RESEARCH ARTICLE

CXCL9 Contributes to Antimicrobial Protection of the Gut during *Citrobacter rodentium* Infection Independent of Chemokine-Receptor Signaling

Sarah A. Reid-Yu^{1,2}, Brian R. Tuinema^{1,2}, Cherrie N. Small^{1,2}, Lydia Xing^{1,2}, Brian K. Coombes^{1,2,3}*

1 Michael G. DeGroote Institute for Infectious Disease Research, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, 2 Department of Biochemistry and Biomedical Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, 3 Farncombe Family Digestive Health Research Institute, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

* coombes@mcmaster.ca

Abstract

Chemokines have been shown to be effective bactericidal molecules against a variety of bacteria and fungi *in vitro*. These direct antimicrobial effects are independent of their chemotactic activities involving immunological receptors. However, the direct biological role that these proteins may play in host defense, particularly against intestinal pathogens, is poorly understood. Here, we show that CXCL9, an ELR- chemokine, exhibits direct antimicrobial activity against *Citrobacter rodentium*, an attaching/effacing pathogen that infects the gut mucosa. Inhibition of this antimicrobial activity *in vivo* using anti-CXCL9 antibodies increases host susceptibility to *C. rodentium* infection with pronounced bacterial penetration into crypts, increased bacterial load, and worsened tissue pathology. Using Rag1^{-/-} mice and CXCR3^{-/-} mice, we demonstrate that the role for CXCL9 in protecting the gut mucosa is independent of an adaptive response or its immunological receptor, CXCR3. Finally, we provide evidence that phagocytes function in tandem with NK cells for robust CXCL9 responses to *C. rodentium*. These findings identify a novel role for the immune cell-derived CXCL9 chemokine in directing a protective antimicrobial response in the intestinal mucosa.

Author Summary

Host defense peptides are an essential part of the innate immune response to pathogens, particularly at mucosal surfaces. Some chemokines, previously known for their ability to recruit immune cells to a site of inflammation, have been identified to have direct antimicrobial activity *in vitro* against a variety of pathogens. Despite this, it was unknown whether chemokines play a role in protecting the gut mucosa against enteric pathogens, independent of their immunological receptors. Using a mouse model of enteric pathogen infection with both wild type mice and genetic knockouts, we showed that the chemokine CXCL9 has direct antimicrobial activity against pathogen infection. This antimicrobial



Citation: Reid-Yu SA, Tuinema BR, Small CN, Xing L, Coombes BK (2015) CXCL9 Contributes to Antimicrobial Protection of the Gut during *Citrobacter rodentium* Infection Independent of Chemokine-Receptor Signaling. PLoS Pathog 11(2): e1004648. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004648

Editor: Erin C. Gaynor, The University of British Columbia, CANADA

Received: September 25, 2014

Accepted: December 30, 2014

Published: February 2, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Reid-Yu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: CNS is a CIHR-CAG Postdoctoral fellow, and LX was supported by a Studentship award from the Michael DeGroote Institute for Infectious Disease Research. BKC is the Canada Research Chair in Infectious Disease Pathogenesis. This work was funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Grant number MOP-82704. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. **Competing Interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

activity prevented the invasion of bacteria into intestinal crypts, thus protecting the host from immunopathology. Neutralization of this CXCL9-dependent antimicrobial activity increased host susceptibility to infection, leading to bacterial penetration into intestinal crypts and increased tissue pathology. These data support the importance of a receptor-independent role for chemokines in host defense at mucosal surfaces and may offer alternative treatment strategies for infections, particularly in regards to organisms that are resistant to conventional antibiotics.

Introduction

The intestinal tract is a site of continuous interaction between host and microbe. Tight regulation of immune surveillance and activation maintains the integrity of this interface during non-infectious periods while preserving the ability to launch immediate action upon pathogen exposure. Chemokines are a vital component of this protective response, linking innate and adaptive immunity by activating and recruiting immune cells to infection sites [1]. Until recently, the function of these molecules was focused on their chemotactic activity induced upon interaction with their receptors on various immune cells [1]. However, mounting evidence has shown a direct antimicrobial function for a number of chemokines that relates to their cationic surface properties, similar to antimicrobial host defense peptides [2,3].

Host defense peptides (or antimicrobial peptides), are produced by a wide variety of cell types and form an important component of innate host defenses [4,5]. Although the exact bactericidal mechanism for cationic antimicrobial peptides remains debated [6], membrane-disrupting activity appears to be a common feature, facilitated by cationic charge distribution and amphipathicity allowing for attachment to and insertion into bacterial membranes. In mammals, antimicrobial peptides help protect the gut mucosae from infection and maintain intestinal homeostasis [7]. *In vitro*, the chemokine CXCL9 has potent bactericidal activity against *Escherichia coli, Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Bacillus anthracis* [3,8], likely related to a cationic C-terminal domain resembling well characterized antimicrobial peptides [3,9]. Additionally, antimicrobial activity of CXCL9 appears to play a key role in protection of mucosal surfaces against pathogen infection [8–11]. However, outside of its role in T cell homing and activation, a potential role for CXCL9 in conferring antimicrobial protection of the gut mucosae against intestinal infections has not been investigated.

Citrobacter rodentium is an intestinal pathogen of mice used to model infections with the attaching and effacing (A/E) human pathogens, enteropathogenic *E. coli* and enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* [12]. *C. rodentium* colonizes the cecum prior to traversing to the primary site of infection in the distal colon. Like other A/E pathogens, *Citrobacter* forms intimate attachments with the epithelial surface in the cecum and colon by using a type III secretion system and effectors that it injects into the host's intestinal epithelial cells [13,14]. The host response to infection includes an early and robust chemokine response [15–17]. Infection clearance in resistant mice requires Th1 adaptive responses [13,18,19] mediated by the IFNγ-stimulated chemokines CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXCL11 acting in a CXCR3 dependent manner [20]. Interestingly, of all the ELR- chemokines, CXCL9 is the most highly expressed during *C. rodentium* infection [15], suggesting that its role in protecting the host from this intestinal pathogen might be dominant. Previous work by our laboratory found that depletion of IFNγ-producing NK1.1+ CD3- cells reduced CXCL9 levels in the *C. rodentium*-infected gut, leading to increased host susceptibility to infection [19]. Whether this was solely related to T cell recruitment or additional antimicrobial activity was not known. Here, we uncover a direct antibacterial function for CXCL9 that protects intestinal crypts from bacterial infiltration.

Results

CXCL9 directs C. rodentium killing in vitro

ELR- chemokines have an emerging role as potent antimicrobial agents, owing to their cationic C-terminal domain rich in positively charged amino acids. Since the level of CXCL9 increases dramatically following *Citrobacter* infection of resistant mice [15], we first determined whether CXCL9 exerted antimicrobial activity against *C. rodentium in vitro*. Treatment of *C. rodentium* with CXCL9 resulted in a dose-dependent bacterial killing, as measured by viable colony counts of residual survivors (Fig. 1A). Exposure to CXCL9 at ~4 µg/ml (270 nM) resulted in 100% killing, and 85% killing at ~0.4 µg/ml (27 nM). This concentration is biologically relevant as CXCL9 levels in rectal perfusions from the inflamed human intestine can reach up to 2 µg/ml (138 nM) [21]. Time-kill curves showed that killing was rapid, reaching near maximal effect at ~5 min post-exposure to 27 nM CXCL9 (Fig. 1B). Importantly, in addition to antimicrobial action against the mouse pathogen *C. rodentium*, we also observed similar susceptibility of human pathogens, EHEC and EPEC to CXCL9-directed bacterial killing (Fig. 1C).

Many host-adapted pathogens have evolved resistance to antimicrobial peptides through LPS modifications controlled by the two-component system PhoP-PhoQ [22-24]. To determine whether residual survival of C. rodentium following treatment with lower doses of CXCL9 was PhoP-PhoQ dependent, we treated wild type bacteria and a *phoPQ* deletion mutant with a concentration of CXCL9 that produced $\sim 10-20\%$ survival of wild type bacteria, and measured viable bacteria after 1–2 h. The $\Delta phoPQ$ strain was more susceptible to CXCL9, with only 1–2% residual survivors, similar to a peptide-sensitive strain of E. coli. A similar result was seen using the human α -defensin, HD5, an abundant defense molecule produced by Paneth cells in the gut (Fig. 1D). Interestingly, we found that a 1000-fold lower molar concentration of CXCL9 could elicit similar killing when compared with HD5. To confirm that this killing was directly linked to CXCL9, we performed killing assays in the presence of purified anti-CXCL9 antibody or control IgG. Whereas CXCL9 killed ~ 100% of C. rodentium, this activity was completely blocked by anti-CXCL9 antibody but not an IgG control (Fig. 1E). Antimicrobial peptides like polymyxin B kill bacteria by inducing membrane permeability [25], which can be measured by a fluorescence increase following membrane incorporation of the neutral hydrophobic molecule 1-anilino-8-naphthalene-sulfonate (ANS) [26]. Indeed, injection of polymyxin B into a culture of C. rodentium led to an immediate increase in ANS fluorescence (Fig. 1F). To better understand how CXCL9 might exert its antimicrobial activity, we measured ANS fluorescence following CXCL9 injection into C. rodentium culture. An increase in fluorescence, which occurs when ANS partitions into exposed membrane, was observed on a similar time scale and magnitude upon injection of CXCL9 as that seen with polymyxin B (Fig. 1F). Together, these data established a direct antimicrobial activity for CXCL9 on C. rodentium in a manner consistent with membrane disruption, similar to classic antimicrobial peptides.

CXCL9 increases host survival and decreases C. rodentium burden in vivo

Previous work investigating the role of CXCL9 in the gut has focused solely upon its chemotactic properties. However our *in vitro* results suggested that CXCL9 might have biological significance as a direct antimicrobial molecule. To investigate this, we first measured the levels of

PLOS | PATHOGENS



Fig 1. *Citrobacter rodentium* is sensitive to CXCL9-directed bacterial killing. (A) Dose response survival to increasing concentrations of CXCL9. Wild type *C. rodentium* was exposed to the various concentrations of CXCL9 for 2 h and survival was expressed as a percentage compared to buffer-only controls. Data are the means with standard error from 3 experiments. (B) *C. rodentium* time kill curves were plotted in response to 0.39 µg/ml CXCL9. Data are plotted as a percent survival compared to buffer controls without chemokine addition. Data are the means with standard error from 3 experiments. (C) Bacterial killing assays were performed in tandem with *C. rodentium* (CR), enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC), enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC), and

Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (STM) in the presence of 0.39 μg/ml CXCL9. Data is plotted as a percent survival compared to buffer controls. (**D**) PhoP-PhoQ is required for partial resistance to CXCL9-directed killing. The indicated strains were incubated with CXCL9 or α-defensin and survival was determined by viable colony counting. Data are the means with standard error from 3 experiments. (**E**) Anti-CXCL9 antibody blocks the killing activity of CXCL9. *C. rodentium* was incubated with CXCL9 in the presence of either PBS, IgG control, or anti-CXCL9 antibody. CXCL9-specific antibody blocked 100% of the killing activity. Data are the means with standard error from 3 experiments. (**F**) Membrane permeability in response to CXCL9 or the membrane-disrupting antimicrobial peptide polymyxin B was measured by ANS release. Arrow indicates time of CXCL9 or antimicrobial peptide injection. Data is representative of 3 independent experiments. Statistical significance was assessed utilizing an ANOVA with Newman-Keuls post-test.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004648.g001

CXCL9 in the gut following C. rodentium infection by ELISA. CXCL9 was readily detectable in a variety of tissue samples, including fecal pellets, rectal perfusions, scrapings of the colonic mucosae, and in total homogenized colon samples (S1A Fig.). Next, we depleted CXCL9 in Rag1^{-/-} mice infected with C. rodentium and monitored host survival and bacterial load. Rag1^{-/-} mice were used in order to study the protective effect of CXCL9 independent of its ability to recruit T cells. C. rodentium-infected mice depleted of CXCL9 died, on average, 2 days earlier than mice receiving IgG control. This was significant, and specific to CXCL9 depletion, as depletion of another antimicrobial ELR- chemokine, CXCL10, had no effect on host mortality over 10 days (Fig. 2A). This difference between CXCL9 and CXCL10 in affecting disease severity may be due to the differential expression of the two chemokines, where CXCL9 is expressed at much higher levels in the infected colon, suggesting a more dominant role [15]. In line with these data, C. rodentium burden was 10-100 times higher in the fecal output from CXCL9-depleted mice compared to controls over the 10-day infection period (Fig. 2B). In order to confirm that anti-CXCL9 antibodies were reaching the lumen of the gut, we measured IgG levels in fecal samples following intraperitoneal delivery of anti-CXCL9 antibody or control IgG into uninfected Rag1^{-/-} mice and showed an IgG accumulation in the feces (S1B Fig.). As a secondary biological readout for CXCL9 depletion, we measured the number of infiltrating CD3+ cells in the distal colon 10 days after C. rodentium infection of immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice and in mice depleted of CXCL9. As expected, in C. rodentium-infected immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice depleted of CXCL9, there was a \sim 75% reduction in the number of CD3+ cells in the distal colon (S1C-D Fig.).

To investigate the impact of CXCL9 depletion on disease severity, we examined the gross pathology of the gut during necropsy. *C. rodentium*-infected mice depleted of CXCL9 had (*i*) increased evidence of colitis in the cecum, which was shrunken and partially emptied; (*ii*) shortening and thickening of the colon; (*iii*) increased incidence of watery stool; and (*iv*) hematomas along the length of the cecum and colon (Fig. 2C). As a measure of diarrhea in the stool, we measured fecal water content at day 10, which was significantly greater in CXCL9-depleted mice compared to controls (Fig. 2D). These results were independent of significant differences in the levels of TNF α , IFN γ , IL12p40, or IL-10 in colonic explants on day 10 post-infection, which were all similar in control mice and mice depleted of CXCL9 (S2 Fig.). Together, these data established an important role for CXCL9 in host defense against *C. rodentium* infection and in limiting *C. rodentium* burden in the gut.

CXCL9 protects the gut from *C. rodentium*-induced pathology and bacterial penetration into crypts

The gross pathological differences in *C. rodentium*-infected mice upon CXCL9 depletion suggested a worsened immunopathological response to infection, which correlated with increased bacterial load. Since the pathologic impact on the host can be affected by less than a log-change in peak *C. rodentium* load [27], we scored colonic histopathology on day 10 in *C. rodentium*infected control mice and animals depleted of CXCL9. CXCL9 depletion was associated with increased numbers of sloughed epithelial cells in the lumen and immune cell infiltration, with







doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004648.g002

destruction of the epithelial architecture (Fig. 3A). These changes resulted in significantly greater transmural pathology in the colon (Fig. 3B). Similar findings were observed for cecal pathology upon *C. rodentium* infection of CXCL9-depleted mice, with a more pronounced pathology in the mucosa and submucosa regions of depleted animals (S3 Fig.). In a typical *C. rodentium* infection, the bacteria attach to the intestinal epithelium, but do not commonly penetrate deep into intestinal crypts [28,29]. To localize *C. rodentium* in infected mice in the presence or absence of CXCL9, we performed immunohistochemical localization of *C. rodentium* using an antibody specific to *C. rodentium* LPS. Indeed, we observed the majority of *C. rodentium* in close association with the colonic epithelial cell surface in uncontrived Rag1^{-/-} mice, with only marginal evidence of crypt penetration. In contrast, in mice depleted of CXCL9, *C.*





C57BL/6 IFN γ^{-}

Fig 3. Mice depleted of CXCL9 have greater *C.* rodentium-induced pathology. (A) Rag1^{-/-} mice were infected with *C.* rodentium and administered IgG control antibody or anti-CXCL9 antibody. Representative histopathology images (200x) of the distal colon are shown from animals infected for 10 days. (B) Quantification of pathology in colon. Data is pooled from two experiments, n = 6 per group. (C) Localization of *C.* rodentium in Rag1^{-/-} mouse colon by immunohistochemistry. Mice were infected with *C.* rodentium for 10 days. Images (200x) are representative of two separate experiments, n = 6 per group. (D) Quantification of crypt invasion by *C.* rodentium in Rag1^{-/-} mice. Data are the means with standard errors. (E) Localization of *C.* rodentium in the distal colon in C57BL/6 mice and IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice by immunohistochemistry. Mice were assessed on day 10 after *C.* rodentium infection. Images (200x) are representative of two separate experiments, n = 5 per group. (F) Quantification of crypt invasion by *C.* rodentium in the distal colon in C57BL/6 mice and IFN- $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice by immunohistochemistry. (F) Quantification of crypt invasion by *C.* rodentium in Rag1 separate experiments, n = 5 per group. (F) Quantification of crypt invasion by *C.* rodentium in IFN $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice. Data are the means with standard errors. Statistical significance was assessed utilizing the t-test. **P < 0.001; ***P < 0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004648.g003

rodentium was commonly found to penetrate deeply into crypts in the colon (<u>Fig. 3C and 3D</u>) and cecum (<u>S3 Fig.</u>).

CXCL9 is mainly dependent on IFN γ for its expression [30], and IFN $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice have impaired resistance and greater pathology following *C. rodentium* infection similar to that seen in our CXCL9 depletion studies [31]. Given our results following infection of CXCL9-depleted mice, we hypothesized that IFN $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice would be similarly susceptible to crypt penetration by *C. rodentium* due to the attendant decrease in CXCL9 expression. We tested this by localizing *C. rodentium* in colonic tissues of IFN $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice and C57BL/6 wild type controls by immunohistochemical staining. In these experiments, we found that *C. rodentium* was localized mainly to the epithelial surface in C57BL/6 mice, whereas bacteria were commonly found penetrating into colonic crypts of IFN $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. 3E and 3F). Together, these data indicated that the antimicrobial action of CXCL9 helps maintain epithelial barrier defenses against *C. rodentium* by preventing crypt penetration by invading bacteria. The loss of this defense upon CXCL9 depletion allows for bacterial penetration deep into intestinal crypts with an attendant increase in pathology.

The antibacterial defense of CXCL9 is independent of the CXCR3 chemokine receptor

To firmly establish the biological significance of direct antimicrobial activity of CXCL9 during *C. rodentium* infection, we infected CXCR3^{-/-} mice that lack the CXCL9 chemokine receptor and thus do not mount CXCR3-dependent responses following ligand interactions. Based on our prior data, we hypothesized that the host susceptibility to *C. rodentium* infection following CXCL9 depletion would persist in CXCR3^{-/-} mice. Indeed, CXCR3^{-/-} mice depleted of CXCL9 carried a significantly increased burden of tissue-associated *C. rodentium* in the colon (**Fig. 4A**). Furthermore, CXCL9-depleted CXCR3^{-/-} mice had increased pathology scores in both the distal colon (**Fig. 4B** and **Fig. 4C**) and in the cecum (**S4 Fig.**). In agreement with our previous data for a direct role for CXCL9-mediated protection of intestinal crypts, uncontrived CXCR3^{-/-} mice were able to restrict *C. rodentium* to the epithelial cell surface with virtually no penetration by bacteria into intestinal crypts. In contrast, depletion of CXCL9 in *C. rodentium*-infected CXCR3^{-/-} mice produced a striking invasion of bacteria deep into intestinal crypts in both the colon (**Fig. 4D and 4E**) and the cecum (**S4 Fig.**). These data confirmed that the anti-bacterial activity and host protection afforded by CXCL9 was independent of CXCR3-ligand-mediated effects.

IFNγ produced by NK cells and macrophages is necessary to achieve maximal CXCL9 expression in response to *C. rodentium*

Previous work examining transcript levels of CXCL9 in the *C. rodentium* infected colon found the predominant source to be CD11c+ cells, and was therefore attributed dendritic cells (DCs) [15]. However, recent work into understanding the role that DCs and macrophages play in intestinal homeostasis, as well as inflammation, has revealed that the intestinal tract is more heavily populated with macrophages [32], and that some previous work attributing function to CD11c+ DCs has instead been misidentified macrophages [33–35]. Indeed, macrophages have been shown to be a significant source of CXCL9 in other inflamed tissues [36,37]. We measured CXCL9 release from GM-CSF induced bone marrow-derived DC (BMDC) and M-CSF induced macrophages (BMDM) in response to heat-killed *Citrobacter* and IFN γ . Unstimulated BMDC and BMDM did not produce detectable levels of secreted CXCL9. However, exposure to either IFN γ alone, or *C. rodentium* alone stimulated intermediate levels of CXCL9, which was significantly boosted in response to a combination of both stimuli (Fig. 5A). These data



Fig 4. CXCL9-mediated host protection against *C. rodentium* **is independent of CXCR3. (A)** CXCR3^{-/-} mice were infected with *C. rodentium* and tissueassociated bacterial burden was assessed on day 10 after anti-CXCL9 treatment, or control IgG treatment. Data are the means with standard error from 3 experiments. **(B)** Representative H&E-stained colon sections (200x) from *C. rodentium*-infected CXCR3^{-/-} mice. Images are representative from 2 experiments, n = 4 per group. **(C)** Pathology scores in the distal colon of CXCR3^{-/-} mice infected with *C. rodentium*. **(D)** Localization of *C. rodentium* in uncontrived CXCR3^{-/-} mice (left panel) or mice depleted of CXCL9 (right panel). Immunohistochemistry images (200x) are representative of 2 experiments, n = 4 per group. **(E)** Quantification of crypt invasion by *C. rodentium* in CXCR3^{-/-} mice. Data are the means with standard errors. Statistical significance was assessed utilizing the t-test. **P*<0.05; ***P*<0.01.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004648.g004

were consistent with that of others, showing that maximal CXCL9 expression is induced by IFNγ, in combination with additional microbial stimuli [<u>38,39</u>].

We examined the potential sources of IFN γ responsible for driving CXCL9 expression within DCs, and macrophages. It is well established that natural killer (NK) cells are an important early source of IFN γ , and previous work by our laboratory has shown that depletion of NK1.1+ cells, a common NK cell marker, in *Citrobacter*-infected mice results in decreased CXCL9 expression in the colon [19]. Unstimulated BMDM, BMDC, or NK cells did not express CXCL9. However, co-culture of BMDM and NK cells, or DCs and NK cells, lead to CXCL9 secretion that was dependent on the presence of *C. rodentium* (Fig. 5B). NK cells were important for maximal CXCL9 release as CXCL9 levels were reduced by ~ 50% in the absence of NK cells.

PLOS | PATHOGENS



Fig 5. Macrophages require NK cells and IFNy for optimal CXCL9 production. (A). Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDM), or dendritic cells (BMDC) were incubated for 24 h at 37°C with either 1 ng/mL IFNy, and/or heat killed (hk) *C. rodentium*. CXCL9 release was determined from cell culture supernatants by ELISA. Data is the mean with standard error from three experiments. (B). Co-culture of BMDM or BMDC with NK cells leads to increased

release of CXCL9. Data is the mean with standard error from three experiments. (**C and D**). $5x10^4$ BMDM, or BMDC from C57BL/6 (WT) or IFN $\gamma^{-/-}$ were incubated for 24 h at 37°C with C57BL/6 (WT) or IFN $\gamma^{-/-}$ NK cells, hk *C. rodentium*, or media alone, as indicated. Data is the mean with standard error from three experiments. (**E**). CXCL9 expression was determined from cecal or colonic explant supernatants from *Citrobacter*-infected C57BL/6 (WT), or IFN $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice, day 10 p.i. Explant data is from 5 separate animals per group. Significance was determined using an ANOVA with a Newman Keuls post test (A-C), or a Student's t test (D-E). *P<0.05; **P<0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004648.g005

Interestingly, we observed that macrophages were far more capable at not only inducing their own expression of CXCL9 upon exposure to *C. rodentium*, independent of NK cells, but also released more CXCL9 upon co-stimulation with either IFNγ and *Citrobacter*, or NK cells and *Citrobacter* in paired experiments directly comparing macrophages and inflammatory DC populations (Fig. 5B).

Previous studies have shown that macrophages are capable of producing IFNγ in a TLR signaling-dependent fashion [40,41]. Using NK cells isolated from wild type mice, or IFN $\gamma^{-/2}$ animals and BMDM derived from these mice, we measured the contribution IFNy produced by macrophages and NK cells on CXCL9 release following C. rodentium stimulation. We found that IFNy expression by both NK cells and macrophages was critical for maximum CXCL9 release in the presence of heat-killed C. rodentium (Fig. 5C and 5D). Equivalent CXCL9 levels were released from C. rodentium-stimulated BMDM from wild type mice when NK cells were absent, or in the presence of IFNy-deficient NK cells. These data indicated that the release of CXCL9 was dependent upon IFNy expression by NK cells, and not other co-stimulatory, and/or NK cell-directed alternative cytokine expression mechanisms. Macrophage-derived IFN γ was also important for this response as release of CXCL9 was significantly reduced by \sim 50–60% from macrophages unable to produce their own IFN γ (Fig. 5D). This was further supported in experiments that showed CXCL9 release from C. rodentium-stimulated IFNY^{-/-} macrophages was significantly less than CXCL9 levels from wild type macrophages (Fig. 5C). Together, these data indicated that IFNy produced by both NK cells and macrophages is necessary to achieve maximal CXCL9 expression in response to C. rodentium. Finally, we measured CXCL9 levels in the gut following C. rodentium infection and found that, similar to our in vitro results, chemokine levels in the cecum and colon were significantly blunted in IFNy^{-/-} mice (Fig. 5E). Together, these data indicate that IFNy produced by NK cells and macrophages is necessary to achieve maximal CXCL9 expression in response to C. rodentium.

Discussion

The intestinal tract is an environment that requires a balanced set of immunological responses, capable of tolerating the host's commensal microbiota, while remaining primed to respond to invasion by pathogenic bacteria. Early immune responses to pathogens are critical for controlling both bacterial burden, and disease pathology, which the host achieves through combined cellular and innate antimicrobial responses. Chemokines are an important facet to this host protection, by linking innate antimicrobial activity with cell homing to the site of infection. We found that CXCL9, a chemokine known previously as an important modulator of CXCR3-dependent cellular homing following *C. rodentium* infections, has an important additional function in innate antimicrobial defense of the gut. This antimicrobial activity is independent of the CXCR3 receptor, or other aspects of adaptive immunity, and helps to control bacterial burden while protecting intestinal crypts from pathogen invasion.

IFN $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice are more susceptible to *C. rodentium* infection [31]. The basis for this was thought to be the loss an IFN γ -dependent antimicrobial factor expressed in the colon. Our results are consistent with CXCL9, a chemokine induced by IFN γ in combination with other microbial stimuli, as a likely mediator of host protection in IFN γ -competent hosts. Previous

worked showed that p38 α expression in T cells regulates host defense against *C. rodentium* infection [42]. This study revealed that T cells lacking p38 α had a significant reduction in IFN γ production following *C. rodentium* infection, a decreased infiltration of inflammatory cells into the colon, and yet increased tissue damage, a result that could be linked to the increased invasion of *C. rodentium* in mice with p38 α T cell deficiency. Interestingly, treatment of these mice with IFN γ restored host defenses against *C. rodentium*, leading to lessened tissue damage, and more importantly, normalization of the tissue-associated bacterial burden. While the authors attributed these findings to increased T cell homing to site of infection, an alternative or additional interpretation, given our current results, is the attendant increase in innate defense mediated by IFN γ -stimulated CXCL9 release.

The increased tissue damage resulting from loss of IFN γ , despite the blunted immune cell infiltration [19,42], is likely due to the pathogen itself gaining access to the privileged host niche within intestinal crypts. In a typical *C. rodentium* infection of resistant hosts, the host restricts the pathogen to the lumen or epithelial surface. However, depletion of CXCL9, or loss of IFN γ production allows for *C. rodentium* to penetrate deep within the crypts. A correlation between invasion of *C. rodentium* into crypts and increased host pathology has been observed in other studies [43,44] and so protecting this niche against intestinal pathogens is a key function for the innate immune system.

Of note, we found that $IFN\gamma^{-/-}$ mice were capable of producing a modest, but non-protective level of CXCL9 in the colon following *C. rodentium* infection. Although CXCL9 production is typically considered to be dependent on IFN γ , an alternative induction pathway has been described macrophages involving IFN α / signaling. For example, low-level expression of CXCL9 was described in IFN $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice following infection with vaccinia virus [45]. In addition, STAT1 activation by IFN α in primed macrophages also boosts CXCL9 expression [46]. The quantitative contribution of this IFN γ -independent production of CXCL9 on host protection, however, has not yet been defined.

In this work, we observed that macrophages exhibited greater capacity for CXCL9 expression in the presence of microbial stimuli, and/or IFNy, compared to DCs. Previous observations attributed the greatest levels of CXCL9 transcript to CD11c+ DCs in the Citrobacterinfected colon [15]. However this study relied upon CD11b and CD11c markers to differentiate phagocytes, typical surface markers routinely used to identify DCs and macrophage populations. However, recently it has become clear that many markers previous attributed to a homogenous phagocyte populations, in particular CD11c and CD11b, are expressed on multiple cell types [33]. Therefore, further examination, and in particular direct cell staining for CXCL9 expression, is necessary to identify the population(s) essential for robust expression of this chemokine. In addition to DCs, various cell types have been found to express CXCL9, including epithelial cells, neutrophils, and macrophages [36-38,47]. Recently, staining for CXCL9-producing cells within the inflamed tonsils also revealed macrophages to be the predominate source of the chemokine [37]. Preliminary data from our laboratory has shown co-localization of CXCL9 expression with the F4/80 macrophage marker within the colon of C. rodentium infected mice (data not shown). Given these data, macrophages appear to be a significant source of CXCL9 within the inflamed colon of C. rodentium infected mice, however the utility of current molecular tools to investigate the cellular sources of CXCL9 in the gut appear, in our hands, to be limited. This could potentially be overcome by directly labeling native CXCL9 in transgenic mice [48], however additional work is required.

Some host-adapted bacteria have evolved mechanisms of resistance towards antimicrobial host defense peptides through enzymatic cleavage-based mechanisms [49,50]. Evidence for bacterial resistance to the antibacterial activity of CXCL9 has also been observed, further implicating it as an innate host defense that can be a selectable target of resistance. For instance, the

streptococcal inhibitor of complement (SIC) protein, secreted by *Streptococcus pyogenes*, can inhibit the antimicrobial activity of the CXCL9 C-terminal domain [9]. SufA from *Finegoldia magna* can also block the antimicrobial activity of CXCL9 by cleavage, while leaving its chemotactic activity intact [10]. *C. rodentium* does not appear to have such intrinsic resistance mechanisms; however, it is possible that other host-derived mechanisms may play a role in certain infections. For example, interaction of *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* with human serum albumin blocks some CXCL9-directed killing activity [51]. Whether such a mechanism is relevant in intestinal infections is not known.

In summary, our data indicate that CXCL9 plays an important role in antimicrobial defense in the infected and inflamed gut. This activity, independent of the chemokine receptor CXCR3 or an adaptive immune response, protects the gut from crypt invasion by *C. rodentium* and the tissue damage that ensues. These data add to the growing body of evidence to support this chemokine as an innate antimicrobial defense molecule at mucosal surfaces.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

All animal experiments were conducted according to guidelines set by the Canadian Council on Animal Care using protocols approved by the Animal Review Ethics Board at McMaster University.

Animal infections

Six to eight-week old C57BL/6, Rag1^{-/-}, IFN $\gamma^{-/-}$, and CXCR3^{-/-} mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. Survival experiments were performed with 4-week old Rag1^{-/-} mice. All animals were housed in a specific pathogen-free unit under Level 2 conditions at the Central Animal Facility at McMaster University. For all infections, mice received 2 x 10⁸ CFU/mL via orogastric gavage from an overnight culture of *Citrobacter rodentium* (DBS100). For infections, bacteria were pelleted, washed, and resuspended in 10 mM HEPES (pH 8.0), 0.9% NaCl. Bacterial burden was monitored at designated time points in feces throughout experiments. At day 10 post infection, mice were sacrificed, and *C. rodentium* burden was determined in the cecum and colon as previously described [19]. For antibody neutralization experiments, mice were given either 200 µg/mL rabbit anti-mouse CXCL9, or 200 µg/mL control rabbit IgG on day -1, 0, 1, and then every 3 days via intraperitoneal injection. All neutralization antibodies were column-purified from rabbit antisera, which was a kind gift from Dr. Cory Hogaboam (University of Michigan/Cedars-Sinai Medical Center).

In vitro killing assay

Bacterial killing assays were performed with wild type *C. rodentium*, enterohemorrhagic *E. coli*, enteropathogenic *E. coli*, *S.* Typhimurium, a $\Delta phoPQ$ *C. rodentium* mutant, and *E. coli* K12. The *phoPQ* deletion was generated by Lambda Red mutagenesis according to published methods [52] using primers BRT151 (tta gcc gtc ctt ctg ccc cgg ctg ctg tcg gcc aaa aat gac ctc cat gtg tag gct gga gct gct tcg) and BRT152 (atg cgc gtt ctg gtt gtt gag gat aat gcg tta cta cgt cac cac ctg cat atg aat atc ctc ctt a). Stationary phase (16–18h) cultures were sub-cultured 1:50 in LB, and grown at 37°C with shaking until OD₆₀₀ = 0.5. Bacteria were pelleted, washed and resuspended in 10 mM HEPES buffer (pH 7.4) to a concentration of 10⁵ CFU/mL. Killing was initiated by mixing bacteria with 50 µg/mL human α -defensin (HD5; Prospec), CXCL9 (0.39 µg/mL, or otherwise indicated concentration; Peprotech), or sterile water. Bacteria were incubated at room temperature for 2 h, unless otherwise indicated. Cultures were diluted 1:10 with PBS to

quench killing, and viable bacterial counts were assessed on solid agar. All data was normalized to the water control and expressed as survival relative to time zero. For CXCL9 neutralization in the bacterial killing assays, 200 μ g/mL antibody (or similarly diluted PBS) was pre-incubated with 5 μ g/mL CXCL9 for 20 min prior to the assay.

ANS membrane permeability assay

To assess integrity of bacterial cellular membranes, the fluorescent probe, 8-anilino-1-naphthylenesulfonic acid (ANS; Sigma-Aldrich) was used according to previous published protocols [53]. In brief, stationary phase cultures of *C. rodentium* were sub-cultured 1:50 in LB, and grown at 37°C with shaking until OD₆₀₀ = 0.5. Bacteria were pelleted, washed, and resuspended in sterile 10 mM HEPES Buffer (pH 7.4), 5 μ M carbonyl cyanide 3-chlorophenylhydrazone (CCCP; Sigma Aldrich), and 5 mM glucose. Bacteria were incubated for 30 min at room temperature. For each sample, 93 μ L of bacteria was added to each well of black, clear bottom, 96-well plate (Costar, Corning, Inc.) with 2 μ L 3 mM ANS, and fluorescence was monitored on a Synergy HT microplate reader (BioTek) (excitation, 375nm; emission, 510nm). After 5 min, 10 μ g/mL CXCL9, 10 μ g/mL Polymyxin B (Sigma-Aldrich), or water control was injected and fluorescence was monitored for an additional 30 min.

Histochemical analysis

At 10 days post infection, segments of cecal tip or distal colon were collected and either fixed in buffered 10% formalin, or flash frozen in optimal cutting template compound (OCT; Sakura, Fisher). Segments were fixed for 72 h, paraffin-embedded, sectioned into 6 µm slices, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), anti-CD3 antibody (1:1000; Labvision), or anti-*Citrobacter* antibody (1:4000; Statens Serum Institute). H&E sections were used for assessing pathology according to published scoring protocols [54]. All fixed sections were visualized using a Leica microscope. A minimum of 6 views were analyzed for each sample. Evaluation of *C. rodentium* crypt invasion was determined through enumeration of bacterially penetrated crypts from 4–6 views per sample. Anti-CD3 treated sections were enumerated using ImageJ software.

CXCL9 quantification

At day 8 post infection, wild type C57BL/6 mice were euthanized, and CXCL9 levels were detected in various tissues. Rectal perfusions were performed with 0.5mL PBS with protease inhibitors (PBS-I, 10 mL PBS per 1 tablet complete Mini, EDTA free (Roche)). Colon was excised, flushed with 5 mL ice cold PBS, and then opened longitudinally. Mucus scrapings were performed by running a razor blade along the length of the open colon according to established protocols [55]. Collected scrapings were diluted 1:10 in PBS-I, and vortexed for 3 min. Remaining colonic tissue was placed in 0.5 mL PBS-I with a metal ball and homogenized in a Mixer Mill (Retsch). Fecal pellets were similarly homogenized in 0.5 mL PBS-I. Solid particulates from all homogenized samples were pelleted through centrifugation prior to addition to ELISA plate wells. CXCL9 was quantified using a Duoset murine CXCL9 ELISA (R&D Systems) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Cytokine and IgG quantification

At day 10 post infection, cecum and colon were removed, flushed of contents, and washed in ice-cold PBS, pH 7.4. Tissues were cut into 5 mm pieces, and placed in 1 mL RPMI, 50 μ g/mL gentamicin. Tissues were incubated for 24 h at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Levels of CXCL9, IFN γ , TNF α ,

IL-12p40, and IL-10 were assessed using Duoset Quantikine murine ELISA kits (R&D Systems) according to the manufacturer's protocols. For IgG analysis of fecal pellet homogenate supernatants, fresh fecal pellets were collected on day 2 post final injection from naïve, uninfected animals receiving two injections of 200 µg/mL anti-CXCL9 antibody, control IgG, or PBS. Fecal pellets were homogenized as described above, and then centrifuged at 14,000 g for 20 min. Supernatants were collected, and frozen at -80°C until analysis. IgG ELISA was performed on samples by coating high-binding 96-well plates overnight at room temperature with goat anti-rabbit anti-IgG antibody (1:10,000; MP Bio). Plates were washed 3 times with PBS (pH 7.4), 0.05% Tween-20, and then blocked with PBS, 1% BSA for 1 h at room temperature. Plates were washed, and samples were added and incubated for 2 h at room temperature. For each sample, a 1:2 dilution (in PBS, 1% BSA) was made, and 100 µL was added to each well. After incubation, the plate was again washed three times. Bound antibody was detected by addition of anti-rabbit IgG antibody conjugated to HRP (1:10,000; GE Healthcare), and incubated at room temperature for 2 h. Plates were washed, and developed with 100 µL solution A and B (R&D Systems) for 20 min. The reaction was stopped by addition of 50 µL 1N H₂SO₄. Plates were read at 450 nm using a plate reader.

In vitro co-culture of bone marrow-derived cells and NK cells

NK cells were purified from whole splenocytes from wild type C57BL/6 or IFN $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice using a NK cell (CD49b+) negative selection enrichment kit from StemCell technologies according to the manufacture's protocol. Purified NK cells (1x10⁵) were cultured in the presence or absence of 3x10⁵ bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDM) or dendritic cells (BMDC) derived from uninfected wild type C57BL/6 mice or IFN $\gamma^{-/-}$ mice with or without 1 ng/mL IFN γ , heat killed (hk) *C. rodentium* (3x10⁶ bacteria) and 8 ng/ml recombinant IL-2 for 24 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂.

Statistical analysis

Data was analyzed using GraphPad Prism (ver. 5.0d). Significance was assessed using the Student's t test or ANAOVA as indicated in the figure legends. P-values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. *In vivo* **depletion of CXCL9 results in loss of T cell homing.** (**A**) C57BL/6 mice were infected with *C. rodentium* and on day 8 post infection CXCL9 levels in fresh feces, perfusion of the rectum, scraped mucus layer, and remaining colonic tissue were assessed by ELISA. All data is pooled from two separate experiments, n = 6. (**B**) Uninfected Rag1^{-/-} mice were injected with control rabbit IgG or anti-CXCL9 antibody. Fecal pellets were collected two days after the second injection and total rabbit IgG was determined by ELISA. (**C**) C57BL/6 mice were infected with *C. rodentium* and given either control rabbit IgG or anti-CXCL9 antibody. The number of CD3+ cells in the distal colon was quantified by immunohistochemical staining using an Image J script. (**D**) CD3+ immunohistochemistry images (200x) are representative of 2 experiments.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. No significant changes to cytokine levels upon CXCL9-depletion *in vivo*. Six to eight week old Rag1^{-/-} mice were infected with *C. rodentium* for 10 days and given either anti-CXCL9 antibody or control rabbit IgG. Supernatants from colonic explants were measured by ELISA for the cytokines, (**A**) TNF- α , (**B**) IFN- γ , (**C**) IL12p40, and (**D**) IL10. All data is pooled

from two separate experiments, n = 6 per group. Statistical significance was assessed utilizing the t-test.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. Cecal histology in CXCL9-depleted animals. Rag1^{-/-} mice were infected with *C. rodentium* and administered either anti-CXCL9 antibody or control rabbit IgG. (**A**) Representative H&E-stained sections taken from the cecum (200x). Pathology scores in the cecum are quantified in (**B**). Images and data are pooled from two experiments, n = 6 per group. (**C**) Localization of *C. rodentium* by immunohistochemical staining. Images (200X) are representative of 2 experiments, n = 6 per group. (**D**) Quantification of crypt invasion from immunohistochemical staining. Data is the means with standard errors from two separate experiments. Statistical significance was assessed utilizing the t-test.

(TIF)

S4 Fig. Cecal histology in CXCR3^{-/-} animals containing CXCL9, or depleted of CXCL9.

CXCR3^{-/-} mice were infected with *C. rodentium* and administered either anti-CXCL9 antibody or control rabbit IgG. (A) Representative H&E-stained sections taken from the cecum (200x) 10 days post-infection. Pathology scores in the cecum are quantified in (B). Images and data are pooled from two experiments, n = 4 per group. (C) Localization of *C. rodentium* by immunohistochemical staining. Images (200X) are representative of 2 experiments, n = 4 per group. (D) Quantification of crypt invasion from immunohistochemical staining. Data is the means with standard errors from two separate experiments. Statistical significance was assessed utilizing the t-test.

(TIF)

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank members of the Coombes lab for helpful discussions. We would like to thank Dr. Cory Hogaboam for the anti-CXCL9 and anti-CXCL10 antibodies, Dr. Bruce Vallance for helpful guidance with the *C. rodentium* staining protocols, and McMaster Histology Services for their assistance with histological staining.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: SARY BRT CNS BKC. Performed the experiments: SARY BRT CNS LX. Analyzed the data: SARY BRT CNS LX BKC. Wrote the paper: SARY BKC.

References

- Griffith JW, Sokol CL, Luster AD (2014) Chemokines and chemokine receptors: positioning cells for host defense and immunity. Annu Rev Immunol 32: 659–702. doi: <u>10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-</u> <u>120145</u> PMID: <u>24655300</u>
- Yang D (2003) Many chemokines including CCL20/MIP-3 display antimicrobial activity. J Leukocyte Biol 74: 448–455. PMID: <u>12949249</u>
- Cole AM, Ganz T, Liese AM, Burdick MD, Liu L, et al. (2001) Cutting edge: IFN-inducible ELR- CXC chemokines display defensin-like antimicrobial activity. J Immunol 167: 623–627. PMID: <u>11441062</u>
- Hancock RE, Sahl HG (2006) Antimicrobial and host-defense peptides as new anti-infective therapeutic strategies. Nat Biotechnol 24: 1551–1557. PMID: <u>17160061</u>
- 5. Zasloff M (2002) Antimicrobial peptides of multicellular organisms. Nature 415: 389–395. PMID: <u>11807545</u>
- Brogden KA (2005) Antimicrobial peptides: pore formers or metabolic inhibitors in bacteria? Nat Rev Microbiol 3: 238–250. PMID: <u>15703760</u>

- Bevins CL, Salzman NH (2011) Paneth cells, antimicrobial peptides and maintenance of intestinal homeostasis. Nat Rev Microbiol 9: 356–368. doi: <u>10.1038/nrmicro2546</u> PMID: <u>21423246</u>
- Crawford MA, Burdick MD, Glomski IJ, Boyer AE, Barr JR, et al. (2010) Interferon-inducible CXC chemokines directly contribute to host defense against inhalational anthrax in a murine model of infection. PLoS Pathog 6: e1001199. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.ppat.1001199</u> PMID: <u>21124994</u>
- Egesten A, Eliasson M, Johansson HM, Olin AI, Morgelin M, et al. (2007) The CXC chemokine MIG/ CXCL9 is important in innate immunity against *Streptococcus pyogenes*. J Infect Dis 195: 684–693. PMID: <u>17262710</u>
- Karlsson C, Eliasson M, Olin AI, Morgelin M, Karlsson A, et al. (2009) SufA of the opportunistic pathogen finegoldia magna modulates actions of the antibacterial chemokine MIG/CXCL9, promoting bacterial survival during epithelial inflammation. J Biol Chem 284: 29499–29508. doi: <u>10.1074/jbc.M109</u>. <u>025957</u> PMID: <u>19628464</u>
- Linge HM, Collin M, Giwercman A, Malm J, Bjartell A, et al. (2008) The Antibacterial Chemokine MIG/ CXCL9 Is Constitutively Expressed in Epithelial Cells of the Male Urogenital Tract and Is Present in Seminal Plasma. J Interf Cytokine Res 28: 190–196.
- Collins JW, Keeney KM, Crepin VF, Rathinam VA, Fitzgerald KA, et al. (2014) Citrobacter rodentium: infection, inflammation and the microbiota. Nat Rev Microbiol 12: 612–623. doi: <u>10.1038/nrmicro3315</u> PMID: 25088150
- Higgins LM, Frankel G, Douce G, Dougan G, MacDonald TT (1999) *Citrobacter rodentium* infection in mice elicits a mucosal Th1 cytokine response and lesions similar to those in murine inflammatory bowel disease. Infect Immun 67: 3031–3039. PMID: <u>10338516</u>
- Wiles S, Clare S, Harker J, Huett A, Young D, et al. (2004) Organ specificity, colonization and clearance dynamics in vivo following oral challenges with the murine pathogen *Citrobacter rodentium*. Cell Microbiol 6: 963–972. PMID: <u>15339271</u>
- Spehlmann ME, Dann SM, Hruz P, Hanson E, McCole DF, et al. (2009) CXCR2-dependent mucosal neutrophil influx protects against colitis-associated diarrhea caused by an attaching/effacing lesionforming bacterial pathogen. J Immunol 183: 3332–3343. doi: <u>10.4049/jimmunol.0900600</u> PMID: <u>19675161</u>
- Kim YG, Kamada N, Shaw MH, Warner N, Chen GY, et al. (2011) The Nod2 sensor promotes intestinal pathogen eradication via the chemokine CCL2-dependent recruitment of inflammatory monocytes. Immunity 34: 769–780. doi: <u>10.1016/j.immuni.2011.04.013</u> PMID: <u>21565531</u>
- Kang YJ, Otsuka M, van den Berg A, Hong L, Huang Z, et al. (2010) Epithelial p38alpha controls immune cell recruitment in the colonic mucosa. PLoS Pathog 6: e1000934. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.ppat.</u> <u>1000934</u> PMID: <u>20532209</u>
- Shiomi H, Masuda A, Nishiumi S, Nishida M, Takagawa T, et al. (2010) Gamma interferon produced by antigen-specific CD4+ T cells regulates the mucosal immune responses to *Citrobacter rodentium* infection. Infect Immun 78: 2653–2666. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01343-09 PMID: 20351140
- Reid-Yu SA, Small CL, Coombes BK (2013) CD3(-)NK1.1(+) cells aid in the early induction of a Th1 response to an attaching and effacing enteric pathogen. Eur J Immunol 43: 2638–2649. doi: <u>10.1002/eji.</u> 201343435 PMID: <u>23775576</u>
- Loetscher P, Pellegrino A, Gong JH, Mattioli I, Loetscher M, et al. (2001) The ligands of CXC chemokine receptor 3, I-TAC, Mig, and IP10, are natural antagonists for CCR3. J Biol Chem 276: 2986–2991. PMID: 11110785
- Egesten A, Eliasson M, Olin AI, Erjefalt JS, Bjartell A, et al. (2007) The proinflammatory CXC-chemokines GRO-alpha/CXCL1 and MIG/CXCL9 are concomitantly expressed in ulcerative colitis and decrease during treatment with topical corticosteroids. Int J Colorectal Dis 22: 1421–1427. PMID: <u>17703315</u>
- Barrow K, Kwon DH (2009) Alterations in two-component regulatory systems of *phoPQ* and *pmrAB* are associated with polymyxin B resistance in clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53: 5150–5154. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00893-09 PMID: 19752280
- Miller AK, Brannon MK, Stevens L, Johansen HK, Selgrade SE, et al. (2011) PhoQ mutations promote lipid A modification and polymyxin resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* found in colistin-treated cystic fibrosis patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55: 5761–5769. doi: <u>10.1128/AAC.05391-11</u> PMID: <u>21968359</u>
- Nakka S, Qi M, Zhao Y (2010) The Erwinia amylovora PhoPQ system is involved in resistance to antimicrobial peptide and suppresses gene expression of two novel type III secretion systems. Microbiol Res 165: 665–673. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2009.11.013 PMID: 20116983
- 25. Hancock RE (1984) Alterations in outer membrane permeability. Annu Rev Microbiol 38: 237–264. PMID: <u>6093683</u>

- Loh B, Grant C, Hancock RE (1984) Use of the fluorescent probe 1-N-phenylnaphthylamine to study the interactions of aminoglycoside antibiotics with the outer membrane of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob Agents Chemoth 26: 546–551.
- Luperchio SA, Newman JV, Dangler CA, Schrenzel MD, Brenner DJ, et al. (2000) Citrobacter rodentium, the causative agent of transmissible murine colonic hyperplasia, exhibits clonality: synonymy of C. rodentium and mouse-pathogenic Escherichia coli. J Clin Microbiol 38: 4343–4350. PMID: <u>11101562</u>
- Vallance BA, Deng W, Knodler LA, Finlay BB (2002) Mice lacking T and B lymphocytes develop transient colitis and crypt hyperplasia yet suffer impaired bacterial clearance during *Citrobacter rodentium* infection. Infect Immun 70: 2070–2081. PMID: <u>11895973</u>
- 29. Gibson DL, Ma C, Bergstrom KS, Huang JT, Man C, et al. (2008) MyD88 signalling plays a critical role in host defence by controlling pathogen burden and promoting epithelial cell homeostasis during *Citrobacter rodentium*-induced colitis. Cell Microbiol 10: 618–631. PMID: <u>17979981</u>
- 30. Cole KE, Strick CA, Paradis TJ, Ogborne KT, Loetscher M, et al. (1998) Interferon-inducible T cell alpha chemoattractant (I-TAC): a novel non-ELR CXC chemokine with potent activity on activated T cells through selective high affinity binding to CXCR3. J Exp Med 187: 2009–2021. PMID: 9625760
- Simmons CP, Goncalves NS, Ghaem-Maghami M, Bajaj-Elliott M, Clare S, et al. (2002) Impaired resistance and enhanced pathology during infection with a noninvasive, attaching-effacing enteric bacterial pathogen, *Citrobacter rodentium*, in mice lacking IL-12 or IFN-gamma. J Immunol 168: 1804–1812. PMID: 11823513
- Schreiber HA, Loschko J, Karssemeijer RA, Escolano A, Meredith MM, et al. (2013) Intestinal monocytes and macrophages are required for T cell polarization in response to *Citrobacter rodentium*. J Exp Med 210: 2025–2039. doi: 10.1084/jem.20130903 PMID: 24043764
- Cerovic V, Bain CC, Mowat AM, Milling SW (2014) Intestinal macrophages and dendritic cells: what's the difference? Trends Immunol 35: 270–277. doi: 10.1016/j.it.2014.04.003 PMID: 24794393
- Cerovic V, Houston SA, Scott CL, Aumeunier A, Yrlid U, et al. (2013) Intestinal CD103(-) dendritic cells migrate in lymph and prime effector T cells. Mucosal Immunol 6: 104–113. doi: <u>10.1038/mi.2012.53</u> PMID: <u>22718260</u>
- Mazzini E, Massimiliano L, Penna G, Rescigno M (2014) Oral tolerance can be established via gap junction transfer of fed antigens from CX3CR1(+) macrophages to CD103(+) dendritic cells. Immunity 40: 248–261. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.12.012 PMID: 24462723
- Veckman V, Miettinen M, Matikainen S, Lande R, Giacomini E, et al. (2003) Lactobacilli and streptococci induce inflammatory chemokine production in human macrophages that stimulates Th1 cell chemotaxis. J Leukoc Biol 74: 395–402. PMID: <u>12949243</u>
- Romagnani P, Rotondi M, Lazzeri E, Lasagni L, Francalanci M, et al. (2002) Expression of IP-10/ CXCL10 and MIG/CXCL9 in the thyroid and increased levels of IP-10/CXCL10 in the serum of patients with recent-onset Graves' disease. Am J Pathol 161: 195–206. PMID: <u>12107104</u>
- Gasperini S, Marchi M, Calzetti F, Laudanna C, Vicentini L, et al. (1999) Gene expression and production of the monokine induced by IFN-gamma (MIG), IFN-inducible T cell alpha chemoattractant (I-TAC), and IFN-gamma-inducible protein-10 (IP-10) chemokines by human neutrophils. J Immunol 162: 4928–4937. PMID: <u>10202039</u>
- Liao F, Rabin RL, Yannelli JR, Koniaris LG, Vanguri P, et al. (1995) Human Mig chemokine: biochemical and functional characterization. J Exp Med 182: 1301–1314. PMID: <u>7595201</u>
- Di Marzio P, Puddu P, Conti L, Belardelli F, Gessani S (1994) Interferon gamma upregulates its own gene expression in mouse peritoneal macrophages. J Exp Med 179: 1731–1736. PMID: <u>8163951</u>
- Mosser DM, Edwards JP (2008) Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. Nat Rev Immunol 8: 958–969. doi: <u>10.1038/nri2448</u> PMID: <u>19029990</u>
- 42. Shim EJ, Bang BR, Kang SG, Ma J, Otsuka M, et al. (2013) Activation of p38alpha in T cells regulates the intestinal host defense against attaching and effacing bacterial infections. J Immunol 191: 2764– 2770. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1300908 PMID: 23918973
- Assi K, Bergstrom K, Vallance B, Owen D, Salh B (2013) Requirement of epithelial integrin-linked kinase for facilitation of *Citrobacter* rodentium-induced colitis. BMC Gastroenterol 13: 137. doi: <u>10.1186/</u> <u>1471-230X-13-137</u> PMID: <u>24024606</u>
- Yoshida M, Kobayashi K, Kuo TT, Bry L, Glickman JN, et al. (2006) Neonatal Fc receptor for IgG regulates mucosal immune responses to luminal bacteria. J Clin Invest 116: 2142–2151. PMID: <u>16841095</u>
- Mahalingam S, Chaudhri G, Tan CL, John A, Foster PS, et al. (2001) Transcription of the interferon gamma (IFN-gamma)-inducible chemokine Mig in IFN-gamma-deficient mice. J Biol Chem 276: 7568– 7574. PMID: <u>11024052</u>

- Tassiulas I, Hu X, Ho H, Kashyap Y, Paik P, et al. (2004) Amplification of IFN-alpha-induced STAT1 activation and inflammatory function by Syk and ITAM-containing adaptors. Nat Immunol 5: 1181–1189. PMID: <u>15467722</u>
- Kawaguchi S, Ishiguro Y, Imaizumi T, Mori F, Matsumiya T, et al. (2009) Retinoic acid-inducible gene-I is constitutively expressed and involved in IFN-gamma-stimulated CXCL9–11 production in intestinal epithelial cells. Immunol Lett 123: 9–13. doi: 10.1016/j.imlet.2009.01.008 PMID: 19201382
- Groom JR, Richmond J, Murooka TT, Sorensen EW, Sung JH, et al. (2012) CXCR3 chemokine receptor-ligand interactions in the lymph node optimize CD4+ T helper 1 cell differentiation. Immunity 37: 1091–1103. doi: <u>10.1016/j.immuni.2012.08.016</u> PMID: <u>23123063</u>
- McPhee JB, Small CL, Reid-Yu SA, Brannon JR, Le Moual H, et al. (2014) Host defense peptide resistance contributes to colonization and maximal intestinal pathology by Crohn's disease-associated adherent-invasive *Escherichia coli*. Infect Immun 82: 3383–3393. doi: <u>10.1128/IAI.01888-14</u> PMID: <u>24866805</u>
- Gruenheid S, Le Moual H (2012) Resistance to antimicrobial peptides in Gram-negative bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Lett 330: 81–89. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1574-6968.2012.02528.x</u> PMID: <u>22339775</u>
- Egesten A, Frick IM, Morgelin M, Olin AI, Bjorck L (2011) Binding of albumin promotes bacterial survival at the epithelial surface. J Biol Chem 286: 2469–2476. doi: <u>10.1074/jbc.M110.148171</u> PMID: 21098039
- Datsenko KA, Wanner BL (2000) One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 6640–6645. PMID: <u>10829079</u>
- Lamers RP, Cavallari JF, Burrows LL (2013) The efflux inhibitor phenylalanine-arginine beta-naphthylamide (PAbetaN) permeabilizes the outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria. PLoS One 8: e60666. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0060666 PMID: 23544160
- Coombes BK, Coburn BA, Potter AA, Gomis S, Mirakhur K, et al. (2005) Analysis of the contribution of Salmonella pathogenicity islands 1 and 2 to enteric disease progression using a novel bovine ileal loop model and a murine model of infectious enterocolitis. Infect Immun 73: 7161–7169. PMID: 16239510
- 55. Kotarsky K, Sitnik KM, Stenstad H, Kotarsky H, Schmidtchen A, et al. (2010) A novel role for constitutively expressed epithelial-derived chemokines as antibacterial peptides in the intestinal mucosa. Mucosal Immunol 3: 40–48. doi: 10.1038/mi.2009.115 PMID: 19812544