## **Original Article**

# Cost of Refractory Crohn's Disease Before and After Ustekinumab Utilization

Elham Rahme, PhD<sup>1,2,</sup> Hacene Nedjar, MSc<sup>2</sup>, Waqqas Afif, MD, MSc<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Clinical Epidemiology, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; <sup>2</sup>Centre for Outcomes Research and Evaluation, Research Institute, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; <sup>3</sup>Division of Gastroenterology, McGill University Health Center, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

Correspondence: Elham Rahme, PhD, Research Institute of the McGill University Health Centre, Centre for Outcomes Research and Evaluation, 5252 de Maisonneuve Blvd. W., Office 3E.12, Montreal, Quebec H4A 3S5, Canada, e-mail: elham.rahme@mcgill.ca

## Abstract

**Background:** Crohn's disease (CD) is associated with major health services utilization and costs. Between 2012 and 2015, ustekinumab was used off-label in Quebec, Canada for treatment of refractory CD.

Aims: We assessed the direct medical cost of adult CD patients in the 1-year pre- and 1-year postustekinumab initiation.

**Methods:** Data were obtained from the provincial administrative databases. CD patients dispensed subcutaneous ustekinumab in 2012 to 2014 were followed for 1 year from the date of initiation (index-date). Kaplan Meier plots were used to display time to ustekinumab discontinuation and factors associated with discontinuation were identified using multivariate Cox regression models. Direct medical costs and 95% confidence interval (CI) of gastrointestinal-related health services were calculated for the 1-year pre- and 1-year post-index-date.

**Results:** Thirty-four CD patients (mean age  $\pm$  standard deviation, 44  $\pm$  14 years, 59% women and 41% with low income) were included. Of these, 14 (41%) discontinued ustekinumab during the postperiod. Discontinuation was less likely among older patients: hazard ratio (95% CI) per 5-year age increase, 0.77 (0.61 to 0.96). The total \$CAN direct medical cost (mean, 95% CI) was higher in the post- versus preperiod: \$1,681,239 (\$49,448; \$42,265 to \$57,160) versus \$880,060 (\$25,884; \$20,391 to 31,596), while the total costs of GI-related health services were similar: \$250,206 (\$7359, \$3536 to \$11,674), versus \$213,446 (\$6278, \$3609 to \$9423).

**Conclusion:** In patients with severe refractory CD on off-label ustekinumab, approximately 60% remained on treatment beyond 1 year. The cost of gastrointestinal services did not increase during that year as compared to that of the year preceding ustekinumab use.

**Keywords:** Administrative data; Chron's disease; Direct medical cost; Health services utilization; Refractory; Ustekinumab

## Background

Crohn's disease (CD) is a chronic immunological disorder that affects individuals at all ages with incidence peaking in early adulthood (1-4). Rectal bleeding, impaired bowel function, and abdominal pain are among the challenges of living with CD

(5–8). Expressed per 100,000 persons, CD prevalence in 2008 was 319 in Canada (9,10) and 277 in the province of Quebec (3).

Patients with CD experience relapsing and remitting episodes, with progression over time to complications including stricture, fistulas and abscesses (11). Conventional pharmacotherapy

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Canadian Association of Gastroenterology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

for mild-to-moderate CD includes mesalamine substances, corticosteroids and immunosuppressive agents (12). Treatment with tumour necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi) alone or in combination with an immunomodulator is provided to patients with moderate-to-severe CD who are intolerant or do not respond to conventional pharmacotherapy (12). TNFi treatment is effective in these patients (13–15), but not all respond to initial treatment and there is a loss of response over time (13,16–20).

Ustekinumab, a biologic agent that blocks the activity of interleukin-12 and interleukin-23 (21), was approved by Health Canada in December 2016 for the treatment of moderate-tosevere CD patients who have had an inadequate response or were intolerant to either conventional or TNFi treatments (22). Studies have shown better overall response to ustekinumab compared to placebo in these patients (23–30); although about one-third of ustekinumab responders lost response within 1 year (27), dose increase may improve ustekinumab response maintenance (30).

Health services utilization and direct medical cost of CD are substantial; lifelong pharmacotherapy treatment and high acquisition costs of biologic agents, in addition to frequent outpatient physician visits, emergency department (ED) visits and hospitalizations are all contributors (31–33). In 2005/2006, the mean annual direct cost of CD in Canada was Canadian (CAN)\$4232 overall and CAN\$31,440 in patients using infliximab (the only TNFi available at that time) (32). The change in health resource utilization and the direct medical costs of TNFi refractory patients who are started on ustekinumab has not been assessed.

In Quebec, infliximab, adalimumab and vedolizumab are listed on the public drug formulary for the treatment of moderate-to-severe CD when conventional pharmacotherapy has failed (34). Ustekinumab is listed for moderate-to-severe plaque psoriasis and for psoriatic arthritis, but not yet for CD. As such, during our study period, ustekinumab was available for CD patients for off-label use (exceptional patient) which requires approval by the public drug insurance agency, the Régie de l'assurance maladie du Québec (RAMQ), before prescription. Also, at that time, the intravenous induction dose was not available and only subcutaneous ustekinumab was used. Vedolizumab was listed in Quebec for CD treatment in 2017 and will not be discussed in this study.

We assessed the direct medical cost (medication, physician billings, ED visits and hospitalizations) of CD patients ( $\geq$ 20 years of age) in Quebec, Canada from the perspective of the provincial health care system in the 1-year pre-versus 1-year postustekinumab initiation, in 2012 to 2015.

Approval to conduct the study was obtained from the Quebec Ethics Review Agency, the Commission d'accès à l'information, and the McGill University Health Centre Ethics Review Board (IRB Number: 12-056GEN(#2563)).

#### Methods

#### **Data Sources and Study Population**

We used demographic, physician claims, pharmacy claims and hospital abstract records obtained from the RAMQ databases on all individuals 20 years of age and older who received an outpatient diagnosis code (International classification of disease-9th revision, ICD-9 code: 556.x) or a primary or secondary inpatient diagnosis code (ICD-9 until March 2006: 556.x and ICD-10 since April 2006: K51.x) in 1997-2015. Hospitalization cost data were obtained from the Ministère de la santé et services sociaux (MSSS)-the All Patient Refined Diagnosis Related Groups (APR-DRGs) database (35). In Quebec, all residents are covered by RAMQ for outpatient and inpatient physician services. In addition, drug insurance is mandatory for all residents. All residents 65 years of age or older (1,232,985 individuals in 2012), all those who receive social assistance (476,535 individuals in 2012) and those under the age of 65 who do not have private drug insurance through their employer or a family member's plan (1,777,754 individuals in 2012) are eligible for the public drug plan coverage (34).

#### **Study Patients**

A validated algorithm (sensitivity 78% and specificity 99.8%) was used to identify patients with CD (36). A CD diagnosis was defined by at least one hospitalization or four physician claims for CD within a 2-year period (36); the date of CD diagnosis was the first date of such claim or hospitalization. Among CD patients, those who used ustekinumab and had 1 year of RAMQ drug coverage before and after the first ustekinumab dispensed prescription (index-date) were included.

#### Ustekinumab Utilization in 1-Year Follow-up

Ustekinumab dose and duration were assessed at index-date and overall over the study period. Ustekinumab discontinuation in the postyear was defined by a 90-day period where ustekinumab was not supplied.

#### **Direct Medical Costs**

CD total direct medical cost was the primary outcome and was calculated separately for the pre- and postyear.

For each patient, the total direct medical cost was the sum of the costs of gastrointestinal (GI)-related hospitalizations, ED visits, pharmacological treatment and outpatient physician services. The predetermined GI events considered in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. The pharmacological treatment costs included the costs of the 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) (mesalamine, olsalazine and sulfasalazine), immunomodulators (mercaptopurine, azathioprine and methotrexate), TNFi (infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab and certolizumab), other biologic agents (natalizumab) and corticosteroids (prednisone, hydrocortisone, budesonide, prednisolone, methylprednisolone). The GI-related outpatient and ED physician visits costs included the reimbursement cost for all gastroenterologist outpatient and ED encounters and all other physicians (e.g., general practitioner, internist, surgeon) outpatient and ED encounters where the diagnosis (ICD-9 code) was for a pertinent GI disorder (Supplementary Table 1). The total hospitalization costs included those for small bowel surgery and those where the primary or secondary diagnosis was for a pertinent GI disorder (Supplementary Table 1).

The prescribed medication costs were available from the RAMQ data. They included the pharmacist fee, RAMQ reimbursement and the patient out-of-pocket contribution. The physician visit costs were the RAMQ reimbursements for the fee-for-service claims. The hospitalization costs were the sum of the physician claims costs for services that occurred during that hospitalization plus the product of the NIRRU (niveau d'intensité relative des ressources utilisées) associated to that hospitalization times the unit cost per NIRRU (physician claims + NIRRU x unit cost per NIRRU). The NIRRU and unit cost per NIRRU were provided in the APR-DRG data. The NIRRU is an indicator of the level of health services utilization intensity and is provided for all hospitalizations and ED visits; unit costs per NIRRU are provided per year (35). The ED visit cost was the sum of the physician claims for that visit plus the cost associated with 1 NIRRU (as provided by the APR-DRG data).

#### **Patient Baseline Characteristics**

Patient characteristics assessed at index-date included age, sex, type of insurance plan (based on patient eligibility for premium subsidies; Guaranteed Income Supplement (GIS), partial premium subsidies (partial-GIS) and no premium subsidies (no-GIS). GIS and partial GIS were grouped in one category labelled 'low income'), residency (urban or rural), socioeconomic status (SES), visits to gastroenterologists in the prior year, CD complications including fistula of intestine and stricture or abscess of intestine, anal and rectal regions (Supplementary Table 1), comorbidity assessed in the prior year (cancer, peptic ulcer disease and anemia/blood disease), and medications used in the prior year (corticosteroids, gastroprotective agents [GPAs], antidepressants, antidiabetics and antihypertensives]). SES with subindices of social and material deprivation is available from RAMQ data. SES was developed on the basis of census enumeration area data on education level, employment/population ratio and average income (37).

#### **Statistical Analyses**

Descriptive analyses (means [standard deviations, SD], medians [quartile] or proportions) were used as appropriate to report patient baseline characteristics and GI-related health services utilization by study period. Mean (SD) and median (quartiles) monthly dose of ustekinumab first prescription and overall, time to ustekinumab discontinuation and rate of discontinuation were reported. Kaplan Meier plots were used to display time to ustekinumab discontinuation. Factors associated with ustekinumab discontinuation were identified using a multivariate Cox proportional hazard model. Mean (SD) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) and median (quartiles) of total direct medical cost of study patients and total direct medical costs of GI-related health services received were calculated for the pre- and postperiods. The bootstrap method was used to calculate the 95% CI.

Two sensitivity analyses were conducted. First, all analyses described above were repeated separating patients into those with versus without CD complications (fistula of intestine, stricture or abscess of intestine, rectum or anus) to examine the effect of these complications on ustekinumab utilization and costs; second, intravenous (IV) ustekinumab, currently used for induction, was not available during our study period. The IV induction cost is \$2,079.84 per each 130 mg vial. The average patient weighing 55 to 90 kg receives 390 mg; so, the IV induction costs approximately \$6,239.52. The cost of a subcutaneous induction of 90 mg  $\times$  3 that was used during the study period was \$4,593.14 x 3 = \$13,779.42. We recalculated the total cost of ustekinumab and the total cost of medications for the postyear assuming the induction cost was \$6,239.52 during the first 8 weeks of treatment.

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.3 for UNIX (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

#### Results

#### **Description of Cohorts**

Among the 17,877 CD patients identified in 1997 to 2015, 69 were dispensed ustekinumab, of whom, 34 met the inclusion criteria (index-date  $\leq$  31 December 2014 to insure a full year of data post-index-date, and drug plan coverage 1-year pre- and 1-year post-index-date) and were studied.

Table 1 describes the patients' baseline characteristics. Patients were on average 44 years old (SD 14 years), 59% were women, 41% had low income and the majority used GPA (65%) in the preperiod. Eleven patients (32%) had CD complications (fistula of intestine, stricture or abscess of intestine, rectum or anus). In terms of comorbidities, 27% had anemia/blood disease (eight patients, 24% had anemia and one patient, 3% had eosinophilia), 9% had cancer (any site), 27% used antihypertensive medications, 3% used antidiabetic medications and over half (56%) used antidepressants.

#### Ustekinumab Utilization

The first ustekinumab prescription was dispensed for an average of 27 days (SD 21 days) with an average induction dose of 295 mg in the first 4 weeks (about 90 mg  $\times$  3) (SD 370 mg); median

tion date (index date)	
N of patients	34
Age, mean (standard deviation)	43.76 (13.96)
Women, $N(\%)$	20 (58.8)
Total or partial subsidies, $N(\%)$	14 (41.2)
SES quintile, N (%)	2 (5.9)
0	
1	3 (8.8)
2	11 (32.4)
3	6 (17.6)
4	6 (17.6)
5	6 (17.6)
Complications (fistula, stricture or abscess)	11 (32.3)
Medication use in the prior year, $N(\%)$	
Antidepressants	19 (55.9)
Antidiabetics	1 (2.9)
Gastroprotective agents	22 (64.7)
Antihypertensives	9 (26.5)
Comorbidity assessed in the prior year, $N\left(\%\right)$	
Anemia/blood disease*	9 (26.5)
Cancer	3 (8.8)

**Table 1.** Patient characteristics at the first ustekinumab prescription date (index-date)

SES, socioeconomic status.

\*Eight patients had anemia and one patient eosinophilia.

90 mg × 2, quartiles: 90 mg × 1 and 90 mg × 4. The median maintenance dose (overall prescriptions beyond the first 4 weeks) was 90 mg per 4 weeks; quartiles 90 mg per 8 weeks and 90 mg per 3 weeks. Of study patients, 14 (41%) discontinued ustekinumab during the postyear with most discontinuing it in the first 3 months (Figure 1). The total number of days on ustekinumab in the postyear was 9,161, mean 269 days (SD 131 days) and median 365 days (quartiles 106, 365 days). In multivariable cox regression model, older patients were less likely to discontinue ustekinumab in the postyear: hazard ratio (95% CI) per 5-year increase in age, 0.77 (0.61 to 0.96) (Table 3).

#### Health Services Utilization in the Pre- and Postperiods

Among the 34 study patients, 28 (82%) used a biologic agent in the preperiod (Table 4), with most using adalimumab (13 patients) (Supplementary Table 2). Other medication uses, and GI-related health service utilizations did not seem to differ between the two periods, although the number of patients using immunomodulators dropped from 16 (47%) in the preperiod to 12 (35%) in the postperiod and 2 patients used other biologics in the postperiod (infliximab and certolizumab).

#### **Clinical Events and Direct Medical Costs**

There were no deaths following index-date (Table 2). The total number of patients with the events of interest and the

mean (SD) and median (quartiles) of the direct medical costs of these events in the years pre- and post-index-date are given in Tables 3-4. The total direct medical cost (\$CAN) in the postperiod was \$1,681,239 (median \$49,064 [quartiles \$34,054 to \$59,405]) and in the preperiod \$880,060 (median \$24,698 [quartiles \$16,039 to \$36,711]). The higher cost in the postperiod was mainly due to the increase in the medications cost largely driven by the ustekinumab cost. The total costs of GI-related health services were similar between the two periods (postperiod: total: \$250,206; median \$836, quartiles [\$574 to \$9645]; and preperiod: total: \$213,446, median \$1398, quartiles [\$798 to \$7813]). While the total cost of medications in the postperiod was \$1,431,033 (median \$40,093, quartiles [\$30,831 to \$53,759]), and in the preperiod \$666,614 (median \$17,532, quartiles [\$7839 to \$25,928]). The costs of the specific GI services are given in Table 5 and the costs of the specific pharmacological treatments are given in Table 6. Multivariate models did not identify any patient characteristic that were associated with nonmedication GI-related cost change in the post- versus preperiod and therefore are not presented.

#### Sensitivity Analyses

Among the 34 CD patients included, 11 had complications (fistula of intestine, stricture or abscess of intestine, rectum or anus). The characteristics of these patients are presented in Supplementary Table 3. Their direct medical costs (median \$43,821, quartiles \$36,732 to \$68,646) were not higher than those for patients without these complications (median \$49,184, quartiles \$31,464 to \$59,127) (Supplementary Table 5).

Cost analyses, assuming that all patients were given IV induction dose for the first 8 weeks of treatment with ustekinumab, showed lower total ustekinumab and total medication costs in the postperiod: \$1,031,648 (median \$28,882, quartiles \$17,439 to \$43,963) and \$1,065,540 (median \$29,881, quartiles \$17,659 to \$44,340), respectively. However, these costs remained higher than those of the preperiod.

## Discussion

Our study assessed ustekinumab utilization and direct medical costs in severe, TNFi refractory CD patients at a time when no other biologic therapy was available for them and surgical management would have been their most likely alternative treatment. Our results showed that about 60% of the patients remained on ustekinumab beyond 1 year reflecting perhaps clinical response as physicians are required to present evidence of clinical improvement to renew the authorization for ustekinumab prescription (34). In another study conducted in Quebec, 81% of refractory CD patients had a clinical response and 66% were in clinical remission at 26 weeks following ustekinumab initiation (25).



Figure 1. Kaplan Meier curve displaying time to first ustekinumab discontinuation in the postperiod.

As expected, an increase in the total medical cost in the postversus preperiod was observed in our study and was mainly driven by the acquisition cost of ustekinumab; the GI-related services cost and the costs of other CD medications were generally similar between the pre- and postperiods. Of note, ustekinumab induction during the study period was accomplished through subcutaneous injections, likely leading to a suboptimal efficacy and increased costs as compared to the now available intravenous injection (29). Nonetheless, our sensitivity analyses assuming that patients were given IV induction doses in the first 8 weeks of treatment showed lower medication costs, although these remained higher in the post- versus the preperiod.

Ustekinumab is not listed for CD on the provincial drug formulary; therefore, an official reimbursement pattern regarding induction and maintenance doses and the duration of use has not been issued by RAMQ for this indication. Our study patients received a median induction dose of 90 mg  $\times$  2 in the first 4 weeks and a median maintenance dose of 90 mg per 4 weeks thereafter (quartiles 90 mg per 8 weeks and 90 mg per 3 weeks). These induction and maintenance doses were higher than those used in RCTs of CD patients (23), but somewhat similar to those reported by Canadian observational studies (24,38).

In our study, the average cost found in the preperiod (\$25,884) was substantial. In that period, most patients (82%) used TNFi. TNFi treatment is expensive compared to conventional treatment with immunomodulators and corticosteroids (32). Spanish studies have reported 2.4- and 3.7-fold higher direct medical costs in CD patients in the year postinfliximab use and the year postadalimumab use as compared to the year before the use of these treatments, respectively with the increase in cost due to the high acquisition costs of the TNFi treatments (39,40). A study conducted in Manitoba also found a threefold increase in the mean direct medical cost of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) in the year post- (\$31,440) versus pre- (\$9683) infliximab use with the increase in cost due to the high cost of infliximab (32). The cost of ustekinumab is higher than those of infliximab and adalimumab (34); therefore, the higher mean cost in the post- (\$49,448) versus preperiod (\$25,884) found in our study was expected.

Few therapeutic options exist for the treatment of TNFi refractory CD patients (12). These include the biologic agents, vedolizumab and ustekinumab (12). A review conducted by the Institut national d'excellence en santé et en services sociaux (INESSS), that provides recommendations to the Quebec Government regarding drug listing, found ustekinumab cost-effective relative to conventional treatment in TNFi refractory CD

Number of patients	34
Number of patients who died	0
Number of days of first prescription	26.79 (21.04)
mean, SD	
Median (quartiles) in days	25.5 (8, 43)
Range in days	2–71
Total number of days on	9161
ustekinumab	
Mean, SD	269.44 (130.63)
Median (quartiles)	365.00 (106.00, 365.00
Monthly dose of first ustekinumab	294.79 (369.99)
prescription, mean (SD)	
Median (quartiles)	168.75 (87.10, 337.50)
Monthly dose over all, but the first	180.03 (329.50)
ustekinumab prescriptions, mean,	
SD	
Median (quartiles)	96.43 (55.10, 128.57)
Patients who discontinued	14
ustekinumab, N	
Crude rate of ustekinumab	55.78
discontinuation per 100	
person-years	

Table 2. Ustekinumab use and mortality in the postperiod

patients with an incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) of around \$46,000 by one quality-adjusted life year (QALY) (41). The cost increase in our study reflects a real-life situation and is important to further inform budget allocation and treatment decision making.

The total number of ustekinumab users included in our study was low. During the study period, ustekinumab was listed on the drug formulary only for psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis treatment and, as such RAMQ preapproval was required for ustekinumab cost reimbursement in CD patients. The alternative treatment for these patients would have been surgery or additional complications for those in whom surgery was not indicated as reflected by the significantly higher direct medical cost of GI services incurred by patients who discontinued ustekinumab (14 patients, mean cost \$13,541; 95% CI \$5274 to \$22,789) and those who did not (20 patients; mean cost \$3032; 95% CI \$1263 to \$5192). Of note, the mean costs in the preperiod were not different (\$5510; 95% CI \$2041 to \$10,948 in those who discontinued and those who did not \$6815; 95% CI \$3200 to \$10,690) (data not shown).

The codes used to identify CD patients from our study database do not allow identification of CD phenotype. Therefore, our study did not assess ustekinumab utilization and costs by CD phenotype. Nonetheless, patients with CD complications during the study period (pre- or postperiod ICD-9 or ICD-10 codes for fistula of intestine, stricture or abscess of intestine, rectum or anus) were identified. Our results did not **Table 3.** Patients characteristics associated with ustekinumab discontinuation at 1 year: Cox regression model

Hazard ratio (95% confidence interval)
0.77 (0.61, 0.96)

The variables: sex, low income, region of residency (urban or rural), socioeconomic status, complications (fistula, stricture or abcess), cancer, anemia/blood disease, corticosteroids, gastroprotective agents, antidepressants, antidiabetics and antihypertensives were not significant.

show differences in ustekinumab utilization and costs between patients with complications versus those without complications, perhaps because of the small number of patients in each group.

Our study is the first to assess the CD direct medical cost from the perspective of a single payer system in TNFi refractory patients before and after ustekinumab utilization. We used a pre and postdesign to limit the possibility of indication bias. The population-based approach using provincial administrative databases that we adopted allowed complete tracking of the health resource utilization of interest. We were able to show a cost breakdown by medication and health services use, which allows better generalizability and comparison with similar studies conducted elsewhere.

Our study has also some limitations. First, treatment adverse events or complications were not assessed (32). Ustekinumab adverse events have not been well examined in CD patients. However, evidence derived from studies conducted in patients with psoriasis (42-44), randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and open-label observational studies in CD patients point to a safety profile of ustekinumab similar to that of placebo (24,27,28). Second, indirect CD costs could not be assessed in our study. Some authors have reported higher indirect costs of CD compared to direct medical costs (45,46); however, no study assessed indirect cost in CD refractory patients; indirect medical costs are better estimated prospectively. Third, the use of a suboptimal subcutaneous induction protocol (unavailability of intravenous induction dosing during the study period) may have led to increased ustekinumab dosing during maintenance treatment and increased cost. With the availability of intravenous ustekinumab for induction, the frequency of subcutaneous ustekinumab maintenance is likely lower than reported. Finally, our study included only very refractory CD patients registered with the provincial drug plan during a time period when ustekinumab was not yet listed for CD. Therefore, generalizability to TNFi naive individuals, those who have private drug insurance or to a more recent era should be done with care. In a U.S. study, publicly insured CD patients incurred a higher cost compared to those privately insured

#### Table 4. Health services utilization in the pre- and postperiods

Health services utilization in the preperiod			
Medication use			
	N of patient (%)	N of prescriptions	
5-aminosalicylic acid	3 (9)	14	
Corticosteroids	25 (74)	138	
Immunomodulators	16 (47)	147	
Nonustekinumab biologic agents	28 (82)	269	
Outpatient physician encounters for a gastrointestin	al event		
	N patient (%)	N of visits	
Gastroenterologists	31 (91)	188	
Nongastroenterologist physicians	15 (44)	48	
Emergency Department	14 (41)	48	
Hospitalizations for a gastrointestinal event			
	N patient (%)	N of hospitalizations (N of in-hospital days)	
Hospitalization for any noncolectomy GI event	15 (44)	26 (182 days)	
Hospitalization for colectomy	0	0	
Health services utilization in the postperiod			
Medication use			
	N patient (%)	N of prescriptions	
5-aminosalicylic acid	3 (9)	5	
Corticosteroids	22 (65)	270	
Immunomodulators	12 (35)	126	
Nonustekinumab biologic agents	2 (6)	9	
Ustekinumab	34 (100)	261	
Outpatient physician encounters for a gastrointestin	al event		
	N patient (%)	N of visits	
Gastroenterologists	31 (91)	141	
Nongastroenterologist physicians	16 (47)	43	
Emergency Department	10 (29)	33	
Hospitalizations for a gastrointestinal event			
	N patient (%)	N of hospitalizations (N of in-hospital days)	
Hospitalization for any noncolectomy GI event	11 (32)	24 (247 days)	
Hospitalization for colectomy	1 (3)	1 (29 days)	

5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA): mesalamine, olsalazine and sulfasalazine.

Immunomodulators: mercaptopurine, azathioprine and methotrexate.

Biologics: TNFi (infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab and certolizumab) and natalizumab.

Corticosteroids: prednisone, hydrocortisone, budesonide, prednisolone, methylprednisolone.

(47). However, this may not be the case in Quebec where all citizens are publicly insured for their physician visits and hospitalizations and private insurance companies have to cover at least the medications covered by RAMQ with similar reimbursement conditions (34). With the availability of both ustekinumab (intravenous induction) and vedolizumab, studies in a broader CD population are warranted to better assess health services utilization and costs.

	\$Total cost	\$Mean (SD)	\$Median (Quartiles)
Direct medical costs in the preperiod			
Medications	666,614	19,606 (16,551)	17,532 (7839–25,928)
Visits to gastroenterologists	26,740	786 (479)	823 (421–1130)
Other GI visits	4809	141 (296)	0 (0-146)
Hospitalizations	160,012	4,706 (8,303)	0 (0-6969)
Emergency Department visits	21,884	644 (1,149)	0 (0-865)
Total Cost	880,060	25,884 (16,779)	24,698 (16,039–36,711)
Direct medical costs in the postperiod			
Medications	1,431,033	42,089 (22,010)	40,093 (30,831–53,760)
Visits to gastroenterologists	17,391	511.50 (350)	489 (277–750)
Other GI visits	7401	218 (506)	0 (0-211)
Hospitalizations	182,053	5,355 (11,343)	0 (0-4913)
Colectomy	27,981	823 (4799)	0 (0–0)
Emergency Department	15,380	452 (930)	0 (0-727)
Total Cost	1,681,239	49,448 (22,320)	49,064 (34,054–59,405)

Table 5. Direct medical cost in Canadian dollars of Crohn's disease in the pre- and postperiods

Table 6. Costs of specific medications in Canadian dollars in the pre- and postperiods

	Total cost	Mean	Median
\$Cost of medications in the preperiod			
5-aminosalicylic acid	1070	31 (126)	0 (0, 0)
Corticosteroids	3206	94 (182)	50 (0, 98)
Immunomodulators	4890	144 (254)	0 (0, 199)
Nonustekinumab biologic agents	657,448	19,337 (16,601)	17,291 (7456–25,840)
Total Cost RX	666,614	19,606 (16,550)	17,532 (7839–25,928)
\$Cost of medications in the postperiod			
5-aminosalicylic acid	370	11 (41)	0 (0, 0)
Corticosteroids	2914	86 (111)	35 (0, 133)
Immunomodulators	2976	88 (149)	0 (0, 169)
Nonustekinumab biologic agents	27,632	813 (4087)	0 (0, 0)
Ustekinumab	1,397,140	41,092 (22,687)	39,672 (26,287–53,759)
Total Cost RX	1,431,033	42,089 (22,010)	40,093 (30,831–53,759)

In conclusion, in severe CD patients who failed TNFi, the cost of GI services remained stable following ustekinumab use and approximately 60% of patients remained on ustekinumab treatment for greater than 1 year, suggesting its efficacy in this refractory group of patients.

## SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available at *Journal of the Canadian* Association of Gastroenterology online.

Supplementary Table 1: Gastrointestinal events considered in the cost analysis

Supplementary Table 2. Biologic agents used by the 34 study patients

Supplementary Table 3. Patient characteristics at the first ustekinumab prescription date (index-date) by CD complications (fistula, stricturing or abscess)

Supplementary Table 4. Ustekinumab use and mortality in the postperiod by CD complications

Supplementary Table 5. Direct medical cost in Canadian dollars by CD complications

## Funding

The study was supported by Janssen Inc. The sponsor reviewed and approved the final copy of the manuscript, but was not involved in the study design, analysis, and interpretation of the data or in the writing of the manuscript. E.R. has received funds and consulting fees from Janssen Inc. to support this study. W.A. has received consulting fees from Janssen Inc. H.N. has no conflict of interest to disclose.

## **Author Contributions**

E.R. and W.A. contributed to all aspects of the study. They developed the study protocol and obtained financial support to conduct the analyses. E.R. acquired the data, supervised the statistical analyses and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. W.A. provided clinical expertise with respect to Crohn's disease management and the utilization of ustekinumab in Quebec. H.N. conducted all the statistical analyses and assisted with the interpretation of data. All authors reviewed and approved the final draft of the manuscript.

#### References

- Molodecky NA, Soon IS, Rabi DM, et al. Increasing incidence and prevalence of the inflammatory bowel diseases with time, based on systematic review. Gastroenterology 2012;142(1):46–54.e42; quiz e30.
- Ananthakrishnan AN. Epidemiology and risk factors for IBD. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;12(4):205–17.
- Bitton A, Vutcovici M, Patenaude V, et al. Epidemiology of inflammatory bowel disease in Québec: Recent trends. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2014;20(10):1770–6.
- 4. Baumgart DC, Sandborn WJ. Crohn's disease. Lancet 2012;380(9853):1590–605.
- Peyrin-Biroulet L, Sandborn W, Sands BE, et al. Selecting therapeutic targets in inflammatory bowel disease (STRIDE): Determining therapeutic goals for treat-to-target. Am J Gastroenterol 2015;110(9):1324–38.
- Lönnfors S, Vermeire S, Greco M, et al. IBD and health-related quality of life discovering the true impact. J Crohns Colitis 2014;8(10):1281–6.
- Solberg IC, Vatn MH, Høie O, et al.; IBSEN Study Group. Clinical course in Crohn's disease: Results of a Norwegian population-based ten-year follow-up study. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;5(12):1430–8.
- Henriksen M, Jahnsen J, Lygren I, et al.; Ibsen Study Group. Clinical course in Crohn's disease: Results of a five-year population-based follow-up study (the IBSEN study). Scand J Gastroenterol 2007;42(5):602–10.
- Ng SC, Shi HY, Hamidi N, et al. Worldwide incidence and prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease in the 21<sup>st</sup> century: A systematic review of population-based studies. Lancet 2018;390(10114):2769–78.
- Benchimol EI, Manuel DG, Guttmann A, et al. Changing age demographics of inflammatory bowel disease in Ontario, Canada: A population-based cohort study of epidemiology trends. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2014;20(10):1761–9.
- Cosnes J, Cattan S, Blain A, et al. Long-term evolution of disease behavior of Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2002;8(4):244–50.
- Lichtenstein GR, Loftus EV, Isaacs KL, et al. ACG clinical guideline: Management of crohn's disease in adults. Am J Gastroenterol 2018;113(4):481–517.
- Hanauer SB, Feagan BG, Lichtenstein GR, et al.; ACCENT I Study Group. Maintenance infliximab for Crohn's disease: The ACCENT I randomised trial. Lancet 2002;359(9317):1541–9.
- Sandborn WJ, Hanauer SB, Rutgeerts P, et al. Adalimumab for maintenance treatment of Crohn's disease: Results of the CLASSIC II trial. Gut 2007;56(9):1232–9.
- Sands BE, Anderson FH, Bernstein CN, et al. Infliximab maintenance therapy for fistulizing Crohn's disease. N Engl J Med 2004;350(9):876–85.
- Schreiber S, Khaliq-Kareemi M, Lawrance IC, et al.; PRECISE 2 Study Investigators. Maintenance therapy with certolizumab pegol for Crohn's disease. N Engl J Med 2007;357(3):239–50.
- Hanauer SB, Sandborn WJ, Rutgeerts P, et al. Human anti-tumor necrosis factor monoclonal antibody (adalimumab) in Crohn's disease: The CLASSIC-I trial. Gastroenterology 2006;130(2):323–33; quiz 591.
- Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Rutgeerts P, et al. Adalimumab for maintenance of clinical response and remission in patients with Crohn's disease: The CHARM trial. Gastroenterology 2007;132(1):52–65.
- Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Stoinov S, et al.; PRECISE 1 Study Investigators. Certolizumab pegol for the treatment of Crohn's disease. N Engl J Med 2007;357(3):228–38.
- Yanai H, Hanauer SB. Assessing response and loss of response to biological therapies in IBD. Am J Gastroenterol 2011;106(4):685–98.
- Benson JM, Peritt D, Scallon BJ, et al. Discovery and mechanism of ustekinumab: A human monoclonal antibody targeting interleukin-12 and interleukin-23 for treatment of immune-mediated disorders. MAbs 2011;3(6):535–45.

- https://www.janssen.com/canada/sites/www\_janssen\_com\_canada/files/health\_ canada\_approves\_stelara\_for\_the\_treatment\_of\_adults\_with\_moderately\_to\_severely\_active\_crohns\_disease.pdf
- Sandborn WJ, Gasink C, Gao LL, et al.; CERTIFI Study Group. Ustekinumab induction and maintenance therapy in refractory Crohn's disease. N Engl J Med 2012;367(16):1519–28.
- Kopylov U, Afif W, Cohen A, et al. Subcutaneous ustekinumab for the treatment of anti-TNF resistant Crohn's disease-the McGill experience. J Crohns Colitis 2014;8(11):1516–22.
- Battat R, Kopylov U, Bessissow T, et al. Association between ustekinumab trough concentrations and clinical, biomarker, and endoscopic outcomes in patients with Crohn's disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017;15(9):1427–1434.e2.
- Khorrami S, Ginard D, Marín-Jiménez I, et al. Ustekinumab for the treatment of refractory Crohn's disease: The Spanish experience in a large multicentre open-label cohort. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2016;22(7):1662–9.
- Wils P, Bouhnik Y, Michetti P, et al.; Groupe d'Etude Thérapeutique des Affections Inflammatoires du Tube Digestif. Subcutaneous ustekinumab provides clinical benefit for two-thirds of patients with Crohn's disease refractory to anti-tumor necrosis factor agents. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;14(2):242–50.e1–2.
- Harris KA, Horst S, Gadani A, et al. Patients with refractory Crohn's disease successfully treated with ustekinumab. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2016;22(2):397–401.
- Feagan BG, Sandborn WJ, Gasink C, et al.; UNITI–IM-UNITI Study Group. Ustekinumab as induction and maintenance therapy for Crohn's disease. N Engl J Med 2016;375(20):1946–60.
- Ma C, Fedorak RN, Kaplan GG, et al. Long-term maintenance of clinical, endoscopic, and radiographic response to ustekinumab in moderate-to-severe Crohn's disease: Real-world experience from a multicenter cohort study. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2017;23(5):833–9.
- Gibson TB, Ng E, Ozminkowski RJ, et al. The direct and indirect cost burden of Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. J Occup Environ Med 2008;50(11):1261–72.
- Bernstein CN, Longobardi T, Finlayson G, et al. Direct medical cost of managing IBD patients: A Canadian population-based study. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2012;18(8):1498–508.
- 33. van der Valk ME, Mangen MJ, Leenders M, et al.; COIN study group and the Dutch Initiative on Crohn and Colitis. Healthcare costs of inflammatory bowel disease have shifted from hospitalisation and surgery towards anti-TNFα therapy: Results from the COIN study. Gut 2014;63(1):72–9.
- Prescription drugs covered by the Quebec public drug insurance plan. http:// www.ramq.gouv.qc.ca/fr/professionnels/professionnels/Pages/liste-medicaments. aspx#assure (accessed December 2018).
- APR-DRG data source. http://www.msss.gouv.qc.ca/professionnels/documentationsources-de-donnees-et-indicateurs/sources-de-donnees-et-metadonnees/apr-drg/ (accessed December 2018).
- Rezaie A, Quan H, Fedorak RN, et al. Development and validation of an administrative case definition for inflammatory bowel diseases. Can J Gastroenterol 2012;26(10):711–7.
- Pampalon R, Hamel D, Gamache P, et al. A deprivation index for health planning in Canada. Chronic Dis Can 2009;29(4):178–91.
- Greenup AJ, Rosenfeld G, Bressler B. Ustekinumab use in Crohn's disease: A Canadian tertiary care centre experience. Scand J Gastroenterol 2017;52(12):1354–9.
- Saro C, da la Coba C, Casado MA, et al. Resource use in patients with Crohn's disease treated with infliximab. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2007;26(10):1313–23.
- Saro C, Ceballos D, Muñoz F, et al.; EFICADEC Researchers Group. Resources utilization and costs the year before and after starting treatment with adalimumab in Crohn's disease patients. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2015;21(7):1631–40.
- INESSS Recommends Stelara for the treatment of Crohn's disease. https://www. inesss.qc.ca/fileadmin/doc/INESSS/Inscription\_medicaments/Avis\_au\_ministre/ Octobre\_2017/Stelara\_2017\_10.pdf (accessed December 2018).
- Papp K, Gottlieb AB, Naldi L, et al. Safety surveillance for ustekinumab and other psoriasis treatments from the psoriasis longitudinal assessment and registry (PSOLAR). J Drugs Dermatol 2015;14(7):706–14.
- Papp KA, Griffiths CE, Gordon K, et al.; PHOENIX 1 Investigators; PHOENIX 2 Investigators; ACCEPT Investigators. Long-term safety of ustekinumab in patients with moderate-to-severe psoriasis: Final results from 5 years of follow-up. Br J Dermatol 2013;168(4):844–54.
- 44. Gottlieb AB, Kalb RE, Langley RG, et al. Safety observations in 12095 patients with psoriasis enrolled in an international registry (PSOLAR): Experience with infliximab and other systemic and biologic therapies. J Drugs Dermatol 2014;13(12):1441–8.
- Rocchi A, Benchimol EI, Bernstein CN, et al. Inflammatory bowel disease: A Canadian burden of illness review. Can J Gastroenterol 2012;26(11):811–7.
- 46. Floyd DN, Langham S, Séverac HC, et al. The economic and quality-of-life burden of Crohn's disease in Europe and the United States, 2000 to 2013: A systematic review. Dig Dis Sci 2015;60(2):299–312.
- Park MD, Bhattacharya J, Park K. Differences in healthcare expenditures for inflammatory bowel disease by insurance status, income, and clinical care setting. PeerJ 2014;2:e587.