



## Complete Genome Sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* XN-1, Isolated from the Sputum of a Severe Pneumonia Patient

Chen Gao,ª Ying Wang,ª Yi Zhang,ª Jinning Wei,ª Xin Cheng,ª Jin Zhang,<sup>b</sup> Quanming Zou,ª D Jiang Gua

<sup>a</sup>National Engineering Research Center of Immunological Products, Department of Microbiology and Biochemical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Third Military Medical University, Chongqing, People's Republic of China

<sup>b</sup>Department of Respiratory, Hunan Children's Hospital, Hunan, People's Republic of China

**ABSTRACT** *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is an important opportunistic pathogen with strong virulence and an invasive nature. Here, we report the complete genome of strain XN-1, which was isolated from the sputum of a severe pneumonia patient. The complete genome consists of one chromosome with 6,340,573 bp. Genome annotation predicts 5,974 coding sequences, 64 tRNAs, and 12 rRNAs.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, a versatile Gram-negative pathogen, is a major health challenge that causes recalcitrant multidrug-resistant infections, especially in immunocompromised and hospitalized patients (1). Furthermore, it is an opportunistic pathogen responsible for ventilator-acquired pneumonia (VAP). VAP due to *P. aeruginosa* is usually multidrug resistant and associated with severe infections and increased mortality rates (2). *P. aeruginosa* is one of the six superbugs that are threats all over the world because of their capacity to become increasingly resistant to all available antibiotics (3, 4). Moreover, the high rate of mutation allows it to evolve rapidly and to adapt to a multitude of conditions (5).

Here, we report the complete genome sequence of strain XN-1, which was isolated from the sputum of a severe pneumonia patient at Southwest Hospital in Chongqing, China. *P. aeruginosa* XN-1 (CCTCC M2015730) was isolated from a severe pneumonia patient and deposited in the China Center for Type Culture Collection (CCTCC). According to our previous studies (6–8), strain XN-1 has strong virulence. In a mouse model of acute pneumonia, no mouse survived 36 h after challenge with a lethal dose of bacteria ( $1.0 \times 10^7$  CFU) (6, 7). Moreover, XN-1 is resistant to different antibiotics, including carbapenems, imipenem, and ciprofloxacin (6–8). To better understand the virulent nature and resistance mechanisms of XN-1, the genome was sequenced.

*P. aeruginosa* XN-1, which had been stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C, was grown on an LB agar plate at 37°C overnight. A single colony was then picked and grown in LB medium at 37°C for 6 h. The cells were collected by centrifugation, and genomic DNA of *P. aeruginosa* XN-1 was isolated using the DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. A standard library of 20-kb fragments was established with SMRTbell methods. The genome of the strain was sequenced by single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing using the Pacific Biosciences (PacBio) RS II platform to obtain high-quality data on the original DNA sequence (9). The total number of reads sequenced is 107,702, and the  $N_{50}$  value is 13,257 bp.

The continuous long reads were assembled using the HGAP v3.0 protocol (10). Polishing and error correction were performed with Quiver v0.9.2 (10). The software GLIMMER (Gene Locator and Interpolated Markov ModelER) v3.02 (11), tRNAscan-SE v1.23 (12), and RNAmmer v1.2 (13) were used to predict gene structure, tRNAs, and rRNAs, respectively. The final assemblies generated by the approach consist of a single circular chromosome of 6,340,573 bp with a mean G+C content of 66.53%. The total

**Citation** Gao C, Wang Y, Zhang Y, Wei J, Cheng X, Zhang J, Zou Q, Gu J. 2020. Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* XN-1, isolated from the sputum of a severe pneumonia patient. Microbiol Resour Announc 9:e00653-20. https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA .00653-20.

Editor David A. Baltrus, University of Arizona Copyright © 2020 Gao et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Jiang Gu, jianggu2012@163.com.

Received 10 June 2020 Accepted 17 August 2020 Published 3 September 2020

| Database used             | No. of genes annotated | % of total annotated |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| KEGG                      | 2,987                  | 50.00                |
| InterPro                  | 4,995                  | 83.61                |
| Swiss-Prot                | 4,312                  | 72.18                |
| COG                       | 5,592                  | 93.61                |
| GO                        | 3,436                  | 57.52                |
| NCBI nonredundant protein | 4,003                  | 67.01                |
| Total                     | 5,618                  | 94.04                |

genes predicted for XN-1 were 5,974 coding sequences, 64 tRNAs, and 12 rRNAs, including 4 copies each of 5S, 16S, and 23S rRNAs.

A total of 190 tandem repeats and 16 simple sequence repeats were predicted using Tandem Repeats Finder (TRF) v4.09 (14) and MISA v1.0 (15), respectively. The CRISPR structure of the bacterial genome was predicted using MinCED v0.2.0 software (16), and a total of 10 possible CRISPR components were identified. In addition, functional annotation of the genome was curated and enriched using various databases, including the Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins (COG) (17), Gene Ontology (GO) (18), Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) (19, 20), Swiss-Prot (21), and NCBI nonredundant protein databases. A summary of the functional annotation of the genome is presented in Table 1. Default parameters were used for all software unless otherwise specified.

The genome sequence presented here will be a valuable resource for better understanding the pathogenomic evolution of *P. aeruginosa* XN-1 by comparing this pathogenic isolate to the extant genotypes. The complete genome sequence of *P. aeruginosa* XN-1 should provide further insights into the pathogenic mechanism and could have implications for defense against this pathogen.

**Data availability.** The whole-genome project for *P. aeruginosa* strain XN-1 was deposited in the SRA. The BioProject accession number is PRJNA636895, and the BioSample number is SAMN15088354 (SRX8457197).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 81772155).

## REFERENCES

- Hilliam Y, Kaye S, Winstanley C. 2020. Pseudomonas aeruginosa and microbial keratitis. J Med Microbiol 69:3–13. https://doi.org/10.1099/ jmm.0.001110.
- Berra L, Sampson J, Wiener-Kronish J. 2010. Pseudomonas aeruginosa: acute lung injury or ventilator-associated pneumonia? Minerva Anestesiol 76:824–832.
- Lupo A, Haenni M, Madec JY. 2018. Antimicrobial resistance in *Acineto-bacter* spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp. Microbiol Spectr https://doi.org/10 .1128/microbiolspec.ARBA-0007-2017.
- Tümmler B. 2019. Emerging therapies against infections with *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa. F1000Res 8:1371. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research .19509.1.
- Chirgwin ME, Dedloff MR, Holban AM, Gestal MC. 2019. Novel therapeutic strategies applied to *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections in cystic fibrosis. Materials (Basel) 12:4093. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma12244093.
- Gao C, Yang F, Wang Y, Liao Y, Zhang J, Zeng H, Zou Q, Gu J. 2017. Vaccination with a recombinant OprL fragment induces a Th17 response and confers serotype-independent protection against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection in mice. Clin Immunol 183:354–363. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.clim.2017.09.022.
- Wan C, Zhang J, Zhao L, Cheng X, Gao C, Wang Y, Xu W, Zou Q, Gu J. 2019. Rational design of a chimeric derivative of PcrV as a subunit vaccine against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Front Immunol 10:781. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.00781.
- Yang F, Gu J, Yang L, Gao C, Jing H, Wang Y, Zeng H, Zou Q, Lv F, Zhang J. 2017. Protective efficacy of the trivalent *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* vaccine

candidate PcrV-OprI-Hcp1 in murine pneumonia and burn models. Sci Rep 7:3957. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04029-5.

- Eid J, Fehr A, Gray J, Luong K, Lyle J, Otto G, Peluso P, Rank D, Baybayan P, Bettman B, Bibillo A, Bjornson K, Chaudhuri B, Christians F, Cicero R, Clark S, Dalal R, Dewinter A, Dixon J, Foquet M, Gaertner A, Hardenbol P, Heiner C, Hester K, Holden D, Kearns G, Kong X, Kuse R, Lacroix Y, Lin S, Lundquist P, Ma C, Marks P, Maxham M, Murphy D, Park I, Pham T, Phillips M, Roy J, Sebra R, Shen G, Sorenson J, Tomaney A, Travers K, Trulson M, Vieceli J, Wegener J, Wu D, Yang A, Zaccarin D, Zhao P, Zhong F, Korlach J, Turner S. 2009. Real-time DNA sequencing from single polymerase molecules. Science 323:133–138. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1162986.
- Chin CS, Alexander DH, Marks P, Klammer AA, Drake J, Heiner C, Clum A, Copeland A, Huddleston J, Eichler EE, Turner SW, Korlach J. 2013. Nonhybrid, finished microbial genome assemblies from long-read SMRT sequencing data. Nat Methods 10:563–569. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nmeth.2474.
- Delcher AL, Harmon D, Kasif S, White O, Salzberg SL. 1999. Improved microbial gene identification with GLIMMER. Nucleic Acids Res 27: 4636–4641. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.23.4636.
- Lowe TM, Eddy SR. 1997. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res 25:955–964. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.5.955.
- Lagesen K, Hallin P, Rødland EA, Staerfeldt HH, Rognes T, Ussery DW. 2007. RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res 35:3100–3108. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm160.

- Benson G. 1999. Tandem Repeats Finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 27:573–580. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ 27.2.573.
- Beier S, Thiel T, Münch T, Scholz U, Mascher M. 2017. MISA-web: a Web server for microsatellite prediction. Bioinformatics 33:2583–2585. https://doi .org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btx198.
- 16. Grissa I, Vergnaud G, Pourcel C. 2007. The CRISPRdb database and tools to display CRISPRs and to generate dictionaries of spacers and repeats. BMC Bioinformatics 8:172. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-8-172.
- Tatusov RL, Natale DA, Garkavtsev IV, Tatusova TA, Shankavaram UT, Rao BS, Kiryutin B, Galperin MY, Fedorova ND, Koonin EV. 2001. The COG database: new developments in phylogenetic classification of proteins from complete genomes. Nucleic Acids Res 29:22–28. https://doi.org/10 .1093/nar/29.1.22.
- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, Harris MA, Hill DP, Issel-Tarver L, Kasarskis A, Lewis S, Matese JC, Richardson JE, Ringwald M, Rubin GM, Sherlock G. 2000. Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. Nat Genet 25:25–29. https://doi.org/10.1038/75556.
- Kanehisa M, Goto S, Hattori M, Aoki-Kinoshita KF, Itoh M, Kawashima S, Katayama T, Araki M, Hirakawa M. 2006. From genomics to chemical genomics: new developments in KEGG. Nucleic Acids Res 34:D354–D357. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkj102.
- 20. Kanehisa M, Goto S, Kawashima S, Okuno Y, Hattori M. 2004. The KEGG resource for deciphering the genome. Nucleic Acids Res 32:D277–D280. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh063.
- Magrane M, UniProt Consortium. 2011. UniProt Knowledgebase: a hub of integrated protein data. Database (Oxford) 2011:bar009. https://doi .org/10.1093/database/bar009.