



Is Femoral Neck System a Valid Alternative for the Treatment of Displaced Femoral Neck Fractures in Adolescents? A Comparative Study of Femoral Neck System versus Cannulated Compression Screw

Yunan Lu¹, Federico Canavese ², Guoxin Nan³, Ran Lin¹, Yuling Huang¹, Nuoqi Pan¹ and Shunyou Chen^{1,4,*}

- ¹ Department of Paediatric Orthopaedics, The Third Clinical Medicine College of Fujian Medical University, Fuzhou Second Hospital Affiliated to Xiamen University, 47th Shangteng Road of Cangshan District, Fuzhou 350007, China; lyn5718@163.com (Y.L.); linran312@163.com (R.L.); yulinghuang01@163.com (Y.H.); pnq8798@163.com (N.P.)
- ² Lille University Centre, Department of Paediatric Orthopaedic Surgery, Jeanne de Flandre Hospital, Rue Eugène Avinée, 59000 Lille, France; canavese_federico@yahoo.fr
- ³ Department of Orthopaedics, Children's Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Yuzhong District Zhongshan 2 Road 136#, Chongqing 400014, China; ngx1215@163.com
- ⁴ Fujian Provincial Clinical Medical Research Center for First Aid and Rehabilitation in Orthopaedic Trauma, Fuzhou 350007, China
- * Correspondence: csy508@163.com; Tel./Fax: +86-0591-22169176

Abstract: *Background and Objectives*: The femoral neck system (FNS) is a new minimally invasive internal fixation system for femoral neck fractures (FNFs), but its use has not been reported in adolescents. The aim of this study was to compare the clinical and radiographic outcomes of displaced FNF in adolescents treated with FNS or a cannulated compression screw (CCS). *Materials and Methods*: A retrospective study of 58 consecutive patients with displaced FNF treated surgically was performed; overall, 28 patients underwent FNS and 30 CCS fixation. Sex, age at injury, type of fracture, associated lesions, duration of surgery, radiation exposure, and blood loss were collected from the hospital database. The clinical and radiographic results, as well as complications, were recorded and compared. *Results*: The patients were followed up for 16.4 \pm 3.1 months on average after index surgery (range, 12 to 24). Consolidation time among patients treated with FNS was significantly lower than those managed by CCS (*p* = 0.000). The functional scores of patients treated with FNS was significantly higher than those managed by CCS (*p* = 0.030). Unplanned hardware removal in patients treated with FNS was significantly lower than in those managed by CCS (*p* = 0.024). *Conclusions*: FNS has a lower complication rate and better functional outcome than CCS. It may be a good alternative to treat femoral neck fractures in adolescents.

Keywords: femoral neck system; cannulated screws; femur neck fractures; internal fixation

1. Introduction

Femoral neck fractures (FNFs) in pediatric age are rare, accounting for less than 0.5 percent of all fractures in children and adolescents; the peak incidence is found in male children aged 11 to 14 years. Despite their rarity, however, they have a very high complication rate, such as avascular necrosis of the femoral head (AVN), femoral neck shortening, coxa vara, premature closure of the proximal femoral growth cartilage, and nonunion [1–3].

Internal fixation is still the best option for displaced FNF in adolescents. However, the best fixation system remains in dispute. According to a recent study by Wang et al., the cannulated compression screw (CCS) is the most frequently used system of fixation in pediatric FNF in the past decade, applied in up to 80.8% of cases [4].



Citation: Lu, Y.; Canavese, F.; Nan, G.; Lin, R.; Huang, Y.; Pan, N.; Chen, S. Is Femoral Neck System a Valid Alternative for the Treatment of Displaced Femoral Neck Fractures in Adolescents? A Comparative Study of Femoral Neck System versus Cannulated Compression Screw. *Medicina* 2022, *58*, 999. https:// doi.org/10.3390/medicina58080999

Academic Editor: Johannes Mayr

Received: 31 May 2022 Accepted: 25 July 2022 Published: 27 July 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Stoffel et al. introduced a new minimally invasive internal fixation system for FNF called the femoral neck system (FNS) [5] (Figure 1). Biomechanical studies showed that the stability provided by FNS was similar to that of a dynamic hip screw (DHS) and hip dynamic blade screws, and it was better than that of CCS [5,6]. To date, several studies have reported on the efficacy of FNS in adults with FNF [7–9]. However, no one has investigated the use of FNS in adolescents.



Figure 1. The FNS consists of three parts: the plate and locking screw in the angular stable structure (neck shaft angle = 130°); the bolt (diameter = 10 mm); the anti-rotation screw (diameter = 6.4 mm, branched out from the base of the bolt at an angle of 5°).

The aim of this retrospective study was to evaluate the clinical and radiographic outcomes of displaced FNF in adolescents managed by FNS or CCS and to compare the two treatment options.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patients

After obtaining Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval (No. 2022007), a retrospective comparative study was performed on 58 consecutive pediatric patients with FNF treated with FNS or CCS from March 2017 to February 2021. The demographic characteristics and clinical profiles, including age at the time of injury, sex, side, time from injury to surgery, mechanism of injury, type of fracture according to the Delbet–Colonna and Pauwels classifications, and initial displacement, were recorded.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) a confirmed diagnosis of isolated, closed FNF; (2) age between 10 and 20 years at the time of injury; (3) surgical treatment with FNS or CCS within 14 days of the injury; (4) follow-up >12 months; and (5) complete clinical and radiological data.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) concomitant neuromuscular, metabolic, or genetic conditions, and pathological fractures; (2) slipped capital femoral epiphysis or FNF combined with greater or lesser trochanter fracture; (3) PFMI grade 6 or above [10]; (4) incomplete medical records and/or imaging data, and a follow-up of less than 12 months.

2.2. Surgical Procedure

All surgical procedures were performed under general or spinal epidural. All surgical procedures were performed by the same experienced pediatric orthopedic surgeon (S.C.).

3 of 10

The fracture was reduced with the patient supine on an orthopedic traction table. If closed reduction failed after 2 to 3 attempts, open reduction was performed.

2.3. Femoral Neck System

Before making a 5 cm longitudinal incision under the greater trochanter, the fractured limb was slightly abducted and internally rotated. The soft tissues were subsequently stripped from the bony plane (lateral aspect of the proximal femur) in order to facilitate hardware placement. After temporarily stabilizing the fracture by inserting a Kirschner wire, a second Kirschner wire was placed as a central guide wire using a 130° angled guide, whose correct position was confirmed using fluoroscopy. The optimal implant length was measured with a scaling device. We tried to choose an appropriate length to spare the growth of the proximal femur epiphysis; violation of the growth plate was necessary only in high and unstable fractures. At this point, the bolt preassembled with the plate was slid over the central guide wire into the preformed hole. Next, the anti-rotation screw was inserted after extracting the guide wire. Finally, the locking screw was placed in the distal hole of the plate, and the stability of the system was tested by moving the hip in all directions. The position of the SNF (DePuy Synthes, Switzerland) and the quality of fracture reduction (Figures 1 and 2) were checked using fluoroscopy.



(a)

Figure 2. 14-year-old boy with left FNF treated with FNS. (a) Preoperative radiographs showing Delbet-III, Pauwels-III fracture; (b,c) postoperative radiographs; (d) outcome at 18 months postoperation.

2.4. Cannulated Compression Screw

Two or three incisions of about 0.5 cm each were made to allow the insertion of 2 or 3 guide pins, depending on the age of the patient and the width of the femoral neck, into the femoral head along the longitudinal axis of the femoral neck; insertion was performed under fluoroscopic control. After the guide wires were placed in the appropriate position, cannulated screws were inserted. The proximal femur epiphyseal growth plate was not violated unless the fracture was unstable and had a short proximal fragment. The fracture fragments were considered fully compressed if all threads were above the fracture line (within the proximal fragment) on the AP and lateral postoperative radiographs.

2.5. Perioperative Management

All patients received prophylactic antibiotic therapy 30 min before the start of surgery. Once surgery was completed, patients were encouraged to begin isometric quadriceps femoris contraction exercises and to perform active flexion and extension of the ankle and knee joints. Partial weight-bearing training was implemented according to the recovery of the affected limb. Full weight bearing was allowed once bone consolidation was achieved, usually 3 months after surgery. The first radiographic examination was performed within 3 days after surgery. The following radiographic examinations were performed once a

month during the first 6 postoperative months and every 3 months thereafter. Functional assessment of the hip was performed at the last follow-up visit, no less than 12 months after surgery. A computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan to look for fracture nonunion or necrosis of the femoral head was performed in all patients with persistent hip pain. All measurements were performed using the Picture Archiving and Communication Systems (PACS; GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA). Two experienced pediatric orthopedic surgeons (Y.L. and R.L.) measured all parameters independently, and the mean values were used for the statistical analysis.

2.6. Radiographic Evaluation

Two experienced pediatric orthopedic surgeons (Y.L. and R.L.) measured all parameters independently, and the mean values were used for the statistical analysis.

To identify adolescent patients, the PFMI was used. Adolescents with $PFMI \ge 6$ were not included in the analysis [10].

The Delbet–Colonna system was used to classify all fractures: type I, transepiphyseal fracture; type II, transcervical fracture; type III, cervicotrochanteric fracture; and type IV, intertrochanteric fracture [11].

Pauwels classification calculates the angle between the fracture line of the distal fragment and the horizontal line, as follows: type I: $<30^{\circ}$; type II: $30^{\circ}-50^{\circ}$; type III: $>50^{\circ}$ [12].

Song et al. [13] and Wang et al. [14] classification system was used to evaluate initial fracture displacement: type I, incomplete fractures without translation or mild angulation $<30^{\circ}$; type II, complete fractures with any amount of translation or angulation $<50^{\circ}$; and type III, complete fractures with any translation or angulation $>50^{\circ}$.

Song et al. [13] classification was used to evaluate the quality of reduction: anatomical, with no displacement or angular deformity; acceptable, displacement < 2 mm or angular deformity within 20° of the normal neck shaft angle on anteroposterior and axial radiographs; unacceptable, displacement of >2 mm or angular deformity of >20° on anteroposterior or axial radiographs.

Functional evaluation was performed using Ratliff's criteria [15] considering pain, ROM (good, fair, and poor), activity, and femoral neck morphology on radiographs, which was excellent, good, or poor.

Ratliff's classification [15] was also used to assess the presence and severity of femoral head necrosis: type I (necrosis of the whole femoral head), type II (partial necrosis of the femoral head), and type III (an area of necrosis from the fracture line to the physis).

Neck shortening was evaluated according to Zlowodzki's method [16]. The neck of the femur was considered to be shortened in the presence of a shortening, on radiographs, of the distance between the tip of the femoral head and the caudal end of the lesser trochanter greater than 5 mm on the affected side compared with the contralateral, healthy side. Premature physeal closure was defined as 50% or more linear closure of the physis [17].

Coxa vara was defined as neck-shaft angles $< 120^{\circ}$.

Nonunion was defined as implant breakage, loss of reduction, or persistence of a visible fracture line ≥ 6 months after the index procedure [18].

The material was removed prematurely (nail retreat) when the screw head slipped out more than 5 mm from the cortex of the lateral side of the femoral shaft, causing hip discomfort.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using the IBM SPSS statistical package version 22.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). The Shapiro–Wilk test was first used to determine whether the data fit a normal distribution. Age, time from injury to surgical treatment, perioperative blood loss, and consolidation time were normally distributed and expressed as the mean, range, and standard deviation, and two independent sample T tests were used for comparisons between the two types of treatments. Categorical parameters are expressed as frequencies and percentages. Statistical analysis was performed using the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables. Statistical significance was defined by p values of p < 0.05.

3. Results

A total of 58 patients met the inclusion criteria. The patients were divided into the FNS group (n = 28) and CCS group (n = 30) based on the type of fixation (Figures 2 and 3). Table 1 summarizes the demographics of the patients (Table 1); no significant differences in demographic characteristics, such as age, sex, mechanism of injury, type of fracture, or initial displacement, were found between the two groups (p > 0.05).

(b)



(a)



(c)



(**d**)



Figure 3. A 13-year-old boy with left FNF treated by 2 CCS. (**a**) Preoperative radiographs showed Delbet-II, Pauwels-III fracture; (**b**,**c**) postoperative radiographs; (**d**) outcome at 18 months postoperation; (**e**) unplanned hardware removal.

Table 2 summarizes the operative characteristics of the FNS and CCS groups (Table 2). The intraoperative blood loss of patients treated with FNS (44.3 \pm 7.8 mL; range, 30 to 70) was significantly higher than that of patients treated with CCS (16.7 \pm 4.7 mL; range, 12 to 25) (p = 0.001). There was no significant difference in the reduction method, time from injury to surgical procedure, fluoroscopy time, or quality of fracture reduction between the two groups of patients (p = 0.928).

Variables	FNS (<i>n</i> = 28)	CCS (<i>n</i> = 30)	Statistic (t/ χ^2)	p Value
Age (years)	14.5 ± 1.6	14.3 ± 1.5	0.394	0.695
		Sex		
Male	19 (67.9%)	22 (73.3%)	0.210	0.647
Female	9 (32.1%)	8 (26.7%)		
		Side		
Left	16 (57.1%)	13 (43.3%)	1.105	0.293
Right	12 (42.9%)	17 (56.7%)		
Time from injury to surgery (days)	3.2 ± 1.5	3.6 ± 1.9	-0.869	0.389
		Mechanism of injury		
Traffic accident	14 (50%)	10 (33.3%)	4.088	0.129
Fall from height	6 (21.4%)	14 (46.7%)		
Sports injury	8 (28.6%)	6 (20%)		
1 , 2		Delbet–Colonna Type		
Delbet-II	20 (71.4%)	18 (60%)	0.837	0.360
Delbet-III	8 (28.6%)	12 (40%)		
	. ,	Pauwels type		
Pauwels-I	2 (7.1%)	8 (26.7%)	4.402	0.111
Pauwels-II	18 (64.3%)	13 (43.3%)		
Pauwels-III	8 (28.6%)	9 (30%)		
	(Initial displacement		
Type I	2 (7.1%)	4 (13.3%)	0.914	0.633
Type II	14 (50%)	16 (53.3%)		
Type III	12 (42.9%)	10 (33.3%)		

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the patients.

FNS: femoral neck system; CCS: cannulated compression screw.

Table 2. Comparison of operative characteristics.

Variables	FNS $(n = 28)$	CCS (<i>n</i> = 30)	Statistics (t/χ^2)	p Value
	Redu	ction method		
CRIF	20 (71.4%)	24 (80%)	0.581	0.446
ORIF	8 (28.6%)	6 (20%)		
Operation time (min)	53.9 ± 10.6	50.0 ± 7.6	1.616	0.112
Blood loss (mL)	44.3 ± 7.8	16.7 ± 3.1	17.932	0.001
Fluoroscopies (<i>n</i>)	16.9 ± 4.7	16.0 ± 4.2	-0.090	0.928
-	Redu	action quality		
Anatomical	19 (67.9%)	21 (20.7%)	0.432	0.806
Acceptable	7 (25%)	8 (26.7%)		
Unacceptable	2 (7.1%)	1 (3.3%)		

FNS: femoral neck system; CCS: cannulated compression screw.

Patients treated with FNS and CCS were followed up for an average of 16.3 ± 2.0 months (range, 12 to 24) and 17 ± 2.5 months (range, 12 to 24), respectively (p = 0.232). The FNS group had a shorter fracture consolidation time (10.5 ± 1.5 weeks; range, 8 to 14) than the CCS group (14 ± 2.2 weeks; range, 10 to 20) (p = 0.000). Similarly, patients treated with FNS had higher functional scores (excellent: good: poor = 15: 10: 3) than patients managed using CCS (excellent: good: poor = 7: 13: 10) (p = 0.030). Complications such as AVN (4/14.3% vs. 8/26.7%) (Figure 4) and neck shortening (2/7.1% vs. 6/20%) were lower in FNS than in CCS patients, but without statistical difference (p = 0.245 and 0.256, respectively); unplanned hardware removal (0/0% vs. 5/16.7%) (Figure 3) was significantly lower in FNS than in CCS patients (p = 0.024) (Table 3).



(a)

(b)

Figure 4. 12-year-old girl with left FNF treated by 3 CCS. (**a**) Postoperative radiograph; (**b**) outcome at 12 months postoperation (AVN and narrowing joint space).

Variables	FNS (<i>n</i> = 28)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCS} \\ (n = 30) \end{array}$	Statistics (t/χ^2)	p Value
Follow-up time (months)	16.3 ± 2.0	17.0 ± 2.5	-1.208	0.232
Consolidation time (weeks)	10.5 ± 1.5	14.0 ± 2.2	-7.054	0.000
	Functional	l evaluations		
Excellent	15 (53.6%)	7 (23.3%)	7.009	0.030
Good	10 (35.7%)	13 (43.3%)		
Poor	3 (10.7%)	10 (33.3%)		
	Comp	lications		
Femoral head necrosis	4 (14.3%)	8 (26.7%)	1.353	0.245
Neck shortening	2 (7.1%)	6 (20%)	2.013	0.156
Nail retreat	0 (0%)	5 (16.7%)	5.107	0.024
Premature epiphyseal closure	3 (7.1%)	3 (6.7%)	0.008	0.929
Coxa vara	1 (3.6%)	3 (10%)	0.932	0.334
Nonunion	0 (0%)	1 (3.3%)	0.950	0.330

Table 3. Comparison of clinical effects and complications.

FNS: femoral neck system; CCS: cannulated compression screw.

4. Discussion

FNF in adolescents is a challenging injury to treat due to the complex vascular anatomy of the femoral neck and the high-energy traumatic mechanism responsible for the fracture and its displacement. Anatomical reduction of the fractures and stable internal fixation, taking into account the vascularization of the proximal femur, are essential elements of optimal treatment.

In recent decades, CCS has been the preferred fixation for FNF in adolescents due to its minimally invasive technique, reduced cost, and its ability to adequately address most femoral neck fractures [19]. Although the locking compression pediatric hip plate (LCP-PHP) was originally designed for intertrochanteric and subtrochanteric osteotomies, they have also been used for the treatment of pediatric FNF [20]. Joeris et al. [20] and

Chen SY et al. [21] found that LCP-PHP can provide better angular stability, better resistance to rotation and shear forces, and better functional outcomes than CCS. However, the use of such plates often requires a large incision, resulting in greater surgical damage and perioperative blood loss.

The recently introduced FNS has the minimally invasive properties of CCS and the stability of LCH-PHP fixation. In addition, it can provide compression, maintains the femur neck length, and promotes bone healing [5]. Our study showed that the consolidation time in patients treated with FNS was significantly shorter than in patients managed by CCS (p < 0.05), while functional outcome as per Ratliff's criteria was significantly better (p < 0.05). This finding was similar to the results reported by He et al., Hu et al., and Zhou et al., conducted in adult patients with FNF [7–9].

The rate of nail retreat was significantly lower in patients treated with FNS than in patients managed with CCS (n = 5, 16.7%) (p < 0.05). The FNS has a 20 mm sliding compression space to facilitate anatomical reduction and compression, resulting in rapid bone healing. The 20mm sliding space is a unique part of the power rod sleeve, which controls the direction at 130 degrees of sliding pressure, thus increasing certain internal resistance and reducing shortening of the femoral neck. In contrast, CCS does not have this advantage. The stability of fractures depends upon the fracture morphology. For example, Pauwels type III FNF is more likely to slip, and the femur neck is more likely to shorten with implant retreat and skin irritation. CCSs often require unplanned hardware removal as a result of skin irritation (Figure 3). However, FNS is more stable and is less likely to cause discomfort (Figure 2).

Interestingly, we found a lower rate of AVN (4/14.3%) in patients treated with FNS than in patients managed using CCS (8/26.7%), although the difference was not statistically significant. Previous reports found that age, fracture type, amount of dislocation, and quality of reduction were predictive factors for AVN; however, fixation methods were rarely investigated [4,13,22].

However, too large an internal fixation can disrupt hemoperfusion of the femoral head, as reported by Wang et al. [14]. The FNS consists of a bolt and an anti-rotation screw with an angle of 5°. The size of the proximal part of the FNS is smaller than that of three CCSs (Figure 4) and reduces the risk of damaging the vasculature of the femoral head epiphysis. In addition to the advantages of smaller size, the FNS is also easier to insert. Once the central guide wire is placed, the rest of the implant can be completed using the guide instrument, resulting in reduced risk of iatrogenic injury. In contrast, optimal placement of CCSs may need multiple attempts and position adjustments, which risk damaging blood supply and causing AVN. However, the possibility that new internal fixation may reduce the frequency of AVN needs to be constantly explored and tested.

Regarding premature epiphyseal closure, we have not yet found any obvious difference (p > 0.05). We try to preserve the epiphyseal plate in both forms of internal fixation unless the fracture is unstable. In our series, fluoroscopy time and length of surgery were comparable between the two groups of patients.

There were some limitations in the analysis of our results. These limitations could be mainly attributed to the retrospective nature of the study, the small number of patients, and the relatively short follow-up time (<2 years). Moreover, the treatment strategy (FNS or CCS) was selected according to the surgeon's preference and was not randomized. Despite the limitations, this is the first study to evaluate the outcome of FNF in adolescents treated with FNS versus CCS. A larger-scale, prospective randomized controlled study with long-term follow-up is necessary to comprehensively evaluate the effectiveness and safety of the FNS method.

5. Conclusions

FNS appears to be a safe and effective fixation system for the treatment of displaced FNF in adolescents. FNS has a lower complication rate and a better functional outcome than CCS.

Author Contributions: Y.L.: design of the study, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, revision; F.C.: design of the study, statistical analysis, editing and revision; R.L. and G.N.: drafting of the manuscript and statistical analysis; Y.H. and N.P.: statistical analysis and revision of the manuscript; S.C.: design of the study, surgery, and general supervision of the research group. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of Fujian Provincial Clinical Medical Research Center for First Aid and Rehabilitation in Orthopaedic Trauma (2020Y2014).

Institutional Review Board Statement: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. This was a retrospective study, and IRB approval was obtained (approval No. 2022007).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Abbreviations

FNF: femoral neck fracture; FNS: femoral neck system; CCS: cannulated compression screws.

References

- Patterson, J.T.; Tangtiphaiboontana, J.; Pandya, N.K. Management of Pediatric Femoral Neck Fracture. J. Am. Acad. Orthop. Surg. 2018, 26, 411–419. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Barreto Rocha, D.F.; Horwitz, D.S.; Sintenie, J.B. Femoral Neck Fractures in Children: Issues, Challenges, and Solutions. J. Orthop. *Trauma* **2019**, *8*, S27–S32. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Bimmel, R.; Bakker, A.; Bosma, B.; Michielsen, J. Paediatric hip fractures: A systematic review of incidence, treatment options and complications. *Acta. Orthop. Belg.* **2010**, *76*, 7–13. [PubMed]
- Wang, W.T.; Li, Y.Q.; Guo, Y.M.; Li, M.; Mei, H.B.; Shao, J.F.; Xiong, Z.; Li, J.; Canavese, F.; Chen, S.Y. Risk factors for the development of avascular necrosis after femoral neck fractures in children: A review of 239 cases. *Bone Jt. J.* 2019, 101, 1160–1167. [CrossRef]
- Stoffel, K.; Zderic, I.; Gras, F.; Sommer, C.; Eberli, U.; Mueller, D.; Oswald, M.; Gueorguiev, B. Biomechanical Evaluation of the Femoral Neck System in Unstable Pauwels III Femoral Neck Fractures: A Comparison with the Dynamic Hip Screw and Cannulated Screws. J. Orthop. Trauma 2017, 31, 131–137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schopper, C.; Zderic, I.; Menze, J.; Müller, D.; Rocci, M.; Knobe, M.; Shoda, E.; Richards, G.; Gueorguiev, B.; Stoffel, K. Higher stability and more predictive fixation with the Femoral Neck System versus Hansson Pins in femoral neck fractures Pauwels II. J. Orthop. Translat. 2020, 24, 88–95. [CrossRef]
- He, C.; Lu, Y.; Wang, Q.; Ren, C.; Li, M.; Yang, M.; Xu, Y.; Li, Z.; Zhang, K.; Ma, T. Comparison of the clinical efficacy of a femoral neck system versus cannulated screws in the treatment of femoral neck fracture in young adults. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* 2021, 22, 994. [CrossRef]
- 8. Hu, H.; Cheng, J.; Feng, M.; Gao, Z.; Wu, J.; Lu, S. Clinical outcome of femoral neck system versus cannulated compression screws for fixation of femoral neck fracture in younger patients. *J. Orthop. Surg. Res.* **2021**, *16*, 370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhou, X.Q.; Li, Z.Q.; Xu, R.J.; She, Y.S.; Zhang, X.X.; Chen, G.X.; Yu, X. Comparison of Early Clinical Results for Femoral Neck System and Cannulated Screws in the Treatment of Unstable Femoral Neck Fractures. *Orthop. Surg.* 2021, 13, 1802–1809. [CrossRef]
- Cheung, P.W.H.; Canavese, F.; Chan, C.Y.W.; Wong, J.S.H.; Shigematsu, H.; Luk, K.D.K.; Cheung, J.P.Y. The Utility of a Novel Proximal Femur Maturity Index for Staging Skeletal Growth in Patients with Idiopathic Scoliosis. *J. Bone Jt. Surg. Am.* 2022, 104, 630–640. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Colonna, P.C. Fracture of the neck of the femur in childhood: A report of six cases. Ann. Surg. 1928, 88, 902–907. [CrossRef]
- 12. Shen, M.; Wang, C.; Chen, H.; Rui, Y.F.; Zhao, S. An update on the Pauwels classification. J. Orthop. Surg. Res. 2016, 11, 161. [CrossRef]
- 13. Song, K.S. Displaced fracture of the femoral neck in children: Open versus closed reduction. J. Bone Jt. Surg. Br. 2010, 92, 1148–1151. [CrossRef]
- Wang, W.; Li, Y.; Xiong, Z.; Guo, Y.; Li, M.; Mei, H.; Shao, J.; Li, J.; Canavese, F.; Chen, S. Effect of the Number, Size, and Location of Cannulated Screws on the Incidence of Avascular Necrosis of the Femoral Head in Pediatric Femoral Neck Fractures: A Review of 153 Cases. J. Pediatr. Orthop. 2022, 42, 149–157. [CrossRef]
- 15. Ratliff, A.H. Fractures of the neck of the femur in children. J. Bone Jt. Surg. Br. 1962, 44, 528–542. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Zlowodzki, M.; Brink, O.; Switzer, J.; Wingerter, S.; Woodall, J., Jr.; Petrisor, B.A.; Kregor, P.J.; Bruinsma, D.R.; Bhandari, M. The effect of shortening and varus collapse of the femoral neck on function after fixation of intracapsular fracture of the hip: A multi-centre cohort study. J. Bone Jt. Surg. Br. 2008, 90, 1487–1494. [CrossRef]
- 17. Chen, C.E.; Ko, J.Y.; Wang, C.J. Premature closure of the physeal plate after treatment of a slipped capital femoral epiphysis. *Chang Gung Med. J.* **2002**, *25*, 811–818.
- 18. Haidukewych, G.J.; Rothwell, W.S.; Jacofsky, D.J.; Torchia, M.E.; Berry, D.J. Operative treatment of femoral neck fractures in patients between the ages of fifteen and fifty years. *J. Bone Jt. Surg. Am.* **2004**, *86*, 1711–1716. [CrossRef]
- 19. Pavone, V.; Testa, G.; Riccioli, M.; Di Stefano, A.; Condorelli, G.; Sessa, G. Surgical treatment with cannulated screws for pediatric femoral neck fractures: A case series. *Injury* 2019, 50 (Suppl. S2), S40–S44. [CrossRef]
- 20. Joeris, A.; Audigé, L.; Ziebarth, K.; Slongo, T. The Locking Compression Paediatric Hip Plate: Technical guide and critical analysis. *Int. Orthop.* **2012**, *36*, 2299–2306. [CrossRef]
- Chen, S.Y.; Mei, H.B.; Guo, Y.M.; Shao, J.F.; Xu, H.W.; Li, J.; Jiang, F.; Nan, G.X.; Pan, Y.C. Efficacies of pediatric hip plating versus cannulated screw fixation for Delbet types II & III pediatric femoral neck fractures: A retrospective multicenter study. *Chin. J. Pediatr. Surg.* 2016, 37, 898–902. [CrossRef]
- Spence, D.; DiMauro, J.P.; Miller, P.E.; Glotzbecker, M.P.; Hedequist, D.J.; Shore, B.J. Osteonecrosis After Femoral Neck Fractures in Children and Adolescents: Analysis of Risk Factors. J. Pediatr. Orthop. 2016, 36, 111–116. [CrossRef] [PubMed]