

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Effectiveness of Metoprolol in Improving Cardiac and Motor Functions in Patients with Chronic Heart Failure: A Prospective Study

This article was published in the following Dove Press journal:

Drug Design, Development and Therapy

XiaoLiang Cheng¹ Min Zhu² Qing Liu³ Zhenxia Feng² Yong Meng²

¹Department of Endocrinology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, Yunnan, People's Republic of China; ²Department of Cardiology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, Kunming, Yunnan, People's Republic of China; ³School of Public Health, Kunming Medical University, Kunming, Yunnan, People's Republic of China **Purpose:** To assess gender-, age-, and the dose-related influence of metoprolol on cardiac function, motor function, quality-of-life (QoL), and mental status in Chinese chronic heart failure (CHF) patients.

Patients and Methods: This single-center, prospective study enrolled CHF patients with resting heart rate (HR) >80 bpm and used metoprolol continuous release tablets. Patients were initiated with 12.5-mg metoprolol. All patients were assessed for change in cardiac function, motor function, QoL, and mental status according to gender (men vs women), age ($<60 \text{ vs} \ge 60 \text{ years}$), and metoprolol dose administered (47.5 mg [n=37], 71.25 mg [n=7], 118.75 [n=74], and 142.5 mg [n=19]).

Results: Overall, 154 CHF patients (101 men and 53 women), with median age 66.39 years, were enrolled. In total, 116 and 38 patients were aged ≥60 and <60 years, respectively. We observed a slight decrease in systolic blood pressure (SBP) in women compared with men. HR had increased with an increase in ejection fraction (EF) from baseline to 1 month (35.24 ±6.15 and 34.79±6.25) and increased to 50.00±4.45 and 50.72±4.09 among both the genders. Cardiac index (CI) and motor function had improved along with better QoL after metoprolol treatment in both the genders. In both age groups (<60 and ≥60 years), improvement in cardiac function, motor function, and QoL was observed; however, there was a difference in mental status. The dose effect of metoprolol on cardiac function, motor function, QoL, and mental status showed a gradual decrease in EF with dose increments, with no change in CI. Motor function, QoL, and mental status did not show much difference with uptitration of metoprolol dose.

Conclusion: Psychological responses to metoprolol treatment differ with gender, with no age-related changes in terms of cardiac function, motor function, QoL, or mental status, except increases in depression, burnout, and anxiety.

Keywords: metoprolol, chronic heart failure, quality-of-life, heart rate, psychological responses

Plain Language Summary

Chronic heart failure (CHF) is a global concern. The main choice of drug for treating CHF is a beta-blocker. Metoprolol is a beta-blocker that reduces the mortality rate and enhances the quality-of-life (QoL) of patients with CHF; however, it is reported to have central nervous system (CNS) side-effects including depression and anxiety. We conducted a study to observe the effect of metoprolol treatment on cardiac function, motor function, QoL, and mental status according to gender, age, and dose of metoprolol among Chinese patients with CHF. Our study enrolled 154 patients with CHF, including 101 men and 53 women, with

Correspondence: Yong Meng Email mengyong@kmmu.edu.cn

a median age of 66.39 years. With metoprolol treatment, we observed a slight decrease in systolic blood pressure in women compared with men. However, an increase in heart rate and ejection fraction was observed in both the genders. In addition, Improvement was noted in motor function and QoL. Irrespective of age, cardiac function, motor function, and QoL had improved, but an increase in depression and burnout was also noted. There was no significant difference in cardiac function, motor function, QoL, or mental status with an increase in metoprolol dose.

Introduction

Chronic heart failure (CHF) is emerging as a major global health problem associated with structural or functional alterations of the myocardium involving adrenergic receptor stimulation and adrenergic system activation, leading to myocardial fibrosis and remodeling. Despite advancements in the management of CHF, the risk of morbidity and mortality remains substantially high, with a prevalence of approximately 5.7 million in the US and is expected to increase to 8 million by 2030³ and over 4.2 million in China. Moreover, it puts a huge economic burden on the healthcare system as this chronic condition of CHF leads to poor quality-of-life (QoL)^{5,6} and loss of work productivity.

Beta-blockers remain the mainstay of treatment for patients with CHF because of their inherent property to counteract the sympathetic over-activity associated with left ventricular dysfunction, in addition to lowering the heart rate (HR), contractility, and blood pressure, thus lowering the mortality of CHF.^{2,8,9} The beneficial effects of beta-blockers are further supported by a meta-analysis of randomized control trials (RCTs) showing total reduction of mortality and heart failure-related sudden death in patients with CHF.^{10–14}

Metoprolol, a cardio-selective beta-blocker, has shown reduction in CHF mortality² and improved QoL and mobility. ^{12,15,16} However, this well-established beneficial effect of beta-blocker is associated with CNS side-effects such as depression ^{17–19} and anxiety, ²⁰ which are ultimately responsible for decreased QoL and increased risk of mortality among patients with CHF. ²¹ However, some studies show contrasting results, with no increase in depressive symptoms. ²²

In addition, it is unknown whether the neuropsychiatric adverse effects and increase in depression and anxiety in CHF are associated with metoprolol or are pre-existing but remain unnoticed during the initiation of the therapy, which places beta-blockers in a controversial position despite their well-established benefits. Furthermore, reduction in

spontaneous motor activity was observed with the use of beta-blockers, and preclinical studies have shown that psychological states such as depression and anxiety are known to alter the motor function.²³ We conducted the present study to add to the current knowledge on the effect of metoprolol treatment on cardiac function, motor function, QoL, and mental status considering gender-, age-, and dose-related impact of metoprolol on Chinese patients with CHF.

Patients and Methods

Study Design and Patient Population

This is a single-center, prospective study. The complete study design and patient inclusion criteria have been described elsewhere. In brief, all the enrolled CHF patients had a resting HR of >80 bpm, were with or without neuropsychiatric disorders such as depression and anxiety, and were treated at the Second Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University between February 2013 and April 2016. Patients were excluded if they had a resting HR <60 bpm, SBP <90 mm Hg, metoprolol usage in the past 3 months, contraindications for beta-blockers, administration of class I or class III antiarrhythmic agents, <6 months' expected survival, a pacemaker, a history of coronary bypass surgery, or a recent heart attack.

Ethical Approval

The Second Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical College University approved the study protocols and complied with Good Clinical Practices, the Declaration of Helsinki, and its subsequent revisions. All the included patients provided written informed consent prior to their enrollment.

Treatment Intervention and Follow-Up

We collected baseline patient data before treatment with an initial daily dose of 23.75- or 47.5-mg metoprolol continuous release tablets (Betaloc® ZOK, AstraZeneca, Sweden), and the dose was escalated by 23.75 mg every 7 days until the target HR level (60–70 bpm) was achieved during the follow-up. The average dose used to reach the target goal was 99.75 mg (47.5–142.5 mg). All the patients were followed up at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months from intervention for final outcome measurement.

Study Outcomes and Measurement

The study outcome was to compare change in cardiac function, motor function, QoL, and mental status at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months from baseline according to gender (men

vs women), patients age (≥60 years vs <60 years), and metoprolol dose (47.5, 71.5, 95, 118.75, and 142.5 mg). Change in all the study outcomes was also evaluated within the groups.

Cardiac function was measured in terms of EF (%) and cardiac index (CI [L/min/m²]). We measured motor function using a standard 6-minute walk test (6MWT) and Veterans Specific Activity Questionnaire (VSAQ). QoL was measured by an 8-item short form questionnaire (SF-8), in which the higher score denotes improved QoL, and the Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire (MLHFQ), in which the highest score denotes worst QoL.

Mental and burnout status was assessed using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) and Copenhagen Burnout Inventory (CBI) questionnaire, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical software R (version 3.6.2, R core team, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used to perform all the analyses. Descriptive statistics were used to present the baseline characteristics as mean ±standard deviation (SD), median (range), numbers, and percentages. We used Student's *t*-test to compare the mean values for all the parameters between the two groups. Change in values for EF, CI, 6MWT, VSAQ, SF-8, MLHFQ, HADS, and CBI scores at the various follow-up periods were compared with baseline values using repeated measure one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), followed by post hoc Bonferroni correction analysis. *P*<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant for all the analyses.

Results

Sociodemographic Characteristic

A total of 169 patients were included in the study, of which 11 were excluded owing to intolerance to metoprolol dose increments and four patients were lost to follow-up. The remaining 154 patients were included for the final data analysis (median age= 66.39 years; men, n=101; women, n=53). Baseline sociodemographic characteristics and other comorbidities of the included patients are presented in Table 1.

Gender-Related Changes in Post-Metoprolol Treatment

An average metoprolol dose of 99.75 mg was required to reach the target HR. EF in both men and women increased

Table I Baseline Sociodemographic Characteristics of Patients

Patient Characteristics (n=154)	N (%)
Age, median, years	66.39
Men	101 (65.58)
Women	53 (34.41)
Comorbidities	
Hypertension	115 (74.67)
Diabetes mellitus	101 (65.58)
Coronary artery disease	99 (64.28)
Stroke	137 (88.96)
Cardiac disease family history	54 (35.06)
Smoking	111 (72.07)
Alcohol	86 (55.84)
History of MI	59 (38.31)
BMI, kg/m ²	23.85±3.62
GFR, mL/min/1.73 m ²	73.9±26.8
NYHA class III–IV	145 (94.15)
Concomitant medications at baseline	
ACEIs/ARBs	150 (97.40)
Diuretics	145 (94.15)
Digoxin	114 (74.02)
Antithrombotic agents	146 (94.80)

Abbreviations: MI, myocardial infarction; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; NHYA, New York Heart Association; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker.

from baseline $(37.60\pm5.91 \text{ and } 37.64\pm6.10)$ to 1 month $(35.24\pm6.15 \text{ and } 34.79\pm6.25)$ and increased to 50.00 ± 4.45 and 50.72 ± 4.09 , respectively, at the end of 12 months with no statistically significant between-gender difference (P>0.05; Table 2). However, across the different time points, a statistically significant difference was observed in EF between men and women (P<0.05). CI increased from baseline $(1.78\pm0.22 \text{ and } 1.79\pm0.21)$ to 12 months $(2.70\pm0.25 \text{ and } 2.78\pm0.23)$ with no statistically significant difference between men and women, respectively. However, a statistically significant difference was observed across different time points, except from baseline to 1 month (P<0.05).

A decrease in HR was observed in both the genders with no statistically significant between-gender difference. However, across the time points, change in HR was statistically significant (*P*<0.05). SBP slightly increased from baseline to 12 months in men and decreased in women, with statistically significant between-gender difference from baseline to 12 months (Table 2). Across the time points, SBP showed a statistically significant difference from baseline to 12 months.

With respect to motor function, distance walked in 6MWT increased in both men and women, and this

Table 2 Change in Cardiac Function, Motor Function, and QoL Post-Metoprolol Treatment with Respect to Gender

Time	Cardiac Function											
	Ejection Fraction	n, %		Cardiac Index, I	_/min/m²							
	Male	Female	P-value	Male	Female	P-value						
Baseline	37.60±5.91	37.64±6.10	0.9708	1.78±0.22	1.79±0.21	0.7955						
Month I	35.24±6.15	34.79±6.24	0.6733	1.71±0.29	1.75±0.24	0.2815						
Month 3	35.90±5.27	35.36±4.75	0.5184	2.26±0.21	2.26±0.18	0.9797						
Month 6	48.13±4.56	47.32±4.36	0.2846	2.61±0.19	2.60±0.18	0.749						
Month 12	50.00±4.45	50.72±4.09	0.3182	2.70±0.25	2.78±0.23	0.0668						
	Heart Rate, bpn	1		Systemic Blood	Pressure, mm Hg	e, mm Hg						
Baseline	83.16±6.47	81.89±7.21	0.2844	121.39±14.09	136.92±2.17	<0.001						
Month I	82.72±6.74	82.73±6.77	0.1934	126.73±13.65	126.74±13.72	0.1402						
Month 3	82.72±6.74	82.73±6.77	0.1934	126.73±13.65	126.74±13.72	0.1402						
Month 6	82.72±6.74	82.73±6.77	0.1934	126.73±13.65	126.74±13.72	0.1402						
Month 12	64.59±3.13	65.38±3.32	0.1597	122.28±6.76	125.47±6.67	<0.05						
	Motor Function	Motor Function										
	6MWT, m			VSAQ Score	VSAQ Score							
Baseline	368.42±33.82	369.57±34.51	0.8435	6.41±1.03	6.73±1.15	0.0963						
Month I	341.58±32.45	340.94±33.09	0.9087	4.86±0.87	5.95±0.92	0.2141						
Month 3	349.71±34.04	352.74±31.46	0.5830	5.48±0.97	5.62±1.15	0.4424						
Month 6	398.40±21.18	398.72±22.52	0.9318	7.89±1.07	7.79±1.00	0.5713						
Month 12	414.41±20.84	420.34±20.35	0.0911	8.16±0.98	8.47±0.89	0.0473						
	QoL Score											
	SF-8 Score			MLHFQ Score	MLHFQ Score							
Baseline	44.00±2.59	44.06±2.95	0.9064	74.36±3.68	73.77±3.95	0.3759						
Month I	39.22±1.69	39.70±1.55	0.0795	88.67±4.36	89.06±4.40	0.6076						
Month 3	42.26±2.51	42.57±3.07	0.5301	86.55±5.00	87.58±5.14	0.2357						
Month 6	48.83±1.18	48.94±1.28	0.5982	64.36±3.48	64.79±4.52	0.5413						
Month 12	52.05±1.94	52.19±2.58	0.7309	53.74±8.00	53.85±8.42	0.9397						

Abbreviations: 6MWT, 6-minute walk test; MLHFQ, Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire; bpm, beats per minute; VSAQ, Veterans Specific Activity Questionnaire.

association across the different time points was found to be statistically significant. VSAQ scores increased from baseline $(6.41\pm1.03 \text{ and } 6.73\pm1.15 \text{ in men and women,}$ respectively) to 12 months, with statistically significant between-gender difference only at 12 months and showed P<0.05 across the different time points (Table 2).

Increase in SF-8 scores, denoting improvement in QoL, was observed from baseline to 12 months in men and women (Table 2). Moreover, a decrease in MLHFQ scores, denoting better QoL between men and women, was observed with a statistically significant difference across the different time points for both SF-8 and MLHFQ scores (*P*<0.05).

HADS scores for depression gradually increased from baseline (9.32±2.95 and 7.87±2.15) to 12 months, with

a statistically significant difference between men and women, respectively (Table 3), and across the time points (P<0.05). HADS anxiety scores also increased from baseline to 12 months, with statistically significant betweengender differences only at 1 and 12 months and P<0.05 across all the time points except from baseline to 1 month. CBI scores increased from baseline to 12 months among men and decreased in women, with no statistically significant between-gender difference (P>0.05).

Age-Related Changes

A non-significant increase in EF and CI was observed in both patients aged <60 and \geq 60 years (P>0.05). In comparison with different time points, a statistically significant

 Table 3 Change in Mental Status Post-Metoprolol Treatment with Respect to Gender

Time	HADS_Depress	ion	HADS_Anxiety	HADS_Anxiety				
	Male	Female	P-value	Male	Female	P-value		
Baseline	9.32 (2.95)	7.87 (2.15)	0.001	8.45 (2.03)	7.79 (2.01)	0.056		
Month I	10.27 (2.82)	8.83 (2.67)	0.002	8.34 (1.97)	7.72 (1.95)	0.043		
Month 3	10.37 (2.81)	8.96 (2.71)	0.003	7.19 (1.17)	6.91 (0.90)	0.099		
Month 6	10.37 (2.76)	9.05 (2.60)	0.004	7.14 (1.09)	6.92 (0.87)	0.189		
Month 12	10.31 (2.65)	9.22 (2.54)	0.015	7.19 (0.99)	6.89 (0.78)	0.039		
	CBI Scores	•			<u>.</u>			
Baseline	60.19 (6.50)	59.75 (6.59)	0.691					
Month I	60.80 (7.10)	60.53 (7.78)	0.83					
Month 3	62.58 (8.92)	61.40 (9.07)	0.44					
Month 6	62.62 (8.89)	61.55 (9.23)	0.49					
Month 12	62.43 (9.22)	61.90 (8.74)	0.725					

Abbreviations: HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; CBI, Copenhagen Burnout Inventory.

difference was observed for both EF and CI (P<0.05). HR and SBP showed a non-significant reduction in both the age groups, with the changes being constant at baseline and month 1, 3, and 6 and drastic reduction at the end of 12 months (Table 4). In comparison with different time points, a statistically significant difference was observed only from baseline to 12 months for HR and SBP.

Motor function assessed by 6MWT showed a gradual non-significant increase among both patients aged <60 and ≥60 years, except at the end of 1 month (P<0.05). VSAQ scores also increased among both the age groups from baseline to 12 months (Table 4).

With regard to QoL, a sudden decrease in SF-8 scores from baseline (44.10 \pm 2.60 and 43.97 \pm 2.75) to 1 month (39.42 \pm 1.64 and 39.37 \pm 1.67 in patients aged <60 and \geq 60 years, respectively) and gradual increase in scores at 3, 6, and 12 months were observed between both the age groups, with a statistically significant difference at 12 months (P<0.05). MLHFQ scores also showed a sudden increase from baseline to 1 and 3 months and gradually decreased at 6 and 12 months between both the age groups, with a statistically significant difference at the end of 3 months (P<0.05; Table 4). Change in scores in comparison with outcomes of motor function and QoL at different time points from baseline to 12 months has shown a statistically significant difference.

With regard to mental status, a gradual increase in HADS depression scores was observed in both the age groups, with no statistically significant difference between the age groups. However, HADS anxiety scores decreased from baseline to 12 months, and CBI scores showed an

increasing trend among both the age groups (Table 5), with a statistically significant difference between different time points, except from baseline to 1 month, for both HADS depression and CBI scores.

Metoprolol Dose-Related Changes at the End of 12 Months

EF gradually decreased from 51.41 ± 3.75 to 48.63 ± 5.13 with an increase in the dose of metoprolol from 47.5 to 142.5 mg. CI did not show much change with increase in the dose of metoprolol. Motor function and QoL showed statistically non-significant differences with respect to different doses of metoprolol (Table 6). Mental status scores evaluated by HADS depression, HADS anxiety, and CBI scores also showed no statistically significant difference (P>0.05) with respect to different doses of metoprolol (Table 7).

Repeated measure ANOVA with Bonferroni correction was used to establish significant differences at different time points (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2).

Discussion

In this study, we explored the effect of metoprolol on change in cardiac function, motor function, QoL, and mental status of CHF patients with respect to gender, age, and different metoprolol doses. Our findings highlight that metoprolol treatment with respect to gender showed improved cardiac and motor function, better QoL, and increased depression but decreased anxiety scores. With regard to age, metoprolol treatment had shown improved cardiac and motor function and improved QoL with higher

Table 4 Change in Cardiac Function, Motor Function, and QoL Post-Metoprolol Treatment with Respect to Age

Time	Cardiac Function										
	Ejection Fraction	ı, %		Cardiac Index,	Cardiac Index, L/min/m²						
	<60 years	≥60 years	P-value	<60 years	≥60 years	P-value					
Baseline	37.08 (6.18)	37.79 (5.89)	0.534	1.76 (0.23)	1.79 (0.21)	539					
Month I	34.50 (6.45)	35.28 (6.08)	0.516	1.77 (0.24)	1.71 (0.28)	0.196					
Month 3	36.47 (5.57)	35.47 (4.92)	0.323	2.31 (0.19)	2.24 (0.20)	0.077					
Month 6	47.55 (4.95)	47.95 (4.36)	0.661	2.61 (0.18)	2.61 (0.18)	0.856					
Month 12	49.66 (4.26)	50.44 (4.35)	0.332	2.72 (0.23)	2.73 (0.25)	0.867					
	Heart Rate, bpm	1	Systemic Blood	Pressure, mm Hg							
Baseline	87.03 (4.54)	81.31 (6.76)	5.372	132.82 (6.55)	124.74 (14.76)	7.427					
Month I	87.03 (4.54)	81.31 (6.76)	5.372	132.82 (6.55)	124.74 (14.76)	7.427					
Month 3	87.03 (4.54)	81.31 (6.76)	5.372	132.82 (6.55)	124.74 (14.76)	7.427					
Month 6	87.03 (4.54)	81.31 (6.76)	5.372	132.82 (6.55)	124.74 (14.76)	7.427					
Month 12	65.58 (3.09)	64.63 (3.23)	0.109	124.74 (7.53)	122.93 (6.63)	0.19					
	Motor Function	Motor Function									
	6MWT, m		VSAQ Score								
Baseline	367.11 (34.69)	369.37 (33.84)	0.726	6.64 (1.18)	6.48 (1.05)	0.441					
Month I	331.74 (32.09)	344.52 (32.23)	0.037	4.79 (0.89)	4.97 (0.88)	0.293					
Month 3	345.55 (29.91)	352.46 (34.035)	0.237	5.79 (1.14)	5.44 (0.98)	0.1					
Month 6	395.74 (21.43)	399.41 (21.64)	0.363	7.80 (1.08)	7.88 (1.04)	0.717					
Month 12	416.82 (19.26)	416.33 (21.36)	0.895	8.21 (1.04)	8.28 (0.93)	0.698					
	QoL Scores			•	<u> </u>						
Baseline	44.18 (2.60)	43.97 (2.75)	0.658	74.03 (4.00)	74.20 (3.72)	0.815					
Month I	39.42 (1.64)	39.37 (1.67)	0.870	89.53 (4.14)	88.57 (4.42)	0.228					
Month 3	42.37 (3.11)	42.36 (2.58)	0.99	88.55 (4.64)	86.37 (5.09)	0.017*					
Month 6	49.05 (1.18)	48.81 (1.22)	0.281	65.18 (4.71)	64.28 (3.53)	0.284					
Month 12	52.90 (1.67)	51.84 (2.26)	0.003*	53.39 (7.21)	53.90 (8.42)	0.717					

Abbreviations: 6MWT, 6-minute walk test; bpm, beats per minute; QoL, quality-of-life; VSAQ, Veterans Specific Activity Questionnaire.

Table 5 Change in Mental Status Post-Metoprolol Treatment with Respect to Age

Time	HADS_Depress	ion		HADS_Anxiety	HADS_Anxiety				
	<60 years	≥60 years	P-value	<60 years	≥60 years	P-value			
Baseline	8.71 (2.54)	8.85 (2.87)	0.771	8.34 (2.13)	8.19 (2.03)	0.70			
Month I	9.58 (2.78)	9.84 (2.88)	0.62	8.18 (2.01)	8.16 (1.99)	0.938			
Month 3	9.68 (2.77)	9.95 (2.88)	0.61	7.18 (1.11)	7.06 (1.09)	0.551			
Month 6	9.76 (2.69)	9.97 (2.81)	0.69	7.11 (1.01)	7.05 (1.04)	0.778			
Month 12	9.84 (2.66)	9.97 (2.67)	0.80	6.90 (0.92)	7.15 (0.93)	0.149			
	CBI Scores	•							
Baseline	60.64 (6.48)	59.84 (6.54)	0.515						
Month I	60.97 (6.88)	60.63 (7.48)	0.80						
Month 3	62.72 (8.77)	62.00 (9.05)	0.664						
Month 6	62.94 (8.97)	62.03 (9.03)	0.59						
Month 12	62.28 (9.39)	62.24 (8.95)	0.98						

Abbreviations: HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; CBI, Copenhagen Burnout Inventory.

Table 6 Impact of Metoprolol Dose on Cardiac Function, Motor Function, and QoL at the End of 12 Months

	Cardiac Function				Motor Functio	Motor Function			QoL			
	EF, %		CI, L/min/m²		6MWT, m		VSAQ		SF-8		MLHFQ	
Dose 47.5 mg	51.41 (3.75)	0.223	2.76 (0.23)	0.234	420.65 (19.97)	0.263	8.49 (0.96)	0.407	51.97 (1.88)	0.061	53.51 (10.67)	0.946
Dose 71.25 mg	51.00 (4.83)		2.7 (0.31)		408.86 (24.43)		8.57 (0.79)		52.43 (2.82)		54 (3.06)	
Dose 95 mg	49.94 (3.98)		2.8 (0.20)		411.24 (20.24)		8.06 (0.97)		50.71 (2.93)		54.88 (4.28)	
Dose 118.75 mg	50.08 (4.36)		2.68 (0.27)		417.74 (20.69)		8.19 (0.95)		52.34 (2.06)		53.41 (7.35)	
Dose 142.5 mg	48.63 (5.13)		2.78 (0.20)		410.68 (21.30)		8.21 (1.03)		52.53 (1.81)		54.68 (9.55)	
Dose 118.75 mg	50.08 (4.36)		2.68 (0.27)		417.74 (20.69)		8.19 (0.95)		52.34 (2.06)		53.41 (7.35)	

Abbreviations: EF, ejection fraction; CI, cardiac index; 6MWT, 6-minute walk test; MLHFQ, Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire; QoL, quality-of-life; VSAQ, Veterans Specific Activity Questionnaire; SF-8, 8-item short form questionnaire.

Table 7 Impact of Metoprolol Dose on Mental Status at the End of 12 Months

	Mental Status	ental Status										
	HADS_Depression		HADS_Anxiety		CBI_Equally							
Dose 47.5 mg	10 (2.55)	0.635	6.97 (1.01)	0.87	61.15 (9.42)	0.216						
Dose 71.25 mg	9.29 (3.04)		7 (1.15)		67.86 (9.53)							
Dose 95 mg	10.71 (3.00)		7.18 (0.73)		64.80 (8.81)							
Dose 118.75 mg	9.92 (2.49)		7.15 (0.95)		61.34 (9.14)							
Dose 142.5 mg	9.42 (3.15)		7 (0.82)		63.60 (7.19)							

Abbreviations: HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; CBI, Copenhagen Burnout Inventory.

depression and decreased anxiety scores. Furthermore, there were no significant differences with different doses of metoprolol in cardiac, motor, QoL, and mental status in patients with CHF.

Gender-related differences in the pharmacokinetics of metoprolol are well established.²⁴ In our study, a reduction in HR from baseline to 12 months was observed in both the genders. SBP was higher in women at all time points, and reduction in SBP was observed at 12 months. A similar pattern of responses was also reported in previous studies. 16,25 Moreover, in our study, both EF and CI were similar between men and women. Motor function was evaluated using 6MWT and VSAQ scores, which are reliable tools to evaluate functional capacity and prognosis. 26,27 In our study, metoprolol also improved the motor function of male and female patients with CHF. In both men and women, improvement in the QoL was observed as a biphasic response with both SF-8 and MLHFQ scales after metoprolol treatment. Previous studies using various questionnaires have also demonstrated improvement in QoL with metoprolol usage among patients with CHF. 16,28,29

Neurohormonal dysfunction due to pathophysiological modifications caused by prolonged anxiety and depression can lead to cardiac abnormalities.^{30,31} In addition,

symptoms of depression and anxiety are often unrecognized,³² which results in disease progression.³³ Our study results demonstrated that metoprolol treatment increased the HADS depression scores and decreased the anxiety scores among both men and women.

In our study, in patients aged <60 and ≥60 years, significant and expected reductions in HR and SBP were observed; however, the reductions were observed only at 12 months of metoprolol treatment, and no changes were observed at baseline and 1, 3, and 6 months in both the age groups.

Cardiac function post-metoprolol treatment evaluated by EF and CI showed a biphasic response, with an initial decrease at 1 month and significant improvement of both EF and CI by 12 months in both the age groups (<60 and ≥60 years). This further confirms that the beta-blocker action of metoprolol on both EF and CI is independent of age.³⁴ A study conducted by Neto et al³⁵ also reported similar findings. Motor function evaluated by 6MWT and VSAQ also showed an initial decrease at 1 month and improvement by 12 months post-metoprolol treatment. This finding correlates with the decrease in cardiac function at 1 month as patients with CHF encounter myopathy of both cardiac and skeletal muscles,³⁶ which further validated the deterioration of motor function in these patients.^{37,38}

QoL after metoprolol treatment has also shown a similar trend as cardiac and motor function in patients with CHF, with an initial decline in QoL at the end of 1 month and subsequent improvement by 12 months. Patients with CHF are prone to anxiety and depression due to neurohormonal dysregulation,³² and evidence suggests that elders are more susceptible to depression and anxiety, which impact their QoL.^{39,40} Our study showed higher HADS depression scores, indicating improvement in depression, but lower HADS anxiety scores, denoting that patients with CHF express more anxiety, and higher CBI scores with better burn out status in both the age groups (<60 and ≥60 years).

In our study, we also examined the dose-mediated effect of metoprolol on cardiac, motor, QoL, and mental status of patients with CHF. A slight decrease in EF and no change in CI were observed with an increase in the dose of metoprolol. A study conducted by Zhang et al⁴¹ also showed no significant changes in the cardiac function with different doses of metoprolol. No dose-dependent changes with metoprolol were observed in motor function and QoL. However, a good correlation between QoL and clinical outcomes was reported by other studies. ^{42,43} Metoprolol use in the treatment of CHF could worsen pre-existing depression or lead to depression. ³¹ In our study, mental status measured with HADS depression, HADS anxiety, and CBI scores did not show much difference with dose increment of metoprolol.

The strength of our study was that we have provided comprehensive evidence involving the effect of gender, age, and metoprolol dose on cardiac, motor, QoL, and mental status of patients with CHF at baseline and 1, 3, 6, and 12 months. Our study also had certain limitations. First, we did not include a control group or use placebo to compare the outcomes with the treatment groups. Second, most of the questionnaires were self-administered instead of an interview-based method, which might have resulted in variances in responses. Third, we followed patients with CHF for 1 year, and thus, long-term mortality and metoprolol influence on different outcomes could not be captured. Finally, other confounding factors including age, sample size, and comorbidity medications taken could have influenced the study findings.

Conclusion

Gender-related differences were mostly observed in mental status after metoprolol treatment, suggesting that psychological response to metoprolol differs between men and women. Metoprolol has demonstrated age-independent improvement in cardiac function, motor function, and QoL, whereas an increase in depression and burnout as well as improvement in anxiety scores were observed. Uptitration of metoprolol to target dose showed no significant difference in clinical outcomes.

Disclosure

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest for this work.

References

- Lymperopoulos A, Rengo G, Koch WJ. The Adrenergic Nervous System in Heart Failure: pathophysiology and Therapy. Circ Res. 2013;113(6):6. doi:10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.113.300308
- Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. American College of Cardiology Foundation, American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62(16):e147–e239. doi:10. 1016/j.jacc.2013.05.019
- Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al. American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics–2015 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2015;131(4):e29–e322. doi:10.1161/CIR.00000000000000152
- Guo Y, Lip GY, Banerjee A. Heart Failure in East Asia. Curr Cardiol Rev. 2013;9(2):112–122. doi:10.2174/1573403X11309020004
- 5. Hobbs FDR, Kenkre JE, Roalfe AK, Davis RC, Hare R, Davies MK. Impact of heart failure and left ventricular systolic dysfunction on quality of life: a cross-sectional study comparing common chronic cardiac and medical disorders and a representative adult population. Eur Heart J. 2002;23(23):1867–1876. doi:10.1053/euhj.2002.3255
- 6. Li X, Zhang J, Huang J, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Qili Qiangxin Capsules for Chronic Heart Failure Study Group. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled study of the effects of qili qiangxin capsules in patients with chronic heart failure. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;62(12):1065–1072. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2013.05.035
- Song X, Quek RGW, Gandra SR, Cappell KA, Fowler R, Cong Z. Productivity loss and indirect costs associated with cardiovascular events and related clinical procedures. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2015;15(1):245. doi:10.1186/s12913-015-0925-x
- 8. Manurung D, Trisnohadi HB. Beta blockers for congestive heart failure. *Acta Med Indones*. 2007;39(1):44–48.
- Mihai G, Colucci Wilson S, Karl S. β-Blockers in Chronic Heart Failure. *Circulation*. 2003;107(12):1570–1575. doi:10.1161/01. CIR.0000065187.80707.18
- Investigators, C.I. The Cardiac Insufficiency Bisoprolol Study II (CIBIS-II): a randomised trial. *Lancet*. 1999;353(9146):9–13. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(98)11181-9
- Investigators CI. Effect of metoprolol CR/XL in chronic heart failure: metoprolol CR/XL Randomised Intervention Trial in Congestive Heart Failure (MERIT-HF). *Lancet*. 1999;353(9169):2001–2007. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(99)04440-2
- Hjalmarson A, Goldstein S, Fagerberg B, et al. Effects of controlled-release metoprolol on total mortality, hospitalizations, and well-being in patients with heart failure: the Metoprolol CR/XL Randomized Intervention Trial in congestive heart failure (MERIT-HF). MERIT-HF Study Group. *JAMA*. 2000;283(10): 1295–1302. doi:10.1001/jama.283.10.1295

 Packer M, Fowler MB, Roecker EB, et al. Carvedilol Prospective Randomized Cumulative Survival (COPERNICUS) Study Group. Effect of carvedilol on the morbidity of patients with severe chronic heart failure: results of the carvedilol prospective randomized cumulative survival (COPERNICUS) study. *Circulation*. 2002;106 (17):2194–2199. doi:10.1161/01.cir.0000035653.72855.bf

- Heidenreich PA, Lee TT, Massie BM. Effect of beta-blockade on mortality in patients with heart failure: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1997;30(1):27–34. doi:10.1016/ s0735-1097(97)00104-6
- Mittal N, Shafiq N, Reddy S, Malhotra S, Kumari S, Varma S. Evaluation of efficacy of metoprolol in patients having heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled pilot trial. *Perspect Clin Res.* 2017;8 (3):124–131. doi:10.4103/2229-3485.210449
- Meng Y, Liu X, Liu J, Cheng X. A prospective study on the impact of heart rate control achieved with metoprolol on cardiac performance, motor function and quality of life in Chinese chronic heart failure patients. *Int J Cardiol*. 2017;227:267–271. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.20 16.11.115
- Goldstein G, Materson BJ, Cushman WC, et al. Treatment of hypertension in the elderly: II. Cognitive and behavioral function. Results of a Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Study. Hypertension. 1990;15(4):361–369. doi:10.1161/01.hyp.15.4.361
- Head A, Kendall MJ, Ferner R, Eagles C. Acute effects of beta blockade and exercise on mood and anxiety. Br J Sports Med. 1996;30(3):238–242. doi:10.1136/bjsm.30.3.238
- Gerstman BB, Jolson HM, Bauer M, Cho P, Livingston JM, Platt R. The incidence of depression in new users of beta-blockers and selected antihypertensives. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1996;49(7):809–815. doi:10.1016/0895-4356(96)00017-0
- Ahmed AIA, van Mierlo P, Jansen P. Sleep disorders, nightmares, depression and anxiety in an elderly patient treated with low-dose metoprolol. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2010;32(6):646.e5-e7. doi:10.10 16/j.genhosppsych.2010.04.008
- Jiang W, Kuchibhatla M, Cuffe MS, et al. Prognostic value of anxiety and depression in patients with chronic heart failure. *Circulation*. 2004;110(22):3452–3456. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.00001 48138.25157.F9
- Ranchord AM, Spertus JA, Buchanan DM, Gosch KL, Chan PS. Initiation of β-blocker therapy and depression after acute myocardial infarction. Am Heart J. 2016;174:37–42. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2015. 11.018
- Koella WP. CNS-related (side-)effects of beta-blockers with special reference to mechanisms of action. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 1985;28: Suppl:55–63. doi:10.1007/BF00543711
- Soldin OP, Mattison DR. Sex differences in pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2009;48(3):143–157. doi:10.2165/00003088-200948030-00001
- Luzier AB, Killian A, Wilton JH, Wilson MF, Forrest A, Kazierad DJ. Gender-related effects on metoprolol pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in healthy volunteers. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1999;66(6):594–601. doi:10.1053/cp.1999.v66.103400001
- 26. Lee R, Chan Y-H, Wong J, Lau D, Ng K. The 6-minute walk test predicts clinical outcome in Asian patients with chronic congestive heart failure on contemporary medical therapy: a study of the multiracial population in Singapore. *Int J Cardiol*. 2007;119(2):168–175. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2006.07.189
- Georgiadou P, Adamopoulos S. Skeletal muscle abnormalities in chronic heart failure. Curr Heart Fail Rep. 2012;9(2):128–132. doi:10.1007/s11897-012-0090-z

- Wiklund I, Waagstein F, Swedberg K, Hjalmarsson A. Quality of life on treatment with metoprolol in dilated cardiomyopathy: results from the MDC trial. Metoprolol in Dilated Cardiomyopathy trial. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther.* 1996;10(3):361–368. doi:10.1007/bf02627961
- Vologdina IV EFFECTS OF BETA-BLOCKER METOPROLOL ON QUALITY OF LIFE IN ELDERLY PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC HEART FAILURE. Rational Pharmacotherapy in Cardiology. 2016. Accessed April 14, 2020. https://www.rpcardio.com/jour/article/view/ 541.
- Celik E, Cay S, Sensoy B, et al. Heart Failure Functional Class Associated with Depression Severity But Not Anxiety Severity. Acta Cardiol Sin. 2016;32(1):55–61. doi:10.6515/ACS20150509A
- Liu X, Lou X, Cheng X, Meng Y. Impact of metoprolol treatment on mental status of chronic heart failure patients with neuropsychiatric disorders. *Drug Des Devel Ther.* 2017;11:305–312. doi:10.2147/ DDDT.S124497
- Chapa DW, Akintade B, Son H, et al. Pathophysiological relationships between heart failure and depression and anxiety. *Crit Care Nurse*. 2014;34(2):14–24. doi:10.4037/ccn2014938
- Cully JA, Jimenez DE, Ledoux TA, Deswal A. Recognition and treatment of depression and anxiety symptoms in heart failure. *Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry*. 2009;11(3):103–109. doi:10.4088/pcc.08m00700
- Höffler D, Morgenstern HO. Age dependence of therapy result and risk in the treatment of arterial hypertension? *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol*. 1990;16(Suppl 5):S184–S188. doi:10.1097/00005344-199000165-00033
- de FN J, Mady C, Grupi C. Effects of metoprolol tartrate therapy in patients with heart failure. Arq Bras Cardiol. 2006;87(3):329–335. doi:10.1590/s0066-782x2006001600016
- Arslan S, Erol MK, Gundogdu F, et al. Prognostic value of 6-minute walk test in stable outpatients with heart failure. *Tex Heart Inst J*. 2007;34(2):166–169.
- Yang Y-J, He X-H, Guo H-Y, Wang X-Q ZY, Zhu Y. Efficiency of muscle strength training on motor function in patients with coronary artery disease: a meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Exp Med.* 2015;8 (10):17536–17550.
- Kojovic J, Miljkovic N, Jankovic MM, Popovic DB. Recovery of motor function after stroke: a polymyography-based analysis. *J Neurosci Methods*. 2011;194(2):321–328. doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth. 2010.10.006
- Sözeri-Varma G. Depression in the Elderly: clinical Features and Risk Factors. Aging Dis. 2012;3(6):465–471.
- Hek K, Tiemeier H, Newson RS, Luijendijk HJ, Hofman A, Mulder CL. Anxiety disorders and comorbid depression in community dwelling older adults. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.* 2011;20 (3):157–168. doi:10.1002/mpr.344
- 41. Zhang Q, Shu Q, Wu L, Zhang R, Meng Y. Dose-independent influence of metoprolol on cardiac and motor functions, QoL, and mental status in Chinese patients with CHF. *Ther Clin Risk Manag*. 2019;15:23–31. doi:10.2147/TCRM.S188123
- Werdan K, Ebelt H, Nuding S, Höpfner F, Stöckl G, Müller-Werdan U. ADDITIONS Study Investigators. Ivabradine in Combination with Metoprolol Improves Symptoms and Quality of Life in Patients with Stable Angina Pectoris: A post hoc Analysis from the ADDITIONS Trial. *Cardiology*. 2016;133(2):83–90. doi:10.1159/000439584
- Peters DH, Benfield P. Metoprolol: a pharmacoeconomic and quality-of-life evaluation of its use in hypertension, post-myocardial infarction and dilated cardiomyopathy. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 1994;6 (4):370–400. doi:10.2165/00019053-199406040-00004

Drug Design, Development and Therapy

Publish your work in this journal

Drug Design, Development and Therapy is an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal that spans the spectrum of drug design and development through to clinical applications. Clinical outcomes, patient safety, and programs for the development and effective, safe, and sustained use of medicines are a feature of the journal, which has also

been accepted for indexing on PubMed Central. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from published authors.

Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/drug-design-development-and-therapy-journal

Dovepress