Original Research

Effect of different combinations of serum antimüllerian hormone levels and body mass index on pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome



Yue Niu, MD; Xinwei Han, MD; Huiying Xiao, MD; Ruolan Miao, MD; Gege Ouyang, MD; Qian Wang, MD, PhD; Daimin Wei, MD, PhD

From the State Key Laboratory of Reproductive Medicine and Offspring Health, Center for Reproductive Medicine, Institute of Women, Children and Reproductive Health, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China (Niu, Han, Xiao, Miao, Ouyang, and Wei); National Research Center for Assisted Reproductive Technology and Reproductive Genetics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China (Niu, Han, Xiao, Miao, Ouyang, and Wei); Medical Integration and Practice Center, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China (Niu, Han, Xiao, Miao, Ouyang, and Wei); Department of Pediatric Endocrinology, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, Jinan, Shandong, China (Wang)

Y.N. and X.H. contributed equally to this study.

The authors report no conflict of interest.

All the participants gave their written informed consent.

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

This study was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (grant number: 2022YFC2703502), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number: 82071718), the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (grant number: ZR2021MH391), and Clinical Research Center of Shandong University (grant number: 2020SDUCRCC003).

Cite this article as: Niu Y, Han X, Xiao H, et al. Effect of different combinations of serum antimüllerian hormone levels and body mass index on pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Am J Obstet Gynecol Glob Rep 2025;XX:x.ex—x.ex.

Corresponding authors: Daimin Wei, PhD, MD; Qian Wang, MD, PhD.

sdweidaimin@163.com, wangqian8482752@163.com

2666-5778/\$36.00

© 2025 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-pd// 00

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.xagr.2025.100461

BACKGROUND: Both antimüllerian hormone and body mass index are associated with the pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome undergoing in vitro fertilization.

OBJECTIVE: This study aimed to explore the effect of different combinations of antimüllerian hormone and body mass index on pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome undergoing in vitro fertilization.

STUDY DESIGN: This was a post hoc secondary analysis of a multicenter randomized trial. A total of 625 women from 1 center with antimüllerian hormone levels measured before in vitro fertilization treatment were classified into 6 groups: group A (normal weight and low antimüllerian hormone group), group B (normal weight and intermediate antimüllerian hormone group), group C (normal weight and high antimüllerian hormone group), group D (overweight/obese and low antimüllerian hormone group), group E (overweight/obese and intermediate antimüllerian hormone group), and group F (overweight/obese and high antimüllerian hormone group).

RESULTS: After adjustment via multivariate logistic regression, the overweight/obese and high antimüllerian hormone group (group F) had a higher risk of clinical pregnancy miscarriage (adjusted odds ratio, 3.30; 95% confidence interval, 1.35—8.07) than the normal weight and intermediate antimüllerian hormone group (group B). Both the normal weight and high antimüllerian hormone group (group C; adjusted odds ratio, 3.74; 95% confidence interval, 1.06—13.24) and the overweight/obese and high antimüllerian hormone group (group F; adjusted odds ratio, 3.61; 95% confidence interval, 1.05—12.38) had higher risks of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome than the normal weight and intermediate antimüllerian hormone group (group B).

CONCLUSION: In women with polycystic ovary syndrome, high serum antimüllerian hormone levels were associated with an increased risk of clinical pregnancy miscarriage in women who were overweight/obese but not in those with normal weight.

Key words: antimüllerian hormone, body mass index, clinical pregnancy miscarriage, ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome, polycystic ovary syndrome

Introduction

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is the most common endocrine disorder in reproductive-age women and is characterized by hyperandrogenism, ovulatory dysfunction, and polycystic ovarian morphology.¹ In addition to the 3 main characteristics, serum levels of antimüllerian hormone (AMH) are significantly increased in women with PCOS than in ovulatory women,^{2–4} possibly because of the increased antral follicles and overproduction of AMH by granulosa cells.^{5,6} According to the 2023 international evidence-based guidelines for PCOS, AMH levels serve as an alternative to antral follicle count (AFC) as one of the diagnostic criteria for PCOS.⁷ Moreover, women with PCOS frequently display metabolic comorbidities,⁸ and many women with PCOS are overweight or obese.⁹

It has been suggested that AMH and body mass index (BMI) are separately

AJOG Global Reports at a Glance

Why was this study conducted?

Although much is known about the separate effects of antimüllerian hormone (AMH) and body mass index (BMI) on pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS), limited information is available regarding the different combinations of serum AMH levels and BMI on pregnancy outcomes in women with PCOS undergoing in vitro fertilization (IVF).

Key findings

In women with PCOS, high serum AMH levels were associated with an increased risk of clinical pregnancy miscarriage in women who were overweight/ obese but not in those with normal weight. A high serum AMH level was associated with a high risk of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS), regardless of BMI in women with PCOS.

What does this add to what is known?

Our study suggests that BMI-stratified AMH analysis facilitates the identification of high-risk populations for clinical miscarriages in women with PCOS. Extra caution is required in the clinical management of IVF in women who are overweight/obese and have high AMH levels.

associated with disease severity and pregnancy outcomes in women with PCOS. 10,11 Women with high serum AMH levels are usually characterized by increased rates of amenorrhea, hyperandrogenism, and polycystic ovarian morphology. 12,13 A high serum concentration of AMH has been reported to be associated with a lower rate of live birth¹⁴ and an increased risk of preterm delivery 15,16 in women with PCOS who conceived from in vitro fertilization (IVF) treatment. Studies have shown that women with PCOS with higher levels of AMH are associated with a higher ovarian response and an increased risk of hyperstimulation syndrome ovarian (OHSS).^{17,18} However, BMI is negatively associated with the number of oocytes retrieved, peak estrogen levels, and the risk of OHSS when examining the effect of overweight/obesity alone on ovarian responsiveness. 19,20 Overweight/obesity exacerbates many aspects of the manifestation of PCOS, including abnormal cardiometabolic function (such hypertension, glucose intolerance, and dyslipidemia), infertility, and reduced efficacy of fertility treatments.^{21–23} Because of the heterogeneity in PCOS, patients may have varied combinations of AMH levels and BMI. It remains unclear how the combination of these 2 factors affects

the ovarian response and pregnancy outcomes in patients with PCOS.

Several studies have investigated the correlation between AMH and BMI in women with PCOS, with controversial conclusions.^{2,24–29} Although some studies have shown that BMI is adversely associated with serum AMH levels, 26-29 other studies have found no significant correlation between AMH and BMI.^{2,24,25} It has been suggested that the reference value of AMH for diagnosing PCOS varies among different BMI range categories, and the combined analysis of AMH and BMI facilitates the diagnosis of PCOS. 27,28

PCOS accounts for 80% of anovulatory infertility cases.³⁰ IVF treatment is commonly used in women with PCOS who fail to conceive with ovulation induction or who have concomitant infertility factors. However, even when women successfully conceive, they are confronted with increased risks of adverse outcomes, such as OHSS, pregnancy loss, preterm delivery, gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), and preeclampsia. 31-34 Further studies are needed to investigate the combined effects of BMI and AMH on pregnancy outcomes and maternal and neonatal complications. We previously conducted a multicenter randomized trial comparing elective frozen embryo

transfer and fresh embryo transfer in 1508 patients with PCOS (Frefro-PCOS), during which the data on AMH and BMI before ovarian stimulation for 625 patients were documented. In the current study, we performed secondary analyses to investigate the combined effect of AMH and BMI on pregnancy outcomes among women with PCOS who underwent IVF.

Materials and methods **Patients**

The Frefro-PCOS was a randomized trial designed to compare elective frozen embryo transfer with fresh embryo transfer in women with PCOS and was conducted from June 2013 to July 2015 across 14 centers in China (ClinicalTrials. gov; trial number: NCT01841528). The Frefro-PCOS study was approved by the ethics committee of the Center for Reproductive Medicine at Shandong University and all other study sites. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study protocol and main outcomes have been published previously.^{35,36} Briefly, 1508 patients with PCOS who underwent their first cycle of IVF with or without intracytoplasmic sperm administration were enrolled. In the original study, PCOS was diagnosed using the modified Rotterdam criteria, which was the presence of menstrual disturbance combined with either hyperandrogenism (hyperandrogenemia and/or hirsutism) or a polycystic ovary on ultrasonography (defined as either an ovary that contains ≥12 antral follicles or an ovarian volume of >10 cm³) after the exclusion of other causes of hyperandrogenism and ovulation dysfunction.³⁵ In addition, patients with abnormal intrauterine cavities, histories of unilateral oophorectomy, histories of recurrent spontaneous abortion, and abnormal parental karyotypes were excluded. All patients were 20 to 35 years of age and weighed >40 kg.

Here, we included the data of 625 women recruited from the Center for Reproductive Medicine at Shandong University with AMH levels measured before IVF. Patients were grouped by the combinations of percentile range of AMH (25th-75th percentiles) and BMI (< 24 or ≥24 kg/m²). ^{37,38} Patients were grouped into 6 groups: group A (normal weight and low AMH group), group B (normal weight and intermediate AMH group), group C (normal weight and high AMH group), group D (overweight/obese and low AMH group), group E (overweight/obese and intermediate AMH group), and group F (overweight/obese and high AMH group).

Serum antimüllerian hormone assav

The serum AMH levels were measured before IVF treatment using enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (Ansh Labs LLC, Webster, TX).

In vitro fertilization procedures

The detailed procedures of ovarian stimulation, oocyte retrieval, embryo culture, and embryo transfer were performed as previously reported.³⁵ Briefly, ovarian stimulation was achieved using a standard gonadotropin (Gn)-releasing hormone antagonist protocol, during which ultrasound and hormone measurements were used to monitor ovarian responses. When at least 2 leading follicles reached 18 mm, human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) was administered to induce final oocyte maturation. Patients who were at a low risk of OHSS were randomized to fresh or frozen embryo transfer groups at a 1:1 ratio on the day of oocyte retrieval. On the day of embryo transfer, the risk of OHSS was reevaluated, and fresh embryo transfer was canceled in women who developed OHSS or in those who were at high risk of OHSS. For patients assigned to the fresh embryo transfer group, embryos were transferred on the third day after oocyte retrieval, and intramuscular progesterone was used for luteal phase support. In the frozen embryo transfer group, 2 embryos were vitrified on day 3 of embryo culture. Hormone replacement regimens using oral estradiol valerate and intramuscular progesterone were used for endometrial preparation before frozen embryo transfer. Up to 2 embryos were transferred to each group. If pregnancy was successfully achieved, luteal phase support was continued until 10 weeks of gestation in all patients.

Outcomes

The pregnancy outcomes included the rates of moderate or severe OHSS, live birth, biochemical pregnancy, biochemical miscarriage, clinical pregnancy, clinical miscarriage, preterm delivery, GDM, and preeclampsia. Moderate OHSS was diagnosed on the basis of the presence of abdominal distension; discomfort with/ without nausea, vomiting, and/or diarrhea; and ultrasonographic ascites. Severe OHSS was diagnosed when there was clinical evidence of ascites and/or hydrothorax or breathing difficulties with or without hemoconcentration, coagulation abnormalities, or diminished renal function. Live birth was defined as the delivery of any viable neonate after 28 weeks of gestation. Biochemical pregnancy was defined as a serum hCG level of >10 IU/L 14 days after embryo transfer. Biochemical miscarriage was defined as a confirmed biochemical pregnancy that did not progress to a clinical pregnancy. Clinical pregnancy was defined as the presence of at least 1 gestational sac in the uterine cavity on ultrasound 5 weeks after embryo transfer. Clinical miscarriage was defined as a clinical pregnancy that did not progress to a live birth because of a spontaneous or therapeutic ending of clinical pregnancies. Preterm birth was defined as delivery before 37 weeks of gestation. The diagnoses of GDM and preeclampsia were obtained from obstetrical and neonatal medical records.

Statistical analysis

For continuous variables, normality was tested using histograms, Q-Q plots, and the Shapiro-Wilk test. Normally distributed variables are presented as mean± standard deviation, whereas nonnormally distributed variables are presented as median (interquartile range). Categorical variables were expressed as the number of cases and the percentage of occurrence. Of note, One-way analysis of variance test or the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare continuous data. Categorical variables were assessed using χ^2 analysis or the Fisher exact test, as appropriate. A multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to evaluate the relationships between treatment and outcomes. adjusting for confounding factors, including age, AFC, luteinizing hormone (LH), estradiol, total testosterone, and concomitant male factor for infertility. All statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS software (version 26.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). A *P* value of <.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results Baseline characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the patients included in this study are presented in Table 1. Of 625 patients, serum AMH levels were comparable between patients with normal weight (BMI of <24 kg/m²) and those who were overweight/obese (BMI of \geq 24 kg/m²) in each category of AMH levels. The 25th to 75th percentile ranges of serum AMH levels for patients with normal weight and those who were overweight/obese were 3.59 to 9.82 and 3.46 to 9.37 ng/mL, respectively.

Patients with normal weight and intermediate AMH levels (group B) were younger than those with normal weight and low AMH levels (group A), those with normal weight and high AMH levels (group C), and those who were overweight/obese and had intermediate AMH levels (group E) (P=.020, P=.001, and P=.010, respectively). Patients with the highest AMH levels were associated with the highest AFC, whereas those with the lowest AMH levels had the lowest AFC in both patients with normal weight and those who were overweight/obese. Moreover, for patients with elevated AMH levels, those who were overweight/obese (group F) had a higher AFC (P=.003) than those with normal weight (group C). In addition, in patients with normal weight (groups A, B, and C), both high AMH levels (group C) and intermediate AMH levels (group B) were associated with higher baseline serum LH levels (P<.001 for group C vs group A and P=.001 for group B vs group A) and higher total testosterone levels (P<.001 for group C vs group A and P=.006 for group B vs group A) than low AMH levels (group A). For patients who were overweight/obese, those with high

TABLE 1

Baseline characteristics of the patients according to AMH and BMI categories

		BMI<24 kg/m ²			BMI≥24 kg/m ²		
Characteristic	Group A (normal weight and low AMH group) n=72	Group B (normal weight and intermediate AMH group) n=143	Group C (normal weight and high AMH group) n=72	Group D (overweight/obese and low AMH group) n=85	Group E (overweight/obese and intermediate AMH group) n=168	Group F (overweight/obese and high AMH group) n=85	<i>P</i> value
AMH range (ng/mL)	0-25th quartile (0.33-3.59)	25th-75th quartile (3.59-9.82)	75th-100th quartile (9.82-18.00)	0-25th quartile (0.58-3.46)	25th-75th quartile (3.46-9.37)	75th-100th quartile (9.37-18.00)	
AMH (ng/mL)	2.31 (1.66-3.14)	5.92 (4.46-8.04) ^a	13.52 (10.71-14.98) ^{b,c}	2.53 (2.02-3.14)	5.62 (4.50-7.47) ^d	12.88 (10.81-14.95) ^{e,f}	<.001
Age (y)	27.00 (25.0-30.75)	26.00 (25.00-29.00) ^{a,g}	28.00 (26.00-30.75) ^c	27.00 (26.00-30.50)	27.50 (26.00-30.00)	28.00 (26.00-31.00)	.004
BMI (kg/m ²)	21.48 (20.34-22.64) ^h	21.23 (20.28-22.66) ^g	21.83 (20.60-22.89) ⁱ	27.18 (25.11-29.38)	26.94 (25.30-29.02)	26.35 (2511-28.44)	<.001
Primary infertility	28 (38.9%)	37 (25.9%)	22 (30.6%)	26 (30.6%)	60 (35.7%)	22 (25.9%)	.251
AFC	25.00 (23.00-29.00)	28.00 (24.00-33.00) ^a	31.00 (27.00-38.75) ^{b,c,i}	27.00 (22.50-33.0)	30.00 (25.00-36.00) ^d	38.00 (29.00-47.00) ^{e,f}	<.001
Baseline endocrine concentrations							
FSH (IU/L)	5.80 (4.66-7.01)	5.98 (5.12-6.71)	5.74 (5.00-7.06)	5.77 (5.20-6.51)	5.68 (4.92-6.57)	5.40 (4.82-6.18)	.117
LH (IU/L)	6.00 (4.29-10.35)	8.97 (5.69-13.72) ^a	11.08 (6.20-15.62) ^b	7.37 (4.81-11.92)	8.31 (5.19-12.25)	10.60 (7.47-14.84) ^{e,f}	<.001
Estradiol (pg/mL)	33.55 (25.13-46.90)	35.60 (27.10-48.30)	37.85 (29.03-62.20)	33.90 (22.80-43.45)	37.00 (26.40-51.40)	38.30 (31.55-51.30)	.064
Total testosterone (ng/dL)	30.11 (21.97-40.43) ^h	36.74 (27.35-48.35) ^a	42.70 (29.37-53.09) ^{b,i}	35.28 (26.16-48.85)	38.67 (29.78-52.70)	52.57 (36.25-63.78) ^{e,f}	<.001
Concomitant infertility factors							
Tubal factor	47 (65.3%)	97 (67.8%)	47 (65.3%) ⁱ	64 (75.3%)	129 (76.8%)	71 (83.5%)	.029
Male factor	21 (29.2%) ^h	28 (19.6%)	21 (29.2%) ⁱ	9 (10.6%)	27 (16.1%)	4 (4.7%)	<.001

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or number (percentage), unless otherwise indicated.

AFC, antral follicle count; AMH, antimüllerian hormone; BMI, body mass index; FSH, follicle-stimulating hormone; LH, luteinizing hormone.

Niu. Combined impact of antimüllerian hormone and body mass index on pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Am J Obstet Gynecol Glob Rep 2025.

a Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and normal weight and intermediate AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and normal weight and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and intermediate AMH group and normal weight and high AMH group; ^a Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and low AMH group and overweight/obese and intermediate AMH group; ^e Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and low AMH group and overweight/obese and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and intermediate AMH group and overweight/obese and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and intermediate AMH group and overweight/obese and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and intermediate AMH group and overweight/obese and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and high AMH group and overweight/obese and high AMH group; Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and high AMH group and overweight/obese and high AMH grou group difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and overweight/obese and low AMH group; 1 Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and overweight/obese and low AMH group; 2 Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and overweight/obese and low AMH group; 3 Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and overweight/obese and low AMH group; 3 Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and overweight/obese and low AMH group; 3 Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and overweight/obese and low AMH group; 4 Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and overweight/obese and low AMH group; 4 Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and overweight/obese and low AMH group; 4 Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and overweight/obese and low AMH group; 5 Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and overweight/obese and low AMH group; 5 Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and overweight/obese and low AMH group; 5 Significant subgroup difference between the normal weight and low AMH group and overweight/obese and low AMH group and low amh group and lo group difference between normal weight and high AMH group and overweight/obese and high AMH group.

AMH levels (group F) also had higher baseline serum LH levels (P<.001 for group F vs group D and P=.001 for group F vs group E) and higher total testosterone levels (P<.001 for group F vs group D and P<.001 for group F vs group E) than both those with low AMH levels (group D) and those with intermediate AMH levels (group E). In addition, for both patients with low and high AMH levels, those who were overweight/obese (groups D and F) had higher serum total testosterone levels and lower rates of male factor for infertility than those with normal weight (groups A and C).

Ovarian response

Patients who were overweight/obese were associated with higher starting Gn doses, total Gn doses, and serum LH levels on the day of hCG administration than patients with normal weight in each category of AMH levels (Table 2). Patients with normal weight and low AMH levels (group A) received higher total Gn doses than those with normal weight and high AMH levels (group C) (P=.041) and lower estrogen levels on the day of hCG administration than those with normal weight and intermediate AMH levels (group B) (P=.004) and those with normal weight and high AMH levels (group C) (P<.001). Patients who were overweight/obese and had low AMH levels (group D) had a longer duration of ovarian stimulation (P=.006 for group D vs group E and P=.003 for group D vs group F) and higher total Gn doses (P=.019 for group D vs group E and P=.001 for group D vs group F) than those who were overweight/obese and had intermediate AMH levels (group E) and those who were overweight/obese and had high AMH levels (group F). In addition, serum estrogen levels were lower in those who were overweight/obese and had low AMH levels (group D) than in those who were overweight/obese and had high AMH levels (group F) (P=.003).

Moreover, patients with normal weight and high AMH levels (group C) yielded a larger number of 14- to 18mm follicles on the day of hCG administration than both those with normal

weight and low AMH levels (group A) (P=.012) and those with normal weight and intermediate AMH levels (group B) (P=.009). However, for patients who were overweight/obese, both intermediate (group E) (P=.042) and high AMH (group F) levels (P=.002) were associated with larger numbers of 14- to 18mm follicles on the day of hCG administration than low AMH levels (group D). However, there was no difference in the number of oocytes retrieved and the number of good-quality embryos on day 3 among the 6 groups.

Pregnancy outcomes

The pregnancy outcomes are shown in Tables 3. The overweight/obese and high AMH group (group F) had a higher risk of clinical pregnancy miscarriage and OHSS than the overweight/obese and low AMH group (group D) and overweight/obese and intermediate AMH group (group E) (miscarriage: 36.4% in group F vs 18.3% in group D [*P*=.023] and 36.4% in group F vs 18.3% in group E [P=.012]; OHSS: 9.4% in group F vs 0.0% in group D [P=.004] and 9.4% in group F vs 3.0% in group E [*P*=.029]). In addition, the risk of OHSS was higher in the normal weight and high AMH group (group C) than in the normal weight and intermediate AMH group (group B) (9.7% vs 2.8%, respectively; *P*=.030). We did not find any statistically significant difference in the rates of biochemical pregnancy, clinical pregnancy, and live birth among the 6 groups.

For twin pregnancies, the normal weight and low AMH group (group A) was associated with a higher risk of preterm delivery than the normal weight and intermediate AMH group (group B) and normal weight and high AMH group (group C) (78.6% in group A vs 29.6% in group B [P=.003]; 78.6% in group A vs 12.5% in group C [*P*=.006]). In addition, the overweight/obese and intermediate AMH group (group E) had a higher risk of GDM than the normal weight and intermediate AMH group (group B) (*P*=.021).

After adjustment for the confounding factors, including age, AFC, baseline endocrine concentrations (including LH, estradiol, and total testosterone)

and concomitant male factor for infertility in Tables 4, the overweight/obese and high AMH group (group F) was associated with an increased risk of clinical pregnancy miscarriage (adjusted odds ratio [aOR], 3.30; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.35-8.07) compared with the normal weight and intermediate AMH group (group B). Both the normal weight and high AMH group (group C) (aOR, 3.74; 95% CI, 1.06 -13.24) and overweight/obese and high AMH group (group F) (aOR, 3.61; 95% CI, 1.05-12.38) had higher risks of OHSS than the normal weight and intermediate AMH group (group B). Furthermore, the normal weight and low AMH group (group A) was associated with a higher risk of preterm delivery for twin pregnancy (aOR, 12.45; 95% CI, 2.46-63.08) than the normal weight and intermediate AMH group (group B).

Discussion

In women with PCOS, high serum AMH levels accompanied with overweight/obesity significantly increased the risk of clinical pregnancy miscarriage compared with normal weight and intermediate AMH levels. In addition, women with high serum AMH levels were associated with a higher risk of OHSS in both women with normal weight and those who were overweight/ obese than those with normal weight and intermediate AMH levels. Furthermore, women with normal weight and low AMH levels had a higher risk of preterm delivery in twin pregnancies than those with normal weight and intermediate AMH levels.

In line with a previous study exploring the correlation between AMH levels and individual features of PCOS,³⁹ our study showed that higher AMH levels were associated with higher AFC, LH, and testosterone levels in both patients with normal weight and those who were overweight/obese. Moreover, the AMH ranges divided by the 25th and 75th percentiles of AMH levels were comparable between patients with normal weight and those who were overweight/ obese in our study, which was in accordance with the studies suggesting that

IABLE 2				
Ovarian	response	and e	embrvo	transfer

		BMI<24 kg/m ²			BMI≥24 kg/m ²		
Characteristic	Group A (normal weight and low AMH group) n=72	Group B (normal weight and intermediate AMH group) n=143	Group C (normal weight and high AMH group) n=72	Group D (overweight/obese and low AMH group) n=85	Group E (overweight/ obese and intermediate AMH group) n=168	Group F (overweight/ obese and high AMH group) n=85	<i>P</i> value
Gn starting dose (IU)	112.50 (112.50 -140.63) ^a	112.50 (112.50 112.50) ^b	112.50 (112.50 112.50) ^c	150.00 (150.00 —150.00)	150.00 (150.00 —150.00)	150.00 (150.00 —150.00)	<.001
Duration of ovarian stimulation (d)	9.50 (8.25-11.75) ^a	10.00 (9.00-11.00)	9.00 (8.00—11.00)	11.00 (10.00—13.00)	10.00 (9.00-12.00) ^g	10.00 (9.00—11.00) ^h	<.001
Total Gn dose (IU)	1293.75 (1050.00 -1743.75) ^a	1200.00 (1033.50 -1575.00) ^b	1200.00 (975.00 -1462.50) ^{c,e}	2100.00 (1425.00 -2756.25)	1725.00 (1321.88 -2400.08) ^g	1500.00 (1200.00 -2100.00) ^h	<.001
Estrogen level on the day of hCG administration (pg/mL)	3344.50 (2209.25 -454375)	4036.00 (2406.00 -6674.00) ^d	5248.00 (2935.50 -6157.00) ^e	3485.00 (2168.50 -5148.50)	4002.00 (2444.00 -5852.50)	4689.00 (2892.00 -6173.50) ^h	<.001
LH level on the day of hCG administration (IU/L)	1.51 (0.79-3.12) ^a	1.74 (0.81-2.67) ^b	1.65 (0.92-2.76) ^c	2.48 (1.76-4.45)	2.77 (1.37-4.28)	2.56 (1.46-4.55)	<.001
Progesterone level on the day of hCG administration (ng/mL)	0.94 (0.69-1.23)	0.87 (0.62-1.24)	0.87 (0.68-1.23)	0.92 (0.70-1.29)	0.93 (0.71-1.18)	0.90 (0.66-1.29)	.803
Endometrium thickness on the day of hCG administration (mm)	12.00 (10.00—12.50)	11.00 (10.00—12.00)	11.0 (10.00—12.50)	12.00 (10.00—12.50)	11.00 (10.00—12.00)	11.00 (10.00—12.00)	.415
Number of 14–18 mm follicles on the day of hCG administration	6.00 (4.00-8.00)	7.00 (5.00—9.00)	7.00 (6.00—10.00) ^{e,f}	6.00 (4.00-8.00)	7.00 (5.00—9.00) ^g	8.00 (6.00—11.00) ^h	<.001
Number of >18 mm follicles on the day of hCG administration	6.00 (4.00-8.00)	6.00 (4.00-7.00)	7.00 (5.00-8.75)	6.00 (4.00-8.00)	5.00 (4.00-8.00)	6.00 (5.00-8.00)	.126
Number of oocytes retrieved	12.00 (10.00-16.00)	15.00 (1000-18.00)	16.50 (10.00-20.00)	14.00 (10.00—19.00)	15.00 (10.00—18.75)	15.00 (10.00-19.00)	.235
Number of good-quality embryos on day 3	5.00 (3.00-7.00)	5.00 (3.00-8.00)	7.00 (4.00-9.00)	5.00 (2.00-8.00)	5.00 (3.00-8.00)	5.00 (2.00-8.00)	.122
Fertilization methods							<.001
IVF	45 (65.2%) ^a	94 (67.1%)	48 (66.7%) ^c	65 (79.3%)	137 (83.0%) ^g	78 (91.8%)	
ICSI	24 (34.8%)	44 (31.4%)	21 (29.2%)	16 (19.5%)	25 (15.2%)	7 (8.2%)	
IVF + ICSI	0 (0%)	2 (1.4%)	3 (4.2%)	1 (1.2%)	3 (1.8%)	0 (0%)	

TABLE 2				
Ovarian	response a	and embryo	transfer	(continued)

		BMI<24 kg/m ²			BMI≥24 kg/m ²		
Characteristic	Group A (normal weight and low AMH group) n=72	Group B (normal weight and intermediate AMH group) n=143	Group C (normal weight and high AMH group) n=72	Group D (overweight/obese and low AMH group) n=85	Group E (overweight/ obese and intermediate AMH group) n=168	Group F (overweight/ obese and high AMH group) n=85	<i>P</i> value
Number of embryos transferred							.770
1	3 (4.3%)	11 (7.9%)	7 (9.7%)	6 (7.3%)	10 (6.1%)	4 (4.8%)	
2	66 (95.7%)	128 (92.1%)	65 (90.3%)	76 (92.7%)	155 (93.9%)	80 (95.2%)	
Stage of embryo transferred							.589
Cleavage stage	67 (97.1%)	130 (93.05%)	65 (90.3%)	75 (91.5%)	155 (93.9%)	80 (95.2%)	
Blastocyst stage	2 (2.9%)	9 (6.5%)	7 (9.7%)	7 (8.5%)	10 (6.1%)	4 (4.8%)	
Regimen of embryo transfer ⁱ							.589
Fresh	30 (43.5%)	65 (46.8%)	36 (50.0%)	45 (54.9%)	82 (49.7%)	47 (56.0%)	
Frozen	39 (56.5%)	74 (53.2%)	36 (50.0%)	37 (45.1%)	83 (50.3%)	37 (44.0%)	

AMH, antimüllerian hormone; BMI, body mass index; Gn, gonadotropin; hCG, human chorionic gonadotropin; LH, luteinizing hormone.

Data are presented as median (interquartile range) or number (percentage), unless otherwise indicated.

Original Research

Niu. Combined impact of antimullerian hormone and body mass index on pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Am J Obstet Gynecol Glob Rep 2025.

^a Significant subgroup difference between normal weight and low AMH group and overweight/obese and low AMH group; ^b Significant subgroup difference between normal weight and intermediate AMH group and overweight/obese and intermediate AMH group; ^c Significant subgroup difference between normal weight and low AMH group and normal weight and intermediate AMH group; ^e Significant subgroup difference between normal weight and low AMH group and normal weight and high AMH group; ^e Significant subgroup difference between normal weight and low AMH group and normal weight and high AMH group; ^g Significant subgroup difference between normal weight and intermediate AMH group and normal weight and high AMH group; ^g Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and low AMH group and overweight/obese and high AMH group; ^g Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and low AMH group and overweight/obese and high AMH group; ^g Of note, 3 women in the normal weight and low AMH group, 4 women in the normal weight and intermediate AMH group, 3 women in the overweight/obese and low AMH group, and 1 woman in the overweight/obese and high AMH group did not undergo embryo transfer.

TABLE 3
Pregnancy outcomes by different combinations of AMH and BMI categories

		BMI<24 kg/m ²			BMI≥24 kg/m ²		
Characteristic	Group A (normal weight and low AMH group) n=72	Group B (normal weight and intermediate AMH group) n=143	Group C (normal weight and high AMH group) n=72	Group D (overweight/ obese and low AMH group) n=85	Group E (overweight/ obese and intermediate AMH group) n=168	Group F (overweight/ obese and high AMH group) n=85	<i>P</i> value
Biochemical pregnancy	45/72 (62.5%)	94/143 (65.7%)	47/72 (65.3%)	56/85 (65.9%)	116/168 (69.0%)	58/85 (68.2%)	.943
Biochemical miscarriage	2/45 (4.4%)	9/94 (9.6%)	4/47 (8.5%)	3/56 (5.4%)	10/116 (8.6%)	3/58 (5.2%)	.854
Clinical pregnancy	41/72 (56.9%)	82/143 (57.3%)	43/72 (59.7%)	53/85 (62.4%)	104/168 (61.9%)	55/85 (64.7%)	.865
Singleton	27 (65.9%)	55 (67.1%)	35 (81.4%)	39 (73.6%)	76 (73.1%)	45 (81.8%)	.281
Twin	14 (34.1%)	27 (32.9%)	8 (18.6%)	14 (26.4%)	28 (26.9%)	10 (18.2%)	
Clinical miscarriage	6/41 (14.6%)	10/82 (12.2%)	9/43 (20.9%)	9/53 (18.3%)	19/104 (18.3%)	20/55 (36.4%) ^{e,f}	.017
Live birth ^g	35/72 (48.6%)	71/143 (49.7%)	34/72 (47.2%)	44/85 (51.8%)	85/168 (50.6%)	34/84 (40.5%)	.708
Singleton	21/72 (29.2%)	44/143 (30.8%)	26/72 (36.1%)	30/85 (35.3%)	56/168 (33.3%)	24/84 (28.6%)	.860
Twin	14/72 (19.4%)	27/143 (18.9%)	8/72 (11.1%)	14/85 (16.5%)	29/168 (17.3%)	10/84 (11.9%)	.559
Moderate or severe OHSS	3/72 (4.2%)	4/143 (2.8%)	7/72 (9.7%) ^d	0 (0%)	5/168 (3.0%)	8/85 (9.4%) ^{e,f}	.006
Early OHSS	0 (0%)	3 (2.1%)	4 (5.6%)	0 (0%)	3 (1.8%)	6 (7.1%)	.017
Late OHSS	3 (4.2%)	1 (0.7%)	3 (4.2%)	0 (0%)	2 (1.2%)	2 (2.4%)	.122
Single pregnancies							
Preterm delivery*	0 (0%)	1/55 (1.8%)	1/35 (2.9%)	2/39 (5.1%)	6/76 (7.9%)	4/44 (9.1%)	.390
GDM*	0 (0%)	5/55 (9.1%)	1/35 (2.9%)	3/39 (7.7%)	5/76 (6.6%)	2/44 (4.5%)	.660
Preeclampsia*	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1/35 (2.9%)	1/39 (2.6%)	1/76 (1.3%)	1/44 (2.3%)	.778
Twin pregnancies							
Preterm delivery	11/14 (78.6%)	8/27 (29.6%) ^b	1/8 (12.5%) ^c	7/14 (50.0%)	13/28 (53.6%)	5/10 (50.0%)	.019
GDM	0 (0%)	0 (0%) ^a	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	5/28 (17.9%)	0 (0%)	.041
Preeclampsia	1/14 (7.1%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	2/28 (7.1%)	2/10 (20.0%)	.142

Data are presented as number/total number (percentage), unless otherwise indicated.

AMH, antimüllerian hormone; BMI, body mass index; OHSS, ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus.

Niu. Combined impact of antimüllerian hormone and body mass index on pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Am J Obstet Gynecol Glob Rep 2025.

^a Significant subgroup difference between normal weight and intermediate AMH group and overweight/obese and intermediate AMH group; ^d Significant subgroup difference between normal weight and low AMH group and normal weight and low AMH group and normal weight and low AMH group; ^e Significant subgroup difference between normal weight and intermediate AMH group and normal weight and high AMH group; ^e Significant subgroup difference between normal weight and intermediate AMH group and normal weight and high AMH group; ^e Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and low AMH group and overweight/obese and high AMH group; ^f Significant subgroup difference between overweight/obese and intermediate AMH group and overweight/obese and high AMH group; ^g Of note, 1 woman with high AMH levels who was overweight/obese was lost to follow-up.

TABLE 4 Adjusted odds ratios of pregnancy outcomes by d	ancy outcomes by di	ferent combinations	lifferent combinations of AMH and BMI categories	egories		
Characteristic	Group A (normal weight and low AMH group) n=72	Group B (normal weight and intermediate AMH group) n=143	Group C (normal weight and high AMH group) n=72	Group D (overweight/obese and low AMH group) n=85	Group E (overweight/ obese and intermediate AMH group) n=168	Group F (overweight/ obese and high AMH group) n=85
Clinical pregnancy miscarriage	1.31 (0.44-3.90)	1	1.70 (0.63-4.63)	1.48 (0.56-3.93)	1.48 (0.64-3.44)	3.30 (1.35-8.07)
Moderate or severe OHSS	1.51 (0.33-6.94)	-	3.74 (1.06–13.24)	0	1.08 (0.28-4.10)	3.61 (1.05-12.38)
Preterm delivery for twin pregnancies 12.45 (2.46–63.08)	12.45 (2.46—63.08)	1	0.37 (0.04-3.54)	3.27 (0.78-13.62)	2.84 (0.90-9.02)	2.56 (0.54-12.11)

The covariates in the regression models for the outcomes were age, antral follicle count, luteinizing hormone, estradiol, total testosterone, and concomitant male factors for infertility

AMH, antimüllerian hormone; OHSS, ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome.

Niu. Combined impact of antimillerian hormone and body mass index on pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Am J Obstet Gynecol Glob Rep 2025

BMI did not affect the circulating AMH levels in women with PCOS. 2,24,25 Interestingly, although the 75th to 100th percentile ranges of AMH levels were comparable between patients with normal weight (9.82-18.00 ng/mL) and those who were overweight/obese (9.37 -18.00 ng/mL) in our study, women who were overweight/obese and had high AMH levels also had higher AFC than those with normal weight and high AMH levels. This phenomenon may be due to more severe granulosa cell dysfunction in women with PCOS who are overweight/obese and have high serum AMH levels than in those with PCOS with normal weight and high serum AMH levels, which contributes to the down-regulation of AMH secretion by the follicle unit. It has been suggested that women with obesity tend to develop a condition of functional hyperandrogenism.40 In the current study, testosterone levels were also higher in women who were overweight/obese and had high AMH levels than in those with normal weight and high AMH levels. The data showed that reproductive and metabolic dysfunctions were more severe in women who were overweight/ obese and had high AMH levels than in those with normal weight and high AMH levels, emphasizing the importance of weight management in women with PCOS, especially in those with high serum AMH levels.

Women with PCOS have increased AFC and enhanced granulosa cell activity compared with controls, 41,42 thereby being at a high risk of OHSS. Serum levels of AMH may represent the number of follicles recruited from the pool of primordial follicles and have been well established as a predictor of OHSS.¹⁷ In line with previous studies, 43 our study showed that high AMH levels were associated with a high risk of OHSS and high estrogen levels on the day of hCG administration in women with PCOS. Several studies have investigated the effects of BMI on the risk of OHSS. Low BMI has been suggested to be one of the factors that predispose women to OHSS, 19,44 whereas obesity was shown to be associated with decreased complication rates in women hospitalized with OHSS. ⁴⁵ However, our data showed that overweight/obesity combined with high AMH levels also contributed to an increased risk of OHSS. These data suggest that, under conditions of high serum AMH levels, the protective effect mediated by obesity-related hemodilution for OHSS may be eliminated. OHSS is associated with adverse outcomes, including pregnancy loss, preterm delivery, and low birthweight. ^{46–48} Therefore, extra caution is required in ovarian stimulation in women who are overweight/obese and have high AMH levels.

AMH is characteristically elevated in women with PCOS and is likely to be involved in the pathogenesis of PCOS.⁴⁹ A growing number of studies have explored the correlation between serum AMH levels and IVF outcomes in women with PCOS. A meta-analysis showed that the predictability of AMH for clinical pregnancy in women with PCOS was weaker than that in those with normal or low ovary response.⁵⁰ In addition, Arkfeld et al⁵¹ found that AMH predicts miscarriage in non-PCOS but not in PCOS after fresh embryo transfer. Although AMH is a highly sensitive marker of ovarian reserve,⁵² increased AMH levels have been well established to be associated with PCOS severity,13 but it may not accurately reflect the oocyte quality in women with PCOS, thus confounding the association between AMH and IVF outcomes. It has been suggested that women with elevated serum AMH levels have a higher risk of miscarriage than those with normal values in the population general after **IVF** treatment. 53,54 However, the effect of high serum AMH levels on miscarriage in women with PCOS remains unknown. The high risk of miscarriage in women with PCOS highlights the need for future research in this area. Previously, we and others have reported that high BMI is one of the risk factors for clinical miscarriage in women with PCOS. 55-58 In addition to the common hormone disorders in women diagnosed with PCOS, adipose tissue functions as an endocrine organ and causes

dysregulated adipokines in overweight/ obesity, which may adversely affect endometrial implantation and other reproductive functions.⁵⁹ In the current study, we conducted a combined analysis of AMH and BMI on the pregnancy outcomes in women with PCOS and found that the risk of clinical miscarriage was significantly elevated in women with PCOS with high serum AMH levels accompanied by overweight/obesity. Our study suggests that BMI-stratified AMH analysis facilitates the identification of high-risk populations for clinical miscarriage in women with PCOS.

Previous studies on PCOS showed that high serum AMH levels were associated with a high risk of preterm delivery in women who achieved single delivery after IVF treatment. 15,16,38 In addition, we found a tendency of increased risk of preterm delivery for single pregnancies in women with high serum AMH levels, in both women with normal weight and those who were overweight/obese, but without a significant difference, which may be due to the relatively small sample size in our study. Moreover, we observed a significantly increased risk of preterm delivery for twin pregnancies in women with normal weight and low AMH levels compared with those with normal weight and intermediate AMH levels. However, the biological mechanism remains unclear. Our study adds additional evidence to the viewpoint that serum AMH levels are associated with the risk of preterm delivery in women with PCOS and suggests that low serum AMH levels may also increase the risk of preterm delivery, especially in twin pregnancies.

Our study was strengthened by the collection of data from a randomized controlled trial (RCT) using a standard protocol for ovarian stimulation, embryo transfer, and standard tracking of pregnancy outcomes. However, this study has several limitations. First, because of the relatively small sample size, the effect of AMH levels on pregnancy outcomes in women with low BMI was not explored, and subgroup analysis by fresh embryo transfer or frozen embryo transfer was not performed.

Large sample size and multicenter RCTs are needed to further explore the effect of AMH in combination with BMI on pregnancy outcomes. Second, all patients aged 20 to 35 years and used hCG to trigger oocyte maturation, and most patients in our study underwent cleavage-stage embryo transfer. Whether our study could be extrapolated to patients with advanced age, those using a GnRH agonist to trigger oocyte maturation, and those who underwent blastocyst-stage embryo transfer warrants further studies.

Conclusion

We found that high serum AMH levels are associated with a high risk of clinical pregnancy miscarriage in women who are overweight/obese but not in women with normal weight. Women with elevated AMH levels were at a high risk of OHSS, and even when accompanied by a higher BMI, their risk of OHSS was still higher than that in those with normal weight and intermediate AMH levels. In addition, women with normal weight and low AMH levels had a higher risk of preterm delivery in twin pregnancies than those with normal weight and intermediate AMH levels. Further studies are warranted to confirm our findings and explore the underlying mechanisms.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Yue Niu: Writing - original draft, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation. Xinwei Han: Writing - original draft, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation. Huiving Xiao: Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation. Ruolan Miao: Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation. Gege Ouyang: Formal analysis, Data curation. Qian Wang: Supervision, Conceptualization. Daimin Wei: Writing - review & editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the patients and staff of the Center for Reproductive Medicine, Institute of Women, Children, and Reproductive Health, Shandong University for their cooperation and support.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lizneva D, Suturina L, Walker W, Brakta S, Gavrilova-Jordan L, Azziz R. Criteria, prevalence, and phenotypes of polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril 2016;106:6-15. https://doi. ora/10.1016/i.fertnstert.2016.05.003.
- 2. Pigny P, Merlen E, Robert Y, et al. Elevated serum level of anti-Mullerian hormone in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome: relationship to the ovarian follicle excess and to the follicular arrest. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2003;88:5957-62. https://doi.org/10.1210/ ic.2003-030727.
- 3. Stracquadanio M, Ciotta L, Palumbo MA. Relationship between serum anti-Mullerian hormone and intrafollicular AMH levels in PCOS women. Gynecol Endocrinol 2018;34:223-8. https://doi. org/10.1080/09513590.2017.1381838.
- 4. Hart R, Doherty DA, Norman RJ, et al. Serum antiMullerian hormone (AMH) levels are elevated in adolescent girls with polycystic ovaries and the polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS). Fertil Steril 2010;94:1118-21. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2009.11.002.
- 5. Li Y, Wei LN, Liang XY. Follicle-stimulating hormone suppressed excessive production of antiMullerian hormone caused by abnormally enhanced promoter activity in polycystic ovary syndrome granulosa cells. Fertil Steril 2011;95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.03.047. 2354-8, 2358.e1.
- 6. Dewailly D, Andersen CY, Balen A, et al. The physiology and clinical utility of anti-Mullerian hormone in women. Hum Reprod Update 2014;20:370-85. https://doi.org/10.1093/ humupd/dmt062.
- 7. Teede HJ, Tay CT, Laven J, et al. Recommendations from the 2023 international evidence-based guideline for the assessment and management of polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril 2023;120:767-93. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2023.07.025.
- 8. Sanchez-Garrido MA, Tena-Sempere M. Metabolic dysfunction in polycystic ovary syndrome: pathogenic role of androgen excess and potential therapeutic strategies. Mol Metab 2020;35:100937. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. molmet.2020.01.001.
- 9. Yildiz BO, Bozdag G, Yapici Z, Esinler I, Yarali H. Prevalence, phenotype and cardiometabolic risk of polycystic ovary syndrome under different diagnostic criteria. Hum Reprod 2012;27:3067-73. https://doi.org/10.1093/ humrep/des232.
- 10. Carmina E, Guastella E, Longo RA. Advances in the diagnosis and treatment of PCOS. Curr Pharm Des 2016;22:5508-14. https://doi. org/10.2174/1381612822666160719105808.
- 11. Piouka A, Farmakiotis D, Katsikis I, Macut D, Gerou S, Panidis D. Anti-Mullerian hormone levels reflect severity of PCOS but are

- negatively influenced by obesity: relationship with increased luteinizing hormone levels. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2009:296:E238-43. https://doi.org/10.1152/aipendo.90684.2008.
- 12. Liu S, Hong L, Mo M, et al. Association of antiMüllerian hormone with polycystic ovarian syndrome phenotypes and pregnancy outcomes of in vitro fertilization cycles with fresh embryo transfer. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2022;22:171. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-022-04518-0.
- 13. Tal R, Seifer DB, Khanimov M, Malter HE, Grazi RV, Leader B. Characterization of women with elevated antiMüllerian hormone levels (AMH): correlation of AMH with polycystic ovarian syndrome phenotypes and assisted reproductive technology outcomes. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2014;211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ajog.2014.02.026.59.e1-8.
- 14. Tal R, Seifer CM, Khanimov M, Seifer DB, Tal O. High serum antiMullerian hormone levels are associated with lower live birth rates in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome undergoing assisted reproductive technology. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2020;18:20. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12958-020-00581-4.
- 15. Hu KL, Liu FT, Xu H, Li R, Qiao J. High anti-Müllerian hormone levels are associated with preterm delivery in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril 2020;113. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019.09.039. 444-52.e1.
- 16. Hsu JY, James KE, Bormann CL, Donahoe PK, Pépin D, Sabatini ME. Müllerian-inhibiting substance/anti-Müllerian hormone as a predictor of preterm birth in polycystic ovary syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2018;103:4187-96. https://doi.org/10.1210/ ic.2018-01320.
- 17. Lee TH, Liu CH, Huang CC, et al. Serum anti-Müllerian hormone and estradiol levels as predictors of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome in assisted reproduction technology cycles. Hum Reprod 2008;23:160-7. https:// doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dem254.
- 18. Dewailly D, Robin G, Peigne M, Decanter C, Pigny P. Catteau-Jonard S. Interactions between androgens, FSH, anti-Müllerian hormone and estradiol during folliculogenesis in the human normal and polycystic ovary. Hum Reprod Update 2016;22:709-24. https://doi. org/10.1093/humupd/dmw027.
- 19. Sun B, Ma Y, Li L, et al. Factors associated with ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS) severity in women with polycystic ovary syndrome undergoing IVF/ICSI. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2020;11:615957. https://doi. org/10.3389/fendo.2020.615957.
- 20. Si M, Qi X, Zhen X, et al. Dose nomogram of individualization of the initial follicle-stimulating hormone dosage for patients with polycystic ovary syndrome undergoing IVF/ICSI with the GnRH-ant protocol: a retrospective cohort study. Adv Ther 2023;40:3971-85. https://doi. org/10.1007/s12325-023-02582-2.
- 21. Legro RS. Obesity and PCOS: implications for diagnosis and treatment. Semin Reprod

- Med 2012;30:496-506. https://doi.org/ 10.1055/s-0032-1328878.
- 22. Zhou H, Zhang D, Luo Z, et al. Association between body mass index and reproductive outcome in women with polycystic ovary syndrome receiving IVF/ICSI-ET. BioMed Res Int 2020;2020:6434080. https://doi.org/10.1155/ 2020/6434080.
- 23. Sheng Y, Lu G, Liu J, et al. Effect of body mass index on the outcomes of controlled ovarian hyperstimulation in Chinese women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a multicenter, prospective, observational study. J Assist Reprod 2017;34:61-70. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10815-016-0830-1.
- 24. Skałba P, Cygal A, Madej P, et al. Is the plasma anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) level associated with body weight and metabolic, and hormonal disturbances in women with and without polycystic ovary syndrome? Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2011;158:254-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2011.06.006.
- 25. Casadei L, Fanisio F, Sorge RP, Collamarini M, Piccolo E, Piccione E. The diagnosis of PCOS in young infertile women according to different diagnostic criteria: the role of serum anti-Müllerian hormone. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2018:298:207-15. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00404-018-4803-8.
- 26. Dokuzeylül Güngör N, Güngör K, Celik N, Önal M, Madenli AA. Impact of body mass index and vitamin D on serum AMH levels and antral follicle count in PCOS. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci 2023;27:179-87. https://doi. org/10.26355/eurrev_202301_30870.
- 27. Zhang M, Liu X, Xu X, et al. The reference value of anti-Müllerian hormone to diagnose polycystic ovary syndrome is inversely associated with BMI: a retrospective study. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2023;21:15. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12958-023-01064-y.
- 28. Vagios S, James KE, Sacha CR, et al. A patient-specific model combining antiMüllerian hormone and body mass index as a predictor of polycystic ovary syndrome and other oligodisorders. Fertil Steril anovulation 2021:115:229-37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. fertnstert.2020.07.023.
- 29. Cui Y, Shi Y, Cui L, Han T, Gao X, Chen ZJ. Age-specific serum antiMüllerian hormone levels in women with and without polycystic ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril 2014;102. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2014.03.032. 230-6.
- **30.** Woodward A, Klonizakis M, Broom D. Exercise and polycystic ovary syndrome. Adv Exp Med Biol 2020;1228:123-36. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-981-15-1792-1 8.
- **31.** Delvigne A, Rozenberg S. Epidemiology and prevention of ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome (OHSS): a review. Hum Reprod Update 2002;8:559-77. https://doi.org/10.1093/ humupd/8.6.559.
- 32. Farland LV, Stern JE, Liu CL, et al. Polycystic ovary syndrome and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes: a registry linkage study

- from Massachusetts. Hum Reprod https://doi.org/10.1093/ 2022;37:2690-9. humrep/deac210.
- 33. Sha T, Wang X, Cheng W, Yan Y. A metaanalysis of pregnancy-related outcomes and complications in women with polycystic ovary syndrome undergoing IVF. Reprod Biomed 2019;39:281-93. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.rbmo.2019.03.203.
- 34. Palomba S, de Wilde MA, Falbo A, Koster MP, La Sala GB, Fauser BC. Pregnancy complications in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Hum Reprod Update 2015;21:575-92. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmv029.
- 35. Chen ZJ, Shi Y, Sun Y, et al. Fresh versus Frozen Embryos for Infertility in the polycystic ovary syndrome. N Engl J Med 2016;375:523-**33**. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1513873.
- 36. Shi Y, Wei D, Liang X, et al. Live birth after fresh embryo transfer vs elective embryo cryopreservation/frozen embryo transfer in women with polycystic ovary syndrome undergoing IVF (FreFro-PCOS): study protocol for a multicenter, prospective, randomized controlled clinical trial. Trials 2014;15:154. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1745-6215-15-154.
- **37.** Zhou BF. Cooperative Meta-Analysis Group of the Working Group on Obesity in China. Predictive values of body mass index and waist circumference for risk factors of certain related diseases in Chinese adults-study on optimal cut-off points of body mass index and waist circumference in Chinese adults. Biomed Environ Sci 2002;15:83-96.
- 38. Du M, Zhang J, Yu X, Guan Y. Elevated anti-Müllerian hormone is an independent risk factor for preterm birth among patients with overweight polycystic ovary syndrome. Front 2021;12:788000. Endocrinol (Lausanne) https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2021.788000.
- 39. Laven JS, Mulders AG, Visser JA, Themmen AP, De Jong FH, Fauser BC. Anti-Müllerian hormone serum concentrations in normoovulatory and anovulatory women of reproductive age. J Clin Endocrinol Metab https://doi.org/10.1210/ 2004;89:318-23. jc.2003-030932.
- 40. Pasquali R. Obesity and androgens: facts and perspectives. Fertil Steril 2006;85:1319-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2005.10.054.
- 41. Erickson GF, Magoffin DA, Garzo VG, Cheung AP, Chang RJ. Granulosa cells of

- polycystic ovaries: are they normal or abnormal? Hum Reprod 1992;7:293-9. https://doi. org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.humrep.a137638.
- 42. Almahbobi G, Anderiesz C, Hutchinson P, McFarlane JR, Wood C, Trounson AO. Functional integrity of granulosa cells from polycystic ovaries. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 1996;44:571-80. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2265.1996. 724545.x.
- 43. Peluso C, Fonseca FL, Gastaldo GG, et al. AMH and AMHR2 polymorphisms and AMH serum level can predict assisted reproduction outcomes: a cross-sectional study. Cell Physiol Biochem 2015;35:1401-12. https://doi.org/ 10.1159/000373961.
- 44. Banker M, Garcia-Velasco JA. Revisiting ovarian hyper stimulation syndrome: towards OHSS free clinic. J Hum Reprod Sci 2015;8:13-7. https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-1208.153120.
- 45. Mandelbaum RS, Bainvoll L, Violette CJ, et al. The influence of obesity on incidence of complications in patients hospitalized with ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2022;305:483-93. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s00404-021-06124-5.
- 46. Raziel A, Friedler S, Schachter M, Strassburger D, Mordechai E, Ron-El R. Increased early pregnancy loss in IVF patients with severe ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome. Hum Reprod 2002;17:107-10. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/humrep/17.1.107.
- 47. Papanikolaou EG, Tournaye H, Verpoest W, et al. Early and late ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome: early pregnancy outcome and profile. Hum Reprod 2005;20:636-41. https://doi. org/10.1093/humrep/deh638.
- 48. Schirmer 3rd DA, Kulkarni AD, Zhang Y, Kawwass JF, Boulet SL, Kissin DM. Ovarian hyperstimulation syndrome after assisted reproductive technologies: trends, predictors, and pregnancy outcomes. Fertil Steril 2020;114:567-78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. fertnstert.2020.04.004.
- 49. di Clemente N, Racine C, Pierre A, Taieb J. Anti-Müllerian hormone in female reproduction. Endocr Rev 2021;42:753-82. https://doi.org/ 10.1210/endrev/bnab012.
- 50. Tal R, Tal O, Seifer BJ, Seifer DB. Anti-Müllerian hormone as predictor of implantation and clinical pregnancy after assisted conception: a systematic review and meta-analysis.

- Fertil Steril 2015;103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. fertnstert.2014.09.041. 119-30.e3.
- 51. Arkfeld C, Han E, Tal R, Seifer DB. AMH predicts miscarriage in non-PCOS but not in PCOS related infertility ART cycles. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2023;21:35. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12958-023-01087-5.
- 52. La Marca A, Sighinolfi G, Radi D, et al. Anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH) as a predictive marker in assisted reproductive technology (ART). Hum Reprod Update 2010;16:113-30. https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dmp036.
- 53. Gleicher N, Kushnir VA, Sen A, et al. Definition by FSH, AMH and embryo numbers of good-, intermediate- and poor-prognosis patients suggests previously unknown IVF outcome-determining factor associated with AMH. J Transl Med 2016;14:172. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12967-016-0924-7.
- 54. Liu X, Han Y, Wang X, et al. Serum anti-Müllerian hormone levels are associated with early miscarriage in the IVF/ICSI fresh cycle. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2022;22:279. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-022-04591-5.
- 55. Sun YF, Zhang J, Xu YM, et al. High BMI and insulin resistance are risk factors for spontaneous abortion in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome undergoing assisted reproductive treatment: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2020;11:592495. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fendo.2020.592495.
- 56. Qiu M, Tao Y, Kuang Y, Wang Y. Effect of body mass index on pregnancy outcomes with the freeze-all strategy in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome. Fertil Steril 2019;112:1172https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2019. 08.009.
- 57. Veleva Z, Tiitinen A, Vilska S, et al. High and low BMI increase the risk of miscarriage after IVF/ICSI and FET. Hum Reprod 2008;23:878-84. https://doi.org/10.1093/ humrep/den017.
- **58.** Wang W, Tang X, Jiang Q, Niu Y, Wang Z, Wei D. Risk factors for clinical pregnancy loss after IVF in women with PCOS. Reprod Biomed 2023;46:107-14. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.rbmo.2022.10.002.
- 59. Silvestris E, de Pergola G, Rosania R, Loverro G. Obesity as disruptor of the female fertility. Reprod Biol Endocrinol 2018;16:22. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12958-018-0336-z.\.