



Xenon-Enhanced Dynamic Dual-Energy CT Is Able to Quantify Sinus Ventilation Using Laminar and Pulsating Air-/Gas Flow Before and After Surgery: A Pilot Study in a Cadaver Model

Sven Becker^{1*}, Tilman Huppertz², Winfried Möller³, Miriam Havel⁴, Maria Schuster⁴, Anne Merle Becker⁴, Martin Sailer¹, Uwe Schuschnig⁵ and Thorsten R. Johnson⁶

¹ Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Tübingen University Hospital, Tübingen, Germany, ² Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University Medical Centre, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Mainz, Germany, ³ Institute of Lung Biology and Disease, Helmholtz Center München, Helmholtz Association of German Research Centres (HZ), Munich, Germany, ⁴ Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany, ⁵ Pari Pharma GmbH, Gräfelfing, Germany, ⁶ Institute for Clinical Radiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Pongsakorn Tantilipikorn, Mahidol University, Thailand

Reviewed by:

Kangsadarn Tanjararak, Mahidol University, Thailand Nuray Bayar Muluk, Kirikkale University, Turkey

*Correspondence: Sven Becker sven.becker@med.uni-tuebingen.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Rhinology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Allergy

Received: 06 December 2021 Accepted: 14 January 2022 Published: 16 February 2022

Citation:

Becker S, Huppertz T, Möller W, Havel M, Schuster M, Becker AM, Sailer M, Schuschnig U and Johnson TR (2022) Xenon-Enhanced Dynamic Dual-Energy CT Is Able to Quantify Sinus Ventilation Using Laminar and Pulsating Air-/Gas Flow Before and After Surgery: A Pilot Study in a Cadaver Model. Front. Allergy 3:829898. doi: 10.3389/falgy.2022.829898 **Background:** Chronic rhinosinusitis is a common disease with a significant impact on the quality of life. Topical drug delivery to the paranasal sinuses is not efficient to prevent sinus surgery or expensive biologic treatment in a lot of cases as the affected mucosa is not reached. More efficient approaches for topical drug delivery are, therefore, necessary. In the current study, dual-energy CT (DECT) imaging was used to examine sinus ventilation before and after sinus surgery using a pulsating xenon gas ventilator in a cadaver head.

Methods: Xenon gas was administered to the nasal cavity of a cadaver head with a laminar flow of 7 L/min and with pulsating xenon-flow (45 Hz frequency, 25 mbar amplitude). Nasal cavity and paranasal sinuses were imaged by DECT. This procedure was repeated after functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS). Based on the enhancement levels in the different sinuses, regional xenon concentrations were calculated.

Results: Xenon-related enhancement could not be detected in most of the sinuses during laminar gas flow. By superimposing laminar flow with pulsation, DECT imaging revealed a xenon wash-in and wash-out in the sinuses. After FESS, xenon enhancement was immediately seen in all sinuses and reached higher concentrations than before surgery.

Conclusion: Xenon-enhanced DECT can be used to visualize and quantify sinus ventilation. Pulsating air-/gas flow was superior to laminar flow for the administration of xenon to the paranasal sinuses. FESS leads to successful ventilation of all paranasal sinuses.

Keywords: chronic rhinosinusitis, CRS, dual energy CT, xenon, ventilation imaging, sinus ventilation, pulsating airflow

1

INTRODUCTION

Chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) is a common disease affecting approximately 15% of the population in western countries (1, 2). Conservative treatment options include topic or systemic corticosteroids, oral long-term antibiotics, and nasal douche. In more recent years, biological treatment for severely affected patients is a highly effective new opportunity (3). However, the number of surgical interventions in the patients with CRS is high. Apart from medical history and nasal endoscopy, CT of the paranasal sinuses is considered as the gold standard to diagnose CRS (4). CT images provide a precise impression of the bony structures and the surrounding tissues, for example swelling of the lining mucosa (5). On the other hand, no functional analysis for instance of sinus ventilation is possible, when standard CT protocols are used. To better estimate treatment success, knowledge about sinus ventilation could be helpful when using topical corticosteroids in form of an aerosol generated by a nebulizer. Paranasal sinuses are non-actively ventilated cavities were local deposition of drugs remains challenging. To improve gas and aerosol transport into the sinuses pressure gradients between the two sides of the ostia are necessary (6, 7). This effect can be attained with a pulsating airflow generated by nebulization devices (7-11).

The introduction of dual-source CT systems [dual-energy CT (DECT)] has improved material differentiation. This is achieved by different tube voltages which are able to generate different Xray energy spectra (4, 12). Radiopaque stable xenon gas leads to an increased absorption with decreasing photon energies as a result of photoelectric interactions (atomic number of stable xenon gas Z054) (13). Furthermore, the concentration of xenon is linearly associated with its X-ray attenuation (14). Hereby, selective xenon gas visualization can be reached. Furthermore, xenon wash-in and wash-out dynamics using successive CT datasets can be provided. Earlier studies investigated the efficiency of xenon as a CT contrast agent by multiple CT dataset acquisition measurements for the evaluation of xenon wash-in and wash-out characteristics in the paranasal sinuses (15, 16). Our working group could demonstrate sinus ventilation using pulsating gas flow by DECT and dynamic CT imaging in a rudimentary nasal plastic cast. Thus, the aim of the current pilot study was to visualize and quantify sinus ventilation using laminar and pulsating airflows in a cadaver head by DECT before and after surgery.

METHODS

Cadaver Head

For the ventilation examinations, a formalin-fixed female cadaver head from the Anatomical Institute of the Ludwig-Maximilians University, Munich, Germany was used. The mandible with tongue and floor of the mouth was removed to get a better access to the nasopharynx which was occluded by a silicon plug. Endoscopic evaluation revealed no significant septal deviation or any signs of previous surgical procedures on the paranasal sinuses. The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

After the first measurement without manipulation, endoscopic sinus surgery was performed on the cadaver

head. Following standard approach of functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS), an operation on all sinuses was performed. Thereafter, a second measurement was performed.

Xenon Application System

A pulsating airflow was generated using the PARI SINUS system (Pari GmbH, Starnberg, Germany), which is based on a PARI BOY (PRONEB Ultra in the USA) aerosol drug delivery device. The system includes a compressor with an integrated pressure wave generator. It produces amplitude of 25 mbar with a frequency of 45 Hz. The device was connected to a tank with 100 % xenon (Linde, Munich, Germany, purity 99.996 %). The gas flow rate was 7 L/min in both settings with and without pulsation. The whole setup was coupled to both nostrils of the cadaver head. Xenon gas and pulsation were administered to the left nostril, returning gas from the right nostril was captured in a collecting tank and again insufflated *via* the left nostril (**Figure 1**). This way gas consumption could be decreased.

Dual-Source CT System

A dual-source SOMATOM force CT system (Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Germany) was used. Cadaver head and xenon supplying nebulization system were positioned on the patient table of the SOMATOM CT system (**Figure 1**).

DECT Examination

For xenon DECT measurements, 46 subsequent CT series were scanned at a frame rate of 1.5 s. The imaging range covered nostrils and the nasal cavity as well as the frontal, maxillary, and sphenoid sinuses. The examination was started with a laminar flow of room air, and imaging began while continuous alternating table movement. The laminar flow was switched from room air to 100% xenon after 10.5 s of imaging for 42 s. After 24 s of xenon supply, pulsation of xenon flow was started for 18 s. At 52.5 s, xenon influx and pulsation were stopped, and laminar flow with room air was continued. At 63 s after beginning of the examination, pulsation with room air was switched on to washout the xenon gas from the sinuses. The acquisition of images stopped at 69 s.

After performing FESS on the cadaver head, 33 subsequent CT series were captured at the above-mentioned frame rate covering the same anatomical structures as before surgery. Once more, the examination started with a laminar flow of room air in the course of continuous alternating table movement. Approximately, 10.5 s after starting the examination laminar room air flow was switched to laminar 100% xenon flow. Further 24 s later, pulsation was initiated until the end of the measurement. These measurements were abbreviated at 50 s, since complete ventilation with and without pulsation could be seen. The wash out phenomenon was, therefore, not documented.

The CT setup and DECT image reconstruction were comparable to the setup of a previous study in a rudimentary plastic cast model of our working group with following parameters (4): Tube voltage of tube A with a tube currenttime product of 100 effective mAs was 100 kV; voltage of tube B (generating a hardened 140 kVp spectrum using a tin filter) and a tube current-time product of 85 effective mAs was 140 kV;



FIGURE 1 | Experimental setting. Fixated cadaver head with connected xenon supplying nebulization system (blue nebulizer + xenon tank and gas recirculation system) on the patient table of the SOMATOM CT system.

slice collimation, 128 mm \times 0.6 mm; rotation time, 0.28 s; pitch, 0.55 (4).

Dual Energy CT Image Reconstruction

For the reconstruction of the acquired images, a soft kernel (B30f) at a slice thickness of 1 mm with 0.7-mm increment was used. Post-processing of the reconstructed image datasets from the two different energy tubes was performed after transferring the datasets to a syngo Multi Modality Workplace (Siemens Healthcare, Germany). Xenon enhancement was color-coded by a specific DE post-processing software. Afterward, these enhancement maps were fused with the axial images (4).

Data Analysis

Regions of interest (ROIs) were placed inside, both nasal cavities, and the different adjacent sinuses on both sides (frontal, sphenoid, and maxillary sinuses) (see **Figure 2**). Overall 46 xenon concentrations could be measured in each ROI with a time interval of 1.5 s. Hounsfield units (HU) were recorded from each imaging serie, and time–density curves were generated. Based on the time–density curves, a first-order exponential function was fitted, and the characteristic time constant for the xenon concentration change within the sinuses, τ , was determined for xenon wash-in and wash-out for each sinus separately (4, 16). The enhancement level was calculated by the difference between the HU values and -1,000 HU (value of room air). The enhancement within the input nostril. Xenon concentrations were determined

for each time point and each ROI by calculating the ratio of the respective xenon enhancement and that of 100% xenon (4).

RESULTS

Dual Energy CT Measurements in the Nasal Cavities

Beginning with laminar airflow with room air at 0 s and with 100% xenon from 10.5 s onward, a rise in xenon concentration could be detected in both nasal cavities reaching a plateau at around 20 s (**Figure 3**, blue and black line). At that time point, nasal cavity is filled with nearly 100% xenon gas corresponding to -750 HU. Room air has around -1,000 HU. The start of pulsation at 34.5 s had no further effect on the xenon concentration in the nasal cavity. After xenon influx was stopped and room air was again delivered at 52.5 s xenon was rapidly cleared out of both nasal cavities. A renewed start of pulsation at 63 s did not change the concentration (**Figure 3**, blue and black line).

Dual-Energy CT Measurements in the Maxillary, Sphenoid, and Frontal Sinuses

Beginning with laminar airflow with room air at 0 s and with 100% xenon from 10.5 s onward, a rise in xenon concentration could be detected in the left sphenoid sinus beginning around 15 s (**Figure 4**). In the other sinuses, no rise in xenon concentration was registered (**Figures 3–5**). With the start of pulsation at 34.5 s,



FIGURE 2 | Axial CT scan for placement of regions of interest (ROIs) in nasal cavity as well as in the different paranasal sinuses.

a steep rise could be seen in both maxillary sinuses and the right sphenoid sinus (**Figures 3**, **4**). In the frontal sinuses, the rise was less pronounced but measurable (**Figure 5**). The concentration in the left sphenoid sinus showed a further rise.

After 52.5 s, xenon inflow and pulsation were stopped and laminar airflow with room air continued. The xenon concentration in all sinuses slowly declined. Approximately 63 s after the beginning of the experiment, pulsating airflow with room air was started again and a drop in xenon concentration in all paranasal sinuses could be seen, resembling an active washout. Again this was especially marked in the maxillary sinus with a τ of 6 s (**Figure 3**).

The absolute xenon concentrations reached are between 50 and 90% in the maxillary and sphenoid sinuses, with the sphenoid sinuses reaching slightly higher values. In the frontal sinuses, the maximum xenon concentration reached is around 10%.

Overall, the left-sided paranasal sinuses reached higher xenon concentrations and the rise in xenon concentration was faster, best seen in the maxillary sinus with a τ of 7 s on the left and a τ of 18 s on the right side (**Figure 3**).

Dual-Energy CT Measurements in the Maxillary, Sphenoid, and Frontal Sinuses After FESS

After performing FESS, measurements were repeated. Beginning with laminar airflow with room air at 0 s and with 100% xenon from 10.5 s onward, a steep rise in xenon concentration could be detected in both nasal cavities, in the maxillary sinuses, and in the right sphenoid sinus reaching a plateau between 20 and 30 s (**Figures 6**, 7). The xenon concentration reached was around 90%. The start of pulsation at 34.5 s had no effect on the xenon

concentration. In the left sphenoid sinus and the frontal sinuses, the increase in xenon concentration was delayed and not as marked. Still it was significantly higher than before surgery. This measurement was abbreviated at 50 s, so that washout was not documented.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we could show that visualization and quantification of sinus ventilation using laminar and pulsating air-/gasflow in a cadaver head is possible by xenon-enhanced DECT. Exchange phenomena of the contrast agent between the nasal cavity and the paranasal sinuses under laminar and pulsating xenon gas flow could be seen. Also, we could show that pulsating gas flow leads to xenon influx into the non-operated paranasal sinuses in contrast to laminar flow, similar to our results with a nasal cast and as described in the literature (4, 7, 9-11). By using pulsation, it was possible to transport xenon into the non-operated paranasal sinuses. Ventilation time constants of approximately 10 s showed a nearly two orders of magnitude faster distribution than passive diffusion. Hence, the effects of passive diffusion can be ignored when using a time schedule as applied in the current study.

Xenon-enhanced CT to evaluate the sinus ventilation was first described by Kalender et al. in 1985 (15). Sinuses were filled with Xenon by placing a ballon-tipped catheter in each nostril and positive pressure insufflation during intermittent apnea. Sinuses were then imaged by single energy CT during normal breathing and physiological washout rates of xenon were calculated. Further studies improving the existing protocol followed but were all focused on physiological ventilation while normal breathing of mainly the maxillary sinus (16–18). Paulsson



no effect on the concentration in the maxillary sinuses. After that, xenon influx and pulsation were stopped at 52.5 s, the xenon concentration was slowly declined. With a renewed start of pulsation with room air at around 63 s, a steeper decline in both maxillary sinuses could be seen.

et al. examined the influence of sinus surgery on xenon washout and could demonstrate that surgery leads to improved sinus ventilation with faster xenon wash-out from the sinuses (19). Also, Brumund et al. could show that surgical widening of the ostium of the maxillary sinus improves ventilation in a sheep model. Interestingly, a small antrostomy produced a statistically significant increase in maxillary sinus ventilation. No further significant increase was obtained by creating a large antrostomy (20). Beside us, no other working group used xenon-enhanced DECT to examine sinus ventilation during application of laminar and pulsating airflow before and after sinus surgery.

In the current study, the left sphenoid sinus showed uptake of xenon about 5 s after laminar flow with xenon began which is probably due to an anatomical variation with a sufficiently wide natural ostium and the fact that gas influx was given on the left side. This might have resulted in a direct flow of xenon into the left sphenoid sinus. Begin of pulsation did not influence further uptake of xenon into this sinus.

Only in the frontal sinuses xenon uptake under pulsation was very low. This might be explained by the anatomy of the frontal sinus with a canal of firm bone that might not be as easily accessed by gas and might also not meet criteria necessary for an exchange in adjacent compartments as described by Helmholtz due to the thickness of the surrounding bone making a vibration of this compartment difficult (21, 22). The so-called Helmholtz resonator is an acoustical device composed of a sphere cavity attached to an narrow tube also known as the neck (22). When this resonator is exposed to an external acoustic field, the air plug inside the neck oscillates at a frequency equal to that of the external field. The amplitude of the air plug in the neck oscillates according to the different frequencies of the external acoustic field. Maximum gas exchange between the cavity and the surrounding media occurs when the frequency of the external acoustic field equals the so-called "resonance frequency", a specific frequency for each resonator (21-23). Transferred to the anatomy of the human head, each sinus with its ostium and



pulsation at 34.5 s, there was also a rise in the right side. After that, xenon influx and pulsation were stopped at around 52.5 s, the xenon concentration was slowly declined on both sides. With a renewed start of pulsation with room air at around 63 s, the steeper decline in both sphenoid sinuses could be seen.

the adjacent part of the nose (e.g., frontal sinus plus frontal recess) has its own resonance frequency due to form, thickness of bone, and mucosal properties. To reach all sinuses in an optimal way, a single frequency as applied in the current study is, therefore, not sufficient and can lead to enormous differences in sinus ventilation as seen between the maxillary and the frontal sinuses. To overcome this problem, future nebulization devices could use a frequency sweep from deep frequencies (45 Hz) as used in the current study to higher frequencies up to 300 Hz or more within a 2 min therapeutic inhalation to reach each individual resonance frequency of the different sinuses for a short time. Maniscalco et al. for example could show that the deposition of drugs on the wall of the maxillary sinus can be increased by 3-, 3.5-, and 4.4-fold when laminar nebulized aerosol flow to the nostril was superimposed by pulsation of 45, 120, and 200 Hz, respectively (24). Similarly, positive results could also be achieved by nebulizers using a pulsation of 100 Hz (25, 26). Pourmehran et al. tried to maximize drug deposition in a single-sided maxillary sinus model by optimally suit frequency, amplitude, and flow rate of applied $12 \,\mu$ m aerosol particles by controlled repeated measurements (27). They were able to increase drug delivery by 75-fold when using a frequency of 328 Hz with an amplitude of 126 dB re 20 μ Pa and a flow rate of 0.267 ml/min showing that further developments in nebulization devices could have the opportunity to substantially improve topical drug delivery. As most of the studies focus on the maxillary sinus, further studies covering the frontal sinus are necessary to get a better understanding of how this also surgically more difficult to address cavity can be sufficiently reached.

Drug delivery to the sinuses is not only influenced by pulsation parameters of the applied aerosol flow, but also influenced by the breathing patterns, size of the aerosol particles, how the nebulizer is connected to the nose (inclination of the nosepiece) and the anatomy of the nasal airway itself (26–28). Last-mentioned could be shown by Hosseini and Golshahi in anatomical 3D printed nasal airway models of 2-, 5- and 50-year old human subjects (29). In their study, a pulsating airflow was applied with 44.5 Hz frequency and 24 mbar amplitude comparable to



our study. Drug delivery to the maxillary sinus in the adult subject increased 4-fold when using pulsation in comparison to a laminar airflow without pulsation. They could show that drug deposition in the anterior part of the nose in the two younger subjects was higher than that in the adult model. This leads to a decrease of 3-11% in drug deposition to the maxillary sinus, and a 25% decrease in lung deposition showing the effect of anatomy/age on sinus drug delivery. They could also show that a bidirectional breathing administration technique can significantly increase the paranasal drug delivery when pulsating airflow is used (29).

Although there are numerous experimental studies on different nebulization devices with pulsation properties, clinical studies on the effectiveness of this kind of drug application in CRS patients are missing. To our knowledge, there are only two registered studies comparing corticosteroid application *via* nasal spray with nebulization plus pulsation in CRS patients with (EudraCT-Nr. 2013-002414-12) and without nasal polyps (EudraCT-Nr. 2013-002421-30). The first results from the latter

study were promising and providing estimates for the sample size calculations to conduct a pivotal study in the future (30).

Having the above-mentioned anatomical and functional parameters in mind diagnostic approaches covering these aspects are necessary to evaluate if a patient is suitable for topical sinus drug delivery by nebulization devices or not and to further improve the nebulization parameters itself. In the future, xenonenhanced DECT could be used for this purpose in patients with CRS to functionally evaluate sinus ventilation properties and sinus anatomy at the same time. Furthermore, it could help to optimize the nebulization parameters in standardized models and pave the way for improved topical corticosteroid delivery. Earlier studies in healthy participants could demonstrate the feasibility of dynamic assessment of paranasal sinus ventilation using xenon-enhanced CT (15, 16, 18). But xenon has anesthetic properties in higher concentrations and is used as an inhalation general anesthetic agent for this reason (31, 32). Therefore, a systematic use of xenon at high concentrations for imaging the paranasal sinuses has to be carefully evaluated. Otherwise, deep



of pulsation at 34.5 s did not change influx.

inhalation of xenon is not necessary for imaging sinus ventilation, as patients should close their soft palate during nebulizer use. A former study examined maxillary sinus ventilation in a dynamic protocol over 30 min. Subjects had to breath 30% xenon and experienced side effects like nausea with vomiting or lightheadedness (16). As already proposed, a study design with a short ventilation time but higher xenon gas concentrations while closing the soft palate could reduce the above-mentioned side effects (4).

After FESS, there was a very efficient influx of xenon into all sinuses with the frontal sinuses showing the smallest uptake. By widening the ostia of the sinuses to a maximum extend by FESS, the sinuses became part of the directly ventilated areas like the nasal cavity. Pressure gradients between the two sides of the ostia to ventilate the sinus *via* resonance properties were no longer necessary, so pulsation did not change uptake anymore. Therefore, measurements were abbreviated after 50 s and wash out of xenon was not documented. These findings are in line with existing literature (33, 34) and could be recently confirmed by computational fluid dynamics modeling (35). Our results underline the necessity to adjust nebulization characteristics post-operatively due to anatomical and functional ventilation changes depending on the extend of surgery.

Limitations of our study include using a cadaver head where the nasopharynx is firmly sealed by a silicon plug which is probably more efficient than closure achieved in a person who is asked to obstruct the pharynx with the soft palate. This might influence the measurements and lead to slightly better results than can be expected in real life.

Moreover, xenon gas is of higher viscosity than air. That could result in a systematic underestimation of sinus ventilation due to different ventilation time constants for xenon gas in comparison to normal air. On the other hand for therapeutic purposes, ventilation has to be achieved with an aerosolized drug with a droplet size that exceeds any gas molecule in size to be able to achieve a therapeutic effect. This might lead to a not quite as efficient ventilation of the sinuses as demonstrated with



FIGURE 7 | Xenon concentration in the frontal and sphenoid sinuses after FESS, with a rise after the influx of 100% xenon at 10 s. The start of pulsation at 34.5 s did not change influx.

xenon. To overcome these problems, reduction of droplet size $(1-3\,\mu\text{m})$ and improvements in the acoustic properties like changing frequencies, amplitudes, and nebulization flow rates have to be further investigated to increase the sinus drug deposition in the future (27, 36).

A further limitation of the study was that we were able to use only one specimen, what is not sufficient to generalize the results in a wide patient population with very heterogeneous sinus anatomy.

Finally, we were able to visualize and quantify the paranasal sinus ventilation by xenon-enhanced dynamic DECT using laminar and pulsating air-/gas flows in a cadaver model. The superiority of pulsating gas flow over laminar flows to achieve ventilation of the paranasal sinuses in the non-operated setting could be confirmed. FESS is highly effective in improving the ventilation of the sinuses and eliminating the need for pulsation in the postoperative setting. To evaluate the potential advantages of xenon-enhanced DECT for imaging sinus ventilation and to show that pulsating flow is also more efficient in drug delivery to the paranasal sinuses in comparison to the conventional nasal spray application, more patient studies in clinical settings are required.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethikkommission der Medizinischen Fakultät der Universität München, Pettenkoferstrasse 8a, 80336 München. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SB, WM, and US contributed to conception and design of the study. TJ organized and run the CT-scans. SB and MSa performed the statistical analysis. TH wrote the first draft of the manuscript. AB, MH, and MSc wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors

contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

The study was supported by Pari Pharma GmbH, Gräfelfing, Germany by providing the xenon supplying nebulization system.

REFERENCES

- 1. Fokkens WJ, Lund VJ, Mullol J, Bachert C, Alobid I, Baroody F, et al. European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2012. *Rhinol Suppl.* (2012) 23:3.
- Hastan D, Fokkens WJ, Bachert C, Newson RB, Bislimovska J, Bockelbrink A, et al. Chronic rhinosinusitis in Europe-an underestimated disease. A GA(2)LEN study. *Allergy.* (2011) 66:1216–23. doi: 10.1111/j.1398-9995.2011.02646.x
- Fokkens WJ, Lund VJ, Hopkins C, Hellings PW, Kern R, Reitsma S, et al. European position paper on rhinosinusitis and nasal polyps 2020. *Rhinology*. (2020) 58(Suppl S29):1–464. doi: 10.4193/Rhin20.601
- Thieme SF, Moller W, Becker S, Schuschnig U, Eickelberg O, Helck AD, et al. Ventilation imaging of the paranasal sinuses using xenon-enhanced dynamic single-energy CT and dual-energy CT: a feasibility study in a nasal cast. *Eur Radiol.* (2012) 22:2110–6. doi: 10.1007/s00330-012-2483-5
- Mafee MF, Tran BH, Chapa AR. Imaging of rhinosinusitis and its complications: plain film, CT, and MRI. *Clin Rev Allergy Immunol.* (2006) 30:165–86. doi: 10.1385/CRIAI:30:3:165
- Harvey RJ, Psaltis A, Schlosser RJ, Witterick IJ. Current concepts in topical therapy for chronic sinonasal disease. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. (2010) 39:217–31.
- Moller W, Schuschnig U, Khadem Saba G, Meyer G, Junge-Hulsing B, Keller M, et al. Pulsating aerosols for drug delivery to the sinuses in healthy volunteers. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* (2010) 142:382– 8. doi: 10.1016/j.otohns.2009.12.028
- Mainz JG, Schiller I, Ritschel C, Mentzel HJ, Riethmuller J, Koitschev A, et al. Sinonasal inhalation of dornase alfa in CF: a double-blind placebo-controlled cross-over pilot trial. *Auris Nasus Larynx*. (2011) 38:220– 7. doi: 10.1016/j.anl.2010.09.001
- Moeller W, Schuschnig U, Meyer G, Haussinger K, Keller M, Junge-Hulsing B, et al. Ventilation and aerosolized drug delivery to the paranasal sinuses using pulsating airflow -a preliminary study. *Rhinology*. (2009) 47:405– 12. doi: 10.4193/Rhin08.180
- Moller W, Lubbers C, Munzing W, Canis M. Pulsating airflow and drug delivery to paranasal sinuses. *Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* (2011) 19:48–53. doi: 10.1097/MOO.0b013e3283420f39
- Moller W, Schuschnig U, Meyer G, Mentzel H, Keller M. Ventilation and drug delivery to the paranasal sinuses: studies in a nasal cast using pulsating airflow. *Rhinology*. (2008) 46:213–20.
- Johnson TR, Krauss B, Sedlmair M, Grasruck M, Bruder H, Morhard D, et al. Material differentiation by dual energy CT: initial experience. *Eur Radiol.* (2007) 17:1510–7. doi: 10.1007/s00330-006-0517-6
- Kruger RA, Riederer SJ, Mistretta CA. Relative properties of tomography, K-edge imaging, and K-edge tomography. *Med Phys.* (1977) 4:244– 9. doi: 10.1118/1.594374
- Murphy DM, Nicewicz JT, Zabbatino SM, Moore RA. Local pulmonary ventilation using nonradioactive xenon-enhanced ultrafast computed tomography. *Chest.* (1989) 96:799–804. doi: 10.1378/chest.96.4.799
- Kalender WA, Rettinger G, Suess C. Measurement of paranasal sinus ventilation by xenon-enhanced dynamic computed tomography. J Comput Assist Tomogr. (1985) 9:524–9. doi: 10.1097/00004728-198505000-00022
- Marcucci C, Leopold DA, Cullen M, Zinreich SJ, Simon BA. Dynamic assessment of paranasal sinus ventilation using xenonenhanced computed tomography. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* (2001) 110:968–75. doi: 10.1177/000348940111001014

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We dedicate this publication to our friend and colleague WM, who passed away far too early. He gave tremendous input to this study as well as to the field of aerosol therapy in general. Winfried, rest in peace!

- Leopold D, Zinreich SJ, Simon BA, Cullen MM, Marcucci C. Xenon-enhanced computed tomography quantifies normal maxillary sinus ventilation. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* (2000) 122:422–4. doi: 10.1067/mhn.2000.103537
- Rettinger G, Suss C, Kalender WA. Studies of paranasal sinus ventilation by xenon-enhanced dynamic CT. *Rhinology*. (1986) 24:103–12.
- Paulsson B, Lindberg S, Ohlin P. Washout of 133-xenon as an objective assessment of paranasal sinus ventilation in endoscopic sinus surgery. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol. (2002) 111:710–7. doi: 10.1177/000348940211100810
- Brumund KT, Graham SM, Beck KC, Hoffman EA, McLennan G. The effect of maxillary sinus antrostomy size on xenon ventilation in the sheep model. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* (2004) 131:528– 33. doi: 10.1016/j.otohns.2004.04.010
- Moller W, Schuschnig U, Bartenstein P, Meyer G, Haussinger K, Schmid O, et al. Drug delivery to paranasal sinuses using pulsating aerosols. J Aerosol Med Pulm Drug Deliv. (2014) 27:255–63. doi: 10.1089/jamp.2013.1071
- 22. von Helmholtz H, Ellis AJ. On the Sensations of Tone as a Physiological Basis for the Theory of Music. Longmans, Green and Company, London (1875). doi: 10.1037/10838-000
- Pourmehran O, Cazzolato B, Tian Z, Arjomandi M. Acoustically-driven drug delivery to maxillary sinuses: aero-acoustic analysis. *Eur J Pharm Sci.* (2020) 151:105398. doi: 10.1016/j.ejps.2020.105398
- Maniscalco M, Sofia M, Weitzberg E, Lundberg JO. Sounding airflow enhances aerosol delivery into the paranasal sinuses. *Eur J Clin Invest.* (2006) 36:509–13. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2362.2006.01654.x
- 25. Durand M, Pourchez J, Aubert G, Le Guellec S, Navarro L, Forest V, et al. Impact of acoustic airflow nebulization on intrasinus drug deposition of a human plastinated nasal cast: new insights into the mechanisms involved. *Int J Pharm.* (2011) 421:63–71. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2011.09.023
- 26. Leclerc L, Pourchez J, Aubert G, Leguellec S, Vecellio L, Cottier M, et al. Impact of airborne particle size, acoustic airflow and breathing pattern on delivery of nebulized antibiotic into the maxillary sinuses using a realistic human nasal replica. *Pharm Res.* (2014) 31:2335–43. doi: 10.1007/s11095-014-1330-6
- Pourmehran O, Arjomandi M, Cazzolato B, Tian Z, Vreugde S, Javadiyan S, et al. Acoustic drug delivery to the maxillary sinus. *Int J Pharm.* (2021) 606:120927. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2021.120927
- Farnoud A, Tofighian H, Baumann I, Martin AR, Rashidi MM, Menden MP, et al. Pulsatile bi-directional aerosol flow affects aerosol delivery to the intranasal olfactory region: a patient-specific computational study. *Front Pharmacol.* (2021) 12:746420. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.746420
- Hosseini S, Golshahi L. An *in vitro* evaluation of importance of airway anatomy in sub-regional nasal and paranasal drug delivery with nebulizers using three different anatomical nasal airway replicas of 2-, 5- and 50-Year old human subjects. *Int J Pharm.* (2019) 563:426–36. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2019. 04.019
- Weiss B, Canis M, Spiegel J, Hoegerle C, Eichler U, Haack M, et al. Multicentre randomised open controlled pilot study on the efficacy of corticosteroids administered by pulsating aerosolnebulization versus nasal spray for chronic rhinosinusitis without nasal polyps. *Laryngorhinootologie*. (2021) 100:S283. doi: 10.1055/s-0041-1728853
- Rossaint R, Reyle-Hahn M, Schulte Am Esch J, Scholz J, Scherpereel P, Vallet B, et al. Multicenter randomized comparison of the efficacy and safety of xenon and isoflurane in patients undergoing elective surgery. *Anesthesiology*. (2003) 98:6–13. doi: 10.1097/0000542-200301000-00005

- Sanders RD, Franks NP, Maze M. Xenon: no stranger to anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth. (2003) 91:709–17. doi: 10.1093/bja/aeg232
- Chen XB, Lee HP, Chong VF, Wang de Y. Aerodynamic characteristics inside the rhino-sinonasal cavity after functional endoscopic sinus surgery. *Am J Rhinol Allergy*. (2011) 25:388–92. doi: 10.2500/ajra.2011.25.3669
- Frank DO, Zanation AM, Dhandha VH, McKinney KA, Fleischman GM, Ebert CS Jr, et al. Quantification of airflow into the maxillary sinuses before and after functional endoscopic sinus surgery. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol.* (2013) 3:834–40. doi: 10.1002/alr.21203
- Siu J, Dong J, Inthavong K, Shang Y, Douglas RG. Quantification of airflow in the sinuses following functional endoscopic sinus surgery. *Rhinology*. (2020) 58:257–65. doi: 10.4193/Rhin19.387
- Hilton C, Wiedmann T, St Martin M, Humphrey B, Schleiffarth R, Rimell F. Differential deposition of aerosols in the maxillary sinus of human cadavers by particle size. *Am J Rhinol.* (2008) 22:395–8. doi: 10.2500/ajr.2008.22.3192

Conflict of Interest: The xenon supplying nebulization system used in the study was provided bei Pari Pharma GmbH, Gräfelfing, Germany. US is employee of Pari Pharma GmbH.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Becker, Huppertz, Möller, Havel, Schuster, Becker, Sailer, Schuschnig and Johnson. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.