ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Myopericytoma arising from myopericytosis—a hitherto unrecognized entity within the lung

Ulrike Gruber-Moesenbacher¹ · Alicia Morresi- Hauff¹ · Katja Behr² · Helmut Popper³

Received: 18 August 2020 / Revised: 28 October 2020 / Accepted: 15 November 2020 / Published online: 26 November 2020 (© The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

Two cases of myopericytosis combined with pericytoma originating within the lung are reported. These are rare pulmonary tumors. The differential diagnosis for hemangiopericytoma and pericytic tumors with glomus elements is discussed. Both myopericytic lesions mimic other lesions, which are more commonly seen in the lung. Based on the expression of vascular growth factor receptors 2 and 3, an antiangiogenic therapy was suggested for the patient with the myopericytoma. A treatment with an angiogenesis inhibitor resulted in a regression of the tumor, but not the precursor lesion. Probably a more specific therapy using tyrosine kinase inhibitors for VEGFR2/3 might better control these myopericytic proliferations.

Keywords Myopericytosis · Myopericytoma · Pericytic tumor · Lung

Introduction

Pericytic tumors are rare tumors encountered in the lung. They comprise a spectrum of hemangiopericytoma, infantile myofibromatosis, tumors with glomus elements, and smooth muscle cells. They all show a perivascular proliferation intimately associated with blood vessels. They can form large tumors but also diffuse spreading lesions with multicentricity. The vascular structures can be prominent as in hemangiopericytoma, or branching vessels with epithelioid cells as in glomangiopericytoma. Some tumors lack these prominent vascular structures but show more smooth muscle cell proliferations as in myopericytoma [8]. Most tumors in this pericytic lineage have been described in soft tissues and skin, whereas they are rare in the lung [1, 20, 33]. Another tumor, solitary fibrous tumor, which is frequently encountered in the pleura may also occur within the lung and previously was confused with pericytic tumors. However, due to its characteristic molecular alteration (STAT6-NAB2 fusion), SFT can be clearly separated from the pericytic tumors [26].

Helmut Popper helmut.popper@medunigraz.at

- ¹ Institute of Pathology, Helios Clinics, Gauting, Germany
- ² Institute of Pathology, Mittelthueringen, Bad Berka, Germany
- ³ Institute of Pathology, Medical University of Graz, Neue Stiftingtalstrasse 6, 8036 Graz, Austria

Here, we report on two precursor lesions for myopericytoma arising primarily within the lung with quite different morphologies, in one case also with a subsequent tumor development. In addition, we identified a treatment option for this kind of tumors.

Clinical history

Case 1

A female patient aged 41 years presented with bilateral reticulonodular infiltrates. An open lung biopsy was taken. Due to some small cysts and the bilateral infiltration, a different diagnosis was made in an outside pathology department and by a consultant.

Five years later, the patient presented with the same reticulonodular infiltrations but in addition with a large nodular mass in the left hemithorax (Fig. 1). A fine needle biopsy was taken from the mass. This biopsy was received for consultation and also the original tissue was submitted for rereview.

After a diagnosis was established, the patient initially was treated with antihormonal therapy because of focal positivity of tumor cells for progesterone receptor. Surgery was excluded because of the preexisting diffuse process in both lungs. As this did not result in any improvement, chemotherapy was installed but withdrawn because of tumor progression.



Fig. 1 CT scan showing the large tumor in the left lung of patient 1

Based on the results of the pathological examinations and suggestions for a change in the therapy protocol, gemcitabine combined with bevacizumab was administered. This resulted in a dramatic tumor shrinkage within 6 weeks, but the reticulonodular infiltrates did not change much. During the antiangiogenic therapy, massive bleeding occurred, which necessitated interruption of the treatment. After 2 months, a recurrence of the tumor was noted on control CT scan, and the patient was asked to continue the therapy. However, the patient refused further treatment and finally died 2 months later. No autopsy was performed.

Case 2

An 82-year-old female patient presented with a tumor nodule in the left lower lobe, and in addition with small trabecular infiltrations. In addition to a tumor, also lymphangioleiomyomatosis was suspected. An open lung biopsy was taken. An adenocarcinoma was diagnosed but additional nodular and trabecular infiltrations were recognized, which did not fit into any suspected diagnosis. Therefore, the tissues were sent for consultation.

Material and methods

Tissue blocks were received from both patients. Fourmicrometer-thin sections were stained by hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), followed by a series of immunohistological investigations (Table 1). An informed consent was received from the patients; an ethical vote was issued by the Ethics Committee of the Medical University (EK 24-135 ex 11/12). All clinical data were anonymized.

Results

Morphology of diffuse and nodular lesions of case 1

Four years before the tumor was recognized, open lung biopsies had been taken, because of diffuse bilateral lung infiltrations; a Langerhans cell histiocytosis was suspected. In these biopsies, several nodular lesions with a diameter of up to 1.2 mm were seen in addition to diffuse dark-stained infiltrations (Fig. 2a). On higher magnification, a dense infiltration by cells with round-to-spindle-shaped dark nuclei and a basophilic, focally clear cytoplasm was seen in the nodular and diffuse lesions (Fig. 2b, c). A few larger cells with clear cytoplasm were seen (Fig. 2d). These cells showed an intimate association with blood vessels. The pneumocyte layers were unaffected.

The tumor was composed of similar cells (Fig. 2e), but there were more clear cells and even some giant cells (Fig. 2f). Focally, cells presenting with spindle cell morphology and cytoplasmic filaments were suggestive for smooth muscle cells (Fig. 2f).

Morphology of trabecular lesions in case 2

Besides the adenocarcinoma, only diffuse infiltrations were seen. On low magnification, these sheets of presumable epithelial or epithelioid cells resembled neuroendocrine cell or multinodular pneumocyte hyperplasia (Fig. 3a, b). On higher magnification, the cells presented with round slightly enlarged nuclei and an eosinophilic cytoplasm (Fig. 3c, d, e). Clear cells were rare. These proliferations, too, were intimately associated with blood vessels (Fig. 2e). Focally, the cells changed to a more spindle cell morphology and presented cytoplasmic filamentous structures (Fig. 2c, e).

Immunohistochemistry of both reticulonodular lesions including the tumor in case 1 (Table 2) was negative for two different pan-cytokeratin and cytokeratin 7 antibodies, neuroendocrine markers (CGA, Synaptophysin, PG9.5), S100 protein, vascular markers (CD31, CD34, factors VIIIAG and XIII, ERG) (Fig. 4a, b, c), podoplanin, common leukocyte antigen (CD45), calretinin, TTF1, p40, and desmin.

Both lesions and the tumor were in part positive for smooth muscle actin (SMA) (Fig. 4g, h), progesterone receptor, and vimentin (Fig. 4d). Either vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 or 3 (VEGFR2, VEGFR3) (Fig. 4e, f), vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A), or endothel kinase Tie2 was positive. Tuberin was positive in the diffuse process in case 1; single cells in case 2 were stained positively for caldesmon and MyoFD5. HMB45 was positive in few tumor cells of case 1, but negative in case 2. MITF and Melan A were negative in case 2 and hamartin in case 1 (for details, see Table 2). Cathepsin K was negative in case 2, whereas platelet-derived growth factor B (PDGFRB) (Fig. 4i, j) was

Table 1 List of antibodies, dilution, and visualization

Antibody	Source	Dilution	Visualization
Pancytokeratin	Dako clone HNF116,	1:100	Ventana ultraView
CD 56 (NCAM)	Novocastra clone 1B6	1:100	Ventana ultraView
LCA CD45	Dako clone PD7+2B11	1:4000	
S100 protein	Dako	1:2000	Protease XXIV Dako ENV (K5007)
Vimentin	Linaris clone V9	Ready to use	
Desmin	Dako clone DE-R11	1:200	
Smooth muscle actin	Sigma clone 1A4	1:5000	CC1 Ventana ultraView
CD31	Dako clone JC70A	1:50	Protease XXIV Dako ENV (K5007)
CD34	Neomarkers clone QBEnd10	1:800	CC1 Ventana ultraView
CD68	Dako clone KP1	1:300	Prot1 Ventana iview
Ki67	Ventana clone K2	Ready to use	
HMB45	Dako clone HMB45	1:100	
ER	Dako clone 1D5	Ready to use	
PG	Dako clone PgR636	Ready to use	
VEGF-A	Santa Cruz clone 1-20	1:500	MW Tris Dako ENV (K5007)
VEGFR-2	Santa Cruz clone A3	1:50	CC1 Ventana iview
VEGFR-3	Santa Cruz clone c-20	1:200	CC1 Ventana iview
Endothel kinase Tie2	Santa Cruz clone H176	1:50	CC1 Ventana iview
cAMP kinase alpha	Santa Cruz	1:200	
Hamartin	Santa Cruz clone H300	1:50	CC1 Ventana iview
Tuberin	Santa Cruz clone N19	1:100	CC1 Ventana iview
Calretinin (SP65)	Ventana 790-4467	Rtu	CC1 Ventana ultraView
Podoplanin D2-40	Dako M3619	1:100	MW6.0 Dako ENV(K5007)
Factor VIIIAG (F8/86)	Dako M0616	1:1000	Prot1 Ventana iview
HHV8	Novocastra 13B10	1:25	
CD35	Dako clone Ber MAC DRC	1:10	
Cytokeratin 7 Clone OV-TL	Dako M7018	1:100	Protease Dako ENV (K5007)
CAM5.2	BD Bioscience 345779	Rtu	Prot1 Ventana ultraView
AE1/3	Dako M3515	1:50	Protease Dako ENV (K5007)
Chromogranin A Ab3	Thermo Scientific MS-382-P	1:3000	CC1 Ventana ultraView
Svnaptophysin	Dako M7315	1:50	CC1 Ventana ultraView
Estrogen receptor EP1	Dako IR084	Rtu	Dako Omnis high pH
Progesterone receptor PgR1294	Dako GA090	Rtu	Dako Omnis high pH
TTF1 (8G7G3/1)	Dako 3575	1:100	MW9.0 Dako ENV (K5007)
P40 BC28	Ventana 790-4950	Rtu	CC1 Ventana OptiView
HMB45	Dako GA052	Rtu	Dako Omnis high pH
MelanA A103	Dako IR633	Rtu	Dako Omnis high pH
MITF Clone D5	Dako M3621	1:40	MW Tris Dako ENV (K5007)
MIB1 Ki67	Dako GA6266	Rtu	Dako Omnis low pH
Vimentin C9	Dako GA630	Rtu	Dako Omnis high pH
Desmin D33	Cell Marque 243M-16	1:50	CC1 Ventana ultraView
Factor XIII	Calbiochem 233498	1:1000	Prot1 Ventana iview
ERG EPR3864	Abcam ab133264	1:200	CC1 Ventana ultraView
S100 protein	Dako GA504	Rtu	Dako Omnis low pH
Caldesmon h-CD	Dako GA054	Rtu	Dako Omnis high pH
MyoD1 5.8A	Dako M3512	1:50	MWDako6.0 Dako ENV (K5007)
Cathepsin K	Abcam ab37259	1:1000	CC1 Ventana ultraView
PDGFR beta	Neomarkers RB1692-P	1:100	MW pH 9.0, 150W, Dako Envision K5007 DAB

positive in the lesions from case 2. Case 1 could not be evaluated because the tumor tissue was completely consumed.

Discussion

Both cases presented with a multifocal diffuse infiltration, in case 1 associated with small nodules. Four years later, a large tumor was seen on CT scan. An epithelial nature of the tumor cells was excluded by immunohistochemical stains for epithelial markers. For these lesions, several differential diagnoses were raised: A lymphoid lesion was excluded in case 1, and a neuroendocrine proliferation in case 2. Because of the intimate association with blood vessels in both cases, different endothelial markers were investigated, which highlighted the blood vessels within the proliferation, but were negative for the tumor cells. A pure smooth muscle cell proliferation was excluded, because many cells were negative for myogenic markers; however, cells within these lesions focally stained for SMA. Precursor lesions for a solitary fibrous tumor in case 1 could be excluded by negativity for CD34. Solitary fibrous tumor can occur within the lung and sometimes present with a hemangiopericytic pattern; however, additional to CD34, which was negative in both cases, they express STAT6, which was negative in case 2 also. Case 2 resembled a multifocal pneumocyte hyperplasia [23], but as it was negative for cytokeratin and TTF1 could be excluded. Diffuse neuroendocrine hyperplasia also can mimic the lesions in case 2. However, a negative reaction for neuroendocrine markers and cytokeratin helped to exclude this entity too.

Hemangioma or glomangioma patterns were not present in both cases. The majority of the diffuse proliferation in both cases was positive for the mesenchymal marker vimentin, and there were few clear cells positive for HMB45 in case 1. A PEComa could be excluded in case 2 due to negativity for MITF and cathepsin K [5, 18]. This all pointed to a pericytic differentiation. Pericytes in the fetal period are responsible for the formation of the outer vascular wall, whereas the endothelia are formed from bone marrow-derived mesenchymal precursor cells [2]. Pericyte precursor cells differentiate into smooth muscle cells, pericytes, and perivascular epithelioid cells (PEC) [3, 24]. This can be seen in both cases: focally, these cells differentiated into smooth muscle cells, and in the tumor, focal HMB45-positive perivascular epithelioid cells (PEC) are seen. In the diffuse proliferation in case 1, there were some associated endothelial proliferations with doubling of capillaries, but again no classical angiomatous pattern, such as endothelial-lined vascular spaces [1, 33], which excludes a hemangiopericytoma. Hemangiopericytomas have been described in the lung, expressing smooth muscle cell and

Fig. 2 Case 1: a Overview with two nodules and diffuse darkstained precursor lesion. H&E, bar 1 mm; b and c medium and high magnification of the proliferation in the nodules. Note the spindle cells with small clear cytoplasm and their intimate association with blood vessels. H&E, bars 0.1 mm and 50 µm. d High magnification of the diffuse lesion. The lesion is composed of spindle cells with small clear cytoplasm closely associated with small blood vessels. H&E, bar 20 um. e Overview of the myopericytoma. The tumor cells are associated with thin-walled blood vessels and are spindle shaped. H&E, bar 0.5 mm. f High magnification of the tumor cells. There are more epithelioid clear cells, some of them positive for HMB45, some giant cells with large nuclei, and also cells with myofilaments. H&E, × 400



Fig. 3 Case 2: a and b show an overview of two of the multifocal lesions. H&E, \times 50. In c, d, and e, higher magnification from several of these lesions is shown. Note the epithelioid cells, resembling diffuse neuroendocrine hyperplasia or also multiple nodular pneumocyte hyperplasia. In c and e, the differentiation into smooth muscle cells is suggested. H&E, \times 200



endothelial markers [1, 4, 12, 15, 17, 29, 32, 33]. Glomus tumors and glomangiopericytoma have also been reported [9, 16, 27, 28]. Our second case somehow resembled a glomus tumor or glomangiomyoma, but it lacked the angiomatoid structures; with respect to glomangiopericytoma, the prominent thin- and thick-walled blood vessels were missing. In addition, desmin staining was absent in both cases. As no extensive fibrous tissue was noted and also only a minority of tumor cells expressed SMA, an angiocentric myofibromatosis could be excluded [7]. But both cases very nicely show the evolution of this lesion from pericytosis (diffuse process in both cases) to myopericytosis to finally myopericytoma in case 1. Myopericytoma is a rare neoplasm which can arise from soft tissues, but also within organ systems [10, 11]. A few case reports are available where the location was in the lung [6, 21, 30]. Myopericytoma is one of four entities listed within the group of pericytic tumors besides glomangiopericytoma, infantile myofibromatosis, and hemangiopericytoma [11, 13]. Our first case morphologically fulfilled the classical pattern with primitive spindle cells and focal differentiation into smooth muscle as well as perivascular epithelioid cells (PEC) within the tumor. The second case presented with uniform epithelioid cells, without PEC, but focal myogenic differentiation. The immunohistochemical profile of both tumors was in line with what has been reported for myopericytomas, namely expression of smooth muscle actin and vimentin [7, 24]. Recently an activating mutation for PDGFRB was reported for myopericytoma [13]. A

Table 2Reaction of tumor cells from cases 1 and 2. Negativity for calretininand podoplanin rules out mesothelioma, negativity for SMA and desmin rulesout leiomyomatous tumors, and negativity for CD68, 45, and 35 rules out

reticulum cell tumors. A negative reaction for S100 protein rules out nerve sheet tumors. *nd* not done, either because of limited tissue or because of negative staining in previous tissues; *f* focal

Paner, bestime - - - - - Paner, foctaratin - - - - - CDS 6(NCAM) nd - - - - CDS 6(NCAM) nd - - - - CDS 6(NCAM) nd - - - - S00 protein nd - - - - S000 transcle actin - ff - - - S000 transcle actin - ff - - - - S000 transcle actin - ff -	Antibody	Diffuse process OLB 2001	Tumor cells OLB 2001	Diffuse process biopsy 2006	Tumor cells OLB 2006
PamplentineCD5 GNCMRdRdRdRdLCA CMSRdRdRdRdS100 potionRdRdRdRdS100 potionRdRdRdRdSmotinPRdRdRdSmotinS-RdRdRdSmotinS-RdRdRdSmotinS-RdRdRdCD34S-S-RdRdCD35S-S-RdRdCD36RdS-RdRdCD36RdS-S-S-CD56RdRdRdRdCD56RdRdRdRdRd7S-S-S-S-CD68RdRdRdRdRd7Rd7RdRdRdCD69Rd7RdRdRdCD69Rd7Rd7RdRdRd7Rd7Rd7Rd7RdCD69Rd7	Patient 1				
CD 56 NCAMndndLCA CD45ndS100 protinNdS100 protinNdS100 protinDesminCD34CD34NdCD34CD54NdCD54NdCD54VEGF-AVEGF-AVEGF-AVEGF-AVEGF-AVEGF-AVEGF-APGVEGF-APGPGCD40PG	Pancytokeratin	-	-	-	-
ICA CMAPnd-nd-S100 protionNomeS100 protion++++-Smoth nuscle acinSmoth nuscle acinSmoth nuscle acinCDMACDMACDMACDMACDMACDMACDMAVEGFAVEGFAVEGFAVEGFAVEGFAVEGFAVEGFAParintCDMACDMAParintParintParintParintParint	CD 56 (NCAM)	nd	nd	-	-
SN0preininndNomeninSmoothmusch andSmoothmusch and <td< td=""><td>LCA CD45</td><td>nd</td><td>-</td><td>nd</td><td>-</td></td<>	LCA CD45	nd	-	nd	-
Yune'nn++++++Dominusclauin </td <td>S100 protein</td> <td>nd</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	S100 protein	nd	-	-	-
Desmin smooth muscle and smooth muscle and	Vimentin	+	+	+	+
mean continuscleatinf-mean continuscleatinCD34CD34CD4CD58ddCD58ddCD58ddCD58odCD58FRddndnd-PGodndnd-VCG7-A++-ndVCG7-And-VCG7-A++-ndVCG7-And-VCG7-A+-nd-VCG7-And-VCG7-A+-nd-VCG7-And-VCG7-And-CG7-And-CG7-And-HanarinCAMP kinaselphiChanarinCateriniPactor VILG7ChanarinChanarinChanarinChanarin	Desmin	-	-	nd	-
manufact and QD31CD34-f+CD34-f+CD35Dd-S%25%25%ER0F+ERndndnd-FG0ndndVEGF>2nd++VEGFP-3+++++nd++Endothel kinase Tic2++++nd++Endothel kinase Tic2++-ndndVEGFP-3++++ndnd-Chotak kinase Tic2++++ndnd-Endothel kinase Tic2++++ndnd-Chotak adphandnd-Hanarinndnd-Chotak adphandChotak adphaChotak adphaChotak adphaChotak adphaChotak adphaChotak adphaChotak adphaChotak adphaChotak adphaChotak adpha-	Smooth muscle actin	_	f+	-	f+
Construct CDG8i.f.i.d.i.d.CD68ndi.d.i.d.i.d.CD68ndi.d.i.d.i.d.K6725%25%i.d.i.d.HMB45-i.d.i.d.i.d.PGndndndi.d.PGndndi.d.i.d.VEGFA-i.d.ndi.d.VEGFA-i.d.ndi.d.VEGFA-i.d.ndi.d.VEGFA-i.d.ndi.d.VEGFA-i.d.ndi.d.VEGFA-i.d.ndi.d.VEGFA-i.d.ndi.d.VEGFA-i.d.ndi.d.VEGFA-i.d.ndi.d.VEGFA-i.d.ndi.d.VEGFA-i.d.ndi.d.Hamaniah-i.d.ndi.d.Vebrin-i.d.i.d.i.d.Vebrin-i.d.i.d.i.d.Poloplinin-i.d.i.d.i.d.Poloplinin-i.d.i.d.i.d.Polotiti-i.d.i.d.i.d.Polotiti-i.d.i.d.i.d.Polotiti-i.d.i.d.i.d.Polotiti-i.d.i.d.i.d.Polotiti-i.d.i.d.i.d.Polotiti <td< td=""><td>CD31</td><td>_</td><td>-</td><td>_</td><td>-</td></td<>	CD31	_	-	_	-
CDG8ndnd-K67 \geq 5% \geq 5% \geq 5%K67 \geq 5% \geq 5% \geq 5%K67 \geq 5% \geq 5% \geq 5%ERndnd \sim 1ERndndnd \geq 5%FRndndnd \sim 1PGndndnd \sim 1VEGFR-2++++nd+endothe Kinsser Tic2++++nd+endothe Kinsser Tic2++++ndndendothe Kinsser Tic2++++ndndendothe Kinsser Tic2+++ndndendothe Kinsser Tic2+++ndndendothe Kinsser Tic2+++ndndendothe Kinsser Tic2+++ndndendothe Kinsser Tic2++ndndendothe Kinsser Tic2+-ndndendothe Kinsser Tic2+-ndndendothe Kinsser Tic2ndendothe Kinsser Tic2Codesarin 7+endothe Kinser Tic2Codesarin 7+endothe Kinser Tic2Codesarin 7+endothe Kinser Tic2endothe Kinser Ti	CD34	_	f+	_	_
Loo Klo72%2%5%5%Klo72%5%5%HMB45f+ERndnd-PGndnd-PGndnd+PG-nd+VEGFA.+-nd+VEGFAnd+Endothel kinase Tic2++++ndndEndothel kinase Tic2++++ndndEndothel kinase Tic2++++ndndEndothel kinase Tic2+++ndndEndothel kinase Tic2+++ndndCAMP kinase alphandndCallettininndndTabor VILAGTue-nodonelia+Patient 2Adenoarcinoma 2020Nolular and trabecular process 2020Cytokarain 7+Chit3SymaptophysinSymaptophysinFator VILAGSymaptophysinPator 4SymaptophysinSubarton 4Pator 5- <td< td=""><td>CD68</td><td>nd</td><td>-</td><td>nd</td><td>_</td></td<>	CD68	nd	-	nd	_
ND/A2.3%2.3%2.3%2.3%HMB456+ERndnd-PGndnd-VEGF++++VEGFA++-VEGFR3++-nd+Endothel kinase Tie2++-nd-Cathotis Kinase Tie2++-ndndHamarinndndTaberinndndCathotis Kinase Tie2+-ndndCathotis Kinase Tie2+-ndndCathotis Kinase Tie2+-ndndCathotis Kinase Tie2ndndCathotis Kinase Tie2Cathotis Kinase Tie2Cathot	V:67	> 50/-	- 50%-	> 50%-	- 50%-
IndependenceConstrained in	NIU/	≥ 370	$\leq 3\%$	≥ 370	≤ 370
DK PGndndnd-PG PGididididVEGFA VEGFAitidididVEGFAitidididVEGFAitidididVEGFB3ititididVEGFB3ititididVEGFB3itidididCMP kinase alpha thankinitidididAMP kinase alpha thankinitidididTuberin PodoplaninitidididCalretininiididididPodoplanin tokorinididididPodoplanin tokorinididididPodoplanin tokorinididididPodoplanin tokorinididididPodoplanin tokorinididididPodoplanin tokorinididididPodoplanin tokorinididididPodoplanin tokorinididididPodoplanin tokorinididididPodoplanin tokorinididididPodoplanin tokorinididididPodoplanin tokorinididididPodoplanin tokorinididididPodoplanin tokorinid <td>ED</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>1++</td>	ED	-	-	-	1++
PGNdNdNdHVEGFA	EK	nd	nd	nd	-
VECIPA++++++VECIPR-3nd++VECIPR-3++++nd++endohel kinase tilze++ndnd++endohel kinase alpha++++ndndndendohel kinase alpha++++ndndndHamarinndndndCalretininndnd-CalretininPodoplaninPodoplaninPodoplaninFactor VIIIAGTu-endothelia+PodoplaninPatient 2Adenocarcinoma 2020Nodular and trabecular process 2020Potient 2Adenocarcinoma 2020Nodular and trabecular process 2020	PG	nd	nd	nd	+
VEGR-2nd+VEGR-3++nd++Endothel kinase Tic2++++nd++cAMP kinase alpha++ndndHamarínndndTuberín++ndndTuberínndndCalertinínPodoplaninCalertinínFactor VIIIAGTu-endothelia+UTASCD35CD45CD5CM0scatin 7+CM0scatin 7+CM12+CM0scatin 7+CM13+CM14CM15+SynapolysinTTF1+PadeMB45MB45MIF1+Supon- <td>VEGF-A</td> <td>++</td> <td>++</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td>	VEGF-A	++	++	+	+
VEGR-3++++nd++chdothe kinase Tic2++++nd++chdothe kinase alpha++ndndchdothe kinase alpha++ndndndHamarinndndCaherin++ndndndCaherinndndCaherinPodoplarinru-endothetia+Factor VIIIAGTu-endothetia+CD35Patient 2Adenocarcinoma 2020Nodular and trabecular process 2020Cytokeratin 7+CAM5.2+AEL/3+SynapophysinSynapophysinProgestrom receptorPhd45PMB45MTFMB10MB11Poseiron receptorPoseiron receptorMB15 <td>VEGFR-2</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>nd</td> <td>+</td>	VEGFR-2	-	-	nd	+
Endothe kinase Trice++nd++cAMP kinase alpha++ndndHamartinndndHamartin++ndndTuberin+-ndndCaltertininPodoplaninPactor VIIIAGTu-endothelia+HNWTu-endothelia+CD35CD35Cytokeratin 7+Adenocarcinoma 2020Notular and trabecular process 2020Cytokeratin 7+Adenocarcinoma 2020Notular and trabecular process 2020Cytokeratin 7+Adenocarcinoma 2020Notular and trabecular process 2020Cytokeratin 7+AEL/3+AEL/3+SynaptophysinProgesterone receptor <td>VEGFR-3</td> <td>++</td> <td>+++</td> <td>nd</td> <td>++</td>	VEGFR-3	++	+++	nd	++
cAMP kinase alpha+++AndndHamarinndndTuberin++ndndndCalterininPodoplarinTu-endothelia+Factor VIIIAGTu-endothelia+CDS5Patient 2Adenocarcinoma 2020Nodular and trabecular process 2021CDS5Cytokeratin 7+AEL/3Chomogranin ASynaptophysinProgestrom ecceptorPMB45MIB1MIB1Stoing roteptor	Endothel kinase Tie2	++	++	nd	++
Hamatin UberinndndTuberin	cAMP kinase alpha	++	++	nd	nd
Tubenin+ndndCalretininPodoplaninFactor VIIAGTu-endothelia+HHV8CD35Patent 2Adenocarioma 2020Noular and trabecular process 2020Cytokeratin 7+Cytokeratin 7+CAM5.2+Chromogranin ASynapothysinStrongeneroctorProgesteron receptorP440HB45MIF1+MIB112%MIB112%StoaportorsontMIB1StoaportorsontStoaportorsontMIB1StoaportorsontStoaportorsontMIB1StoaportorsontStoaportorsont	Hamartin	-	-	nd	nd
Calentinin PodoplaninPodoplaninPodoplanihTuendotheliaHTVSNeudothiaHTVSCD3Patient 2Adeocarinoma 2020Nodurand trabecular process 2020Patient 2Adeocarinoma 2020Cytokeratin 74Cytokeratin 74Cytokeratin 74Chromograni ASynaptophysinPogesteronetProgesteronetProgesteronetPolitikMIBAMIBAMinthin 10Soloprini 10Minthin 10Minthin 10Minthin 10Minthin 10Minthin 10Minthin 10Minthin 10Soloprini 11	Tuberin	+	+	nd	nd
PodoplaminFactor VIIAGVu-endvtbila+HTVSCD3SCD3SCD4SCytostain 74CAM52+CAM52+CMorganin ASynapolysinStogeroneroccorSynapolysinPogesteroneroccorPM454MB454MITFPosintinSingle MishMITFPosintinSingle MishSingle MishSingle MishMishSingle MishSingle MishSingle MishMishSingle MishSingle Mish <td>Calretinin</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	Calretinin	-	-	-	-
Factor VIIIAGIu-endothelia+HTV8CD35CD35Patient 2Adenocarcinoma 2020Nodular and trabecular process 2020-Patient 2Adenocarcinoma 2020Cytokeratin 7+CAM5.2+AE1/3+Chromogranin ASynaptophysinProgen receptorProgen receptorTTF1+P40 <t< td=""><td>Podoplanin</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></t<>	Podoplanin	-	-	-	-
HHV8CD35Patient 2Adenocarcinoma 2020Nodular and trabecular process 2020Cytokeratin 7+CAM5.2+AE1/3+AE1/3+SynaptophysinSynaptophysinSynaptophysinProgestrone receptorP40P40MIB45MIB45MIB112%Pactor XIIISubpotinSubpotinSubpotinSubpotinSubpotinSubpotinSubpotinSubpotinSubpotinSubpotinSubpotin<	Factor VIIIAG	Tu-endothelia+			
CD35Patient 2Adenocarinoma 2020Nodular and trabecular process 2020Cytokeratin 7+-CAM5.2+-AE1/3+-AE1/3+-Chromogranin ASynaptophysinSynaptophysinProgesterone receptorProgesterone receptorProgesterone receptorHMB45MITF1+-MITF4MITF4MITF4MITF4MITF4MITF4MITF4SynaptophysinSilo proteinSilo protein- <td< td=""><td>HHV8</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td></td<>	HHV8	-	-	-	-
Patent 2Adenocarcinoma 2020Nodular and trabecular process 2020Cytokeratin 7+-CAM5.2+-AE1/3+-AE1/3+-Chromogranin ASynaptophysinEstrogen receptorProgestrone receptorTFI+-P40P40MB45MITFMITFMITFMITFSolo proteinStorgen receptorFUMB45MITFSolo proteinStorgen receptorMITFSolo proteinSolo proteinStorgen receptorStorgen receptorStorgen receptorMITFStorgen receptorStorgen receptorStorgen receptorMITFStorgen receptorStorgen receptorStorgen receptorStorgen receptorStorgen receptorStorgen rec	CD35	-	-	-	-
Cytokeratin 7 + - CAM5.2 + - CAM5.2 + - AE1/3 + - Chromogranin A - - Synaptophysin - - Synaptophysin - - Progesterone receptor - - Progesterone receptor - - P40 - - - P40 - - - MB45 - - - MB45 - - - MITF - - - MIB1 12% <1%	Patient 2	Adenocarcinoma 2020	Nodular and trabecular process 2020		
CAM5.2 + - AE1/3 + - AE1/3 + - Chromogranin A - - Synaptophysin - - Synaptophysin - - Estrogen receptor - - Progesterone receptor - + TTF1 + - P40 - - MB45 - - MelanA - - MITF - - MIB1 12% <1%	Cytokeratin 7	+	-		
AEI/3 + - Chromogranin A - - Synaptophysin - - Estrogen receptor - - Progesterone receptor - + TTF1 + - P40 - - MB45 - - MelanA - - MITF - - MIB1 12% Vimentin - - Factor XIII - - SIN0 protein - - SMA - - Caldesmon - - VioPD5 - Single cells+ VEGFR2 - + VEGFR3 - - Cathepsin K - -	CAM5.2	+	-		
Chromogranin A - Synaptophysin - Synaptophysin - Estrogen receptor - Progesterone receptor - TTF1 + P40 - HMB45 - MelanA - MIFF - Vimentin - 12% Vimentin - Stoto YIII - Settor XIII - Settor XIII - Settor XIII - Single cells+ - SMA - Single cells+ - VelegFR2 - VelegFR3 - Cathepsin K -	AE1/3	+	-		
Synaptophysin - Synaptophysin - Estrogen receptor - Progesterone receptor + TTF1 + P40 - HMB45 - MelanA - MITF - MIB1 12% Vimentin - Sector XIII - Vector XIII - Sector XIII - Sector XIII - Sector XIII - <td>Chromogranin A</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Chromogranin A	-	-		
Bysique parameter Summeter Estrogen receptor - Progesterone receptor - TTF1 + P40 - P40 - MB45 - MelanA - MITF - MIB1 12% Vimentin - P60 - Sillo protein - Sillo protein - Sillo protein - Sillo protein - ViengFA2 - Sillo protein - Sillo protein - Sillo protein - ViengFA2 - ViengFA2 - Sillo protein - Sillo protein - Sillo protein - ViengFA2 - ViengFA2 - Single cells+ ViengFA2 - Cathepsin K -	Synaptophysin	_	_		
Progesterone receptor - Progesterone receptor - TTF1 + P40 - HMB45 - MelanA - MITF - JUMP - MIB1 12% Vimentin - Pesrnin - Factor XIII - SI00 protein - SI00 protein - SIMA - Vingetor - Vingetor - SI00 protein - SI00 protein - SINA - Vingetor - Vingetor - SINA - VEGFR2 - VEGFR3 - Cathepsin K -	Estrogen recentor	_	_		
TrF1 + TF1 + P40 - HMB45 - MelanA - MITF - J2% Vimentin 12% Desmin - Factor XIII - Factor XIII - SNA - SMA - Vingefsch - SMA - Vingefsch - SMA - SMA - Vingefsch - Vinge cells+ Vinge cells+ Veg GFR2 - Veg GFR3 - Sunde cells+ - Cathepsin K - - - Cathepsin K - - - - - - - - - Cathepsin K -	Progesterone recentor	_	т		
Fin - P40 - HMB45 - MelanA - MITF - MIB1 12% Vimentin - pesmin - Factor XIII - IOP protein - SIM0 protein - SMA - VeGFR2 - VeGFR3 - Cathepsin K -	TTE1	-	Ŧ		
F40 - HMB45 - MelanA - MITF - MIB1 12% Vimentin - Desmin - Factor XIII - Silop protein - Silop protein - SMA - Caldesmon - MyoFD5 - VEGFR2 - VEGFR3 - Cathepsin K -	D40	Ŧ	-		
INIB45 - MelanA - MITF - MIB1 12% Vimentin - Desmin - Factor XIII - SI00 protein - SMA - Caldesmon - VingFD5 - VingFQ7 - VEGFR2 - Cathepsin K -	140 UMD 45	-	-		
Interative - - MITF - - MIB1 12% <1%	ПИD43 Malan A	-	-		
MITF - - MIB1 12% <1%	MelanA	-	-		
MIB1 12% < 1% Vimentin - + Desmin - - Factor XIII - - ERG - Vascular structures within nodules ERG - - S100 protein - - SMA - - Caldesmon - Single cells+ VEGFR2 - - VEGFR3 - - Cathepsin K - -	MIT	-	-		
Vimentin-+DesminFactor XIIIVascular structures within nodulesERG-S100 protein-SMA-Caldesmon-WyoFD5-Single cells+VEGFR2-VEGFR3-Cathepsin K-	MIBI	12%	< 1%		
Desmin - Factor XIII - Vascular structures within nodules ERG - Vascular structures within nodules ERG - - S100 protein - - SMA - + Caldesmon Single cells+ - VEGFR2 - + VEGFR3 - - Cathepsin K - -	Vimentin	-	+		
Factor XIIIVascular structures within nodulesERGS100 proteinSMA-+Caldesmon-Single cells+WpGFD5-Single cells+VEGFR2-+VEGFR3Cathepsin K	Desmin	-	-		
ERG - - S100 protein - - SMA - + Caldesmon - Single cells+ MyoFD5 - Single cells+ VEGFR2 - + VEGFR3 - - Cathepsin K - -	Factor XIII	-	-	Vascular structures within nodules	
S100 protein - SMA - Caldesmon - MyoFD5 - VEGFR2 - VEGFR3 - Cathepsin K -	ERG	-	-		
SMA - + Caldesmon - Single cells+ MyoFD5 - Single cells+ VEGFR2 - + VEGFR3 - - Cathepsin K - -	S100 protein	-	-		
Caldesmon-Single cells+MyoFDS-Single cells+VEGFR2-+VEGFR3Cathepsin K	SMA	-	+		
MyoFD5 - Single cells+ VEGFR2 - + VEGFR3 - - Cathepsin K - -	Caldesmon	-	Single cells+		
VEGFR2-+VEGFR3Cathepsin K	MyoFD5	-	Single cells+		
VEGFR3 Cathepsin K	VEGFR2	-	+		
Cathepsin K	VEGFR3	-	-		
L	Cathepsin K	-	-		
PDGFRB - +	PDGFRB	-	+		

low level of expression was reported. In our case 2, we found a moderate protein expression by immunohistochemistry.

Single case reports of myopericytomas have been reported in the lung. However, our cases are unique in the sense that they represent precursor lesions with a quite different morphological appearance, and in addition present with a tumor, arising out of this precursor lesion. In addition, we also present evidence for a more specific therapeutic intervention, using targeted therapy, which might inhibit angiogenesis. As both tumors are likely driven by VEGF Fig. 4 Immunohistochemistry for both cases: a and b CD31 showing the vascular network in one nodule (a) and the diffuse infiltration (b) of case 1, bar 50 μm; c CD31 expression in case 2, \times 200. **d** Expression of vimentin in one of the lesions of case 2, \times 200. Expression of VEGFR3 (e) in case 1 and VEGFR2 (f) in case 2. In VEGFR3, an antibody was used which detects the C-terminal end of the receptor; the nuclear reaction might be interpreted that the kinase domain is translocated into the nucleus, whereas the expression of VEGFR2 is membranous. Bar 50 μ m and \times 200. g Expression of SMA in the tumor of case 1 and in the proliferation of case 2 (h). Bar 20 μ m and × 200. **i** Negative staining for cathepsin K and positive expression of PDGFRB (j), both in case 2. Bars 100 μ m



receptors, a more selective therapy available nowadays could inhibit these receptors and interfere not only with growth signaling in the large tumor but also in the diffuse proliferation, preceding the tumor.

VEGF receptors play an important role in fetal organogenesis of the lung. VEGFR1 is predominantly driving angiogenesis in the central vascular system, whereas VEGFR2/3 plays a major role in directing the growth of the peripheral capillary and lymphatic net [14, 25]. VEGFR2/3 also plays a role in low- and high-grade angiosarcomas [19, 31]. Since pericytes and precursors in a fetal lung are also under the control of these growth factors, our findings suggest a possible way for treatment. Initially in case 1, the antibody against VEGF, bevacizumab, approved 2005, was chosen. As inhibitors of angiogenesis carry a risk for bleeding, thrombosis, and hypertension [22], a drug targeting VEGFR 2 and 3 might selectively block the receptor. Pazopanib, a TKI inhibiting VEGFR, PDGFR, and c-KIT, approved for advanced renal cell carcinoma and advanced sarcoma of soft tissue, might be an option. Ramucirumab, a monoclonal antibody selective against VEGFR2 and approved for advanced gastric cancer, could be another option. A third option might be nintedanib, a TKI targeting PDGFR, FGFR, and VEGFR in this rare kind of tumors.

Additional tissues sections from case one could be relocated and stained for MITF, cathepsin K, and PDGFRB. MITF and cathepsin K were both negative in the myopericytoma and in myopericytosis. The reaction for PDGFRB was positive in the epitheloid cells of the myopericytoma, but negative in myopericytosis.

Authors' contribution UGM and HP have designed the study and wrote the draft; AMH and KB have contributed to the case analysis and worked together with UGM and HP on the final manuscript.

Funding Open access funding provided by Medical University of Graz.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

1. Alt B, Huffer WE, Belchis DA (1983) A vascular lesion with smooth muscle differentiation presenting as a coin lesion in the

lung: glomus tumor versus hemangiopericytoma. Am J Clin Pathol 80:765–771

- Armulik A, Abramsson A, Betsholtz C (2005) Endothelial/pericyte interactions. Circ Res 97:512–523
- Bonetti F, Pea M, Martignoni G, Doglioni C, Zamboni G, Capelli P, Rimondi P, Andrion A (1994) Clear cell ("sugar") tumor of the lung is a lesion strictly related to angiomyolipoma–the concept of a family of lesions characterized by the presence of the perivascular epithelioid cells (PEC). Pathology 26:230–236
- Brega Massone PP, Lequaglie C, Conti B, Ferro F, Magnani B, Cataldo I (2002) A particular case with long-term follow-up of rare malignant hemangiopericytoma of the lung with metachronous diaphragmatic metastasis. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 50:178–180
- Caliò A, Mengoli MC, Cavazza A, Rossi G, Ghimenton C, Brunelli M, Pea M, Chilosi M, Marcolini L, Martignoni G (2018) Cathepsin K expression in clear cell "sugar" tumor (PEComa) of the lung. Virchows Arch 473:55–59. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-018-2325-1
- Cao JH, Xu JP, Li YC, Lai J, Li Q (2009) Pulmonary myopericytoma: a case report and review of the literatures. Chin Med J 122:755–757
- Coffin CM, Neilson KA, Ingels S, Frank-Gerszberg R, Dehner LP (1995) Congenital generalized myofibromatosis: a disseminated angiocentric myofibromatosis. Pediatr Pathol Lab Med 15:571–587
- Dray MS, McCarthy SW, Palmer AA, Bonar SF, Stalley PD, Marjoniemi V, Millar E, Scolyer RA (2006) Myopericytoma: a unifying term for a spectrum of tumours that show overlapping features with myofibroma. A review of 14 cases. J Clin Pathol 59:67–73. https://doi.org/10.1136/jcp.2005.028704
- Gaertner EM, Steinberg DM, Huber M, Hayashi T, Tsuda N, Askin FB, Bell SW, Nguyen B, Colby TV, Nishimura SL, Miettinen M, Travis WD (2000) Pulmonary and mediastinal glomus tumors– report of five cases including a pulmonary glomangiosarcoma: a clinicopathologic study with literature review. Am J Surg Pathol 24:1105–1114
- Gengler C, Guillou L (2006) Solitary fibrous tumour and haemangiopericytoma: evolution of a concept. Histopathology 48:63–74
- Granter SR, Badizadegan K, Fletcher CD (1998) Myofibromatosis in adults, glomangiopericytoma, and myopericytoma: a spectrum of tumors showing perivascular myoid differentiation. Am J Surg Pathol 22:513–525
- Hansen CP, Francis D, Bertelsen S (1990) Primary hemangiopericytoma of the lung. Case report. Scand J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 24:89–92
- Hung YP, Fletcher CDM (2017) Myopericytomatosis: clinicopathologic analysis of 11 cases with molecular identification of recurrent PDGFRB alterations in myopericytomatosis and myopericytoma. Am J Surg Pathol 41:1034–1044. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/pas.00000000000862
- Janer J, Lassus P, Haglund C, Paavonen K, Alitalo K, Andersson S (2006) Pulmonary vascular endothelial growth factor-C in development and lung injury in preterm infants. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 174:326–330. https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200508-1291OC
- Johnson JA 3rd, Warren ET, Heath BJ (1992) Primary pulmonary hemangiopericytoma in a 3-year-old child. Tex Heart Inst J 19:146– 147
- Katabami M, Okamoto K, Ito K, Kimura K, Kaji H (2006) Bronchogenic glomangiomyoma with local intravenous infiltration. Eur Respir J 28:1060–1064
- Kuroya M, Yokomise H, Inui K, Ike O, Mizuno H, Wada H, Hitomi S (1996) Resection of primary pulmonary hemangiopericytoma: a report of two cases. Surg Today 26:208–212
- Martignoni G, Bonetti F, Chilosi M, Brunelli M, Segala D, Amin MB, Argani P, Eble JN, Gobbo S, Pea M (2012) Cathepsin K expression in the spectrum of perivascular epithelioid cell (PEC)

lesions of the kidney. Mod Pathol 25:100–111. https://doi.org/10. 1038/modpathol.2011.136

- Miettinen M, Rikala MS, Rys J, Lasota J, Wang ZF (2012) Vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 as a marker for malignant vascular tumors and mesothelioma: an immunohistochemical study of 262 vascular endothelial and 1640 nonvascular tumors. Am J Surg Pathol 36:629–639. https://doi.org/10.1097/ PAS.0b013e318243555b
- Miller DL, Allen MS (1993) Rare pulmonary neoplasms. Mayo Clin Proc 68:492–498
- Mun JM, Kim JI, Ko TY, Park SD (2018) Surgical treatment of primary pulmonary myopericytoma. Korean J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 51:220–222. https://doi.org/10.5090/kjtcs.2018.51.3.220
- Pandey AK, Singhi EK, Arroyo JP, Ikizler TA, Gould ER, Brown J, Beckman JA, Harrison DG, Moslehi J (2018) Mechanisms of VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor) inhibitor-associated hypertension and vascular disease. Hypertension 71:e1–e8. https:// doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.117.10271
- Popper HH, Juettner-Smolle FM, Pongratz MG (1991) Micronodular hyperplasia of type II pneumocytes-a new lung lesion associated with tuberous sclerosis. Histopathology 18:347– 354
- Porter PL, Bigler SA, McNutt M, Gown AM (1991) The immunophenotype of hemangiopericytomas and glomus tumors, with special reference to muscle protein expression: an immunohistochemical study and review of the literature. Mod Pathol 4: 46–52
- Rajatapiti P, van der Horst IW, de Rooij JD, Tran MG, Maxwell PH, Tibboel D, Rottier R, de Krijger RR (2008) Expression of hypoxia-inducible factors in normal human lung development. Pediatr Dev Pathol 11:193–199. https://doi.org/10.2350/07-04-0257.1
- Robinson DR, Wu YM, Kalyana-Sundaram S, Cao X, Lonigro RJ, Sung YS, Chen CL, Zhang L, Wang R, Su F, Iyer MK, Roychowdhury S, Siddiqui J, Pienta KJ, Kunju LP, Talpaz M,

Mosquera JM, Singer S, Schuetze SM, Antonescu CR, Chinnaiyan AM (2013) Identification of recurrent NAB2-STAT6 gene fusions in solitary fibrous tumor by integrative sequencing. Nat Genet 45:180–185. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2509

- Rossle M, Bayerle W, Lohrs U (2006) Glomangioma of the lungs: a rare differential diagnosis of a pulmonary tumour. J Clin Pathol 59: 1000
- Santambrogio L, Nosotti M, Palleschi A, Gazzano G, De Simone M, Cioffi U (2011) Primary pulmonary glomangioma: a coin lesion negative on PET study. Case report and literature review. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 59:380–382. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0030-1250577
- Sekine I, Kodama T, Yokose T, Nishiwaki Y, Suzuki K, Goto K, Nagai K, Kuriyama T (1998) Rare pulmonary tumors - a review of 32 cases. Oncology 55:431–434
- Song XL, Sun XY, Zhang GL, Yu YW, Wang CH (2012) Myopericytoma presenting as multiple pulmonary nodules. Intern Med 51:639–642. https://doi.org/10.2169/internalmedicine.51. 6531
- Stacher E, Gruber-Mosenbacher U, Halbwedl I, Dei Tos AP, Cavazza A, Papotti M, Carvalho L, Huber M, Ermert L, Popper HH (2009) The VEGF-system in primary pulmonary angiosarcomas and haemangioendotheliomas: new potential therapeutic targets? Lung Cancer 65:49–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. lungcan.2008.10.031
- Van Damme H, Dekoster G, Creemers E, Hermans G, Limet R (1990) Primary pulmonary hemangiopericytoma: early local recurrence after perioperative rupture of the giant tumor mass (two cases). Surgery 108:105–109
- Yousem SA, Hochholzer L (1987) Primary pulmonary hemangiopericytoma. Cancer 59:549–555

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.