.8)	25 (49.0)	0.24	70 (69.3)	33 (42.3)	< 0.001		ı	ı
zh 4	12 (36.8)	35 (52.2)		49 (41.2)	26 (51.0)		31 (30.7)	45 (57.7)		ı		



Fig. 1. Kaplan-Meier curves with respect to survival based on tumor cell expression of VEGFR1 in patients with HER2-positive tumors (A) and PFS based on tumor cell VEGFR2 expression in patients with HER2-negative disease (B). CI, confidence interval; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; HR, hazard ratio; PFS, progression-free survival; VEGFR, vascular endothelial growth factor receptor.

mined markers showed prognostic significance for PFS univariately, whereas high VEGFR1 expression in the tumor cells was marginally associated with longer survival (HR, 0.64; 95% CI, 0.40 to 1.00; Wald's p=0.051) (Fig. 1A). In multivariate analysis, high expression of VEGFR1 remained a favorable prognostic factor for survival among patients with HER2-positive tumors (HR, 0.55; 95% CI, 0.34 to 0.88; p=0.013) along with 1-2 PS (HR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.37 to 0.93; p=0.025), while menopausal status, ER/PR status and the number of metastatic sites were removed from the final model (p=0.23, p=0.51, and p=0.16, respectively).

A trend towards longer PFS was detected in univariate analysis for patients with HER2-negative tumors and high tumor expression of VEGFR2 (HR, 0.60; 95% CI, 0.36 to 1.02; p=0.059) (Table 5, Fig. 1B). However, high expression of VEGFR2 was not found to be prognostic for PFS upon adjustment for selected clinicopathological parameters (p=0.19).

Tumor co-expression of VEGF1/VEGFR2, VEGFR1/VEG-FA, VEGFR2/VEGFA, and VEGFR3/VEGFA did not show prognostic significance either among patients with HER2positive tumors or among those with HER2-negative disease (Table 5).

Discussion

Over the last decades, the clinical course of metastatic BC has been transformed most remarkably via HER2 targeting. Although many aspects of HER2-positive BC and trastuzumab effects are illuminated, the clinical significance of angiogenesis in patients treated with trastuzumab-based regimens is still unclear. In this study, we assessed the protein and gene

expression of pivotal angiogenesis-related molecules with regards to their interrelations and prognostic value in metastatic BC patients, treated with trastuzumab.

A major finding of this study was the correlation of VEGFR1 immunohistochemical expression by tumor cells with prolonged survival, in HER2-positive patients. Similarly, Lebok et al. [12] have reported that reduced or lost membranous expression of VEGFR1 in BC patients is associated with poor prognosis, and a previous study from our group found that VEGFR1 mRNA expression had prognostic value, depending on HER2 status, in patients with high-risk early BC treated in the adjuvant setting [8]. On the contrary, Ghosh et al. [13], using data from a cohort of 642 cases with primary breast carcinomas treated with a combination of surgical excision with or without local irradiation and/or hormonal therapy, have reported that high levels of VEGFR1 were significantly associated with decreased OS. In addition, Kosaka et al. [14] have shown that increased VEGFR1 mRNA levels in peripheral blood of BC patients with stage 0 to III disease and positive or negative ER/PR/HER2 status, who underwent surgery, are associated with larger tumor size, lymph node (LN) infiltration, advanced clinical stage as well as with poor survival outcome. Along these lines, a previous report from our group of 124 metastatic HER2-negative BC patients found that high expression of VEGFR1 was associated with poor survival in multivariate analysis [9]. The above findings highlight the biological heterogeneity of BC and suggest that in the various intrinsic subtypes of BC, importantly based on HER2 status the effect of angiogenesis-related factor may differ.

Another interesting finding was the significant association of VEGFR1 tumor expression with visceral metastases. The

IFS surtial Resolution				HER2-p	ositive					HER2-	negative		
Fund Hitle Fronti (111) Fronti (121)			PFS			Survival			PFS			Survival	
Immor VECR1 Sign Reference Sign Refe		Events/ Total	HR (95% CI)	p-value	Events/ Total	HR (95% CI)	p-value	Events/ Total	HR (95% CI) []]	o-value	Events/ Total	HR (95% CI)	p-value
	Tumor VEGFR1												
High 2.77 0.80 ($0.52-12$) 0.30 2.77 0.64 ($0.40-100$) 0.51 2.97 0.64 ($0.40-1100$) 0.51 2.97 0.64 ($0.36-1125$) 0.94 2.87 0.64 ($0.36-1125$) 0.97 0.64 ($0.36-1125$) 0.97 0.64 ($0.36-1125$) 0.67 0.67 0.64 0.67 0.64 0.67 0.64 0.67 0.64 0.72 0.64 0.94 2.72 1.17 ($0.72-1150$) 0.94 2.74 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.64 0.25 0.25 0.24 0.64 0.25 0.24 0.64 0.25 0.24 0.64 0.25 0.24 0.64 0.25 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.27 0.26 0.26 0.26 0.27 0.27 0.27 0.26 0.27 0.26 0.27 0.26 0.27 0.28	Low	68/75	Reference	ı	65/75	Reference	ı	40/44	Reference	ı	38/44	Reference	ı
Tumor VECR2 Tumor VECR2 Tumor VECR2 $5/2$ Reference $5/7$ Reference $1/7$ $1/72$ $0.60(0.3-1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$ 0.97 $0.98(0.0.1.15)$	High	32/37	0.80 (0.52-1.22)	0.30	27/37	0.64 (0.40-1.00)	0.051	29/30	0.98 (0.61-1.59)	0.94	28/30	1.12 (0.69-1.83)	0.64
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Tumor VEGFR2												
High $27/29$ 1.15 0.73 $27/29$ 1.16 $0.73-1.55$ 0.57 0.53 0.57 0.57 0.57 0.57 0.57 0.57 0.57 0.57 0.57 0.57 0.57 $0.57-1.27$ 0.57	Low	66/75	Reference	,	59/75	Reference	ı	46/49	Reference	ı	45/49	Reference	
Immor VEGR3 Immor VEGR3 <thimmor th="" vegr3<=""> <thimmor th="" vegr3<=""></thimmor></thimmor>	High	27/29	1.15 (0.74-1.81)	0.53	25/29	1.16 (0.73-1.85)	0.53	21/23	0.60 (0.36-1.02)	0.059	19/23	0.67 (0.39-1.15)	0.15
	Tumor VEGFR3												
High High High High High High High High $24/32$ $0.5(57, 12.7)$ 0.43 $117(0, 72-19)$ 0.3 Immor VECFC $3/86$ Reference $ 5/55$ Reference $ 9/55$ Reference $ 9/55$ Reference $ 9/55$ Reference $ 9/57$ $10/000-192$ 0.41 $15/17$ $107(000-192$ 0.41 $15/17$ $107(000-192$ 0.41 $11/15$ $0.70(144-111)$ 0.13 $21/25$ $Reference$ $ 31/46$ $Reference$ $ 31/46$ $8/57$ $10(00-1-164)$ 0.99 $21/27$ $10/000-192$ 0.7 Immor VECRN/VECFA $7/38$ Reference $ 7/35$ $18/60$ $0.6(0-1-164)$ 0.99 $0.70(00-192)$ 0.7 $11/60$ $0.72(1-164)$ 0.7 $11/60$ $0.72(1-164)$ 0.7 $11/60$ $0.72(1-164)$ 0.7 $11/60$ $0.72(10)$ 0.7 0.7 $11/60$	Low	53/58	Reference		48/58	Reference	ı	43/48	Reference	ı	41/48	Reference	
Tumor VECK Tumor VECK $1/10^{10}$ $1/17$ $1/16$ $0/10$ $0/10$ $0/10$ $0/10$ $0/17$ $0/10$ $0/17$ $0/12$ $0/17$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$ $0/12$	High	44/52	0.85 (0.57-1.27)	0.43	41/52	0.87 (0.57-1.32)	0.50	28/28	0.98 (0.61-1.58)	0.94	27/28	1.17 (0.72-1.91)	0.53
	Tumor VEGFC												
High $24/3$ 0.70 $(0.44+1.11)$ 0.13 $22/28$ 0.82 $(0.51-1.32)$ 0.41 $17/17$ 1.27 $(0.73-2.21)$ 0.41 $15/17$ 107 $(0.60-192)$ 0.6 Inour VECRA $27/33$ 1.05 $(0.71-1.56)$ 0.81 $43/53$ 1.06 $(0.70-1.60)$ 0.79 $25/27$ 1.00 $(0.61-1.64)$ 0.92 $24/27$ $119(0.72-1.96)$ 0.12 High $47/33$ 1.05 $(0.71-1.56)$ 0.81 $43/53$ 1.06 $(0.70-1.60)$ 0.79 $25/27$ 1.00 $(0.61-1.64)$ 0.99 $24/27$ $119(0.72-1.96)$ 0.17 Inuor VECR1/VECRA $77/58$ Reference $ 37/31$ 8 Reference $ 37/61$ 8 Reference $ 34/61$ 8 Reference $-$ Low $77/58$ Reference $ 37/61$ 8 Reference $ 37/61$ 8 Reference $ 34/61$ 8 Reference $-$ Doller $80/90$ Reference $ 37/61$ 8 Reference $ 57/61$ 8 Reference $ 54/61$ 8 Reference $-$ Both high $17/19$ 0.95 0.56 $16/11$ 10 0.57 0.56 $14/14$ 1.16 0.60 $0.27-140$ 0.7 Innor VECR2/VECRA $77/83$ Reference $ 57/61$ Reference $ 54/61$ 8 Reference $ 54/61$ 8 Reference $-$ Innor VECR2/VECRA $77/13$ 0.36 $16/17$ 1.08 0.62 $0.29-1.30$ 0.21 0.6	Low	78/86	Reference		71/86	Reference	·	50/55	Reference		49/55	Reference	
Tumor VEGRA Tumor VEGRA Tumor VEGRA 1146 Reference 1446 Re	High	24/28	0.70 (0.44-1.11)	0.13	22/28	0.82 (0.51-1.32)	0.42	17/17	1.27 (0.73-2.21)	0.41	15/17	1.07 (0.60-1.92)	0.81
	Tumor VEGFA												
High $47/3$ 105 0.11 -156 0.81 $43/53$ 106 0.70 -160 0.99 $24/27$ 119 $0.77-198$ 0.07 Tumor VEGRR1/VEGRR2 $7/85$ Reference $ 70/85$ Reference $ 70/85$ Reference $ 57/61$ Reference $ 54/61$ $84/61$ $86/90$ $97/10$ 0.77 $0.38-156$ 0.07 Dubr $17/19$ 0.56 $15/10$ 0.87 $0.84-157$ 0.63 $14/16$ $10/7$ $0.70-140$ 0.77 $0.70-140$ 0.70 $9/10$ 0.77 $0.28-156$ 0.70 Dubr $10/10$ 0.77 0.86 $0.866-16.1$ 0.80 0.860 $0.81-12.92$ 0.60 $14/16$ 10.7 $0.70-2.198$ 0.70 0.70	Low	52/58	Reference	,	47/58	Reference		43/46	Reference	,	41/46	Reference	
Tumor VEGFR1/VEGFR2 Tumor VEGFR1/VEGFR2 $7/85$ Reference 2 $7/85$ Reference 2 $54/61$ Reference $ 54/61$ Reference $ 50/90$ Reference $ 50/90$ Reference $ 50/60$ $14/16$ 100 $0.703-1460$ 0.20 0.60 $14/16$ 106 $0.55-1.92$ 0.60 Duber $73/83$ Reference $ 52/56$ Reference $ 53/60$ Reference $ 53/61$ Reference $ 53/61$ $14/16$ 106 $0.52-192$ 0.60 $14/16$ 106 $14/16$ 106 $14/16$ 106	High	47/53	1.05 (0.71-1.56)	0.81	43/53	1.06 (0.70-1.60)	0.79	25/27	1.00 (0.61-1.64)	0.99	24/27	1.19 (0.72-1.98)	0.50
	Tumor VEGFR1/VEGFR2												
High14/16 $0.84 (0.48.1.48)$ 0.56 $13/16$ $0.87 (0.48.1.57)$ 0.63 $9/10$ $0.77 (0.38.1.56)$ 0.2 Tumor VEGRNI/VEGFA80/90Reference- $73/30$ Reference- $52/56$ Reference- $50/56$ Reference-Both high $17/19$ $0.95 (0.56-1.61)$ 0.85 $16/19$ $0.90 (0.52-1.55)$ 0.69 $15/16$ $0.86 (0.48-1.53)$ 0.60 $14/16$ $1.06 (0.58-1.92)$ 0.8 Both high $17/19$ $0.95 (0.56-1.61)$ 0.85 $16/17$ $1.18 (0.68-2.05)$ 0.55 $8/9$ $0.60 (0.38-1.92)$ 0.8 Dother $73/83$ Reference- $57/61$ Reference- $54/61$ Reference-Both high $17/17$ $1.32 (0.78-2.24)$ 0.30 $16/17$ $1.18 (0.68-2.05)$ 0.55 $8/9$ $0.62 (0.29-1.30)$ 0.21 $8/9$ $0.69 (0.32-1.46)$ 0.5 Both high $17/17$ $1.32 (0.78-2.24)$ 0.30 $16/17$ $1.18 (0.68-2.05)$ 0.55 $8/9$ $0.62 (0.29-1.30)$ 0.21 $8/9$ $0.69 (0.32-1.46)$ 0.5 Duner VEGR3/VEGR3 $7/71$ $1.32 (0.78-2.24)$ 0.31 $0.87 (0.54-1.39)$ 0.55 $8/9$ $0.62 (0.29-1.30)$ 0.21 $8/9$ $0.69 (0.32-1.46)$ 0.5 Duner VEGR3/VEGR3 $7/71$ $1.32 (0.78-2.24)$ 0.31 $0.87 (0.54-1.39)$ 0.55 $8/9$ $0.62 (0.29-1.30)$ 0.21 $8/9$ $0.69 (0.32-1.46)$ 0.21 Duner VEGR3/VEGR	Low	77/85	Reference	ı	70/85	Reference	ı	57/61	Reference	ı	54/61	Reference	ı
Tumor VEGFR1/VEGFA Tumor VEGFR1/VEGFA $$$0/50$ Reference $$$2/56$ Reference $$$50/56$ Reference $$$- $$50/56 Reference $$- $$50/56 Reference $$- $$50/56 Reference $ $$50/51 $$10/60 $$11/16 $106(0.58-1.160) $050 $$11/16 $106(0.58-1.160) $050 $$11/16 $$106(0.58-1.160) $$20/51 $$11/16 $$106(0.58-1.160) $$20/51 $$11/16 $$106(0.58-1.160) $$20/51 $$10/61 $$10/61 $$10/61 $$10/61 $$10/61 $	High	14/16	0.84 (0.48-1.49)	0.56	13/16	0.87 (0.48-1.57)	0.63	9/10	0.53 (0.26-1.08)	0.079	9/10	0.77 (0.38-1.56)	0.46
Other $80/90$ Reference - $73/90$ Reference - $50/56$ Reference - $50/61$ Reference - $50/61$ $11/11$ $12/11$ $1.22 (0.78-2.24)$ 0.30 $16/117$ $1.18 (0.68-2.05)$ 0.57 $Reference - 55/61 Reference - 55/61 Reference - 55/61 Reference - 55/61 Reference - 55/61 Reference - 55/61 Reference - 55/61 $	Tumor VEGFR1/VEGFA												
Both high $17/19$ 0.56 $(0.56-1.61)$ 0.85 $16/19$ 0.00 $(0.52-1.55)$ 0.60 $14/16$ 1.06 $(0.58-1.92)$ 0.60 Tumor VEGR2/VEGRA $73/83$ Reference $ 57/61$ Reference $ 54/61$ Reference $-$ Other $73/83$ Reference $ 65/83$ Reference $ 57/61$ Reference $ 54/61$ Reference $-$ Both high $17/17$ 1.32 $(0.78-2.24)$ 0.30 $16/17$ 1.18 $(0.68-2.05)$ 0.55 $8/9$ 0.62 $(0.29-1.30)$ 0.21 $8/9$ 0.69 $(0.32-1.46)$ 0.5 Tumor VEGR3/VEGRA $67/75$ Reference $ 54/53$ Reference $ 51/7$ $1.4/14$ 1.18 $(0.65-2.13)$ 0.21 0.52 $8/9$ 0.69 $(0.32-1.46)$ 0.5 Norder $57/31$ 0.89 $(0.57-1.40)$ 0.61 $24/14$ 1.18 $(0.65-2.13)$ 0.53 $14/14$ 1.53 $(0.84-2.78)$ 0.1 Negative $57/31$ 0.87 $(0.54-1.32)$ 0.55 $14/14$ 1	Other	80/90	Reference	,	73/90	Reference	ı	52/56	Reference	ı	50/56	Reference	ı
Tumor VEGFR2/VEGFATumor VEGFR2/VEGFATumor VEGFR2/VEGFA $73/83$ Reference $-54/61$ Reference $-54/61$ Reference $-16/17$ 112 $1.23 (0.78-2.24)$ 0.30 $16/17$ $1.18 (0.68-2.05)$ 0.55 $8/9$ $0.62 (0.29-1.30)$ 0.21 $8/9$ $0.69 (0.32-1.46)$ 0.32 Dubr VEGFR3/VEGFA $17/17$ $1.32 (0.78-2.24)$ 0.30 $16/17$ $1.18 (0.68-2.05)$ 0.55 $8/9$ $0.62 (0.29-1.30)$ 0.21 $8/9$ $0.69 (0.32-1.46)$ 0.5 Tumor VEGFR3/VEGFA $67/73$ Reference $ 54/59$ Reference $ 54/59$ Reference $ 54/61$ $1.31 (0.59-1.40)$ 0.61 $21/31$ 0.58 $14/14$ $1.53 (0.84-2.78)$ 0.1 Under VEGFR3/VEGFA $27/31$ $0.89 (0.57-1.40)$ 0.61 $24/31$ $0.87 (0.54-1.39)$ 0.55 $14/14$ $1.18 (0.65-2.13)$ 0.58 $14/14$ $1.53 (0.84-2.78)$ 0.1 Stomal VEGFR3 $15/17$ Reference $ 54/59$ Reference $ 54/59$ $8/9$ $0.60 (0.52-1.49)$ 0.12 Stomal VEGFR3 $15/17$ Reference $ 13/17$ Reference $ 17/19$ $1.53 (0.84-2.78)$ 0.1 Stomal VEGFR3 $15/17$ Reference $ 13/14$ $1.18 (0.65-2.13)$ 0.58 $14/14$ $1.53 (0.84-2.78)$ 0.1 Stomal VEGFR3 $0.83 (0.54-1.42)$ $0.81 (0.45-1.42)$ $0.84 (0.47-1.50)$ 0.55 $33/37$ $120 (0.66-2.19)$ 0.1 <	Both high	17/19	0.95 (0.56-1.61)	0.85	16/19	0.90 (0.52-1.55)	0.69	15/16	0.86 (0.48-1.53)	0.60	14/16	1.06 (0.58-1.92)	0.85
Other $73/83$ Reference- $65/83$ Reference- $57/61$ Reference- $54/61$ Reference-Both high $17/17$ $1.32(0.78-2.24)$ 0.30 $16/17$ $1.18(0.68-2.05)$ 0.55 $8/9$ $0.62(0.29-1.30)$ 0.21 $8/9$ $0.69(0.32-1.46)$ 0.5 Tumor VEGFR3/VEGFA $67/75$ Reference- $62/75$ Reference- $54/59$ $8/9$ $0.69(0.32-1.46)$ 0.5 Tumor VEGFR3/VEGFA $67/75$ Reference- $62/75$ Reference- $51/59$ $8/9$ $0.69(0.32-1.46)$ 0.5 Other $67/75$ Reference- $62/75$ Reference- $54/59$ Reference- $51/59$ Reference-Both high $27/31$ $0.89(0.57-1.40)$ 0.61 $24/31$ $0.87(0.54-1.39)$ 0.55 $14/14$ $1.18(0.65-2.13)$ 0.58 $14/14$ $1.53(0.84-2.78)$ 0.1 Stromal VEGFR3 $15/17$ Reference- $13/17$ Reference- $17/19$ Reference- $16/19$ Reference-Negative $63/70$ $0.81(0.46-1.42)$ 0.45 $88/70$ $100(0.55-1.83) > 0.99$ $34/37$ $0.84(0.47-1.50)$ 0.55 $33/37$ $1.20(0.66-2.19)$ 0.6 Negative $17/18$ Reference- $17/19$ $0.72(0.42-1.22)$ 0.22 $0.81(0.46-1.41)$ 0.45 $45/48$ $1.41(0.77-2.58)$ 0.26 $42/48$ $1.9(0.65-1.19)$ $0.8(0.59-1.90)$ <td>Tumor VEGFR2/VEGFA</td> <td></td>	Tumor VEGFR2/VEGFA												
Both high 17/17 1.32 (0.78-2.24) 0.30 16/17 1.18 (0.68-2.05) 0.55 8/9 0.62 (0.29-1.30) 0.21 8/9 0.69 (0.32-1.46) 0.53 Tumor VEGFR3/VEGFA 67/75 Reference - 54/59 Reference - 51/59 Reference - Other 0.775 Reference - 62/75 Reference - 54/59 Reference - 51/59 Reference - Other 27/31 0.89 (0.57-1.40) 0.61 24/31 0.87 (0.54-1.39) 0.55 14/14 1.18 (0.65-2.13) 0.58 14/14 1.53 (0.84-2.78) 0.1 Stromal VEGFR3 1 15/17 Reference - 13/17 Reference - 15/19 0.58 14/14 1.53 (0.84-2.78) 0.1 Negative 15/17 Reference - 17/19 Reference - 16/19 Reference - 16/19 1.53 (0.84-2.79) 0.1 Stromal VEGF 1 13/17	Other	73/83	Reference	,	65/83	Reference	ı	57/61	Reference	,	54/61	Reference	,
Timor VEGFR3/VEGFATimor VEGFR3/VEGFATimor VEGFR3/VEGFAOther $67/75$ Reference $ 54/59$ Reference $ 51/59$ Reference $-$ Other $67/75$ Reference $ 54/59$ Reference $ 51/59$ Reference $ 51/59$ Reference $-$ Both high $27/31$ 0.89 $0.57-1.40$ 0.61 $24/31$ 0.87 $(0.54-1.39)$ 0.55 $14/14$ 1.18 $(0.65-2.13)$ 0.58 $14/14$ 1.53 $(0.84-2.78)$ 0.1 Stromal VEGFR3 $15/17$ Reference $ 13/17$ Reference $ 17/19$ Reference $ 16/19$ Reference $-$ Negative $63/70$ 0.81 $(0.46-1.42)$ 0.45 1.00 $(0.55-1.83)$ > 0.99 $34/37$ 0.84 $(0.47-1.50)$ 0.55 $33/37$ 1.20 $(0.66-2.19)$ 0.1 Stomal VEGFA $ 17/18$ Reference $ 17/19$ Reference $ 17/19$ $(0.6-2.19)$ 0.55 $33/37$ 1.20 $(0.66-2.19)$ 0.55 Negative $ 17/18$ Reference $ 14/16$ Reference $ 14/16$ Reference $ 14/16$ Reference $-$ Stomal VEGFA $ 17/18$ Reference $ 14/16$ Reference $ 14/16$ $Reference 14/16Reference-Stomal VEGFA 17/190.22$	Both high	17/17	1.32 (0.78-2.24)	0.30	16/17	1.18 (0.68-2.05)	0.55	8/9	0.62 (0.29-1.30)	0.21	8/9	0.69 (0.32-1.46)	0.33
Other $67/75$ Reference- $62/75$ Reference- $54/59$ Reference- $51/59$ Reference-Both high $27/31$ 0.89 $0.57-1.40$ 0.61 $24/31$ 0.87 $(0.54-1.39)$ 0.55 $14/14$ 1.18 $(0.65-2.13)$ 0.58 $14/14$ 1.53 $(0.84-2.78)$ 0.1 Stromal VEGFR315/17Reference- $13/17$ Reference- $13/17$ Reference- $16/19$ Reference- $16/19$ Reference-Negative $63/70$ 0.81 $(0.46-1.42)$ 0.45 $58/70$ 1.00 $(0.55-1.83)$ 0.99 $34/37$ 0.84 $(0.47-1.50)$ 0.55 $33/37$ 1.20 $(0.66-2.19)$ 0.5 Stromal VEGFA $17/18$ Reference- $15/18$ Reference- $14/16$ Reference- $14/16$ Reference-Stromal VEGFA $17/18$ Reference- $15/18$ Reference- $14/16$ Reference- $14/16$ Reference-Negative $71/79$ 0.72 0.22 $64/79$ 0.81 $(0.46-1.41)$ 0.45 $45/48$ 1.41 $(0.77-2.58)$ 0.26 $42/48$ 1.08 $(0.59-1.98)$ 0.81	Tumor VEGFR3/VEGFA												
Both high $27/31$ 0.89 $(0.57-1.40)$ 0.61 $24/31$ 0.87 $(0.54-1.39)$ 0.55 $14/14$ 1.18 $(0.65-2.13)$ 0.58 $14/14$ 1.53 $(0.84-2.78)$ 0.12 Stromal VEGFR311Reference- $13/17$ Reference- $13/17$ Reference- $16/19$ Reference-Negative $63/70$ 0.81 $(0.46-1.42)$ 0.45 $58/70$ 1.00 $(0.55-1.83)$ >0.99 $34/37$ 0.84 $(0.47-1.50)$ 0.55 $33/37$ 1.20 $(0.66-2.19)$ 0.12 Negative $63/70$ 0.81 $(0.46-1.42)$ 0.45 $58/70$ 1.00 $(0.55-1.83)$ >0.99 $34/37$ 0.84 $(0.47-1.50)$ 0.55 $33/37$ 1.20 $(0.66-2.19)$ 0.12 Negative $17/18$ Reference $ 13/17$ Reference $ 14/16$ Reference $ 14/16$ Reference $-$ Negative $71/79$ 0.72 $(0.42-1.22)$ 0.22 $(0.45-1.41)$ 0.45 $45/48$ 1.41 $(0.77-2.58)$ 0.26 $42/48$ 1.08 $(0.59-1.98)$ 0.06	Other	67/75	Reference	·	62/75	Reference	ı	54/59	Reference		51/59	Reference	
Stromal VEGFR3 Stromal VEGFR3 15/17 Reference - 13/17 Reference - 16/19 Reference - 16/19 Reference - - 16/19 Reference - - 16/19 Reference - 16/19 10.0 0.55 33/37 1.20 0.66-2.19 0.5	Both high	27/31	0.89 (0.57-1.40)	0.61	24/31	0.87 (0.54-1.39)	0.55	14/14	1.18 (0.65-2.13)	0.58	14/14	1.53 (0.84-2.78)	0.17
Negative 15/17 Reference - 13/17 Reference - 17/19 Reference - 16/19 Reference - 16/14 Reference -	Stromal VEGFR3												
Positive 63/70 0.81 (0.46-1.42) 0.45 58/70 1.00 (0.55-1.83) >0.99 34/37 0.84 (0.47-1.50) 0.55 33/37 1.20 (0.66-2.19) 0.5 Stromal VEGFA Image: Magnitive Imagnitive Image: Magnitive Imagn	Negative	15/17	Reference	ı	13/17	Reference	ı	17/19	Reference	ı	16/19	Reference	ı
Stromal VEGFA - 15/18 Reference - 14/16 Reference - 14/16 Reference - - 12/19 10.8 (0.59-1.98) 0.8 10.0177-2.58) 0.26 42/48 1.08 (0.59-1.98) 0.8 10.8	Positive	63/70	0.81 (0.46-1.42)	0.45	58/70	1.00 (0.55-1.83)	> 0.99	34/37	0.84 (0.47-1.50)	0.55	33/37	1.20 (0.66-2.19)	0.56
Negative 17/18 Reference - 15/18 Reference - 14/16 Reference - 10.80 0.59-1.98 0.8 Positive 71/79 0.72 0.42/79 0.22 64/79 0.81 0.45 45/48 1.41 0.77-2.58 0.26 42/48 1.08 0.59-1.98 0.8	Stromal VEGFA												
Positive 71/79 0.72 (0.42-1.22) 0.22 64/79 0.81 (0.46-1.41) 0.45 45/48 1.41 (0.77-2.58) 0.26 42/48 1.08 (0.59-1.98) 0.8	Negative	17/18	Reference	,	15/18	Reference	ı	14/16	Reference	ı	14/16	Reference	ı
	Positive	71/79	0.72 (0.42-1.22)	0.22	64/79	0.81 (0.46-1.41)	0.45	45/48	1.41 (0.77-2.58)	0.26	42/48	1.08 (0.59-1.98)	0.80
	vascular endothelial growth tac	stor recept	tor.										

role of VEGF/VEGFR axis in the development of metastases is supported by many studies. Interestingly, Ning et al. [15], some years ago, showed that the activation of VEGFR1 can induce epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), promoting migration and invasion of BC cells. Previously, it had been documented that VEGF and its receptors VEGFR1 and 2 exert an osteolytic role in bone metastases from BC [16]. Furthermore, Kaplan et al. [17], using a mouse model, have shown that VEGFR1-positive bone marrow-derived hematopoietic progenitor cells participate in the formation of tumor-specific pre-metastatic sites. More recently, Sadremomtaz et al. [18] published that dual blockade of VEG-FR1 and VEGFR2 by a novel peptide can stop VEGF-driven metastasis via manipulation of PI3K/AKT and MAPK/ ERK1/2 signaling pathways. Although the association of VEGFR1 with increased visceral metastases seems contradictory to the associated increased survival, it may not be so in the setting of HER2-positive tumors treated with trastuzumab, further referring to the association of HER2 pathways and angiogenesis blockade, namely via the PI3K/AKT and MAPK/ERK1/2 pathways. In a study of angiogenesis in early BC, VEGFR1 was associated with better diseasefree survival in ER/PR-positive BC but worse disease-free survival in triple-negative BC but no statisitical significance was observed to HER2-positive BC [19]. The varying results compared to the present work, introduce the question of heterogeneity of angiogenesis-related parameters and their significance in early versus advanced/metastatic BCs stemming from the associated clonal evolution of tumors. These findings point to the following hypothesis: the expression of angiogenesis-related parameters and their significance may vary among both the various intrinsic subtypes and the stage of BC. The question may be addressed in larger cohorts of early or metastatic BC, along with the immune profile of tumors, in light of the combinations of treatment modalities, particularly in metastatic BC [20].

Also interesting was the marginally significant association of tumor VEGFR2 expression with improved PFS in patients with HER2-negative tumors. This is the first report according to our knowledge, which implicates VEGR2 in the clinical outcome of HER2-negative patients. In preclinical mouse models of *HER2*-amplified BC brain metastasis, combined targeting of HER2 (trastuzumab and lapatinib) with an anti-VEGFR2 antibody significantly reduced tumor growth prolonging median OS 5-fold [21]. Yan et al. [22] have reported that VEGFR2 expression in BC was positively correlated with LN metastasis and negatively with OS. In addition, in the same study, higher VEGFR2 expression was associated EMT markers (such as Twist1 and Vimentin), implicating VEGFR2 in EMT of BC. Thus, the prognostic value of VEGFR2 in trastuzumab-treated BC remains to be further clarified in larger studies.

Discordance between local and central evaluation of HER2, as observed herein, have been previously reported from our group [10,23] and others [24-26]. Central assessment of the first 104 cases enrolled in the National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project (NSABP) Protocol B-31 (NSABP B-31) did not confirm the community-based initial evaluation in 18% of cases [24]. Similarly, in the N9831 trial of 119 patients, there was poor concordance (74%) between local and central testing for HER2 [25]. More recently, Griggs et al. [26], on a cohort of 367 patients, reported that HER2 discordance by IHC between local and central laboratories was 26%. Interestingly, 4% of patients initially characterized as negative were finally positive upon retesting [27]. These observations support the necessity of centralization of HER2 testing in order to maximize the number of patients appropriately treated with trastuzumab, who will experience clinical benefit, minimizing concurrently those who will face side effects without any benefit.

Despite the promising results of the current study, we have to acknowledge some limitations. A weakness of our study is that it has been performed based on the analysis of retrospectively collected data, and in a relatively small cohort. Another weakness relates to the heterogeneity of the cohort with regards to trastuzumab exposure. Moreover, a twophase design should also be used to validate the value of the studied biomarkers.

To conclude, our findings suggest that angiogenesisrelated factors may have significant prognostic value for BC patients with metastatic disease treated with transtuzumabbased regimens. It seems that VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 are the most promising markers, however, more studies are needed to further define their potential clinical value.

Electronic Supplementary Material

Supplementary materials are available at Cancer Research and Treatment website (https://www.e-crt.org).

Ethical Statement

The study was conducted following the Helsinki Declaration on ethical guidelines (2013) was approved by the Bioethics Committee of the Aristotle University of Thessaloniki School of Medicine (Protocol #4283; January 14, 2008) under the general title "Investigation of major mechanisms of resistance to treatment with trastuzumab in patients with metastatic breast cancer" and was performed by the Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group (HeCOG). All patients had provided written informed consent forms, before receiving any treatment, with the exception of patients treated before 2005, for whom a waiver of consent was granted by the Bioethics Committee.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the analysis: Kourea HP, Kotoula V, Fountzilas G.

Collected the data: Kourea HP, Batistatou A, Asimaki-Vlachopoulou A, Pavlakis K.

Contributed data or analysis tools: Kourea HP, Batistatou A, Asimaki-Vlachopoulou A, Pavlakis K, Galani E, Pentheroudakis G, Pectasides D, Bafaloukos D, Res E, Papakostas P, Koutras A, Fountzilas G. Performed the analysis: Koliou GA.

Wrote the paper: Kourea HP, Dimitrakopoulos FI, Koliou GA, Papadopoulou K.

Supervision, Project administration: Kourea HP, Dimitrakopoulos FI, Fountzilas G.

Review and editing: Batistatou A, Asimaki-Vlachopoulou A, Pavlakis K, Galani E, Pentheroudakis G, Pectasides D, Bafaloukos D, Res E, Papakostas P, Koutras A.

Investigation: Papadopoulou K.

Investigation, Review & Editing: Bobos M, Chrisafi S, Chatzopoulos K.

Supervision: Kotoula V.

References

- 1. Momenimovahed Z, Salehiniya H. Epidemiological characteristics of and risk factors for breast cancer in the world. Breast Cancer (Dove Med Press). 2019;11:151-64.
- 2. Harbeck N, Penault-Llorca F, Cortes J, Gnant M, Houssami N, Poortmans P, et al. Breast cancer. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2019; 5:66.
- 3. Slamon DJ, Clark GM, Wong SG, Levin WJ, Ullrich A, McGuire WL. Human breast cancer: correlation of relapse and survival with amplification of the HER-2/neu oncogene. Science. 1987;235:177-82.
- 4. Slamon DJ, Leyland-Jones B, Shak S, Fuchs H, Paton V, Bajamonde A, et al. Use of chemotherapy plus a monoclonal antibody against HER2 for metastatic breast cancer that overexpresses HER2. N Engl J Med. 2001;344:783-92.
- Madu CO, Wang S, Madu CO, Lu Y. Angiogenesis in breast cancer progression, diagnosis, and treatment. J Cancer. 2020; 11:4474-94.
- 6. Alameddine RS, Otrock ZK, Awada A, Shamseddine A. Crosstalk between HER2 signaling and angiogenesis in breast cancer: molecular basis, clinical applications and challenges. Curr Opin Oncol. 2013;25:313-24.
- 7. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. JAMA. 2013;310:2191-4.
- 8. Linardou H, Kalogeras KT, Kronenwett R, Alexopoulou Z, Wirtz RM, Zagouri F, et al. Prognostic significance of VEGFC and VEGFR1 mRNA expression according to HER2 status in breast cancer: a study of primary tumors from patients with high-risk early breast cancer participating in a randomized

ORCID iDs

Helen P. Kourea : https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7600-6973 Foteinos-Ioannis Dimitrakopoulos : https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2402-2549

Conflicts of Interest

H.P.K received Honoraria by Roche. G.P. received Research Funding by Roche and had Advisory Role. D.P. had Advisory Role and received Honoraria by Roche. P.P. had Advisory Role and received Honoraria by Roche. A.K. had advisory role, Roche. G.F. participated in Advisory Board organized by Roche. The rest of the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by a research grant from F. Hoffmann-La Roche and by an internal HeCOG research grant (HE TRANS_BR). The authors are indebted to the patients and their families for their trust and participation in the present registry.

Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group Trial. Anticancer Res. 2015;35:4023-36.

- 9. Fountzilas G, Kourea HP, Bobos M, Televantou D, Kotoula V, Papadimitriou C, et al. Paclitaxel and bevacizumab as first line combined treatment in patients with metastatic breast cancer: the Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group experience with biological marker evaluation. Anticancer Res. 2011;31:3007-18.
- Gogas H, Kotoula V, Alexopoulou Z, Christodoulou C, Kostopoulos I, Bobos M, et al. MYC copy gain, chromosomal instability and PI3K activation as potential markers of unfavourable outcome in trastuzumab-treated patients with metastatic breast cancer. J Transl Med. 2016;14:136.
- 11. Hennig G, Gehrmann M, Stropp U, Brauch H, Fritz P, Eichelbaum M, et al. Automated extraction of DNA and RNA from a single formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue section for analysis of both single-nucleotide polymorphisms and mRNA expression. Clin Chem. 2010;56:1845-53.
- 12. Lebok P, Huber J, Burandt EC, Lebeau A, Marx AH, Terracciano L, et al. Loss of membranous VEGFR1 expression is associated with an adverse phenotype and shortened survival in breast cancer. Mol Med Rep. 2016;14:1443-50.
- 13. Ghosh S, Sullivan CA, Zerkowski MP, Molinaro AM, Rimm DL, Camp RL, et al. High levels of vascular endothelial growth factor and its receptors (VEGFR-1, VEGFR-2, neuropilin-1) are associated with worse outcome in breast cancer. Hum Pathol. 2008;39:1835-43.
- 14. Kosaka Y, Kataoka A, Yamaguchi H, Ueo H, Akiyoshi S, Sengoku N, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 mRNA overexpression in peripheral blood as a useful prog-

nostic marker in breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res. 2012;14:R140.

- 15. Ning Q, Liu C, Hou L, Meng M, Zhang X, Luo M, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-1 activation promotes migration and invasion of breast cancer cells through epithelial-mesenchymal transition. PLoS One. 2013;8:e65217.
- 16. Aldridge SE, Lennard TW, Williams JR, Birch MA. Vascular endothelial growth factor acts as an osteolytic factor in breast cancer metastases to bone. Br J Cancer. 2005;92:1531-7.
- 17. Kaplan RN, Riba RD, Zacharoulis S, Bramley AH, Vincent L, Costa C, et al. VEGFR1-positive haematopoietic bone marrow progenitors initiate the pre-metastatic niche. Nature. 2005; 438:820-7.
- 18. Sadremomtaz A, Mansouri K, Alemzadeh G, Safa M, Rastaghi AE, Asghari SM. Dual blockade of VEGFR1 and VEGFR2 by a novel peptide abrogates VEGF-driven angiogenesis, tumor growth, and metastasis through PI3K/AKT and MAPK/ ERK1/2 pathway. Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj. 2018;1862: 2688-700.
- 19. Goussia A, Simou N, Zagouri F, Manousou K, Lazaridis G, Gogas H, et al. Associations of angiogenesis-related proteins with specific prognostic factors, breast cancer subtypes and survival outcome in early-stage breast cancer patients: a Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group (HeCOG) trial. PLoS One. 2018;13:e0200302.
- 20. Esteva FJ, Hubbard-Lucey VM, Tang J, Pusztai L. Immunotherapy and targeted therapy combinations in metastatic breast cancer. Lancet Oncol. 2019;20:e175-86.
- 21. Kodack DP, Chung E, Yamashita H, Incio J, Duyverman AM,

Song Y, et al. Combined targeting of HER2 and VEGFR2 for effective treatment of HER2-amplified breast cancer brain metastases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109:E3119-27.

- 22. Yan JD, Liu Y, Zhang ZY, Liu GY, Xu JH, Liu LY, et al. Expression and prognostic significance of VEGFR-2 in breast cancer. Pathol Res Pract. 2015;211:539-43.
- 23. Koutras A, Lazaridis G, Koliou GA, Kouvatseas G, Christodoulou C, Pectasides D, et al. Evaluation of the prognostic value of all four HER family receptors in patients with metastatic breast cancer treated with trastuzumab: a Hellenic Cooperative Oncology Group (HeCOG) study. PLoS One. 2018; 13:e0207707.
- 24. Paik S, Bryant J, Tan-Chiu E, Romond E, Hiller W, Park K, et al. Real-world performance of HER2 testing: National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project experience. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2002;94:852-4.
- 25. Roche PC, Suman VJ, Jenkins RB, Davidson NE, Martino S, Kaufman PA, et al. Concordance between local and central laboratory HER2 testing in the breast intergroup trial N9831. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2002;94:855-7.
- 26. Griggs JJ, Hamilton AS, Schwartz KL, Zhao W, Abrahamse PH, Thomas DG, et al. Discordance between original and central laboratories in ER and HER2 results in a diverse, population-based sample. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2017;161:375-84.
- 27. Kaufman PA, Bloom KJ, Burris H, Gralow JR, Mayer M, Pegram M, et al. Assessing the discordance rate between local and central HER2 testing in women with locally determined HER2-negative breast cancer. Cancer. 2014;120:2657-64.