# Peer

Molecular identification and expression patterns of odorant binding protein and chemosensory protein genes in *Athetis lepigone* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)

Ya-Nan Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiu-Yun Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Ji-Fang Ma<sup>3</sup>, Zhi-Ping Dong<sup>3</sup>, Ji-Wei Xu<sup>1</sup>, Ke Kang<sup>4</sup> and Long-Wa Zhang<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> College of Life Sciences, Huaibei Normal University, Huaibei, China

<sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Integrated Pest Management on Crops in East China, Ministry of Agriculture,

Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Crop Diseases and Pests, Ministry of Education, College of Plant Protection, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Millet Crops, Hebei Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences, Shijiazhuang, China

<sup>4</sup> Anhui Vocational & Technical College of Forestry, Hefei, China

<sup>5</sup> Anhui Provincial Key Laboratory of Microbial Control, School of Forestry & Landscape Architecture, Anhui Agricultural University, Hefei, China

### ABSTRACT

The olfaction system of insects plays an important role in mediating various physiological behaviors, including locating hosts, avoiding predators, and recognizing mates and oviposition sites. Therefore, some key genes in the system present valuable opportunities as targets for developing novel green pesticides. *Athetis lepigone*, a noctuid moth can feed on more than 30 different host plants making it a serious polyphagous pest worldwide, and it has become one of the major maize pests in northern China since 2011. However, there are no reports on effective and environmentally friendly pesticides for the control of this pest. In this study, we identified 28 genes encoding putative odorant binding proteins (OBPs) and 20 chemosensory protein (CSPs) genes based on our previous *A. lepigone* transcriptomic data. A tissue expression investigation and phylogenetic analysis were conducted in an effort to postulate the functions of these genes. Our results show that nearly half (46.4%) of the *AlOBPs* exhibited antennaebiased expression while many of the *AlCSPs* were highly abundant in non-antennal tissues. These results will aid in exploring the chemosensory mechanisms of *A. lepigone* and developing environmentally friendly pesticides against this pest in the future.

Subjects Entomology, Genomics, Molecular BiologyKeywords Chemosensory genes, Antennae, Phylogenetic analysis, *Athetis lepigone*, Gene expression pattern

### INTRODUCTION

The olfaction system of insects mediates a host of physiological behaviors, such as host location, predator avoidance, and mate and oviposition site recognition (*Leal, 2013*). Many studies show that the periphery process of insect olfaction requires a set of genes, including those that encode odorant binding proteins (OBPs), chemosensory proteins (CSPs), and chemosensory receptors (*Elfekih et al., 2016*; *Glaser et al., 2015*; *Larter, Sun &* 

Submitted 30 November 2016 Accepted 7 March 2017 Published 30 March 2017

Corresponding authors Ya-Nan Zhang, ynzhang\_insect@163.com Long-Wa Zhang, zhanglw@ahau.edu.cn, longwazhang@126.com

Academic editor Juan Riesgo-Escovar

Additional Information and Declarations can be found on page 13

DOI 10.7717/peerj.3157

Copyright 2017 Zhang et al.

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

#### OPEN ACCESS

*Carlson, 2016*; *Li et al., 2015*; *Paula et al., 2016*; *Zhang et al., 2013*). Generally, OBPs/CSPs located in the antennal sensillar lymph can recognize and bind external odorants that can then be transferred by OBPs/CSPs through the sensillar lymph to chemosensory receptors, odorant receptors (ORs) and ionotropic receptors (IRs). Therefore, OBPs and CSPs play key roles in helping insects recognize various odorants and regulate their behaviors (*Dani et al., 2011*; *Zhou, 2010*). These functions also suggest that these protein families may present valuable opportunities as target genes for developing novel green pesticides.

Insect OBPs are a class of small, abundant, and water-soluble extracellular proteins of  $\sim$ 14 KDa. Most OBPs use six positionally conserved cysteines to form three interlocking disulphide bridges that stabilize the protein's three-dimensional structure (*Lagarde et al., 2011; Leal, Nikonova & Peng, 1999; Pelosi & Maida, 1995; Vogt & Riddiford, 1981*). Since the first OBP was identified in *Antheraea polyphemus* (*Vogt & Riddiford, 1981*), many OBPs have been found in various insects based on genomic or transcriptomic methods in recent years. Based on the structural features and similarity in protein sequences, insect OBPs can be divided into three major subclasses (*Li et al., 2013; Schultze et al., 2012; Spinelli et al., 2012; Zhou, 2010*): classic OBPs, including pheromone binding proteins (PBPs), general odorant binding proteins (GOBPs), and two OBPs involved in the recognition of female sex pheromones and host volatiles; plus-C OBPs; and minus-C OBPs, which may also participate in the binding of host volatiles as suggested by an *in vitro* competitive binding assay.

Olfactory specific protein D (OS-D), the first insect CSP gene, was discovered in Drosophila melanogaster (McKenna et al., 1994). By using similar methods as for OBP identification, many CSPs have been discovered in distinct insects (Guo et al., 2011; Iovinella et al., 2013; Jacquin-Joly et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2010; Missbach et al., 2015; Picimbon et al., 2001; Wanner et al., 2004). Unlike OBPs, CSPs are smaller and more conserved in distinct insects, which only have four conserved cysteines that form two interlocking disulphide bridges (Bohbot et al., 1998; Lartigue et al., 2002; Maleszka & Stange, 1997; Pelosi, Calvello & Ban, 2005; Zhang et al., 2014). Furthermore, OBPs are usually specifically or predominately expressed in the antennae, whereas many CSPs are expressed in the antennae and other tissues (Pelosi, Calvello & Ban, 2005; Vogt, 2005; Zhang et al., 2016a; Zhang et al., 2013), suggesting insect CSPs have both chemosensation and non-chemosensation functions as is illustrated by their association with chemosensation in moths (*Jacquin-Joly et al., 2001*; Sun et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2014), limb regeneration in Periplaneta eparate (Nomura et al., 1992), embryo development in Apis mellifera (Maleszka et al., 2007), behavioral phase change in Locusta migratoria (Guo et al., 2011), and female moth survival and reproduction in Spodoptera exigua (Gong et al., 2012).

Athetis lepigone Möschler (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is a serious polyphagous pest found worldwide (*Fu et al., 2014; Karsholt, Van Nieukerken & De Jong, 2013; Lindeborg, 2008; Nikolaevitch & Vjatcheslavovna, 2003; Zhang, Zhao & Ding, 2009*) that can feed on more than 30 different host plants species and has become one of the major maize pests in northern China since 2011 (*Jiang et al., 2011; Ma et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2011*). However, there are no reports on the chemosensory mechanism mediated by OBPs/CSPs between the pests and host plants. In this study, we identified 28 and 20 genes encoding putative

AlOBPs (*A. lepigone* OBPs) and AlCSPs (*A. lepigone* CSPs), respectively, based on our previous transcriptomic data of *A. lepigone* (*Zhang et al., 2016b*). Tissue expression and phylogenetic analyses were conducted in an effort to postulate the function of these genes. We found that most AlOBPs and AlCSPs had high identities with those in other moths (*Campanacci et al., 2001; Gu et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015c; Zhang et al., 2015a; Zhang et al., 2015b*); nearly half of the *AlOBPs* exhibited antennae-biased expression, and many *AlCSPs* were found in various tissues and were highly expressed in proboscises, legs, and wings, which will help us explore the chemosensory mechanism of *A. lepigone* and develop environmentally friendly pesticides against this pest in the future.

### **MATERIALS & METHODS**

### Insect rearing and tissue collection

A. lepigone were fed an noctuid artificial diet (*Huang et al., 2002*) at a temperature of 26  $\pm$  1 °C in a 14:10 h, light:dark photoperiod. Pupae were sexed, and males and females were placed into separate enclosures. Adult moths were given a 10% honey solution after emergence. We collected 25–30 female antennae (FA), 25–30 male antennae (MA), 50–60 proboscises (Pr,  $\varphi:\sigma = 1:1$ ), 10–12 abdomen (Ab,  $\varphi:\sigma^2 = 1:1$ ), 28–30 legs (Le,  $\varphi:\sigma^2 = 1:1$ ), and 28–30 wings (Wi,  $\varphi:\sigma^2 = 1:1$ ) from three-day-old virgin adults. All samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until use.

### **RNA** isolation and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted using the MiniBEST Universal RNA Extraction Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) following the manufacturer's instructions, and the RNA quality was checked using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop<sup>TM</sup> 2000; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The single-stranded cDNA templates were synthesized from 1 µg total RNA from various tissue samples using the PrimeScript<sup>TM</sup> RT Master Mix (TaKaRa, Dalian, China).

### Sequence analyses

The open reading frames (ORFs) of the putative chemosensory genes were predicted using ORF Finder (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gorf/gorf.html). The similarity searches were performed with NCBI-BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Putative N-terminal signal peptides for AlOBPs and AlCSPs were predicted by SignalP 4.1 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/) (*Petersen et al., 2011*).

### **Phylogenetic analysis**

Phylogenetic trees were reconstructed for the analysis of *AlOBPs* and *AlCSPs*, based on the gene sequences of *A. lepigone* and those of other insects. The OBP data set contained 28 sequences from *A. lepigone* (Table S1), and 100 from other insects including *Bombyx mori* (*Gong et al., 2009*), *Manduca sexta* (*Grosse-Wilde et al., 2011*), *Sesamia inferens* (*Zhang et al., 2013*), and *Spodoptera littoralis* (*Legeai et al., 2011*). The CSP dataset contained 20 sequences from *A. lepigone* (Table S1) and 68 from other insects including *B. mori* (*Gong et al., 2007*), *M. sexta* (*Grosse-Wilde et al., 2011*), *S. inferens* (*Zhang et al., 2013*), and *S. littoralis* (*Legeai et al., 2011*). Amino acid sequences were aligned with MAFFT version

7 (http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/), and phylogenetic trees were constructed using PhyML (*Guindon et al., 2010*) based on the LG substitution model (*Le & Gascuel, 2008*) with Nearest Neighbour Interchange (NNI), and branch support estimated by a Bayesian-like transformation of the aLRT (aBayes) method. Dendrograms were created and colored in FigTree (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/).

### **Quantitative real time-PCR**

Expression profiling of *AlOBPs* and *AlCSPs* was performed using quantitative real time-PCR (qRT-PCR) performed in a LightCycler<sup>®</sup> 96 (Roche, Switzerland) with a mixture of 5  $\mu$ L 2X SYBR<sup>®</sup> Premix Ex Taq (Tli RNaseH Plus) (TaKaRa, Dalian), 0.2  $\mu$ L of each primer (10  $\mu$ M), 2.5 ng of sample cDNA, and 3.6  $\mu$ L of sterilized ultrapure H<sub>2</sub>O. The reaction program was as follows: 30 s at 95 °C, 40 cycles of 95 °C for 5 s, and 60 °C for 20 s. The results were analyzed using a LightCycler<sup>®</sup> 96 SW 1.1. The qRT-PCR primers (Table S2) were designed with Beacon Designer 7.9 (PREMIER Biosoft International, CA, USA). This was followed by the measurement of fluorescence over a 55 to 95 °C melting curve to detect a single gene-specific peak and to check the absence of primer dimer peaks, and a single and discrete peak was detected for all primers tested. Negative controls consisted of non-template reactions where the cDNA was replaced with H<sub>2</sub>O.

Expression levels of *AlOBPs* and *AlCSPs* were calculated relative to the reference genes *AlGAPDH* (*A. lepigone* glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) and *AlEF* (*A. lepigone* elongation factor-1 alpha) using the Q-Gene method in the Microsoft Excel-based software Visual Basic (*Muller et al., 2002; Simon, 2003*). For each sample, three biological replicates were performed with three technical replicates per biological replicate.

### Statistical analysis

Data (mean  $\pm$  SE) from various samples were subjected to one-way nested analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by a least significant difference test (LSD) for mean comparisons using the SPSS Statistics 22.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

## RESULTS

### Identification of putative OBP genes in A. lepigone

Based on our previous antennal transcriptomic data (NCBI-SRX number: 2543665) for *A. lepigone (Zhang et al., 2016b)*, we first identified 28 genes encoding putative OBPs including three *PBPs* and two *GOBPs* (Table 1). Among the 28 *AlOBPs*, 24 sequences were predicted to be full-length genes that encoded 133 to 246 amino acids; all 24 genes had a predicted signal peptide at the N-terminus. According to the number and position of conserved cysteines, insect OBPs can be divided into different subclasses: classic OBPs, Plus-C OBPs, and Minus-C OBPs (*Zhou, 2010*). Here, AlOBP4 and AlOBP9 had no conserved cysteines at the C2 and C5 positions, and, therefore, belonged to the Minus-C OBP subfamily; AlOBP2, AlOBP7, and AlOBP14 had cysteines in addition to the six conserved cysteines; therefore, they belonged to the Plus-C OBP subfamily; the other 19 full-length AlOBPs belonged to the Classic OBP subfamily, which had six conserved cysteines at the corresponding positions (Fig. S1).

### Table 1 The BLASTX match of OBP genes in A. lepigone.

| Gene<br>name | ORF<br>(aa) | Signal<br>peptide | Complete<br>ORF | Best blastx match                     |            |                      |           |                 |
|--------------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
|              |             |                   |                 | Name                                  | Acc. No.   | Species              | E value   | Identity<br>(%) |
| GOBP1        | 163         | 1-18              | Y               | general odorant binding protein 1     | ABI24160.1 | Agrotis ipsilon      | 8.00E-83  | 95              |
| GOBP2        | 162         | 1-21              | Y               | general odorant binding protein 2     | AHC72380.1 | Sesamia inferens     | 2.00E-92  | 91              |
| PBP1         | 167         | 1-23              | Y               | pheromone binding protein 1 precursor | AAC05702.2 | Mamestra brassicae   | 3.00E-88  | 90              |
| PBP2         | 170         | 1-24              | Y               | pheromone binding protein 2 precursor | AAC05701.2 | Mamestra brassicae   | 5.00E-58  | 90              |
| PBP3         | 164         | 1-22              | Y               | pheromone-binding protein 3           | AFM36758.1 | Agrotis ipsilon      | 2.00E-85  | 90              |
| OBP1         | 116         | Ν                 | Ν               | SexiOBP14                             | AGP03460.1 | Spodoptera exigua    | 7.00E–54  | 88              |
| OBP2         | 146         | 1-17              | Y               | odorant binding protein 6             | AGR39569.1 | Agrotis ipsilon      | 2.00E-84  | 88              |
| OBP3         | 120         | Ν                 | Ν               | odorant binding protein 8             | AKI87969.1 | Spodoptera litura    | 5.00E-79  | 85              |
| OBP4         | 138         | 1-16              | Y               | odorant-binding protein 18            | AFI57167.1 | Helicoverpa armigera | 2.00E-52  | 85              |
| OBP5         | 147         | 1-21              | Y               | pheromone binding protein 4           | AAL66739.1 | Mamestra brassicae   | 1.00E-81  | 84              |
| OBP6         | 134         | 1-17              | Y               | ABPX                                  | AGS36754.1 | Sesamia inferens     | 2.00E-54  | 83              |
| OBP7         | 203         | 1-20              | Y               | odorant-binding protein 19            | AGC92793.1 | Helicoverpa assulta  | 2.00E-69  | 83              |
| OBP8         | 147         | 1-20              | Y               | oderant binding protein 6             | AFM77984.1 | Spodoptera exigua    | 4.00E-56  | 82              |
| OBP9         | 133         | 1-16              | Y               | odorant binding protein 9             | AGH70105.1 | Spodoptera exigua    | 5.00E-84  | 80              |
| OBP10        | 96          | Ν                 | Ν               | odorant binding protein 1             | AGR39564.1 | Agrotis ipsilon      | 2.00E-58  | 79              |
| OBP5         | 147         | 1-21              | Y               | pheromone binding protein 4           | AAL66739.1 | Mamestra brassicae   | 1.00E-81  | 84              |
| OBP11        | 152         | 1-21              | Y               | pheromone binding protein 4           | AAL66739.1 | Mamestra brassicae   | 1.00E-30  | 78              |
| OBP12        | 141         | 1-26              | Y               | odorant binding protein 8             | AGH70104.1 | Spodoptera exigua    | 9.00E-78  | 77              |
| OBP13        | 184         | 1-20              | Y               | odorant binding protein               | AII00978.1 | Dendrolimus houi     | 1.00E-106 | 75              |
| OBP14        | 186         | 1-17              | Y               | odorant binding protein 1             | AGR39564.1 | Agrotis ipsilon      | 8.00E-97  | 75              |
| OBP15        | 155         | 1-24              | Y               | SexiOBP11                             | AGP03457.1 | Spodoptera exigua    | 2.00E-82  | 73              |
| OBP16        | 148         | 1-21              | Y               | OBP7                                  | AEB54591.1 | Helicoverpa armigera | 7.00E-54  | 70              |
| OBP17        | 246         | 1-19              | Y               | odorant binding protein               | AII00994.1 | Dendrolimus kikuchii | 2.00E-74  | 67              |
| OBP18        | 149         | 1-22              | Y               | OBP5                                  | AEB54581.1 | Helicoverpa armigera | 8.00E-58  | 65              |
| OBP19        | 71          | 1-22              | Ν               | OBP6                                  | AGS36748.1 | Sesamia inferens     | 2.00E-25  | 65              |
| OBP20        | 170         | 1-23              | Y               | odorant binding protein 4             | AKI87965.1 | Spodoptera litura    | 2.00E-76  | 61              |
| OBP21        | 153         | 1-21              | Y               | SexiOBP9                              | AGP03455.1 | Spodoptera exigua    | 2.00E-77  | 59              |
| OBP22        | 146         | 1-25              | Y               | SexiOBP12                             | AGP03458.1 | Spodoptera exigua    | 1.00E-72  | 58              |
| OBP23        | 145         | 1-17              | Y               | odorant binding protein               | ADY17886.1 | Spodoptera exigua    | 1.00E-85  | 40              |

5/21



**Figure 1 Phylogenetic tree of moth OBPs.** The *A. lepigone* translated genes are shown in blue. This tree was constructed using phyML based on alignment results of MAFFT. Al, *A. lepigone*; Bm, *B. mori*; Si, *S. inferens*; Sl, *S. littorali*; Ms, *M. sexta*.

### Identification of putative CSP genes in A. lepigone

Twenty putative genes encoding CSPs were identified in *A. lepigone* via antennal transcriptome analysis (Table 2). Eighteen of these had full length ORFs with 4 conserved cysteines in corresponding positions (Fig. S2), and seventeen genes (except *AlCSP14*) had a predicted signal peptide at the N-terminus. The results of a BLASTX match showed that 80% of these CSPs (n = 16) had >70% identity with other CSPs from different moths and that this was higher than the sequence identities of the OBPs (75%) (Table 2).

### Phylogenetic analyses of moth OBPs and CSPs

Two phylogenetic trees, one of moth OBPs and one of moth CSPs, were constructed using protein sequences from *A. lepigone, B. mori, S. inferens, S. littoralis,* and *M. sexta,* (Figs. 1 and 2). Similar to other studies (*He et al., 2010; Pelosi et al., 2014b; Vogt, Rybczynski & Lerner, 1991; Xiu & Dong, 2007*), the OBP tree showed that moth OBPs can be divided into PBP/GOBP, Minus-C OBP, and Plus-C OBP subfamilies. AlPBP1-3 clustered into the PBP subfamily and the AlGOBPs (1 and 2) clustered into the GOBP subfamily. Two AlOBPs (AlOBP4 and AlOBP9) clustered into the moth Minus-C OBP subfamily, and four AlOBPs (AlOBP2, AlOBP7, AlOBP10, and AlOBP14) clustered into the moth Plus-C OBP subfamily. The rest of the AlOBPs clustered with at least one orthologous moth gene. In

#### ORF Signal Best blastx match Gene Complete (aa) peptide ORF name Species E value Identity Name Acc. No. (%) Y CSP1 124 1-16 chemosensory protein 15 AGH20053.1 Helicoverpa armigera 5.00E-62 83 CSP2 124 1-15 Y chemosensory protein precursor NP\_001037066.1 Bombyx mori 2.00E-38 61 CSP3 122 1-18 Y ejaculatory bulb-specific protein 3-like XP 012549936.1 Bombyx mori 2.00E-45 74 Y chemosensory protein CSP4 294 1-16 AIW65104.1 Helicoverpa armigera 2.00E-130 78 Ν CSP5 56 Ν chemosensory protein AII01011.1 Dendrolimus houi 3.00E-17 62 CSP6 1-19 Y putative chemosensory protein AGY49270.1 Sesamia inferens 6.00E-72 78 150 Y CSP7 1-19 sensory appendage protein-like protein AAK14793.1 Mamestra brassicae 1.00E-28 61 114 CSP8 Y chemosensory protein 6 5.00E-63 127 1-18 AGR39576.1 Agrotis ipsilon 91 Y CSP9 127 1-16 chemosensory protein AAF71289.1 Mamestra brassicae 3.00E-59 83 Y CSP10 chemosensory protein 8 4.00E-68 85 123 1-18 AGR39578.1 Agrotis ipsilon CSP11 123 1-16 Y chemosensory protein AIW65100.1 Helicoverpa armigera 2.00E-65 76 Y chemosensory protein CSP2 Spodoptera exigua 81 CSP12 128 1-18 ABM67689.1 4.00E-70 CSP13 123 1-19 Υ chemosensory protein AIX97829.1 Cnaphalocrocis medinalis 1.00E-56 81 CSP14 46 Ν Ν putative chemosensory protein AGY49260.1 Sesamia inferens 3.00E-25 100 CSP15 122 1-16 Y chemosensory protein 10 AFR92094.1 Helicoverpa armigera 1.00E-73 89 CSP16 130 Ν Y chemosensory protein 15 NP 001091781.1 Bombyx mori 3.00E-42 59 Y CSP17 127 1-18 putative chemosensory protein AGY49267.1 Sesamia inferens 1.00E-70 81 Y chemosensory protein 8 Helicoverpa armigera 8.00E-43 CSP18 123 1-18 AFR92092.1 74 CSP19 120 1-16 Y chemosensory protein 4 AGR39574.1 Agrotis ipsilon 1.00E-60 79 Y CSP20 107 Agrotis ipsilon 4.00E-53 1-18 chemosensory protein 5 AGR39575.1 97

### Table 2 The BLASTX match of CSP genes in A. lepigone.





the constructed CSP tree, our results indicated that all 20 AlCSPs were distributed along various branches and each clustered with at least one other moth ortholog.

### OBPs and CSPs expression patterns in A. lepigone

We used the qRT-PCR results to investigate the expression profiles of all *AlOBPs* and *AlCSPs*. The results showed that all the OBPs and CSPs were expressed in the adult antennae of *A. lepigone*. Among the 28 *AlOBPs*, 13 *AlOBPs* (including PBPs and GOBPs) were significantly highly expressed in the antennae (p < 0.05, ANOVA, LSD), including 5 male-biased (*AlPBP1, AlPBP2, AlOBP6, AlOBP17,* and *AlOBP20*) and 3 female-biased (*AlOBP1, AlOBP3,* and *AlOBP19*) OBP genes. In all 28 *AlOBPs, AlGOBP1* and *AlPBP1* (male antennae) exhibited the highest expression levels, and *AlOBP19* exhibited the lowest expression abundance (Fig. 3). In addition, eight *AlOBPs (AlOBP4, 8, 11, 14, 16, 21, 22, and 23)* exhibited proboscis-biased expression, *AlOBP10* was expressed significantly more in the adult abdomen, and four *AlOBPs (AlOBP2, 9, 13, and 18)* displayed higher expression levels in adult wings than in other tissues (Fig. 3).





Compared to *AlOBPs*, *AlCSPs* were highly expressed in adult antennae as well as in non-antennae tissues. Of the 20 identified *AlCSP* genes, only *AlCSP2*, *AlCSP6*, and *AlCSP18* had antennae-biased expression; *AlCSP2* was male-biased and *AlCSP18* was female-biased in their expression. Six *AlCSP* genes (*AlCSP1*, *9*, *12*, *15*, *16* and *20*) were highly expressed in the proboscises, and nine (*AlCSP3-5*, *7*, *8*, *10*, *13*, *14* and *19*) were highly expressed in the wings; among the 20 total *AlCSPs*, *AlCSP14* and *AlCSP5* displayed the highest and lowest expression levels in the antennae, respectively (Fig. 4).



**Figure 4** Expression patterns of CSP genes in *A. lepigone*. The relative expression level is indicated as mean  $\pm$  SE (N = 3). Different capital letters mean significant difference between tissues (p < 0.05, ANOVA, LSD). FA, female antennae; MA, male antennae; Pr, proboscises; Ab, abdomen; Le, legs; Wi, wings.

## DISCUSSION

In this study, we first identified 28 and 20 genes encoding putative AlOBPs and AlCSPs, respectively, based on our previous *A. lepigone* transcriptomic data (*Zhang et al., 2016b*). The number of *AlOBP* and AlCSP genes identified for this species are similar to some reported moths, such as *C. suppressalis* (*Cao et al., 2014*), *H. armigera* (*Liu et al., 2012*), and *B. mori* (*Gong et al., 2007*), but there are certain different from *S. litura* (*Gu et al., 2015*), *S. inferens* (*Zhang et al., 2013*), *H. armigera* (*Liu et al., 2012*) and *B. mori* (*Gong et al., 2013*), *H. armigera* (*Liu et al., 2012*) and *B. mori* (*Gong et al., 2009*). The reasons for the differences in gene number may be due to: (1) the different

chemosensory behaviors of different moths requiring distinct molecular mechanisms that have developed over evolutionary time; (2) the genomic data will help us identify more genes from *A. lepigone* as well as from other moths in the future.

Many studies have shown that insect OBPs are mainly expressed in the antennae of both sexes and that they may play key roles in the process of host location, mating, and oviposition by allowing the insect to accurately recognize environmental odorants (*Larter, Sun & Carlson, 2016; Leal, 2013; Qiao et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2009*). The phylogenetic tree of moth OBPs showed that AlOBPs were divided into different subfamilies, including the PBP/GOBP, Minus-C OBP, and Plus-C OBP proteins suggesting that the structural diversity of AlOBPs may be involved in chemosensation and/or in other physiological processes. Based on the qRT-PCR analyses, we found that 46% of the 28 *AlOBPs* were highly expressed in the antennae indicating that these AlOBP proteins have putative roles in the odorant reorganization of *A. lepigone*. Similar to our previous work and to other studies (*Gu et al., 2015; McKenzie, Oxley & Kronauer, 2014; Zhang et al., 2016a; Zhang et al., 2013*), we found that there were five *AlOBP* genes highly expressed in non-antennal tissues (legs and wings), including one abdomen-biased AlOBP-encoding gene and four wing-biased *AlOBP* genes, indicating that these OBPs may have other non-chemosensory functions.

Five AlPBP/GOBPs displayed higher expression in the adult antennae (especially *AlGOBP1* and *AlPBP1*), which is consistent with that reported for PBP/GOBPs in other moths (*Liu, Liu & Dong, 2013; Liu et al., 2015b; Zhang et al., 2013*). According to recent functional studies of moth PBP/GOBPs (*Jin et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015a; Liu, Liu & Dong, 2013; Sun, Liu & Wang, 2013; Zhu et al., 2016*) and *D. melanogaster* LUSH protein (OBP76a) (*Ha & Smith, 2006; Stowers & Logan, 2008; Zhou et al., 2004*), we hypothesize that the AlPBP/GOBPs may also play important roles in recognizing the sex pheromones of female moths and some host plant volatiles. Additionally, there are three male-biased and three female-biased AlOBP genes, indicating that these sex-biased OBPs may participate in the reorganization of female or male sex pheromones, plant volatiles from oviposition sites, or other sex-related odorants, and need further analysis to explore their exact roles such as through fluorescence competitive binding assays (*Liu et al., 2015b*), CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing (*Zhu et al., 2016*), and gene mutations (*Stowers & Logan, 2008*).

Studies on *CSP* genes in certain insects have shown that they are smaller and more conserved than *OBP* genes and that they are widely expressed in different parts of the insect body (*Calvello et al., 2005; Gong et al., 2007; Pelosi et al., 2014a; Zhang et al., 2013*). Our BLASTX results showed that the AlCSPs had relatively high identities with other moth CSPs indicating high conservation of CSPs among moths. Our results agreed with those from studies using ligand-binding assays that found that some CSPs in other Lepidopterans have chemosensory roles including in *Mamestra brassicae (Jacquin-Joly et al., 2001)*, *B. mori (Qiao et al., 2013)*, and *S. inferens (Zhang et al., 2014)*. Compared to the *AlOBP* genes highly expressed in the antennae, only three *AlCSPs* had antennae-biased expression, indicating that these three genes may be involved in the recognition and transmission of sex pheromones, host volatiles, and other odorants. On the other hand, many insect CSPs are broadly expressed in non-chemosensory tissues and have non-chemosensory functions,

such as SexiCSP3, which has been shown to have effects on the survival and reproduction of *S. exigua* (*Gong et al., 2012*), and AmelCSP5, which is involved in embryonic integument formation in *A. mellifera* (*Foret, Wanner & Maleszka, 2007*). In this study, many *AlCSPs* were found in various tissues and were highly expressed in non-chemosensory tissues suggesting that these AlCSPs (especially AlCSP14, which had the highest expression) may be involved in other physiological functions apart from chemosensory ones.

Furthermore, we found that there were eight *AlOBPs* (28.5% of all AlOBPs) and six *AlCSPs* (30.0% of all AlCSPs) that displayed proboscis-biased expression. OBP and CSP gene expression in the proboscis has been observed in other insects including *Apolygus lucorum* (*Hua et al., 2012*), *Lygus lineolaris* (*Hull, Perera & Snodgrass, 2014*), *S. podoptera* (*Liu et al., 2015c*), and *A. dissimilis* (*Sun et al., 2016*). Further functional studies have also confirmed the gustation function of some genes: OBP49a in *D. melanogaster* is involved in the suppression of sweet taste by bitter chemicals (*Jeong et al., 2013*); some OBPs in *D. melanogaster* can modulate sucrose intake in response to a panel of nine bitter compounds by RNAi-mediated methods (*Swarup et al., 2014*); and CSP4, which is exclusively presented in the proboscis of two sibling species—*H. armigera* and *H. assulta*—an act as a wetting agent to reduce the surface tension of aqueous solutions (*Liu et al., 2014*). Therefore, the 14 AlOBPs and AlCSPs with proboscis-biased expression may play similar gustation functions in *A. lepigone*.

In the future, these AlOBPs and AlCSPs can help us develop environmentally friendly pesticides against *A. lepigone* based on reverse chemical ecology (*Dominguez et al., 2016*; *Zhou, 2010*). We can explore the functions of candidate OBPs/CSPs *in vitro* to screen compounds with high binding affinities (e.g., host plant volatiles or sex pheromones) to target the OBPs/CSPs. These compounds could then be investigated as potential pesticides or sexual attractants. Further, with genetic modification by the CRISPR/Cas9 editing system (*Hsu, Lander & Zhang, 2014*; *Li et al., 2016*; *Zhu et al., 2016*), we can knock out the candidate OBPs and CSPs to construct various mutant strains and then release the effective strains into the field to disrupt the chemical communication behaviors of the pest.

### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we identified an extensive set of putative OBP- and CSP-encoding genes in *A. lepigone* based on our previous antennal transcriptomic data. As the first step towards understanding the functions of these genes, we conducted comprehensive and comparative phylogenetic analyses and developed gene expression profiles for OBPs and CSPs and found that most of the AlOBPs and AlCSPs had high identities with other moth odorant genes. Nearly half of the *AlOBPs* displayed antennae-biased expression, but many *AlCSPs* were detected in all tissues tested and were highly expressed in non-antennal tissues. Understanding the tissue and sex-biased expression patterns will help identify the functions of AlOBPs and AlCSPs, which in turn will aid in elucidating the chemosensory mechanism of *A. lepigone* and developing environmentally friendly pesticides against this pest in future.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Master students Mei-Yan Zheng (Nanjing Agricultural University, China), Bachelor students Cai-Yun Yin and Meng-Ya Li (Huaibei Normal University, China) for their help in collecting insects, and Dr. Peng He (Guizhou University, China) for suggestions to improve the manuscript.

### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS**

### Funding

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31501647), Natura Science Fund of Education Department of Anhui province, China (KJ2017A387 and KJ2017B019), the Special Fund for Agro-scientific Research in the Public Interest of China (201303026), and Open Fund of Education Ministry Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Crop Diseases and Pests of Nanjing Agricultural University. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

### **Grant Disclosures**

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors: National Natural Science Foundation of China: 31501647. Natura Science Fund of Education Department of Anhui province, China: KJ2017A387, KJ2017B019. Agro-scientific Research in the Public Interest of China: 201303026.

Education Ministry Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Crop Diseases and Pests of Nanjing Agricultural University.

### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

### **Author Contributions**

- Ya-Nan Zhang conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Xiu-Yun Zhu and Ji-Fang Ma analyzed the data, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Zhi-Ping Dong and Long-Wa Zhang reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Ji-Wei Xu and Ke Kang performed the experiments, prepared figures and/or tables.

### **DNA Deposition**

The following information was supplied regarding the deposition of DNA sequences: All the gene sequences we identified in the paper are listed in Table S1.

### **Data Availability**

The following information was supplied regarding data availability: The raw data has been supplied as a Supplementary File.

### **Supplemental Information**

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/ peerj.3157#supplemental-information.

### REFERENCES

- Bohbot J, Sobrio F, Lucas P, Nagnan-Le Meillour P. 1998. Functional characterization of a new class of odorant-binding proteins in the moth *Mamestra brassicae*. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 253:489–494 DOI 10.1006/bbrc.1998.9806.
- Calvello M, Brandazza A, Navarrini A, Dani FR, Turillazzi S, Felicioli A, Pelosi P.
   2005. Expression of odorant-binding proteins and chemosensory proteins in some Hymenoptera. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 35:297–307
   DOI 10.1016/j.ibmb.2005.01.002.
- Campanacci V, Krieger J, Bette S, Sturgis JN, Lartigue A, Cambillau C, Breer H, Tegoni M. 2001. Revisiting the specificity of *Mamestra brassicae* and *Antheraea polyphemus* pheromone-binding proteins with a fluorescence binding assay. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276:20078–20084 DOI 10.1074/jbc.M100713200.
- Cao D, Liu Y, Wei J, Liao X, Walker WB, Li J, Wang G. 2014. Identification of candidate olfactory genes in *Chilo suppressalis* by antennal transcriptome analysis. *International Journal of Biological Sciences* 10:846–860 DOI 10.7150/ijbs.9297.
- Dani FR, Michelucci E, Francese S, Mastrobuoni G, Cappellozza S, La Marca G, Niccolini A, Felicioli A, Moneti G, Pelosi P. 2011. Odorant-binding proteins and chemosensory proteins in pheromone detection and release in the silkmoth *Bombyx mori. Chemical Senses* 36:335–344 DOI 10.1093/chemse/bjq137.
- Dominguez A, Puigmarti M, Bosch MP, Rosell G, Crehuet R, Ortiz A, Quero C, Guerrero A. 2016. Synthesis, functional assays, electrophysiological activity, and field tests of pheromone antagonists of the tomato leafminer, *Tuta absoluta. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 64:3523–3532 DOI 10.1021/acs.jafc.6b00674.
- Elfekih S, Chen CY, Hsu JC, Belcaid M, Haymer D. 2016. Identification and preliminary characterization of chemosensory perception-associated proteins in the melon fly *Bactrocera cucurbitae* using RNA-seq. *Scientific Reports* **6**:Article 19112 DOI 10.1038/srep19112.
- **Foret S, Wanner KW, Maleszka R. 2007.** Chemosensory proteins in the honey bee: insights from the annotated genome, comparative analyses and expressional profiling. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **37**:19–28 DOI 10.1016/j.ibmb.2006.09.009.
- Fu X, Liu Y, Li Y, Ali A, Wu K. 2014. Does *Athetis lepigone* moth (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) take a long-distance migration? *Journal of Economic Entomology* 107:995–1002 DOI 10.1603/EC14014.
- Glaser N, Gallot A, Legeai F, Harry M, Kaiser L, Le Ru B, Calatayud PA, Jacquin-Joly E.
   2015. Differential expression of the chemosensory transcriptome in two populations of the stemborer *Sesamia nonagrioides*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 65:28–34 DOI 10.1016/j.ibmb.2015.07.008.

- **Gong L, Luo Q, Rizwan-Ul-Haq M, Hu MY. 2012.** Cloning and characterization of three chemosensory proteins from *Spodoptera exigua* and effects of gene silencing on female survival and reproduction. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **102**:600–609 DOI 10.1017/S0007485312000168.
- Gong DP, Zhang HJ, Zhao P, Lin Y, Xia QY, Xiang ZH. 2007. Identification and expression pattern of the chemosensory protein gene family in the silkworm, *Bombyx mori. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 37:266–277 DOI 10.1016/j.ibmb.2006.11.012.
- Gong DP, Zhang HJ, Zhao P, Xia QY, Xiang ZH. 2009. The odorant binding protein gene family from the genome of silkworm, *Bombyx mori. BMC Genomics* 10:332 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-10-332.
- Grosse-Wilde E, Kuebler LS, Bucks S, Vogel H, Wicher D, Hansson BS. 2011. Antennal transcriptome of *Manduca sexta*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108:7449–7454 DOI 10.1073/pnas.1017963108.
- Gu SH, Wu KM, Guo YY, Pickett JA, Field LM, Zhou JJ, Zhang YJ. 2013. Identification of genes expressed in the sex pheromone gland of the black cutworm *Agrotis ipsilon* with putative roles in sex pheromone biosynthesis and transport. *BMC Genomics* 14:636 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-14-636.
- Gu SH, Zhou JJ, Gao S, Wang DH, Li XC, Guo YY, Zhang YJ. 2015. Identification and comparative expression analysis of odorant binding protein genes in the tobacco cutworm *Spodoptera litura*. *Scientific Reports* **5**:Article 13800 DOI 10.1038/srep13800.
- Guindon S, Dufayard JF, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010. New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Systematic Biology* **59**:307–321 DOI 10.1093/sysbio/syq010.
- Guo W, Wang X, Ma Z, Xue L, Han J, Yu D, Kang L. 2011. CSP and takeout genes modulate the switch between attraction and repulsion during behavioral phase change in the migratory locust. *PLOS Genetics* 7:e1001291 DOI 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001291.
- Ha TS, Smith DP. 2006. A pheromone receptor mediates 11-cis-vaccenyl acetate-induced responses in *Drosophila*. *Journal of Neuroscience* 26:8727–8733 DOI 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0876-06.2006.
- He X, Tzotzos G, Woodcock C, Pickett JA, Hooper T, Field LM, Zhou JJ. 2010. Binding of the general odorant binding protein of *Bombyx mori* BmorGOBP2 to the moth sex pheromone components. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **36**:1293–1305 DOI 10.1007/s10886-010-9870-7.
- Hsu PD, Lander ES, Zhang F. 2014. Development and applications of CRISPR-Cas9 for genome engineering. *Cell* 157:1262–1278 DOI 10.1016/j.cell.2014.05.010.
- Hua JF, Zhang S, Cui JJ, Wang DJ, Wang CY, Luo JY, Lv LM. 2012. Identification and binding characterization of three odorant binding proteins and one chemosensory protein from *Apolygus lucorum* (Meyer-Dur). *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 38:1163–1170 DOI 10.1007/s10886-012-0178-7.
- Huang CX, Zhu LM, Ni JP, Chao XY. 2002. A method of rearing the beet armyworm *Spodoptera exigua. Entomological Knowledge* **39**:229–231.

- Hull JJ, Perera OP, Snodgrass GL. 2014. Cloning and expression profiling of odorantbinding proteins in the tarnished plant bug, *Lygus lineolaris*. *Insect Molecular Biology* 23:78–97 DOI 10.1111/imb.12064.
- Iovinella I, Bozza F, Caputo B, Della Torre A, Pelosi P. 2013. Ligand-binding study of *Anopheles gambiae* chemosensory proteins. *Chemical Senses* 38:409–419 DOI 10.1093/chemse/bjt012.
- Jacquin-Joly E, Vogt RG, Francois MC, Nagnan-Le Meillour P. 2001. Functional and expression pattern analysis of chemosensory proteins expressed in antennae and pheromonal gland of *Mamestra brassicae*. *Chemical Senses* 26:833–844 DOI 10.1093/chemse/26.7.833.
- Jeong YT, Shim J, Oh SR, Yoon HI, Kim CH, Moon SJ, Montell C. 2013. An odorantbinding protein required for suppression of sweet taste by bitter chemicals. *Neuron* **79**:725–737 DOI 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.06.025.
- Jiang XF, Luo LZ, Jiang YY, Zhang YJ, Zhang L, Wang ZY. 2011. Damage characteristics and outbreak causes of *Athetis lepigone* in China. *Plant Protection* 37:130–133.
- Jin JY, Li ZQ, Zhang YN, Liu NY, Dong SL. 2014. Different roles suggested by sexbiased expression and pheromone binding affinity among three pheromone binding proteins in the pink rice borer, *Sesamia inferens* (Walker) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Insect Physiology* **66**:71–79 DOI 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2014.05.013.
- Karsholt O, Van Nieukerken EJ, De Jong YSDM. 2013. Lepidoptera, moths. Fauna Europaea version 2.6. [WWW document]. *Available at http://wwwfaunaeurorg*.
- Lagarde A, Spinelli S, Tegoni M, He X, Field L, Zhou JJ, Cambillau C. 2011. The crystal structure of odorant binding protein 7 from *Anopheles gambiae* exhibits an outstanding adaptability of its binding site. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **414**:401–412 DOI 10.1016/j.jmb.2011.10.005.
- Larter NK, Sun JS, Carlson JR. 2016. Organization and function of *Drosophila* odorant binding proteins. *Elife* 5:e20242 DOI 10.7554/eLife.20242.
- Lartigue A, Campanacci V, Roussel A, Larsson AM, Jones TA, Tegoni M, Cambillau C. 2002. X-ray structure and ligand binding study of a moth chemosensory protein. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 277:32094–32098 DOI 10.1074/jbc.M204371200.
- Le SQ, Gascuel O. 2008. An improved general amino acid replacement matrix. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 25:1307–1320 DOI 10.1093/molbev/msn067.
- Leal WS. 2013. Odorant reception in insects: roles of receptors, binding proteins, and degrading enzymes. *Annual Review of Entomology* 58:373–391 DOI 10.1146/annurev-ento-120811-153635.
- Leal WS, Nikonova L, Peng G. 1999. Disulfide structure of the pheromone binding protein from the silkworm moth, *Bombyx mori. FEBS Letters* 464:85–90 DOI 10.1016/S0014-5793(99)01683-X.
- Legeai F, Malpel S, Montagne N, Monsempes C, Cousserans F, Merlin C, Francois MC, Maibeche-Coisne M, Gavory F, Poulain J, Jacquin-Joly E. 2011. An expressed sequence tag collection from the male antennae of the Noctuid moth *Spodoptera littoralis*: a resource for olfactory and pheromone detection research. *BMC Genomics* 12:86 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-12-86.

- Li Y, Zhang J, Chen D, Yang P, Jiang F, Wang X, Kang L. 2016. CRISPR/Cas9 in locusts: successful establishment of an olfactory deficiency line by targeting the mutagenesis of an odorant receptor co-receptor (Orco). *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **79**:27–35 DOI 10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.10.003.
- Li ZQ, Zhang S, Luo JY, Cui JJ, Ma Y, Dong SL. 2013. Two Minus-C odorant binding proteins from *Helicoverpa armigera* display higher ligand binding affinity at acidic pH than neutral pH. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **59**:263–272 DOI 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.12.004.
- Li XM, Zhu XY, Wang ZQ, Wang Y, He P, Chen G, Sun L, Deng DG, Zhang YN. 2015. Candidate chemosensory genes identified in *Colaphellus bowringi* by antennal transcriptome analysis. *BMC Genomics* 16:1028 DOI 10.1186/s12864-015-2236-3.
- Lindeborg M. 2008. Remarkable records of Macrolepidoptera in Sweden. *Entomologisk tidskrift* 129:43–52.
- Liu Y, Gu S, Zhang Y, Guo Y, Wang G. 2012. Candidate olfaction genes identified within the *Helicoverpa armigera* antennal transcriptome. *PLOS ONE* 7:e48260 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0048260.
- Liu YL, Guo H, Huang LQ, Pelosi P, Wang CZ. 2014. Unique function of a chemosensory protein in the proboscis of two *Helicoverpa species*. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 217:1821–1826 DOI 10.1242/jeb.102020.
- Liu NY, Liu CC, Dong SL. 2013. Functional differentiation of pheromone-binding proteins in the common cutworm *Spodoptera litura*. *Comp Biochem Physiol a Mol Integr Physiol* 165:254–262 DOI 10.1016/j.cbpa.2013.03.016.
- Liu X, Luo Q, Zhong G, Rizwan-Ul-Haq M, Hu M. 2010. Molecular characterization and expression pattern of four chemosensory proteins from diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). *Journal of Biochemistry* 148:189–200 DOI 10.1093/jb/mvq050.
- Liu N, Yang K, Liu Y, Xu W, Anderson A, Dong S. 2015a. Two general-odorant binding proteins in *Spodoptera litura* are differentially tuned to sex pheromones and plant odorants. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology* 180C:23–31 DOI 10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.11.005.
- Liu NY, Yang F, Yang K, He P, Niu XH, Xu W, Anderson A, Dong SL. 2015b. Two subclasses of odorant-binding proteins in *Spodoptera exigua* display structural conservation and functional divergence. *Insect Molecular Biology* 24:167–182 DOI 10.1111/imb.12143.
- Liu NY, Zhang T, Ye ZF, Li F, Dong SL. 2015c. Identification and characterization of candidate chemosensory gene families from *Spodoptera exigua* developmental transcriptomes. *International Journal of Biological Sciences* 11:1036–1048 DOI 10.7150/ijbs.12020.
- Ma JF, Li LT, Gan YJ, Dong ZP. 2012. The research on annual life history and natural enemy species of *Athetis lepigone*. *China Plant Protection* **32**:37–40.
- Maleszka J, Foret S, Saint R, Maleszka R. 2007. RNAi-induced phenotypes suggest a novel role for a chemosensory protein CSP5 in the development of embryonic

integument in the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*). *Development Genes and Evolution* **217**:189–196 DOI 10.1007/s00427-006-0127-y.

- Maleszka R, Stange G. 1997. Molecular cloning, by a novel approach, of a cDNA encoding a putative olfactory protein in the labial palps of the moth *Cactoblastis cactorum*. *Gene* 202:39–43 DOI 10.1016/S0378-1119(97)00448-4.
- McKenna MP, Hekmat-Scafe DS, Gaines P, Carlson JR. 1994. Putative *Drosophila* pheromone-binding proteins expressed in a subregion of the olfactory system. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **269**:16340–16347.
- McKenzie SK, Oxley PR, Kronauer DJ. 2014. Comparative genomics and transcriptomics in ants provide new insights into the evolution and function of odorant binding and chemosensory proteins. *BMC Genomics* 15:718 DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-15-718.
- Missbach C, Vogel H, Hansson BS, Grobetae-Wilde E. 2015. Identification of odorant binding proteins and chemosensory proteins in antennal transcriptomes of the jumping bristletail *Lepismachilis y-signata* and the firebrat *Thermobia domestica*: evidence for an independent OBP-OR origin. *Chemical Senses* 40:615–626 DOI 10.1093/chemse/bjv050.
- Muller PY, Janovjak H, Miserez AR, Dobbie Z. 2002. Processing of gene expression data generated by quantitative real-time RT-PCR. *Biotechniques* **32**:1372–1374, 1376, 1378–1379.
- Nikolaevitch PA, Vjatcheslavovna IE. 2003. The Noctuidae (Lepidoptera) of the Daghestan Republic (Russia) II. *Phegea* **31**:167–181.
- Nomura A, Kawasaki K, Kubo T, Natori S. 1992. Purification and localization of p10, a novel protein that increases in nymphal regenerating legs of *Periplaneta americana* (American cockroach). *International Journal of Developmental Biology* **36**:391–398.
- Paula DP, Togawa RC, Costa MM, Grynberg P, Martins NF, Andow DA. 2016. Identification and expression profile of odorant-binding proteins in *Halyomorpha halys* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae). *Insect Molecular Biology* 25:580–594 DOI 10.1111/imb.12243.
- Pelosi P, Calvello M, Ban L. 2005. Diversity of odorant-binding proteins and chemosensory proteins in insects. *Chemical Senses* 30(Suppl 1):i291–i292 DOI 10.1093/chemse/bjh229.
- Pelosi P, Iovinella I, Felicioli A, Dani FR. 2014a. Soluble proteins of chemical communication: an overview across arthropods. *Frontiers in Physiology* 5: Article 320 DOI 10.3389/fphys.2014.00320.
- Pelosi P, Maida R. 1995. Odorant-binding proteins in insects. *Comparative Biochemistry* and Physiology Part B, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 111:503–514 DOI 10.1016/0305-0491(95)00019-5.
- **Pelosi P, Mastrogiacomo R, Iovinella I, Tuccori E, Persaud KC. 2014b.** Structure and biotechnological applications of odorant-binding proteins. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **98**:61–70 DOI 10.1007/s00253-013-5383-y.

- Petersen TN, Brunak S, Von Heijne G, Nielsen H. 2011. SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. *Nature Methods* 8:785–786 DOI 10.1038/nmeth.1701.
- Picimbon JF, Dietrich K, Krieger J, Breer H. 2001. Identity and expression pattern of chemosensory proteins in *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae). *Insect Bio-chemistry and Molecular Biology* **31**:1173–1181 DOI 10.1016/S0965-1748(01)00063-7.
- Qiao HL, Deng PY, Li DD, Chen M, Jiao ZJ, Liu ZC, Zhang YZ, Kan YC. 2013. Expression analysis and binding experiments of chemosensory proteins indicate multiple roles in *Bombyx mori. Journal of Insect Physiology* **59**:667–675 DOI 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2013.04.004.
- Qiao H, Tuccori E, He X, Gazzano A, Field L, Zhou JJ, Pelosi P. 2009. Discrimination of alarm pheromone (E)-beta-farnesene by aphid odorant-binding proteins. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **39**:414–419 DOI 10.1016/j.ibmb.2009.03.004.
- Schultze A, Schymura D, Forstner M, Krieger J. 2012. Expression pattern of a 'Plus-C' class odorant binding protein in the antenna of the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae*. *Insect Molecular Biology* 21:187–195 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-2583.2011.01125.x.
- Shi J, Wang ZY, Jiang YY, Shan XN, Zhang HJ, Wang J, Ge X. 2011. Preliminary report on investigation of the overwintering sites of *Athetis lepigone*. *Plant Protection* 37:138–140.
- Simon P. 2003. Q-Gene: processing quantitative real-time RT-PCR data. *Bioinformatics* 19:1439–1440 DOI 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg157.
- Spinelli S, Lagarde A, Iovinella I, Legrand P, Tegoni M, Pelosi P, Cambillau C. 2012. Crystal structure of *Apis mellifera* OBP14, a C-minus odorant-binding protein, and its complexes with odorant molecules. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 42:41–50 DOI 10.1016/j.ibmb.2011.10.005.
- Stowers L, Logan DW. 2008. LUSH shapes up for a starring role in olfaction. *Cell* 133:1137–1139 DOI 10.1016/j.cell.2008.06.010.
- Sun M, Liu Y, Wang G. 2013. Expression patterns and binding properties of three pheromone binding proteins in the diamondback moth, *Plutella xyllotella*. *Journal of Insect Physiology* 59:46–55 DOI 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2012.10.020.
- Sun H, Song Y, Du J, Wang X, Cheng Z. 2016. Identification and tissue distribution of chemosensory protein and odorant binding protein genes in *Athetis dissimilis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Applied Entomology and Zoology* 51:409–420 DOI 10.1007/s13355-016-0413-8.
- Sun L, Zhou JJ, Gu SH, Xiao HJ, Guo YY, Liu ZW, Zhang YJ. 2015. Chemosensillum immunolocalization and ligand specificity of chemosensory proteins in the alfalfa plant bug *Adelphocoris lineolatus* (Goeze). *Scientific Reports* 5:Article 8073 DOI 10.1038/srep08073.
- Swarup S, Morozova TV, Sridhar S, Nokes M, Anholt RR. 2014. Modulation of feeding behavior by odorant-binding proteins in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Chemical Senses* 39:125–132 DOI 10.1093/chemse/bjt061.

- **Vogt RG. 2005.** Molecular basis of pheromone detection in insects. In: Gilbert LI, Iatro K, Gill SS, eds. *Comprehensive insect physiology, biochemistry, pharmacology and molecular biology volume 3 endocrinology*. London: Elsevier, 753–804.
- **Vogt RG, Riddiford LM. 1981.** Pheromone binding and inactivation by moth antennae. *Nature* **293**:161–163 DOI 10.1038/293161a0.
- **Vogt RG, Rybczynski R, Lerner MR. 1991.** Molecular cloning and sequencing of general odorant-binding proteins GOBP1 and GOBP2 from the tobacco hawk moth Manduca sexta: comparisons with other insect OBPs and their signal peptides. *Journal of Neuroscience* **11**:2972–2984.
- Wanner KW, Willis LG, Theilmann DA, Isman MB, Feng Q, Plettner E. 2004. Analysis of the insect os-d-like gene family. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* 30:889–911 DOI 10.1023/B:JOEC.0000028457.51147.d4.
- Xiu WM, Dong SL. 2007. Molecular characterization of two pheromone binding proteins and quantitative analysis of their expression in the beet armyworm, *Spodoptera exigua* Hübner. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **33**:947–961 DOI 10.1007/s10886-007-9277-2.
- Zhang YN, Jin JY, Jin R, Xia YH, Zhou JJ, Deng JY, Dong SL. 2013. Differential expression patterns in chemosensory and non-chemosensory tissues of putative chemosensory genes identified by transcriptome analysis of insect pest the purple stem borer *Sesamia inferens* (Walker). *PLOS ONE* **8**:e69715 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0069715.
- Zhang LW, Kang K, Jiang SC, Zhang YN, Wang TT, Zhang J, Sun L, Yang YQ, Huang CC, Jiang LY, Ding DG. 2016a. Analysis of the antennal transcriptome and insights into olfactory genes in *Hyphantria cunea* (Drury). *PLOS ONE* 11:e0164729 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0164729.
- Zhang YN, Ma JF, Sun L, Dong ZP, Li ZQ, Zhu XY, Wang Y, Wang L, Deng DG, Li JB. 2016b. Molecular identification and sex distribution of two chemosensory receptor families in *Athetis lepigone* by antennal transcriptome analysis. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology* 19:571–580 DOI 10.1016/j.aspen.2016.05.009.
- Zhang J, Wang B, Dong S, Cao D, Dong J, Walker WB, Liu Y, Wang G. 2015a. Antennal transcriptome analysis and comparison of chemosensory gene families in two closely related noctuidae moths, *Helicoverpa armigera* and *H. assulta*. *PLOS ONE* 10:e0117054 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0117054.
- Zhang YN, Ye ZF, Yang K, Dong SL. 2014. Antenna-predominant and male-biased CSP19 of *Sesamia inferens* is able to bind the female sex pheromones and host plant volatiles. *Gene* 536:279–286 DOI 10.1016/j.gene.2013.12.011.
- Zhang ZL, Zhao Y, Ding XY. 2009. *Shenyang insect illustrated handbook*. Shenyang: Liaoning National Publishing House, 258 pp.
- Zhang YN, Zhu XY, Fang LP, He P, Wang ZQ, Chen G, Sun L, Ye ZF, Deng DG, Li JB. 2015b. Identification and expression profiles of sex pheromone biosynthesis and transport related genes in *Spodoptera litura*. *PLOS ONE* 10:e0140019 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0140019.
- **Zhou JJ. 2010.** Odorant-binding proteins in insects. *Vitamins and Hormones* **83**:241–272 DOI 10.1016/S0083-6729(10)83010-9.

- Zhou JJ, Robertson G, He X, Dufour S, Hooper AM, Pickett JA, Keep NH, Field LM.
   2009. Characterisation of *Bombyx mori* Odorant-binding proteins reveals that a general odorant-binding protein discriminates between sex pheromone components. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 389:529–545 DOI 10.1016/j.jmb.2009.04.015.
- Zhou JJ, Zhang GA, Huang W, Birkett MA, Field LM, Pickett JA, Pelosi P. 2004. Revisiting the odorant-binding protein LUSH of *Drosophila melanogaster*: evidence for odour recognition and discrimination. *FEBS Letters* **558**:23–26 DOI 10.1016/S0014-5793(03)01521-7.
- Zhu GH, Xu J, Cui Z, Dong XT, Ye ZF, Niu DJ, Huang YP, Dong SL. 2016. Functional characterization of SlitPBP3 in *Spodoptera litura* by CRISPR/Cas9 mediated genome editing. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 75:1–9 DOI 10.1016/j.ibmb.2016.05.006.