# RAPID COMMUNICATION

# Molecular epidemiology of the SARS-CoV-2 variant Omicron BA.2 sub-lineage in Denmark, 29 November 2021 to 2 January 2022

Jannik Fonager<sup>1,\*</sup>, Marc Bennedbæk<sup>1,\*</sup>, Peter Bager<sup>2</sup>, Jan Wohlfahrt<sup>3</sup>, Kirsten Maren Ellegaard<sup>4</sup>, Anna Cäcilia Ingham<sup>4</sup>, Sofie Marie Edslev<sup>4</sup> , Marc Stegger<sup>4</sup> , Raphael Niklaus Sieber<sup>4</sup> , Ria Lassauniere<sup>1</sup> , Anders Fomsgaard<sup>1</sup> , Troels Lillebaek<sup>5,6</sup>, Christina Wiid Svarrer<sup>4</sup>, Frederik Trier Møller<sup>7</sup>, Camilla Holten Møller<sup>2</sup>, Rebecca Legarth<sup>2</sup>, Thomas Vognbjerg Sydenham<sup>8</sup>, Kat Steinke<sup>8</sup>, Sarah Juel Paulsen<sup>9</sup>, José Alfredo Samaniego Castruita<sup>9</sup>, Uffe Vest Schneider<sup>9</sup>, Christian Højte Schouw<sup>10</sup>, Xiaohui Chen Nielsen<sup>10</sup>, Maria Overvad<sup>7</sup>, Rikke Thoft Nielsen<sup>7</sup>, Rasmus L Marvig<sup>11</sup>, Martin Schou Pedersen<sup>12</sup>, Lene Nielsen<sup>13</sup>, Line Lynge Nilsson<sup>13</sup>, Jonas Bybjerg-Grauholm<sup>14</sup>, Irene Harder Tarpgaard<sup>15</sup>, Tine Snejbjerg Ebsen<sup>15</sup>, Janni Uyen Hoa Lam<sup>7</sup>, Vithiagaran Gunalan<sup>1,\*\*</sup>, Morten Rasmussen<sup>1,\*\*</sup>

- 1. Virus Research and Development Laboratory, Virus and Microbiological Special Diagnostics, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 2. Infectious Disease Preparedness, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 3. Department of Epidemiology Research, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 4. Department of Bacteria, Parasites, and Fungi, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 5. International Reference Laboratory of Mycobacteriology, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark
- Global Health Section, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
  Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Prevention, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark
  Department of Clinical Microbiology, Odense University Hospital, Denmark
- 9. Department of Clinical Microbiology, Copenhagen University Hospital Amager-Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark
- 10. Department of Clinical Microbiology, Zealand University Hospital, Køge, Denmark
- 11. Center for Genomic Medicine, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 12. Department of Clinical Microbiology, Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark 13. Department of Clinical Microbiology, Copenhagen University Hospital-Herlev and Gentofte, Herlev, Denmark
- 14. Department for Congenital Disorders, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark
- 15. Clinical Microbiology Department, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark
- \* These authors contributed equally to this work and share first authorship.
- \*\* These authors contributed equally to this work and share last authorship

#### Correspondence: Jannik Fonager (FON@ssi.dk)

#### Citation style for this article:

Citation style for this article: Fonager Jannik, Bennedbæk Marc, Bager Peter, Wohlfahrt Jan, Ellegaard Kirsten Maren, Ingham Anna Cäcilia, Edslev Sofie Marie, Stegger Marc, Sieber Raphael Niklaus, Lassauniere Ria, Fomsgaard Anders, Lillebaek Troels, Svarrer Christina Wiid, Møller Frederik Trier, Møller Camilla Holten, Legarth Rebecca, Sydenham Thomas Vognbjerg, Steinke Kat, Paulsen Sarah Juel, Castruita José Alfredo Samaniego, Schneider Uffe Vest, Schouw Christian Højte, Nielsen Xiaohui Chen, Overvad Maria, Nielsen Rikke Thoft, Marvig Rasmus L, Pedersen Martin Schou, Nielsen Lene, Nilsson Line Lynge, Bybjerg-Grauholm Jonas, Tarpgaard Irene Harder, Ebsen Tine Snejbjerg, Lam Janni Uyen Hoa, Gunalan Vithiagaran, Rasmussen Morten. Molecular epidemiology of the SARS-COV-2 variant Omicron BA.2 sub-lineage in Denmark, 29 November 2021 to 2 January 2022. Euro Surveill. 2022;27(10):pii=2200181. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2022.27.10.2200181

Article submitted on 24 Feb 2022 / accepted on 10 Mar 2022 / published on 10 Mar 2022

Following emergence of the SARS-CoV-2 variant Omicron in November 2021, the dominant BA.1 sublineage was replaced by the BA.2 sub-lineage in Denmark. We analysed the first 2,623 BA.2 cases from 29 November 2021 to 2 January 2022. No epidemiological or clinical differences were found between individuals infected with BA.1 versus BA.2. Phylogenetic analyses showed a geographic east-to-west transmission of BA.2 from the Capital Region with clusters expanding after the Christmas holidays. Mutational analysis shows distinct differences between BA.1 and BA.2.

Following the discovery of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) variant of concern Omicron (Phylogenetic Assignment of Named Global Outbreak (Pango) lineage designation B.1.1.529) on 19 November 2021 (week 46) in South Africa [1], this variant with immune evasive properties has spread rapidly worldwide [2-4]. Since the Omicron emergence,

sub-lineages within Omicron have been described, notably BA.1, BA.1.1 and BA.2 [5,6]. The first Omicron sub-lineage BA.1 expanded rapidly and replaced the Delta variant (Pango lineage designation B.1.617.2) [7]. However, an increasing number of SARS-CoV-2 cases with the Omicron sub-lineage BA.2 have been reported in several countries, especially in Denmark [8]. Here, we provide a molecular epidemiological characterisation of the first BA.2 cases identified in Denmark.

# **Omicron sub-lineage BA.2 growth in** Denmark

The Danish national SARS-CoV-2 genomic surveillance system [9] identified the first two cases of Omicron sub-lineage BA.1 in samples from 22 November 2021 (week 47; not shown). On 5 December 2021, less than 2 weeks later, the first BA.2 case (week 48) was detected. At this timepoint, BA.1 accounted for only 2.7% of all variants among sequenced samples (Supplementary

## TABLE 1

Descriptive statistics of cases with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant sub-lineages BA.1 and BA.2, Denmark, 29 November 2021–2 January 2022 (n = 18,760)

Characteristics	Omicro	n BA.1	Omicro									
		%		%	p value							
Total cases	16,137	86.0	2,623	14.0	NA							
Age												
Median (IQR)	31	31-48 32 21-4		21-49	0.0814ª							
Sex												
Males	7,887	48.9	1,237	47.2	0.10 <sup>b</sup>							
Females	8,25	51.1	1,386	52.8								
SARS-CoV-2 reinfection												
No	15,167	94.0	2,465	94.0	0.98 <sup>b</sup>							
Yes	970	6.0	158	6.0								
Deaths related to SARS-CoV-2 infection <sup>c</sup>												
No	16,101	99.8	2,615	99.7	0.42 <sup>b</sup>							

IQR: interquartile range; NA: not applicable; SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; US: United States.

- <sup>a</sup> Wilcoxon signed-rank test performed in SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, US).
- <sup>b</sup> Chi-squared test performed in SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, US).
- <sup>c</sup> A SARS-CoV-2-related death was defined as death within 30 days after a positive SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR test. Occurrence of death was observed for more than 30 days in all cases by using complete data on death extracted on 22 February 2022 from the Danish national COVID-19 surveillance database.

data S1: Lineage Prevalence). Subsequently, Omicron (BA.1, BA.1.1 and BA.2) rapidly displaced the Delta variant and, by week 5 in 2022, accounted for ca100% of all sequenced variants in Denmark. The prevalence of BA.1 increased from 2.8% in week 48 to 71.9% in week 51 in 2021, thereafter declining to 7% by week 5 in 2022. The prevalence of BA.2 increased from less than 0.1% to 89.2% of sequenced samples during this 10-week period.

# **Characterisation of Omicron BA.2 cases**

In total, 16,137 BA.1 and 2,623 BA.2 cases were identified among 55,273 SARS-CoV-2-positive cases confirmed by RT-PCR tests performed at both community testing centres and hospitals between 29 November 2021 and 2 January 2022 (weeks 48–52) with usable consensus genomes (≤ 3,000 Ns) obtained through whole genome sequencing (WGS), as previously described [10]. During the period from 29 November to 16 December 2021, all samples that indicated an Omicron variant based on variant-specific PCR (S:WT452) were selected for WGS. From 17 December 2021 to 2 January 2022, samples from community testing centres were randomly selected for WGS by an algorithm from all positive samples with cycle threshold (Ct) values below 35. Samples from Omicron screening after 20 December 2021 were limited to specific patient groups at some hospitals across the country and were selected based on variant-specific PCR indicating Omicron variants during the study period. The proportion of samples from hospitals were similar for both BA.1 and BA.2 (16% and 18%, respectively, in 4/5

regions). Whole genome sequences have been submitted to the Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data (GISAID) sequence database [11].

Risk ratios (RRs) of hospitalisation with BA.2 vs BA.1 were estimated using a log-linear Poisson regression model, adjusted for sex, age, vaccination status, time period, geographic region, comorbidities and SARS-CoV-2 reinfection, as described previously [12]. No significant differences were observed between individuals infected with BA.1 and BA.2 for age, sex, reinfection or 30-day mortality (Table 1) or for the adjusted hospitalisation RR overall (p=0.19) or within strata of vaccination status (p=0.59) (Table 2). When limiting hospitalised cases to those with registered coronavirus disease (COVID-19) diagnoses, the RRs for hospitalisation with BA.2 vs BA.1 remained non-significant (n = 277 hospitalised cases; RR: 1.06 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.77–1.47)).

# Phylogenetic analysis of BA.2

BA.2 genomes were aligned using MAFFT version 7.310 with Wuhan-Hu-1 (GenBank accession number: NC 045512.2) as a reference and maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic inference was performed using IQ-TREE2, with the transition model, empirical base frequencies and a free rate model with four categories [13,14]. The ML tree (Supplementary data S2: Phylogenetic tree) was rooted with Wuhan-Hu-1 as an outgroup, timescaled and outlier tips removed (seven tips) using Rlsd2 (version 1.10) [15]. Ancestral character reconstruction was performed using PastML (version 1.9.34), with MPPA and F81, annotated to Danish regions [16]. The first introduction of BA.2 was in the Capital Region of Denmark which includes Copenhagen, from where multiple introductions were made to the other four Danish regions in which ten clusters had ten or more samples (Figure 1A). We further delineated clusters with more than 10 samples and visualised them as density plots by sample date using R and ggplot2 (Figure 1B) [17,18]. Three of the clusters (C.J. clusters 1 and 3 and N.J. cluster 2) were characterised by a rapid expansion on 2 January 2022 and one (C.J. cluster 1) also had an expansion on 27 December 2021 (Figure 1B).

# Comparative mutation profiles and structures of BA.1 and BA.2

Lineage-specific mutations were derived from analysis of WGS consensus genomes with Nextclade CLI 1.9.0 [19] relative to the Wuhan-Hu-1 reference genome and filtered for substitutions and deletions which comprise at least 50% of one lineage and less than 5% of the other. Thirty-nine substitutions and deletions differed between BA.1 and BA.2 and were distributed across the genome (Figure 2A). BA.2-specific spike mutations were clustered in the N-terminal domain (NTD) and in the receptor-binding domain (RBD). BA.1 and BA.2 diverged at spike residue 371 (L and F, respectively) and 142–145 in the RBD. The prevalence of these mutations was close to 100%, except for a few sites with amplicon

#### TABLE 2

Risk ratio of hospitalisation within 14 days after infection with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant sub-lineage BA.2 compared with BA.1, overall and according to vaccination status, Denmark, 29 November 2021–2 January 2022 (n = 18,681<sup>a</sup>)

	COVID-19 hospitalisation											
Characteristics	Yes		No		RR <sup>b</sup>							
	(n=423)		$(n = 18, 258)^{a}$									
		%		%	Crude	95% CI	Adjusted	95% CI				
Overall infection with SARS-CoV-2 variant												
Omicron BA.1	345	2.1	15,723	97.9	1 (Ref.)		1 (Ref.)					
Omicron BA.2	78	3.0	2,535	97.0	1.39	1.09-1.77	1.20	0.93-1.54				
By vaccination status <sup>c</sup>												
None or only one dose												
Omicron BA.1	104	3.1	3,228	96.9	1 (Ref.)		1 (Ref.)					
Omicron BA.2	27	4.5	579	95.5	1.43	0.94-2.16	1.37	0.89-2.09				
Two doses												
Omicron BA.1	155	1.5	10,402	98.5	1 (Ref.)		1 (Ref.)					
Omicron BA.2	28	1.8	1,497	98.2	1.25	0.84-1.86	1.23	0.82-1.85				
Three doses												
Omicron BA.1	86	3.9	2,093	96.1	1 (Ref.)		1 (Ref.)					
Omicron BA.2	23	4.8	459	95.2	1.21	0.77-1.90	1.00	0.65-1.55				

COVID-19: coronavirus disease; IQR: interquartile range; NA: not applicable; Ref.: reference; RR: risk ratio; SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; US: United States.

<sup>a</sup> Of the 18,760 total cases, 79 were excluded in order to adjust for region, since these cases had missing information for name of region. None of the excluded cases were hospitalised with COVID-19.

<sup>b</sup> Risk ratios were based on a log-linear Poisson regression model with robust standard errors and calculated using PROC GENMOD in SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, US). P values for crude and adjusted RR for overall infection with SARS-CoV-2 variant were 0.017 and 0.19, respectively. P values for the stratified analysis represents tests for the interaction between vaccination status and variant (crude RR, p = 0.85; adjusted RR, p = 0.59).

<sup>c</sup> Among those vaccinated, 86% received the RNA vaccines Comirnaty (BNT162b2 mRNA, BioNTech-Pfizer, Mainz, Germany/New York, United States (US)) or 12% Spikevax (mRNA-1273, Moderna, Cambridge, Massachusetts, US), and less than 2% received the non-replicating viral vector vaccines Vaxzevria (ChAdOx1 nCoV-19, Oxford-AstraZeneca, Cambridge, United Kingdom) or Janssen vaccine (Ad26.COV2-S, Janssen-Cilag International NV, Beerse, Belgium).

A COVID-19 hospitalisation was defined as admission 14 days after or 48 hours before the primary RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 positive test. All cases were followed for more than 14 days for hospitalisation by using complete admission data extracted on 22 February 2022. Adjusted RRs were adjusted for the basic (a priori) covariates sex, age (10-year groups) [10]. vaccination status (if not stratified by), period as a continuous variable (week 50, 51, 52), region (five groups), comorbidities in the preceding 5 years (none or one or more), and previous SARS-CoV-2 infection within the past60 days. In a sub-analysis, not shown in the table, we limited COVID-19 hospitalisations to cases with registered COVID-19 diagnoses (diagnostic codes DB342A, DB972A, DB972B, DB948A in the International Classification of Diseases 10th revision) and still did not observe a difference in hospitalisation risk between BA.1 and BA.2 cases (n = 277 hospitalised cases, RR: 1.06 (95% CI: 0.77-1.47).

dropout. A full table of mutations is in Supplementary data S3: Mutation prevalence.

Homology models containing both closed and open spike protein conformations were generated in SWISS-MODEL [20] using existing and consensus template structures (https://www.rcsb.org; IDs: 7KRS and 7T9K for BA.1 and BA.2, respectively) and annotated in UCSF ChimeraX 1.2 [21-23] with substitutions and deletions specific to BA.1 and BA.2 (Figure 2B). BA.2 substitutions S371F, T376A, D405N and R408S were seen in the interior RBD surface in both closed and open spike conformations, within the cavity formed by the trimer and also close to an adjacent monomer.

# **Ethical statement**

This study was conducted using data from the Danish COVID-19 surveillance. According to Danish law, ethical approval is not needed for this type of research.

# Discussion

The SARS-CoV-2 variant Omicron BA.2 sub-lineage has spread rapidly since its first detection in Denmark, while the BA.1 sub-lineage, which appeared 2 weeks earlier, has decreased in numbers. However, we do not find BA.2 cases to be significantly different from BA.1 cases with respect to age, sex, SARS-CoV-2 reinfection, hospitalisation or mortality. These findings are consistent with reports from Norway [24] suggesting that BA.2 leads to an equally mild course of disease with COVID-19 as BA.1 compared with the Delta variant.

Our phylogenetic analyses showed that BA.2 spread from the Capital Region in eastern Denmark to the western parts of the country, mainly through 10 transmission clusters with between 19 and 208 people. No large outbreaks were identified among the clusters from available data sources. Three clusters expanded in a manner suggesting association with travel patterns

#### FIGURE 1

Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant sub-lineage BA.2 across regions and over time, Denmark, 29 November 2021–2 January 2022 ( $n = 2,616^{a}$ )

#### Danish regions **Capital Region** 1,414(1,409) Root cluster 2 43 28 18 Central Jutland North Jutland 1-7 South Denmark 1-8 Central Jutland Zealand 1-9 North Jutland 23 (23) - 77(77) South Denmarl Zealand **Zealand** Central Jutland 19 (19) - 90(83) South Denmark 210(208) 25(25 152(149) 126(120) 19 (19) - 82(82) Z. cluster 2 C.J. cluster 2-3 N.J. cluster 1-2 S.D. cluster 1 Z. cluster 1 S.D. cluster 2-3 10 C.I. cluster 1 Capital Region 1-3

A. Ancestral character reconstruction based on maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis of Danish BA.2 sequences (n = 2,616)

#### B. Date density plots for the largest clusters (n = 11)



#### Sample date

C.J.: Central Jutland; N.J.:North Jutland; S.D.: South Denmark; SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; Z.: Zealand.

<sup>a</sup> Seven sequences were removed as outliers.

- A. Ancestral character reconstruction based on maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis of 2,616 Danish BA.2 sequences. Circles are coloured according to region (see map inset) and the circle size is based on the total number of sequences within each cluster. The numbers in parentheses represent sequences in each cluster after subtrees and missing data were removed. Numbers on the arrows (black boxes) indicate multiple independent transmission events leading to clusters of similar size, with the number of sequences denoted by the numeric range below or next to the region the cluster represents. Note that smaller clusters of multiple transmission events may denote many sequences in the tree, but the circles are scaled according to the size of each individual transmission event, e.g. the 10 transmission events from Zealand to the Capital Region cluster of size 1-3 contains 16 sequences total. Delineation shows the earliest BA.2 sequences of the root cluster in the Capital Region with frequent transmission to all regions. The delineation of transmission only contains Danish BA.2 sequences (supplementary data S2: Phylogenetic tree) as few BA.2 sequences underse on the othyle non-Danish BA.2 sequences contained more than 10 sequences.
- B. Date density plots for the 11 largest clusters. The plots show sample dates for 11 clusters with more than 10 sequences, with sequences in subtrees and missing geographical data removed. Boxplots show the median sample date for the clusters. For clusters C.J. 1–3 and N.J.1–2, the median day is on 2 December 2022.

### FIGURE 2

Mutation profile and structural prediction of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant sub-lineage BA.1 (n = 16,137) and BA.2 (n = 2,623) sequences, Denmark, 29 November 2021–2 January 2022

A. Amino acid mutation profile of BA.1 and BA.2 sub-lineages



B. Structural prediction/mapping of mutations from S proteins of BA.1 and BA.2 sub-lineages



NTD: N-terminal domain; RBD: receptor-binding domain; ORF: open reading frame; SARS-CoV-2: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

- A. Amino acid mutation profile of BA.1 and BA.2 sub-lineages. Mutually exclusive amino acid substitutions and deletions were observed in at least 50% of genomes in this study from either Omicron BA.1 (top orange bars) or BA.2 (bottom blue bars) and not observed more than 5% in the other lineage, from all consensus genomes in study period. Mutations were derived from consensus genomes by use of NextClade CLI (https://clades.nextsrain.org) [19]. NTD and RBD of the S protein are denoted by bold black lines. Open reading frames in which no exclusive mutations were detected are not shown. Y axes denote prevalence (%) among all genomes for a given lineage and take amplicon dropout into account.
- B. Structural prediction/mapping of mutations from S proteins of BA.1 and BA.2 sub-lineages. Structural homology models representing S proteins were generated in SWISS-MODEL using existing template structures (PDB ID: 7KRS, 7T9K) and consensus amino acid spike sequences of BA.1 (top) and BA.2 (bottom) genomes in this study. Representation of trimers shown in closed and open conformations. Substitutions and deletions exclusive to each lineage are shown for BA.1 (orange spheres) and BA.2 (blue spheres) on ribbon representations in each trimer. All visualisations and annotations performed in UCSF ChimeraX (http://www.tbvi.ucsf.edu/chimeraX).

during the Christmas holiday and have led to the seeding of BA.2 in the population within different geographical regions. This pattern of expansion is in contrast to the initial descriptions of BA.1 in Denmark and Norway, where large single outbreaks were seeding events for the transmission and spread of BA.1 [9,25].

The ability of Omicron sub-lineage BA.1 to replace the previously dominant Delta variant has been attributed to immune escape rather than a higher intrinsic transmissibility [26,27], but BA.2 has been shown to be even more transmissible than BA.1 [10]. Our analysis of the mutation profiles showed different constellations of mutations in BA.1 compared with BA.2, and the structural mapping suggests different effects on receptor binding or changes in interaction with adjacent spike monomers. At the NTD, the BA.2-specific substitution T19I abrogates a glycosylation site at N17 [28,29]. Furthermore, deletions from amino acid positions 24-26 (BA.2), 69-70 (BA.1), 142-144 (BA.1) as well as an A27S substitution (BA.2) are situated in or close to a known NTD antigenic site [30] and are associated with resistance to neutralising monoclonal antibodies [30].

A limitation of this study is that only WGS could be used to identify BA.2, but not all samples were sequenced during the study period because of the high incidence of Omicron in the population at the time. In addition, variant-specific PCR was implemented at different local hospitals but used differentially over time in the community testing centres, which might have affected the pre-selection of samples sent for WGS to some degree. Furthermore, some of the hospital cases might have been admitted for other reasons than COVID-19 and incidentally been detected as part of routine screening of hospital admissions.

# Conclusion

SARS-CoV-2 variant Omicron BA.2 has guickly become the dominant sub-lineage in Denmark, but based on data available on 10 January 2022, BA.2 is not associated with increased severity of disease or hospitalisation. The initial spread of BA.2 in Denmark was characterised by an initial increase in the Capital Region followed by transmission and expansion to the rest of Denmark. The mutation profiles of BA.1 and BA.2 differ in the spike gene in regions associated with receptor binding, glycosylation and resistance to monoclonal antibodies. This study provides novel information about molecular and epidemiological aspects of BA.2 severity, possible national transmission patterns and mutational profile, which can help to inform public health decisions regarding the handling of this Omicron sub-lineage.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank The Danish Covid-19 Genome Consortium (DCGC, www.covid19genomics.dk). Also, we would like to thank all laboratory technicians in the Virus Research and Development Laboratory at Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark for their extraordinary effort during the emergence of Omicron.

Funding statement: No particular funding was obtained for this work as part of the Danish national health response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

### **Conflict of interest**

None declared.

### Authors' contributions

Designed the study: JF, MB, VG, MR and AF. Performed data collection and/or analyses: JF, MB, VG, MR, PB, JW, CWS, ACI, SJP, JBG, UVS, KME, RNS and SME. Supervised the study; JF, MR. Wrote, commented and approved the final manuscript: JF, MB, RLA, AF, TL, PB, JW, ACI, SME, MS, VG, MR, TVS, KS, MO, FTM, CHM, RTN, SJP, JASC, UVS, CHS, XCN, RLM, LLN, JBG, CWS, MSP, IHT, JUHL, KE, LN, RS, RLA, RLE, TSE.

### References

- Viana R, Moyo S, Amoako DG, Tegally H, Scheepers C, Althaus CL, et al. Rapid epidemic expansion of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in southern Africa. Nature. 2022. Epub ahead of print. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04411-y PMID: 35042229
- Hansen CH, Schelde AB, Moustsen-Helm IR, Emborg H-D, Krause TG, Mølbak K, et al. Vaccine effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 infection with the Omicron or Delta variants following a two-dose or booster BNT162b2 or mRNA-1273 vaccination series: A Danish cohort study. medRxiv. 2021.12.20.21267966. Preprint. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021. 12.20.21267966
- World Health Organization (WHO). Classification of Omicron (B.1.1.529): SARS-CoV-2 variant of concern. Geneva: WHO; 26 Nov 2021. Available from: https://www.who.int/news/ item/26-11-2021-classification-of-omicron-(b.1.1.529)-sarscov-2-variant-of-concern#:~:text=Based%20on%20the%20 evidence%20presented,as%20a%20VOC%2C%20named%20 Omicron
- 4. Zeng C, Evans JP, Qu P, Faraone J, Zheng Y-M, Carlin C, et al. Neutralization and stability of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant. bioRxiv. 2021.12.16.472934. Preprint. https://doi. org/10.1101/2021.12.16.472934
- Andrew R. Proposal to split B.1.1.529 to incorporate a newly characterised sibling lineage #361. Github. 7 Dec 2021. Available from: https://github.com/cov-lineages/ pango-designation/issues/361
- United Kingdom Health Security Agency (UKHSA). SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern and variants under investigation in England – Technical Briefing 35. London: UKHSA; 2022. Available from: https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/ system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/1050999/Technical-Briefing-35-28January2022.pdf
- World Health Organization (WHO). Enhancing response to Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: Technical brief and priority actions for Member States, Update #5. Geneva: WHO; 7 Jan 2022. Available from: https://www.who.int/docs/defaultsource/coronaviruse/2022-01-07-global-technical-brief-andpriority-action-on-omicron--corr2.pdf?sfvrsn=918b09d\_20
- Statens Serum Institut (SSI). Now, an Omicron variant, BA.2, accounts for almost half of all Danish Omicron-cases. Copenhagen: SSI; 20 Jan 2022. Available from: https://en.ssi. dk/news/news/2022/omicron-variant-ba2-accounts-for-almosthalf-of-all-danish-omicron-cases
- Espenhain L, Funk T, Overvad M, Edslev SM, Fonager J, Ingham AC, et al. Epidemiological characterisation of the first 785 SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant cases in Denmark, December 2021. Euro Surveill. 2021;26(50):2101146. https:// doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.50.2101146 PMID: 34915977
- Lyngse FP, Kirkeby CT, Denwood M, Christiansen LE, Mølbak K, Møller CH, et al. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 Omicron VOC subvariants BA.1 and BA.2: Evidence from Danish households. medRxiv. 2022.01.28.22270044. Preprint. https://doi. org/10.1101/2022.01.28.22270044

- 11. Elbe S, Buckland-Merrett G. Data, disease and diplomacy: GISAID's innovative contribution to global health. Glob Chall. 2017;1(1):33-46. https://doi.org/10.1002/gch2.1018 PMID: 31565258
- Bager P, Wohlfahrt J, Bhatt S, Edslev SM, Sieber RN, Ingham AC, et al. Reduced risk of hospitalisation associated with infection with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron relative to Delta: A Danish cohort study. SSRN. 2021.4008930. Preprint. https://dx.doi. org/10.2139/ssrn.4008930
- Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res. 2002;30(14):3059-66. https:// doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkf436 PMID: 12136088
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32(1):268-74. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300 PMID: 25371430
- To TH, Jung M, Lycett S, Gascuel O. Fast dating using leastsquares criteria and algorithms. Syst Biol. 2016;65(1):82-97. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syv068 PMID: 26424727
- Ishikawa SA, Zhukova A, Iwasaki W, Gascuel O. A fast likelihood method to reconstruct and visualize ancestral scenarios. Mol Biol Evol. 2019;36(9):2069-85. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/msz131 PMID: 31127303
- 17. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. Second edition. New York: Springer; 2016.
- R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2021. Available from: https://www.r-project.org
- 19. Aksamentov I, Roemer C, Hodcroft E, Neher R. Nextclade: clade assignment, mutation calling and quality control for viral genomes. J Open Source Softw. 2021;6(67):3773. https://doi. org/10.21105/joss.03773
- 20. Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, Studer G, Tauriello G, Gumienny R, et al. SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46(W1):W296-303. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky427 PMID: 29788355
- 21. Zhang J, Cai Y, Xiao T, Lu J, Peng H, Sterling SM, et al. Structural impact on SARS-CoV-2 spike protein by D614G substitution. Science. 2021;372(6541):525-30. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.abf2303 PMID: 33727252
- 22. Mannar D, Saville JW, Zhu X, Srivastava SS, Berezuk AM, Tuttle KS, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant: Antibody evasion and cryo-EM structure of spike protein-ACE2 complex. Science. 2022;375(6582):760-4. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. abn7760 PMID: 35050643
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Meng EC, Couch GS, Croll TI, et al. UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. Protein Sci. 2021;30(1):70-82. https://doi.org/10.1002/pr0.3943 PMID: 32881101
- 24. Veneti L, Bøås H, Bråthen Kristoffersen A, Stålcrantz J, Bragstad K, Hungnes O, et al. Reduced risk of hospitalisation among reported COVID-19 cases infected with the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 variant compared with the Delta variant, Norway, December 2021 to January 2022. Euro Surveill. 2022;27(4)2200077. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917. ES.2022.27.4.2200077 PMID: 35086614
- 25. Brandal LT, MacDonald E, Veneti L, Ravlo T, Lange H, Naseer U, et al. Outbreak caused by the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron variant in Norway, November to December 2021. Euro Surveill. 2021;26(50)2101147. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917. ES.2021.26.50.2101147 PMID: 34915975
- 26. Lyngse FP, Mortensen LH, Denwood MJ, Christiansen LE, Møller CH, Skov RL, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Omicron VOC transmission in Danish households. medRxiv. 2021.12.27.21268278. Preprint. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2021.12.27.21268278
- 27. Eggink D, Andeweg SP, Vennema H, van Maarseveen N, Vermaas K, Vlaemynck B, et al. Increased risk of infection with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron BA.1 compared with Delta in vaccinated and previously infected individuals, the Netherlands, 22 November 2021 to 19 January 2022. Euro Surveill. 2022;27(4)210196. https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917. ES.2022.27.4.2101196 PMID: 35086609
- 28. Watanabe Y, Berndsen ZT, Raghwani J, Seabright GE, Allen JD, Pybus OG, et al. Vulnerabilities in coronavirus glycan shields despite extensive glycosylation. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):2688. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16567-0 PMID: 32461612
- 29. Tian W, Li D, Zhang N, Bai G, Yuan K, Xiao H, et al. O-glycosylation pattern of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein reveals an "O-Follow-N" rule. Cell Res. 2021;31(10):1123-5. https://doi.org/10.1038/S41422-021-00545-2 PMID: 34341488

30. McCallum M, De Marco A, Lempp FA, Tortorici MA, Pinto D, Walls AC, et al. N-terminal domain antigenic mapping reveals a site of vulnerability for SARS-CoV-2. Cell. 2021;184(9):2332-2347.e16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.03.028 PMID: 33761326

#### License, supplementary material and copyright

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) Licence. You may share and adapt the material, but must give appropriate credit to the source, provide a link to the licence and indicate if changes were made.

Any supplementary material referenced in the article can be found in the online version.

This article is copyright of the authors or their affiliated institutions, 2022.