Phosphorylation Toggles the SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid Protein Between Two Membrane-Associated Condensate States

Bruna Favetta[1], Huan Wang[2], Jasmine Cubuk[3], Mayur Barai[4], Cesar Ramirez[1], Adam J. Gormley[1], Sanjeeva Murthy[2], Andrea Soranno[3], Zheng Shi[2], Benjamin S. Schuster[4]

1 Department of Biomedical Engineering, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08854
2 Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08854
3 Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Washington University in St Louis, St. Louis, MO 63110
4 Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08854

Corresponding author benjamin.schuster@rutgers.edu

Key Words: SARS-CoV-2, liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS), phosphorylation, protein-RNA interactions, material properties, membrane interaction

Summary

The SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid protein (N) performs several functions during the viral lifecycle, including transcription regulation and viral genome encapsulation. We hypothesized that N toggles between these functions via phosphorylation-induced conformational change, thereby altering N interactions with membranes and RNA. We found that phosphorylation changes how biomolecular condensates composed of N and RNA interact with membranes: phosphorylated N (pN) condensates form thin films, while condensates with unmodified N are engulfed. This partly results from changes in material properties, with pN forming less viscous and elastic condensates. The weakening of protein-RNA interaction in condensates upon phosphorylation is driven by a decrease in binding between pN and unstructured RNA. We show that phosphorylation induces a conformational change in the serine/arginine-rich region of N that increases interaction between pN monomers and decreases nonspecific interaction with RNA. These findings connect the conformation, material properties, and membrane-associated states of N, with potential implications for COVID-19 treatment.

Introduction

The COVID pandemic has focused attention on the mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 viral replication. SARS-CoV-2 is an enveloped virus with a non-segmented, positive-sense, single-stranded, ~30 kb RNA genome[1]. In the virus core, genomic RNA (gRNA) is associated with Nucleocapsid protein (N), forming a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex. N has several functions during the viral life cycle but is primarily involved in protecting the viral RNA genome by binding, condensing, and packaging it within the virion[2]. N has also been shown to be necessary for efficient transcription and replication of viral RNA, and it additionally contributes to immune evasion via sequestering stress granule proteins[3]. How N is regulated throughout the viral lifecycle to perform its varied functions, and whether it is possible to therapeutically manipulate this regulation to inhibit viral replication, remains unknown.

N is a multi-domain protein, consisting of two folded and three disordered domains (Figure 1A), the diversity of which likely contributes to its ability to perform several functions throughout the viral lifecycle[2]. The N-terminal folded domain (NTD) strongly binds with specific viral RNA elements, stabilizing

47   the RNP complex[4,5]. The C-terminal folded domain (CTD) mediates dimerization of N, facilitating the

48   formation of the helical nucleocapsid structure that protects the viral RNA genome[6,7]. Both folded domains

49   are flanked by disordered regions. The central disordered region acts as a linker that allows for

50   conformational flexibility[8]. More specifically, the serine/arginine(SR)-rich region (aa 175 – 206; Figure 1B)

51   within the linker is known to participate in both protein–protein and protein–RNA interactions[9–11].

52   Importantly, this SR-rich region was identified as a site of phosphorylation of N (Figure 1B) that

53   may regulate its function, based on several lines of evidence. 1) At different stages of the viral life cycle,

54   N exists in two phosphorylation states. Abundant phosphorylated protein is found inside infected cells,

55   while unmodified protein is found within virions[12–16]. Regulation of phosphorylation of N may act as a timer

56   in the viral lifecycle, switching from replication, transcription, and translation to assembly of new virions[17].

57   2) Phosphorylation of the SR-rich region of N has been shown to modify how the protein interacts with

58   RNA, as well as affect the transcription and translation of RNA[18,19]. 3) During viral replication, when N is

59   likely phosphorylated, it was shown to form a thin layer around viral replication organelles (vROs), double

60   membrane vesicles filled with viral RNA commonly found in infected cells[15,20]. However, during virion

61   assembly, complexes of unmodified N and RNA remain as small, spherical structures while the viral

62   capsid is engulfed by the ER-Golgi intermediate complex (ERGIC) membrane[21]. These observations

63   suggest that phosphorylation of N and its membrane interactions are linked and may underlie N's multiple

64   functions. The goal of this paper is to elucidate this link between N phosphorylation and its membrane

65   interaction at the molecular level, providing insights critical for understanding COVID infection.

66   We sought to understand the phosphorylation-dependent membrane interactions of N through the

67   lens of phase separation. N contains RNA-binding and disordered domains, which enable N and RNA to

68   interact in a dynamic manner. This results in the formation of droplets enriched in protein and RNA,

69   known as biomolecular condensates[3,8,22]. N undergoes phase separation with RNA in vitro and in vivo;

70   experiments show that phosphorylation of N[17,22], type of RNA[23,24], and temperature[23] modulate the

71   condensate's propensity to phase separate and affect its molecular dynamics. Biomolecular condensates

72   can display a range of material properties, from liquids to gels, which may be closely associated with their

73   function[25,26]. The material properties of a condensate need not be static, and cellular regulation can

74   modulate its properties and thus its function[27]. Carlson et al. showed that unmodified N and RNA forms

75   gel-like condensates and discrete 15-nm particles, while phosphorylated N generates a more liquid-like

76   droplet. They hypothesized that this difference in material properties could be the basis for a dual role of

77   N during the viral lifecycle in both regulating RNA transcription and facilitating nucleocapsid assembly[28,29].

78   However, how the phosphorylation-dependent material properties of N condensates affect their

79   interaction with membranes has not been studied. Recent investigations on condensate-membrane

80   interaction support that condensate material properties are a key factor determining the mode of

81   interaction between the liquid-like droplets and the membrane surface[30–32]. Based on this, we

82   hypothesized that phosphorylation tunes how N and RNA interact, thus modulating the material properties

83   of N and RNA condensates, consequently influencing condensate-membrane interaction, and in turn

84   allowing N to display the two distinct behaviors observed throughout the viral life cycle (Figure 1C-D).

85   Here, we mapped how condensates composed of N and viral RNA fragments interact with

86   membranes. We found the interaction depends on whether N is phosphorylated, as well as whether viral

87   membrane proteins are present. We explained these membrane interactions in two ways. First, we

88   examined how N vs. pN bind to membrane proteins, finding that phosphorylation inhibits interaction

89   between N and the SARS-CoV-2 Membrane protein (M). Second, since we observed different degrees of

90   remodeling of condensates around membranes, we hypothesized that the material properties of

91   condensates also regulate membrane interaction. We found that N's phosphorylation status drastically

92   alters condensate viscoelasticity, as does RNA type. Next, we investigated the molecular basis for these

93   changes in condensate rheology. We found that phosphorylation and RNA structure affect N's ability to

94   bind to RNA. We compared the structures of N and pN, revealing that phosphorylation causes increased

95   interaction between pN monomers within dimers. We integrated these experimental results into a model

96   that explains how phosphorylation alters the structure of N, consequently affecting its interaction with

97   RNA, its dynamics within condensates, and its ability to interact with viral membrane proteins and

98   membrane surfaces. We speculate that this change in the form of N condensates acts as a functional

99   switch during the viral lifecycle, toggling N from roles in RNA replication to new virion assembly.

Results

We reconstituted N or pN and RNA condensates in vitro. We prepared recombinantly expressed N that was either kept unmodified or was phosphorylated in vitro using GSK-3β and SRPK1 kinases[16] (Figure 1B). An average of 9 phosphorylation sites on N was confirmed using mass spectrometry and Phos-Tag SDS-PAGE (Supplemental Figure 1). We used in vitro transcription to make fragments of viral RNA that are known to promote phase separation of N (Figure 1E)[23]. First, we tested a fragment containing the first 1000 base pairs from the 5' end of the viral genome that contains important regulatory information[1]. Second, we tested the fragment of RNA that encodes N (containing the first 75 nucleotides of the 5′ untranslated region recombined onto the N protein coding sequence), given it is highly produced during viral infection[1]. As expected, mixing N or pN protein with either RNA at 37°C resulted in their condensation into protein- and RNA-rich droplets (Figure 1F). A change in morphology with regards to the degree of sphericity of droplets already suggests that condensates are modulated by both type of RNA and phosphorylation status of the protein (Supplemental Figure 2), two factors that we investigate in depth.

N condensate composition determines interaction with membranes

Prior work has shown that following viral infection, N accumulates in thin layers around folded ER membranes that are likely vROs[33]. In contrast, later during viral budding events, N is part of RNPs linked by the viral genome[21]. These RNPs do not fuse or grow during the budding process, instead remaining as distinct complexes as the nucleocapsid is engulfed into new virions[21,34]. We first asked whether we could reproduce these two behaviors of N in vitro.

We developed a system to study the interaction between N and RNA condensates and membranes. We modeled membranes using giant unilamellar vesicles (GUVs). GUVs were made with a lipid composition meant to approximate the human ER membrane[35,36], with 60% DOPC, 25% DOPE, 10% DOPS, and the addition of 5% Ni-NTA lipids. The Ni-NTA lipids allowed us to tether membrane protein fragments to the GUV surface[37] to investigate whether the presence of either of two viral membrane proteins would affect condensate-GUV interaction (Figure 2A). The viral non-structural protein 3 (Nsp3) localizes to vROs[38] and was suggested to both help form the double layer of membranes as well as form

128   pores that span the membranes[39–41]. Nsp3 is a known interactor of N[42,43] and therefore is a logical

129   candidate for modulating N's interaction with the membranes enveloping vROs. We also studied the viral

130   M protein that is present in the ERGIC membrane where new virions form[44]. M and N are also known

131   interactors, where M is thought to anchor the RNP complex to the membrane during new virion

132   formation[45,46]. Given the challenges of producing and inserting transmembrane proteins into vesicles, we

133   chose to study the domains of the proteins that are known to interact with N. For M, we used a construct

134   with the C-terminal endodomain of the M protein, fused to a 6xHis tag and GFP[46]. For Nsp3, we took its

135   ubiquitin-like domain 1 (Ubl1)[42], and produced a construct with it fused to a 6xHis tag and GFP.

136   For this experiment, we used condensates composed of N or pN and the 1-1000 RNA fragment.

137   We added a small amount of GUVs and membrane protein fragments to the samples – either Nsp3 or M

138   fragments or a control solution (Figure 2B). We observed the GFP signal from the membrane proteins

139   become localized to the surface of GUVs, confirming tethering of the membrane protein fragments. Next,

140   we used optical tweezers to control the position of condensates, moving them to and holding them at the

141   GUV surface. We then attempted to pull the condensates off the surface and observed whether they

142   remained bound or were mobile. For example, in a sample with pN, 1-1000 RNA, GUVs, and Nsp3

143   fragments, we brought condensates composed of pN and 1-1000 RNA to the GUV and observed as the

144   condensates wet the surface (Figure 2C).

145   We defined five types of interactions between condensates and membranes (Figure 2D legend):

146   1) With no interaction, the optical tweezer can move condensates away from a surface it was in contact

147   with. 2) With only binding, we observe the condensates remain attached to the membrane surface even

148   when the optical tweezer is attempting to dissociate the two, but no additional condensate – membrane

149   interactions occur. 3) During membrane wrapping, the condensate binds to the membrane and the

150   membrane surrounds the condensate over time. 4) In partial wetting, the condensate not only binds to the

151   membrane surface but also partially deforms, expanding the area of contact between the condensate and

152   membrane. 5) Finally, in complete wetting, the condensates totally wet the membrane surface, forming a

153   thin layer of protein and RNA condensate.

154   We found that if no fragments of membrane proteins (Nsp3 or M) are present, no interaction

155   occurs between N and RNA condensates and the GUV surface, regardless of the phosphorylation status

156   of N (Figure 2D and Videos S1-2). This suggests that N or pN and RNA condensates have no intrinsic

157   ability to interact with lipid membranes of the composition tested. In contrast, when the Nsp3 fragment is

158   at the surface of GUVs, we observe condensates composed of both N and pN binding to the membrane

159   surface (Videos S3-4). With unmodified N, condensates with 1-1000 RNA only partially wet the surface.

160   Condensates with phosphorylated N completely wet the surface, forming a thin layer of condensed

161   material. This behavior of pN and RNA condensates resembles the formation of a layer of protein on

162   vROs that is observed in infected cells[20]. Importantly, our results hint that phosphorylation of N modulates

163   the material properties of N condensates, shifting the behavior observed from partial to complete wetting.

164   The thin layer of N that is observed surrounding vROs in infected cells may be condensed pN wetting the

165   surface due to pN-Nsp3 interactions.

166   When we repeated the experiment with the M fragment, we observed an important difference in

167   binding based on the status of N phosphorylation: while condensates with unmodified N bound to the M-

168   coated GUV surface, condensates with pN did not interact with the surface (Videos S5-6). Therefore,

169   phosphorylation of N may have unexpected effects beyond modulating condensate material properties: it

170   can act as a switch to prevent binding of pN to membrane surfaces displaying the viral Membrane protein,

171   where new virion formation typically occurs. (We investigate how phosphorylation affects protein-protein

172   interactions between N and M or Nsp3 in Figure 3). When condensates with N and 1-1000 RNA

173   interacted with M coated GUVs, we observed binding (6/10 events) or membrane wrapping (4/10 events)

174   (Figure 2E), the difference between either case likely driven by the membrane tension of the GUVs. In the

175   cases where membrane wrapping occurs, the protein and RNA condensates are partially engulfed into

176   GUVs in the time scale of minutes. The events of membrane wrapping are especially intriguing, given that

177   they resemble the direction of membrane bending required for encapsidation. These observations are

178   consistent with prior EM studies showing that RNPs may contribute to membrane bending after

179   recruitment to the membrane by M[47,48].

180   We quantified the affinity between condensates and GUVs by measuring the geometric factor that

181   considers the contact angles along the contact line between the GUV surface, the condensate, and the

182   external solution as well as the intrinsic contact angle between condensate and GUV (Figure 2F-H)[32].

183   These are determined by the material properties of the condensate, the strength of interaction between

184   condensate and membrane surface, and the membrane tension of the GUV. No interaction between

185   condensates and the membrane results in the highest geometric factors. An intermediate geometric factor

186   is found with N condensates and Nsp3 fragments, where partial wetting is observed, and N condensates

187   with M fragments, where either binding or membrane wrapping are observed. A drastic reduction in

188   geometric factor occurs with pN and Nsp3, where complete wetting occurs. The different degrees of

189   interaction also impact the intrinsic contact angle between condensate and GUV. Partial wetting or

190   membrane wrapping cause an intermediate reduction in the angle, while complete wetting causes a

191   drastic reduction in the angle (Figure 2H). Overall, with this panel of condensate compositions and

192   membrane surfaces, we were able to reproduce several behaviors that N displays during the viral

193   lifecycle.

194

195   *Phosphorylated N cannot bind to the SARS-CoV-2 Membrane protein*

196   Given our observation that pN condensates did not bind to GUVs with M fragments, we examined

197   whether phosphorylation affects protein-protein interactions between N and M or Nsp3. Several groups

198   have sought to understand the binding mechanism between coronavirus N and M proteins[45,46], but no

199   information is available on the effect of phosphorylation of N on its interaction with M. A stretch of amino

200   acids (168–208) within the linker region of N was identified as critical for N-M interactions in the SARS-

201   CoV-1 virus[45]. More recently, the linker domain of the SARS-CoV-2 N was shown to be necessary for N to

202   co-phase separate with M[46]. Together, these studies point to a potential role of the SR-rich domain of N in

203   interacting with M. Our results from Figure 2 suggest that phosphorylation of the SR region of N may

204   inhibit binding between N and M.

205   We performed a partitioning experiment using confocal microscopy (Figure 3A). We added the

206   fluorescently tagged M fragment or Nsp3 fragment to N or pN and observed the partitioning of the

207   membrane protein fragments into condensates (Figure 3B-C). We confirmed that M has a much stronger

208   affinity to unmodified N than to pN. Unmodified N binds to M independent of the presence of viral RNA

209   fragments, though phase separation is promoted by the presence of 1-1000 RNA. We observed

210   contrasting results with phosphorylated N mixed with M. First, M does not drive the condensation of pN

211   without addition of RNA. Second, M does not partition preferentially into condensates composed of pN

212   and viral RNA (partition coefficient for M is 9.8 ± 0.3 in N + 1-1000 RNA condensates vs. 0.3 ± 0.1 in pN +

213   1-1000 RNA condensates; partition coefficient is defined as the ratio of average fluorescence intensity

214   inside vs. outside the condensates). Given that M likely interacts with residues around N's SR domain[45], it

215   is not surprising that phosphorylation of the SR region affects binding between the two proteins. These

216   results are intriguing because they suggest a mechanism for timing of viral assembly. As noted above, a

217   majority of N within infected cells is phosphorylated, but N included within new virions is unmodified[15]. pN

218   may not be incorporated into newly formed virions because this protein is unable to bind M and anchor

219   the RNP to the membrane at the site of viral assembly.

220   As a comparison, we also investigated whether phosphorylation affects the partitioning of Nsp3

221   protein's Ubl1 domain into N or pN condensates. Previous reports showed that Nsp3 Ubl1 domain

222   partitions into condensates composed of both N and a phosphomimetic version of N[17]. Our results

223   qualitatively agree with these findings, although we found pN has a lower affinity than N for Nsp3, based

224   on our measured partition coefficients (12.6 ± 0.1 for Nsp3 in N + 1-1000 RNA condensates vs. 2.2 ± 0.1

225   in pN + 1-1000 RNA condensates). Given the hypothesized role of N in delivering the viral genome to

226   Nsp3-coated replication organelles following viral entry into cells, and given that the films of N observed

227   adhered to replication organelles[20] are likely composed of phosphorylated N, it is not surprising that both

228   unmodified and phosphorylated N bind to Nsp3.

229

230   *Phosphorylation of N modulates the material properties of N condensates*

231   Based on our membrane binding experiments, we hypothesized that phosphorylation makes N

232   condensates more fluid, explaining their propensity to relax at the surface of GUVs. To explore the

233   material properties of condensates, we first used Fluorescence Recovery after Photobleaching (FRAP).

234   We photobleached either fluorescently labeled protein or RNA within a region of the condensate and

235   observed how the fluorescence recovered over time. The advantage of using FRAP here is that it allows

236   us to independently assess the dynamics of protein and RNA in our multi-component condensates. N

237   bound to 1-1000 RNA recovers more slowly than pN bound to the same RNA (recovery half-life τ = 2.6 ±

238   0.1 min for N and 1.7 ± 0.1 min for pN) (Figure 4A-B and Supplemental Figure 3). In addition, the total

239   recovery of N is lower compared to pN (62% vs. 94%), suggesting a pool of N is fixed to the RNA. Both

240   metrics suggest that pN has a greater mobility than N when bound to 1-1000 RNA. In contrast to the

241   results obtained by photobleaching protein within condensates, we observed almost no recovery of the

242   fluorescence when RNA was bleached (Figure 4A and C), independent of protein phosphorylation status.

243   RNA appears to form a network onto which protein can bind and dissociate[49]. Individual RNA molecules

244   may move locally but do not appear to diffuse long distances, likely due to their ability to form

245   intermolecular base pairs. Overall, our FRAP results provide insight towards understanding the

246   membrane interactions observed in previous experiments. Condensates composed of pN that wet

247   surfaces also showed a greater protein recovery in FRAP experiments, suggesting that the dynamic

248   movement of protein allows the condensates to reorganize at the surface of membranes over time.

249   To quantitatively assess how phosphorylation affects N condensate material properties, we

250   turned to passive microrheology and micropipette aspiration. In passive microrheology, we embed 500

251   nm fluorescent tracer beads into condensates and track their movement. The mean squared

252   displacement (MSD) of beads depends on their viscous and elastic environment[50]. Beads embedded

253   within condensates composed of pN + 1-1000 RNA displayed a greater MSD at all lag times when

254   compared to beads embedded in N + 1-1000 RNA condensates (Figure 4D and Supplemental Figure 4).

255   The MSD of beads did not increase linearly with lag time for all samples, revealing that some

256   condensates behave as viscoelastic fluids under the experimental conditions. Using the Generalized

257   Stokes-Einstein Relation, we estimated viscous and elastic moduli. For N + 1-1000 RNA condensates,

258   the elastic modulus dominates the viscous modulus at high frequencies (> 56 Hz). In contrast, for pN + 1-

259   1000 RNA, the viscous modulus is dominant for all frequencies measured (Figure 4E – F). We then

260   quantified the zero-shear viscosity of the samples, i.e., the limiting value for viscosity at 0 Hz. For

261   condensates composed of N + 1-1000 RNA, we found a viscosity of 192 ± 3.6 Pa*s, while for

262   condensates with pN, we measured a viscosity of 59 ± 3.4 Pa*s, representing a ~3x reduction in viscosity

263   (Figure 4G and Supplemental Figure 5).

264   The change in viscosity upon phosphorylation of N may be due to modulation of protein-protein

265   and/or protein-RNA interactions, the latter via either specific or nonspecific binding to RNA. We assessed

266   each of these possibilities by testing the material properties of N or pN condensates with unstructured

267   RNA (polyrA) or with 5% PEG8000, which acts as a crowding agent to drive N phase separation without

268 RNA (Supplemental Figure 2). Phosphorylation of N decreased the viscosity of condensates with polyrA

269 from 64 ± 4.2 Pa*s to 12 ± 0.4 Pa*s, suggesting that nonspecific interactions between protein and RNA

270 were disrupted. Furthermore, phosphorylation decreased viscosity of condensates with PEG8000 from 11

271 ± 0.7 Pa*s to 4 ± 0.3 Pa*s, suggesting that protein-protein interactions are also disrupted to some degree.

272 We also quantified the terminal relaxation time of the condensate network, which is the inverse of the

273 crossover frequency between the predominantly elastic and predominantly viscous regimes. At

274 timescales below the relaxation time, the condensates behave as elastic materials. Elasticity is reduced

275 by phosphorylation in both polyrA and 1-1000 RNA samples (Figure 4H). Condensates with N and polyrA

276 or 1-1000 RNA have a relaxation time of 0.01 - 0.02 seconds, while condensates with pN have no

277 measurable relaxation timescale, suggesting that phosphorylation disrupts the ability of N and RNA to

278 crosslink (Supplemental Figure 6). The elasticity displayed by complexes formed between unmodified N

279 and RNA may have a biological function in protecting the RNA from mechanical stress[51].

280 We confirmed our material property measurements using micropipette aspiration (MPA)[52,53]. We

281 recorded how the length of the aspirated condensate in a micropipette changes as a function of time in

282 response to an applied pressure. We quantified the viscosity for the different protein combinations and

283 obtained the same trend and rank order that was observed using microrheology (Figure 4I and

284 Supplemental Figure 7). (Viscosities quantified via MPA were consistently lower than the zero-shear

285 viscosities obtained from microrheology; when the two data sets are plotted against each other, they have

286 a linear fit with slope of 0.72 and R^2 of 0.99). Notably, MPA measurements also showed that pN

287 condensates have lower viscosity than the corresponding N condensates. Together, our microrheology

288 and micropipette aspiration results point to N and RNA condensates being viscoelastic fluids whose

289 properties depend on both RNA type and phosphorylation status. Phosphorylation of N appears to loosen

290 the network of interactions between N and RNA, thus reducing the viscosity and elasticity of condensates.

291

292 *RNA type also modulates condensate material properties*

293 We repeated experiments with a second viral RNA fragment to assess whether our results

294 depend on RNA sequence and structure. We used N RNA, introduced previously, which encodes the N

295 protein and is 1340 base pairs long. N RNA is an important viral RNA to test because it is abundant in

296   infected cells, and from a biophysical perspective, it is (1) longer than 1-1000 RNA, and as such has a

297   higher ensemble diversity (which describes the diversity of conformations the RNA is predicted to fold

298   into; it is predicted to be 198.5 for N RNA vs. 148.1 for 1-1000 RNA, based on ViennaRNA[54]), and (2)

299   contains a different pattern of preferred binding sites for N[55]. These factors suggest that N RNA forms a

300   more entangled network in condensates compared to 1-1000 RNA, resulting in the different condensate

301   morphologies observed (Figure 1F). We found similar behavior between condensates with 1-1000 or N

302   RNA (Supplemental Figure 8), both in terms of binding to GUVs with different membrane protein

303   fragments, as well as their material response to phosphorylation (phosphorylation reduces viscosity and

304   elasticity). These results suggest that the change in N condensate material properties and membrane

305   interaction upon phosphorylation are not RNA structure dependent. Across experiments, we did observe

306   that condensates with the longer N RNA were less likely to deform than their counterparts with 1-1000

307   RNA. This data hints that complexes of unmodified N and longer fragments of RNA, such as genomic

308   RNA, would appear solid-like and with an important elastic response, which may play a role in

309   mechanically protecting RNA within virions[51]. To further study these questions, additional analyses with

310   varying RNA lengths and structures would be required.

311

312   *Phosphorylation weakens binding between N and RNA by promoting linker-linker interactions*

313   We have shown that phosphorylation of N results in condensates that more readily deform at

314   membrane surfaces and that have a lower viscosity and elasticity, both likely driven by a loosening of the

315   interaction network between protein and RNA. This change in interaction does not appear to be

316   dependent on specific RNA structures, as phosphorylation also drastically softens condensates with

317   unstructured polyrA (Figure 4G-I). We therefore asked how phosphorylation affects N, such that

318   interaction between protein and RNA is diminished.

319   We leveraged fluorescence polarization to measure the binding affinity between N protein and

320   fluorescently labelled RNA (Figure 5A-B). First, we assessed whether phosphorylation affects binding of

321   N to a stem-loop structure (SL4) present in the 1-1000 RNA fragment. SL4 was identified as a preferred

322   binding site for N's RNA binding domain[23,24]. Phosphorylation of N reduces the binding affinity to SL4

323   RNA, increasing the dissociation constant (K_D) from 10.9 ± 1.4 nM to 59.0 ± 0.9 nM. Although this is a six-

324   fold reduction in binding affinity, the concentrations at which our membrane interaction and material

325   property experiments were conducted are well above the nanomolar K_Ds measured, and therefore this

326   change in binding cannot explain the changes observed. Next, to test the hypothesis that nonspecific

327   binding between protein and RNA is disrupted by phosphorylation, we measured the binding affinity

328   between N or pN and a 30-base polyrA. Phosphorylation causes a twenty-fold change in K_D from 71.7 ±

329   0.8 nM to 1571.0 ± 2.1 nM, larger than that observed for the SL4 RNA. We conclude that phosphorylation

330   reduces N's interaction with unstructured RNA more so than structured RNA. Importantly, for both polyrA

331   and SL4, phosphorylation of N also reduced the maximum polarization. This suggests a greater rotational

332   freedom in the protein-RNA complexes formed with pN that could be driven either by the formation of a

333   more compact complex or a conformation in which the protein-bound RNA retains more rotational

334   mobility[56]. We anticipated that phosphorylation would weaken binding between N and RNA due to

335   electrostatic repulsion. However, our fluorescence polarization results suggest that phosphorylation may

336   also be inducing a conformational change in N which affects its RNA binding properties.

337   We therefore used single-molecule Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (smFRET) to explore the

338   conformation of N[8]. First, for full-length N, we confirmed that phosphorylation does not affect the

339   conformation of the dimerization domain[57] or the tendency of N to dimerize (Supplemental Figure 9).

340   Next, we used smFRET to study the conformation of the linker region. We probed two constructs of N

341   with fluorescent labels flanking the linker region (at residues 172 and 245): full-length N and truncated N[1-

342   246], which lacks the dimerization and C-terminal disordered domains. We performed experiments at two

343   protein concentrations: low concentration, at which N is in its monomeric form, and high concentration, at

344   which dimers form if the dimerization domain is present. We measured the distribution of transfer

345   efficiencies for each protein construct at each concentration (Figure 5C-D). The distributions represent a

346   dynamic ensemble of conformations, as supported by the corresponding analysis of donor lifetime vs.

347   transfer efficiency (Supplemental Figure 10). Therefore, we used the mean transfer efficiencies to

348   calculate the root mean square distance (RMSD) between labelled residues, which can be compared

349   across samples to understand the degree of expansion of the linker (Figure 5E). Consistent with previous

350   measurements of the same constructs[8], we observed a mean transfer efficiency of approximately 0.6 for

351   full-length N and 0.75 for N[1-246]. These values represent rather compact ensembles, despite the

352   significant net charge content of the interdye sequence, and points to interactions between the linker and

353   the N2 domain[8]. For both concentrations tested, presence of the dimerization domain (N4) causes

354   expansion of the linker, suggesting the nearby folded domain represents an excluded volume that

355   restricts the linker's conformation. We also found that phosphorylation causes an additional expansion of

356   the linker region, which is unexpected given the introduction of negative charges to a cationic sequence,

357   though this may result from the number of bulky phosphate groups or a weaker interaction with N2[58].

358   Importantly, the degree of expansion due to phosphorylation is greatly reduced in the full-length construct

359   under dimer conditions. Formation of the dimer may destabilize interactions with N2 to such a degree that

360   phosphorylation can add little to such destabilization compared to other cases where the N2 interaction

361   was stronger. Another possibility is that intermolecular interactions between monomers within a pN dimer

362   may drive bending of the linker that counteracts the expansion caused by phosphorylation. To evaluate

363   these hypotheses, we investigated the conformation of the N dimer entirely.

364   Due to the largely disordered nature of the N protein dimer, we used Small Angle X-ray

365   Scattering (SAXS) to study how phosphorylation affects the dimer structure. First, we found a significant

366   decrease in radius of gyration of N vs. pN dimers, from 58.0 ± 1.0 Å for N to 53.3 ± 2.5 Å for pN, a finding

367   supported by both the pair distance distribution and the Guinier approximation (Figure 5F-G and

368   Supplemental Figure 11-12). Next, analyzing normalized Kratky plots confirms both N and pN display

369   behavior typical of a multidomain protein with flexible linkers (Figure 5H). The elevated tail for N indicates

370   greater extension of the protein compared to pN. Using the scattering data to construct bead models for N

371   and pN allows us to visualize these changes (Figure 5I-J and Supplemental Figure 13). Our bead models

372   show a new region of electron density between monomers within pN dimers, suggesting that a new

373   interaction between the linkers takes place in pN dimers that is not present in N dimers. Binding between

374   arginine residues and phosphate groups across members of a pN dimer may be the basis for the new

375   intermolecular interactions observed. This agrees with previous molecular simulations data that found a

376   phosphorylation-induced increase in intra- and inter-molecular contacts in pN due to the formation of salt

377   bridges between phosphate groups and arginine side chains[22]. We speculate that this new interaction

378   between monomers may be interfering with N's ability to bind to RNA. The more compact conformation of

379   pN dimers and reduced affinity between protein and RNA[9] may explain our earlier observations from the

380   binding affinity assay and material property measurements. Therefore, this new model ties together the

381   conformational change of N upon phosphorylation with its reduced ability to interact with RNA, which in

382   turn affects its condensate material properties and its form when interacting with membranes.

383

Discussion

385   Despite significant interest, there is still limited knowledge about how phosphorylation regulates the

386   conformation, dynamics and function of SARS-CoV-2 N protein, especially in relation to membrane

387   interaction. This is an important question because N has been observed in two membrane-associated forms

388   inside cells: 1) adhered to viral replication organelles in a dynamic thin film and 2) bound to viral genomic

389   RNA in solid-like complexes that associate with the ERGIC membrane during viral budding [20,21]. To gain

390   insight into these two states of the N protein, we focused on the SR region, which is known to be heavily

391   phosphorylated in infected cells but unmodified during viral assembly[15]. Phosphorylation has been shown

392   to influence the compactness of RNP complexes and the strength of RNA binding[29].

393   In this work, we argue that the phosphorylation state of N determines its two membrane-associated

394   behaviors in infected cells, namely being adhered to membrane surfaces and being tightly bound to RNA

395   when engulfed into new virions. Our experiments show that phosphorylation affects the behavior of N in

396   two ways: first, it weakens the ability of N to interact with the viral M protein, and second, it results in

397   deformable protein and RNA condensates that wet a membrane surface. We investigated the material

398   properties of N vs. pN and RNA condensates and showed that condensates with phosphorylated N are less

399   viscous and elastic than their unmodified counterparts. This trend in material properties of N and RNA

400   condensates was independent of whether structured or unstructured RNA was present. We then showed

401   that this change in material properties was due to weakening of N's binding to RNA following

402   phosphorylation. We studied N at a molecular level and identified that phosphorylation results in new

403   interactions between the SR linkers of the two monomers in a dimer, thus interfering with the protein's ability

404   to interact with RNA. Our findings tie together an understanding of how phosphorylation acts as a switch

405   controlling the conformation and behavior of N during viral replication (Figure 6).

406   Our results are distinct from recently published data on the effect of phosphorylation on the

407   molecular conformation of N determined using NMR. Botova et al. found a rigidification of the SR region

408   upon phosphorylation that their data suggests is a result of new interactions with the RNA binding domain[58].

409   Their conclusions are due to the similarity in the chemical shift perturbations observed when N is

410   phosphorylated vs. when RNA binding occurs. However, the rigidification of the SR region could also be

411   due to the presence of bulky phosphate groups. Though new interactions between N's RNA binding domain

412   and the linker region could be overlooked by our SAXS-derived reconstruction given its low resolution, our

413   results point to a larger conformational shift of the dimer. Stuwe et al. also studied the effect of

414   phosphorylation on N using NMR, though the construct studied lacked the N-terminal RNA binding domain,

415   thus potentially skewing the analysis of intra- and inter- molecular interactions[59]. They found that

416   phosphorylation changes the behavior of a leucine-rich helix (LRH) found in N's linker: in unmodified N,

417   higher order oligomers form through LRH self-interaction that is significantly weakened by phosphorylation.

418   In contrast with these results, our data presents an alternative model in which phosphorylation of N

419   promotes intermolecular interactions between the SR regions of monomers within a dimer and thus disrupts

420   non-specific interaction between pN and unstructured RNA. We do not observe a significant change in the

421   oligomerization behavior of N following phosphorylation (Supplemental Figure 9).

422   A recent study suggested that the N in cells is sequentially phosphorylated by host kinases SRPK1,

423   GSK-3, and CK1[16]. Inhibiting SRPK1 was found to reduce viral replication, while blocking GSK-3 activity

424   decreased replication in cells and reduced infection in patients[16,60]. However, given the wide range of

425   functions of these human kinases, inhibiting phosphorylation may not be a viable path to treatment of

426   SARS-CoV-2 infection. Our results suggest an alternative approach to inhibiting viral replication. Given the

427   important role of RNA structure in modulating the material properties of N and RNA condensates, molecules

428   that disrupt viral RNA structure may be potential treatments for viral infection. For example, Vögele et al.

429   identified a molecule that disrupts the double stranded structure of stem loop 4 (SL4) in viral RNA[61]. Further

430   investigation is needed to assess whether this type of disruption modulates the material properties of N and

431   RNA condensates to an extent that impairs N's functions in viral replication.

432   Although significant progress has been made in understanding the molecular determinants of a

433   condensate's material properties, condensates studied *in vitro* are often much simpler (e.g., using simple

434   RNA models such as polyrA) than those found in cells, which are often a complex mixture of proteins and

435   structured RNA or DNA[62,63]. Therefore, we have limited understanding of how material properties and

17

436 function are determined for condensates composed of protein and RNA. Here, using a more physiological

437 reconstitution strategy, we explored how a naturally occurring system of protein and RNA interact to

438 determine the resulting condensate's viscosity and elasticity. These findings can be applied to better

439 understand not only SARS-CoV-2-related condensates, but also other protein-RNA condensates.

440   In conclusion, we have investigated the connection between a protein's molecular conformation,

441 its material properties when phase separated with RNA, and its membrane-associated behavior. We show

442 that phosphorylation may act as a switch to toggle N between its two membrane-associated states during

443 viral replication. This can be traced back to a change in the protein's conformation that then impacts its

444 interaction with RNA and its material properties as a condensate. Given the complexity of the system

445 studied, further investigations are still needed to better understand how our results can be expanded to

446 other N protein-RNA interactions that occur in infected cells, considering factors such as the presence of

447 human mRNA, other viral subgenomic RNAs that do not promote phase separation, and the much longer

448 viral genomic RNA.

449

Acknowledgements

Author Contributions

467 BF: conceptualization, experimental design, data collection and analysis, writing—original draft, writing—
468 review and editing; HW: data collection for MPA experiments; JC and AS: experimental design, data
469 collection and analysis for smFRET experiments; MB: data analysis for microrheology experiments; CR:
470 data collection and analysis for SAXS experiments; SM: experimental design, data collection, analysis and
471 supervision for SAXS experiments; AJG: supervision of SAXS data analysis and funding acquisition; ZS:
472 supervision, experimental design for MPA and GUV experiments and funding acquisition; BSS:
473 conceptualization, supervision, experimental design, data analysis, writing—original draft, writing—review

474   and editing, funding acquisition.
475

Declaration of Interests

477   The authors declare no competing interests.

478

Figure Captions

480
481   **Figure 1: Phosphorylation of the SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid protein (N).** A) Schematic of full-length
482   SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid protein (N) used in experiments, showing N1, a disordered domain; N2, RNA
483   binding domain; N3, disordered linker domain containing the Serine-Arginine-rich (SR) region; N4,
484   dimerization domain; and N5, a disordered domain. B) Sites of phosphorylation in the SR region of the N3
485   domain. Our experiments used two kinases – SRPK1 and GSK3β – resulting in a maximum of 10
486   phosphorylation sites. C) Hypothesized form and roles of N and phosphorylated N (pN). pN may have
487   dynamic functions, forms liquid-like droplets with RNA and may localize to the surface of replication
488   organelles. Unmodified N forms solid-like, spherical assemblies with genomic RNA and facilitates new
489   virus encapsulation. N's form with RNA was characterized by Carlson et al., 2020 using light and electron
490   microscopy. D) A diagram of the SARS-CoV-2 lifecycle. Following viral entry (1), the genome is uncoated
491   (2) such that it can be read. Viral RNA is stored within viral replication organelles (3) wherein RNA
492   transcription and replication may be occurring. N binds to and condenses genomic RNA exiting these
493   organelles (4). In parallel, viral structural proteins are produced (5), in preparation for viral encapsulation
494   (6) and exit (7). E) Main RNA fragments used in experiments are the first 1000 bases from the 5' end of
495   the viral genome and the 1340 base fragment encoding N. F) Droplets with varied morphologies form
496   upon mixing 40 μM N (5% Alexa Fluor 647 labeled) and 300 nM RNA fragments (5% Cy3 or Cy5 labeled)
497   at 37˚C. Scale bar = 5 μm.

498
499   **Figure 2: N condensate interaction with membranes.** A) Fragments of the membrane proteins used to
500   test membrane interactions: C-terminal endodomain of the Membrane (M) protein and the ubiquitin-like
501   domain (Ubl1) of the Nsp3 protein. Both protein fragments are fused to 6x Histidine tag and GFP. B)
502   Schematic of the experimental setup showing the M or Nsp3 protein fragment tethered to the giant
503   unilamellar vesicle (GUV) surface. Condensates composed of 40 μM N or pN plus 300 nM RNA are
504   moved to the GUV surface using optical tweezers. C) Representative widefield images showing that Nsp3
505   fragments preferentially localize to the GUV surface (left) and condensates composed of pN and 1-1000
506   RNA wet the surface of GUVs after being delivered to the surface using optical tweezers (OT) (right).
507   White dashed line added to denote the GUV surface. D) Representative widefield images showing the
508   interaction between condensates and membranes over time, for N vs. pN, and comparing GUVs with no
509   membrane protein, M fragment, and Nsp3 fragment. GUVs are labeled in green, and condensates
510   (Cond.), in blue. Interaction type is qualitatively classified. E) Timelapse imaging showing several
511   condensates (blue stars) being dragged by optical tweezers to the surface of a GUV, to which they bind.
512   Optical tweezers can be used to pull bound condensates which results in deformation of the GUV surface
513   (arrow). Membrane wrapping occurs in one case. F) Sketch showing the parameters that define the
514   geometric factor (Φ) and intrinsic contact angle (θ_{in}). Interaction leads to the formation of a contact line
515   between the condensate interface and membrane. Contact angles $\theta_c + \theta_e + \theta_i = 360°$ and we can
516   calculate Φ to describe the degree of wetting. Wetting can also be characterized by θ_{in} between
517   condensate and membrane surfaces. G) Quantification of the geometric factor from each combination of
518   condensate composition and membrane surface. Interactions that lead to membrane wrapping, partial
519   wetting, or complete wetting result in reduced geometric factors. H) Intrinsic contact angle from each
520   combination of condensate composition and membrane surface. n = 10 condensate-membrane
521   interactions from n = 3 independent trials. Individual data points are shown for each interaction event. The
522   lines indicate the median, lower quartile, and upper quartile. Scale bars = 5 μm.

523
524   **Figure 3: N and pN interaction with membrane proteins, M and NSP3.** A) Schematic of the
525   experimental setup where 6xHis-GFP-M[104-222] or 6xHis-GFP-NSP3[1-111] is added to a condensate sample
526   and partitioning of GFP-tagged protein is quantified using confocal microscopy. B) Representative

527   confocal images from condensates composed of 40 µM N or pN plus either no RNA or 300 nM RNA; plus
528   either 2 µM 6xHis-GFP-M$^{104-222}$ or 6xHis-GFP-NSP3$^{1-111}$. 6xHis-GFP-M$^{104-222}$ binds to N but not pN
529   condensates while 6xHis-GFP-NSP3$^{1-111}$ partitions in regardless of choice of N vs. pN. Normalized line
530   profiles across condensates, representing averages of at least n = 20 condensates from 3 independent
531   trials. Scale bars = 5 µm. C) Quantification of partitioning of GFP-tagged proteins into N or pN
532   condensates by dividing average fluorescence inside condensates (if any) by the average background
533   fluorescence. Error bars represent one standard deviation (±1 s.d.). p values were determined using two-
534   way ANOVA followed by post hoc Tukey's test. *p < 0.01.
535
536   **Figure 4: Phosphorylation modulates material properties of N and RNA condensates.** A)
537   Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) of N and RNA condensates, in which 5% of N/pN is
538   labeled with Alexa-647 and 5% of RNA is labeled with Cy-3. pN recovers to a greater extent over time
539   when compared to N, while in neither case does RNA signal recover. Scale bar = 5 µm. B) Quantification
540   of FRAP of protein in N vs. pN condensates (n = 3 independent trials). C) Quantification of FRAP of RNA
541   in N vs. pN condensates (n = 3 independent trials). D) Ensemble MSD versus lag time (prior to noise
542   correction) for the protein and RNA combinations tested in this study. Inset: Representative trajectories
543   from two-dimensional particle tracking showing Brownian motion of beads in N vs. pN condensates with
544   1-1000 RNA. Each tick represents 5 nm. E) Plot with the average viscous modulus (G'', red) and the
545   average elastic modulus (G', blue) of N + 1-1000 RNA condensates as calculated from the MSDs (n ≥ 10
546   videos from 3 independent samples) after noise correction. F) The average viscous and elastic moduli
547   after noise correction for pN + 1-1000 RNA condensates showing no crossover frequency in the range
548   studied. G) The zero-shear viscosity of the protein and RNA condensates studied, calculated from the
549   particle-tracking results after noise correction. Data from n ≥ 10 videos from 3 independent trials. H)
550   Quantification of the timescales at which the elastic modulus dominates (color) versus the viscous
551   modulus dominates (grey) in protein and RNA condensates. I) Viscosity of the protein and RNA
552   condensates from micropipette aspiration. n = 3 independent trials. Error bars represent one standard
553   deviation (±1 s.d.).
554
555   **Figure 5: N protein phosphorylation weakens RNA binding affinity due to change in protein**
556   **conformation.** A) Quantification of binding affinity between N vs. pN and the viral stem loop 4 RNA (SL4)
557   based on a change in normalized fluorescence polarization (minimum polarization set to 0). n = 3
558   independent trials. B) Quantification of binding affinity between N vs. pN and unstructured 30 base polyrA
559   from normalized fluorescence polarization. n = 3 independent trials. C) Representative distributions of
560   transfer efficiency for full-length N (top) and pN (bottom) at low concentration (100 pM labeled protein)
561   and high concentration (100 pM labeled protein + 1 µM unlabeled protein) with fluorescent dyes flanking
562   the linker region at residues 172 and 245. D) Representative distributions of transfer efficiency for N$^{1-246}$
563   (top) and pN$^{1-246}$ (bottom) at low concentration (100 pM labeled protein) and high concentration (100 pM
564   labeled protein + 4 µM unlabeled protein) with fluorescent dyes flanking the linker region at residues 172
565   and 245. E) Root mean squared inter-dye distance obtained from the mean transfer efficiencies for
566   unmodified and phosphorylated full-length N and N$^{1-246}$. F) Representative pairwise interatomic distance
567   distribution P(r) derived from SAXS for N and pN. N or pN concentration = 3 mg /mL. G) The maximum
568   distance (Dmax) and radius of gyration (Rg) for N and pN derived from the pair distance distributions. p
569   values were determined using two-sided student's t-test; asterisk indicates p < 0.05. H) Normalized
570   Kratky plot comparing the scattering of N vs. pN, indicating a structural change has occurred due to
571   phosphorylation. Concentrations shown for N or pN are 1, 1.5, 3, and 4 mg/mL from lighter to darker. I)
572   Bead model representation for the N dimer developed from SAXS results (left) and hypothesized
573   conformation of N (right). J) Bead model representation for the pN dimer developed from SAXS results
574   (left) and hypothesized conformation of pN highlighting new intermolecular interactions (right). Error bars
575   represent one standard deviation (±1 s.d.).
576
577   **Figure 6: Model of N protein form and membrane-associated state during the viral lifecycle.** Inside
578   the cell (1), the vast majority of N is found in its phosphorylated form, localized to the surface of viral
579   replication organelles (2). Phosphorylation promotes linker-linker interactions across N dimers that
580   weaken interaction between N and RNA (inset, left). This looser protein-RNA interaction network within
581   condensates results in a relatively low viscosity and elasticity that may facilitate the molecular diffusion
582   needed during RNA transcription and replication. A second population of N that is destined to form new

583 virions binds to new viral genomic RNA (gRNA) and condenses RNA into small spherical complexes (3).
584 This unmodified protein binds tightly to gRNA through both specific and nonspecific interactions (inset,
585 right). These assemblies have high viscosity and elasticity that may support a protective function of N
586 towards gRNA. The gRNA and N capsid is engulfed by the ERGIC membrane, facilitated by N and M
587 interactions (4). New virions exit the infected cells (5).
588

Resource Availability

590 **Lead contact:** Requests for further information and resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by
591 the lead contact, Benjamin S Schuster (benjamin.schuster@rutgers.edu).
592 **Materials availability**: Plasmids generated in this study will be deposited to Addgene (links will be made
593 available upon publication).
594 **Data and code availability:** All the quantitative analyses discussed in this paper were generated based
595 on data and computer codes that will be made publicly available (links will be made available upon
596 publication).

597

Supplemental information

599 Document S1. Table S1, Figures S1–S13 and Supporting Methods

600 Supplemental videos 1-6, related to Figure 2.
601

Methods

Cloning

604 All genes of interest were cloned into pET vectors in frame with N-terminal 6x-His tags. A TEV

605 protease site was inserted between the 6x-His tag and the Nucleocapsid protein coding sequence.

606 Constructs were cloned by DNA assembly (NEBuilder HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix; New England

607 Biolabs). Gene sequences were verified by Sanger sequencing (Genewiz).

Protein expression and purification

609 For bacterial expression, plasmids were transformed into BL21(DE3) competent E. coli (New

610 England BioLabs). Colonies picked from fresh plates were grown for 12 hours at 37 °C in 5 mL LB while

611 shaking at 250 rpm. This starter culture was then used to inoculate 0.5 L cultures. Cultures were grown

612 overnight in 2 L baffled flasks in Terrific Broth medium (Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 4 g/L glycerol

613 at 18°C while shaking at 250 rpm. Once the OD_{600} reached approximately 1, expression was induced with

614 500 µM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). The pET vectors used contained a kanamycin

615   resistance gene; kanamycin was used at concentrations of 50 µg/mL in cultures[64]. After overnight

616   expression at 18 ˚C, bacterial cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 4100 x g at 10 ˚C. Pellets were

617   resuspended in lysis buffer (1 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris, 20 mM imidazole, EDTA-free protease inhibitor, pH 7.5)

618   and lysed by sonication while on ice. Lysate was clarified by centrifugation at 25000 x g for 30 minutes at

619   10 ˚C. The clarified lysate was then filtered with a 0.22 µm filter.

620   Proteins were purified using an AKTA Pure FPLC with 1 mL nickel-charged HisTrap columns

621   (Cytiva) for affinity chromatography of the His-tagged proteins. For N protein, after injecting proteins onto

622   the column, the column was washed with 15 column volumes of 3 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris, 20 mM imidazole,

623   pH 7.5. For all other proteins, after injecting proteins onto the column, the column was washed with 5

624   column volumes of 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, 20 mM imidazole, pH 7.5. Proteins were eluted with a linear

625   gradient up to 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, 500 mM imidazole, pH 7.5. Histidine tags were cleaved from the

626   N protein using TEV protease during dialysis. TEV protease was added to proteins and dialyzed overnight

627   using 10 kDa MWCO membranes (Slide-A-Lyzer G2, Thermo Fisher) into 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, 20

628   mM imidazole, 5mM DTT, pH 7.5 buffer at 4°C. The reaction mixture was purified using a nickel resin gravity

629   column (HisPur Ni-NTA Resin, Thermo Fisher) and the flow through was collected. Flow through aliquots

630   were concentrated and buffer exchanged into storage buffer (300mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) using

631   a 10kDa MWCO centrifugal filter (Amicon Ultra, Sigma). Proteins were either reserved for the

632   phosphorylation protocol or snap frozen in liquid N_2 in single-use aliquots and stored at -80 °C.

633   **SDS-PAGE**

634   For chromatographically purified proteins, SDS-PAGE was run using NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris gels

635   (Invitrogen) and stained using a Coomassie stain (GelCode Blue Safe Protein, Thermo Scientific).

636   **Fluorescent labeling of N protein**

637   Purified N protein was dialyzed into PBS buffer with 0.1 mL sodium bicarbonate per 1 mL protein

638   solution. Protein was then labeled by adding a 3:1 molar ratio of Alexa 647 NHS ester (stored in DMSO).

639   The mixture was incubated at 4°C for 1 h with rocking. Unbound dye was removed by size exclusion

640   chromatography (Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL, Cytiva). For phase separation assays, percent of dyed

641   protein was adjusted to 5% of total by dilution with undyed protein.

Phosphorylation protocol

642

643   ~80 µM N protein was prepared in a buffer containing 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, 1mM dithiothreitol

644   (DTT), 10 mM MgCl$_2$, and 2 mM ATP in a 200 µL reaction mixture. 5 µL GSK-3β and 5 µL SRPK were

645   added to the mixture. After incubation at 37°C for 120 min, phosphorylation was confirmed using a

646   SuperSep Phos-tag acrylamide gel (FujiFilm Wako Chemicals). Enzymes were removed from the reaction

647   mixture using GST-based affinity chromatography. Briefly, 1 mL of gluthathione resin (Glutathione

648   Sepharose 4B, Cytiva) was packed in a gravity column. The column was washed with 300mM NaCl, 20mM

649   Tris, pH 7.5 buffer. The 200 uL of reaction mixture was diluted to a total of 2 mL using wash buffer and

650   poured into the column. The column flow through was collected. The column was washed with an additional

651   1 mL of wash buffer, which were collected. The flow through and wash fractions were combined and the 3

652   mL of solution containing phosphorylated N protein was concentrated and buffer exchanged using an

653   Amicon Ultra 0.5 mL 10K MWCO centrifugal filter, according to manufacturer instructions.

654   **RNA In vitro transcription protocol**

655   RNA production was carried out according to established protocols[65]. Templates were gifted from

656   the Gladfelter lab where they were synthesized (IDT) and cloned into pJet (ThermoFisher Scientific K1231)

657   plasmids using blunt end cloning. Directionality and sequence were confirmed using Sanger sequencing

658   (Azenta). Plasmids were linearized and then amplified using PCR. 10 ng of plasmid was used as starting

659   material and primers are noted in the Supplemental Materials. Melting temperatures for the 1-1000 and N

660   fragments were 69°C. 5 µl of PCR product was loaded onto an agarose gel to determine size and purity

661   using SYBR™ Gold Nucleic Acid Gel Stain and NEB 1 kb Plus DNA Ladder. If the PCR product was pure

662   then the sample was purified (NEB DNA Clean-up kit). 100 ng of purified DNA was used as a template

663   for *in vitro* transcription (NEB E2040S) carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions. Following

664   incubation at 37°C for 18 h, *in vitro* transcription reactions were treated with DNAse (NEB M0303L)

665   according to the manufacturer's instructions. Following DNAse treatment, reactions were purified with an

666   RNA purification kit (NEB T2040L). Purified RNA was verified for purity and size using an agarose gel and

667   RNA Gel Loading Dye (NEB B0363S) and RiboRuler High Range RNA Ladder (Thermo Scientific SM183).

668   Concentration was measured using a Nanodrop One spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific).

669   Fluorescently labeled RNA was gifted by the Gladfelter lab. Cy3 RNA was transcribed from the

670   same template used above and using the same protocol described above, but with the addition of 0.1 µL

671   of Cy3 labeled UTP to each reaction (Sigma PA53026).

672   **Microscopy**

673   For microscopy experiments, protein samples were prepared as follows: N or pN protein aliquots

674   were thawed at room temperature. Proteins were then mixed with a combination of 20 mM Tris, 150 mM

675   NaCl, pH 7.5 and 20 mM Tris, 0 mM NaCl, pH 7.5 buffers and the desired RNA (if any), stored in water, to

676   obtain a final solution containing 150 mM NaCl and the protein and RNA concentrations desired for the

677   experiment (40 µM protein and 300 nM viral RNA or 1 mg/mL polyrA unless otherwise noted). All

678   experiments were conducted with a buffer concentration of 20 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.5. Protein

679   concentrations were measured based on their absorbance at 280 nm using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer

680   (ThermoFisher).

681   Protein samples were plated on 1.5 thickness slides that were coated with 5% Pluronic F-127

682   (Sigma-Aldrich) for a minimum of 10 minutes. The slides were washed with buffer solution prior to plating

683   the protein samples. A silicone spacer (0.5 mm) and a microfluidic temperature controller (Cherry Biotech)

684   were attached to the slide and the temperature of the sample was set to 37˚C during observation.

685   Confocal imaging was performed on a Zeiss Axio Observer 7 inverted microscope equipped with

686   an LSM900 laser scanning confocal module and employing a 63x/1.4 NA plan-apochromatic, oil-immersion

687   objective. GFP was excited to fluoresce with a 488 nm laser, Cy3 and Alexa-567 with a 561 nm laser and

688   Cy5 with a 630 nm laser. Confocal fluorescence images were captured using GaAsP detectors. Transmitted

689   light images were collected with either the ESID module or an Axiocam 702 sCMOS camera (Zeiss), in

690   both cases using a 0.55 NA condenser.

691   **Droplet image analysis**

692   Image analysis and data processing for Figures 2, 3 and 4 A-C and Supplemental Figures 2 and 8

693   B-C were performed in MATLAB R2023a. The fluorescence intensity profile of the condensates with

694   fluorescently labeled proteins was measured by using the Hough Transform to identify droplet locations

695   and drawing a line that spanned the droplet diameter plus 1/4th of a radius length in each direction across

696   the droplets. Line-scan graphs were generated in MATLAB. Total intensity and partitioning graphs were

697   generated in MATLAB. Condensate perimeter and area were calculated using MATLAB's inbuilt

698   regionprops function.

Giant unilamellar vesicle electroformation

700   Giant unilamellar vesicles were prepared by electroformation[66]. Briefly, 20 uL of a lipid solution

701   containing 60% DOPC, 25% DOPE, 10% DOPS, 5% Ni-NTA in chloroform was prepared. ~10 µL lipid

702   solution was spread onto indium tin oxide (ITO)-coated glasses and dried under vacuum for 12 h. The plates

703   were assembled into a chamber with a Teflon spacer and the swelling solution (~1 mL of 300mM sucrose)

704   was introduced. For electroformation, a sinusoidal electric field of 2.0 Vpp and 10 Hz was applied using a

705   function generator for 2.5 h, after which the frequency was reduced to 5 Hz for 30 min. In all cases,

706   osmolarities of sucrose solutions matched the osmolarities of the condensate NaCl solutions.

Contact angles measurement and geometric factor calculation

708   A detailed explanation of the contact angles used in this work has been published elsewhere[32].

709   Briefly, we measured the three contact angles θ_c, θ_e, θ_i from fluorescent images of GUVs and condensates

710   (for samples with GFP) or from brightfield images (for samples without GFP). From these angles, we

711   calculated the geometric factor, Φ. From Mangiarotti et al., 2024, when $\Phi = -1$ there is complete wetting of

712   the membrane by the condensate phase, while $\Phi = +1$ corresponds to dewetting of the membrane by the

713   condensate phase. The geometric factor, Φ, is negative if the membrane prefers the condensate over the

714   exterior buffer and positive otherwise[67]. We followed the same procedure to measure θ_{in}, the intrinsic

715   contact angle.

Fluorescence Recovery After Photobleaching

717   Circular bleach regions of approximate radius R = 1 µm were drawn in the center of protein droplets.

718   Alexa-647 was imaged and bleached with a 640 nm laser. Cy3 was imaged and bleached with a 561 nm

719   laser. Recovery curves were fit to a single exponential recovery model $f(t) = A * (1 - e^{-t/\tau})$ to calculate

720   the recovery timescale, τ.

Passive Microrheology

722   Yellow–green carboxylate-modified polystyrene beads (0.5 µm diameter; FluoSpheres, Invitrogen)

723   were used for video particle-tracking (VPT) microrheology measurements. Each sample was prepared by

724   mixing protein and RNA to a final concentration of 40 µM protein and 300 nM viral RNA or 1 mg/mL polyrA

725   in 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5. Next, 50 µL of the protein and RNA sample was mixed

726   with 1 uL of a 1:100 dilution of the fluorescent tracer bead solution and the sample was plated on a 1.5

727   thickness slide pre-treated with Pluronic F127. The sample was covered with a CherryTemp microfluidic

728   temperature controller chip and the sample was set to 37 ˚C and incubated for 1 hour.

729   VPT measurements were conducted on a Zeiss Axio Observer 7 inverted microscope equipped

730   with an Axiocam 702 monochrome sCMOS camera (Zeiss), employing a 63x, 1.4-numerical aperture plan-

731   apochromatic oil-immersion objective. The microscope focus was adjusted to the midsection of the protein

732   droplets for VPT acquisition. Epifluorescence video imaging was initiated at the 1-hour timepoint, with

733   fluorescence excitation using a 475-nm light-emitting diode (Colibri 7, Zeiss). Videos of the tracer beads

734   diffusing within the condensate were collected at 100 frames per second for 2,000 frames. Imaging was

735   conducted at 37 ˚C. For each sample, three independent samples were made on different days, and ~20

736   videos were collected from each sample, with each video containing ~5–50 tracer beads. Viscosity data

737   presented in Figure 4 D - G and Supplemental Figure 8 D - E are the average of these independent trials.

738   Data analysis was conducted using the open-source particle tracking package TrackPy (v0.5.0)[68]

739   in Python and customized as needed. The TrackPy particle tracking code was used to analyze the collected

740   videos, starting with extracting particle trajectories. The MSD was calculated from the trajectories of

741   individual beads, followed by calculating the ensemble-average MSD. To remove the static error from the

742   MSD curves for calculating viscosities, we corrected the ensemble-average MSD by subtracting the noise

743   floor from the MSD curves[69]. In general, the ensemble-average MSD often scales as a power law with lag

744   time τ, as given by

745
$$< r^2(\tau) > = 2dD\tau^{\alpha}$$

746   where d is the number of dimensions (here d = 2, because data collection and analysis were conducted in

747   the x–y plane), D is the diffusion coefficient, and α is the diffusivity exponent. For a purely viscous fluid, the

748   diffusivity exponent α is unity, and the Stokes-Einstein relation can be used to calculate the viscosity[70]. The

749   α values for all the condensates tested were in the range of 0.3–1.1. We therefore used the Generalized

750   Stokes-Einstein Relation (GSER) to measure the viscoelastic properties of the condensates[70]. The

751   frequency dependent GSER in the Fourier domain is represented by the following equation:

752
$$G^*(\omega) = G'(\omega) + iG''(\omega) = \frac{d\,k_B\,T}{3\pi a(i\omega) <\Delta r^2(\omega)>}$$

753   where G*(ω) is complex shear modulus, k_B is Boltzmann's constant, T is the temperature, a is the bead

754   radius, G'(ω) and G" (ω) are the frequency-dependent storage (elastic) and loss (viscous) moduli,

755   respectively , and $<\Delta r^2(\omega)>$ is the unilateral Fourier transform of the MSD. We use an algebraic approach

756   proposed by Mason et al. to estimate the Fourier transform of the MSD, which approximates the local MSD

757   as a power-law function[50]. The algebraic expression is given by the following equation:

758
$$|G^*(\omega)| = \frac{d\,k_B\,T}{3\pi a <\Delta r^2(\tau = 1/\omega)>\Gamma[1 + \alpha(\tau = 1/\omega)]}$$

759
$$G'(\omega) = |G^*(\omega)|\cos\left(\frac{\pi\alpha(\omega)}{2}\right)$$

760
$$G''(\omega) = |G^*(\omega)|\sin\left(\frac{\pi\alpha(\omega)}{2}\right)$$

761   where $\alpha(\tau) = d\ln(\Delta r^2(\tau))/d\ln(\tau)$ is the local power law exponent describing the logarithmic slope of

762    $\Delta r^2(\tau)$ at $\tau = 1/\omega$ and Γ is the Gamma function. Next, cubic spline interpolation fitting is used on the

763   calculated G' and G" data to reduce measurement noise generated in the algebraic conversion[71]. We plot

764   the fitted G' and G'' to identify the cross-over frequency, or the timescale at which the material transitions

765   from an elastic-dominant to a viscous dominant regime. To extract the zero-shear viscosity from the

766   viscoelastic moduli, we use the following equation:

767
$$\eta(\omega) = \frac{G''(\omega)}{\omega}$$

768   and obtain the limit of η(ω) at low frequencies[50]. The presented viscoelastic moduli and zero-shear

769   viscosities are the average from multiple videos (at least n = 10) taken from 3 independent samples.

770   The noise floor of the 500 nm beads was measured by allowing a solution of beads in water to dry

771   on the glass surface of a slide, resulting in beads adhered to the glass surface. We acquired the trajectories

772 of the beads adhered to the glass surface using the same parameters and experimental setup as used for

773 VPT studies of the samples.

774 **Micropipette aspiration**

775 The micropipette aspiration experiments were carried out on a Ti2-A inverted fluorescence

776 microscope (Nikon, Japan) equipped with a motorized stage and two motorized 4-axes micromanipulators

777 (PatchPro-5000, Scientifica) and a multi-trap optical tweezers (Tweez305, Aresis, Slovenia) according to

778 the protocol we reported previously[52,53]. An oil immersion objective (100X; NA 1.30; Nikon) was integrated

779 with an objective heating collar (OKOlab) and temperature controller (OKOlab) for 37 °C measurements.

780 Micropipettes were made by pulling glass capillaries using a pipette puller (PUL-1000, World Precision

781 Instruments). The pipette tip was then cut to achieve an opening diameter ~5 µm. Subsequently, the pipette

782 was bent to an angle of approximately 40° using a microforge (DMF1000, World Precision Instruments).

783 MPA experiments were carried out in glass-bottom dishes (ES56291, Azer Scientific, US) that were

784 pre-treated with 5% Pluronic F-127 (P2443-250G, Sigma) for 10 minutes to prevent adhesion of

785 condensates to the glass. The micropipette was filled with the same buffer used in microscopy experiments

786 (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) using a MICROFIL needle (World Precision Instruments). The

787 filled micropipette was then mounted onto a micromanipulator. The rear end of the pipette was connected

788 to an automatic pressure controller (Flow-EZ, Fluigent; Pressure resolution 1 Pa).

789 Optical tweezers were used to contact and merge droplets to achieve a large (> 5 µm) condensate

790 for easier MPA measurements and analysis. A secondary micropipette was used to hold the condensate

791 during MPA. To minimize sample evaporation, 1.5 mL Milli-Q water was added to the edge of the dish, and

792 the dishes were covered with a thin plastic wrap with a ~2 mm hole for pipette insertion. We observed that

793 in vitro condensates always wet the inner wall of uncoated micropipettes. Therefore, the analysis of the

794 MPA data follows the protocol described in Roggeveen et al[53]. Briefly, normalized aspiration length

795 (aspiration length, L_p, over the pipette radius, R_p) was segmented according to the pressure steps. For

796 each segment, the slope of a linear fitting of $(L_p/R_p)^2$ vs. time is equal to the effective shear rate. Then, the

797 slope of aspiration pressure vs. shear rate graph gives 4η.

798 **Fluorescence Polarization**

799   Fluorescence polarization measurements were performed on a Tecan Spark microplate reader in

800 a 384-well black plate at 20°C. A monochromator set the excitation wavelength at 485 nM and the emission

801 wavelength at 535 nm, with a 20 nm bandwidth. Purified N or pN protein was serially titrated in 150 mM

802 NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 buffer and incubated with a constant 4 nM FAM-labeled RNA for 10 min at

803 room temperature (20˚C) prior to measurement.

804   The data was analyzed using Matlab V2023a, with a one-site binding curve (hyperbola) fitted to the

805 data. K_D values from three experiments were averaged and the standard deviation calculated. Data was

806 normalized by subtracting the initial polarization value from each dataset. The one-site binding equation

807 utilized is $mP = \frac{mP_{Max} *[N]}{K_D+[N]}$, in which mP is the observed millipolarization, mP_{MAX} is the maximum

808 polarization, [N] is the concentration of protein (unmodified or phosphorylated).

809 **smFRET**

810   Single-molecule fluorescence measurements were performed as described in Cubuk et al. 2021[8]

811 with a Picoquant MT200 instrument (Picoquant, Germany). Briefly, FRET experiments were performed by

812 exciting the donor dye with a laser power of ~100 µW (measured at the back aperture of the objective) at

813 488 nm wavelength. For pulsed interleaved excitation of donor and acceptor, we used a repetition rate of

814 20 MHz for the donor excitation and a delay of approximately 25 ns for acceptor excitation. Acceptor

815 excitation was achieved by using a white laser (SuperK Extreme, NKT Photonics, Denmark), filtered by a

816 z582/15 band pass filter (Chroma). Acceptor excitation power was adjusted to match the acceptor emission

817 intensity to that of the donor (between 50 and 70 µW). Single-molecule FRET efficiency histograms were

818 acquired from samples with protein concentrations of 100 pM labeled protein and the population with

819 stoichiometry corresponding to 1:1 donor:acceptor labeling was selected. Photon detection events were

820 stored with 16 ps resolution.

821   Proteins were designed and prepared as described in Cubuk et al. 2021[8]. Following preparation,

822 protein for smFRET experiments was phosphorylated using the protocol described above, with the

823 exception of the use of 200 mM β-mercaptoethanol instead of 5 mM DTT. All samples were prepared in

824 50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 150mM KCl, 200 mM β-mercaptoethanol (for photoprotection), 0.001% Tween 20

825 (for limiting surface adhesion) unless otherwise stated. All measurements were performed in custom-made

826   glass cuvettes coated with PEG. Each sample was measured for at least 30 min at room temperature

827   (295 ± 0.5 K). Protein concentrations were (1) 100 pM labeled protein for the low concentrations or (2) 100

828   pM labeled protein + 1 µM unlabeled protein for high concentration for the full-length construct or (3) 100

829   pM labeled protein + 4 µM unlabeled protein for high concentration for N^{1-246}.

830   Determination of root mean square inter-dye distances from mean FRET transfer efficiencies was

831   conducted as described in Cubuk et al. 2024[72]. Briefly, the Gaussian chain model was employed in the

832   conversion, which relies on a single parameter, the root-mean-squared inter-dye distance $r=<R^2>^{1/2}$.

833   Estimates for this parameter were obtained by numerically solving: $<E> = \int_0^\infty E(R)P(R)dR$ where <E> is

834   the mean transfer efficiency, R is the inter-dye distance, $P(R)$ represents the Gaussian chain distribution,

835   and $E(R)$ is the Förster equation for the dependence of transfer efficiency on distance R and Förster radius

836   R_0.

837   **Small-Angle X-ray Scattering**

838   Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) measurements were made at 16-ID-C LIXS beamline

839   (National Synchrotron Light Source II (NSLS-II), Brookhaven National Laboratory; 15.14 keV X-rays (λ=

840   0.8189 Å) and two Pilatus 1 M detectors). Samples were prepared by diluting protein into 150mM NaCl,

841   20mM Tris-HCl buffer to the concentrations desired (0.5 mg/mL – 4 mg/mL). Data over a q range of 0.005–

842   0.25 Å^{-1} was analyzed. Background subtraction was done using the scattering from the storage buffer

843   (150mM NaCl, 20mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5) and by scaling the buffer and sample intensities at the $q \sim 2$ Å^{-1}

844   water peak.

845   The data were analyzed in BioXTAS RAW 2.1 with ATSAS 3.0.4 to determine the radius of gyration

846   (R_g) by Guinier analysis, the compactness of the particle by Kratky plots and pair-distance distribution

847   functions, $P(r)$. R_g from $P(r)$ was compared with the Guinier R_g to ensure internal consistency in data

848   analyses. D_{max} was calculated from $P(r)$. Bead model reconstructions using a dummy atom model were

849   obtained from the $P(r)$ functions generated and stored as .out files using GNOM in BioXTAS RAW 2.1 using

850   the SAXS data as input. Bead models were generated using the DAMMIN program in the ATSAS 3.0.4

851   software package assuming single-phase objects.

852

Bibliography

854   1.   Kim, D. *et al.* The Architecture of SARS-CoV-2 Transcriptome. *Cell* **181**, 914-921.e10 (2020).

855   2.   McBride, R., van Zyl, M. & Fielding, B. C. The coronavirus nucleocapsid is a multifunctional
856   protein. *Viruses* vol. 6 2991–3018 (2014).

857   3.   Perdikari, T. M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein phase-separates with RNA and with
858   human hnRNPs. *EMBO J.* **39**, 1–15 (2020).

859   4.   Korn, S. M., Dhamotharan, K., Jeffries, C. M. & Schlundt, A. The preference signature of the
860   SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid NTD for its 5'-genomic RNA elements. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 1–17
861   (2023).

862   5.   Roden, C. A. *et al.* Double-stranded RNA drives SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein to undergo
863   phase separation at specific temperatures. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, 8168–8192 (2022).

864   6.   Ye, Q., West, A. M. V., Silletti, S. & Corbett, K. D. Architecture and self-assembly of the SARS-
865   CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein. *Protein Sci.* **29**, 1890–1901 (2020).

866   7.   Morse, M., Sefcikova, J., Rouzina, I., Beuning, P. J. & Williams, M. C. Structural domains of
867   SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein coordinate to compact long nucleic acid substrates. *Nucleic
868   Acids Res.* **51**, 290–303 (2023).

869   8.   Cubuk, J. *et al.* The SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein is dynamic, disordered, and phase
870   separates with RNA. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 1–17 (2021).

871   9.   Ribeiro-Filho, H. V. *et al.* Structural dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein induced by
872   RNA binding. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **18**, 1–30 (2022).

873   10.   Tung, H. Y. L. & Limtung, P. Mutations in the phosphorylation sites of SARS-CoV-2 encoded
874   nucleocapsid protein and structure model of sequestration by protein 14-3-3. *Biochem. Biophys.
875   Res. Commun.* **532**, 134–138 (2020).

876   11.   Wu, C. *et al.* Characterization of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein reveals multiple functional
877   consequences of the C-terminal domain. *iScience* **24**, 102681 (2021).

878   12.   Bouhaddou, M. *et al.* The Global Phosphorylation Landscape of SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *Cell* **182**,
879   685-712.e19 (2020).

880   13.   Wu, C. H. *et al.* Glycogen synthase kinase-3 regulates the phosphorylation of severe acute
881   respiratory syndrome coronavirus mucleocapsid protein and viral replication. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**,
882   5229–5239 (2009).

883   14.   Fung, T. S. & Liu, D. X. Post-translational modifications of coronavirus proteins: roles and function.
884   **13**, 405–430 (2018).

885   15.   Patton, D., Stohlman, S. A., Fleming, J. & Lai, A. M. C. Synthesis and Subcellular Localization of
886   the Murine Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Protein. *Virology* **130**, 527–532 (1983).

887   16.   Yaron, T. M. *et al.* Host protein kinases required for SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid phosphorylation
888   and viral replication. *Sci. Signal.* **15**, 1–16 (2022).

889   17.   Carlson, C. R. *et al.* Phosphoregulation of Phase Separation by the SARS-CoV-2 N Protein

890   Suggests a Biophysical Basis for its Dual Functions. *Mol. Cell* **80**, 1092-1103.e4 (2020).

891   18.   Wu, C. H., Chen, P. J. & Yeh, S. H. Nucleocapsid phosphorylation and RNA helicase DDX1
892   recruitment enables coronavirus transition from discontinuous to continuous transcription. *Cell*
893   *Host Microbe* **16**, 462–472 (2014).

894   19.   Peng, T. Y., Lee, K. R. & Tarn, W. Y. Phosphorylation of the arginine/serine dipeptide-rich motif of
895   the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus nucleocapsid protein modulates its
896   multimerization, translation inhibitory activity and cellular localization. *FEBS J.* **275**, 4152–4163
897   (2008).

898   20.   Scherer, K. M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein adheres to replication organelles before
899   viral assembly at the Golgi/ERGIC and lysosome-mediated egress. *Sci. Adv.* **8**, (2022).

900   21.   Wolff, G. *et al.* A molecular pore spans the double membrane of the coronavirus replication
901   organelle. *Science (80-.).* **369**, 1395–1398 (2020).

902   22.   Savastano, A., Ibáñez de Opakua, A., Rankovic, M. & Zweckstetter, M. Nucleocapsid protein of
903   SARS-CoV-2 phase separates into RNA-rich polymerase-containing condensates. *Nat. Commun.*
904   **11**, (2020).

905   23.   Iserman, C. *et al.* Genomic RNA Elements Drive Phase Separation of the SARS-CoV-2
906   Nucleocapsid. *Mol. Cell* **80**, 1078-1091.e6 (2020).

907   24.   Roden, C. A. *et al.* Double-stranded RNA drives SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein to undergo
908   phase separation at specific temperatures. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, 8168–8192 (2022).

909   25.   Lasker, K. *et al.* The material properties of a bacterial-derived biomolecular condensate tune
910   biological function in natural and synthetic systems. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 5643 (2022).

911   26.   Yamasaki, A. *et al.* Liquidity Is a Critical Determinant for Selective Autophagy of Protein
912   Condensates. *Mol. Cell* **77**, 1163-1175.e9 (2020).

913   27.   Kota, D. & Zhou, H.-X. Macromolecular Regulation of the Material Properties of Biomolecular
914   Condensates. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* 5285–5290 (2022) doi:10.1021/acs.jpclett.2c00824.

915   28.   Carlson, C. R. *et al.* Phosphorylation modulates liquid-liquid phase separation of the SARS-CoV-2
916   N protein. *bioRxiv* 1–31 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.06.28.176248.

917   29.   Carlson, C. R. *et al.* Reconstitution of the SARS-CoV-2 ribonucleosome provides insights into
918   genomic RNA packaging and regulation by phosphorylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **298**, 102560 (2022).

919   30.   Yuan, F. *et al.* Membrane bending by protein phase separation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*
920   **118**, (2021).

921   31.   Agudo-Canalejo, J. *et al.* Wetting regulates autophagy of phase-separated compartments and the
922   cytosol. *Nature* **591**, 142–146 (2021).

923   32.   Mangiarotti, A., Chen, N., Zhao, Z., Lipowsky, R. & Dimova, R. Wetting and complex remodeling of
924   membranes by biomolecular condensates. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 1–15 (2023).

925   33.   Scherer, K. M. *et al. SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein adheres to replication organelles before*
926   *viral assembly at the Golgi/ERGIC and lysosome-mediated egress. Sci. Adv* vol. 8
927   https://www.science.org (2022).

928   34.   Klein, S. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 structure and replication characterized by in situ cryo-electron
929   tomography. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, (2020).

930   35.   Collins, L. T. *et al.* Elucidation of SARS-Cov-2 Budding Mechanisms through Molecular Dynamics
931   Simulations of M and E Protein Complexes. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **12**, 12249–12255 (2021).

932   36.   van Meer, G. Membrane lipids, where they are and how they behave: Sphingolipids on the move.
933   *FASEB J.* **24**, 112–124 (2010).

934   37.   Pramanik, S., Steinkühler, J., Dimova, R., Spatz, J. & Lipowsky, R. Binding of His-tagged
935   fluorophores to lipid bilayers of giant vesicles. *Soft Matter* **18**, 6372–6383 (2022).

936   38.   Verheije, M. H. *et al.* The Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Protein Is Dynamically Associated with the
937   Replication-Transcription Complexes. *J. Virol.* **84**, 11575–11579 (2010).

938   39.   Zimmermann, L. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 and nsp4 are minimal constituents of a pore spanning
939   replication organelle. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, (2023).

940   40.   Klatte, N., Shields, D. C. & Agoni, C. Modelling the Transitioning of SARS-CoV-2 nsp3 and nsp4
941   Lumenal Regions towards a More Stable State on Complex Formation. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **24**, (2023).

942   41.   Hurst, K. R., Koetzner, C. A. & Masters, P. S. Characterization of a Critical Interaction between the
943   Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Protein and Nonstructural Protein 3 of the Viral Replicase-
944   Transcriptase Complex. *J. Virol.* **87**, 9159–9172 (2013).

945   42.   Hurst, K. R., Ye, R., Goebel, S. J., Jayaraman, P. & Masters, P. S. An Interaction between the
946   Nucleocapsid Protein and a Component of the Replicase-Transcriptase Complex Is Crucial for the
947   Infectivity of Coronavirus Genomic RNA. *J. Virol.* **84**, 10276–10288 (2010).

948   43.   Ni, X., Han, Y., Zhou, R., Zhou, Y. & Lei, J. Structural insights into ribonucleoprotein dissociation
949   by nucleocapsid protein interacting with non-structural protein 3 in SARS-CoV-2. *Commun. Biol.* **6**,
950   (2023).

951   44.   Zhang, Z. *et al.* Structure of SARS-CoV-2 membrane protein essential for virus assembly. *Nat.*
952   *Commun.* **13**, (2022).

953   45.   He, R. *et al.* Characterization of protein-protein interactions between the nucleocapsid protein and
954   membrane protein of the SARS coronavirus. *Virus Res.* **105**, 121–125 (2004).

955   46.   Lu, S. *et al.* The SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid phosphoprotein forms mutually exclusive
956   condensates with RNA and the membrane-associated M protein. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, (2021).

957   47.   Klein, S. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 structure and replication characterized by in situ cryo-electron
958   tomography. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1–10 (2020).

959   48.   Bergner, T. *et al.* Near-Native Visualization of SARS-CoV-2 Induced Membrane Remodeling and
960   Virion Morphogenesis. *Viruses* **14**, 1–17 (2022).

961   49.   Ma, W., Zheng, G., Xie, W. & Mayr, C. In vivo reconstitution finds multivalent RNA–RNA
962   interactions as drivers of mesh-like condensates. *Elife* **10**, 1–32 (2021).

963   50.   Mason, T. G. Estimating the viscoelastic moduli of complex fluids using the generalized Stokes-
964   Einstein equation. *Rheol. Acta* **39**, 371–378 (2000).

965   51.   Wang, Z., Lou, J. & Zhang, H. Essence determines phenomenon: Assaying the material properties

966   of biological condensates. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* vol. 298 (2022).

967 52.   Wang, H., Kelley, F. M., Milovanovic, D., Schuster, B. S. & Shi, Z. Surface tension and viscosity of
968   protein condensates quantified by micropipette aspiration. *Biophysical Reports* vol. 1 (2021).

969 53.   Roggeveen, J. V., Wang, H., Shi, Z. & Stone, H. A. A calibration-free model of micropipette
970   aspiration for measuring properties of protein condensates. *Biophys. J.* **123**, 1393–1403 (2024).

971 54.   Lorenz, R. *et al.* ViennaRNA Package 2.0. *Algorithms Mol. Biol.* **6**, 122–128 (2011).

972 55.   Iserman, C. *et al.* Genomic RNA Elements Drive Phase Separation of the SARS-CoV-2
973   Nucleocapsid. *Mol. Cell* **80**, 1078-1091.e6 (2020).

974 56.   Demchenko, A. . Fluorescence Polarization and Rotational Mobility. in *Ultraviolet Spectroscopy of*
975   *Proteins* 198–207 (Springer, 1986).

976 57.   Cubuk, J. *et al.* The dimerization domain of SARS CoV 2 Nucleocapsid protein is partially
977   disordered as a monomer and forms a high affinity dynamic complex. *bioRxiv* (2024).

978 58.   Botova, M. *et al.* A specific phosphorylation-dependent conformational switch of SARS-CoV-2
979   nucleoprotein inhibits RNA binding. *Sci. Adv.* (2024) doi:10.1126/sciadv.aax2323.

980 59.   Stuwe, H. *et al.* Phosphorylation in the Ser/Arg-rich region of the nucleocapsid of SARS-CoV-2
981   regulates phase separation by inhibiting self-association of a distant helix. *J. Biol. Chem.* **300**,
982   107354 (2024).

983 60.   Liu, X. *et al.* Targeting the Coronavirus Nucleocapsid Protein through GSK-3 Inhibition. *medRxiv*
984   2021.02.17.21251933 (2021).

985 61.   Vögele, J. *et al.* High-resolution structure of stem-loop 4 from the 5′-UTR of SARS-CoV-2 solved
986   by solution state NMR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, 11318–11331 (2023).

987 62.   Roden, C. & Gladfelter, A. S. RNA contributions to the form and function of biomolecular
988   condensates. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* vol. 22 183–195 (2021).

989 63.   Alshareedah, I., Thurston, G. M. & Banerjee, P. R. Quantifying viscosity and surface tension of
990   multicomponent protein-nucleic acid condensates. *Biophys. J.* **120**, 1161–1169 (2021).

991 64.   Studier, F. W. Stable Expression Clones and Auto-Induction for Protein Production in E. coli. in
992   *Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.)* vol. 1091 17–32 (2014).

993 65.   Langdon, E. M. *et al.* mRNA structure determines specificity of a polyQ-driven phase separation.
994   **1**, 922–927 (2018).

995 66.   Angelova, M. I. & Dimitrov, D. S. Liposome Electro formation. 303–311 (1986).

996 67.   Mangiarotti, A., Schmidt, K. V., Lipowsky, R. & Dimova, R. Lipid packing and cholesterol content
997   regulate membrane wetting by biomolecular condensates. *bioRxiv* 1–31 (2024).

998 68.   Allan, D. B., Thomas, C., Keim, N. C., van der Wel, C. M. & Verweij, R. W. soft-matter/trackpy:
999   Trackpy. (2021).

1000 69.   McGlynn, J. A., Wu, N. & Schultz, K. M. Multiple particle tracking microrheological
1001   characterization: Fundamentals, emerging techniques and applications. *J. Appl. Phys.* **127**,
1002   (2020).

1003   70.   Furst EM, S. T. *Microrheology*. (Oxford University Press, 2017).
1004   doi:10.1093/oso/9780199655205.001.0001.

1005   71.   Tassieri, M., Evans, R. M. L., Warren, R. L., Bailey, N. J. & Cooper, J. M. Microrheology with
1006   optical tweezers: Data analysis. *New J. Phys.* **14**, (2012).

1007   72.   Cubuk, J. *et al.* The disordered N-terminal tail of SARS-CoV-2 Nucleocapsid protein forms a
1008   dynamic complex with RNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **52**, 2609–2624 (2024).

1009

Figure 1

A

N1 N2 N3 N4 N5

B

SR-rich Region   Linker

Charge

FYAEGSRGGSQASSRSSSRSRNSSRNSTPGSSRGTSPARMA +6

+SRPK1

FYAEGSRGGSQASSRSS**S**RSRNSSRNSTPGSSRGT**S**PARMA +2

+GSK3ß

FYAEG**S**RGG**S**QAS**S**R**SS**R**S**RNS**S**RNS**T**PGS**S**RGT**S**PARMA -14

C

	Function	N + RNA Form (Carlson et al., 2020)	Membrane Interaction
pN	Regulation of RNA processing	Liquid-like condensates Nonuniform RNPs	pN Thin film formation
N	RNA and nucleocapsid encapsidation	Gel-like condensates Discrete RNPs	Encapsidation

D

1 Entry
Uncoating 2
7 Exit
Stress Granule Formation
Encapsidation
3 Transcription & Replication
4 Nucleocapsid Assembly
5 Translation
6

E

Viral genomic RNA:

5' 5000 10000 15000 20000 25000 30000 3'

1 - 1000 RNA

N RNA

F

	N	RNA	MERGE	pN	RNA	MERGE
1 - 1000 RNA						
N RNA						

Figure 2

A Nsp3 | Membrane Protein

Ubl1 domain — Cytoplasm

Cytoplasm — C-terminal endo-domain

6xHis-GFP-Nsp3$^{1-111}$: H — GFP — Nsp3$^{1-111}$
6xHis-GFP-M$^{104-222}$: H — GFP — M$^{104-222}$

B Nickel headgroup — GUV — + N or pN and RNA condensates — Optical tweezers (OT)

C GUV + GFP-Nsp3$^{1-111}$ + pN + 1-1000 RNA
BF | GFP | Cy3 → OT → BF | GFP | Cy3

D
No interaction | Only binding | Membrane wrapping | Partial wetting | Complete wetting

| GUV surface | N + 1-1000 RNA | | | | pN + 1-1000 RNA | | | |

No fragment — N + 1-1000 RNA: 00:00 (Cond., GUV), 00:00, 00:00, 00:05 ; pN + 1-1000 RNA: 00:00, 00:00, 00:02, 00:05

Nsp3$^{1-111}$ — 00:00, 00:00, 00:10, 00:20 ; 00:00, 00:00, 01:00, 03:00

M$^{104-222}$ — 00:00, 00:00, 03:00, 05:00 ; 00:00, 00:00, 00:02, 00:10

E Time: 0 min → 8 min
Event: + Condensate | Binding | + Condensate | Binding | + Condensate | Binding | OT pull | + Condensate | Binding | OT pull | Membrane Wrapping

GUV + GFP-M$^{104-222}$ + N + 1-1000 RNA : BF / GFP / MERGE

F Condensate

θ_e θ_c θ_i — GUV — θ_{in}

Geometric factor Φ = $(\sin\theta_e - \sin\theta_c) / \sin\theta_i$

G ● N + 1-1000 RNA ● pN + 1-1000 RNA

Geometric factor, Φ (y-axis: -1 to 1)
No interaction / Dewetted — Partial wetting — Complete wetting
x-axis: No fragment, Nsp3$^{1-111}$, M$^{104-222}$ (N) ; No fragment, Nsp3$^{1-111}$, M$^{104-222}$ (pN)

H ● N + 1-1000 RNA ● pN + 1-1000 RNA

Intrinsic contact angle, θ_{in} (y-axis: 0 to 180)
x-axis: No fragment, Nsp3$^{1-111}$, M$^{104-222}$ (N) ; No fragment, Nsp3$^{1-111}$, M$^{104-222}$ (pN)

Figure 3

A

B

C

Figure 4

Figure 5

A

Protein + RNA	K_D (nM)	Δ Polarization (mP)
N + SL4 RNA	10.9 ± 1.4	252.2 ± 6.2
pN + SL4 RNA	59.0 ± 0.9	152.6 ± 3.5

B

Protein + RNA	K_D (nM)	Δ Polarization (mP)
N + polyrA	71.7 ± 0.8	217.1 ± 5.8
pN + polyrA	1571.0 ± 2.1	106.2 ± 2.3

Figure 6