Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 10937

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 29th July 2019 Accepted 6th October 2019 DOI: 10.1039/c9sc03737c

rsc.li/chemical-science

1. Introduction

Development of economical and efficient methods for creating the carbon-carbon bond is of paramount importance in modern synthetic chemistry.1 In this regard, the pinacol coupling, though historically discovered over a century ago, is still one of the most efficient reactions to introduce the carboncarbon bond in one step.² Traditionally, more than the stoichiometric amount of metal reductants (Mg, Al, Zn, Mn, etc.) is required to realize the single-electron-transfer (SET) process from the metal to the carbonyl group and to generate the ketyl radical as the key intermediate to form the pinacol product with the help of various Brønsted or Lewis acid additives $(H^+, SiR_3Cl,$ TiCl₄, ZnCl₂, AlCl₃, etc.). The acids are vital to coordinate with the carbonyl group to lower the SET barrier and to increase the pinacol product selectivity over the simple alcohol product (the two-electron reduced form of ketone) (Scheme 1a). Although it is an apparently straight-forward process, carefully choosing the acid additives is always needed, otherwise it results in poor reactivity and selectivity towards pinacol.3 Besides, pre-activation of the excess amount of metals is often needed to remove the oxide from the metal surface and efficiently utilize them as reductants. Furthermore, the work-up processes of the excess

Department of Chemistry, FQRNT Centre for Green Chemistry and Catalysis, McGill University, 801 Sherbrooke St. W., Montreal, Quebec H3A 0B8, Canada. E-mail: rustam.khaliullin@mcgill.ca; cj.li@mcgill.ca

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9sc03737c

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.



Zihang Qiu, 🕒 ‡ Hanh D. M. Pham, ‡ Jianbin Li, 🕒 Chen-Chen Li, 🕩 Durbis J. Castillo-Pazos, ២ Rustam Z. Khaliullin ២ * and Chao-Jun Li ២ *

Efficient carbon–carbon bond formation is of great importance in modern organic synthetic chemistry. The pinacol coupling discovered over a century ago is still one of the most efficient coupling reactions to build the C–C bond in one step. However, traditional pinacol coupling often requires over-stoichiometric amounts of active metals as reductants, causing long-lasting metal waste issues and sustainability concerns. A great scientific challenge is to design a metal-free approach to the pinacol coupling reaction. Herein, we describe a light-driven pinacol coupling protocol without use of any metals, but with N_2H_4 , used as a clean non-metallic hydrogen-atom-transfer (HAT) reductant. In this transformation, only traceless non-toxic N_2 and H_2 gases were produced as by-products with a relatively broad aromatic ketone scope and good functional group tolerance. A combined experimental and computational investigation of the mechanism suggests that this novel pinacol coupling reaction proceeds *via* a HAT process between photo-excited ketone and N_2H_4 , instead of the common single-electron-transfer (SET) process for metal reductants.

metal are typically problematic, especially in large scale reactions, generating large amounts of metal waste and causing environmental concerns.⁴

HEMISTRY

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

To partially solve the problems of excessive metal mentioned above, pioneering work by Rueping *et al.* applied [Ir] based photo-redox catalysts to generate the key ketyl radicals from ketones *via* SET with tertiary amines as sacrificial reductants instead of metals and to realize the pinacol coupling (Scheme 1a).⁵ Although successful, the method still required precious noble metal [Ir] based photo-redox catalysts. Therefore, we were pondering the possibility of designing a pinacol coupling



Scheme 1 Previous work on pinacol coupling and this work.

without the use of any metal. Inspired by our previous work on $[Ni]/N_2H_4$ as the reductive system to realize diaryl reductive coupling reactions,⁶ we envisioned the feasibility of employing N_2H_4 as a clean reductant for the pinacol coupling, bearing in mind the innate reductive coupling nature in such a transformation. However, to use N_2H_4 as the reductant to directly reduce ketones to the ketyl radicals *via* the SET process could be highly challenging due to the unmatched redox potentials (*e.g.* acetophenone: $E_{1/2}^{\text{red}} = -1.85 \text{ V} \nu s$. normal hydrogen electrode (NHE); N_2H_4 : $E_{1/2}^{\text{red}} = -1.49 \text{ V} \nu s$. NHE).^{5a,7}

Faced with this dilemma and inspired by the recent work of Martin et al.,8 in which the aromatic ketone was identified as an efficient hydrogen-atom-transfer (HAT) reagent in its excited state, we anticipated the possibility of realizing the pinacol coupling reaction with N₂H₄ via HAT instead of the well-known SET mechanism. In fact, there has been a long history of the use of aromatic ketones as HAT reagents in their excited states, pioneered by Norrish,9 Yang10 and others.11 The aromatic ketone can be excited under UV light irradiation to form the excited triplet state to act as a 1,2-diradical, which is very effective for the radical HAT process because of the electrophilic properties of the excited oxygen radical.^{8,11a,d} On the other hand, N₂H₄ is potentially able to be used as a hydrogen atom donor in this HAT process due to the expected favorable thermodynamics: the relatively weak hydrazine N-H bond with a bond dissociation energy (BDE) of approximately 277 kJ mol⁻¹ is replaced by a strong alcohol O-H bond with a BDE of \sim 443 kJ $mol^{-1}.^{12}$

Based on the above mechanistic analysis, a working hypothesis is proposed in Scheme 1b. Initially, the 1,2-diradical can be formed when the aromatic ketone is excited by UV light. This is followed by hydrogen atom abstraction from N_2H_4 that generates the ketyl radical – the key precursor in the pathway to pinacol. Meanwhile, N_2H_3 may be produced and then by another HAT, unstable diimide (N_2H_2) can be afforded, readily decomposing to N_2 and H_2 gases as by-products to be released out from the reaction system to further move forward this transformation.^{6,13} With this working hypothesis in mind, we report a light-driven metal-free pinacol coupling utilizing N_2H_4 , for the first time, as a clean HAT reductant with traceless nontoxic N_2 and H_2 gases generated *in situ* as sole by-products.

2. Results and discussion

To investigate the feasibility of our hypothesis, we initially examined the reaction by using acetophenone (0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.) as the model substrate with hydrazine monohydrate (0.1 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) as the reductant in 1 mL H₂O at 25 °C with UV light irradiation (254 nm) for 12 h under an argon atmosphere (Table 1, entry 1). The desired corresponding pinacol product, 2,3-diphenylbutane-2,3-diol (3a), could be obtained in 12% NMR yield along with acetophenone hydrazone and azine as byproducts that result from the condensation of acetophenone and hydrazine. To slow down the formation of hydrazone and azine, non-protic solvents were tested (entries 3–7) with CH₃CN showing the best efficiency to produce **3a** with 68% NMR yield (entry 7). Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions



Entry	Solvent			Yield (%)	
		N_2H_4 (x equiv.)	conv. (%)	3a	4a
1	H_2O	0.5	90	12	2
2	MeOH	0.5	50	10	2
3	EtOAc	0.5	60	38	2
4	PhCF ₃	0.5	50	42	2
5	Benzene	0.5	53	47	2
6	1,4-Dioxane	0.5	73	62	2
7	CH ₃ CN	0.5	77	68	2
8^b	CH ₃ CN	0.5	14	_	_
9	CH ₃ CN	0	12	_	_
10	CH ₃ CN	0.75	84	72	2
11 ^c	CH ₃ CN	0.75	98	80 $(80)^e$	4
$12^{c,d}$	CH ₃ CN	0.75	51	30	2
13 ^c	CH ₃ CN	1.0	99	81	4
$14^{c,f}$	CH ₃ CN	0.75	42	27	2
$15^{c,g}$	CH ₃ CN	0.75	42	32	1

^{*a*} General reaction conditions: acetophenone (0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.) and $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O$ (x equiv.) in solvents (1 mL) were stirred under argon for 12 h with UV light (254 nm) irradiation at 25 °C; starting material conversion and NMR yields were given with 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as the internal standard; yields were calculated based on acetophenone. ^{*b*} Without UV light. ^{*c*} 24 h instead. ^{*d*} Under air instead of argon. ^{*e*} Isolated yield. ^{*f*} MeNH–NHMe (1 equiv.) was used instead of N_2H_4 . ^{*g*} ^{*i*} PrOH (0.75 equiv.) was used instead of N_2H_4 . For optimization of other reactants, please see the ESI.

Control experiments (entries 8 and 9) revealed that both UV light and hydrazine were vital for this transformation to proceed. By increasing the hydrazine amount to 0.75 equiv. and prolonging the reaction time to 24 h (entries 10 and 11), delightfully, the desired pinacol product **3a** could be obtained in 80% isolated yield (entry 11). The argon atmosphere was found to be necessary for this transformation, as decreased yield (30%) was observed when the reaction was run under air instead (entries 11 *vs.* 12). By further increasing the hydrazine amount to 1.0 equiv., the yield could not be increased accordingly. Other reductants, such as hydrazine derivatives (entry 14 and Table S1 in the ESI†) and ^{*i*}PrOH (entry 15), showed inferior reactivities compared to hydrazine.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we next examined the reaction scope of aromatic ketones as shown in Table 2. Acetophenones attached with electron-donating groups such as methoxy, phenoxy, and Boc-protected amine worked well in this transformation, affording the pinacol products (**3b**, **3c** and **3f**) in moderate to high yields (64–81%). It was noteworthy that cyclic aromatic ketone, *e.g.* 4-chromanone, was able to generate the pinacol product **3d** in good yield (82%), and multiple electron-donating substituents such as 2,4,6-trimethoxy- acetophenone gave the product **3e** in 81% yield. Acetophenones bearing electron-withdrawing substituents, such as

Table 2 Substrate scope of aromatic ketones^a



^{*a*} General reaction conditions: ketone (0.2 mmol, 1 equiv.) and $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O(0.75 \text{ equiv.})$ in $CH_3CN(1 \text{ mL})$ were stirred under argon for 24 h under UV light (254 nm) irradiation at 25 °C; isolated yields were given. ^{*b*} 1 equiv. of $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O$ was used. ^{*c*} A xenon light was used. ^{*d*} Benzene was used as the solvent. ^{*e*} 2 equiv. of $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O$ were used.

-F, -Cl, -CN and -CF₃ (**3g**, **3h**, **3j**, **3k** and **3l**), were also effective in this transformation, albeit giving slightly lower yields (63– 70%) compared to electron-donating ones. Acetophenone bearing both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents, such as 2-F-4-OMe-acetophenone, could afford the product **3i** in 88% yield. However, 4-Br-acetophenone was an ineffective substrate, where the reductive photo-cleavage of the C-Br bond under UV irradiation was observed.¹⁴ Changing the methyl group in acetophenone to larger ethyl and cyclopropyl groups could also produce the corresponding products (**3m** and **3n**) in moderate yields, 63% and 72% respectively. Benzophenone-type compounds reacted in a similar fashion to acetophenones, generating the corresponding pinacol products (**3o-3u**) in moderate to good yields. For the scope of heteroaryl ketones, we found that some heteroaryl ketones, containing pyrrole, thiophene and furan units (**3v-3z**), could afford the corresponding pinacol products in moderate yields (23% to 57%). To demonstrate the synthetic application of this protocol, a gram-scale reaction with benzophenone (1.09 g) as a model substrate was tested under standard conditions; and

delightfully, 89% isolated yield could be obtained. Unfortunately, aldehydes, aliphatic ketones and aromatic imines were not compatible with this transformation probably due to their fast condensation with hydrazine to generate hydrazone and azine by-products.

Next, the feasibility of realizing the cross-pinacol coupling was examined by mixing acetophenone and benzophenone-type compounds (Table 3 and see ESI† for details). It was found out that the acetophenones attached with electron-withdrawing groups such as 4-CF₃ and 4-Cl gave better selectivity and yields of cross-pinacol products, compared to acetophenone (entries 1–3) and 4-OMe acetophenone (see ESI† for details). Benzophenones with –F and –CH₃ substituents showed similar reactivities to simple benzophenone (entries 2, 4 and 5). Finally, benzophenone attached with –OMe could not further increase the cross-pinacol product selectivity and yield (entry 6).

Mechanistic studies

3.1 Identification of the ketyl radical formation process

The control experiments in Table 1 (entries 8 and 9) showed that without UV light or N_2H_4 , the desired pinacol product **3a** could not be generated, indicating that the ketyl radical could be formed from the HAT process between the photo-excited 1,2diradical and N_2H_4 . To further confirm this process, firstly, the origin of protons was studied by changing the solvent from



^{*a*} General reaction conditions: acetophenone-type compounds (0.1 mmol, 1 equiv.), benzophenone-type compounds (0.1 mmol, 1 equiv.), and N₂H₄·H₂O (0.15 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) in CH₃CN (1 mL) were stirred under argon for 24 h under UV light (254 nm) irradiation at 25 °C. ^{*b*} NMR yields were given with mesitylene as the internal standard. ^{*c*}N₂H₄·H₂O (0.2 mmol, 2 equiv.) was used instead. ^{*d*} Ratio was determined by analysis of crude ¹H-NMR.

CH₃CN to CD₃CN with benzophenone as the model substrate due to the ease of analyzing the crude NMR of the starting material and product (Fig. 1a). It was found that all the protons were derived from the N₂H₄ instead of the acetonitrile solvent, since no deuterium was incorporated into the benzopinacol (**30**) product and the benzhydrol (**40**) by-product as confirmed by deuterium NMR (²D-NMR) (Fig. 1a)

Secondly, 2 equiv. of (2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl (TEMPO) or butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), as radical inhibitors, were added into the reaction system under the standard conditions. The reaction was totally suppressed when TEMPO was added, with the TEMPO being mainly reduced to 2,2,6,6tetramethylpiperidine; whereas in the case of BHT, the reaction proceeded slower compared to the one without the inhibitor, demonstrating that the radical based mechanism was involved in this transformation (Fig. 1b).25 Thirdly, the fluorescence quenching experiment between benzophenone and N_2H_4 was performed (see ESI[†] for details). The fluorescence of benzophenone could be efficiently quenched by N₂H₄, suggesting the existence of a strong interaction between the excited benzophenone and N₂H₄ (Fig. 1c). From the three mechanistic studies shown above, it was reasonable to propose that the ketyl radical was formed by the HAT process between the 1,2-diradical, generated by the photoexcitation of the aromatic ketone and N_2H_4 .

3.2 Identification of the HAT behavior of N_2H_4

3.2.1 Determination of the number of hydrogens reacted in N_2H_4 . Firstly, the stability of N_2H_4 was studied: N_2H_4 was very stable and did not decompose under the standard conditions (see ESI[†] for details). Secondly, since N₂H₄ had four protons in total, it potentially had two possible pathways to donate its hydrogens: pathway A: donating all four hydrogens to generate N_2 ; pathway B: only two hydrogens being donated to form the unstable diimide (N₂H₂), which then readily decomposes to N₂ and H₂ gases. To understand how many hydrogens were used in this reaction, in situ control experiments by limiting various N₂H₄ amounts were run in a quartz NMR tube to directly monitor the reactions by ¹H NMR (Scheme 2). For example, when 0.25 equiv. of N2H4 was used, only around half conversion (42%) was obtained with around 42% product yield, in the presence of 1 equiv. of hydrogen atoms $(4 \times 0.25 \text{ equiv.})$ and the N₂H₄ was completely consumed as shown by NMR (see ESI[†] for details), which was further confirmed by the absence of hydrazone or azine when benzaldehyde was added into the NMR tube after the reaction. The evidence clearly stated that only two hydrogens in N₂H₄ were involved in this transformation, favoring pathway B over A.

3.2.2 Evidence for diimide (N_2H_2) . Due to the instability of the diimide (N_2H_2) , it would be very difficult to obtain the direct evidence.¹⁵ Recent work reported by Minnaard and Lin showed that alkenes could be efficiently hydrogenated to alkanes by the *in situ* generated N_2H_2 from N_2H_4 .^{13b,16} Therefore, 4-methoxystyrene was added into the reaction system as the indicator of N_2H_2 under the standard conditions, and the hydrogenated product 4-ethylanisole could be generated, indicating that N_2H_2

Edge Article



Fig. 1 Examination of the mechanism of ketyl radical formation.



was likely formed under the standard conditions (Scheme 3a). Moreover, when 1,2-dimethylhydrazine was used as the reductant instead of N_2H_4 , MeN=NMe, the N_2H_2 analogue, was detected in the gas phase (see ESI† for details),¹⁷ further indicating the formation of N_2H_2 (Scheme 3b). Finally, analysis of the gas phase of the standard reaction conditions showed the presence of N_2 but not NH₃ (see ESI† for details). With all the above information shown, the reaction pathway B for N_2H_4 was more feasible.

3.3 Mechanism of the pinacol C-C bond formation process

Historically, the commonly proposed mechanism for the UVirradiation assisted benzopinacol type C–C bond formation was the homo-dimerization of two ketyl radicals (Scheme 4, left).^{11d,18} However, from modern perspectives, it is arguable that the C–C bond formation step arises from the homo-



Scheme 3 Evidence of N_2H_2 .

dimerization of two free radicals, simply because of the extremely low concentration and short life-times of the active radical species in solution,¹⁹ although it may represent the apparent results. Instead, another possible route to form the C-C bond is *via* the ketyl radical addition to the unsaturated C=O (Scheme 4, right). Therefore, we were wondering whether the



(00)

kinetics toolkit could be used to differentiate the two mechanisms to uncover this "historical mystery" of the C–C bond formation mechanism in this pinacol reaction.

Initially, the rate determining step (RDS) of this transformation was studied. Firstly, the kinetic isotope effect (KIE) was used to identify whether the HAT process was the RDS or not. The KIE $(k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D})$ was around 1 when the N₂D₄ was used compared to the normal N₂H₄, indicating that the HAT process was not the RDS but a fast step (Scheme 5 and see ESI† for details). Since this reaction was initiated by UV irradiation, the photoexcitation might be the RDS. To examine this assumption, the reaction was run under UV irradiation with its light intensity reduced to 2/3 of its original one; and it was found that the reaction rate was reduced accordingly ($\nu_{\rm obs}$ (2/3 I_0)/ $\nu_{\rm obs}$ (I_0) \approx 1.99/3 \approx 2/3 (theoretical number), see ESI† for details), suggesting that the photoexcitation was the RDS.

With the above kinetic information, if the mechanism for C– C bond formation was *via* ketyl radical homo-dimerization, the v_{obs} (observed reaction rate) would be directly proportional to the I_0^2 (the square of the light intensity). However, this assumption was not correct because the v_{obs} was directly proportional to the I_0 , as stated before. On the other hand, if the mechanism was *via* ketyl radical addition to the unsaturated C==O, the v_{obs} would be directly proportional to the I_0 , which was very consistent with the experimental data. Thus, the latter one was the more likely mechanism (see ESI† for details).

3.4 DFT study of the mechanism

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out in order to verify the mechanism proposed based on the experimental study and to gain detailed insights into elementary steps and structural transformations along the pathway. Acetophenone (**1a**) reaction with hydrazine was chosen as a model of the pinacol coupling in calculations. All calculations were performed using the Gaussian software package (version 16, Revision B.01). The B3LYP approximation²⁰ corrected to account for dispersion interactions²¹ was used as the exchange-correlation functional. The 6-31G(d,p) basis set was employed to represent molecular orbitals. Excited state calculations were performed using timedependent DFT. Acetonitrile solvent was represented implicitly using the polarizable continuum model in the integral equation formalism.²² After structures of stable intermediates and transition states were optimized, frequency calculations were carried



Scheme 5 KIE studies.



Scheme 6 The B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) energy profile of the reaction.

out and thermodynamic functions were calculated for the standard-state temperature 298.15 K and concentration 1 mol L^{-1} for all species in the ground electronic states.

The stable intermediate structures and transition states are shown in Scheme 6 together with their energies (the free energy diagram is shown in the ESI[†]). For acetophenone, the intense UV-Vis absorption peak is calculated to lie at 239 nm (5.18 eV), in agreement with the experimentally observed transition (5.17 eV).²³ Our excited state modeling also shows that a manifold of the excited triplet states of acetophenone lies between 3.31 and 3.35 eV above the ground state. This characterization is consistent with previous studies²³ that show that an excited acetophenone undergoes vibrationally assisted intersystem crossing into a manifold of triplet states to generate the 1,2-diradical (Scheme 6). Our calculations indicate that the HAT from the triplet-state acetophenone to hydrazine is a barrierless process that leads to the formation of the ketyl radical bound to N₂H₃⁻.

In the next major step of the reaction, the ketyl radical in its electronic ground state still bound to N_2H_3 forms the carboncarbon bond with another ground-state acetophenone molecule. Unsurprisingly, the carbon-carbon bond cannot be stabilized without another HAT from the nearby N_2H_3 to the incoming acetophenone molecule. This is consistent with the observation of Glorius *et al.*, who noted that the radical addition to C==O is fully reversible without the assistance of hydrogen.²⁴ Notably, it was found that the second HAT and C-C coupling can happen simultaneously *via* the transition state (TS) shown in Scheme 6. In the final step, the diimide N_2H_2 formed in the previous step decomposes into N_2 and H_2 .

The results of computational modeling are fully consistent with the experimental observations and suggest that the energy the system acquires after the excitation is sufficient to overcome the transition state associated with the C–C bond formation.

4. Conclusions

We have developed a metal-free and clean pinacol coupling protocol enabled by light with N_2H_4 , for the first time, as a HAT

View Article Online Chemical Science

Edge Article

reductant under very mild conditions (room temperature). The reaction produces only traceless non-toxic N_2 and H_2 gases as byproducts. The protocol solves the long-standing metal waste issues in the classical pinacol coupling reactions and has a relatively broad aromatic ketone scope and functional group tolerance. Potential synthetic applications are demonstrated by a gram-scale reaction. Furthermore, the fundamental steps regarding the mechanism such as the processes of ketyl radical formation, the HAT behavior of N_2H_4 and the C–C bond formation of the product were extensively studied experimentally and computationally.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Canada Research Chair Foundation (to C.-J. Li), the CFI, FRQNT Center for Green Chemistry and Catalysis, NSERC, and McGill University for support of our research. R. Z. K. acknowledges funding by NSERC through the Discovery Grant (RGPIN-2016-05059). The authors are grateful to Compute Canada for computer time and thank Siting Ni for assistance with UV-visible and fluorescence spectra measurements. Z. Qiu is grateful to McGill's Chemistry Department for the Major Scholarship and Pall Dissertation Award. D. Castillo-Pazos thanks CONACYT Mexico (2018-000009-01EXTF-00413) for financial support.

Notes and references

- 1 T. Newhouse, P. S. Baran and R. W. Hoffmann, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 3010–3021.
- 2 (a) T. Hirao, Catalytic Reductive Coupling of Carbonyl Compounds – The Pinacol Coupling Reaction in Metal Catalyzed Reductive C-C Bond Formation, ed. M. J. Krische, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007, ch.120, pp. 53-75; (b) T. Wirth, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1996, 35, 61-63.
- 3 (a) B. K. Hazarika and D. K. Dutta, Synth. Commun., 2011, 41, 1088–1093; (b) T. Mandal, S. Jana and J. Dash, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2017, 4972–4983; (c) G. M. Robertson, in Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, ed. B. M. Trost and I. Fleming, Pergamon, Oxford, 1991, pp. 563–611, DOI: 10.1016/b978-0-08-052349-1.00073-1.
- 4 (a) P. Anastas and N. Eghbali, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 301–312; (b) C. J. Li, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 1836–1838.
- 5 (a) M. Nakajima, E. Fava, S. Loescher, Z. Jiang and M. Rueping, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 8828-8832;
 (b) Q. Xia, J. Dong, H. Song and Q. Wang, Chem.-Eur. J., 2019, 25, 2949-2961.
- 6 L. Lv, Z. Qiu, J. Li, M. Liu and C. J. Li, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 4739.
- 7 (a) T. Shimada, A. Tamaki, H. Nakai and T. Homma, *Electrochemistry*, 2007, 75, 45-49; (b) H. G. Roth, N. A. Romero and D. A. Nicewicz, *Synlett*, 2016, 27, 714-

723; (c) V. V. Pavlishchuk and A. W. Addison, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2000, **298**, 97–102.

- 8 Y. Shen, Y. Gu and R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 12200-12209.
- 9 R. G. W. Norrish and C. H. Bamford, Nature, 1937, 140, 195-196.
- 10 N. C. Yang and D. D. H. Yang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1958, 80, 2913–2914.
- 11 (a) C. Chen, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, 14, 8641–8647; (b)
 W. Liu, N. Chen, X. Yang, L. Li and C. J. Li, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 13120–13123; (c) W. B. Liu, X. B. Yang, Z. Z. Zhou and C. J. Li, Chem, 2017, 2, 688–702; (d) S. G. Cohen, A. Parola and G. H. Parsons, Chem. Rev., 1973, 73, 141–161.
- 12 Y.-R. Luo, *Comprehensive handbook of chemical bond energies*, CRC press, 2007.
- 13 (a) K. D. Kim and J. H. Lee, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 7712–7716; (b)
 C. Smit, M. W. Fraaije and A. J. Minnaard, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 9482–9485; (c) D. Sellmann and A. Hennige, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1997, 36, 276–278.
- 14 D. Cao, C. Yan, P. Zhou, H. Zeng and C. J. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 767–770.
- 15 (a) S. Hünig, H. R. Müller and W. Thier, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1965, 4, 271–280; (b) D. Sellmann and A. Hennige, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1997, 36, 276–278.
- 16 (a) D. Leow, Y. H. Chen, T. H. Hung, Y. Su and Y. Z. Lin, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, 2014, 7347–7352; (b) B. Pieber, S. T. Martinez, D. Cantillo and C. O. Kappe, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 10241–10244.
- 17 B. N. Kenessov, J. A. Koziel, T. Grotenhuis and L. Carlsen, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2010, **674**, 32–39.
- 18 (a) J. N. Pitts, R. L. Letsinger, R. P. Taylor, J. M. Patterson, G. Recktenwald and R. B. Martin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1959, 81, 1068–1077; (b) S. G. Cohen and R. J. Baumgarten, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, 89, 3471–3475.
- 19 (a) H. Fischer, Chem. Rev., 2001, 101, 3581–3610; (b)
 D. Leifert and A. Studer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201903726.
- 20 (a) A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 5648-5652; (b)
 C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B, 1988, 37, 785-789; (c) S. H. Vosko, L. Wilk and M. Nusair, Can. J. Phys., 1980, 58, 1200-1211.
- 21 S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **132**, 154104.
- 22 G. Scalmani and M. J. Frisch, J. Chem. Phys., 2010, 132, 114110.
- 23 (*a*) M. Huix-Rotllant and N. Ferré, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **140**, 134305; (*b*) M. Huix-Rotllant, I. Burghardt and N. Ferré, *C. R. Chim.*, 2016, **19**, 50–56.
- 24 (a) L. Pitzer, F. Sandfort, F. Strieth-Kalthoff and F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 13652–13655; (b) L. Pitzer, F. Sandfort, F. Strieth-Kalthoff and F. Glorius, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 16219–16223.
- 25 The corresponding ketyl radical TEMPO and BHT adducts could be detected in trace amounts by ESI-HRMS. For TEMPO, HRMS (ESI): found for the adduct $C_{22}H_{29}O_2NNa$ ($[M + Na]^+$) 362.2104, calculated 362.2091; for BHT, HRMS (ESI): found for the adduct $C_{28}H_{34}O_2Na$ ($[M + Na]^+$) 425.2466, calculated 425.2451.