

Practice patterns in pediatric cataract management: Time for real world data

Pediatric cataract management has gained tremendous focus in the past decade.^[1-14] There is a renewed sense that while cataract surgery in adults appears to be evolving at a furious pace that pediatric cataract surgery is perhaps lagging in our understanding of the basic physiological and anatomical issues that play a role in a successful outcome.

In this issue of the *IJO* Kemmanu *et al.*^[15] describe an E-Survey assessing the management patterns of Indian pediatric ophthalmologists for pediatric cataract and ectopia lentis. They contacted members of the Indian Strabismus and Paediatric Ophthalmology Society and sent surveys out with a return of approximately 20%.

Their conclusions based on this study were that “The management of lens anomalies by pediatric ophthalmologists in India varies with laterality and appears to be comparable to that followed worldwide.”

Should the practices of pediatric ophthalmologists in India be comparable to those of other parts of the world? Only if the circumstances of the environment are similar. Extrapolating results from studies in other parts of the world may not be relevant or appropriate.

Pediatric cataracts are responsible for more than 1 million childhood blindness in Asia. In developing countries like India, 7.4%–15.3% of childhood blindness is due to cataract.^[1] The socioeconomic status of a large proportion of children with cataracts in India precludes reliable rehabilitation with contact lenses for aphakia. It is noteworthy that the Infant Aphakia Treatment Study (IATS)^[2,3] compared the best correction (contact lens) with pseudophakia and not aphakic spectacle correction with pseudophakia. Quoting the IATS as a reason not to implant under the age of 2 years becomes less relevant. A true comparison for real world evidence (RWE)^[4] in India would be to compare unilateral intraocular lens (IOL) to unilateral aphakic correction.

Moreover, if a child has a unilateral cataract, he or she is still likely to have a normal life as long as the unaffected eye remains healthy and normal. It is, in fact, the bilateral dense cataracts in children that are of greater developmental concern; evidence from a large longitudinal cohort study from the UK suggests that bilateral pseudophakia may result in better visual outcomes.^[5] Why should this be? Well most cases of unilateral cataracts are due to some form of PHPV with an eye that is usually smaller than the unaffected eye. In cases of bilateral cataracts, the eyes are often more normal in size.

More and more the concept of real world data (RWD)^[4] defined as data derived from a number of sources that are associated with outcomes in a heterogeneous patient population, is becoming increasingly favorable. Conducting a randomized control trial to answer a question that could be answered with existing data is wasteful both of resources and investigator effort and exposes human subjects to unnecessary risks and costs.

If we look at the numerous papers published on the subject of pediatric cataract, we begin to see that there have been numerous retrospective papers, survey data, one or two randomized control trials, some meta-analysis studies, and a Delphi consensus paper.^[2,3,5-14] Do we indeed have enough data already to help answer some questions about pediatric cataract management? Maybe so but to develop RWD that leads to RWE the clinical question that we want to ask must be framed appropriately.

Consider that several authors from the Indian subcontinent,^[6-8] USA and Asia^[12-14] have published studies that counter the findings of IATS,^[2,3] and that the only individual patient meta-analysis^[9] contradicts other aspects of IATS. Given this nonconsensus and accepting that in IATS, the surgeon was allowed to change/modify the perioperative drug regimen including systemic steroid application,^[2] which may or may not have influenced the amount of intraocular inflammation, one might want to change the clinical question from “Are IOL implants better for visual rehabilitation than aphakic correction in infants?” to two related questions: “In which infant eyes can intraocular implants be placed safely without excessive inflammation?” and “If infant eyes are safely implanted what is the visual outcome in these eyes compared to aphakic spectacle correction?”

To answer the latter two questions, a consortium of surgeons would perform an individual meta-analysis on their published data and develop parameters such as axial length at time of surgery, corneal diameter, and presence or absence of fibrin postoperatively, which may define the parameters of the ideal infant eye for implantation.

There is one final issue which is often overlooked and that is surgeon experience. In the studies cited above where outcomes of IOL implantation in children under 2 years of age have been relatively good, the surgeon surgical experience has been high. In a country like India, adult cataract surgeons who also do pediatric cataract surgery, and not pediatric ophthalmologists, are more likely to do the majority of pediatric cataracts. Kemmanu *et al.* acknowledge that their survey targeted members of the Indian Strabismus and Paediatric Ophthalmology Society. The results of the survey may have been quite different had the adult surgeons doing the bulk of pediatric cataracts surgery participated.

An interesting finding from the paper by Kemmanu *et al.* is that the majority of IOL's implanted were the hydrophobic acrylic single piece lens. This is an interesting choice because while it is easy to insert, if the posterior capsule were to rupture or be unstable (and this is more likely given the higher incidence of posterior plaques or lenticonus in pediatric cataracts), a one piece foldable lens would not sit well in the sulcus with decentration being a major issue. For this reason, it makes more sense to use the hydrophobic acrylic three piece lens which has been found to be stable in the sulcus.

In closing, a concerted effort to evaluate ALL the data that we already have may lead to some RWD that may help implement better management and surgical strategies for children and especially infants with cataracts.

Ken Kanwal Nischal

UPMC Eye Center, Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh of UPMC, Pittsburgh, PA, USA.
E-mail: nischalkk@upmc.edu

References

1. Yi J, Yun J, Li ZK, Xu CT, Pan BR. Epidemiology and molecular genetics of congenital cataracts. *Int J Ophthalmol* 2011;4:422-32.
2. Lambert SR, Plager DA, Buckley EG, Wilson ME, DuBois L, Drews-Botsch CD, *et al.* The infant Aphakia Treatment Study: Further on intra- and postoperative complications in the intraocular lens group. *J AAPOS* 2015;19:101-3.
3. Plager DA, Lynn MJ, Buckley EG, Wilson ME, Lambert SR; Infant Aphakia Treatment Study Group. Complications in the first 5 years following cataract surgery in infants with and without intraocular lens implantation in the Infant Aphakia Treatment Study. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2014;158:892-8.
4. Franklin JM, Schneeweiss S. When and how can real world data analyses substitute for randomized controlled trials? *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2017. doi: 10.1002/cpt.857. [Epub ahead of print].
5. Solebo AL, Russell-Eggitt I, Cumberland P, Rahi JS. Congenital cataract associated with persistent fetal vasculature: Findings from IoLunder2. *Eye (Lond)* 2016;30:1204-9.
6. Dixit NV, Shah SK, Vasavada V, Vasavada VA, Praveen MR, Vasavada AR, *et al.* Outcomes of cataract surgery and intraocular lens implantation with and without intracameral triamcinolone in pediatric eyes. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2010;36:1494-8.
7. Serafino M, Trivedi RH, Levin AV, Wilson ME, Nucci P, Lambert SR, *et al.* Use of the Delphi process in paediatric cataract management. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2016;100:611-5.
8. Sachdeva V, Katukuri S, Ali M, Kekunnaya R. Second intraocular surgery after primary pediatric cataract surgery: Indications and outcomes during long-term follow-up at a tertiary eye care center. *Eye (Lond)* 2016;30:1260-5.
9. Mataftsi A, Haidich AB, Kokkali S, Rabiah PK, Birch E, Stager DR Jr., *et al.* Postoperative glaucoma following infantile cataract surgery: An individual patient data meta-analysis. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2014;132:1059-67.
10. Sukhija J, Kaur S, Ram J. Outcome of a new acrylic intraocular lens implantation in pediatric cataract. *J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus* 2015;52:371-6.
11. Bhusal S, Ram J, Sukhija J, Pandav SS, Kaushik S. Comparison of the outcome of implantation of hydrophobic acrylic versus silicone intraocular lenses in pediatric cataract: Prospective randomized study. *Can J Ophthalmol* 2010;45:531-6.
12. Nagamoto T, Oshika T, Fujikado T, Ishibashi T, Sato M, Kondo M, *et al.* Surgical outcomes of congenital and developmental cataracts in Japan. *Jpn J Ophthalmol* 2016;60:127-34.
13. Lin D, Chen J, Lin Z, Li X, Wu X, Long E, *et al.* 10-year overview of the hospital-based prevalence and treatment of congenital cataracts: The CCPMOH experience. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0142298.
14. Struck MC. Long-term results of pediatric cataract surgery and primary intraocular lens implantation from 7 to 22 months of life. *JAMA Ophthalmol* 2015;133:1180-3.
15. Kemmanu V, Rathod P, Rao HL, Muthu S, Jayadev C. Management of cataracts and ectopia lentis in children: Practice patterns of pediatric ophthalmologists in India. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2017;65:818-25.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: www.ijo.in
	DOI: 10.4103/ijo.IJO_816_17

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

Cite this article as: Nischal KK. Practice patterns in pediatric cataract management: Time for real world data. *Indian J Ophthalmol* 2017;65:779-81.

About the author



Prof. Ken K Nischal co-founded the World Society of Paediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus (WSPOS) and is Professor of Ophthalmology, University of Pittsburgh, and Division Chief of Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus, Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh, USA. He has written two textbooks, over 30 chapters, over 150 papers and was on the editorial team for two major revisions of the AAO BCSC module for POS. He was previously a Consultant at Great Ormond Street Hospital of Children, London, UK until 2011, when he was recruited to Pittsburgh. His areas of interest are pediatric anterior segment diseases and surgery, ocular genetics and craniosynostoses. Prof Nischal is deeply involved in popularizing the subspecialty in India and devotes a significant amount of his time to teaching and training in India.