

review

G

DE GRUYTER

Magnetic resonance imaging evaluation in neoadjuvant therapy of locally advanced rectal cancer: a systematic review

Roberta Fusco¹, Mario Petrillo¹, Vincenza Granata¹, Salvatore Filice¹, Mario Sansone², Orlando Catalano¹, Antonella Petrillo¹

¹ Radiology Unit, Dipartimento di Supporto ai Percorsi Oncologici Area Diagnostica, Istituto Nazionale Tumori - IRCCS -Fondazione G. Pascale, Via Mariano Semmola, Naples, Italy

² Department of Electrical Engineering and Information Technologies, Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Via Claudio, Naples, Italy

Radiol Oncol 2017; 51(3): 252-262.

Received 29 December 2016 Accepted 21 June 2017

Correspondence to: Dr. Roberta Fusco, Radiology Unit, Dipartimento di Supporto ai Percorsi Oncologici Area Diagnostica, Istituto Nazionale Tumori - IRCCS - Fondazione G. Pascale, Via Mariano Semmola, Naples, Italy. Phone: +39 8159 0322; Fax: +39 8159 03825; E-mail: r.fusco@istitutotumori.na.it

Disclosure: No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Background. The aim of the study was to present an update concerning several imaging modalities in diagnosis, staging and pre-surgery treatment response assessment in locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC). Modalities include: traditional morphological magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), functional MRI such as dynamic contrast enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI) and diffusion weighted imaging (DWI). A systematic review about the diagnostic accuracy in neoad-juvant therapy response assessment of MRI, DCE-MRI, DWI and Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography (PET/CT) has been also reported.

Methods. Several electronic databases were searched including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. All the studies included in this review reported findings about therapy response assessment in LARC by means of MRI, DCE-MRI, DWI and PET/CT with details about diagnostic accuracy, true and false negatives, true and false positives. Forest plot and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves analysis were performed. Risk of bias and the applicability at study level were calculated.

Results. Twenty-five papers were identified. ROC curves analysis demonstrated that multimodal imaging integrating morphological and functional MRI features had the best accuracy both in term of sensitivity and specificity to evaluate preoperative therapy response in LARC. DCE-MRI following to PET/CT showed high diagnostic accuracy and their results are also more reliable than conventional MRI and DWI alone.

Conclusions. Morphological MRI is the modality of choice for rectal cancer staging permitting a correct assessment of the disease extent, of the lymph node involvement, of the mesorectal fascia and of the sphincter complex for surgical planning. Multimodal imaging and functional DCE-MRI may also help in the assessment of treatment response allowing to guide the surgeon versus conservative strategies and/or tailored approach such as "wait and see" policy.

Key words: magnetic resonance imaging; neoadjuvant therapy; evaluation; locally advanced rectal cancer

Introduction

In the USA 39,220 new cases of rectal cancer occurred in 2016.¹ Despite the introduction of the screening programs, several patients are diagnosed in a locally advanced stage. Mortality has decreased thanks to prevention and early diagnosis and to effective management of the disease²⁻¹², such as the standardization of operative procedures and the introduction of adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy¹³⁻²³, which determines a reduction of recurrence risk and a decrease of tumour size.

Preoperative chemo-radiotherapy (pCRT) combined with following total mesorectal excision is the standard procedure of care for locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC).13-24 However, there is an increase of conservative treatment strategies application for patients with substantial tumour regression after pCRT and "wait and see" policy for patients with complete pathological response. The advantage of this strategy is the reduction of morbidity and the possibility to provide a "true" organ-sparing approach. In this scenario, it is necessary to individualize the selection criteria for these strategies that accurately can assess neoadjuvant treatment response. Functional approaches have been exploited by several authors because of their capability to assess the residual tissue "vitality".25-35 FDG positron emission tomography coupled with computed tomography (PET/CT) is widely used and it is considered the best technique for early response monitoring after pCRT in LARC.13-14 However, other functional approaches including dynamic contrast enhanced-MRI (DCE-MRI) and diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) have been adopted to discriminate responder by nonresponder patients and complete vs. non complete pathological response after pCRT.13-14

The objective of this manuscript is to present an update about the imaging modalities used in LARC staging with a specific focus on morphological MRI. Furthermore, a systematic review about the performance of imaging in the tumour response assessment after neoadjuvant therapy has been performed. We report diagnostic accuracy findings in terms of false and true positives, false and true negatives number for morphological MRI, DCE-MRI, DWI and PET/CT.

Overview about staging and restaging in LARC

The role of imaging is to provide a loco-regional staging as accurate as possible with the aim to assess the degree of tumour infiltration and extension. Moreover, the features detected by radiological imaging allow to evaluate pCRT response for guiding surgeon towards patient tailored strategies.³⁶⁻⁷⁴

In LARC, CT scan roughly show tumour size and its possible infiltration to internal organs: in fact, it can provide excellent contrast between tissues with large difference in X-ray absorption (bone *vs.* soft tissues); however, it can poorly discriminate between tissues with similar absorption such as different soft tissues, including tumours.⁴⁷ PET/CT provides functional tissue information concerning metabolic activity fused with the morphological details of CT. The integration of tissue metabolic activity with anatomic information can improve its accuracy more that PET or CT when considered alone.⁴⁸⁻⁴⁹

Morphological MRI (T2 weighted images) has shown superior potential because it can provide an accurate evaluation not only of the tumour extent, but also of the adjacent soft tissues. Morphological MRI allows for comprehensive evaluation of disease stage including tumour infiltration degree, a precise assessment of the neoplasia distance by mesorectal fascia (circumferential margin) and an effective assessment of lymph nodes involvement and mesorectal infiltration.²⁶

Traditionally, tumour response assessments have been achieved measuring the percentage reduction of the tumour size according to the response evaluation criteria in solid tumours (RECIST), as the change in tumour size is generally thought to be correlated with treatment efficacy.^{17,50-53} However, this assessment approach is insensitive to early treatment changes, and it makes difficult to distinguish between active tumour and post-treatment fibrosis.

In fact, morphological MRI has been considered not to be conclusive in pCRT tumour response assessment since pathological down-staging is not always accompanied with tumour size effective reduction.^{17,23-26,50-53} However, the high temporal resolution obtainable using more powerful sequences has allowed to perform perfusion and dynamic studies after paramagnetic contrast agent administration. The latter MRI techniques permit to obtain functional tissue information concerning the vitality of the tissue essential to differentiate fibrosis from residual tumour after anti-angiogenetic treatments.

Dynamic contrast MRI

In scientific literature the potential of DCE-MRI has been reported as a promising evaluation tool to monitor and predict therapy response thanks to the relationship between tumour growth and angiogenesis.^{5-7,19,24-25} It is well known that angiogenesis is a key factor in the growth and dissemination of cancer. The characterization of the tumour angiogenic status on an individual patient basis could allow patient tailored treatments.²⁴

Many clinical trials in rectal cancer have demonstrated that angiogenesis inhibition can increase treatment effectiveness. Consequently, imaging



FIGURE 1. T1 weighted post contrast scan obtained before (A)-(B) and after (C)-(D) chemo-radiotherapy (CRT). The analysis of time intensity curve (TIC) show areas with rapid contrast uptake and fast discharge (B). After CRT, on the same areas no pathological contrast uptake is present confirming that hypo-intense tissue are tumour nests but only residual inflammation due to CRT.

modalities able to assess tumour vascularization might improve the treatment management in patients affected by LARC.^{6-7,24-25}

To assess tissue perfusion by means of DCE-MRI several approaches to analyse time intensity curve (TIC) have been proposed. The most commonly used in the clinical radiological practice is the TIC visual inspection approach.54 The main drawback of this qualitative approach is its dependence upon the experience of the operator and the absence of reproducibility. Petrillo et al.52 utilized TIC visual inspection to assess pCRT response in LARC (Figure 1). According to⁵², when patients with a partial or complete response to pCRT were included, a sensitivity, specificity and an accuracy of 79%, 76% and 78% respectively have been obtained. Instead, considering the performance of qualitative MRI evaluation in complete responders a sensitivity, a specificity and an accuracy of 94%, 76% and 84% respectively could be reached.

To overcome the limitations related to visual inspection alone, the quantitative or semi-quantitative approach for DCE-MRI data analysis have been proposed and investigated.

Quantitative model-based analysis involves compartmental tracer kinetic modelling²⁰⁻²¹ and

pixel-by-pixel or region of interest based estimation of kinetic features, by means of a non-linear regression. The latter has been introduced to better correlate quantitative model-based features with physiological tissue properties. Kim et al.55 showed that average Ktrans (a parameter associated to contrast agent transfer constant between plasma to extracellular extravascular space) had a large decrease after pCRT; this decrease was linked with a good therapeutic response in LARC. However, being influenced by many variables and since many different models are present in the literature, the quantitative approach still suffers from high output variability, poor clinical consistency and reproducibility.²⁰ Quantitative analysis findings in the therapy response assessment using 3T scanners are more encouraging as Intven et al. and Lim et al. have reported in their studies.56-57

To overcome previous problems several authors⁵⁸⁻⁶¹ performed semi-quantitative analysis. Lavini et al.59, in order to discriminate benign and malignant pixels, used the following features: maximum signal difference, time to peak, maximum slope of increase, relative final slope and initial signal. Tuncbilek et al.⁶⁰ demonstrated that time to peak, wash-in intercept and maximum enhancement were strongly correlated to micro vessel density. Petrillo et al.53 investigated a semi-quantitative analysis with a piecewise linear fitting and they individuated a combination of two TIC descriptors named Standardized Index of Shape (SIS). This latter is a linear weighted combination of relative change of maximum signal difference (Δ MSD) and relative change of wash-out slope (ΔWOS).⁵³ This index reached a sensitivity of 93.5% and a specificity of 82.1% with relevant gains respect to ΔMSD (+20.1% in sensitivity and +11.7% in specificity) and ΔWOS (+13.1% in sensitivity and + 4.3% in specificity) alone. Moreover, the standardized index of shape improved negative predictive value to 88.5% and positive predictive value to 89.6%.

Because many of the conducted studies are relatively small and study design is very heterogeneous, the evidence on DCE-MRI is rather inconsistent. Therefore, future research should aim at increasing sample sizes and standardization of imaging techniques and analyses.⁶¹

Diffusion weighted imaging

The use of DWI into a standard MR protocol is progressively increasing thanks to its capability in the tumour detection, characterization as well as its potentiality in the monitoring and in the pre-

diction of treatment response.8-12,62-65 By means of DWI data analysis is possible to estimate water molecules mobility that is related to cell density, vascularity, viscosity of extracellular fluid and cell membrane integrity.12 By measuring these properties with apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) and other diffusion coefficients characteristics of intravoxel incoherent motion, the DWI could be used as an imaging biomarker to better select patients with reduced prognosis who will benefit from a more aggressive neoadjuvant treatment.8-12 It was demonstrated that ADC values in LARC correlate with prognostic factors including the mesorectal fascia status, the nodal stage and the histological differentiation grade.^{8,40,62} There are several ways to analyse DWI data including visual evaluation, volumetric assessment, and ADC measurements. Visual DWI evaluation has been shown to improve the MRI performance to differentiate between patients with and without residual tumour after pCRT. Another approach is to measure the volume before and after therapy. Ha et al. reported that DWI tumour volumetry offered the best results to predict the complete response to chemoradiation treatment.¹¹ Furthermore, Sathyakumar et al.19 demonstrated that DWI visual assessment post therapy and DWI tumour volume reduction were the best predictors of complete pathological response. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy of DWI visual assessment to predict complete response were 81.8%, 94.3%, 75%, 96.1% and 76% respectively. Sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of tumour volume reduction (cut off value 95%) were 80%, 84.1% and 64.1%, respectively.

ADC measure (before, during, and after therapy) is the most widely studied approach to assess therapy response. Increases in ADC values after treatment are linked to decreases in tissue cellularity and thus it provides indirect evaluation of chemotherapy induced cell death. Kim et al.62 demonstrated that the addition of DWI to standard MRI protocol yields better diagnostic accuracy than use of conventional MRI alone in the evaluation of pathological complete response. Marouf et al.63 reported for conventional MRI a sensitivity of 60% and specificity of 33% with overall diagnostic accuracy of 46.5% in the assessment of T stage. Overall diagnostic accuracy increased adding DWI to 83.5% with the 87% of sensitivity and 80% of specificity. N stage prediction by conventional MRI had 74% of sensitivity and 80% of specificity with an overall accuracy of 78%. Overall accuracy to predict N stage increased adding DWI to 83%.

However, the evidence regarding the use of pre, during and post treatment ADC measurements to assess tumour response has so far been inconsistent, which is also related to the fact that ADC measurement are influenced by variations in MR scanner hardware, field strength, acquisition protocols and measurement methods. Lack of standardization hampers the implementation of ADC in clinical practice and should be the focus of future studies.⁶¹

PET/CT

PET/CT is constantly increasing in rectal cancer management for its ability to predict treatment response.⁵⁰ Avallone et al.⁵⁰ reported that early changes (12 days after the pCRT beginning) of the standardized uptake maximum value (ΔSUVmax) were predictive of pathological response with an optimal threshold value of -42.0% and an accuracy of 93.0%. In this study, the authors also observed that the findings obtained from late pre surgical PET/CT scans showed lower accuracy in predicting of pathologic response. Leccisotti et al.70 analysed the metabolic activity modifications by PET/ CT during and after pCRT in 124 patients with LARC demonstrating that the areas under ROC curve of the early response index to detect noncomplete pathological response was 0.74 (optimal cut-off of Δ SUVmax was 61.2%). On the contrary, the optimal cut-off for the late response index was not being found. Niccoli-Asabella et al.71 reported similar findings, with an area under ROC curve for Δ SUVmax of 0.67. Therefore, the literature data were discordant detecting in general the poor accuracy of late metabolic response to predict pathological response in LARC.

Systematic review

The review is the result of autonomous studies without protocol and registration number.

Search criterion

Several electronic database were searched: PubMed (US National Library of Medicine, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed), Scopus (Elsevier, http:// www.scopus.com/), Web of Science (Thomson Reuters, http://apps.webofknowledge.com/) and Google Scholar (https://scholar.google.it/). The following search criteria have been used: "rectal cancer" AND "diffusion magnetic resonance imaging" AND "response", "rectal cancer" AND "dynamic





DCE-MRI = dynamic contrast enhanced MRI; DWI = diffusion weighted imaging

contrast enhanced magnetic resonance imaging" AND "response", "rectal cancer" AND "positron emission tomography" AND "response", "rectal cancer" AND "multimodal imaging" AND "response". In order to cover the last twelve years of the recent oncologic research literature, the research covered the years from 2005 through 2016. Moreover, the reference lists of the found papers were analysed for papers not indexed in the electronic databases.

All titles and abstracts were analysed and exclusively the studies reporting morphological MRI, DCE-MRI, DWI or PET/CT results in the preoperative therapy response assessment for LARC were retained.

If not otherwise stated, all the studies reviewed herein fulfil the following criteria: English language; thorough clinical characterization of the patients with rectal cancer studied by means morphological MRI, DCE-MRI, DWI and PET/CT to discriminate responders versus non responders to pCRT and exclusion of studies using other diagnostic techniques; articles, reviews and studies that did not present data about specificity, sensibility, positive and negative predictive value of tests treated were excluded; articles, reviews and studies that did not present data about specificity, sensibility, positive and negative predictive value of tests treated were excluded; reviews, general overview articles and congress abstracts were excluded. There was not defining a minimum number of patients as inclusion criteria due to the small number of studies for each imaging modality. Information extracted from each study included

 TABLE 1. Number of studies and participants for each diagnostic modality

Diagnostic modality	Studies	Participants
MRI	6	329
DCE-MRI	6	340
DWI	4	133
PET/CT	7	366
MULTIMODAL IMAGING	2	70

 $\mathsf{DCE}\text{-}\mathsf{MRI}$ = dynamic contrast enhanced MRI; DWI = diffusion weighted imaging

title, authors, year of publication, sample size, diagnostic modality and approach, reference standard, true and false positives number, true and false negatives number.

Data analysis

Review Manager (version 5.2) was used to perform data analysis for systematic review. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic review was used.⁷⁵

True and false positives number, true and false negatives number for each paper were collected and used to obtain the forest plots reporting the sensitivity, specificity values and relative 95% confidence intervals. ROC curves were also constructed. Moreover, to assess the quality and bias risk of diagnostic accuracy studies included in the review was used QUADAS-2 tool.⁷⁶

Results

By using the search terms described earlier, we identified 309 studies from 2005 through 2016. One hundred eight studies used other diagnostic techniques than morphological MRI, DCE-MRI, DWI and PET/CT; 98 had different topic respect to presurgery therapy assessment; 78 were excluded for insufficient data (absence of sensibility and specificity value). Twenty-five studies remained for inclusion in our systematic review (Figure 2).

Table 1 shows the number of included studies and the overall number of participants grouped by diagnostic modality.

Details regarding the number of patients, imaging modality, the accuracy values and examined parameters were recorded. Table 2 summarizes the main characteristics of the examined methodologies in LARC studies.

MRI

Study Barbaro et al. 2009 MRI Denecke et al. 2005 MRI Dresen et al. 2009 MRI - Moph Intven et al. 2015 MRI - Relative Petrillo et al. 2015 MRI Petrillo et al. 2015 MRI DCE-MRI	olog e Vo	jical lumi	+ Vo B	olume	etric	TP 32 11 16 8 35 12	FP 2 1 8 18 4	FN 5 8 2 14 2	TN 10 5 42 33 39 11	Sensitivity 0.86 (0 0.69 (0 0.67 (0 0.80 (0 0.71 (0 0.86 (0	(95% Cl) .71, 0.95] .41, 0.89] .45, 0.84] .44, 0.97] .57, 0.83] .57, 0.98]	Specificity (95% Cl) 0.63 [0.35, 0.85] 0.71 [0.29, 0.96] 0.98 [0.88, 1.00] 0.80 [0.65, 0.91] 0.68 [0.55, 0.80] 0.73 [0.45, 0.92]	Sensitivity (95% Cl)	Specificity (95% Cl)
Study Intven et al. 2015 DCE-MRI Kim et al. 2014 DCE-MRI Martens et al. 2015 DCE-MRI Petrillo et al. 2015 DCE-MRI - V Petrillo et al. 2015 DCE-MRI - S	visu: Rela	al In: tive '	spec Volu	ction Ime	TP 6 17 12 45 13 44	FP 7 7 2 3 5 13 3 1 4 4	FN 4 7 1 3 2 3	TN 40 19 14 45 13 23	Se	nsitivity (95 0.60 [0.26, 0.71 [0.49, 0.92 [0.64, 0.94 [0.83, 0.87 [0.60, 0.94 [0.82]	% CI) Sp 0.88] 0.87] 1.00] 0.99] 0.98] 0.99]	ecificity (95% Cl) 0.98 (0.87, 1.00) 0.73 (0.52, 0.88) 0.82 (0.57, 0.96) 0.78 (0.65, 0.87) 0.93 (0.66, 1.00) 0.85 (0.66, 0.96)	Sensitivity (95% Cl)	Specificity (95% Cl)
DWI	010				44	, 4	J	20		0.34 [0.02,	0.55]	0.00 [0.00, 0.00]		
Study Birlik et al. 2015 DWI Ippolito et al. 2012 DWI Monguzzi et al. 2013 DWI - ADO Petrillo et al. 2015 DWI PET/CT	С	TP 17 15 19 9	FP 4 3 1	FN 7 4 3 5	TN 15 7 6 14	Sens 0. 0. 0. 0.	itivit 71 ((79 ((86 ((64 ((y (95).49,).54,).65,).35,	% CI 0.87 0.94 0.97 0.87	 I) Specifici 7] 0.79 4] 0.64 7] 0.67 7] 0.93 	ty (95% C (0.54, 0.94 (0.31, 0.85 (0.30, 0.95 (0.68, 1.04	3 1) 4] 9] 3] 0]	Sensitivity (95% Cl)	Specificity (95% Cl)
Study Altini et al. 2015 PET/CT Capirci et al. 2009 PET/CT Ippolito et al. 2012 PET/CT Murcia et al. 2013 PET/CT Palma et al. 2010 PET/CT Sun et al. 2012 PET/CT Yoon et al. 2013 PET/CT	TP 20 32 15 23 9 14 14	FP 8 4 1 9 3 6	FN 3 4 3 11 3 2	TN 41 35 7 14 21 15 39	Sens 0 0 0 0 0 0	sitivity 1.87 (0 1.84 (0 1.79 (0 1.88 (0 1.45 (0 1.82 (0 1.88 (0	/ (95 1.66, 1.69, 1.54, 1.57, 1.62,	% CI) 0.97] 0.94] 0.98] 0.98] 0.68] 0.96] 0.98]	S	pecificity (9: 0.91 [0.79 0.81 [0.67 0.64 [0.31 0.93 [0.68 0.70 [0.51 0.83 [0.59 0.87 [0.73	5% Cl) , 0.98] , 0.92] , 0.89] , 1.00] , 0.85] , 0.96] , 0.95]		Sensitivity (95% Cl)	Specificity (95% Cl)
Study Intven et al. 2015 MULTIMODAI Marouf et al. 2015 MULTIMODA	L IM/ AL IN	AGIN /IAGI	1G NG	TP 8 و	• FP 3 1 3 1	FN 1 2	TN 41 7	Sen (sitiv).89).82	rity (95% Cl) [0.52, 1.00] [0.48, 0.98]	Specific 0.98 0.88	c ity (95% Cl) 3 (0.87, 1.00) 3 (0.47, 1.00)	Sensitivity (95% Cl)	Specificity (95% Cl)

FIGURE 3. Forest plot subdivide for imaging modality including sensitivity and specificity estimates and their confidence intervals (95%).

CI = confidence interval; FN = false negative; FP = false positive; SIS = standardized index of shape; TN = true negative; TP = true positive

Figure 3 reports the values of true positive (TP), false positive (FP), false negative (FN), true negative (TN), sensitivity and specificity estimates and their confidence intervals (95%) for each study, subdivided according to the diagnostic modality used for therapy response assessment in LARC. Figure 4 shows ROC for each diagnostic modality.

Table 3 reports the diagnostic performance for each imaging modality in terms of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value.

Figure 5 shows the bias risk and applicability analysis results. A very low risk of bias was present for the studies included in this systematic review.



FIGURE 4. Estimated summary ROC curves and original data points for imaging techniques.

DCE-MRI = dynamic contrast enhanced MRI; DWI = diffusion weighted imaging

Imaging modality	Authors	Approach	N. patients	Gold standard
	Barbaro et al.69	Score system	53	TNM
MRI	Denecke et al.46	Morphologic criteria	23	TNM
	Dresen et al.45	Morphologic + volumetric criteria	67	TNM
	Intven et al.56	Relative volume	51	TRG
	Petrillo et al. ⁵²	Score system	106	TRG
	Petrillo et al.64	Relative volume	29	TRG
	Intven et al. ⁵⁶	Relative Ktrans	51	TRG
	Kim et al.55	Relative Ktrans	50	TNM
	Martens et al.67	TIC slope	30	TRG
DCE-MRI	Petrillo et al.52	TIC visual inspection	106	TRG
	Petrillo et al.64	Relative volume	29	TRG
	Petrillo et al.53	Standardized index of shape	74	TRG
DWI	Birlik et al.65	ADC	43	TRG
	Ippolito et al.40	ADC	30	TRG
	Monguzzi et al.68	ADC	31	TRG
	Petrillo et al.64	Relative volume	29	TRG
MULTIMODAL IMAGING	Intven et al. ⁵⁶	Relative volume + relative Ktrans	51	TRG
	Marouf et al. ⁶³	MRI + DWI Score system	19	TNM
PET/CT	Altini et al. ³⁶	SUV	68	TRG
	Capirci et al.42	SUV	81	TRG
	Ippolito et al. ⁴⁰	SUV	30	TRG
	Murcia et al.43	SUV	41	TRG
	Sun et al.41	Total lesion glycolysis	35	TRG
	Yoon et al.66	Dual-point index	61	TRG
	Palma et al. ⁷³	SUV	50	TRG

TABLE 2. Main characteristics summary of included studies in the systematic review: for each study the table reports imaging modality used; number of patients examined; parameters examined

ADC = apparent diffusion coefficient; DWI = diffusion weighted imaging; SUV = standardized uptake value; TIC = time intensity curve; TRG = tumour regression grade

Discussions

The objective of this systematic review was to evaluate the different imaging modalities (morphological MRI, DWI, DCE-MRI, PET/CT and multimodal imaging) in LARC management after pCRT. We collected the current evidence of the role of functional MRI and PET/CT in the assessment of pathological response after pCRT in LARC. The objective was linked to the potentiality of imaging to guide surgeon choice. In fact, patients with substantial (partial response) tumour regression after pCRT could be candidate to conservative strategy while patients reporting a complete response could be subjected to a "wait and see" policy. The advantage is the reduction of morbidity and the possibility to provide a "true" organ-sparing approach.

Our results, using a systematic review of literature and the ROC curves analysis, showed that multimodal imaging combining morphological and functional might achieve better results having the best accuracy in term of sensitivity and specificity (85% and 96%, respectively). However, it should be noted that only two studies have been retrieved from the literature for a total number of only 70 participants subjected to multimodal MRI examination.^{56,63} Intven *et al.*⁵⁶ demonstrated on 51 patients with LARC that both the post therapy tumour volume and post therapy Ktrans values and their relative changes were predictive for patho-

TABLE 3. Performance pooled analysis for MRI, diffusion weighted imaging (DWI), dynamic contrast enhanced MRI (DCE-MRI), PET/CT and multimodal imaging

Performance Pooled Analysis	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive predictive value	Negative predictive value	Accuracy
MRI	75,84	78,21	74,34	79,55	77,13
DCE-MRI	87,18	84,15	82,42	88,51	85,55
DWI	75,95	79,25	84,51	68,85	77,27
PET/CT	80,25	83,08	79,27	83,92	81,82
MULTIMODAL IMAGING	85,00	96,08	89,47	94,23	92,96

DCE-MRI = dynamic contrast enhanced MRI; DWI = diffusion weighted imaging

logical response. For the relative Ktrans, Intven *et al.*⁵⁶ reported a positive predictive value of 100% (with a Ktrans cut-off of 32%) to discriminate good responders. However, for pathological complete response, the best positive predictive value was 80% obtained with a multiparameter model of relative volume and relative Ktrans. Marouf *et al.*⁶³ reported an increase of diagnostic accuracy for the combination of morphological MRI and DWI from 84.2% to 94.7%. Although the number of patients is relatively small multimodal imaging seems to give promising results whose reliability is to be confirmed in future studies.

Moreover, DCE-MRI following to PET/CT showed a high diagnostic accuracy (sensitivity 87% and 80% respectively, specificity 84% and 83% respectively) and their results are also more reliable than conventional MRI and DWI alone (Figure 3 and 4). Instead, for morphological MRI alone, the sensitivity was of 76% and specificity of 78%. For DWI, the sensitivity was of 76% and specificity was of 79%. Our findings are comparable with recent meta-analysis that indicated that addition of DWI to standard MRI in a multimodal approach improves the sensitivity for T-staging after pCRT from 50% to 84%.¹²

Instead, Ippolito *et al.*⁴⁰ reported that the best predictors cut-off values for tumour regression grade (TRG) response were for PET/CT SUVmax of 4.4 and for ADC of 1.28×10³ mm²s⁻¹. ADC obtained sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, negative and positive predictive values of 77.3%, 88.9%, 80.7%, 61.5%, and 94.4%, respectively.

However, PET/CT showed an inferior diagnostic accuracy in comparison of DCE-MRI in pre-surgical assessment of therapy response in LARC but it had a high predict value in the early evaluation of therapy response. The early response assessment by PET/CT was a predictor of non-complete



FIGURE 5. Assessment of bias risk and applicability analysis.

pathological therapy response allowing practical modification of treatment.

Kim *et al.*⁷² revealed that SUVmax post threapy had a sensitivity of 60.4%, a specificity of 65.0%, and an accuracy of 55.9% to discriminate pathological complete response. Palma *et al.*⁷³ reported that maximum Δ SUVmax had a sensitivity of 45.0%, a specificity of 67.0%, and an accuracy of 89.0% while Altini *et al.*³⁶ shown a sensitivity of 87.0%, a specificity of 70.0% and an accuracy of 60.0%. Similar results were also observed in others advanced cancers such as esophageal cancer.⁷⁴ On the contrary, late response index was not sufficiently precise to guide the surgeon choice versus radical or local excision or versus a "wait and see" strategy.^{50,70-73}

As well as PET/CT, DWI technology can be efficient for predicting pathological complete response in LARC⁷⁷⁻⁸⁰ but inefficient to assess late response in pre-surgical phase. Chen *et al.*⁷⁹ reported DWI sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy of 60%, 64%, 60%, 60%, and 60%, respectively, in pathological complete response discrimination using a cut-off value of 0.866×10⁻³ mm²/s for pre-treatment ADC value. Using a cut-off value for ADC percentage change of 58% the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and accuracy were 80%, 76%, 77%, 79% and 78%, respectively.⁷⁹ Moreover, the mean pre-treatment

tumour ADC correlates with the degree of tumour response after therapy and patients who respond to treatment seem to have a lower ADC at presentation than do those who do not respond.⁵ The association between high tumour ADC and poor response is consistent with the known relationship between necrosis and poor response to cancer treatment. However, both PET/CT and DWI had an important role in therapy prediction and in early therapy response assessment but showed a low accuracy in pre-surgical therapy evaluation.

Therefore, multimodal assessment combining different imaging modalities might be the best option for local restaging of locally advanced rectal cancer after CRT in pre-surgical phase.⁸¹ According to this theory, recently Ippolito *et al.*⁸² reported that the functional imaging combining ADC and SUVmax in a single analysis permits to detect changes in cellular tissue structures useful for the assessment of tumour response after the neoadjuvant therapy in rectal cancer, increasing the sensitivity in correct depiction of treatment response than either method alone.

A number of limitations of this analysis must be recognized. Most papers report on a limited number of patients and heterogeneity within the included studies with respect to patient selection, neoadjuvant treatment and imaging protocols and analyses. This pooled analysis should be regarded as an indicator of the general performance of functional MRI and PET/CT in the therapy response assessment. Validation and implementation in a multicenter setting are still awaited. Standardization of MRI acquisition protocols and data post processing approaches is mandatory to guarantee results reproducibility. Multicenter studies using large patient populations are needed to validate the role of functional imaging in order to identify those patients who may benefit from a less aggressive therapeutic approach after CRT.

We can conclude that in local staging, morphological MRI is superior respect to CT and PET/CT permitting a correct assessment of the disease extent, of the lymph node involvement, of the mesorectal fascia and of the sphincter complex for surgical planning. On the other side, in restaging for therapy response assessment, Multimodal MRI followed by DCE-MRI seem to give more promising results respect to PET/CT, DWI and conventional MRI. Multimodal Imaging including morphological and functional MRI and DCE-MRI alone could allow to better discriminate responder by non responders patients after neoadjuvant therapy with a high diagnostic accuracy. In the future, the scientific research should be focused on the integration and combination of functional imaging modalities including also clinical data and molecular biomarkers. A greater number of studies should be performed in the future for each modality to improve the reliability of any conclusion.

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. CA Cancer J Clin 2016; 66: 7-30. doi:10.3322/caac.21332
- Folkman J. Tumour angiogenesis: therapeutic implications. N Engl J Med 1971; 285: 1182-86. doi:10.1056/NEJM197111182852108
- Choi HJ, Hyun MS, Jung GJ, Kim SS, Hong SH. Tumor angiogenesis as a prognostic predictor in colorectal carcinoma with special reference to mode of metastasis and recurrence. *Oncology* 1998; 55: 575-81.
- Dvorak HF, Brown LF, Detmar M, Dvorak AM. Vascular permeability factor/ vascular endothelial growth factor, microvascular hyperpermeability, and angiogenesis. Am J Pathol 1995; 146: 1029-39.
- Dzik-Jurasz A, Domenig C, George M, Wolber J, Padhani A, Brown G, et al. Diffusion MRI for prediction of response of rectal cancer to chemoradiation. *Lancet* 2002; 360: 307-8. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(02)09520-X
- Devries AF, Griebel J, Kremser C, Judmaier W, Gneiting T, Kreczy A, et al. Tumor microcirculation evaluated by dynamic magnetic resonance imaging predicts therapy outcome for primary rectal carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 2001; 61: 2513-6.
- DeVries AF, Kremser C, Hein PA, Griebel J, Krezcy A, Ofner D, et al. Tumor microcirculation and diffusion predict therapy outcome for primary rectal carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2003; 56: 958-65.
- Foti PV, Privitera G, Piana S, Palmucci S, Spatola C, Bevilacqua R, et al. Locally advanced rectal cancer: qualitative and quantitative evaluation of diffusion-weighted MR imaging in the response assessment after neoadjuvant chemo-radiotherapy. *Eur J Radiol Open* 2016; **3**: 145-52. doi:10.1016/j. ejro.2016.06.003
- Koh DM, Collins DJ. Diffusion-weighted MRI in the body: applications and challenges in oncology. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2007; 188: 1622-35. doi:10.2214/AJR.06.1403
- Padhani AR, Liu G, Koh DM, Chenevert TL, Thoeny HC, Takahara T, et al. Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging as a cancer biomarker: consensus and recommendations. *Neoplasia* 2009; **11**: 102-25.
- Ha HI, Kim AY, Yu CS, Park SH, Ha HK. Locally advanced rectal cancer: diffusion-weighted MR tumour volumetry and the apparent diffusion coefficient for evaluating complete remission after preoperative chemoradiation therapy. *Eur Radiol* 2013; 23: 3345-53. doi:10.1007/s00330-013-2936-5
- van der Paardt MP, Zagers MB, Beets-Tan RG, Stoker J, Bipat S. Patients who undergo preoperative chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced rectal cancer restaged by using diagnostic MR imaging: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Radiology* 2013; 269: 101-12. doi:10.1148/radiol.13122833
- Avallone A, Delrio P, Guida C, Tatangelo F, Petrillo A, Marone P, et al. Biweekly oxaliplatin, raltitrexed, 5-fluorouracil and folinic acid combination chemotherapy during preoperative radiation therapy for locally advanced rectal cancer: a phase I-II study. *Brit J Cancer* 2006; **94**: 1809-15. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6603195
- Avallone A, Delrio P, Pecori B, Tatangelo F, Petrillo A, Scott N, et al. Oxaliplatin plus dual inhibition of thymidilate synthase during preoperative pelvic radiotherapy for locally advanced rectal carcinoma: long-term outcome. *Int J Radiat Oncol* 2011; **79**: 670-6. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.12.007
- van Gijn W, Marijnen CA, Nagtegaal ID, Kranenbarg EM, Putter H, Wiggers T, et al; Dutch Colorectal Cancer Group. Preoperative radiotherapy combined with total mesorectal excision for resectable rectal cancer: 12-year followup of the multicentre, randomised controlled TME trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2011; 12: 575-82. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(11)70097-3

- Vermaas M, Ferenschild FT, Nuyttens JJ, Marinelli AW, Wiggers T, van der Sijp JR, et al. Preoperative radiotherapy improves outcome in recurrent rectal cancer. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2005; **48:** 918-28. doi:10.1007/s10350-004-0891-6
- Gérard JP, Conroy T, Bonnetain F, Bouché O, Chapet O, Closon-Dejardin MT, et al. Preoperative radiotherapy with or without concurrent fluorouracil and leucovorin in T3–4 rectal cancers: results of FFCD 9203. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24: 4620-5. doi:10.1200/JCO.2006.06.7629
- Bosset JF, Collette L, Calais G, Mineur L, Maingon P, Radosevic-Jelic L, et al; EORTC Radiotherapy Group Trial 22921. Chemotherapy with preoperative radiotherapy in rectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2006; 355: 1114-23. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa060829
- Sathyakumar K, Chandramohan A, Masih D, Jesudasan MR, Pulimood A, Eapen A. Best MRI predictors of complete response to neoadjuvant chemoradiation in locally advanced rectal cancer. *Br J Radiol* 2016; 89: 20150328. doi:10.1259/bjr.20150328
- Willett CG, Boucher Y, di Tomaso E, Duda DG, Munn LL, Tong RT, et al. Direct evidence that the VEGF-specific antibody bevacizumab has antivascular effects in human rectal cancer. Nat Med 2004; 10: 145-7. doi:10.1038/nm988
- Vermaas M, Ferenschild FT, Verhoef C, Nuyttens JJ, Marinelli AW, Wiggers T, et al. Total pelvic exenteration for primary locally advanced and locally recurrent rectal cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2007; 33: 452-8. doi:10.1016/j. ejso.2006.09.021
- Wiig JN, Poulsen JP, Larsen S, Braendengen M, Waehre H, Giercksky KE. Total pelvic exenteration with preoperative irradiation for advanced primary and recurrent rectal cancer. *Eur J Surg* 2002; **168**: 42-8. doi:10.1080/110241502317307562
- Luna-Perez P, Delgado S, Labastida S, Ortiz N, Rodriguez D, Herrera L. Patterns of recurrence following pelvic exenteration and external radiotherapy for locally advanced primary rectal adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 1996; **3**: 526-33.
- Delrio P, Avallone A, Guida C, Lastoria S, Tatangelo F, Cascini GM, et al. Multidisciplinary approach to locally advanced rectal cancer: results of a single institution trial. *Suppl Tumori* 2005; 4: S8.
- Delrio P, Lastoria S, Avallone A, Ravo V, Guida C, Cremona F, et al. Early evaluation using PET-FDG of the efficiency of neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy treatment in locally advanced neoplasia of the lower rectum. *Tumori* 2003; 89(4 Suppl): 50-3.
- Petrillo A, Catalano O, Delrio P, Avallone A, Guida C, Filice S, et al. Posttreatment fistulas in patients with rectal cancer: MRI with rectal superparamagnetic contrast agent. *Abdom Imaging* 2007; **32**: 328-31. doi:10.1007/ s00261-006-9028-9
- 27. Pecori B, Lastoria S, Caracò C, Celentani M, Tatangelo F, Avallone A, et al. Sequential PET/CT with [18F]-FDG predicts pathological tumor response to preoperative short course radiotherapy with delayed Surgery in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer using logistic regression analysis. *PLoS One 2017*; **12**: e0169462. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169462
- Sansone M, Fusco R, Petrillo A, Petrillo M, Bracale M. An expectation-maximisation approach for simultaneous pixel classification and tracer kinetic modelling in dynamic contrast enhanced-magnetic resonance imaging. *Med Biol Eng Comput* 2011; 49: 485-95. doi:10.1007/s11517-010-0695-x
- Fusco R, Sansone M, Petrillo M, Antonella Petrillo. Influence of parameterization on tracer kinetic modeling in DCE-MRI. J Med Biol Eng 2012; 34: 157-63. doi:10.5405/jmbe.1097
- Fusco R, Sansone M, Maffei S, Petrillo A. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI in breast cancer: a comparison between distributed and compartmental tracer kinetic models. J Biomed Graph Comput 2012; 2: 23. doi:10.5430/ jbgc.v2n2p23
- Gunderson LL, Sargent DJ, Tepper JE, O'Connell MJ, Allmer C, Smalley SR, et al. Impact of T and N substage on survival and disease relapse in adjuvant rectal cancer: a pooled analysis. Int J Radiat Oncol 2002; 54: 386-96.
- Gunderson LL, Sargent DJ, Tepper JE, Wolmark N, O'Connell MJ, Begovic M, et al. Impact of T and N stage and treatment on survival and relapse in adjuvant rectal cancer: a pooled analysis. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22: 1785-96. doi:10.1200/JCO.2004.08.173
- Goh V, Padhani AR, Rasheed S. Functional imaging of colorectal cancer angiogenesis. *Lancet Oncol* 2007; 8: 245-55. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(07)70075-X

- Kremser C, Trieb T, Rudisch A, Judmaier W, de Vries A. Dynamic t(1) mapping predicts outcome of chemoradiation therapy in primary rectal carcinoma: sequence implementation and data analysis. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2007; 26: 662-71. doi:10.1002/jmri.21034
- Beets-Tan RG, Beets GL. Rectal cancer: review with emphasis on MR imaging. Radiology 2004; 232: 335-46. doi:10.1148/radiol.2322021326
- 36. Altini C, Niccoli Asabella A, De Luca R, Fanelli M, Caliandro C, Quartuccio N, et al. Comparison of (18)F-FDG PET/CT methods of analysis for predicting response to neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy in patients with locally advanced low rectal cancer. Abdom Imaging 2015; 40: 1190-202. doi:10.1007/s00261-014-0277-8
- Chen CC, Lee RC, Lin JK, Wang LW, Yang SH. How accurate is magnetic resonance imaging in restaging rectal cancer in patients receiving preoperative combined Chemoradiotherapy? *Dis Colon Rectum* 2005; **48:** 722-8. doi:10.1007/s10350-004-0851-1
- Capirci C, Rampin L, Erba PA, Galeotti, Crepaldi G, Banti E, et al. Sequential FDG-PET/CT reliably predicts response of locally advanced rectal cancer to neo-adjuvant chemo-radiation therapy. *Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2007; 34: 1583-93. doi:10.1007/s00259-007-0426-1
- Kristiansen C, Loft A, Berthelsen AK, Graff J, Lindebjerg J, Bisgaard C, et al. PET/CT and histopathologic response to preoperative chemoradiation therapy in locally advanced rectal cancer. *Dis Colon Rectum* 2008; **51**: 21-5. doi:10.1007/s10350-007-9095-1
- Ippolito D, Monguzzi L, Guerra L, Deponti E, Gardani G, Messa C, et al. Response to neoadjuvant therapy in locally advanced rectal cancer: assessment with diffusion-weighted MR imaging and 18FDG PET/CT. Abdom Imaging 2012; 37: 1032-40. doi:10.1007/s00261-011-9839-1
- Sun W, Xu J, Hu W, Zhang Z, Shen W. The role of sequential 18(F)-FDG PET/ CT in predicting tumour response after preoperative chemoradiation for rectal cancer. *Colorectal Dis* 2013; 15: e231-8. doi:10.1111/codi.12165
- 42. Capirci C, Rubello D, Pasini F, Galeotti F, Bianchini E, Del Favero G, et al. The role of dual-time combined 18-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission to-mography and computed tomography in the staging and restaging workup of locally advanced rectal cancer, treated with preoperative chemoradiation therapy and radical surgery. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2009; 74: 1461-9. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2008.10.064
- Murcia MJ, Duréndez L, Frutos Esteban J, Luján MD, Frutos G, Valero JL, et al. The value of 18F-FDG PET/CT for assessing the response to neoadjuvant therapy in locally advanced rectal cancer. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging*; 40: 91-7. doi:10.1007/s00259-012-2257-y
- Rosenberg R, Herrmann K, Gertler R, Künzli B, Essler M, Lordick F, et al. The predictive value of metabolic response to preoperative radiochemotherapy in locally advanced rectal cancer measured by PET/CT. Int J Colorectal Dis 2009; 24: 191-200. doi:10.1007/s00384-008-0616-8
- 45. Dresen RC, Beets GL, Rutten HJT, Engelen SME, Lahaye MJ, Vliegen RFA, et al. Locally advanced rectal cancer: MR imaging for restaging after neoadjuvant radiation therapy with concomitant chemotherapy Part I. Are we able to predict tumor confined to the rectal wall? *Radiology* 2009; 252: 71-80. doi:10.1148/radiol.2521081200
- 46. Denecke T, Rau B, Hoffmann KT, Hildebrandt B, Ruf J, Gutberlet M, et al. Comparison of CT, and FDG-PET in response prediction of patients with locally advanced rectal cancer after multimodal preoperative therapy: is there a benefit in using functional imaging? *Eur Radiol* 2005; **15**: 1658-66. doi:10.1007/s00330-005-2658-4
- Beets-Tan RG, Beets GL, Borstlap AC, Oei TK, Teune TM, von Meyenfeldt MF, et al. Preoperative assessment of local tumour extent in advanced rectal cancer: CT or high- resolution MRI? *Abdom Imaging* 2000; 25: 533-41. doi:10.1107/s002610000086
- Wiering B, Ruers TJ, Oyen WJ. Role of FDG_PET in the diagnosis and treatment of colorectal liver metastases. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2004; 4: 607-13. doi:10.1586/14737140.4.4.607
- Park IJ, Kim HC, Yu CS, Ryu MH, Chang HM, Kim JH, et al. Efficacy of PET/CT in the accurate evaluation of primary colorectal carcinoma. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2006; **32**: 941-7. doi:10.1016/j.ejso.2006.05.019
- Avallone A, Aloj L, Caracò C, Delrio P, Pecori B, Tatangelo F, et al. Early FDG PET response assessment of preoperative radiochemotherapy in locally advanced rectal cancer: correlation with long-term outcome. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2012; 39: 1848-57. doi:10.1007/s00259-012-2229-2

- Avallone A, Aloj L, Delrio P, Pecori B, Leone A, Tatangelo F, et al. Multidisciplinary approach to rectal cancer: are we ready for selective treatment strategies? *Anticancer Agents Med Chem* 2013; 13: 852-60.
- Petrillo A, Fusco R, Petrillo M, Granata V, Filice S, Delrio P, et al. Dynamic contrast enhanced-MRI in locally advanced rectal cancer: value of time intensity curve visual inspection to assess neo-adjuvant therapy response. J Physiol Health Photon 2014; 110: 255-67.
- Petrillo A, Fusco R, Petrillo M, Granata V, Sansone M, Avallone A, et al. Standardized index of shape (SIS): a quantitative DCE-MRI parameter to discriminate responders by non-responders after neoadjuvant therapy in LARC. Eur Radiol 2015; 25: 1935-45. doi:10.1007/s00330-014-3581-3
- Fusco R, Petrillo A, Petrillo M, Sansone M. Use of tracer kinetic models for selection of semi-quantitative features for DCE-MRI data classification. *Appl Magn Reson* 2013; 44: 1311-24. doi:10.1007/s00723-013-0481-7
- Kim SH, Lee JM, Gupta SN, Han JK, Choi BI. Dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI to evaluate the therapeutic response to neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy in locally advanced rectal cancer. J Magn Reson Imaging 2014; 40: 730-7. doi:10.1002/imri.24387
- Intven M, Reerink O, Philippens ME. Dynamic contrast enhanced MR imaging for rectal cancer response assessment after neo-adjuvant chemoradiation. J Magn Reson Imaging 2015; 41: 1646-53. doi:10.1002/jmri.24718
- Lim JS, Kim D, Baek SE, Myoung S, Choi J, Shin SJ, et al. Perfusion MRI for the prediction of treatment response after preoperative chemoradiotherapy in locally advanced rectal cancer. *Eur Radiol* 2012; 22: 1693-700. doi:10.1007/ s00330-012-2416-3
- Guo JY, Reddick WE. DCE-MRI pixel-by-pixel quantitative curve pattern analysis and its application to osteosarcoma. J Magn Reson Imaging 2009; 30: 177-84. doi:10.1002/jmri.21785
- Lavini C, de Jonge MC, van de Sande MG, Tak PP, Nederveen AJ, Maas M. Pixel-by-pixel analysis of DCE MRI curve patterns and an illustration of its application to the imaging of the musculoskeletal system. *Magn Reson Imaging* 2007; 25: 604-12. doi:10.1016/j.mri.2006.10.021
- Tuncbilek N, Karakas HM, Altaner S. Dynamic MRI in indirect estimation of microvessel density, histologic grade, and prognosis in colorectal adenocarcinomas. Abdom Imaging 2004; 29: 166-72. doi:10.1007/s00261-003-0090-2
- Lambregts DM, Maas M, Stokkel MP, Beets-Tan RG. Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Other Imaging Modalities in Diagnostic and Tumor Response Evaluation. Semin Radiat Oncol 2016; 26: 193-8. doi:10.1016/j.semradonc.2016.02.001
- Kim SH, Lee JM, Hong SH, Kim GH, Lee JY, Han JK, et al. Locally advanced rectal cancer: added value of diffusion-weighted MR imaging in the evaluation of tumor response to neoadjuvant chemo- and radiation therapy. *Radiology* 2009; 253: 116-25. doi:10.1148/radiol.2532090027
- Marouf RA, Tadrosa MY, Ahmedb TY. Value of diffusion-weighted MR imaging in assessing response of neoadjuvant chemo and radiation therapy in locally advanced rectal cancer. *EJRNM* 2015; 46: 553-61. doi:10.1016/ j.ejrnm.2015.03.005
- Petrillo M, Fusco R, Catalano O, Sansone M, Avallone A, Delrio P, et al. MRI for assessing response to neoadjuvant therapy in locally advanced rectal cancer using DCE-MR and DW-MR data sets: a preliminary report. *Biomed Res Int* 2015; 2015: 514740. doi:10.1155/2015/514740
- Birlik B, Obuz F, Elibol FD, Celik AO, Sokmen S, Terzi C, et al. Diffusionweighted MRI and MR- volumetry - in the evaluation of tumor response after preoperative chemoradiotherapy in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer. *Magn Reson Imaging* 2015; 33: 201-12. doi:10.1016/j. mri.2014.08.041
- Yoon HJ, Kim SK, Kim TS, Im HJ, Lee ES, Kim HC, et al. New application of dual point 18F-FDG PET/CT in the evaluation of neoadjuvant chemoradiation response of locally advanced rectal cancer. *Clin Nucl Med* 2013; **38:** 7-12. doi:10.1097/RLU.0b013e3182639a58
- Martens MH, Subhani S, Heijnen LA, Lambregts DM, Buijsen J, Maas M, et al. Can perfusion MRI predict response to preoperative treatment in rectal cancer? *Radiother Oncol* 2015; **114**: 218-23. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2014.11.044
- Monguzzi L, Ippolito D, Bernasconi DP, Trattenero C, Galimberti S, Sironi S. Locally advanced rectal cancer: value of ADC mapping in prediction of tumor response to radiochemotherapy. *Eur J Radiol* 2013; 82: 234-40. doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2012.09.027

- Barbaro B, Fiorucci C, Tebala C, Valentini V, Gambacorta MA, Vecchio FM, et al. Locally advanced rectal cancer: MR imaging in prediction of response after preoperative chemotherapy and radiation therapy. *Radiology* 2009; 250: 730-9. doi:10.1148/radiol.2503080310
- Leccisotti L, Gambacorta MA, de Waure C, Stefanelli A, Barbaro B, Vecchio FM, et al. The predictive value of 18F-FDG PET/CT for assessing pathological response and survival in locally advanced rectal cancer after neoadjuvant radiochemotherapy. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2015; 42: 657-66. doi:10.1007/s00259-014-2820-9
- Niccoli-Asabella A, Altini C, De Luca R, Fanelli M, Rubini D, Caliandro C, et al. Prospective analysis of 18F-FDG PET/CT predictive value in patients with low rectal cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy and conservative surgery. *Biomed Res Int* 2014; 2014: 952843. doi:10.1155/2014/952843
- Kim JW, Kim HC, Park JW, Park SC, Sohn DK, Choi HS, et al. Predictive value of (18)FDG PET-CT for tumour response in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer treated by preoperative chemoradiotherapy. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2013; 28: 1217-24. doi:10.1007/s00384-013-1657-1
- Palma P, Conde-Muíño R, Rodríguez-Fernández A, Segura-Jiménez I, Sánchez-Sánchez R, Martín-Cano J, et al. The value of metabolic imaging to predict tumour response after chemoradiation in locally advanced rectal cancer. *Radiat Oncol* 2010; 5: 119. doi:10.1186/1748-717X-5-119
- Wieder HA, Brücher BL, Zimmermann F, Becker K, Lordick F, Beer A, et al. Time course of tumor metabolic activity during chemoradiotherapy of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and response to treatment. J Clin Oncol 2004; 22: 900-8. doi:10.1200/JCO.2004.07.122
- Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ* 2009; **339**: b2700.
- Whiting PF, Rutjes AW, Westwood ME, Mallett S, Deeks JJ, Reitsma JB, et al; QUADAS-2 Group. QUADAS-2: a revised tool for the quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies. *Ann Intern Med* 2011; **155**: 529-36. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-155-8-201110180-00009
- Sathyakumar K, Chandramohan A, Masih D, Jesudasan MR, Pulimood A, Eapen A. Best MRI predictors of complete response to neoadjuvant chemoradiation in locally advanced rectal cancer. *Br J Radiol* 2016; 89: 20150328. doi:10.1259/bjr.20150328
- Jacobs L, Intven M, van Lelyveld N, Philippens M, Burbach M, Seldenrijk K, et al. Diffusion-weighted MRI for early prediction of treatment response on preoperative chemoradiotherapy for patients with locally advanced rectal cancer: a feasibility study. Ann Surg 2016; 263: 522-8. doi:10.1097/ SLA.000000000001311
- Chen YG, Chen MQ, Guo YY, Li SC, Wu JX, Xu BH. Apparent diffusion coefficient predicts pathology complete response of rectal cancer treated with neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy. *PLoS One* 2016; **11**: e0153944. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0153944
- Choi MH, Oh SN, Rha SE, Choi JI, Lee SH, Jang HS, et al. Diffusion-weighted imaging: apparent diffusion coefficient histogram analysis for detecting pathologic complete response to chemoradiotherapy in locally advanced rectal cancer. J Magn Reson Imaging 2016; 44: 212-20. doi:10.1002/ imri.25117
- Kye BH, Kim HJ, Kim G, Kim JG, Cho HM. Multimodal assessments are needed for restaging after neoadjunvant chemoradiation therapy in rectal cancer patients. *Cancer Res Treat* 2016; 48: 561-6. doi:10.4143/crt.2015.114
- Ippolito D, Fior D, Trattenero C, Ponti ED, Drago S, Guerra L, et al. Combined value of apparent diffusion coefficient-standardized uptake value max in evaluation of post-treated locally advanced rectal cancer. World J Radiol 2015; 7: 509-20. doi:10.4329/wjrv7.112.509