ARTICLE



Identification of the Polo-like kinase substrate required for homologous synapsis

Ariel L. Gold¹, Matthew E. Hurlock¹, Alicia M. Guevara¹, Lilah Y.Z. Isenberg¹, and Yumi Kim¹

The synaptonemal complex (SC) is a zipper-like protein structure that aligns homologous chromosome pairs and regulates recombination during meiosis. Despite its conserved appearance and function, how synapsis occurs between chromosome axes remains elusive. Here, we demonstrate that Polo-like kinases (PLKs) phosphorylate a single conserved residue in the disordered C-terminal tails of two paralogous SC subunits, SYP-5 and SYP-6, to establish an electrostatic interface between the SC central region and chromosome axes in *C. elegans*. While SYP-5/6 phosphorylation is dispensable for the ability of SC proteins to self-assemble, local phosphorylation by PLKs at the pairing center is crucial for SC elongation between homologous chromosome axes. Additionally, SYP-5/6 phosphorylation is essential for asymmetric SC disassembly and proper PLK-2 localization after crossover designation, which drives chromosome remodeling required for homolog separation during meiosis I. This work identifies a key regulatory mechanism by which localized PLK activity mediates the SC-axis interaction through phosphorylation of SYP-5/6, coupling synapsis initiation to homolog pairing.

Introduction

Accurate chromosome segregation during meiosis requires chromosomes to pair and undergo crossover recombination with their homologs in the meiotic prophase. In most eukaryotes, homolog alignment is reinforced by synapsis, a process defined by the formation of a zipper-like protein assembly called the synaptonemal complex (SC). The SC is conventionally viewed as a tripartite structure consisting of two parallel chromosome axes that form between sister chromatids and a central region that connects the two (Page and Hawley, 2004). While the SC proteins can self-assemble to form aggregates known as "polycomplexes" outside chromosomes in diverse species (Moses et al., 1975; Goldstein, 1987; Zickler and Kleckner, 1999), the SC preferentially assembles between paired chromosome axes. The chromosome axis is composed of meiotic cohesins and, in most species, members of the HORMA domain protein family (Ur and Corbett, 2021). In budding yeast, plants, and mammals, HORMA domain proteins are depleted from axes upon SC assembly (Börner et al., 2008; Lambing et al., 2015; Wojtasz et al., 2009), and the changes in the axis composition enable the cell to coordinate synapsis with meiotic progression (Daniel et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2015). However, the interface between chromosome axes and the SC central region has not been clearly defined (Gordon and Rog, 2023).

The nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* is an excellent model organism for investigating the molecular architecture and assembly mechanisms of the SC. Exhaustive genetic screens and biochemical purification have established a nearly complete list of proteins comprising the chromosome axis (Couteau et al., 2004; Couteau and Zetka, 2005; Martinez-Perez and Villeneuve, 2005; Goodyer et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2024; de Carvalho et al., 2008) and the SC central region in C. elegans (MacQueen et al., 2002; Colaiácovo et al., 2003; Smolikov et al., 2007, 2009; Hurlock et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Blundon et al., 2024). Soluble protein complexes representing the hierarchical assembly of the meiotic HORMA domain proteins (HIM-3, HTP-1, HTP-2, and HTP-3) and two other axis proteins (LAB-1 and LAB-2) have been reconstituted using purified proteins in vitro (Kim et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2024). A soluble SC building block comprising the six core subunits of the SC central region (SYP-1, SYP-2, SYP-3, SYP-4, SYP-5/6, and SKR-1/2) has also been reconstituted in vitro (Blundon et al., 2024), providing a foundation for the structural determination of the SC. Moreover, advances in super-resolution microscopy have provided valuable insights into the organization and structural changes within the SC during meiotic progression (Köhler et al., 2017, 2022, Preprint; Woglar et al., 2020).

Before entering the meiotic prophase, SYP/SKR proteins nucleate to form polycomplexes that exhibit liquid-like properties (Rog et al., 2017). SC materials then load at the pairing centers, specialized regions near one end of each chromosome crucial for homolog recognition, and rapidly extend along the

¹Department of Biology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA.

Correspondence to Yumi Kim: yumi.kim@jhu.edu.

^{© 2024} Gold et al. This article is distributed under the terms of an Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike–No Mirror Sites license for the first six months after the publication date (see http://www.rupress.org/terms/). After six months it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution–Noncommercial–Share Alike 4.0 International license, as described at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/).

chromosome length (Rog and Dernburg, 2015; MacQueen et al., 2005). These pairing centers function as signaling hubs, recruiting meiosis-specific Checkpoint kinase 2 (CHK-2) and Pololike kinases (PLK-1 and PLK-2) to tether chromosomes to the cytoskeletal network and initiate meiotic chromosome dynamics (Harper et al., 2011; Labella et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2015; Sato et al., 2009). An additional PLK-docking site is generated on SYP-1 in the early meiotic prophase (Sato-Carlton et al., 2018). However, PLKs are preferentially localized and constrained at the pairing center to ensure proper homolog pairing and synapsis (Brandt et al., 2020; Roelens et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2012). Following crossover designation, PLK-2 relocates to the crossover site and the docking site on SYP-1 (Brandt et al., 2020; Sato-Carlton et al., 2018; Woglar and Villeneuve, 2018), stabilizing the SC in a chromosome-autonomous manner to prevent further DNA double-strand break formation (Machovina et al., 2016; Pattabiraman et al., 2017; Nadarajan et al., 2017).

In holocentric *C. elegans*, a single crossover event divides the chromosome into its short and long arms, ultimately defining the site of cohesin release during meiosis I (Altendorfer et al., 2020; Nabeshima et al., 2005; Ferrandiz et al., 2018; Rogers et al., 2002; Kaitna et al., 2002). One of the earliest events that exhibit asymmetry relative to the crossover site is the enrichment of PLK-2 on the short arm (Pattabiraman et al., 2017; Sato-Carlton et al., 2018; Brandt et al., 2020). PLK-2 kinase activity reinforces its own docking site on the SC short arm and drives axis remodeling and asymmetric SC disassembly (Harper et al., 2011; Sato-Carlton et al., 2018; Brandt et al., 2020). However, despite its central role in orchestrating SC assembly and disassembly in C. elegans, PLK substrates are largely unknown. While one residue in SYP-4 is known to be phosphorylated by PLKs, transitioning the SC to a more stable state upon crossover designation, the SC forms even without this phosphorylation (Nadarajan et al., 2017), leaving the PLK substrates required for synapsis elusive. We hypothesized that PLKs promote SC assembly by directly phosphorylating its core subunits. Therefore, we searched for residues conforming to the PLK consensus motifs (D/ E-X-pS/T- Φ ; Φ represents a hydrophobic residue) (Nakajima et al., 2003) within the SC central region proteins. In this work, we focus on two paralogous proteins, SYP-5 and SYP-6, which traverse the width of the SC in a head-to-head manner, with their N-termini positioned at the center and the C-termini facing the chromosome axes (Hurlock et al., 2020). We demonstrated that PLKs phosphorylate a single conserved residue at the disordered C-terminal tails of SYP-5/6 to establish the electrostatic interface between chromosome axes and the SC central region. This phosphorylation is essential not only for SC assembly but also for reinforcing the SC short-arm identity during disassembly, thereby ensuring faithful chromosome segregation during meiosis.

Results

SYP-5 is phosphorylated at its C-terminal tail during meiotic prophase

SYP-5 and SYP-6 contain clusters of putative PLK phosphorylation sites in their disordered C-terminal tails. In particular, SYP-5 S541 and SYP-6 T685 are positioned near the end of the conserved C-terminal acidic patches (Fig. 1 A), which are crucial for SC assembly (Hurlock et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). To determine whether these two residues are phosphorylated in vivo, we sought to raise polyclonal antibodies against the phosphopeptides surrounding SYP-5 S541 and SYP-6 T685. The affinity-purified SYP-5 pS541 antibody recognized the phosphorylated SYP-5 S541 peptide but not the non-phosphorylated version (Fig. S1 A), demonstrating its phosphospecificity. However, our efforts to obtain an antibody specific to SYP-6 pT685 were unsuccessful. Therefore, we focused on characterizing the phosphorylation status of SYP-5 S541, anticipating that SYP-6 would follow a similar pattern.

Immunofluorescence using the SYP-5 pS541 antibody revealed that SYP-5 is indeed phosphorylated at its C-terminal tail during meiotic prophase (Fig. 1 B). The phospho-signal for SYP-5 S541 was detected around meiotic entry at polycomplexes and along the SC in pachytene (Fig. 1, B and C). During SC disassembly, SYP-5 S541 phosphorylation became restricted to one side of each crossover-designated site, marked by COSA-1 (Yokoo et al., 2012), similar to the total SYP-5 staining (Fig. 1 D), representing the short arm of the SC. This localization aligns with the presence of PLK-2 on the SC in late pachytene (Sato-Carlton et al., 2018; Pattabiraman et al., 2017; Brandt et al., 2020; Nadarajan et al., 2017), supporting the hypothesis that PLKs phosphorylate the C-terminal tail of SYP-5.

Phosphorylation of SYP-5 at S541 requires both PLK-1 and PLK-2, but not the PLK-docking site on SYP-1

To demonstrate the phosphorylation of SYP-5 by PLKs, we performed in vitro kinase assays using recombinant 6His-MBP-SYP-5 and PLK-2 and mapped phosphorylation sites by mass spectrometry. Our analysis revealed robust phosphorylation of SYP-5 at multiple residues including S541 (Fig. 2 A). Additionally, the SYP-5 pS541 antibody recognized recombinant 6His-MBP-SYP-5 after incubation with PLK-2 (Fig. 2 B), confirming the phosphorylation of SYP-5 S541 by PLK-2 in vitro. To determine whether PLKs are responsible for SYP-5 phosphorylation in vivo, we examined the phosphorylation status at SYP-5 S541 in wild type and *plk-2* animals by immunofluorescence. Due to partial redundancy between PLK-2 and its mitotic paralog PLK-1 (Harper et al., 2011; Labella et al., 2011), we also knocked down plk-1 via RNAi in plk-2 mutants to deplete both PLKs. While SYP-5 S541 phosphorylation was robustly detected along the SC in wild type, plk-2, and plk-1(RNAi) animals, it was abolished in plk-2; plk-1(RNAi) mutants (Fig. 2 C). Thus, SYP-5 S541 phosphorylation depends on both PLK-1 and PLK-2 in vivo. We also determined whether the recruitment of PLKs to the SC is necessary for SYP-5 phosphorylation by staining for SYP-5 pS541 in syp-1^{T452A} mutants, which are defective in PLK docking (Sato-Carlton et al., 2018; Brandt et al., 2020). Interestingly, SYP-5 phosphorylation was still detected on the SC in syp-1^{T452A} animals (Fig. 2 C), indicating that SYP-5 phosphorylation does not require the PLK-docking site on the SC.

Phosphorylation of SYP-5 S541 and SYP-6 T685 is essential for robust SC assembly

Next, we investigated the significance of C-terminal phosphorylation of SYP-5/6 by introducing phosphorylation-defective

sJCB



Figure 1. **Phosphorylation of SYP-5 S541 during meiotic prophase. (A)** Amino acid alignment of SYP-5/6 orthologs from *Caenorhabditis* species using the T-Coffee algorithm. Amino acids are colored using the Clustal X color scheme: hydrophobic (blue), positive (red), negative (purple), polar (green), cysteine (pink), glycine (orange), proline (yellow), aromatic (cyan), and unconserved (white). The black line above the sequence alignment indicates the phosphopeptide used to generate phospho-specific antibodies and the arrow indicates the PLK phosphorylation sites characterized in this study. (B) Composite projection images of a full-length gonad dissected from a worm strain expressing GFP::COSA-1, 3xFlag::SYP-5, and HA::SYP-6 are shown for DNA (top) and SYP-5 pS541 (bottom). Scale bar, 50 μm. (C) Zoomed-in images of leptotene/zygotene (upper panels) and pachytene nuclei (lower panels) as indicated by white boxes in B. 3xFlag::SYP-5 (magenta) and SYP-5 pS541 (green), and GFP::COSA-1 (red) staining are shown. Scale bar, 5 μm.



Figure 2. **Phosphorylation of SYP-5 S541 requires both PLK-1 and PLK-2**, **but not the PLK-docking site on SYP-1**. (A) Schematic showing in vitro PLK-2 phosphorylation sites on SYP-5, with sequence coverage from mass spectrometry analysis indicated by gray blocks. Conserved residues that conform to the PLK consensus motif are highlighted in magenta. (B) In vitro kinase assays using recombinant 6His-MBP-SYP-5 incubated with or without PLK-2. Coomassie staining of the purified proteins (left) and western blot for SYP-5 pS541 (right) are shown. 6His-MBP-SYP-5 is indicated by an arrow. (C) Immunofluorescence images of pachytene nuclei from wild type, *plk-2(tm1395)*, *plk-1(RNAi)*, *plk-2(tm1395)*; *plk-1(RNAi)*, and *syp-1^{T452A}* animals, stained for SYP-1 (magenta), and SYP-5 pS541 (green). Scale bar, 5 µm. Source data are available for this figure: SourceData F2.

mutations at SYP-5 S541 and SYP-6 T685 using CRISPR. The selfprogeny of hermaphrodites homozygous for the *syp-5*^{S541A} single mutation exhibited a significant reduction in egg viability (72% versus 91% in the wild type control) (Fig. S2 A). Additionally, 6% of surviving progeny were males compared with 2% observed in control (Fig. S2 B). In *C. elegans*, males arise from X-chromosome nondisjunction during meiosis (Hodgkin et al., 1979), suggesting errors in meiotic chromosome segregation occur in mutants

defective in phosphorylation at SYP-5 S541. Animals homozygous for the $syp-6^{T685A}$ mutation alone showed no meiotic defects. However, those harboring both $syp-5^{S541A}$ $syp-6^{T685A}$ mutations exhibited a greater reduction in egg viability (53%) and a higher percentage of male progeny (8%) than the $syp-5^{S541A}$ single mutants, suggesting a contribution of phosphorylation at SYP-6 T685 to meiotic fidelity. We note a higher embryonic lethality and a higher percentage of males in our control strain than in the N2 (100% egg viability; 0.2% males), which is likely due to the epitopes tags added to SYP-5/6 (3xflag::syp-5 ha::syp-6) (Hurlock et al., 2020).

syp-5^{S541A} syp-6^{T685A} animals were proficient in homolog pairing, as assessed by the X chromosome pairing center protein, HIM-8 (Phillips et al., 2005) (Fig. S2 C). However, these animals exhibited severe defects in SC assembly, with most cells displaying either polycomplexes or only partial SC stretches in the germline (Fig. 3 A). Importantly, the phospho-signal at SYP-5 S541 was eliminated in the syp-5^{S541A} mutants but remained intact in the syp-6^{T685A} mutants (Fig. S1 B), confirming that our phospho-antibody is specific to SYP-5 pS541. Failures in synapsis cause delays in meiotic progression by extending the kinase activity of CHK-2 (Kim et al., 2015; Stamper et al., 2013; Rosu et al., 2013). Consistent with this, we found that the "CHK-2 active zone" is substantially prolonged in syp-5^{S541A} syp-6^{T685A} mutants (73% versus 43% in the wild type control), as examined by CHK-2-mediated phosphorylation of the pairing center proteins (HIM-8 and ZIMs) (Kim et al., 2015) (Fig. S2, D and E). Additionally, while control animals displayed six crossoverdesignated COSA-1 foci in late pachytene (Yokoo et al., 2012), the average number of COSA-1 foci in syp-5^{S541A} syp-6^{T685A} mutants was reduced to 4.2, ranging from one to seven (Fig. S2, F and G), reflecting the role of the SC in both promoting and limiting crossovers (Hayashi et al., 2010; Libuda et al., 2013). Together, these results demonstrate that PLK-mediated phosphorylation at the single conserved residue in the C-termini of SYP-5/6 is essential for robust SC assembly.

Phosphomimetic mutations at the C-termini of SYP-5 and SYP-6 also lead to synapsis defects

We also mutated SYP-5 S541 and SYP-6 T685 to aspartic acids (D) to determine whether introducing negative charges at the SYP-5/6 C-termini is sufficient to promote SC assembly. The self-progeny of hermaphrodites carrying the *syp-5*^{S541D} *syp-6*^{T685D} mutation exhibited a marked decrease in egg viability (48% versus 91% in control) and an increase in the percentage of male progeny (8% versus 2% in control) (Fig. S3, A and B), indicating errors in meiotic chromosome segregation. Interestingly, syp-5^{S541D} syp-6^{T685D} mutants also displayed severe defects in SC assembly (Fig. S3 C), similar to the phenotypes observed in syp- 5^{S541A} syp- 6^{T685A} mutants. As a result, the CHK-2 active zone was longer in syp-5^{S541D} syp-6^{T685D} mutants (74%) compared with 43% in control (Fig. S3, D and E). These data suggest that introducing negative charges to the C-terminal tails of SYP-5/6 is insufficient to promote synapsis. Alternatively, amino acid substitutions may not accurately recapitulate the effects of phosphorylation, and attaching phosphate groups to these residues is critical for SC assembly.

Phosphorylation of SYP-5 S541 and SYP-6 T685 is essential for retaining SC proteins on chromosome axes after crossover designation

Further analyses of $syp-5^{S541A}$ $syp-6^{T685A}$ and $syp-5^{S541D}$ $syp-6^{T685D}$ mutants revealed that phosphorylation of the C-terminal tails of SYP-5/6 is necessary for proper SC disassembly. In the control strain, the SC central region disassembled from the long arm relative to the crossover-designated site and was retained on the short arm (Fig. 3 B), as previously described (Nabeshima et al., 2005; Martinez-Perez et al., 2008). However, in both $syp-5^{S541A}$ $syp-6^{T685A}$ and $syp-5^{S541D}$ $syp-6^{T685D}$ mutants, the SC proteins were prematurely lost from chromosome arms and appeared as puncta at crossover-designated sites (Fig. 3 B and Fig. S4 A). Strikingly, SC proteins frequently dissociated from condensing chromosomes in diplotene and formed elongated polycomplexes that were associated with multiple COSA-1 foci in $syp-5^{S541A}$ $syp-6^{T685A}$ (42% of the gonads, n = 12) and $syp-5^{S541D}$ $syp-6^{T685D}$ mutants (38% of the gonads, n = 13) (Fig. 3 C and Fig. S4 A).

We hypothesized that phosphorylation of the SYP-5/6 C-termini is essential for maintaining the association of SC proteins with chromosome arms after crossover designation and that SC proteins primarily associate with crossover-designated sites in the absence of SYP-5/6 phosphorylation. To test this hypothesis, we combined the *syp-5^{5541A} syp-6^{T685A}* mutations with a null allele of *cosa-1* essential for crossover designation (Yokoo et al., 2012). In *cosa-1* mutants, the SC and phospho-signal for SYP-5 S541 persisted on chromosome axes until diplotene and diakinesis (Fig. S4, B and C). By contrast, in *syp-5^{S541A} syp-6^{T685A}; cosa-1* animals, the SC proteins showed abrupt dissociation from condensing chromosomes and were instead found in nucleoplasmic aggregates (Fig. S4 B). Thus, phosphorylation of the SYP-5/6 C-termini is essential for retaining the SC central region proteins on the short arm during SC disassembly.

Phosphorylation of SYP-5/6 C-termini is required for asymmetric partitioning of bivalents after crossover designation

After crossover designation, PLK-2 becomes enriched on the SC short arm via its docking site on SYP-1 (Sato-Carlton et al., 2018; Brandt et al., 2020). However, in syp-5^{S541A} syp-6^{T685A} mutants, PLK-2::mRuby dissociates from chromosomes, accumulating instead on nucleoplasmic SC aggregates (Fig. 4 A). The displacement of PLK-2 led to an aberrant pattern of chromosome remodeling necessary for two-step cohesin loss during meiotic divisions. Notably, two paralogous HORMA domain proteins, HTP-1 and HTP-2, which are normally restricted to the long arm (Martinez-Perez et al., 2008), were observed on all chromosome arms in syp-5^{S541A} syp-6^{T685A} mutants (Fig. 4 B). In the wild type control, HTP-1 and HTP-2, along with the axis protein LAB-1, recruit protein phosphatase 1 to counteract phosphorylation at histone H3 T3 on the long arm (Ferrandiz et al., 2018; de Carvalho et al., 2008), which serves as a docking site for the chromosome passenger complex necessary for releasing sister cohesion during meiosis I (Kaitna et al., 2002; Rogers et al., 2002; Kelly et al., 2010). In syp-5^{S541A} syp-6^{T685A} mutants, however, the phospho-mark for histone H3 T3 was lost from chromosomes (Fig. 4 C), consistent with the persistent presence of



Figure 3. **Phosphorylation of SYP-5 S541 and SYP-6 T685 is critical for proper SC assembly and disassembly. (A)** Composite immunofluorescence images of full-length gonads from wild type and *syp-5*^{S541A} *syp-6*^{T685A} mutant animals stained for HIM-3 (magenta) and 3xFlag::SYP-5 (green). Scale bar, 50 μm. **(B)** Composite immunofluorescence images of late pachytene and diplotene region from wild type and *syp-6*^{T685A} animals showing 3xFlag::SYP-5 (magenta) and GFP::COSA-1 (green) staining. Scale bar, 50 μm. Insets show zoomed-in views of the boxed regions with DNA staining (blue). Scale bar, 1 μm. **(C)** Zoomed-in views of the boxed regions in diplotene as indicated by colored boxes in B. DNA (blue), SYP-5 (magenta), and GFP::COSA-1 (green) staining are shown. Scale bar, 1 μm.

HTP-1/2 and phosphatase activity along all chromosome arms. Thus, phosphorylation of the SYP-5/6 C-terminal tails is essential for establishing the SC short-arm identity and reinforcing the recruitment of PLK-2 to meiotic chromosomes.

Conserved tryptophan residues in SYP-5/6 C-termini are essential for proper SC assembly and disassembly, independent of SYP-5/6 phosphorylation by PLKs

Intriguingly, the PLK phosphorylation site within the SYP-5/6 C-termini is adjacent to a highly conserved tryptophan residue (SYP-5 W543 and SYP-6 W687), surrounded by negatively charged regions (Fig. 1 A; and Fig. 5, A and B). The indole side chain of tryptophan is frequently involved in protein-protein

interactions through its electron-rich π system (Gallivan and Dougherty, 1999; Burley and Petsko, 1985; Shao et al., 2022). We reasoned that these putative π interactions could contribute to synapsis in conjunction with the charge-charge interactions provided by the phosphorylation of the acidic C-termini of SYP-5/6. To determine the significance of these tryptophan residues, we mutated SYP-5 W543 and SYP-6 W687 to alanines using CRISPR. Indeed, *syp*-5^{W543A} *syp*-6^{W687A} animals exhibited severe defects in SC assembly and disassembly (Fig. 5 C and Fig. S5 A), similar to the phenotypes observed in *syp*-5/6 mutants defective in phosphorylation (Fig. 3), though with less frequent nucleoplasmic SC aggregates in diplotene (17% of gonads, n = 6). Notably, the phospho-signal for SYP-5 S541 was still detected in



Figure 4. **Phosphorylation of SYP-5/6 is required for proper PLK-2 localization and chromosome remodeling in late meiotic prophase. (A)** Composite immunofluorescence images of wild type and *syp-5*^{5541A} *syp-6*^{7685A} animals showing DNA (blue) and PLK-2::mRuby (green) staining. Scale bar, 25 µm. Insets show zoomed-in views of the boxed regions with 3xFlag::SYP-5 (magenta) staining. Scale bar, 1 µm. **(B)** Immunofluorescence images of diakinesis nuclei from wild type and *syp-5*^{5541A} *syp-6*^{7685A} animals showing HTP-3, 3xFlag::SYP-5 (green), and HTP-1/2 (magenta). Scale bar, 20 µm. Insets show representative bivalents from indicated genotypes showing HTP-3, HTP-1/2 (magenta), and SYP-5 (green) staining. Scale bar, 1 µm. **(C)** Immunofluorescence images of diakinesis nuclei from wild type and *syp-5*^{5541A} *syp-6*^{7685A} animals showing DNA, histone H3 pT3 (green), and HTP-3 (magenta) staining. Scale bar, 5 µm. Insets show zoomed-in views of the boxed regions. Scale bar, 1 µm.

 $syp-5^{W543A}$ $syp-6^{W687A}$ mutants (Fig. S5 B), indicating that the tryptophan residues are crucial for SC assembly, independent of the phosphorylation at the SYP-5/6 C-termini.

We next determined whether mutating the tryptophan residues exacerbates the SC defects observed in *syp-5/6* phosphomutants. Hermaphrodites carrying the $syp-5^{S541A}$ w543A $syp-6^{T685A}$ ^{W687A} mutations produced an average of 41% viable self-progeny, with 9% of them being males, which is lower than the viability observed in $syp-5^{S541A}$ $syp-6^{T685A}$ (53%) or $syp-5^{W543A}$ $syp-6^{W687A}$ mutants (80%) (Fig. 5, D and E). These data suggest that PLK-



Figure 5. **Conserved tryptophan residues in SYP-5/6 C-termini are essential for proper SC assembly. (A and B)** Electrostatic potential of the C-terminal tails of SYP-5 (aa 536–547) (A) and SYP-6 (aa 682–691) (B) using AlphaFold models (AF-Q9N3E8-F1 and AF-AOA7R9SUK8-F1). Conserved tryptophan residues (SYP-5 W543 and SYP-6 W687) are labeled in green. (C) Composite immunofluorescence images of dissected gonads from wild type, *syp-5^{W543A} syp-6^{W687A}*, and *syp-5^{S541A W543A} syp-6^{T685A W687A}* animals showing HIM-3 (magenta) and 3xFlag::SYP-5 (green). Scale bar, 50 μ m. (D and E) Graphs showing the percent viable and male self-progeny from wild type (n = 1,921), *syp-5^{S541A syp-6^{T685A}* (n = 1,526), *syp-5^{W543A syp-6^{W687A}* (n = 1,962), and *syp-5^{S541A, W543A} syp-6^{T685A, W687A}* hermaphrodites (n = 1,156). ***, P < 0.0001; ****, P < 0.0001 by unpaired *t* test.}}

mediated phosphorylation and the tryptophan residues independently contribute to meiotic fidelity. Immunofluorescence analysis revealed similarly severe SC defects in $syp-5^{S54IA}$ W^{543A} $syp-6^{T685A}$ W^{687A} mutants (Fig. 5 C), but a higher frequency of nucleoplasmic SC aggregates in diplotene (75% of the gonads, n = 8) (Fig. S5 A), potentially explaining the additive effect of the tryptophan mutations on egg viability in syp-5/6 phosphomutants. Additionally, western blot analysis showed that SYP-5 levels were unchanged by any of the mutations we generated in the C-terminal tails of SYP-5/6 (Fig. S5, C and D), indicating that the SC defects are not due to altered SYP-5/6 expression.

Phosphorylation and tryptophan residues in SYP-5/6 C-termini provide affinity between the SC central region and meiotic HORMA domain proteins

We hypothesized that PLK-mediated phosphorylation and the aromatic side chain of tryptophan residues at the SYP-5/6 C-termini provide affinity between the SC central region and chromosome axes, coordinating the assembly and disassembly of the SC during meiotic progression. The interaction between the SC central region and axis components is transient and not readily detected by conventional immunoprecipitation (Hurlock et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Blundon et al., 2024). However, HORMA domain proteins are recruited to SC polycomplexes when meiosis-specific cohesin complexes are absent in C. elegans (Pasierbek et al., 2001; Severson et al., 2009). Therefore, we used a triple cohesin mutant that lacks all meiotic kleisins (rec-8; coh-3 coh-4) and examined the recruitment of HTP-3 and HIM-3 to polycomplexes. As expected, HIM-3 and HTP-3 were found at polycomplexes in our control strain (Fig. 6 A). By contrast, when the null alleles of rec-8, coh-3, and coh-4 were combined with either syp-5^{S541A} syp-6^{T685A}, syp-5^{S541D} syp-6^{T685D}, or syp-5^{W543A} syp- 6^{W687A} mutations, HIM-3 and HTP-3 failed to localize to spherical polycomplexes (Fig. 6 A). Thus, while SYP-5/6 phosphorylation and the tryptophan residues are dispensable for the overall ability of SC proteins to form polycomplexes, they are essential for establishing the interface between the SC central region and meiotic HORMA domain proteins.

Spatial control for SYP-5/6 phosphorylation is essential for homologous synapsis

Finally, we investigated whether the C-termini of SYP-5/6 are the major PLK substrates responsible for the affinity between the SC central region and chromosome axes. During early meiotic prophase, the activity of PLKs is restricted to the pairing centers by the nucleoplasmic HAL-2/3 complex, and this is essential for homologous pairing and synapsis (Zhang et al., 2012; Roelens et al., 2019). In hal-2/3 mutants, unleashed PLK activity in the nucleoplasm leads to premature association of SC proteins with unpaired chromosome axes (Zhang et al., 2012; Roelens et al., 2019). Interestingly, the loading of SYP-5 to chromosome axes in hal-2 mutants was prevented by syp-5^{S541A} syp-6^{T685A} or syp-5^{W543A} syp-6^{W687A} mutations, resulting in SYP-5 detected as polycomplexes throughout the germline (Fig. 6 B). These data suggest that the C-terminal tails of SYP-5/6 are the primary PLK substrates crucial for SC loading and also highlight the necessity of tightly controlled phosphorylation of SYP-5/6.

Discussion

Here, we identified a single conserved residue in the disordered C-terminal tails of SYP-5/6 as the primary PLK substrate essential for homologous synapsis in *C. elegans* meiosis. While phosphorylation of SYP-5/6 is dispensable for the self-assembly of SC subunits, it is crucial for establishing the interface between the SC central region and chromosome axes. Furthermore, SYP-5/6 phosphorylation reinforces the identity of the SC short arm and PLK-2 localization following crossover designation, thereby ensuring chromosome remodeling required for proper homolog separation during meiosis I (Fig. 7).

Phosphorylation of SYP-5/6 by PLKs begins just prior to meiotic entry (Fig. 7 A) and persists along the SC until its disassembly, independent of crossover designation or the PLKdocking site on SYP-1. We envision that SYP-5 and SYP-6 are continuously phosphorylated at the pairing centers (Fig. 7 B), where PLK localization and activity are spatially constrained (Roelens et al., 2019; Harper et al., 2011; Labella et al., 2011). As showcased in hal-2/3 mutants, uncontrolled PLK activity in the nucleoplasm is detrimental and causes precocious loading of SC proteins onto unpaired chromosome axes (Zhang et al., 2012; Roelens et al., 2019). We have now shown that these SC defects in hal-2 mutants depend on PLK-mediated phosphorylation of the SYP-5/6 C-terminal tails (Fig. 6 B), underscoring the importance of spatial control in SYP-5/6 phosphorylation. As homologous chromosomes first come into proximity at the pairing centers, localized phosphorylation of SYP-5/6 by PLKs would permit SC elongation between paired chromosome axes, thereby coupling homolog pairing with the initiation of synapsis (MacQueen et al., 2005).

Our work establishes that the interactions between the SC central region and chromosome axes in *C. elegans* are primarily electrostatic, requiring both PLK-mediated phosphorylation and the adjacent tryptophan residue within the C-terminal tails of SYP-5/6 (Fig. 7 C). Disrupting either the phosphorylation event or the tryptophan residues causes severe synapsis defects (Figs. 3, S3, and 5) and leads to the complete loss of meiotic HORMA domain proteins from polycomplexes in the rec-8; coh-3 coh-4 mutant (Fig. 6 A). We speculate that the C-terminal tails of SYP-5/6 interact with positively charged surfaces on chromosome axes. Phosphorylation of the acidic SYP-5/6 C-termini is expected to increase the negative electrostatic potential, thereby enhancing cation-anion interactions with chromosome axes. Furthermore, phosphorylation might expose and orient the π system of the adjacent tryptophan residues toward a binding pocket on the chromosome axis for effective π interactions.

Given the dramatic reduction in affinity for the chromosome axis, it is surprising that some SC stretches still form by late pachytene in our strains harboring mutations in the C-termini of SYP-5/6. This discrepancy may be explained by the larger surface area of meiotic chromosome axes compared with SC aggregates, which can support interactions with the SC central region, albeit at a reduced capacity. Interestingly, the importance of SYP-5/6 phosphorylation becomes most evident after crossover designation, when the SC proteins dissociate from the long arm while becoming enriched on the short arm. Our data indicate that without SYP-5/6 phosphorylation, SC central



Figure 6. **Phosphorylation of SYP-5/6 C-termini mediate interactions between the SC central region and meiotic HORMA domain proteins.** (**A**) Immunofluorescence images of pachytene nuclei from indicated genotypes lacking meiotic kleisin subunits (*rec-8; coh-3 coh-4*). DNA (blue), 3xFlag::SYP-5 (magenta), HIM-3 (green), and HTP-3 staining are shown. Scale bar, 5 μm. (**B**) Composite immunofluorescence images of early pachytene nuclei dissected from indicated genotypes showing SYP-5 (green) and HTP-3 (magenta) staining. Scale bar, 10 μm.

region proteins prematurely dissociate from chromosome axes, remaining chromosome-bound only through their affinity to crossover-designated sites (Fig. 3 B). We speculate that the increased sensitivity to the loss of SYP-5/6 phosphorylation at this stage is due to the remodeling of chromosome axes, which is also dependent on PLK activity (Harper et al., 2011; Sato-Carlton et al., 2018, 2020). Following crossover designation, HTP-1 and HTP-2 are depleted from the short arm in late pachytene (Martinez-Perez et al., 2008), making SYP-5/6 phosphorylation crucial to retain the SC central region. Thus, we hypothesize that prior to their depletion, HTP-1 and HTP-2 on chromosome axes can allow synapsis even in the absence of SYP-5/6 phosphorylation. Consistent with this, loading of SC proteins strictly requires SYP-5/6 phosphorylation throughout the germline in hal-2/3 mutants, where excessive PLK activity in the nucleoplasm causes premature dissociation of HTP-1/2 from chromosome axes (Roelens et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2012).

Among the known axis components in *C. elegans*, HIM-3 is positioned closest to the SC central region (Köhler et al., 2017) and promotes synapsis in a dose-dependent manner (Kim et al., 2015; Couteau et al., 2004). Moreover, recent evidence indicates that the recruitment of meiotic HORMA domain proteins to SC polycomplexes in the *rec-8; coh-3 coh-4* mutant depends on the basic residues on HIM-3 and that HIM-3 directly interacts with the phosphorylated C-terminal tail of SYP-5 (Gordon et al., 2024, *Preprint*). However, additional proteins on chromosome axes may contribute to interactions with the C-terminal tails of SYP-5/6. Future work is needed to identify the axial counterpart that faces the SC central region and to elucidate how the axis-SC central region interface is dynamically modulated during synapsis.

An unexpected consequence of abolishing SYP-5/6 phosphorylation is the displacement of PLK-2 from chromosome arms during diplotene, which disrupts the asymmetric

s:JCB



Figure 7. Model for PLK-mediated regulation of SC assembly and disassembly via phosphorylation of SYP-5/6 C-termini in C. elegans. (A) PLKdependent phosphorylation of the C-termini of SYP-5/6 occurs just prior to meiotic entry. (B) Localized phosphorylation of SYP-5/6 by PLKs at the pairing centers links homolog pairing with the initiation of synapsis. (C) Phosphorylation of the SYP-5/6 C-termini establishes an electrostatic interface between chromosome axes and the central region of the SC, facilitated by cation–anion and π interactions. The structural model of phosphorylated SYP-5 was generated using AlphaFold3. (D) Phosphorylation of SYP-5/6 by PLKs reinforces the SC short-arm identity, ensuring accurate segregation of holocentric chromosomes during meiosis I.

chromosome remodeling necessary for homolog separation during meiosis I (Fig. 4). Our data show that by maintaining the association between the SC central region and chromosome axes, SYP-5/6 phosphorylation helps establish the SC short arm after crossover designation and ensures the proper localization of PLK-2 (Fig. 7 D). This mechanism creates a positive feedback loop in which substrate phosphorylation reinforces kinase recruitment, further enhancing the signaling output. We propose that this positive feedback amplifies the signal generated from the crossover-designated site to recruit PLK-2 to the SC (Brandt et al., 2020), thereby driving the robust establishment of the SC short arm and chromosome remodeling, consistent with the recently proposed model (Rodriguez-Reza et al., 2024).

Our findings in *C. elegans* parallel those in budding yeast, where homologous pairing and synapsis depend on crossover recombination (Agarwal and Roeder, 2000; Chua and Roeder, 1998). In budding yeast, synapsis is mediated by the interaction between the transverse filament protein Zip1 and the axis component Red1, which requires a small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) and the SUMO-interacting motifs present on these proteins (Hooker and Roeder, 2006; Cheng et al., 2006; Eichinger and Jentsch, 2010). SUMO ligase activity is provided by Zip3, an essential pro-crossover protein that recruits the SUMO-conjugating enzyme Ubc9, thereby initiating homolog synapsis at crossover-designated recombination sites (Hooker and Roeder, 2006; Cheng et al., 2006). Thus, spatially localized signaling at the site of initial homolog contacts, which enables the interaction between the SC central region and chromosome axes, is likely a conserved mechanism for homologous synapsis across diverse organisms.

Materials and methods

C. elegans strains and CRISPR-mediated genome editing

All strains were maintained on nematode growth medium (NGM) plates seeded with OP50-1 bacteria at 20°C following standard protocols (Brenner, 1974). N2 Bristol was used as the wild type strain. Tables S1 and S3 summarize all mutations and strains used in this study.

To generate point mutations of syp-5 and syp-6, YKM172 (3xFLAG::syp-5 HA::syp-6 I; meIs8[pie-1p::GFP::cosa-1, unc-119(+)] II) worms were injected with 16 μ M of Cas9 complexed with 16 μ M tracrRNA/crRNA oligos (IDT), 5 ng/ μ l of pCFJ104, 2.5 ng/ μ l of pCFJ90, and a ssDNA oligo (100 ng/ μ l) (IDT) as a repair template (Table S2). F1 progeny were lysed and genotyped by PCR to detect successful edits. The correct insertion was validated by Sanger sequencing.



Phospho-antibody production

A synthetic phosphopeptide of SYP-5 flanking S541 (CEEG-DADQpSIWGSDD; Biomatik) was coupled to keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) using the Imject Maleimide-Activated mcKLH Spin Kit (77666; Thermo Fisher Scientific) and injected into rabbits (Pocono Rabbit Farm & Laboratory) as described in Hurlock et al. (2020). Polyclonal SYP-5 pS541 antibodies were purified by passing the immune serum through SulfoLink Coupling Resins (44995; Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled to the non-phosphopeptide (CEEGDADQSIWGSDD; Biomatik), subsequently binding the unbound fraction to phosphopeptide-coupled resins. The specificity of the antibodies was tested through dot blots using both phospho- and non-phosphopeptides, in vitro kinase assays using recombinant 6His-MBP-SYP-5 and GST-PLK-2, and by staining dissected gonads from *syp-5*^{S541A} mutants.

Protein expression and purification

The full-length open reading frame of SYP-5 was synthesized as a gBlock (IDT) and cloned into pMAL (New England Biolabs) to express Maltose-binding protein (MBP)-tagged SYP-5 with a 6xHis tag at the N-terminus. Protein expression was induced in Rosetta2(DE3)pLysS cells (Novagen) at 15°C with 50 µM IPTG for 16 h. Bacterial cell pellets were resuspended in lysis buffer (PBS, 300 mM NaCl, 50 mM imidazole, 0.5 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl₂, and 1 mM DTT) containing the cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (11697498001; Sigma-Aldrich) and lysed by two freeze/ thaw cycles and sonication after lysozyme treatment (0.25 mg/ ml) on ice for 30 min. After centrifugation at 15,000 rpm (JA-17; Beckman) for 30 min, the supernatant was loaded onto a HisTrap HP column (Cytiva) and washed with lysis buffer. 6His-MBP-SYP-5 was eluted in elution buffer (PBS, 300 mM NaCl, 500 mM imidazole, 0.5 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl₂, and 1 mM DTT). 6His-MBP-SYP-5 was further purified by cation exchange using a HiTrap SP HP (Cytiva) column and eluted in a 100 mM to 1 M NaCl gradient in 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EGTA, and 1 mM DTT. The peak fraction for 6His-MBP-SYP-5 was supplemented with glycerol (20% final) and snapfrozen in liquid nitrogen.

The full-length open reading frame of PLK-2 was amplified from a *C. elegans* cDNA library and cloned into pFastBac1 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a GST tag at the N-terminus. GST-PLK-2 was expressed for 48 h in *Sf*9 cells using the Bac-to-Bac system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and purified over glutathione sepharose (Cytiva) using standard protocols. The lysis and purification were conducted in 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 10 μ M MgATP, 0.1% NP-40, and cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (11697498001; Sigma-Aldrich).

In vitro kinase assays and mapping phosphorylation sites

In vitro kinase assays were performed at room temperature in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 25 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, and 1 mM DTT in the presence of 0.2 mM MgATP. 2 μ M 6His-MBP-SYP-5 were incubated with 0.1 μ M of GST-PLK-2, and the reactions were stopped after 1 h by boiling in sample buffer and analyzed by western blot. To map SYP-5 residues phosphorylated by PLK-2 in vitro, phosphorylated 6His-MBP-SYP-5 was cleared using

SP3 beads (P.O.00122; PreOmics) and digested with trypsin. The resulting peptides were analyzed by MudPIT in the Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics Facility at Johns Hopkins School of Medicine.

Egg count

L4 hermaphrodites were picked onto individual NGM plates and transferred to new plates every 12 h for 4–5 days. Eggs and hatched L1 larvae were counted immediately after each transfer, and the surviving progeny and males on each plate were counted when the F_1 generation reached adulthood to quantify the percent egg viability and male self-progeny.

RNAi

RNAi was performed by feeding plk-2(tm1395) animals with the *Escherichia coli* strain HT115 (DE3), either carrying an Ahringer RNAi library clone targeting plk-1 or the L4440 empty vector. Bacterial cultures were plated onto RNAi plates (NGM, 25 µg/ml carbenicillin, and 1 mM IPTG), left for 1 h at room temperature to dry, and incubated overnight at 37°C to induce RNA expression. L4 hermaphrodites were picked onto RNAi plates and left for 48 h before dissection for immunofluorescence.

Immunofluorescence

Hermaphrodite germlines were dissected from 24 h post-L4 adults in egg buffer (25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 118 mM NaCl, 48 mM KCl, 2 mM EDTA, 5 mM EGTA, 0.1% Tween-20, and 15 mM NaN₃), fixed in 2% formaldehyde, frozen in liquid nitrogen, freeze-cracked, and fixed again in ice-cold methanol for 1 min. For the SYP-5 pS541 staining, fixation was done with 1% paraformaldehyde for 5 min, followed by methanol fixation. Slides were rehydrated in PBS with 0.1% Tween-20 (PBST) and blocked with 1× Roche blocking buffer (11096176001; Sigma-Aldrich) in PBST for 45 min at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. Incubation with primary antibodies was performed overnight at 4°C at the following concentrations: FLAG (mouse, 1: 500; F1804; Sigma-Aldrich), SYP-1 (goat, 1:500; MacQueen et al., 2005), SYP-5 (rabbit, 1:1,000; Hurlock et al., 2020), SYP-5 pS541 (rabbit, 1:500; this study), HTP-3 (guinea pig, 1:500; Hurlock et al., 2020), HIM-3 (chicken, 1:500; Hurlock et al., 2020), GFP Booster (1:250, gb2AF488; Chromotek), RFP Booster (1:250, rba594; Chromotek), Histone H3 pT3 (rabbit, 1:10,000, 13576S; Cell Signaling Technology), HTP-1/2 (rabbit, 1:500; Martinez-Perez et al., 2008), HIM-8 (rat, 1:500; Phillips et al., 2005), and phospho-HIM-8/ZIMs (rabbit, 1:1,000; (Kim et al., 2015)). The following secondary antibodies were purchased from Invitrogen or Jackson ImmunoResearch and used at 1:250 dilution: donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488, donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 555, donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 647, donkey anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 488, donkey anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 555, donkey antimouse Alexa Fluor 647, donkey anti-chicken Alexa Fluor 633, donkey anti-guinea pig Alexa Fluor 647, donkey anti-goat Alexa Fluor 647. Washed slides were mounted using ProLong Gold Antifade Mountant (P36930; Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Slides were imaged at room temperature with a DeltaVision Elite system (Cytiva) equipped with a $100 \times$ oil immersion 1.4 N.A. objective and a scientific complementary metal-oxide

semiconductor camera (PCO) (Figs. 1, B–D, 2 C, 4, A–C, S1 B, S2 F, S4 C, and S5 B), or a Leica Thunder Imaging System equipped with a 100× oil immersion 1.4 N.A. objective and a Leica K8 camera (Figs. 3; 5 C; 6; S2, C and D; S3, C and D; S4, A and B; and S5 A). For both imaging systems, 3D image stacks were collected at 0.2-µm intervals. Images acquired on the DeltaVision were processed by iterative deconvolution (enhanced ratio, 20 cycles) and projected by the Volume Viewer tool using the SoftWoRx suite (Cytiva). Composite images were assembled and colored in Adobe Photoshop. Images acquired on the Leica Thunder were processed by large volume computational clearing using the adaptive strategy (the feature scale: 341 nm; 92% strength; 30 iterations). Image stacks were projected using the LAS X software (Leica), and the projected images were assembled and colored in Adobe Photoshop.

Immunoblots

Worm lysates were prepared by picking ~150 gravid adults into M9, washing them twice with water, and adding 125 μ l of 1X sample buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 2 mM DTT, and 0.01% bromophenol blue). For immunoblotting, protein samples or worm lysates were mixed with 5X sample buffer, boiled for 5 min at 95°C, run on SDS-PAGE, and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-rad). Membranes were blocked in 5% milk in PBST for 1 h at room temperature and incubated with the following primary antibodies: (HIM-3 [chicken, 1: 1,000; Hurlock et al., 2020], SYP-5 pS541 [rabbit, 1:1,000; this study], and FLAG [mouse, 1:1,000, F1804; Sigma-Aldrich]) and HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Membranes were probed with Clarity Western ECL substrate (Bio-rad) and imaged using a LI-COR Odyssey imager. To quantify protein bands, ImageJ was used to draw identically sized regions of interest for each lane, and the mean gray values for our protein of interest (SYP-5) were normalized to those of the loading control (HIM-3) to determine the relative protein abundance.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 10 software. For comparison of the two groups, statistical significance was demonstrated by unpaired *t* test. For graphs with individual data points, error bars show mean and standard deviation. For all graphs, ns indicates no statistical significance, *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ****, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001. The sample size is indicated on graphs when greater than one.

Online supplemental material

Fig. S1 shows validation of the SYP-5 pS541 antibody by dot blot analyses using phospho- and non-phosphopeptides and immunofluorescence in $syp-5^{S541A}$ and $syp-6^{T685A}$ mutants. Fig. S2 shows meiotic defects in $syp-5^{S541A}$ and $syp-6^{T685A}$ mutants. Fig. S3 shows meiotic defects in $syp-5^{S541D}$ and $syp-6^{T685D}$ mutants. Fig. S4 shows additional characterization of SC disassembly in $syp-5^{S541D}$ and $syp-6^{T685D}$ and $syp-6^{T685A}$ mutants. Fig. S5 shows the characterization of SC disassembly phenotypes and SYP-5 phosphorylation in $syp-5^{W543A}$ and $syp-6^{W687A}$ mutants. Table S1 shows alleles generated in this study. Table S2 shows crRNAs, repair templates, and genotyping primers for mutant alleles generated in this study. Table S3 shows strains used in this study.

Data availability

The data are available in the published article and its online supplemental material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Abby Dernburg at the University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA, USA, for providing the antibodies, and Bob Cole in the Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics Facility at Johns Hopkins School of Medicine for mass spectrometry analysis. Some strains were provided by the *Caenorhabditis* Genetics Center, which is funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Office of Research Infrastructure Program (P400D010440).

A.L. Gold and M.E. Hurlock were supported by the NIH Predoctoral Fellowships (F31GM150277 and F31HD100090). This work was supported by funding from the NIH to Y. Kim (R35GM124895).

Author contributions: A.L. Gold: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, M.E. Hurlock: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, A.M. Guevara: Formal analysis, Investigation, L.Y.Z. Isenberg: Investigation, Y. Kim: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Disclosures: The authors declare no competing interests exist.

Submitted: 13 August 2024 Revised: 29 October 2024 Accepted: 18 November 2024

References

- Agarwal, S., and G.S. Roeder. 2000. Zip3 provides a link between recombination enzymes and synaptonemal complex proteins. *Cell*. 102:245–255. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)00029-5
- Altendorfer, E., L.I. Láscarez-Lagunas, S. Nadarajan, I. Mathieson, and M.P. Colaiácovo. 2020. Crossover position drives chromosome remodeling for accurate meiotic chromosome segregation. *Curr. Biol.* 30:1329–1338.e7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.01.079
- Blundon, J.M., B.I. Česar, J.W. Bae, I. Čavka, J. Haversat, J. Ries, S. Köhler, and Y. Kim. 2024. Skp1 proteins are structural components of the synaptonemal complex in C. elegans. Sci. Adv. 10:eadl4876. https://doi.org/10 .1126/sciadv.adl4876
- Börner, G.V., A. Barot, and N. Kleckner. 2008. Yeast Pch2 promotes domainal axis organization, timely recombination progression, and arrest of defective recombinosomes during meiosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 105: 3327–3332. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0711864105
- Brandt, J.N., K.A. Hussey, and Y. Kim. 2020. Spatial and temporal control of targeting Polo-like kinase during meiotic prophase. J. Cell Biol. 219: e202006094. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.202006094
- Brenner, S. 1974. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics. 77:71–94. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/77.1.71
- Burley, S.K., and G.A. Petsko. 1985. Aromatic-aromatic interaction: A mechanism of protein structure stabilization. *Science*. 229:23–28. https://doi .org/10.1126/science.3892686



- de Carvalho, C.E., S. Zaaijer, S. Smolikov, Y. Gu, J.M. Schumacher, and M.P. Colaiácovo. 2008. LAB-1 antagonizes the Aurora B kinase in C. elegans. *Genes Dev.* 22:2869–2885. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1691208
- Cheng, C.-H., Y.-H. Lo, S.-S. Liang, S.-C. Ti, F.-M. Lin, C.-H. Yeh, H.-Y. Huang, and T.-F. Wang. 2006. SUMO modifications control assembly of synaptonemal complex and polycomplex in meiosis of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genes Dev.* 20:2067–2081. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad .1430406
- Chua, P.R., and G.S. Roeder. 1998. Zip2, a meiosis-specific protein required for the initiation of chromosome synapsis. *Cell*. 93:349–359. https://doi .org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81164-2
- Colaiácovo, M.P., A.J. MacQueen, E. Martinez-Perez, K. McDonald, A. Adamo, A. La Volpe, and A.M. Villeneuve. 2003. Synaptonemal complex assembly in C. elegans is dispensable for loading strand-exchange proteins but critical for proper completion of recombination. *Dev. Cell*. 5: 463–474. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1534-5807(03)00232-6
- Couteau, F., K. Nabeshima, A. Villeneuve, and M. Zetka. 2004. A component of C. elegans meiotic chromosome axes at the interface of homolog alignment, synapsis, nuclear reorganization, and recombination. *Curr. Biol.* 14:585–592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2004.03.033
- Couteau, F., and M. Zetka. 2005. HTP-1 coordinates synaptonemal complex assembly with homolog alignment during meiosis in C. elegans. Genes Dev. 19:2744–2756. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1348205
- Daniel, K., J. Lange, K. Hached, J. Fu, K. Anastassiadis, I. Roig, H.J. Cooke, A.F. Stewart, K. Wassmann, M. Jasin, et al. 2011. Meiotic homologue alignment and its quality surveillance are controlled by mouse HORMAD1. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 13:599–610. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2213
- Eichinger, C.S., and S. Jentsch. 2010. Synaptonemal complex formation and meiotic checkpoint signaling are linked to the lateral element protein Red1. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 107:11370–11375. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1004248107
- Ferrandiz, N., C. Barroso, O. Telecan, N. Shao, H.-M. Kim, S. Testori, P. Faull, P. Cutillas, A.P. Snijders, M.P. Colaiácovo, and E. Martinez-Perez. 2018. Spatiotemporal regulation of Aurora B recruitment ensures release of cohesion during C. elegans oocyte meiosis. Nat. Commun. 9:834. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03229-5
- Gallivan, J.P., and D.A. Dougherty. 1999. Cation-pi interactions in structural biology. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 96:9459–9464. https://doi.org/10 .1073/pnas.96.17.9459
- Goldstein, P. 1987. Multiple synaptonemal complexes (polycomplexes): Origin, structure and function. Cell Biol. Int. Rep. 11:759–796. https://doi .org/10.1016/0309-1651(87)90157-3
- Goodyer, W., S. Kaitna, F. Couteau, J.D. Ward, S.J. Boulton, and M. Zetka. 2008. HTP-3 links DSB formation with homolog pairing and crossing over during C. elegans meiosis. *Dev. Cell*. 14:263–274. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.devcel.2007.11.016
- Gordon, S.G., C.F. Lee, and O. Rog. 2024. The synaptonemal complex assembles between meiotic chromosomes by wetting. *bioRxiv*. https://doi .org/10.1101/2024.08.07.607092 (Preprint posted August 07, 2024).
- Gordon, S.G., and O. Rog. 2023. Building the synaptonemal complex: Molecular interactions between the axis and the central region. PLoS Genet. 19:e1010822. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010822
- Harper, N.C., R. Rillo, S. Jover-Gil, Z.J. Assaf, N. Bhalla, and A.F. Dernburg. 2011. Pairing centers recruit a Polo-like kinase to orchestrate meiotic chromosome dynamics in C. elegans. *Dev. Cell*. 21:934–947. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.devcel.2011.09.001
- Hayashi, M., S. Mlynarczyk-Evans, and A.M. Villeneuve. 2010. The synaptonemal complex shapes the crossover landscape through cooperative assembly, crossover promotion and crossover inhibition during Caenorhabditis elegans meiosis. *Genetics*. 186:45–58. https://doi.org/10 .1534/genetics.110.115501
- Hodgkin, J., H.R. Horvitz, and S. Brenner. 1979. Nondisjunction mutants of the nematode CAENORHABDITIS ELEGANS. *Genetics*. 91:67–94. https:// doi.org/10.1093/genetics/91.1.67
- Hooker, G.W., and G.S. Roeder. 2006. A Role for SUMO in meiotic chromosome synapsis. Curr. Biol. 16:1238–1243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub .2006.04.045
- Hurlock, M.E., I. Čavka, L.E. Kursel, J. Haversat, M. Wooten, Z. Nizami, R. Turniansky, P. Hoess, J. Ries, J.G. Gall, et al. 2020. Identification of novel synaptonemal complex components in C. elegans. J. Cell Biol. 219: e201910043. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201910043
- Kaitna, S., P. Pasierbek, M. Jantsch, J. Loidl, and M. Glotzer. 2002. The aurora B kinase AIR-2 regulates kinetochores during mitosis and is required for separation of homologous Chromosomes during meiosis. *Curr. Biol.* 12:798–812. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(02)00820-5

- Kelly, A.E., C. Ghenoiu, J.Z. Xue, C. Zierhut, H. Kimura, and H. Funabiki. 2010. Survivin reads phosphorylated histone H3 threonine 3 to activate the mitotic kinase Aurora B. *Science*. 330:235–239. https://doi.org/10 .1126/science.1189505
- Kim, Y., N. Kostow, and A.F. Dernburg. 2015. The chromosome Axis mediates feedback control of CHK-2 to ensure crossover formation in C. elegans. *Dev. Cell.* 35:247–261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2015.09.021
- Kim, Y., S.C. Rosenberg, C.L. Kugel, N. Kostow, O. Rog, V. Davydov, T.Y. Su, A.F. Dernburg, and K.D. Corbett. 2014. The chromosome axis controls meiotic events through a hierarchical assembly of HORMA domain proteins. *Dev. Cell.* 31:487–502. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.09 .013
- Köhler, S., M. Wojcik, K. Xu, and A.F. Dernburg. 2017. Superresolution microscopy reveals the three-dimensional organization of meiotic chromosome axes in intact tissue. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 114: E4734–E4743. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1702312114
- Köhler, S., M. Wojcik, K. Xu, and A.F. Dernburg. 2022. Dynamic molecular architecture of the synaptonemal complex. *bioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10 .1101/2020.02.16.947804 (Preprint posted February 16, 2020).
- Labella, S., A. Woglar, V. Jantsch, and M. Zetka. 2011. Polo kinases establish links between meiotic chromosomes and cytoskeletal forces essential for homolog pairing. *Dev. Cell.* 21:948–958. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .devcel.2011.07.011
- Lambing, C., K. Osman, K. Nuntasoontorn, A. West, J.D. Higgins, G.P. Copenhaver, J. Yang, S.J. Armstrong, K. Mechtler, E. Roitinger, and F.C.H. Franklin. 2015. Arabidopsis PCH2 mediates meiotic chromosome remodeling and maturation of crossovers. *PLoS Genet.* 11:e1005372. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005372
- Libuda, D.E., S. Uzawa, B.J. Meyer, and A.M. Villeneuve. 2013. Meiotic chromosome structures constrain and respond to designation of crossover sites. *Nature*. 502:703–706. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nature12577
- Machovina, T.S., R. Mainpal, A. Daryabeigi, O. McGovern, D. Paouneskou, S. Labella, M. Zetka, V. Jantsch, and J.L. Yanowitz. 2016. A surveillance system ensures crossover formation in C. elegans. *Curr. Biol.* 26: 2873–2884. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.09.007
- MacQueen, A.J., M.P. Colaiácovo, K. McDonald, and A.M. Villeneuve. 2002. Synapsis-dependent and -independent mechanisms stabilize homolog pairing during meiotic prophase in C. elegans. *Genes Dev.* 16:2428–2442. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1011602
- MacQueen, A.J., C.M. Phillips, N. Bhalla, P. Weiser, A.M. Villeneuve, and A.F. Dernburg. 2005. Chromosome sites play dual roles to establish homologous synapsis during meiosis in C. elegans. *Cell*. 123:1037-1050. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.09.034
- Martinez-Perez, E., M. Schvarzstein, C. Barroso, J. Lightfoot, A.F. Dernburg, and A.M. Villeneuve. 2008. Crossovers trigger a remodeling of meiotic chromosome axis composition that is linked to two-step loss of sister chromatid cohesion. *Genes Dev.* 22:2886–2901. https://doi.org/10.1101/ gad.1694108
- Martinez-Perez, E., and A.M. Villeneuve. 2005. HTP-1-dependent constraints coordinate homolog pairing and synapsis and promote chiasma formation during C. elegans meiosis. *Genes Dev.* 19:2727–2743. https://doi .org/10.1101/gad.1338505
- Moses, M.J., S.J. Counce, and D.F. Paulson. 1975. Synaptonemal complex complement of man in spreads of spermatocytes, with details of the sex chromosome pair. *Science*. 187:363–365. https://doi.org/10.1126/science .187.4174.363
- Nabeshima, K., A.M. Villeneuve, and M.P. Colaiácovo. 2005. Crossing over is coupled to late meiotic prophase bivalent differentiation through asymmetric disassembly of the SC. J. Cell Biol. 168:683–689. https://doi .org/10.1083/jcb.200410144
- Nadarajan, S., T.J. Lambert, E. Altendorfer, J. Gao, M.D. Blower, J.C. Waters, and M.P. Colaiácovo. 2017. Polo-like kinase-dependent phosphorylation of the synaptonemal complex protein SYP-4 regulates double-strand break formation through a negative feedback loop. *Elife.* 6:e23437. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.23437
- Nakajima, H., F. Toyoshima-Morimoto, E. Taniguchi, and E. Nishida. 2003. Identification of a consensus motif for Plk (Polo-like kinase) phosphorylation reveals Mytl as a Plk1 substrate. J. Biol. Chem. 278:25277–25280. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C300126200
- Page, S.L., and R.S. Hawley. 2004. The genetics and molecular biology of the synaptonemal complex. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 20:525–558. https://doi .org/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.19.111301.155141
- Pasierbek, P., M. Jantsch, M. Melcher, A. Schleiffer, D. Schweizer, and J. Loidl. 2001. A Caenorhabditis elegans cohesion protein with functions in

meiotic chromosome pairing and disjunction. Genes Dev. 15:1349-1360. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.192701

- Pattabiraman, D., B. Roelens, A. Woglar, and A.M. Villeneuve. 2017. Meiotic recombination modulates the structure and dynamics of the synaptonemal complex during C. elegans meiosis. *PLoS Genet.* 13:e1006670. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006670
- Phillips, C.M., C. Wong, N. Bhalla, P.M. Carlton, P. Weiser, P.M. Meneely, and A.F. Dernburg. 2005. HIM-8 binds to the X chromosome pairing center and mediates chromosome-specific meiotic synapsis. *Cell*. 123: 1051-1063. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2005.09.035
- Rodriguez-Reza, C.M., A. Sato-Carlton, and P.M. Carlton. 2024. Lengthsensitive partitioning of Caenorhabditis elegans meiotic chromosomes responds to proximity and number of crossover sites. *Curr. Biol.* 34: 4998–5016.e6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2024.09.034
- Roelens, B., C. Barroso, A. Montoya, P. Cutillas, W. Zhang, A. Woglar, C. Girard, E. Martinez-Perez, and A.M. Villeneuve. 2019. Spatial regulation of polo-like kinase activity during meiosis by the nucleoplasmic HAL-2/HAL-3 complex. *Genetics*. 213:79–96. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.119.302479
- Rogers, E., J.D. Bishop, J.A. Waddle, J.M. Schumacher, and R. Lin. 2002. The aurora kinase AIR-2 functions in the release of chromosome cohesion in Caenorhabditis elegans meiosis. J. Cell Biol. 157:219–229. https://doi.org/ 10.1083/jcb.200110045
- Rog, O., and A.F. Dernburg. 2015. Direct visualization reveals kinetics of meiotic chromosome synapsis. *Cell Rep.* 10:1639–1645. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.celrep.2015.02.032
- Rog, O., S. Köhler, and A.F. Dernburg. 2017. The synaptonemal complex has liquid crystalline properties and spatially regulates meiotic recombination factors. *Elife*. 6:e21455. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.21455
- Rosu, S., K.A. Zawadzki, E.L. Stamper, D.E. Libuda, A.L. Reese, A.F. Dernburg, and A.M. Villeneuve. 2013. The C. elegans DSB-2 protein reveals a regulatory network that controls competence for meiotic DSB formation and promotes crossover assurance. *PLoS Genet*. 9:e1003674. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003674
- Sato, A., B. Isaac, C.M. Phillips, R. Rillo, P.M. Carlton, D.J. Wynne, R.A. Kasad, and A.F. Dernburg. 2009. Cytoskeletal forces span the nuclear envelope to coordinate meiotic chromosome pairing and synapsis. *Cell*. 139: 907–919. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.10.039
- Sato-Carlton, A., C. Nakamura-Tabuchi, S.K. Chartrand, T. Uchino, and P.M. Carlton. 2018. Phosphorylation of the synaptonemal complex protein SYP-1 promotes meiotic chromosome segregation. J. Cell Biol. 217: 555–570. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201707161
- Sato-Carlton, A., C. Nakamura-Tabuchi, X. Li, H. Boog, M.K. Lehmer, S.C. Rosenberg, C. Barroso, E. Martinez-Perez, K.D. Corbett, and P.M. Carlton. 2020. Phosphoregulation of HORMA domain protein HIM-3 promotes asymmetric synaptonemal complex disassembly in meiotic prophase in Caenorhabditis elegans. *PLoS Genet.* 16:e1008968. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008968
- Severson, A.F., L. Ling, V. van Zuylen, and B.J. Meyer. 2009. The axial element protein HTP-3 promotes cohesin loading and meiotic axis assembly in C. elegans to implement the meiotic program of chromosome segregation. *Genes Dev.* 23:1763–1778. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad .1808809
- Shao, J., B.P. Kuiper, A.W.H. Thunnissen, R.H. Cool, L. Zhou, C. Huang, B.W. Dijkstra, and J. Broos. 2022. The role of tryptophan in π interactions in

proteins: An experimental approach. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 144:13815–13822. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c04986

- Smolikov, S., A. Eizinger, K. Schild-Prufert, A. Hurlburt, K. McDonald, J. Engebrecht, A.M. Villeneuve, and M.P. Colaiácovo. 2007. SYP-3 restricts synaptonemal complex assembly to bridge paired chromosome axes during meiosis in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics*. 176:2015–2025. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.107.072413
- Smolikov, S., K. Schild-Prüfert, and M.P. Colaiácovo. 2009. A yeast twohybrid screen for SYP-3 interactors identifies SYP-4, a component required for synaptonemal complex assembly and chiasma formation in Caenorhabditis elegans meiosis. *PLoS Genet.* 5:e1000669. https://doi .org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1000669
- Stamper, E.L., S.E. Rodenbusch, S. Rosu, J. Ahringer, A.M. Villeneuve, and A.F. Dernburg. 2013. Identification of DSB-1, a protein required for initiation of meiotic recombination in Caenorhabditis elegans, illuminates a crossover assurance checkpoint. *PLoS Genet.* 9:e1003679. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003679
- Ur, S.N., and K.D. Corbett. 2021. Architecture and dynamics of meiotic chromosomes. Annu. Rev. Genet. 55:497–526. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-genet-071719-020235
- Wang, R., J. Li, Y. Tian, Y. Sun, Y. Zhang, M. Liu, R. Zhang, L. Zhao, Q. Li, X. Meng, et al. 2024. The dynamic recruitment of LAB proteins senses meiotic chromosome axis differentiation in C. elegans. J. Cell Biol. 223: e202212035. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.202212035
- Woglar, A., and A.M. Villeneuve. 2018. Dynamic architecture of DNA repair complexes and the synaptonemal complex at sites of meiotic recombination. Cell. 173:1678–1691.e16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.03.066
- Woglar, A., K. Yamaya, B. Roelens, A. Boettiger, S. Köhler, and A.M. Villeneuve. 2020. Quantitative cytogenetics reveals molecular stoichiometry and longitudinal organization of meiotic chromosome axes and loops. *PLoS Biol.* 18:e3000817. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio .3000817
- Wojtasz, L., K. Daniel, I. Roig, E. Bolcun-Filas, H. Xu, V. Boonsanay, C.R. Eckmann, H.J. Cooke, M. Jasin, S. Keeney, et al. 2009. Mouse HORMAD1 and HORMAD2, two conserved meiotic chromosomal proteins, are depleted from synapsed chromosome axes with the help of TRIP13 AAA-ATPase. PLoS Genet. 5:e1000702. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pgen.1000702
- Yokoo, R., K.A. Zawadzki, K. Nabeshima, M. Drake, S. Arur, and A.M. Villeneuve. 2012. COSA-1 reveals robust homeostasis and separable licensing and reinforcement steps governing meiotic crossovers. *Cell*. 149:75–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.052
- Zhang, W., N. Miley, M.S. Zastrow, A.J. MacQueen, A. Sato, K. Nabeshima, E. Martinez-Perez, S. Mlynarczyk-Evans, P.M. Carlton, and A.M. Villeneuve. 2012. HAL-2 promotes homologous pairing during Caenorhabditis elegans meiosis by antagonizing inhibitory effects of synaptonemal complex precursors. *PLoS Genet.* 8:e1002880. https://doi .org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002880
- Zhang, Z., S. Xie, R. Wang, S. Guo, Q. Zhao, H. Nie, Y. Liu, F. Zhang, M. Chen, L. Liu, et al. 2020. Multivalent weak interactions between assembly units drive synaptonemal complex formation. J. Cell Biol. 219: e201910086. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201910086
- Zickler, D., and N. Kleckner. 1999. Meiotic chromosomes: Integrating structure and function. Annu. Rev. Genet. 33:603–754. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.genet.33.1.603



Figure S1. **Purification and characterization of the SYP-5 pS541 antibody. (A)** Immune serum against the SYP-5 phosphopeptide (pS541) was passed through affinity columns coupled to non-phospho (SYP-5 S541) and phosphopeptides (SYP-5 pS541). The unbound (flow-through) and bound (elution) fractions were used in dot blots of serially diluted peptides. **(B)** Immunofluorescence images of pachytene nuclei from wild type, *syp-5*^{5541A}, and *syp-6*^{7685A} mutants showing DNA, 3xFlag::SYP-5 or HA::SYP-6 (magenta), and SYP-5 pS541 (green) staining. Scale bar, 5 μm. Source data are available for this figure: SourceData FS1.





Figure S2. **Prolonged CHK-2 activity and abnormal crossover designation in syp-5^{5541A} syp-6^{T685A} mutants. (A and B)** Graphs showing the percent viable and male self-progeny from wild type (n = 1,921), syp-5^{5541A} (n = 2,617), syp-6^{T685A} (n = 3,022), and syp-5^{5541A} syp-6^{T685A} mutants (n = 1,526) hermaphrodites. **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; burnaphrodites. **, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; burnaphrodites. **, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; burnaphrodites. **, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; burnaphrodites. **, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; burnaphrodites. **, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.001; burnaphrodites, the set of the tempercent of the philometry of the philometry of the set of the philometry of the tempercent of tempercent of the tempercent of the tempercent of temp





Figure S3. **Phospho-mimetic mutations at SYP-5 S541 and SYP-6 T685 cause defects in SC assembly. (A and B)** Graph showing the percent viable and male self-progeny from the wild type (n = 1,684) and $syp-5^{S541D} syp-6^{T685D}$ mutants (n = 1,431) hermaphrodites. ****, P < 0.0001 by unpaired t test. **(C)** Full-length gonads dissected from wild type and $syp-5^{S541D} syp-6^{T685D}$ animals were stained for HIM-3 (magenta) and 3xFlag::SYP-5 (green). Composite projection images are shown. Scale bar, 50 µm. **(D)** Composite immunofluorescence images of dissected gonads from wild type and $syp-5^{S541D} syp-6^{T685D}$ animals with DNA and phospho-HIM-8/ZIMs (CHK-2 substrate) staining are shown. Yellow dotted lines mark the CHK-2 active zone. Scale bar, 50 µm. **(E)** Graph showing the CHK-2 active zone in the wild type and $syp-5^{S541A} syp-6^{T685A}$ mutants, shown as a percent gonad length of the pHIM-8/ZIM-positive region relative to the region from meiotic entry to pachytene exit. The numbers of gonads scored are also indicated. **, P = 0.0015 by unpaired t test.



Figure S4. Additional characterization of SYP-5/6 phosphorylation and SC disassembly defects in *syp-5/6* phosphomutants. (A) Immunofluorescence images of diplotene nuclei from wild type and *syp-5^{5541D} syp-6^{T685D}* mutant animals stained for HIM-3 (magenta) and SYP-5 (green). Scale bar, 25 μ m. The inset shows the zoomed-in view of the boxed regions. Scale bar, 1 μ m. (B) Immunofluorescence images of diplotene nuclei from *cosa-1* and *syp-5^{5541D} syp-6^{T685D}*, *cosa-1* mutants stained for HTP-3 (magenta) and SYP-5 (green). Scale bar, 1 μ m. (C) Immunofluorescence images of diplotene nuclei from *cosa-1* (*trans298*) mutants. HTP-3 and SYP-5 pS541 staining are shown. Scale bar, 25 μ m.

SJCB



Figure S5. **Characterization of SC disassembly and SYP-5 phosphorylation in** *syp-5^{W543A} syp-6^{W687A}* **mutants. (A)** Immunofluorescence images of diplotene nuclei from wild type and *syp-5^{W543A} syp-6^{W687A}* mutant animals stained for 3xFlag::SYP-5 (green) and HTP-3 (magenta). Scale bar, 25 μ m. **(B)** Immunofluorescence images of pachytene nuclei from wild type and *syp-5^{W543A} syp-6^{W687A}* animals showing DNA, 3Flag::SYP-5 (magenta), and SYP-5 pS541 (green) staining. Scale bar, 5 μ m. **(C)** Western blot of worm lysates from the indicated genotypes probed against the Flag tag. HIM-3 was used as a loading control. **(D)** Graph showing the quantification of 3xFlag::SYP-5 signals normalized to the loading control, HIM-3. Changes in the normalized protein expression were analyzed by unpaired *t* test (*n* = 3). Source data are available for this figure: SourceData FS5.

Provided online are Table S1, Table S2, and Table S3. Table S1 shows alleles generated in this study. Table S2 shows crRNAs, repair templates, and genotyping primers for mutant alleles generated in this study. Table S3 shows strains used in this study.