Chronic Respiratory Disease

# Dose uniformity of budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> under simulated real-life conditions and with low inspiration flow rates

Chronic Respiratory Disease 2018, Vol. 15(3) 265–271 © The Author(s) 2017 DOI: 10.1177/1479972317745733 journals.sagepub.com/home/crd



Jussi Haikarainen<sup>1</sup>, Paula Rytilä<sup>1</sup>, Sirkku Roos<sup>1</sup>, Sirpa Metsärinne<sup>2</sup> and Anita Happonen<sup>3</sup>

#### Abstract

Budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> multidose dry powder inhaler is approved for the treatment of asthma. Objectives were to determine the delivered dose (DD) uniformity of budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> in simulated real-world conditions and with different inspiration flow rates (IFRs). Three dose delivery studies were performed using 100, 200, and 400 µg/dose strengths of budesonide. Dose uniformity was assessed during in-use periods of 4–6 months after exposure to high temperature (30°C) and humidity (60% relative humidity) and after dropping and vibration testing. The influence of various IFRs (31, 43, and 54 L/min) on the DD was also investigated. Acceptable dose uniformity was declared when mean DD were within 80–120% of expected dose; all data reported descriptively. DD was constant (range: 93–109% of expected dose) at all in-use periods and after exposure to high temperature and humidity for a duration of up to 6 months. DD post-dropping and -vibration were unaffected (range 98–105% of expected dose). Similarly, DD was constant and within 10% of expected dose across all IFRs. Results indicate that budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> delivers consistently accurate doses in various real-life conditions. Budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> can be expected to consistently deliver a uniform dose and improve asthma control regardless of high temperature and humidity or varying IFR.

#### **Keywords**

Asthma, budesonide, dose uniformity, dry powder inhaler, Easyhaler®

Date received: 14 December 2016; accepted: 18 October 2017

# Introduction

Asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are highly prevalent chronic respiratory diseases and leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide.<sup>1–3</sup> Inhalers are the mainstay device for therapeutic drug delivery in respiratory diseases<sup>4</sup> and inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) are the first choice for an anti-inflammatory treatment.<sup>3,5</sup> International guidelines recommend that asthma treatment follows a stepwise approach with an initial introduction of low dose ICS advised for all patients with persistent asthma.<sup>6</sup>

There are over 20 devices available for therapeutic administration in patients with asthma and COPD.<sup>7</sup> The effectiveness of inhalers can be influenced by

Corresponding author:

Jussi Haikarainen, Pharmaceutical Sciences Platform, Orion Corporation, Orion Pharma, Orionintie 1A, Fl-02101, Espoo, Finland.

Email: jussi.haikarainen@orionpharma.com

Creative Commons CC BY: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits any use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Orion Corporation, Orion Pharma, Espoo, Finland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Medfiles Ltd, Kuopio, Finland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Orion Corporation, Orion Pharma, Kuopio, Finland

several factors including, ease of use, age, patient education, severity of disease, type of inhaler used, and inhalation technique.<sup>8–11</sup> Unlike the traditional pressurized metered dose inhalers (pMDIs), dry powder inhalers (DPIs) are breath actuated, removing the difficulty of coordinating actuation with inhalation, often experienced using pMDIs.<sup>11</sup> However, for some DPIs, release of the emitted dose can be inconsistent.<sup>12</sup> DPIs require a sufficient degree of inspiratory flow to disaggregate the formulation and to deliver the whole dose accurately, an important consideration when treating patients with severe airway obstruction.<sup>7</sup>

Robustness of inhaler performance and functioning consistently under different conditions are key requirements for DPIs in enabling the successful management of asthma and COPD. Storage conditions (such as temperature and humidity<sup>11</sup>), device handling (e.g. dropping or shaking<sup>11</sup>), and variation in inspiration flow rates (IFRs)<sup>13</sup> are all potential factors which may affect uniformity of the delivered dose (DD). The effectiveness of an inhaled treatment is dependent on the inhalation flow rates that patients can achieve, which is determined by the internal resistance to airflow inside the inhalation channel of the device.<sup>14</sup>

Budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> (Orion Corporation, Orion Pharma, Espoo, Finland) is a multidose DPI, indicated for the treatment of patients with mild, moderate, and severe asthma and approved in many European countries. The available dose strengths are 100, 200, and 400  $\mu$ g/dose. The maintenance dose in adults is 100– 400 µg twice-daily, although the 200-400 µg doses are indicated for once-daily dosing in adults and children >12 years of age, and the 100 and 200 µg dose strengths are indicated for twice-daily delivery in children 6 years of age and above.<sup>15</sup> Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> has been designed to be simple to use and practical, delivering accurate doses, as demonstrated in a number of in vitro and in vivo studies of flow rate dependency.<sup>16</sup> Patient preferences (based on studies in children and adults) demonstrate Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> as being easier to teach, learn, and use, coupled with a greater degree of user satisfaction versus comparators.<sup>17-19</sup> Accordingly, Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> was also favored over Turbuhaler<sup>®</sup> (AstraZeneca Plc, Gothenburg, Sweden) by the majority of patients in a randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, parallel-group study in asthmatic children.<sup>20</sup> A recent meta-analysis assessing patient preferences and ease of use confirmed the findings from Vanto et al., overall rate ratio of 1.77



**Figure 1.** Meta-analysis of Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> preference in pediatric patients compared with other inhalers. Ratios and respective confidence intervals of preference for Galffy and Vanto studies as well as odds ratios and respective confidence intervals for Hantulik study were calculated. The overall estimate was calculated using the method of weighted least squares. The weights for individual items were the inverse for each single item.<sup>21</sup> LCL: lower confidence interval, UCL: upper confidence interval.

(confidence intervals: 1.33–2.36; Figure 1). Results from four pivotal pharmacokinetic studies showed that Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> demonstrated consistent fine particle dose across a wide range of IFRs with high lung deposition,<sup>22</sup> supporting previous in vitro data which compared Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> with two comparator devices.<sup>11</sup> In a retrospective, matched-cohort study of 1958 children and adults with asthma, patients who switched to Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> from other devices were significantly more likely to achieve overall asthma control and had lower costs associated with short-acting  $\beta_2$  agonist consumption and consultations, compared with patients who remained on an ICS device other than Easyhaler<sup>®</sup>.<sup>23</sup>

Here, we report the findings of three distinct studies, which aimed to establish the DD uniformity of the budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> in simulated real-world conditions, modeled using a variety of in vitro tests.

### Methods

### Study design

Three distinct dose delivery studies were conducted to assess the in vitro uniformity of budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> dose delivery in various simulated real-world conditions: first, at high temperature and humidity relative to ambient storage conditions, fixed over a 6-month period; second, mechanical stress (dropping and vibrations assays); and third, variation in IFRs. The following strengths of budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> were used in all assays: 100, 200, and 400  $\mu$ g/dose. For all the dose delivery studies, drug doses were collected in accordance with the in vitro testing of DPIs established by the European Pharmacopoeia.<sup>24</sup>

#### Dose delivery

Four liter of air was drawn through the inhaler at a flow rate corresponding to 4 kPa pressure drop across the device, as described in European Pharmacopoeia  $8.0^{25}$ . The inhaler was connected to the inlet of the apparatus using a mouthpiece adaptor that ensures a good seal, and the dose was collected in a sample collection tube. Collected budesonide was dissolved with 68:32 (v/v) water-acetonitrile and analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography. Chromatographic separations were carried out on a Novapak RP-18 (4  $\mu$ m, 3.9  $\times$  150 mm<sup>2</sup>) analytical column. The mobile phase was a phosphate buffer solution: 4.2%m/V phosphoric acid solution, 0.3% m/V sodium dihydrogen phosphate buffer solution (1:9, v/v), adjusted to pH 3.2 with 5 M sodium hydroxide and acetonitrile (68:32, v/v). The mobile phase was delivered at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min and the injection volume was 25 µL. Ultraviolet detection at 240 nm and run time  $1.5 \times$  retention time of budesonide were used. Calibration curves were linear over the budesonide range of 0.1–125  $\mu$ g/mL ( $r^2 = 1.000$ ). Recovery assays displayed an accuracy of  $100 \pm 2\%$  across the range of budesonide concentrations tested (0.1-125  $\mu$ g/mL). The quantitation limit of budesonide was 0.1  $\mu$ g/mL (0.05% relative to the 200  $\mu$ g/dose). The method also showed good repeatability and intermediate precision, as assessed by testing the inhalation powder samples on the same day and across 3 days, respectively. Specification limits of 80-120% $(80-120, 160-240, \text{ and } 320-480 \text{ }\mu\text{g/dose})$  were applied when assessing the mean budesonide DD (for the 100, 200 and 400 µg/doses, respectively), in accordance with the limits set by European Pharmacopoeia.<sup>24</sup>

# The effect of high temperature and humidity on dose uniformity

Budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup>s were stored after opening the laminate pouch in a controlled environment room set to  $30^{\circ}$ C and 60% relative humidity. Figure 2 describes the daily actuation, dosing sequence, and



**Figure 2.** Schematic representation of the daily actuation, dosing sequence, and sampling times for assessing dose uniformity under warm and humid conditions.

sampling times used over a 6-month period. A total of eight devices were tested (two devices for 100 and 400  $\mu$ g/dose strengths from one batch and four devices for 200  $\mu$ g/dose strength from two batches). Five doses were measured for each inhaler used, and the mean DD calculated.

# The effect of mechanical stress on dose uniformity: Dropping

Baseline was determined by analyzing the DD of the first five doses. After that the inhaler was dropped from a 1 m high platform on to a hard wooden surface, and the DD of the next five doses was analyzed. A total of 11 devices were tested (four devices for each 100 and 400  $\mu$ g strength of budesonide and three devices for 200  $\mu$ g; one batch/strength of budesonide was used). Five doses were measured for each inhaler used, and the mean DD calculated.

# The effect of mechanical stress on dose uniformity: Vibration

Baseline was determined by analyzing the DD of the first five doses. DD of the next five dose strengths was analyzed following British standard vibration test.<sup>26</sup> A total of nine devices were tested (three devices and a single batch for each dose strength). Five doses were measured for each inhaler used, and the mean DD calculated.

#### The effect of varying IFR on dose uniformity

DD were measured over a range of IFRs (2, 4, and 6 kPa, comparable to flow rates of 31, 43, and 54 L/min, respectively) under ambient laboratory conditions (room temperature and relative humidity), for each strength of budesonide. For each flow rate, 4 L of air was drawn through the inhaler (according to Ph. Eur. method<sup>24</sup>). A total of 27 devices were tested (three devices for each flow rate and strength of budesonide;



**Figure 3.** DD during simulation of patient use under high temperature  $(30^{\circ}C)$  and humidity (60% relative humidity). Dashed lines represent the upper and lower specification limits (%). DD: delivered dose.

a single batch was used for each budesonide strength). Ten doses were measured for each inhaler used, and the mean DD calculated.

### Data analysis

Descriptive statistics, mean, and standard deviations were used to describe all data.

# Results

Overall, 55 devices were used. Five batches of 200  $\mu$ g/dose and four batches of both 100 and 400  $\mu$ g/doses of budesonide were tested for DD, with no noticeable batch-to-batch variation observed.

# The effect of high temperature and humidity on dose uniformity

The DD of budesonide was consistent following exposure to  $30^{\circ}$ C and 60% relative humidity, for up to 6 months (Figure 3). All mean DD values remained within the preselected specification limits for the duration of the study. All DDs were within 10% of the expected labeled strength (range, 93-109%), for all time points assessed.

# The effect of dropping and vibration testing on dose uniformity

The DD of budesonide was consistent with baseline assessments at all prespecified doses after dropping and vibration (Figure 4). All mean DDs remained within the preselected specification limits. All DDs post-dropping were <4% from the expected labeled strength (range, 101-103%). Similarly, all DDs post-vibration were close to the expected labeled strength (range, 98-105%). No device breakages were detected and functionality remained unaffected after the dropping and vibration tests.

# The effect of varying IFRs on dose uniformity

The DD of budesonide remained consistent across the three IFRs applied (Figure 5). The mean DD varied within 3, 9, and 11  $\mu$ g range for the 100, 200, and 400  $\mu$ g/dose strengths, respectively. All DDs were within 10% of the expected labeled strength (range, 90–100%) for each flow rate tested.



**Figure 4.** DD of budesonide using Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> before and after: (a) dropping tests and (b) vibration tests. Data presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. DD: delivered dose.



**Figure 5.** DD of budesonide using Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> following actuation at different IFRs. Data presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. IFR: inspiration flow rate; DD: delivered dose.

#### Discussion

The in vitro tests described here demonstrate that budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> delivers a highly uniform dose under conditions designed to simulate real-world conditions.

In real-life use, DPIs may periodically be exposed to humid conditions that may affect aerosol characteristics and lung deposition. Here, DD was consistent in all tests performed, indicating that budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> delivers complete and accurate doses regardless of storage temperature and humidity, improper handling (e.g. dropping), or applied IFR, under controlled laboratory conditions which are likely to reflect real-world use. These results demonstrate the robustness of budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> (through consistency of DD) under high temperature and humidity, relative to ambient storage conditions. The uniform DD was also maintained for all strengths of budesonide after dropping. In contrast, another widely available inhaler platform (Duoresp Spiromax; Teva UK Ltd, Castleford, UK) has been shown to be more sensitive to the effects of dropping as an 80% increase in first dose was recorded after dropping tests.<sup>27</sup>

Dose accuracy and consistency of salbutamol delivery using Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> is less sensitive to flow rates than other multidose DPIs.<sup>28</sup> Here, a highly uniform DD for all strengths of budesonide (mean DD 90–110% of the expected labeled dose across all simulated conditions) was observed irrespective of IFR, supporting previous data showing that the Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> DD is independent of IFR.<sup>16, 28</sup> Accordingly, variations in IFR also had a minimal effect on Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> DD for all doses used, with observed differences in mean DD <5% across all IFRs tested.

In vitro dose delivery studies have limitations. Here, the main limitation was the performance of each study under laboratory conditions. Although designed to evaluate the impact of possible factors which arise in everyday use (such as accidently dropping the inhaler onto a hard floor), these studies cannot mimic all conceivable real-life scenarios. This is pertinent to the tests performed at high temperature and humidity (relative to ambient storage conditions); in our study, consistent DD within 10% of the expected label strength was achieved at all time points, but further tests (e.g. of performance of Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> in freezing temperatures or at different relative humidity) would be required to confirm dose consistency in a more comprehensive range of conditions, which would be relevant in different geographical regions, for example. Also, the findings cannot account for more than one real-life scenario occurring simultaneously nor for different severity of certain conditions (e.g. the inhaler dropping from a greater height or on to a different type of surface). Finally, although standard laboratory procedures were performed, a lack of a reference medicinal product or other controls means comparisons with other inhalers cannot be drawn.

Overall, the data presented suggest that reliability of budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> may be assured in a range of conditions designed to mimic real-world use. Together with previous findings that Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> is easy-to-use,<sup>17–19</sup> this evidence is likely to be of benefit to clinicians who require inhalers that perform reliably in patients with severe airway obstruction (Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> also offers the option of several drug substances administered via the same device). These data also add support to the current evidence demonstrating consistent DD, in patients with asthma who have used Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> devices.<sup>11,22</sup>

#### Conclusions

These results indicate that budesonide Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> delivers consistently accurate doses throughout the inhaler life under simulated real-life conditions. Treatment efficacy of budesonide is unlikely to be affected by variations in IFR, by dropping the inhaler, or by using it where temperature or humidity is high. Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> is preferable to other inhaler devices for patients with airway disease,<sup>10, 11, 23</sup> potentially influencing disease management through increased compliance to treatment and adherence. Easyhaler<sup>®</sup> applied to real-world use in the treatment of patients with obstructive airway diseases like COPD and

asthma can be expected to consistently deliver a uniform dose and improve disease control.

#### Authors' note

Medical writing support was provided by David Griffiths PhD and Jonathan Plumb PhD of Bioscript Medical, funded by Orion Corporation, Orion Pharma. JH, PR, SR, and AH are employees of Orion Corporation, Orion Pharma.

#### **Declaration of conflicting interests**

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was financially funded solely by Orion Corporation, Orion Pharma.

#### References

- World Health Organisation. Global Health Observatory (GHO) Data. http://www.who.int/gho/ncd/mortal ity\_morbidity/en/ (2016, accessed 31 May 2016).
- Global Asthma Network. The Global Asthma Report. http://www.globalasthmareport.org/resources/Global\_ Asthma\_Report\_2014.pdf/ (2014, accessed 01August 2016).
- Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD). Global strategy for the diagnosis, management and prevention of COPD. http://goldcopd.org/ (2016, accessed 31 May 2016).
- Sims MW. Aerosol therapy for obstructive lung diseases: device selection and practice management issues. *Chest* 2011; 140: 781–788.
- Bostantzoglou C, Delimpoura V, Samitas K, et al. Clinical asthma phenotypes in the real world: opportunities and challenges. *Breathe (Sheff)* 2015; 11: 186–193.
- Global Initiative for Asthma. Pocket guide for asthma management and prevention. http://ginasthma.org/ 2016-gina-report-global-strategy-for-asthma-manage ment-and-prevention/ (2016, accessed 09 June 2016).
- Berkenfeld K, Lamprecht A and McConville JT. Devices for dry powder drug delivery to the lung. *AAPS Pharm Sci Tech* 2015; 16: 479–490.
- Melani AS, Bonavia M, Cilenti V, et al. Inhaler mishandling remains common in real life and is associated with reduced disease control. *Respir Med* 2011; 105: 930–938.

- Melani AS, Canessa P, Coloretti I, et al. Inhaler mishandling is very common in patients with chronic airflow obstruction and long-term home nebuliser use. *Respir Med* 2012; 106: 668–676.
- Muller V, Galffy G, Orosz M, et al. Characteristics of reversible and nonreversible COPD and asthma and COPD overlap syndrome patients: an analysis of salbutamol Easyhaler data. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2016; 11: 93–101.
- Chrystyn H. Closer to an "ideal inhaler" with the Easyhaler: an innovative dry powder inhaler. *Clin Drug Investig* 2006; 26: 175–183.
- Chrystyn H. Is inhalation rate important for a dry powder inhaler? Using the In-Check Dial to identify these rates. *Respir Med* 2003; 97: 181–187.
- Chrystyn H and Haathala T. Real-life inhalation therapy—inhaler performance and patient education matter. *Eur Respir Dis* 2012; 8: 11–18.
- Azouz W, Chetcuti P, Hosker H, et al. Inhalation characteristics of asthma patients, COPD patients and healthy volunteers with the Spiromax(R) and Turbuhaler(R) devices: a randomised, cross-over study. BMC Pulmon Med 2015; 15: 47.
- 15. Orion Corporation. Easyhaler monograph. www.easy haler.eu/ (2014, accessed 01August 2016).
- Malmberg LP, Everard ML, Haikarainen J,, et al. Evaluation of in vitro and in vivo flow rate dependency of budesonide/formoterol Easyhaler((R)). *J Aerosol Med Pulmon Drug Deliv* 2014; 27: 329–340.
- Hantulik P, Wittig K, Henschel Y, et al. Usage and usability of one dry powder inhaler compared to other inhalers at therapy start: an open, non-interventional observational study in Poland and Germany. *Pneumonol Alergol Pol* 2015; 83: 365–377.
- Galffy G, Mezei G, Nemeth G, et al. Inhaler competence and patient satisfaction with Easyhaler(R): results of two real-life multicentre studies in asthma and COPD. *Drugs R&D* 2013; 13: 215–222.
- Schweisfurth H, Malinen A, Koskela T, et al. Comparison of two budesonide powder inhalers, Easyhaler and Turbuhaler, in steroid-naive asthmatic patients. *Respir Med* 2002; 96: 599–606.
- Vanto T, Hamalainen KM, Vahteristo M, et al. Comparison of two budesonide dry powder inhalers in the treatment of asthma in children. *J Aerosol Med* 2004; 17: 15–24.
- Whitehead A. Meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 2002.
- 22. Lahelma S, Sairanen U, Haikarainen J, et al. Equivalent lung dose and systemic exposure of budesonide/

formoterol combination via Easyhaler and Turbuhaler. *J Aerosol Med Pulmon Drug Deliv* 2015; 28: 462–473.

- 23. Price D, Thomas V, von Ziegenweidt J, et al. Switching patients from other inhaled corticosteroid devices to the Easyhaler((R)): historical, matched-cohort study of real-life asthma patients. *J Asthma Allergy* 2014; 7: 31–51.
- 24. European Pharmacopoeia. Council of Europe, 1997, 3rd ed.
- 25. European Pharmacopoeia. Council of Europe, 2014, 8th ed.
- BSI. Packaging. Complete, filled transport packages and unit loads. Vibration tests at fixed low frequency. BS 4826: Part 6. British Standards Institution, 1986.
- Canonica GW, Arp J, Keegstra JR,, et al. Spiromax, a new dry powder inhaler: dose consistency under simulated real-world conditions. *J Aerosol Med Pulmon Drug Deliv* 2015; 28: 309–319.
- Palander A, Mattila T, Karhu M, et al. In vitro comparison of three salbutamol-containing multidose dry powder inhalers. *Clin Drug Investig* 2000; 20: 25–33.